

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

_		
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0950188 DATE: 4/8/2009 ARRIVE: 12:30 PM DEPART: 3:00 PM		
FACILITY NAME: ORLANDO PLANT		
FACILITY LOCATION: 39 W LANDSTREET		
ORLANDO 32824		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ROBERT MALIN PHONE: (813)783-1970		
CONTACT NAME: Rodney M. Ross/Site Manager PHONE: 4078599117		
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/30/2008 / 6/30/2013 (effective date) (end date)		
(enective date) (end date)		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)		
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
Stack Emissions Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref · Chanter		
22 207 F.A.G.)?		
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
 Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted 		
 Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?		
 Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?		
 Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?		
 Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?		
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?		
 Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?		

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	e 🗌
 (check appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)	ing
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ing ☐Yes ☑ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
paving and maintenance of roads, parking an application of water or environmentally safe emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and re-entrainment, and from building or work a reduction of stock pile height, or installation particulate matter from stock piles?			
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?————————————————————————————————————			
Bill Rhodes	4/8/2009		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	4/8/2010		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection		

COMMENTS: Bill Rhodes, representing OCEPD, met with Rodney Ross, Site Manager, of Oldcastle Coastal Paver Systems, and Ryan Peterson, representing Arlington Environmental Services, Inc., the environmental consultant, performing the VEs, on 4/8/2009. Three (3) 30-minute VEs were observed simultaneously on three silos at the facility: EU 001 - 85 ton capacity/grey cement/middle silo - 25.00 tons of grey cement was loaded in 80-minutes @ approximately 10-psi. The loading rate was 18.75 TPH. EU 002 - 85 ton capacity//slag cement/westernmost silo, - 25.64 tons of slag cement was loaded in 76-minutes @ approximately 9-10-psi. The loading rate was 20.24 TPH . EU 003 - 85 ton capacity/white cement/easternmost silo - 25.30 tons of white cement was loaded in 70-minutes @ approximately 10-11-psi. The loading rate was 21.68 TPH. No batching was occurring during the test The facility appeared to be in good operating condition. The roads were paved, and no PM was observed leaving the property.