

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 0050054 DATE: <u>1/21/2009</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:00am</u> DEPART: <u>10:45am</u>
FACILITY NAME: NATURAL LIGHT, INC.
FACILITY LOCATION: 1020 ARTHUR DR.
LYNN HAVEN 32444
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Dennis Thompson PHONE: (850)265-0800
CONTACT NAME: Dennis Thompson PHONE: (850)265-0800
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/3/2007 / 8/3/2012 (effective date) (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))
1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.)
PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))
 Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) ☐ Yes ☐ No Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to

PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
3. Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by: a) maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray? b) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?		
Gerald Sheehan	1/21/2009	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
Serald Sheehan Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
impoctor a dignature	Approximate Date of Frent Hispection	

COMMENTS: Mr. Dennis Thompson, plant manager, met me at the facility and provided access to requested records as well as accompanying me on an inspection of the facility. There were no objectional odors noticed outside the facility during this inspection, nor were there any visiable emissions noticed. All VOC containers were closed, no spills or leaks were noticed. Filters on the four paint booths are changed frequently. Average total VOC emissions per day is 16.10 pounds (5.58 lbs of solvents & thinners plus 10.52 lbs of finishes). The facility is in the process of replacing its convential spray guns with HVLP (high volume low pressure) spray guns, which produce less waste then the convential spray gun. The older spray guns are being replaced with the new HVLP guns when the older guns fail or need extensive maintenance..