



**FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION**

160 W GOVERNMENT STREET, SUITE 308
PENSACOLA, FLORIDA 32502-5740

RICK SCOTT
GOVERNOR

CARLOS LOPEZ-CANTERA
LT. GOVERNOR

HERSCHEL T. VINYARD JR.
SECRETARY

July 15, 2014

Mr. Charles Hall, President
CBH Properties, Inc.
4200 East Highway 20
Niceville, Florida 32578
cbh327@gmail.com

Re: Bayside Cleaners
Facility ID Number 0910082
Okaloosa County

Dear Mr. Hall:

Department personnel conducted a compliance inspection of the above-referenced facility on June 19, 2014. Based on the information provided during the inspection, the facility was determined to be in compliance. A copy of the inspection report is attached for your records.

The Department appreciates your efforts to maintain this facility in compliance with state and federal rules. If you have any questions or comments, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at (850) 595-0662 or via e-mail at jennifer.waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads 'Kenneth Dickey'.

Kenneth Dickey
Environmental Manager
Compliance Assurance Program
Northwest District
Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Enclosure: Inspection Report

KD/jw/c



PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
 RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:

AIRS ID#: 0910082 **DATE:** 6/19/14 **ARRIVE:** 1:10 PM **DEPART:** 1:59 PM

FACILITY NAME: BAYSIDE CLEANERS

FACILITY LOCATION: 4200 E Hwy 20
NICEVILLE 32578-7705

OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: CHARLES HALL **PHONE:** (850)897-8054
Email: cbh327@gmail.com **Mobile:**

CONTACT NAME: **PHONE:**
Email: **Mobile:**

ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/12/2013 / 9/12/2018
 (effective date) (end date)

PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)

IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

PART II: FACILITY CLASSIFICATION - Rule 62-213.300 FAC
 (check only one box in A)

A. 1. Existing small area source
 dry-to-dry only, $x < 140$ gal/yr
 transfer only, $x < 200$ gal/yr
 both types, $x < 140$ gal/yr
 (constructed before 12/9/91)

2. New small area source
 dry-to-dry only, $x < 140$ gal/yr
 transfer only, $x < 200$ gal/yr
 both types, $x < 140$ gal/yr
 (constructed on or after 12/9/91)

3. Existing large area source
 dry-to-dry only, $140 \leq x \leq 2,100$ gal/yr
 transfer only, $200 \leq x \leq 1,800$ gal/yr
 both types, $140 \leq x \leq 1,800$ gal/yr
 (constructed before 12/9/91)

4. New large area source
 dry-to-dry only, $140 \leq x \leq 2,100$ gal/yr
 transfer only, $200 \leq x \leq 1,800$ gal/yr
 both types, $140 \leq x \leq 1,800$ gal/yr
 (constructed on or after 12/9/91)

5. Ineligible for General Permit
 d rop store/out of business/petroleum /
 facility exceeds above limits

B. The sum of the volume of all perchloroethylene (perc) purchases made in each of the previous 12 months by this dry cleaning facility was 120.00 gallons.

PART III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC

(check only one box for each question)

1. Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers? ----- Yes No N/A
2. Are all perc. containers leak free? ----- Yes No N/A
3. Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading? ----- Yes No
4. Are cartridge filters drained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal? ----- Yes No N/A
5. Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions. ----- Yes No N/A
6. Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications? ----- Yes No N/A

PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC

(Refer to Part II-A.1.-4. Classification: page 1 of 4, this form)

1. If the facility classification is an **existing small area source**, no controls are required. **Proceed to Part V.**
2. If the facility classification is a **new small area source**, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. **Complete section A. below.**
3. If the facility classification is an **existing large area source**, the machine should be equipped with either a refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. **Complete both sections A and B below.** *Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993*
4. If the facility classification is a **new large area source**, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. **Complete both sections A and B below.**

A. Has the responsible official of all existing large area & new sources:

(check only one box for each question)

1. Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls? ----- Yes No
2. Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system? ----- Yes No N/A
3. Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door? ----- Yes No N/A
4. Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis? ----- Yes No N/A
5. Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F? ----- Yes No N/A
6. Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged? ----- Yes No

PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)

B. For all existing large or new large area sources:

1. Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis? ----- Yes No
2. Is the washer exhaust temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly? ----- Yes No N/A
- a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F? ----- Yes No N/A
3. Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber? ----- Yes No N/A
- a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm? ----- Yes No N/A
4. Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet? ----- Yes No N/A
5. Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils? ----- Yes No N/A
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? ----- Yes No N/A

PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC

(check only one box for each question)

1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ----- Yes No
2. Are rolling monthly totals of yearly perc consumption maintained? ----- Yes No
3. Are leak detection inspection and repair reports maintained for the following:
- a) Of any leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or; ----- Yes No N/A
- b) Of any parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days and parts installed w/in 5 days of receipt? ----- Yes No N/A
4. Is calibration data maintained for applicable direct reading instruments? ----- Yes No N/A
5. Is exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations maintained? ----- Yes No N/A
6. Is a startup/shutdown/malfunction plan maintained for each machine? ----- Yes No
7. Are deviation reports maintained? ----- Yes No N/A
- a) Problem corrected? ----- Yes No N/A
8. Is a compliance plan maintained, if applicable? ----- Yes No N/A

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC

(check only one box for each question)

1. What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?
 Halogenated hydrocarbon detector PCE gas analyzer None used
2. Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to the manufacturer's instructions (*manual was available and RO could demonstrate procedure*) ? ----- Yes No
3. For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to EPA Method 21 ?- ----- Yes No N/A
4. Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along the interface periphery? ----- Yes No
5. Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (*based on documented specifications*) ? ----- Yes No N/A
6. Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (*based on documented specifications*) and indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? ----- Yes No N/A
7. Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, smell or touch) while the system is in operation (§63.322(k))?
(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for inspection of perceptible leaks)

a) Hose connections, fittings, couplings, and valves -----	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	g) Muck cookers -----	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
b) Door gaskets and seating -----	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	h) Stills -----	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
c) Filter gaskets and seating -----	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	i) Exhaust dampers -----	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
d) Pumps -----	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	j) Diverter valves -----	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
e) Solvent tanks and containers --	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	k) Cartridge filter housings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
f) Water separators -----	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A				
8. Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (*Any inspection conducted according to this paragraph shall satisfy the requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under §63.322(k) or (l)*)

a) Hose connections, fittings, couplings, and valves -----	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	g) Muck cookers -----	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
b) Door gaskets and seating -----	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	h) Stills -----	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
c) Filter gaskets and seating -----	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	i) Exhaust dampers -----	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
d) Pumps -----	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	j) Diverter valves -----	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
e) Solvent tanks and containers --	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	k) Cartridge filter housings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
f) Water separators -----	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A				

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)

9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as required?

Leak log documentation RO Assurances On-site observation other


Explain other :

Jennifer Waltrip

June 19, 2014

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection



Between October 2014 and September 2019

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: On June 19, 2014 Department personnel conducted an air compliance inspection at Bayside Cleaners in Okaloosa County. The Department would like to thank Mr. Charles Hall and Ms. Sheryl Patnaude for their assistance during and after the inspection.

Mr. Hall was not onsite and the machine was not in operation during the inspection. Ms. Patnaude was able to supply records and give a tour of the facility. Mr. Hall was available over the phone to assist with the records review and to confirm use of the leak detector.

Records of temperature and leak checks were well maintained.

Mr. Hall has chosen to record his data in his own format instead of using the Department's Dry Cleaner Calendar. Mr. Hall's method of recording the 12-month rolling totals for perc was done in a way that increased the opportunity for error when transcribing information from one month or year to the next. Invoices for perc purchases were well maintained and when comparing them to the 12-month rolling totals a few errors were noted. I worked with Mr. Hall to develop a tracking system that was easy for him to follow and also effective at documenting the 12-month rolling totals. A follow-up inspection was conducted on July 3, 2014 to implement the new tracking sheet and to ensure that all records were accurate.