| CHARTERIN WOTECTION | |
|---------------------|--|
| Some Man | |
| FLORIDA | |
| | |

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: | ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) | COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPL | DISCOVERY (CI) | |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| AIRS ID#: 1090045 DAT | ГЕ: <u>5-29-12</u> | ARRIVE: <u>100</u> | DEPART: <u>115</u> | |
| FACILITY NAME: RIV | 'ERSIDE CLEANERS | | | |
| FACILITY LOCATION | 1976 US 1 South | | | |
| | ST AUGUSTINE 3208 | 6 | | |
| OWNER/AUTHORIZEI Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIC | D REPRESENTATIVE: JOA DD: 6/28/2010 / 6/28/2015 (effective date) (end date) | | PHONE: (904)824-5658 Mobile: PHONE: Mobile: | |
| l | | | | |
| PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> | COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch | · | x) GNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE | |
| | | | | |
| | LASSIFICATION - Rule 62- only one box in A) | -213.300 FAC 2. <u>New small ar</u> | rea source 🛛 | |
| dry-to-dry onl transfer only, both types, x < (constructed b 3. Existing large dry-to-dry onl transfer only, both types, 14 (constructed b 5. Ineligible fo | ly, x < 140 gal/yr x < 200 gal/yr < 140 gal/yr before 12/9/91) | dry-to-dry on transfer only, both types, x (constructed of 4. New large ar dry-to-dry on transfer only, both types, 14 | lly, x < 140 gal/yr , x < 200 gal/yr < 140 gal/yr on or after 12/9/91) | |

B. The sum of the volume of all perchloroethylene (perc) purchases made in each of the previous 12 months by this dry cleaning facility was 85.00 gallons.

| PART III: <u>GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC | | | check ☑ x for each c | only one Juestion) | | |
|--|-------------|-----|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 1. Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers? | \boxtimes | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A | | |
| 2. Are all perc. containers leak free ? | \boxtimes | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A | | |
| 3. Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading? | \boxtimes | Yes | 🗌 No | | | |
| Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal? | \boxtimes | Yes | 🗌 No | □ N/A | | |
| 5. Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with | | | | | | |
| manufacturer's instructions | | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A | | |
| 6. Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications? | \square | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A | | |
| | | | | | | |
| PART IV: <u>PROCESS VENT CONTROLS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (Refer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page <u>1</u> of <u>4</u>, this form) 1. If the facility classification is an <u>existing small area source</u>, no controls are required. Proceed to Part V. 2. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete section A. below. 3. If the facility classification is an <u>existing large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with either a refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber . Complete both sections A and B below. <i>Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993</i> 4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete both sections A and B below. | | | | | | |
| A. Has the responsible official of all <u>existing large area & new sources</u> : | | | check ☑ x for each c | only one [uestion] | | |
| 1. Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls? | \boxtimes | Yes | 🗌 No | | | |
| 2. Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system? | \boxtimes | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A | | |
| 3. Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door? | \boxtimes | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A | | |
| 4. Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis? | \boxtimes | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A | | |
| 5. Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F? | | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A | | |
| | | | | | | |

| 6. | Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and | | | |
|----|--|-------------|-----|----|
| | after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged? | \boxtimes | Yes | No |

| PA | ART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued) | | | |
|-----------------|---|-----|------|-------|
| B. 1. | For all existing large or new large area sources: Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis? | Yes | 🗌 No | |
| 2. | Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly? | Yes | No | |
| 3. | a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F? Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly | Yes | ∐ No | ∐ N/A |
| | at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber? | Yes | 🗌 No | □ N/A |
| | a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm? | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| 4. | Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, | V | | |
| 5 | contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet? | Yes | L No | ∐ N/A |
| э. | Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils? | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| 6. | Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |

| PA | ART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC | | ` | check 🗹 x for each d | only one question) |
|----|--|-------------|-----|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. | Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? | \boxtimes | Yes | 🗌 No | |
| 2. | Are rolling monthly total s of yearly perc consumption maintained ? | \ge | Yes | 🗌 No | |
| 3. | Are leak detection inspection and repair reports maintained for the following: | | | | |
| | a) Of any leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or; [| | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| | b) Of any parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days and parts installed w/in 5 days of receipt? [| | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| 4. | Is calibration data maintained for applicable direct reading instruments? [| | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| 5. | Is exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations maintained? [| | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| 6. | Is a startup/shutdown/malfunction plan maintained for each machine? [| \ge | Yes | 🗌 No | |
| 7. | Are deviation reports maintained? [| | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| | a) Problem corrected? [| | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| 8. | Is a compliance plan maintained, if applicable? [| \ge | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| | | | | | |

| PA | ART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC | (che | eck 🗹 | only one |
|----|--|--|----------------------|---|
| 1. | What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks? | | | question) |
| | Halogenated hydrocarbon detector PCE gas analyzer None used | | | |
| 2. | Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to | | | |
| | the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate | | | |
| | <i>procedure)</i> ? | Yes [| No | |
| 3. | For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer | | | |
| | operated according to EPA Method 21 ? | Yes [|] No | N/A |
| 4. | Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of | | | |
| | each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along | | | |
| | the interface periphery? | Yes [| No | |
| 5. | Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or | | | |
| | infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per | | | |
| | million by volume (based on documented specifications) ? | Yes [|] No | N/A |
| 6. | Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector capable of detecting vapor concentrations | | | |
| | of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and | | | |
| | indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting | | | |
| | an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? \square | Yes [| No | N/A |
| 7. | Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sn | nell or tou | ch) whi | le the |
| | system is in operation (§63.322(k))? | | | |
| | (Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp | pection of p | erceptib | le leaks) |
| | b) Door gaskets and seating 🖾 Yes 🗌 No 🔲 N/A h) Stills 🖾 | = | No No No No | □ N/A □ N/A □ N/A □ N/A □ N/A |
| 8. | Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a halog | genated hy | drocarb | on detector |
| | or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parage | graph shall | satisfy tl | he |
| | requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under $63.322(k)$ or (l) | | | |
| | b) Door gaskets and seating Xes No N/A h) Stills c) Filter gaskets and seating Xes No N/A i) Exhaust dampers | Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | No No No No | □ N/A □ N/A □ N/A □ N/A □ N/A |

| PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule (| 62-213.300 FAC (continued) | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| 9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as ☑ Leak log documentation ☑ RO Assurances ☑ Explain other : | | |
| Marc Lovallo | 5-29-12 | |
| Inspector's Name (Please Print) | Date of Inspection | |
| | June 2013 | |
| Inspector's Signature | Approximate Date of Next Inspection | |
| COMMENTS: | | |