

## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)  RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY ( ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
	10 11 (1 e 1)		
<b>AIRS ID#:</b> 1030470 001	<b>DATE:</b> _5/19/06	<b>ARRIVE:</b> <u>11:00 PM</u>	<b>DEPART:</b> 12:00 PM
FACILITY NAME: Flo	rida Jr. Mix		
FACILITY LOCATION	: 13015 40th Street North		
	Clearwater, FL		
RESPONSIBLE OFFIC	IAL: <u>Terry Dailey</u> ?	<b>PHONE:</b> 72	27-560-6368
CONTACT NAME: Te	rry Dailey?	PHONE: 72	27-560-6368
REMITTANCE YEAR:	N/A ENTITLE	MENT PERIOD: 2/10/2000 (effective date)	/ 02/10/05 (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS (che	ck <b>☑</b> only one box)	
☐ IN COMPLIANC	E MINOR Non-COMPL	IANCE SIGNIFICANT No	on-COMPLIANCE
PART II: TESTING/RE (check ☑ appropriat		<u> IENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.	
(check 🗹 appropriat		<u>IENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.	
(check ☑ appropriat  Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emiss	e box(es)) sions tests conducted during this s	site visit according to EPA Method	9 (Ref.: Chapter
(check ☑ appropriat  Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)?	e box(es)) sions tests conducted during this s		9 (Ref.: Chapter □Yes ☑ No
(check ☑ appropriat  Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from controlled to the e	e box(es)) sions tests conducted during this s m silos, weigh hoppers (batchers) xtent necessary to limit visible en	site visit according to EPA Method, and other enclosed storage and conissions to 5 percent opacity?	9 (Ref.: Chapter □Yes □ No onveying equipment □Yes □ No
(check ☑ appropriat  Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from controlled to the e 3. During visible emist a rate that is rep	sions tests conducted during this s m silos, weigh hoppers (batchers) xtent necessary to limit visible en issions tests of the silo dust collectoresentative of the normal silo load	site visit according to EPA Method , and other enclosed storage and conissions to 5 percent opacity? ctor exhaust points was the loading ding rate, or at least at the minimur	9 (Ref.: Chapter
(check ☑ appropriat  Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from controlled to the e 3. During visible emist a rate that is rep unless such rate is	sions tests conducted during this s m silos, weigh hoppers (batchers) xtent necessary to limit visible en issions tests of the silo dust collectoresentative of the normal silo load unachievable in practice?	site visit according to EPA Method , and other enclosed storage and conissions to 5 percent opacity? etor exhaust points was the loading ding rate, or at least at the minimur	9 (Ref.: Chapter
(check ☑ appropriat  Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)?-  2. Are emissions from controlled to the e  3. During visible emiss at a rate that is repunless such rate is  4. Are emissions from to this question is	sions tests conducted during this seems silos, weigh hoppers (batchers) xtent necessary to limit visible en issions tests of the silo dust collectoresentative of the normal silo load unachievable in practice?	and other enclosed storage and consissions to 5 percent opacity? ctor exhaust points was the loading ding rate, or at least at the minimum cration controlled by the silo dust coons 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer	9 (Ref.: Chapter
(check ☑ appropriat  Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)?-  2. Are emissions from controlled to the e  3. During visible emiss at a rate that is repunless such rate is  4. Are emissions from to this question is skip 4.a) and 4.b)	sions tests conducted during this seems silos, weigh hoppers (batchers) attent necessary to limit visible en issions tests of the silo dust collectoresentative of the normal silo load unachievable in practice?	and other enclosed storage and consissions to 5 percent opacity?	9 (Ref.: Chapter
(check ☑ appropriat  Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)?-  2. Are emissions from controlled to the e  3. During visible emiss at a rate that is repunless such rate is  4. Are emissions from to this question is skip 4.a) and 4.b)  a) Was the batchin b) During the visi	sions tests conducted during this s m silos, weigh hoppers (batchers) xtent necessary to limit visible en issions tests of the silo dust collect resentative of the normal silo load unachievable in practice? m the weigh hopper (batcher) ope "Yes", then continue on to question and continue on to question 5.) ng operation in operation during to ble emissions test, was the batchi	site visit according to EPA Method  , and other enclosed storage and conissions to 5 percent opacity? etor exhaust points was the loading ding rate, or at least at the minimum eration controlled by the silo dust cons 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer the visible emissions test? ng rate representative of the norma	9 (Ref.: Chapter
(check ☑ appropriat  Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)?-  2. Are emissions from controlled to the e  3. During visible emat a rate that is repunless such rate is  4. Are emissions from to this question is skip 4.a) and 4.b)  a) Was the batchib) During the visiduration?  5. If emissions from	sions tests conducted during this seems silos, weigh hoppers (batchers) xtent necessary to limit visible en issions tests of the silo dust collectoresentative of the normal silo load unachievable in practice?	site visit according to EPA Method  , and other enclosed storage and consissions to 5 percent opacity? ctor exhaust points was the loading ding rate, or at least at the minimum eration controlled by the silo dust coons 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer the visible emissions test? ng rate representative of the normation are controlled by a dust collection.	9 (Ref.: Chapter
<ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate</li> <li>Stack Emissions</li> <li>1. Were visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)?-2. Are emissions from controlled to the e</li> <li>3. During visible emat a rate that is repunless such rate is</li> <li>4. Are emissions from to this question is skip 4.a) and 4.b)</li> <li>a) Was the batchib During the visit duration?</li> <li>5. If emissions from from the silo dust</li> </ul>	sions tests conducted during this seems silos, weigh hoppers (batchers) xtent necessary to limit visible en issions tests of the silo dust collectoresentative of the normal silo load unachievable in practice?	site visit according to EPA Method, and other enclosed storage and consissions to 5 percent opacity?	9 (Ref.: Chapter

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)	
1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the	
annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	⊻Yes □ No
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)	
2. Did this facility demonstrate:	
	□Yes □ No
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form	
submittal date?	∃Yes □ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)	
3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	⊠Yes □ No
<b>Test Reports</b> – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)	
4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the	:
test was completed?	≺Yes □ No
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))	
<ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐</li> </ul>	
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))	
<ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> </ul>	
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing</li> </ol>	
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>,</li> </ol>	
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li> </ol>	]Yes ⊠ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	]Yes ⊠ No
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i> )  2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , <i>then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d)</i> , <i>below.</i> )————————————————————————————————————	]Yes ⊠ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>	]Yes ⊠ No ]Yes ⊠ No
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i> )  2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	]Yes ⊠ No ]Yes ⊠ No ]Yes □ No
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i> )  2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , <i>then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d)</i> , <i>below.</i> )————————————————————————————————————	]Yes ⊠ No ]Yes ⊠ No ]Yes □ No ]Yes □ No
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i> )  2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , <i>then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.</i> )————————————————————————————————————	]Yes ⊠ No ]Yes ⊠ No ]Yes □ No ]Yes □ No ]Yes □ No
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i> )  2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , <i>then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d)</i> , <i>below.</i> )————————————————————————————————————	]Yes

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMEN	NTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)	
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))	<del>_</del>	1
		ļ
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)		ŀ
Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take     missions by:	reasonable precautions to control unconfined	ļ
emissions by:	f the following	ļ
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and ya		1
	ck piles, and yards? \(\sum \text{Yes} \) No	ļ
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-supersisting?		)'
emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other pave		1
		)'
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to r		)'
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind	d breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of \Boxed{Yes} \Boxed{No} No	Į'
		)'
b) use of spray par, chute, or partial enclosure to infugate c	emissions at the drop point to the truck? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No	)'
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - R	<del>ule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.</del>	
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u> </u>	ļ
		J
Since the last inspection has there been		I
a) installation of any new process equipment?		J
b) alterations to existing process equipment without repl	lacement? Yes No	I
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially differ	erent than that noted on the most	ļ
recent notification form?		ŀ
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the own		ľ
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050,	, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or	1
local program office?	Yes No	
<u> </u>		_
Mike Ojo Thomas		
Mine Ojo Thomas	5/19/06	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
Inspector 5 (2.2.2.2.2.2.2	Dute of Inspection	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
	-	
<b>COMMENTS:</b> Florida Jr. Mix was found to be operating an emiss	sion unit (cement storage silo) without a permit. The operating	

**COMMENTS:** Florida Jr. Mix was found to be operating an emission unit (cement storage silo) without a permit. The operating general permit for this emission unit had expired on 2/10/2005. A new "Air General Permit Notification Form" had not been received by the AQ Division. I informed Mr. Terry Dailey (owner), this was a possible violation and there was a possibility of penalties and he would also have to apply for permit for operation. The emission unit was not in operation (No pneumatic loading of silo at the time). No visible emission test was performed.

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT

FA	CL	LIT	Y: Florida Jr. Mix	<b>Per_ID:</b> 1691	DISTRICT:
					Southwest
AL	DF	RESS	S: 13015 40th Street North		CONTACT: Ms. Dailey
Clearwater, FL			Clearwater, FL		Phone No: 727-560-6368
AR	MS	S No	. <del>.</del>	PERMIT NO.:	EXPIRATION DATE:
1	103	0470	0 001	1030470-001-AG	02/10/05
			N UNIT DESCRIPTION: Polled by a Belgrade Steel & Manu		Steel & Manufacturing, Inc. 200 Barrel Storage
IN	SPI	ECT	ION DATE:	ARMS INSPECTION TYPE:	COMPLIANCE STATUS:
	5/	19/0	6	☑INS2 or ☐INS	□IN □MNC ⊠SNC
	Ту	pe o	f Inspection:   ☐ Initial	☐Re-inspection ☐Complai	int Drive-by Quarterly
				A. General Review:	
1.	_		nit File Review		∑Yes ☐ No
2.		Intro	oduction and Entry		⊠Yes □ No
		Con	nments: I met with the facility co	ontact, co-owner Mrs. Dailey onsite.	
3.			ne Authorized Representative	still: <u>Terry Dailey</u> ?	⊠Yes □ No
4.			he facility contact still: Terry D	ailey?	⊠Yes □ No
		Con	nments:		
I	M N	S N			
N	C	C		B. Specific Conditions	
				(DEP Form No.62-21 0.900(6)) to the De	osing to change location shall submit a Facility epartment at least 30 days prior to relocation;
			Comments: This facility is no	t a relocatable concrete batch plant.	
			The owner or operator of a stat	tionary concrete batching plant using an	air general permit may operate, or allow the
K—3			operation of, one or more relo same location as the concrete total combined annual facility material processed is less than by weight. The owner or oper- consumption and material pro	catable nonmetallic mineral processing patching plant provided the resultant factories and full usage of all plants is less the 10 million tons per calendar year, and that or of the concrete batching plant shall results.	plants using individual air general permits at the ility contains no additional nonexempt units, the han 240,000 gallons per calendar year, the he fuel oil sulfur content does not exceed 0.5%, maintain a log book to account for fuel certifications shall be maintained to account for
			Comments: The facility does _N/A	not operate a nonmetallic mineral proce	essing plant on-site under general permit No.

		~	
_	M N	S N	
N	C	C	B. Specific Conditions
			Emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment shall be controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity. [62-296.414(1), F.A.C.]
			Comments: The last annual visible emissions test, conducted on $4/19/06$ demonstrated an opacity Of $0\_\%$ .
			An AQD VE test was performed during this site visit $\Box$ Yes or $\sqrt{\Box}$ No. An opacity of N/A_% was observed. Note: <b>The</b>
			emission unit was not in operation (No pneumatic loading of silo at the time).
			<ul> <li>Unconfined Emissions. The owner or operator shall take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions from hoppers, storage and conveying equipment, conveyor drop points, truck loading and unloading, roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards as required by Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C. For concrete batching plants the following shall constitute reasonable precautions: <ul> <li>(a) Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:</li> <li>1. Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, and yards.</li> <li>2. Application of water or environmentally safe dust- suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions.</li> <li>3. Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner or operator to mitigate re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter.</li> <li>4. Reduction of stock pile height or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles.</li> <li>(b) Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck.</li> </ul> </li> <li>[62-296.414(2)]</li> <li>Comments: The yard damped, there were no unconfined emissions, from site activity at the time of inspection.</li> </ul>
			Visible emissions tests of silo dust collector exhaust points shall be conducted while loading the silo at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate. The minimum loading rate shall be 25 tons per hour unless such rate is unachievable in practice. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are also controlled by the silo dust collector, the batching operation shall be in operation during the visible emissions test. The batching rate during the emissions test shall be representative of the normal batching rate and duration. Each test report shall state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing and, if applicable, whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing. [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.]  Comments: The last test, on 4/19/06, was conducted at a process rate of 25 tph. Based on that test, the facility process rate was limited to 25 tph.
			If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which is separate from the silo dust collector, visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector exhaust point shall be conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration. Each test report shall state the actual batching rate during emissions testing. [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]  Comments: Emissions from the weigh hopper are not controlled by a separate dust collector.
			A separate test <b>n/a</b> was <b>n/a</b> was not conducted at the appropriate rate.

I	M N	S N	
N	C	C	B. Specific Conditions
			Each dust collector exhaust point shall be tested annually. New facilities permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits, shall demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation, and annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date. Existing facilities permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits, shall demonstrate compliance within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form and within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date. [62-296.414(4), F.A.C.]  Comments: The test should be completed between 11/10/05 and 1/10/06. The last test was conducted on 4/19/06, and the test results were submitted on 4/28/06.
$\boxtimes$			Test Reports The required test report shall be filed with the PCDEM as soon as practical but no later than 45 days after the test is completed. [Rules 62-213.440 and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.]
			Comments: The last test was conducted on 4/19/06, and the test results were submitted on 4/28/06.
			<ul> <li>The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&amp;M) plan. The O&amp;M plan shall include, but is not limited to: <ol> <li>Operating parameters of the pollution control device;</li> <li>Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer;</li> <li>Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation;</li> <li>A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant;</li> <li>A record log which will indicate, at a minimum: <ol> <li>When maintenance and observations were performed;</li> <li>What maintenance and observations were performed; and</li> <li>Who performed said maintenance and observations.</li> <li>Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check.</li> </ol> </li> <li>[Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]</li> </ol></li></ul> <li>Comments: Reviewed records for the months of 1/2/05 through 5/19/06. The records show the silo was pneumatic loaded four times.</li>
			C. General Procedure Requirements and Conditions
			Administrative Corrections. Within 30 days of any changes requiring corrections to information contained in the notification form, the owner or operator shall notify the Department in writing. Such changes shall include:  a. Any change in the name of the authorized representative or facility address or phone number; or  b. Any other similar minor administrative change at the facility or emissions unit.  [62-210.300(4)(d)3., F.A.C.]  Comments: No facility changes.
			Equipment Changes. In case of the installation of new process equipment, alteration of existing process equipment without replacement, or the replacement of existing process equipment with equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form, the owner or operator shall submit a new and complete general permit notification form with the appropriate fee pursuant to Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C., to the Department.  [62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.]  Comments: This is non applicable at this time.

			A permittee's use of a general permit is limited to five years. No later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the filing of intent to use the general permit, the owner or operator shall submit a new notice of intent which shall contain all current information regarding the facility or emissions unit. Eligibility to use the general permit is not transferable and does not follow a change in ownership of the facility or emissions unit. Prior to any sale, other change of ownership, or permanent shutdown of the facility, the owner or operator is encouraged to notify the Department of the pending action. The owner shall remain liable for corrective actions that may be required as a result of any violations occurring in the time after the sale or legal transfer of the facility or emissions unit, but before a new owner is entitled to use an air general permit.  [General Conditions - 62-210.300(4)(e)1., F.A.C.]  Comments: The permit expires on 02/10/05. A new notification form is required to be submitted no later than 12/12/04.
			D. Other:
	_		nference $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$
gen rece pen of s	eral eive altie	per d by es ar at th	ments: Florida Jr. Mix was found to be operating an emission unit (cement storage silo) without a permit. The operating mit for this emission unit had expired on 2/10/2005. A new "Air General Permit Notification Form" had not been the AQ Division. I informed Mr. Terry Dailey (owner), this was a possible violation and there was a possibility of dhe would also have to apply for permit for operation. The emission unit was not in operation (No pneumatic loading e time). No visible emission test was performed. Mr. Dailey stated he would consult with his consultant to apply for a
Ins	pect	or(s	s): Mike Ojo Thomas, Pinellas County, Air Quality Division
Sign	natı	ıre(	Date: 5/23/06

CONTACT LOG? \_\_\_Yes\_, ACCESS? \_\_Yes\_\_, ARMs? \_Yes\_ H:\USERS\WPDOCS\Airqual\Air\_Compliance\AQI\1030470 001 52393.doc