

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Northwest District 160 W Government St., Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 RICK SCOTT GOVERNOR

JENNIFER CARROLL LT. GOVERNOR

HERSCHEL T. VINYARD JR. SECRETARY

January 23, 2013

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested americanconcretesupply@gmail.com
Mr. C. R. Campbell Sr., President
American Concrete & Supply
2866 North Highway 95A
Molino, Florida 32533

Dear Mr. Campbell:

On December 7, 2012, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 7775515. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The Department finds the facility was in minor non-compliance at the time of the inspection for knowingly loading cement to a silo without the silo's dust collector operating properly, in violation of Rule 62-210.310(3)(f), Florida Administrative Code.

Through this letter, the Department is exercising its enforcement discretion and will not pursue any additional air enforcement action at this time for the violation cited. This decision is based on the following:

- The violation is limited in scope, as repairs were made three days after the facility became aware of the problem,
- On December 27, 2012 an EPA Method 9 visible emissions test was conducted on the repaired dust collector and results documented compliance; and
- The facility has a history of compliance.

Please note that authority to operate this facility expires May 24, 2013. To avoid lapse of authority to operate, an owner or operator intending to continue to use an air general permit must submit the proper registration form and processing fee at least 30 days prior to expiration of the facility's existing air general permit. You can obtain permit forms and information at the following web address: http://www.dep.state.fl.us/air/emission/air_gp.htm.

If you have any questions, please contact Chris Stoll at 850.595.0654 or christopher.stoll@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Program Administrator

Compliance and Enforcement Program

AJ/cs/c Enclosure

c: Sheila Schneider and Justin Green; Division of Air Resource Management



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:]				
AIRS ID#: 7775515 DATE: <u>12/7/2012</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:40 AM</u> DEPAI	RT: <u>11:10 AM</u>				
FACILITY NAME: STEVENS PLANT					
FACILITY LOCATION: 2866 HWY 95A					
CANTONMENT 32533					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: C CAMPBELL PHONE: (850)587-2224 Email: Mobile: CONTACT NAME: C CAMPBELL PHONE: (850)587-2224 Email: Mobile:					
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/24/2008 / 5/24/2013 (effective date) (end date)					
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Mike McElroy	(check ☑ only one box for each question)				
Brief Notes:					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still C CAMPBELL?	X YesNo				
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still C CAMPBELL?					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	YesNoNo YesNo				
Emissions Unit Section 1 -Cement Concrete Batch Plant subject to 5% Opacity Limit					
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: 11/9/2012	(check ☑ only one box for each question)				
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	□ Yes □ No				

	b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? ————————————————————————————————————	☐ Yes	No
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	⊠ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	□ No
	b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.		
	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	Yes	☐ No
	 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not loade. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	led during ins	
	g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to	_	□ No
	 Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	 Yeste and Yes	☐ No
	3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minuth. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collected while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute	tes a is separate ector Y Yes	□ No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	⊠ No
	 a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. 	Yes	∐ No
	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	Yes	□ No
CC	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	- -	
	a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	∐ No □ No
	c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		□ No
2.	Does this facility include:	~ £	
	a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No

b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		□ No
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		NoNoNoNoNoNoNo
gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propagation of the second secon)?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consur for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	mption 	☐ No
GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed		
the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	🛛 Yes	☐ No
b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		⊠ No
3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□ No
		,
RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary □; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □	(check ☑ box for each	
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following	g question 2.)	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	- Yes	⊠ No
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?	· 🗌 Yes	□ No
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation?	6)]	
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?	[i)]	□ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage	mit,	□ No
If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was		
co-located at the permitted facility?		☐ No☐ No

<u>CHANGES</u>		✓ only one sch question)		
Administrative Changes:	box for ca	en question)		
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone nu	imber of the facility or authorized representative not			
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical r operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minus. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership	relocation of the facility or any emissions units or nor administrative change at the facility? Yes 30 days of the change? Yes	⊠ No □ No		
a. Installation of any new process equipment?				
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted 30 days prior to the change? ————————————————————————————————————				
Chris Stoll	12/7/2012			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	12/7/2013			
	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			

COMMENTS: On December 7, 2012, a compliance inspection was conducted at the American Concrete Supply (ID 7775515). During the inspection a tanker truck unloading cement to a silo and excess emissions originating from the silo's dust collector were observed. The facility operator indicated he was aware that some of the dust collector's filter socks had holes, and new socks had been ordered. According to facility records, several cement deliveries were received during the period when the air pollution control device was not operating properly. On December 27, 2012, the Department received an e-mail from the facility indicating that although they were aware that the air pollution control device was not operating properly, they were still loading and unloading cement from the silo. The e-mail documents the facility was aware of the problem on the day before the inspection was conducted, and repaired the dust collector the day after the inspection was conducted.