




CAST POLYMER OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
 RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:

AIRS ID#: 0951270 **DATE:** 7/14/2008 **ARRIVE:** 10:30 AM **DEPART:** 11:30 AM

FACILITY NAME: MILLENIUM CULTURED MARBLE

FACILITY LOCATION: 4249 LB MCLEOD
 ORLANDO 32811-5600

OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: David Patel **PHONE:** (407)832-8836

CONTACT NAME: N/A **PHONE:** (

ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/11/2004 / 3/11/2009
 (effective date) (end date)

PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)

IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

PART II: CONTROL TECHNOLOGY/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.
 (check appropriate box(es))

- Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the cast polymer operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)6.a., F.A.C.) Yes No
- Does the facility comply with the objectionable odor prohibition of subsection 62-296.320(2), F.A.C. and not cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor?----- Yes No
- Does the combined quantity of styrene containing resin and gel-coat used exceed 284,000 pounds (142 tons) in any consecutive twelve month period? (Chapter 62-210.300(3)(c)6.c., F.A.C.)----- Yes No
- Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the quantity of resin and gel-coat used on a monthly basis? (Chapter 62-210.300(3)(c)6.d., F.A.C.)----- Yes No
- Does the owner/operator retain, and make available for Department inspection, these records for a period of at least five years? (Chapter 62-210.300(3)(c)6.d., F.A.C.)----- Yes No
- Is this cast polymer operation subject to a volatile organic compound (VOC) Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)6.b., F.A.C.)----- Yes No

PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.

(check appropriate box(es))

1. Does the owner or operator voluntarily encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees involved in product fabrication on methods of reducing evaporative losses by:
 - a) lessening the exposure of fresh resin surfaces to the air?----- Yes No
 - b) maintaining spray lay-up equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray? Yes No
 - c) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive resin/get coat application?----- Yes No
 - d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?----- Yes No
 - e) managing cleanup solvents?----- Yes No
2. Does the owner or operator make every reasonable effort to conduct the specific activity authorized by the general permit in a manner that minimizes adverse effects on adjacent property or on public use of the adjacent property, where applicable, and on the environment, including fish, wildlife, natural resources, water quality, or air quality?----- Yes No
3. Does the owner or operator maintain the permitted facility, emission unit, or activity in good condition? Yes No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.

(check appropriate box(es))

A. New or Modified Process Equipment

1. Since the last inspection has there been
 - a) installation of any new process equipment?----- Yes No
 - b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?----- Yes No
 - c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?----- Yes No
 - d) If you answered **YES** to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?----- Yes No

Bill Rhodes & Norma Ali

7/14/2008

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

N/A

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Bill Rhodes & Norma Ali, representing OCEPD, attempted to perform an INS2 at the facility. Upon arriving, the business was closed and no one was present. Further inquiry revealed that the business had been closed for quite a while (information obtained from the neighboring businesses). Phone calls were made to Mr. Patel to gather information as to the closing date and any possibility of re-opening. Voicemail was left informing that he would be out of the country until after August 5, 2008. Three (3) phone calls were made after August 5, 2008, and contact was made on September 5, 2008. Mr. Patel noted that the business had been closed since December 2007, and would not reopen. OCEPD noted that the permit would expire on March 11, 2009, and no additional information was necessary.