

## **HUMAN CREMATORY**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCO	VERY (CI)	
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT	NO:	
AIRS ID#: 0710245 DA	TE: <u>03/11/09</u>	ARRIVE: <u>13:15</u>	DEPART: <u>15:00</u>	
FACILITY NAME: CA	PE CORAL			
FACILITY LOCATION	3740 Del Prado Blvd.			
	CAPE CORAL 33904			
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	D REPRESENTATIVE: MIC	CHAEL FULLER PHO	<b>NE:</b> (239)542-3161	
CONTACT NAME:		РНО	NE:	
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	OD: 4/8/2006 / 4/8/2011 (effective date) (end date)			
PART I: INSPECTION	CE MINOR Non-COMI		CANT Non-COMPLIANCE	E
<u> </u>				
PART II: TESTING/RE	CORDKEEPING REQUIRED	MENTS – Rule 62-296.401,	, F.A.C.	
PART II: TESTING/RE (check ☑ appropriate		<u>MENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401,	, F.A.C.	
<ul><li>(check  appropriat</li><li>1. Were there any ob</li></ul>	te box(es))  vjectionable odor(s) detected?			☐ Yes ⊠ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriat</li> <li>Were there any ob</li> <li>Was a visible emis</li> </ol>	te box(es))	site visit according to EPA	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	☐ Yes ⊠ No ☑Yes ☐ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriat</li> <li>Were there any ob</li> <li>Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?-</li> <li>In order to demonstrate</li> </ol>	te box(es))  pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complian	site visit according to EPA	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60	
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriat</li> <li>Were there any ob</li> <li>Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?-</li> <li>In order to demons days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.4016)</li> </ol>	te box(es))  spectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this	nce, was an annual visible eron, and within 60 days prior	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date?	
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriat</li> <li>Were there any ob</li> <li>Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?-</li> <li>In order to demons days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.401)</li> <li>In order to demons</li> </ol>	te box(es))  pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complian AGP Notification form submission	nce, was an annual visible eron, and within 60 days prior	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? cicable standards testing	<ul><li>✓Yes ☐ No</li><li>✓Yes ☐ No</li></ul>
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriat</li> <li>Were there any ob</li> <li>Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?-</li> <li>In order to demons days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.401)</li> <li>In order to demons completed within a) Carbon Monox</li> </ol>	te box(es))  spectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this	nce, was an annual visible er on, and within 60 days prior nce were the remaining application form submission? (Ribbelow the requirements of 10	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? icable standards testing ule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) on parts per million by	<ul><li>✓Yes ☐ No</li><li>✓Yes ☐ No</li></ul>
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriated)</li> <li>Were there any obenominated</li> <li>Was a visible emission of the Action of the Action</li></ol>	te box(es))  spectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this	nce, was an annual visible er on, and within 60 days prior nce were the remaining application form submission? (Ribelow the requirements of 10 by average basis and tested according to EPA	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? icable standards testing ule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by ccording to EPA Method	<ul><li>Yes □ No</li><li>Yes □ No</li><li>□Yes □ No</li><li>□Yes □ No</li></ul>
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriated)</li> <li>Were there any obenominated</li> <li>Was a visible emission of the Action of the Action</li></ol>	te box(es))  spectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this	nce, was an annual visible er on, and within 60 days prior nce were the remaining application form submission? (Ribelow the requirements of 10 days average basis and tested action of 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, qual to or below the requirements of 10 days average basis and tested action of 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, qual to or below the requirements of 10 days are the same action of 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, qual to or below the requirements of 10 days are the same action of 10 days prior a	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? dicable standards testing ule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Oparts per million by ccording to EPA Method F.A.C.)?	<ul><li>Yes ☐ No</li><li>Yes ☐ No</li><li>☐Yes ☐ No</li></ul>
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriated)</li> <li>Were there any obenomes</li> <li>Was a visible emission of the Action of t</li></ol>	te box(es))  spectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this	nce, was an annual visible er on, and within 60 days prior nce were the remaining application form submission? (Ribelow the requirements of 10 dy average basis and tested action of 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, qual to or below the requirements of 7% O <sub>2</sub> and tested according to 2.	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? cicable standards testing ule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by ccording to EPA Method F.A.C.)? ments of 0.080 grains per ug to EPA Method 5	Yes ☐ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriated)</li> <li>Were there any obenomes</li> <li>Was a visible emission of 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>In order to demonstate days prior to the Action of Carbon Monostate of Carbon Monos</li></ol>	pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complian AGP Notification form submission (5)(i), F.A.C.) strate individual source complian 60 days prior to the AGP Notification (CO) emissions equal to or logarithms, corrected to 7% O <sub>2</sub> on an hourl 62-297, F.A.C.)?	nce, was an annual visible er on, and within 60 days prior nce were the remaining application form submission? (Rebelow the requirements of 10 days average basis and tested according to 7% O <sub>2</sub> and tested according to 2.	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? cicable standards testing ule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by ccording to EPA Method F.A.C.)? ments of 0.080 grains per ng to EPA Method 5	Yes □ No Yes □ No Yes □ No □Yes □ No
(check ☑ appropriate  1. Were there any ob  2. Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?-  3. In order to demons days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.4010  4. In order to demons completed within a) Carbon Monox volume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test pe c) Particulate mat dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter.62-  5. Was all emissions capacity?	te box(es))  spectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this	nce, was an annual visible er on, and within 60 days prior nce were the remaining application form submission? (Ribelow the requirements of 10 days average basis and tested action of 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, qual to or below the requirements of 7% O <sub>2</sub> and tested according to 7% O <sub>2</sub> and tested according to the requirements of 10 days or below the requirements of 10 days prior and tested according to 10 days prior and	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date. missions	Yes   No   No   No   Yes   No   No   No   Yes   No   No   No   No   No   No   No   N
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriated)</li> <li>Were there any obenomes</li> <li>Was a visible emission of 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>In order to demonstate demonstate of 62-296.4010</li> <li>In order to demonstate of 62-296.4010</li> <li>In order to demonstate of 62-296.4010</li> <li>In order to demonstate of 62-296.4010</li> <li>Carbon Monostate volume, dry basis, 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test per c) Particulate material dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter. 62-5. Was all emissions capacity?</li></ol>	pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complian AGP Notification form submission (5)(i), F.A.C.) strate individual source complian 60 days prior to the AGP Notification (CO) emissions equal to or logarithms, corrected to 7% O <sub>2</sub> on an hourl 62-297, F.A.C.)?	nce, was an annual visible er on, and within 60 days prior nce were the remaining application form submission? (Ribelow the requirements of 10 days average basis and tested according to 7% O <sub>2</sub> and tested according to 7% O <sub>2</sub> and tested according to 10 days of a test report for an to the date of the last forma	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? icable standards testing ule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by ccording to EPA Method F.A.C.)? ments of 0.080 grains per ug to EPA Method 5 urers recommended identical crematory unit? I compliance test?	Yes   No   No   No   Yes   No   No   Yes   No   No   Yes   No   No   Yes   No   No   Yes   No   No   No   No   No   No   No   N

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is there <b>Continuous Emissions Monitoring System</b> (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record	temperatures in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co	
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the re-	
	cording of such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	∐Yes ∐ No
2) Monitoring device	
3) Performance Testing Measurements	
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	∐Yes ∐ No
6) Adjustments	
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	∐Yes ∐ No
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	∐Yes ∐ No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one <b>☑</b> box)	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
3. If constructed <b>BEFORE</b> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ <b>1600°F</b> ?	⊠Yes □ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes □ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	⊠Yes ☐ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	⊠Yes □ No
4. If constructed <b>ON</b> or <b>AFTER</b> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence tin	ne
@ 1800° F?	☐Yes ☐ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	□Yes □ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic	
process begins in the primary chamber?	∏Yes ∏ No
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	⊠Yes □ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	☐Yes ☐ No
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	
this location?	∐Yes ⊠ No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	⊠Yes □ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the du	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	⊠Yes □ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUMA. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>RES</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.			
1. Since the last inspection has there been  a) installation of any new process equipment?				
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?				
Wayne Lewis	03/11/09			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	03/11/10			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
COMMENTS:				