

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)				
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 0250309 DATE: <u>2/6/2008</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:23 AM</u> DEPART: <u>12:15 PM</u>				
FACILITY NAME: RINKER MATERIALS CORP				
FACILITY LOCATION: 2201 NW 38TH CT				
MIAMI 33135				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JEFFREY PORTER PHONE: (561)820-8415				
CONTACT NAME: ABRAHAM MELENDEZ PHONE: (305)871-3871				
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/20/2004 / 3/20/2009 (effective date) (end date)				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?				
duration?				

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)			
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of tannual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)			
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No		
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?			
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?			
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
	ie 🗌		
 (check appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing		
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ⊠ No		

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)				
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined				
emissions by:				
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:				
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? \(\sigma\) Yes \(\sigma\) No				
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust				
emissions?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	⊠Yes □ No		
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other	er paved areas under control of the owner/operator	r to		
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? \bigsymbol{\times} Yes \bigsymbol{\times} No				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of w				
particulate matter from stock piles?				
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitiga	te emissions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠Yes □ No		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES -	- Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.			
A. New or Modified Process Equipment				
======================================				
Since the last inspection has there been				
a) installation of any new process equipment?		□Yes ⊠ No		
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?				
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially di				
recent notification form? Yes No				
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete				
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or				
local program office?		□Yes □ No		
FRANK DELGADO	2/6/08			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
inspector straine (Flease Finit)	Date of hispection			
	2/2009			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
COMMENTE. THE THREE OH OF WEDE TECTED DV DH I	A DI INICEONI I DID NOT ODCEDITE ANNI	TOTAL E		

COMMENTS: THE THREE SILOS WERE TESTED BY BILL ARLINGTON. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS DURING THE THREE VE TESTS. THE SILOS WERE LOADED WITH CEMENT AND FLYASH AT A RATE OF 8-10 PSI. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE PARTICULATES AROUND THE FACILITY. THEY CONTROL THE DUST WITH A SPRINKLER SYSTEM.