

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0250320 DATE: <u>8/23/2012</u> ARRIVE: <u>11:42 AM</u> DEPAR	RT: <u>12:10 PM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: ZOO MIAMI-QUARANTINE COMPLEX CREMATORY			
FACILITY LOCATION: 12400 SW 152nd St			
MIAMI 33177-1402			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ERIC STEPHENS PHONE: (305)251-	0400		
Email:Mobile:CONTACT NAME:CHRISTINE MILLERPHONE:(305)253-Email:CMILLER@miamidade.govMobile:ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:10/8/2010 / 10/8/2015(effective date)(end date)	5050		
Facility Section			
PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check only one box)			
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COM	IPLIANCE		
PART II: <u>ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING</u>	(check \square only one box for each question)		
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>ERIC STEPHENS</u>	box for each question)		
Brief Notes:			
2. Is the Authorized Representative still ERIC STEPHENS?	YesNo		
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?			

Emissions Unit Section <u>1 – AnimalCrematory-prim/2ndaryChmbrs,LPG,w/opac/tempMR200lbs/hr</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		(check 🗹	only one
1	a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or	box for each	question)
	after August 30, 1989?	🛛 Yes	No
	b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the		
	secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	Xes	□No
2.	Manufacturer's recommended capacity: 200 🖾 lbs for batch unit 🗌 lbs/hr for ram-charged unit.		
	Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	Yes	No
	Date of last inspection: $9/29/2011$		
	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	🛛 Yes	No
	b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	🛛 Yes	No
	c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	_	
	operation? N/A d. Date of last VE test:	Yes	No
		Vac.	
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	No
	f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test? If no, what was the problem (if known)?	X Yes	∐No

PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average.	🛛 Yes	No
 f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes in any one-hour)	No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	Yes	🖾No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?	Yes Yes	□No □No
 d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? 	∐ Yes □ Yes	∐No □No
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes	-	
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar If yes, what reason?	ds? Ves	🖾No
11 yes, what reason:		

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	Yes	🖾No
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	Scale: 1-10	(worst)
 2. Continuous Monitoring Systems – a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at 1,800¹ 1,600² degrees was determined?	⊠ Yes □ Yes	□No □No
 c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? (1) All temperature measurements	🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	□No □No □No □No
 (5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices (6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices 	- 🗌 Yes	No
 d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	Yes	□No □No
 (1) Is the origination of an equipped and operated with a pointain information of a data and control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement? (2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity exceeds 15% opacity ?	🗋 Yes	□No □No
accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	- 🗌 Yes	No
PART IV: <u>SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
 If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crema process begins in the primary chamber? 		□No
 2. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	tion	□No
	(check 🗹	only one
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	box for each	
 Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate co are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit? If yes, what other materials? 		⊠No
 Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?	? 🗌 Yes ? 🔲 Yes	□No □No

PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE		box for each question)	
 Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No □No □No	

PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)		
IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

Facility Section (continued)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
Administrative Changes:		
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representati associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	s or Yes	⊠No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	 ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes 	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

8/2013

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

8/23/2012

1 17

COMMENTS: KAYE ARLINGTON PERFORMED A ONE HOUR VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST ON THE ANIMAL CREMATORY. THE SECONDARY CHAMBER TEMPERATURE WAS 1765 DEGREES FAHRENHEIT. THE PROCESS WEIGHT WAS 275 POUNDS. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS. ALL THE TEMPERATURE GRAPHS WERE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW AND FOUND UP-TO-DATE.

> **REVIEWED** By Ray Gordon at 4:35 pm, Sep 05, 2012