

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 Governmental Center, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5794 Charlie Crist Governor

Jeff Kottkamp Lt. Governor

Mimi A. Drew Secretary

November 10, 2010

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested ronh@bhcinc.biz

Mr. Ron Hargrove, Owner B & H Contracting, Inc. Post Office Box 366 Florala, Alabama 36442

Dear Mr. Hargrove:

On November 4, 2010, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 7775494. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

Some areas of potential non-compliance are identified in the inspection report. Please review the Comments section of the report and submit the requested information within 30 days of receipt of this report in order to ensure continued compliance in the future.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at 850/595-0663 or jennifer.waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Rick Bradburn

Air Program Administrator

Rich Bredlen

RB/jw/c

Enclosure

c: Mike Carroll, B&H Contracting, Inc.: mcarroll@bhcinc.biz Kermit George, P.E., Integrated Engineering Solutions, LLC: kermit@southerneng.com "More Protection, Less Process" www.dep.state.fl.us



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	(CI)							
AIRS ID#: 7775494 DATE: <u>11/4/10</u> ARRIVE: <u>1:44 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>1:50 PM</u>							
FACILITY NAME: MILTON CCB PLANT								
FACILITY LOCATION: DA LISA RD								
MILTON 32583								
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: KERMIT GEORGE Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/22/2008 / 3/22/2013 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: Mobile: Mobile:	(850)682-4269							
Facility Section								
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE								
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check ☑ only one box for each question)							
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):	oon for each question)							
Brief Notes: The current owner/authorized representative is Kermit George. Mr. George is the engineer who filled out the permit application for Mr. Ron Hargrove, owner of B&H Contracting. There has not been a change in the owner/authorized representative, but the one currently listed is incorrect.								
2. Is the Authorized Representative still KERMIT GEORGE?	Yes \(\sigma\)No							
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still?								
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?								

Emissions Unit Section 2 –Silo/Batcher (1) subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1. Date of last inspection: 3/30/10 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? (Began operation in 2008) b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	ox for each quality Yes	only one uestion) No No No No No No No No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
	(check 🗹 o	only one uestion)
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes Yes ucted at a rate during inspective yes Yes Yes Yes Yes A yes separate cor Yes	 No

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			only one question)		
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No		
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		Yes	⊠ No		
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No		
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	□ No□ No□ No□ No□ No		
	$\frac{\text{gal diesel/yr} + \text{gal gasoline/yr} + \text{gal gasoline/yr} + \frac{\text{MM SCF nat. gas/yr}}{\text{23,000 gal gasoline/yr}} + \frac{\text{MM SCF nat. gas/yr}}{\text{44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr}} + \frac{\text{MM gal propane/yr}}{\text{1.3 MM gal propane/yr}} \leq 1.00?$					
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		Yes	☐ No		
Gl	GENERAL CONDITIONS (check ☑ only one box for each question)					
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗆	Yes	☐ No		
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the six control parmit and complies with all	- X		□ No		
3.	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		Yes	☐ No		
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	_	Ves	\square No		

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		(check ☑	-
	⊠; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □ neral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the follows</i>	box for each ing question 2.)	• ,
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answ	lization? wer question 2.c below.)	Yes	⊠ No
e-mail, fax, or written communication	ppropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, at least one business day prior to changing location?Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900]		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program c. Did the owner or operator transmit a F	m no later than five business days following a relocation? - acility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900]	Yes (6)]	□ No
	a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per air oper		∐ No
and the relocatable batch plant is not incl a. Was the relocatable batch plant being u If YES, what was the purpose?	luded as an emissions unit in that separate permit: used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage		☐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operat co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than	tor to indicate how long it was n 6 months in duration?	Yes Yes	□ No □ No
CHANGES Administrative Changes:		(check ☑ box for each	
 Were there any changes in the name, add associated with a change in ownership or operations comprising the facility; or any If YES, did the facility provide written not New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in the name of the process of the name of t		inits or Yes	□ No □ No
b. Alterations to existing process equipmc. Replacement of existing equipment w	nent? nent without replacement?		⊠ No ⊠ No
	rith equipment that is substantially different?	Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
-	YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee su	Yes Yes	No No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is 30 days prior to the change? ————————————————————————————————————	YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee su	Yes Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is 30 days prior to the change? ————————————————————————————————————	YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee su	Yes Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is 30 days prior to the change? ————————————————————————————————————	YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee su	Yes Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is 30 days prior to the change? Jennifer Waltrip	YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee su	Yes Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No

COMMENTS: A Department representative conducted an unannounced annual air program compliance inspection on November 4, 2010 at the B&H Contracting Milton Concrete batch plant currently located off Da Lisa Road in Santa Rosa County. The Facility was not in operation at the time and there was no one onsite. Mr. Mike Carroll, General Manager, was contacted following the site visit. Mr. Carroll indicated that the facility has not operated since March 2010.

To prevent wind blown emissions a speed limit sign is posted at the entrance to the site and the yard is mostly paved. The plant has one split silo with two cartridge filters, one for fly ash and the other for cement. According to Mr. Carroll, the facility operates with electricity.

The following items shall be addressed within 30 days following receipt of this inspection report:

- 1. Department records do not include the manufacturer or model numbers of the pollution control equipment used for emissions from the split silo. Please submit this information to our office to ensure our records are current for your facility.
- 2. According to Department records, the current owner/authorized representative is Kermit George, P.E. According to Mr. George, he is not the contact for this facility. Mr. Ron Hargrove is the owner of B&H Contracting, Inc. and Mr. Mike Carroll is the General Manager of the Milton Plant. Please note that Rule 62-210.310(2)(d), Florida Administrative Code, states that within 30 days of any minor changes requiring corrections to information contained in the registration form, the owner or operator shall notify the Department in writing. Please submit contact information for the current owner/authorized representative and the facility contact. Contact information shall include name, title, organization/firm, mailing address, phone number, fax number and email address.
- 3. Mr. Carroll indicated the facility has not operated since March 2010 and there are no plans to operate the facility before the end of the calendar year. Visible emissions tests have not been conducted for calendar year 2010. Please note that Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., requires the owner or operator of any concrete batching plant using an air general permit to test for visible emissions on an annual basis. However, due to the economic climate, the Department recognizes that many permitted facilities have temporarily shut down one or all of their emissions units. Due to this current situation, the Department is not requiring facilities to start-up specifically to perform a compliance test by its due date. If your facility and/or emission unit(s) are temporarily shut-down, please submit notification to the Department stating the date the facility and/or emission unit(s) temporarily shut-down. Upon recommencing operation, the Department shall be notified as soon as possible, but no later than five calendar days after start-up. Also, the emission unit(s) shall be tested as soon as practical, but no later than 30 days after startup.

The information requested above shall be sent to Department of Environmental Protection, Northwest District Air Program, 160 Governmental Center, Suite 308, Pensacola, Florida 32502-5794.