

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Branch Office 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, MS 55 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll
Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

July 17, 2012

Walter Smallwood Mirror Cleaners 21 North Pat Thomas Parkway Quincy, Florida 32351-2200

Dear Mr. Smallwood:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The program identification number for this facility is **0390037**. The permit **expired on June 14, 2012**. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

The Tallahassee Branch Office reported a facility status of **Non Compliance** for the following:

• Your State of Florida Air Program Dry Cleaning permit has expired.

If you have not yet submitted your information for renewal, please contact the Department's Small Business Assistance Program at 1-800-722-7457 for further instructions on how to renew your permit.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. The inspection report and the Small Business Assistance letter are enclosed. Your facility status is also subject to further review by the District Program office. If you have any questions, your local contact is Tracy White at (850) 245-2960 or tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Marlane Castellanos

Maclase Castellanos

Branch Manager

MC/tw

Enclosures

cc: Rick Bradburn, FDEP, Pensacola

Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Carol Melton, FDEP



Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Bob Martinez Center 2600 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400 Governor

Jennifer Carroll
Lt. Governor

Rick Scott

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Our records indicate your Perchloroethylene Dry Cleaning Air General Permit (AGP) entitlement is set to expire.

Pursuant to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) Rule 62-210.310 or 62-213-300, Florida Administrative Code, your facility is entitled to operate under the AGP Program for no more than five (5) years.

To continue your entitlement, the contact representative can submit a new worksheet containing all current information regarding the facility no later than thirty (30) days prior to the expiration of your facility's current AGP entitlement.

You may obtain a copy of the appropriate worksheet from the FDEP Division of Air Resource Management webpage at:

http://www.dep.state.fl.us/air/emission/air_gp.htm

This worksheet is designed to satisfy your registration requirements. Simply click on your industry sector, and then click on the example worksheet and mail to the following address:

FDEP Receipts PO Box 3070 Tallahassee, Fl 32315-3070

Please submit the completed worksheet with the processing fee (\$100.00), payable to FDEP.

If you need additional information, please contact Douglas Thornton at (800) 722-7457 or by email at Small.Business@dep.state.fl.us



PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DI ARMS COMPLA	, , <u>—</u>
AIRS ID#: 0390037 DA	ΓΕ: <u>5/25/2012</u>	ARRIVE:	DEPART:
FACILITY NAME: MIR	RROR CLEANERS III		
FACILITY LOCATION	21 N PAT THOMAS	PKWY	
	QUINCY 32351-220	0	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIC	DREPRESENTATIVE: W DD: 6/14/2007 / 6/14/20 (effective date) (end date)		Mobile: (850)627-3750 Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:
PART I: INSPECTION IN COMPLIANCE	COMPLIANCE STATUS (CE MINOR Non-COM	`	NIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
	LASSIFICATION - Rule (only one box in A)	52-213.300 FAC	
transfer only, both types, x < (constructed by a constructed by a construc	y, x < 140 gal/yr x < 200 gal/yr < 140 gal/yr pefore 12/9/91)	transfer only, both types, x < (constructed o 4. New large are dry-to-dry only transfer only, both types, 14	y, x < 140 gal/yr x < 200 gal/yr < 140 gal/yr n or after 12/9/91)
B . The sum of the vecleaning facility vec		e (perc) purchases made	in each of the previous 12 months by this dry

PART III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC			check 🗹	only one question)
1. Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	N/A
2. Are all perc. containers leak free ?	\boxtimes	Yes	□ No	□ N/A
3. Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading?	\boxtimes	Yes	— □ No	
4. Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
5. Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.		Yes	☐ No	N/A
6. Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications?	. 🔲	Yes	☐ No	N/A
PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (Refer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page 1 of 4, this form)				
1. If the f acility classification is an <u>existing small area source</u> , no controls are required. I	Proce	ed to P	art V.	
2. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u> , the machine should be equipped condenser. Complete section A. below.	with	a refrig	gerated	
3. If the fa cility classification is an <u>existing large area source</u> , the machine should be equ refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below. <i>Must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993</i>				
4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u> , the machine should be equipped condenser. Complete both sections A and B below.	l with	a refri	gerated	
A. Has the responsible official of all existing large area & new sources:			check 🗹 ox for each o	-
1. Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	- 🗆	Yes	☐ No	
2. Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?		Yes	☐ No	N/A
3. Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?		Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
4. Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?		Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
5. Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?		Yes	□ No	□ N/A
6. Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?	. 🗆	Yes	□ No	

PA	ART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)						
В.	For all existing large or new large area sources: Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis?		Yes	□ N	lo		
2.	Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly?		Yes	□ N	lo	_	N/A
	a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F?	Ш	Yes	∐N	lo		N/A
3.	Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?		Yes	□ N	Ю		N/A
	a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?		Yes	□ N	lo		N/A
4.	Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?		Yes	□ N	lo		N/A
5.	Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?		Yes	□ N	lo		N/A
6.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes	□ N	lo		N/A
6.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes	□ N	Ю		N/A
6.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes	□ N	No		N/A
	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		(1	□ N check x for each	d or	nly o	ne
PA	ART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC		(1	check ✓ x for each	d or	nly o	ne
P A			(o bo	check ✓ x for each	or ch que	nly o	ne
1. 2.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		(u bo	check ☑ x for eac	or ch que	nly o	ne
1. 2.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		(u bo	check ☑ x for eac	or ch que Jo	nly or	ne
1. 2.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes	check 🔽 x for each	or ch qua	nly or	ne n)
1. 2. 3.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes	check 🔯 x for each	or ch que lo lo	nlly or estion	ne n) N/A
1. 2. 3.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes	check 🔽 x for each N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	or ch que lo lo lo	nnly or estion	ne n) N/A
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	check 🔽 x for each N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	or ch que lo lo lo	nnly or estion	ne n) N/A N/A
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	check 🔯 x for each	I or ch que lo lo lo lo lo lo	Inly or estion	ne n) N/A N/A
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	check 🛂 x for each N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	or ch que lo lo lo lo lo	maly or estion	ne n) N/A N/A N/A

PA	ART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC		(check 🗹	only one
1.	What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?	bo	question)	
	☐ Halogenated hydrocarbon detector ☐ PCE gas analyzer ☐ None used			
2.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to			
	the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate			
	procedure) ? \boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	
3.	For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer			
	operated according to EPA Method 21 ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
4.	Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of			
	each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along			
	the interface periphery? $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	Yes	☐ No	
5.	Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or			
	infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per			
	million by volume (based on documented specifications) ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
6.	Is the <u>halogenated hydrocarbon detector</u> capable of detecting vapor concentrations			
	of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and			
	indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting			
	an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? \square	Yes	☐ No	N/A
7.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sn	nell or	touch) while	le the
	system is in operation (§63.322(k))?			
	(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp	pection	of perceptib	le leaks)
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills Yes No N/A i) Exhaust dampers	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	□ No□ No□ No□ No□ No	 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
8.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected <u>monthly</u> for <u>vapor leaks</u> using a halog	enated	hydrocarbo	on detector
	or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parag	zraph sl	hall satisfy th	ıe
	requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under §63.322(k) or (l))			
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills c) Filter gaskets and seating Yes No N/A i) Exhaust dampers Yes No N/A j) Diverter valves Yes Yes No N/A j) Diverter valves Yes No N/A j	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	□ No□ No□ No□ No□ No	 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)					
 9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as required? 	e observation other				
Tracy White	5/25/2012				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
I ray Evilue					
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
COMMENTS: I met with Janet Knight and Walter Smallwood. Mr. checks were maintained. He asked me to reiterate the instructions for ca	Smallwood provided the records. Leak and temperature alculation of Perc. rolling totals.				
I observed the Perc rolling total calculations on the recordkeeping calendar. The carry-over purchase amount calculation from the previous year's month appeared to be incorrect or absent. Mr. Smallwood had indicated that another Department representative may have indicated a wrong method for the calculation.					
I observed the equipment. The machine had completed operation. No odors, leaks or apparent issues were noted.					
Recommendations:					
If applicable, please correct and update the Perc. rolling totals and submit the new rolling total to this office when completed. Or the total will be checked for accuracy upon the next inspection.					
NOTE: The Facility Air permit expired on 6/14/2012. On 6/22/2012, I contacted the facility and spoke with Janet Knight and subsequently, Walter Smallwood. I gave Mr. Smallwood the SBEAP phone number and encouraged him to contact the Department about the expired registration.					
I made additional attempts to contact Dick Dibble with the Department's on 7/10/2012. He indicated that the Permit registration renewal form ha					