

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ⊠ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) □			
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
FACILITY: Preferred Materials, Inc.		DISTRICT:	
DBA/Site Name:		Southwest	
ADDRESS: 3757 118th Avenue North		CONTACT PHONE:	
Clearwater, FL		727-243-8004	
ARMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 1/19/14	
1030139 003	1030139-006-AG	Renewal Date: 12/20/13	
		Test Date: 4/26/00	
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Fly Ash Silo, Controlled by a C&W Baghouse, Model CP-230-639 Dust Collector w / 6 cartridges			
INSPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	neck 🗆 only one box)	
10/8/13		iance; Significant Non-Compliance	
PART I: General Review:			
1. Permit File Review		⊠Yes ☐ No	
2. Introduction and Entry		⊠Yes □ No	
<i>Comments:</i> I met with Mr. Kayro Gonzalez, Batcher, who provided records and answered question that he was able to. Mr. Gonzalez stated that he had just begun operating at this facility a few days ago and that some information may need to be gathered through Mr. Wayne Benner, Regional Manager, at 239-229-5939.			
3. Is the Authorized Representative still: <u>Darryl Fales</u> ?			
The e-mail address is: dfales@preferredmaterials.com			
4. Is the facility contact still: Hank Belcher? Comments: Mr. Gonzales stated that Mr. Wayne Benner, Regional Manager, is who he knew to be the current facility contact and that there will probably be a different person operating the facility shortly. The e-mail address is: Hank.Belcher@oldcastlematerials.com			
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Yes No [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]			
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
Compliance Demonstration 1.			
Test Reports 3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit?			
4. Was the department notified at least 15 days prior to the test? $[62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.C.]$ \boxtimes Yes \square No			
. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b)			
6. Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C] 🗵 Yes 🔲 No			

PART II: TESTING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.		
7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.] \ Xes \ No 8. Are emissions from a weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip to question 9.) \ Yes \ No a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3(c)), F.A.C.] \ Yes \ No b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.] \ Yes \ No 9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.] \ Xes \ No 10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9? \ Yes \ No a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of \ % for the highest six minute average. b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard? \ \ Yes \ No		
b) Du the test thatcare the factily is operating in compliance with the 370 opacity standard:		
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C.		
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)		
1. Is this facility: 1) a ⊠ stationary; 2) a □ relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, □ stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check □ only one box.)		
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete batching plants or nonmetallic mineral processing plants: a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]		
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.]		
Relocation Notification - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.) 1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)		
If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below 2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at least five (5) business days prior to relocation?		
PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>		
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions		
emissions?		

PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>		
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of		
particulate matter from stock piles?		
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes \sqrt{No}		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions		
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)		
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Yes No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No		
<u>Permit Effective Period</u> – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]		
1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? Yes No		
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration?		
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership		
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2]		
a) installation of any new process equipment?		
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?		
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?		
d) Change in ownership		
If any of the answers to $1a - 1$)d is <u>Yes</u> , a new registration form and appropriate fee should		
have been submitted 30 days prior to the change		
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]		
1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or		
limitation of the air general permit? Yes 🖂 No		
If the answer is <u>Yes</u> , proceed to a) and b).		
a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department?		
b) Did the notification include: 1. A description of and cause of noncompliance? Yes No		
2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to		
continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance? Yes No		
DADWY C		
PART VI: Comments O&M Plan		
The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M		
plan shall include, but is not limited to: (1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device:		
(1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device; (2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer:		
(2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer;(3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation;		
(4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the		
permit applicant;		
(5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:		
a. When maintenance and observations were performed;		
b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and		
c. Who performed said maintenance and observations.		
d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check. [Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58, 128]		
[Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]		
Reviewed records for the months of1/2013 through 10/2013		
Comments:		
The facility appeared to be in compliance.		

	vard is paved and reasonably free of accumulated dust. A front end d areas. Water is used of at the "slump rack" to wash trucks and keep and out.
the loading was observed before any introduction and entry was Observations were made of several truck load-outs during inspec	the end of the cement silo loading EU 004. The last few minutes of performed. No other silo loading occurred during the inspection. ction. There was some visible emissions observed exiting the partial d. Certain mixtures of batches (i.e. > % Fly Ash) appeared to have
Facility Contact in ARMs is Hank Belcher, ENVIRONMENTA	L DIRECTOR, 727-372-1355.
	nilable on the facility's computer. There was difficulty generating r. Gonzalez, could not locate complete logs for 2012 on the facility's
	tinely visit the plant and perform the checks. This technician would d then the plant operator would then put their own initials on the form.
Exit Interview:	
I informed Mr. Gonzalez that it appeared the facility was in com	npliance.
I advised Mr. Gonzalez to remind his supervisor that the Air Gere-register by 12/20/13.	neral Permit was expiring in 1/2014 and that the facility would need to
	ill the Facility Contact and to remind of permit expiring. He was on remail. I emailed Mr. Belcher reminding him of permit expiration.
Brennan Farrington	10/8/13
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection
	~ 10/2014
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

 $H: \label{localization} Live The theorem of the compliance \label{localization} AQI \ 1030139\ 003\ 88185. doc$

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06