

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
ARRIVE: 12:00 PM DEPART: 12:50 PM
ACILITY NAME: TARMAC AMERICA, LLC
ACILITY LOCATION:
MIAMI 33142
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: TERRY LANCASTER PHONE: (561)504-6787
CONTACT NAME: NAPOLEON BANK PHONE:
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/10/2007 / 8/9/2012
(effective date) (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
A DE M. ENCONDICIONE CONDICENDACIONE DE OLIDENTENTES. D. L. CA 20C 414 E A C
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	⊠Yes □ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🖂
 (check ppropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check Donly one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ⊠ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMI (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	ENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)		
Unconfined Emissions (Dule 62 206 220(4)(c) FAC)		!	
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant ta	als receepable precentions to control unconfined	!	
emissions by:	ke reasonable precautions to control uncommed	ļ	
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and	words, which shall include one or more of the following:	I	
	stock piles, and yards? \(\sigma\) Yes \(\sigma\) 1	No	
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-		.10	
		No	
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other			
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to	to reduce airborne particulate matter? Yes Yes	No	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wi	rind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of		
particulate matter from stock piles?			
	te emissions at the drop point to the truck? $\overline{\boxtimes}$ Yes $\overline{\square}$ N		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES –	P-1- (2 210 200(4)(d)/4 F A C		
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	Kule 02-210.500(4)(u)4., r.A.C.	ŀ	
A. New of Mounica Hocess Equipment		!	
1. Since the last inspection has there been		I	
a) installation of any new process equipment?		No	
		No	
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially dif	fferent than that noted on the most		
		No	
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete			
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.05	50, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or	İ	
		No	
FRANK DELGADO	7/10/2008		
			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	7/2009		
	1/2009		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection		
r · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
COMMENTS: A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST WAS CONDUC	CTED BY CHADI IE DODEDTSON ON THE CENTRAL I	ТЭПСТ	
COLLECTOR. RAY GORDON AND MARQUES LOPEZ ACC		Moi	
1 COLLECTOR, RAT GORDON AND MARQUED LOT LE MEE	OMPANIED ME IN THIS HIST LCTION.		

THE VE TEST STARTED AT 12:24 PM. THE SILO WAS LOADED AT MORE THAN 25 TONS PER HOUR AND 10 PSI. WE DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS DURING THE 30 MINUTES VE TEST.

WE DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE PARTICULATES AROUND THE PLANT.