

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
AIRS ID#: 7775515 DATE: <u>11/9/2011</u> ARRIVE: <u>2:45 PM</u> DEPA	RT: 3:09 PM					
FACILITY NAME: STEVENS PLANT						
FACILITY LOCATION: 2866 HWY 95A						
CANTONMENT 32533						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: C CAMPBELL Email: CONTACT NAME: C CAMPBELL Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/24/2008 / 5/24/2013 PHONE: (850)587 Mobile: Mobile:						
(effective date) (end date)						
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check ☑ only one					
Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Mike McElroy</u>	box for each question)					
Brief Notes:						
2. Is the Authorized Representative still C CAMPBELL?	X YesNo					
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still C CAMPBELL?	YesNo YesNo					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?						

Emissions Unit Section 1 - Cement Concrete Batch Plant subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1.	Date of last inspection: 4/12/2011 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	☐ Yes	only one question) No No No No No No No
	k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	⊠ Yes	□ No
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.	☐ Yes	☐ No
	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	Yes	☐ No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contact that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins	
	f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$) and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?		☐ No
	 3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minuth. h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector. 	n is separate ector	_
	conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut		☐ No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.	☐ Yes	⊠ No □ No
	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	Yes	☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

	<u> </u>					
<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 box for each				
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	⊠ Yes	□ No□ No□ No			
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		⊠ No			
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?	ral Yes	☐ No			
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No			
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared	<u>ane/yr</u> ≤ 1.00 e/yr	0?			
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		☐ No			
Gl	GENERAL CONDITIONS (check ✓ only one					
		box for each				
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No			
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		 □ No			
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all					
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No			
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	X Yes	□ No			

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary \(\subseterministic \text{relocatable } \nabla \text{: or consisting of both signs.}\)	tationary and relocateble	(check ☑ box for each	
1. Is the facility: stationary □; relocatable ☑; or consisting of both structure concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If</i>		question 2.)	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (<i>If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.</i>) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or L		Yes	⊠ No
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day p b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica	prior to changing location?		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica	ss days following a relocation?tion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)	Yes	□ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a			∐ No
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it.	in that separate permit: cose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)		□ No
co-located at the permitted facility?		Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No
CHANGES		(check 🗹	only one
Administrative Changes:		box for each	•
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the state of the		box for each ive not	•
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 	of the facility or any emissions uninistrative change at the facility?	box for each ive not ts or Yes	•
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admired 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been 	of the facility or any emissions uninistrative change at the facility?of the change?	ive not ts or Yes Yes	question) No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of tassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions uninstrative change at the facility? of the change?	box for each ive not ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes	question) No No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions uninstrative change at the facility? of the change?	box for each ive not ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question) No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions uninistrative change at the facility? of the change? antially different? n form and the appropriate fee sub-	box for each of the not to or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question) No No No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions uninistrative change at the facility? of the change? antially different? n form and the appropriate fee sub-	ive not ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question) No No No No No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions uninistrative change at the facility? of the change? antially different? n form and the appropriate fee sub-	ive not ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question) No No No No No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of tassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions uninistrative change at the facility? of the change? antially different? n form and the appropriate fee subs	ive not ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question) No No No No No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of tassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on the Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions uninistrative change at the facility? of the change? antially different? n form and the appropriate fee subsection of the subsection of the appropriate fee subsection of the sub	ive not ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question) No No No No No No No

COMMENTS: On November 9, 2011, a routine Air General Permit compliance inspection was performed at the American Concrete Supply, located in Escambia County. The facility was not in operation at the time of the inspection. Facility operator Mike McElroy assisted me during the inspection. Visible emission testing was last performed on February 15, 2011. Visible emission test results indicate an average opacity of 0%. Currently, visible emission testing is required each calendar year.