

## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)   RE-INSPECTION (FUI)   ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
AIRS ID#: 7775515 DATE: 4/12/2011 ARRIVE: 10:00 AM DEPART:	<u>10:30 AM</u>					
FACILITY NAME: STEVENS PLANT						
FACILITY LOCATION: 2866 HWY 95A						
CANTONMENT 32533						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: C CAMPBELL Email: CONTACT NAME: C CAMPBELL Email: Entitlement Period: 5/24/2008 / 5/24/2013 (effective date) (end date)  PHONE: (850)587-222 Mobile:  PHONE: (850)587-222 Mobile:						
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Mike McElroy  Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still C CAMPBELL? If no, who is?:	⊠ Yes □No					
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?  3. Is the facility contact still C CAMPBELL?  If no, who is?:	☐ Yes ☐No ☐No					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	Yes ⊠No ☐ Yes ☐No					

## Emissions Unit Section 1 -Cement Concrete Batch Plant subject to Reasonable Precautions

1 - Cement Concrete Batch Plant subject to Reasonable Pre	<u>ecautions</u>	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only on box for each question	
Date of last inspection: 12/2/2009     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes N	Ю
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check ☑ only of box for each question	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Y	<u>Yards</u>	
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to con emissions by:</li> </ol>	trol unconfined	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when ne</li> </ul>	Yes N	Го
control emissions?		Ю
particulate matter?	Yes N	Ю
particulate matter from stock piles?	\ Yes \ \ N	Ю
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the	he truck? Yes N	Ю
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
<ul><li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li><li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li></ul>		

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

## **Facility Section (continued)**

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check <b>v</b> box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared		0?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		☐ No
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:  a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?  b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general parmit and complies with all	· 🛛 Yes	☐ No
3.	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	Yes	☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general		□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ☑	only one
1. Is the facility: stationary □; relocatable ☒; or consisting of concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plan		• ,
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c beloware. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business. b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Not to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five	Yes ow. )  Int or Local Air Program by telephone, Is day prior to changing location? Yes  Sotification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]  Dousiness days following a relocation? Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Note to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least		⊠ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a sepa and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routin If YES, what was the purpose?  b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how located the separate of the relocatable plant was the purpose.	s unit in that separate permit: e purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)?  Yes	☐ No
co-located at the permitted facility?  If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration	Yes	☐ No ☐ No
CHANGES		<b>7</b> 1
	(check ✓ box for each	
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	box for each er of the facility or authorized representative not cation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes	
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	box for each er of the facility or authorized representative not cation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes days of the change? Yes enent?	h question)
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30  New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacer c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is d. A change in ownership?	box for each error of the facility or authorized representative not cation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes days of the change?	h question)  No No No No No No
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each error of the facility or authorized representative not cation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes days of the change?	h question)  No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each error of the facility or authorized representative not cation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes days of the change?	h question)  No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacer c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is d. A change in ownership?	box for each er of the facility or authorized representative not cation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes days of the change? Yes Yes substantially different? Yes Yes substantially different? Yes Yes Stration form and the appropriate fee submitted Yes	h question)  No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacer c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is d. A change in ownership?	box for each box for each er of the facility or authorized representative not cation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes days of the change? Yes enent? Yes substantially different? Yes substantially different? Yes estration form and the appropriate fee submitted Yes	h question)  No No No No No No No

**COMMENTS:** On April 12, 2011, a compliance inspection was conducted at the American Concrete Supply, located in Escambia County. The facility was in operation at the time of the inspection and no emissions were observed during the inspection. The facility consists of two relocatable silos, AIRS ID # 7775511 and 7775515. Currently the Stevens Plant (7775515) is being used for batching concrete and the second plant is being used as a back-up plant. Visible emissions' testing was last conducted on the Stevens Plant on February 15, 2011. Results of the tests were submitted in a timely manner and 0% opacity was observed during the tests.