

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

RE-INSPECTION (FUI)				
	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0950071 DATE: <u>1/12/2010</u>	ARRIVE: <u>08:00</u> DEPART: <u>10:25</u>			
FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA ROCK/TAFT PLANT				
<b>FACILITY LOCATION:</b> 131 LANDSTREET RD				
ORLANDO 32802				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE:	PHONE:			
CONTACT NAME:	PHONE:			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/29/2005 / 12/29/2010 (effective date) (end date)				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (chec	k ☑ only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREME  (check     appropriate box(es))	<u>CNTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.			
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))	<u>CNTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.			
<ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Stack Emissions</li> <li>1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this sit</li> </ul>	te visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this sit 62-297, F.A.C.)?	re visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter  Yes  No and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this sit 62-297, F.A.C.)?	re visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter			
<ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Stack Emissions  <ol> <li>Were visible emissions tests conducted during this sit 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), a controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emi</li> <li>During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collecte at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loadi</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	the visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter			
<ul> <li>(check</li></ul>	re visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter			
<ul> <li>(check</li></ul>	re visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter			
<ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this sit 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li></ul>	re visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter			
<ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this sit 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li></ul>	re visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter			
<ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Stack Emissions</li> <li>1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this sit 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li></ul>	re visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter			

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)			
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of tannual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)			
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No		
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?			
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?			
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
	e 🗌		
<ol> <li>(check  appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary  ; 2) a relocatable  ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check  only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)</li></ol>	ing		
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>	ing ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ☐ No		

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)				
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))				
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)				
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined				
emissions by:				
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:				
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?				
<ol><li>application of water or environmentally safe dust</li></ol>	-suppressant chemicals when necessary to contro	1		
emissions? 🖂Y				
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to				
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? \Boxed Yes \Boxed No				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of w				
particulate matter from stock piles?		⊠Yes □ No		
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitiga				
	1 1			
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES -	- Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.			
A. New or Modified Process Equipment				
1. Since the last inspection has there been				
a) installation of any new process equipment?		∏Yes ⊠ No		
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?				
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially di				
recent notification form? Yes N				
d) If you answered <b>YES</b> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete				
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or				
		□Yes □ No		
ioear program office:				
A coefe II cilementem	1/12/2010			
Assefa Hailemariam	1/12/2010			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
hispector's rvaine (Flease Frint)	Date of Inspection			
	~1/12/2011			
	1/12/2011			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
inspector s signature	ripproximate Date of Next Hispection			
COMMENTS: Loading rate was 26 22TPH and, no emission were observed during the inspection				
LUUVIVIENTS: Loading rate was 26 22TPH and no emission w	ere opserved during the inspection			