

January 23, 2012 093-87652

Mr. Jeffery F. Koerner, P.E. Administrator Bureau of Air Regulation Florida Department of Environmental Protection 2600 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, FL 32399

RE: Turkey Point Power Plant

Project Number 0250003-013-AC; PSD-FL-409 Cooling Tower Project for Units 6 and 7

RECEIVED

JAN 24 2012

DIVISION OF AIR
RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

Dear Mr. Koerner:

This correspondence is provided for informational purposes to supplement the Department's file on the referenced Air Construction / PSD permit and reflects additional information submitted to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission related to the deposition of cooling tower drift from the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 Project Cooling Towers. Cooling tower drift is regulated by the FDEP as particulate matter (PM) and PM with an aerodynamic diameter of 10 microns or less. These regulated air pollutants were addressed in the above-referenced Air Construction/PSD Permit issued for the Project. In addition, the impact of cooling tower deposition was a subject of a completeness question from the Department in its review of the application (FDEP-PSD-7). This information does not change any of the air emissions estimates or air quality dispersion modeling provided in the Air Construction/PSD Permit Application. Rather, the information updates the deposition analyses to better reflect the actual conditions for which the PM emitted from the cooling towers may deposit.

The deposition modeling analyses were revised to more accurately reflect the actual physical characteristics of the drift emitted from the cooling towers. Deposition modeling was originally performed as "particulate matter" emitted from the cooling towers. The particulate matter in cooling tower drift consists of the dissolved minerals in the circulating water concentrated as a particle with a particle density reflecting that of condensed minerals. The water droplets emitted from the cooling towers are a combination of water and dissolved minerals referred to as "solution drift". The revised approach models the cooling tower drift as it is emitted, i.e., as water droplets, not as particulate matter. Solution drift particles have lower density than particulate matter since a majority of the particle is water. In contrast, the particulate matter is formed at distances downwind when the water in the droplet evaporates.

The particle size distribution for "solution drift" in the updated modeling is shown in Table 1 and the results when using saltwater are shown in Table 2. Figure 1 shows the modeling results with the cooling towers using saltwater. The updated approach in using solution drift resulted in slightly lower deposition rates near the cooling towers due to lower density of solution drift particles and slightly higher deposition rates away from the cooling towers since lower density particles travel farther. As indicated in the Air Construction/PSD Permit Application and completeness responses, saltwater is a backup supply of makeup water for the cooling towers. FPL has proposed that the use of saltwater be limited to an annual maximum quantity required for 90 days of full load operation. Therefore, the use of saltwater from the radial collector wells in the evaluation provides a conservative estimate of the maximum possible deposition impacts for the Project. The primary water source for the Project (reclaimed water) the vast majority of time has maximum deposition impacts that are 12.5 times lower the impacts for saltwater.

Please call if there are any questions.

Sincerely,

**GOLDER ASSOCIATES INC.** 

Kennard F. Kosky, P.E.

Principal

**Enclosures** 

cc: Steve Scroggs, FPL

Marister Ruiz, FPL Paul Jacobs, FPL Rick Orthen, FPL Matt Raffenberg, FPL



TABLE 1
ESTIMATED DRIFT EMISSION SPECTRUM FOR
TURKEY POINT UNITS 6 & 7 CIRCULATING WATER
COOLING TOWERS

Droplet Size Range (micrometers)	Total in Size Range (percent)
0 - 40	0.51
40 - 50	1.30
50 - 60	3.89
60 - 70	15.65
70 - 90	28.46
90 - 110	20.70
110 - 130	11.51
130 - 150	5.99
150 - 210	4.46
210 - 350	4.54
350 - 600	2.99

Source: "Calculating Realistic PM Emissions from Cooling Towers", Frisbie and Gordon, 2001.

TABLE 2

MONTHLY MINERAL CIRCULATING WATER DEPOSITION PREDICTED
FOR TURKEY POINT UNITS 6 & 7 COOLING TOWERS

				Location	
		Deposition		Distance	Direction
Period		g/m <sup>2</sup> /month	kg/ha/month	(m)	(degree)
2001-2005	Average	0.50	5.0	Area within 5 km	
	Maximum	11.5	115.0	354	225
		4.2	42.0	500	270
		2.2	22.0	1,000	270
		1.1	11.0	2,000	270
		0.6	6.0	3,000	270

