

# FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

NORTHWEST DISTRICT BRACH OFFICE 470 HARRSION AVENUE PANAMA CITY, FLORIDA 32401 RICK SCOTT GOVERNOR HERSCHEL T. VINYARD JR. SECRETARY

April 10, 2013

SENT VIA EMAIL darrylfales@preferredmaterials.com

Darryl Fales President Preferred Materials – Panama City 1901 B East 15<sup>th</sup> Street Panama City, Florida 32405

Dear Mr. Fales:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The program identification number for this facility is **0050043**. Your permit **expires on October 25, 2017**. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

The Panama City Branch Office reported a status of In Compliance for your facility. The inspection report is enclosed. Your facility compliance status may be subject to further review by the District Program Office.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. If you have any questions, your local contact is Mark Sumner at (850) 767-0046 or <a href="mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us">mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us</a>.

Sincerely,

Michael Mathews Environmental Manager

MM/cms

Enclosure

c: Ms. Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Pensacola (<u>mary.beth.curle@dep.state.fl.us</u>)

Ms. Carol Melton, FDEP Pensacola (carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us)

Mr. Kevin Harrington, Preferred Materials (kharrington@preferredmaterials.com)

Mr. Hank Belcher, Preferred Materials (hank.belcher@preferredmaterials.com)



#### **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPL	DISCOVERY (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0050043 DAT	TE: <u>2/25/13</u>	ARRIVE: <u>8:15</u>	DEPART:	10:30	
FACILITY NAME: PRE	FERRED MATERIALS-PA	NAMA CITY			
FACILITY LOCATION:	1901-B E 15TH ST				
	PANAMA CITY 32	405-6113			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED Email: DarrylFales@p CONTACT NAME: Ke Email: kharrington@p ENTITLEMENT PERIO	vin Harrington oreferredmaterials.com		PHONE: (239)992-140 Mobile: PHONE: (407)402-486 Mobile:		
		Facility Section			
PART I: INSPECTION O	COMPLIANCE STATUS  E MINOR Non-COM		) GNIFICANT Non-COMPL	IANCE	
1. Name(s) of facility repr	ODUCTORY MEETING esentative(s): Kevin Harring			(check ☑ box for each	question)
	n Kevin Harrington and was grain was grain or the services conduction of th				At the time
2. Is the Authorized Repre If no, who is?: <u>NA</u>	esentative still DARRYL FAI	LES?		⊠ Yes	□No
	lity provide an administrative ill Kevin Harrington?			☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
	ing VE test(s) during today's nee authority notified at least			<ul><li>∑ Yes</li><li>∑ Yes</li></ul>	□No □No
				·	

## Emissions Unit Section 4 - CENTRAL DUST COLLECTION SYSTEM subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection: 2/1/12     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: (NA)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? NA	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unco emissions by:	onfined
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of  1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?  2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary t control emissions?	Yes No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	of
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	?
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ( <u>NA</u> )% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? <u>NA</u>	

### Emissions Unit Section 5 - CEMENT SILO #1 subject to Reasonable Precautions

5 -CENTER 1 SILO #1 subject to Reasonable 1 recautions		
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 2/1/12     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: (NA)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? NA	Yes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check <b>v</b> box for each of	only one question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the  1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?  2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	X Yes	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- Xes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? NA

#### Emissions Unit Section 6 -CEMENT SILO #2 subject to Reasonable Precautions

6 – CEMENT SILO #2 subject to Reasonable Precautions		
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 2/1/12     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: (NA)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? NA		☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	only one question)
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		question
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncon emissions by:	fined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of th     1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		☐ No
application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?      removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	X Yes	☐ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment or particulate matter from stock piles?	f ⊠ Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? -	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		

a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ------ N/A Yes b. If tested: (NA)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? ----- N/A Yes

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? NA

☐ No ☐ No

#### Emissions Unit Section 7 –FLYASH SILO subject to Reasonable Precautions

7 -FLYASH SILO subject to Reasonable Precautions		
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 2/1/12     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: (NA)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? NA		☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check <b>✓</b> box for each	only one question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncontemissions by:	fined	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of th</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ul>	X Yes	□ No
control emissions?	_	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	f —	□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No

2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? NA

☐ No☐ No

### **Emissions Unit Section**

8 –SLAG SILO subject to Reasonable Precautions	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: 2/1/12</li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?</li></ol>	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check only one box for each question)
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	box for each question)

1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? ------ X Yes

control emissions? ------ X Yes

particulate matter? ------ X Yes

particulate matter from stock piles? ------ X Yes

2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to

4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of

3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne

a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ------ N/A

b. If tested: ( $\underline{NA}$ )% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? -----  $\underline{\overline{N}}$  N/A

2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? NA

☐ No

No

☐ No

☐ No

#### **Facility Section (continued)**

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check <b>☑</b>	only one
		box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	⊠ Yes	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gener permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal proparate	ne/yr	?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ption -  Yes	☐ No
No	ote: Permit Eligibility Part 3. (a)(b)(c)(d)(e) and Part 4 are not applicable for this facility at this time	ne.	
	ENDRAL CONDITIONS		
<u>G1</u>	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	,
	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	<del>_</del>	⊠ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit? ————————————————————————————————————	S	□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		☑ only one
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both	box for ea	ch question)
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants?		2.)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and		_
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		☐ No
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.		
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department of		
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business da		∐ No
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notice to the Department on Legal Air Program and letter than five has		□ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five bust c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifi		∐ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least fi		□ No
to the appropriate Department of Local III I rogital at least II	re susmess anys prior to resonation.	
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate	e air construction or air operation permit,	
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions ur		
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine p	urpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)?  Yes	☐ No
If YES, what was the purpose?		
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long	it was	□ N
co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		∐ No □ No
if 1 ES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	I es	□ NO
<u>CHANGES</u>	(check [	only one
Administrative Changes	box for ea	ch question)
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of	of the facility or authorized representative not	
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocati		
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adi		
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 day	ninistrative change at the facility?   Yes	⊠ No
		⊠ No □ No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		=
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	vs of the change? N/A Yes	=
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	vs of the change? N/A Yes	☐ No
Since the last registration form submittal has there been     a. Installation of any new process equipment?      b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement.	vs of the change?	No No
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacemen c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is sul	ys of the change?	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
Since the last registration form submittal has there been     a. Installation of any new process equipment?      b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement.	ys of the change?	No No
<ul> <li>3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been <ul> <li>a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li> <li>b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement</li> <li>c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is sulted. A change in ownership?</li> </ul> </li> <li>4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration.</li> </ul>	A	No No No No No
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacemen c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is sul d. A change in ownership?	A	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
<ul> <li>3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been <ul> <li>a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li> <li>b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement</li> <li>c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is sulted. A change in ownership?</li> </ul> </li> <li>4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registral</li> </ul>	A	No No No No No
<ol> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been         <ul> <li>Installation of any new process equipment?</li> <li>Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement.</li> <li>Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is sult.</li> <li>A change in ownership?</li> </ul> </li> <li>If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registra 30 days prior to the change?</li> </ol>	rs of the change?	No No No No No No
<ul> <li>3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been <ul> <li>a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li> <li>b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement</li> <li>c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is sulted. A change in ownership?</li> </ul> </li> <li>4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registral</li> </ul>	A	No No No No No
<ol> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been         <ul> <li>Installation of any new process equipment?</li> <li>Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement.</li> <li>Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is sult.</li> <li>A change in ownership?</li> </ul> </li> <li>If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registra 30 days prior to the change?</li> </ol>	rs of the change?	No No No No No
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is sulted. A change in ownership?	yes of the change?	No No No No No No
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	yes of the change?	No No No No No No
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is sulted. A change in ownership?		No No No No No No
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	yes of the change?	No No No No No No

**COMMENTS:** Department peronnel conducted an air program compliance inspection on February 25, 2013.

An annual VE test was performed 4/17/2012 by Arlington Environmental Services for central dust collector that covers the batcher (weigh hopper) and the Cement and Fly ash silos.

At the time of this inspection Beatty Environmental Services conducted the 2013 VE testing on the cement and flyash silos. The cement silo was loaded with 26.77 tons and the flyash silo was loaded with 113.95 tons.

Records of the air permit, VE tests, amount of material used, and weekly plant maintenance/inspection check list are maintained and avaliable for review.

The facility does not need to maintain records on fuel sulfur content or amounts as plant is powered by off site generated electricity (power grid).

An observation of the site revealed that each silo and the weigh hopper are equipped with a central bag house. The batcher (weigh hopper) is also equiped with curtains. The aggregate piles are managed and kept wet, and the majority of the site has been paved with the dust levels managed with frequent wash downs. At the time on this inspection no dust was observes at the facility.

The Stock piles are maintained below the height of the binblocks to prevent wind entrainment of particulate matter.