

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Office 2353 Jenks Avenue Panama City, Florida 32405-4389 Governor

Jennifer Carroll
Lt. Governor

Rick Scott

Herschel T. Vinyard, Jr. Secretary

February 02, 2011

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL hank.belcher@preferredmaterials.com

Mr. Hank Belcher Preferred Materials, Inc. 900 Ashwood Parkway, Suite 700 Panama City, Florida 30338

Dear Mr. Belcher:

On January 14 2011, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected the Preferred Materials Panama City Concrete Batch Plant ID 0050043. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact C. Mark Sumner at 850/767-0046, or mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Sally M. Cooey

Panama City Branch Administrator

SMC/ms

Enclosure

c: Ms. Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Pensacola (<u>mary.beth.curle@dep.state.fl.us</u>)

Ms. Carol Melton, FDEP Pensacola (carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us)

Mr. Kevin Harrington, Preferred Materials (kharrington@preferredmaterials.com)



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:								
AIRS ID#: 0050043 DATE: <u>1/14/2011</u>	ARRIVE: 9:28 AM DEPART:	<u>10:23 AM</u>							
FACILITY NAME: PREFERRED MATERIALS-PANAMA CITY									
FACILITY LOCATION: 1901-B E 15TH ST	Γ								
CEDAR GROVE	32405								
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: HENRY "HANK" BELCHER PHONE: (813)384-3025 Email: Hank.Belcher@preferredmaterials.com Mobile: (352)279-0404 CONTACT NAME: Kevin Harrington PHONE: (850)872-3511 Email: kharrington@preferredmaterials.com Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/15/2007 / 12/15/2012 (effective date) (end date)									
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE									
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETIN 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Kevin Harr		(check ☑ only one box for each question)							
Brief Notes: <u>I met with Kevin Harrington and w</u>	as given access to all areas of this facility and all reco	quired records.							
2. Is the Authorized Representative still HENRY "F If no, who is?: N/A	IANK" BELCHER?	∑ Yes □No							
If different, did the facility provide an administra 3. Is the facility contact still Kevin Harrington? If no, who is?: N/A	tive update within 30 days?	☐ Yes ☐N/A ☐ Yes ☐No							
	ay's inspection?ast 15 days in advance?	Yes SNo Yes SN/A							

Emissions Unit Section 4 - CENTRAL DUST COLLECTION SYSTEM subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION							
1. Date of last inspection: 1/15/2010 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?							
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.							
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards							
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 							
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?							
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?							
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes 🔲 No							
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?							
Note: Part II 2. is not applicable for this facility at this time.							

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			only one question)		
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	\boxtimes	Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No		
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		Yes	⊠ No		
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No		
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	-	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No		
	$\frac{\text{gal diesel/yr} + }{275,000 \text{ gal diesel/yr}} + \frac{\text{gal gasoline/yr} + }{23,000 \text{ gal gasoline/yr}} + \frac{\text{MM SCF nat. gas/yr}}{44 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr}} + \frac{\text{MM gal propane/yr}}{1.3 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}} \le 1.00?$					
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ption	Yes	☐ No		
	Note: Permit Eligibility Part 3. (a)(b)(c)(d)(e) and Part 4 are not applicable for this facility at this	s time	e .			
GI	GENERAL CONDITIONS (check ☑ only one box for each question)					
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?		Yes	⊠ No		
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?					
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- 🛛		☐ No		
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		Yes	□ No		

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check	only one
1. In the facility exercises were Newscatching of both	stationary and releastable box for 6	each question)
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (n 2.)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and		
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		s 🔲 No
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)	
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or		
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day		s 🗌 No
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifi		
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busin		s
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific		a D No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least fiv	re business days prior to relocation? \(\subseteq \text{ Ye}	s No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate	air construction or air operation permit	
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions uni		
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine pu		s 🔲 No
If YES, what was the purpose?		_
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long i	it was	
co-located at the permitted facility?	Ye	
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	Ye	s
Note: Relocatable Plant Part 2. (a)(b)(c) and Part 3. (a)(b) are	not applicable for this facility at this time.	
<u>CHANGES</u>	(check	a ☑ only one
A desiminatora Chamana	box for e	each question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of	f the facility or authorized representative not	
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation		
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm		s 🛛 No
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days		=
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	_	_
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
a. Installation of any new process equipment?	Ye	
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement		
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is sub-		
d. A change in ownership?		s 🛛 No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registrat	ion form and the appropriate fee submitted	
30 days prior to the change?		s 🔲 No
30 days prior to the change.		5
Note: Changes Part 2 and 4 are not applicable for this facility	at this time.	
C. Mark Sumner	1/14/2011	
		_
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
Mark Sen		
/// ack Den	*	
	January 2012	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_
inspector a digitature	Approximate Date of Next Hispeetion	

COMMENTS: Department personnel conducted an air program compliance inspection on January 14, 2011.

The annual VE test was performed 3/15/2010 by Arlington Environmental Services for central dust collector that covers the batcher (weigh hopper) and the Cement and Fly ash silos.

Records of the air permit, VE tests, amount of material used, and weekly plant maintenance/inspection check list are maintained and available for review.

The facility does not need to maintain records on fuel sulfur content or amounts as plant is powered by off site generated electricity (power grid).

An observation of the site revealed that each silo and the weigh hopper are equipped with a central bag house. The batcher (weigh hopper) is also equipped with curtains. The aggregate piles are managed and kept wet, and the majority of the site has been paved with the dust levels managed with frequent wash downs. At the time on this inspection no dust was observed at the facility.

A review of the facility records revealed that this plant has batched about 25,000 yards of concrete from January to December 2010. The month with the most yards batched was June 2010 with 2153 yards of concrete batched.