

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) 🛛 COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) 🗌					
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
]				
AIRS ID#: 1010365 DATE: <u>10-12-11</u> ARRIVE: <u>11:00AM</u> DEPART:	11:35AM				
FACILITY NAME: TRINITY MEMORIAL GARDENS					
FACILITY LOCATION: 12609 MEMORIAL DR					
NEW PORT RICHEY 34655					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: LISA SOMMERS PHONE: (727)376-782	4				
Email: Mobile: CONTACT NAME: LISA SOMMERS PHONE: (727)376-782	4				
Email: Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/1/2009 / 3/1/2014					
(effective date) (end date)					
T. 411. G4					
Facility Section					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)					
☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPL	IANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check ☑ only one				
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Mr. Eugene Sicuranza	box for each question)				
Brief Notes: Cremation Unit Operator	7				
2. Is the Authorized Representative still LISA SOMMERS?	⊠ Yes □No				
If no, who is?:	Z 103				
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still LISA SOMMERS? If no, who is?:	☐ Yes ☐No ☑ Yes ☐No				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	☐ Yes				

Emissions Unit Section 1 - Human Crematory-primary&secondary chmbrs,LPgas fired,150#/hr

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989? b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the	⊠ Yes	□No
secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	⊠ Yes □ Yes	□No ⊠No
4. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	- X Yes - X Yes	□No □No
operation? \(\sime\) N/A d. Date of last VE test: 2-22-11	☐ Yes	□No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?	- X Yes X Yes	□No □No
PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized cadaver? b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	- Yes	⊠No □No □No
c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average. d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		□No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?		NoNoNo
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO stand	ards?	⊠No
If yes, what reason?		
PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected? An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Downwind odor level detected- Wind direction - Upwind odor level detected-	Yes (1-10)	⊠No
Continuous Monitoring Systems — Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? ————————————————————————————————————	- X Yes	□No
time at \(\sum 1,800^1 \) \(\sum 1,600^2 \) degrees was determined?	- 🛚 Yes	□No

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)			
c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? 1) All temperature measurements	⊠ Ye	es	∏No
2) all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations 3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on (N/A)	X Ye	es es	No No No
5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	X Ye		□No □No
 d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	Ye		□No ⊠No
control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement? ————————————————————————————————————	Ye		□No
(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	⊠ Ye		□No
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(check box for		only one question)
If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cremat process begins in the primary chamber?			□No
 2. If the application to construct ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremat process begins in the primary chamber? 	⊠ Ye ion ⊠ Ye		□No □No
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	(check		only one question)
Other than human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	- 🗌 Ye	s	⊠No

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>		(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufac	turer's specifications?	⊠ Yes	□No		
 Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating proceshutdown and malfunction? Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame character of the plane of the		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Xes Xes	□No ⊠No		
a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once dub. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?			□No □No		
DADDLAN WAY THE COLON COLON LANCE COLON (1. 1		p	1		
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check					
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPL	IANCE			
Facility Section (continued)					
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES		(check ☑ box for each	only one		
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number or associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor address, or phone number of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor address. 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days. New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	on of the facility or any emissions unit ninistrative change at the facility? —— of the change? ————————————————————————————————————	ive not ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	 □No □No □No □No □No □No □No □No 		
Chris Haines	10-12-11				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
Clin Harman	10-12-14				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Insp	ection			

COMMENTS: I (Chris Haines) arrived at the facility at approximately 11:00AM and met with Mr. Eugene Sicuranza. I asked to see his cremation unit and the appropriate records. He took me back to the unit and showed me where he kept his records. The unit's interior bricks were a bit worn, but appeared to be in good working order. This unit also had an opacity monitor that Mr. Sicuranza kept up with. He told me that aside from a particular type of cherry-wood casket, he never sees smoke. The temperature and maintenance records that I reviewed (Jan 2010 to Current) were very well maintained and there was no evidence of violation. I then went over the permit to make sure that the correct information still applied. I gave Mr. Sicuranza my contact information to update his file from Mr. Panetta's last visit. I concluded me inspection at approximately 11:35AM.