



# SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

**INSPECTION TYPE:** ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)  COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)   
 RE-INSPECTION (FUI)  ARMS COMPLAINT NO:

**AIRS ID#:** 0951324 **DATE:** 2/4/2010 **ARRIVE:** 09:30 **DEPART:** 10:10  
**FACILITY NAME:** SIGMA-ORLANDO  
**FACILITY LOCATION:** 691 W LANDSTREET RD  
 ORLANDO 32824-7810  
**OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE:** GREG FOX **PHONE:** (256)234-2514  
**CONTACT NAME:** **PHONE:**  
**ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:** 11/14/2008 / 11/14/2013  
 (effective date) (end date)

**PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS** (check  only one box)  
 IN COMPLIANCE  MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE  SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

**PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.**  
 (check  appropriate box(es))

- Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.)  Yes  No
- Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?-----  Yes  No
- Does the owner/operator retain, and make available for Department inspection, these records for a period of at least five years?-----  Yes  No
- Is the total quantity of VOC's in such coatings 44 lbs/day or less, averaged monthly?-----  Yes  No
- Does the amount of coatings used, include solvents and thinners used in the process including those used for cleanups?-----  Yes  No

**PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.**  
 (check  appropriate box(es))

- Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.)-----  Yes  No
- Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.)-----  Yes  No

**PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)**

(check  appropriate box(es))

3. Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:
- a) maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray?  Yes  No
  - b) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?-----  Yes  No
  - c) considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?  Yes  No
  - d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?-----  Yes  No
  - e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:
    - 1. spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning cycles?-----  Yes  No
    - 2) recycling cleaning solvents?-----  Yes  No
    - 3) using water based cleaners?-----  Yes  No

**PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.**

**A. New or Modified Process Equipment**

1. Since the last inspection has there been
- a) installation of any new process equipment?-----  Yes  No
  - b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?-----  Yes  No
  - c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?-----  Yes  No
  - d) If you answered **YES** to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?-----  Yes  No

Assefa Hailemariam

2/4/2010

\_\_\_\_\_  
Inspector's Name (Please Print)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date of Inspection

~2/4/2011

\_\_\_\_\_  
Inspector's Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** The inspectors Assefa and Bill met with Mr.Greg Wilkerson, Florida Sales, for Sigma, on 2/4/2010. Mr.Wilkerson provided the inspectors with a walk-through of the facility. The facility did not use any spray paint since 2008. He stated that he did not have to paint any of the parts. He also said that in 2009 Sigma only purchased black liquid paint. Facility was not operating at the times of the site visit, and no uncontrolled emissions were observed. The was yard very clean and no odors detected. Mr.Wilkerson also provided us records for 2008 which is less than the permit limit of 44lbs/day.