

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☐ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ☐					
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
			DISTRICT:			
DBA/Site Name:			Southwest			
	DRESS: 3757 118th Avenue N	CONTACT PHONE:				
Clearwater, FL			727-243-8004			
AR	MS NO:	PERMIT NO: Expiration Date: 1/19/2014				
1020120 002		1030139-006-AG	Renewal Date: 12/20/2013			
1030139 003		1030137-000-AG	Test Date: 2/9/2000			
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Fly Ash Silo, Controlled by a C&W Baghouse, Model CP-230-639 Dust Collector w / 6 cartridges						
INS	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check □ only one box)				
1	0/11/11	☐ In Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Compliance; ☐ Significant Non-Compliance				
		PART I: General Review:				
1.	Permit File Review		⊠Yes □ No			
2.	Introduction and Entry		⊠Yes □ No			
	Comments: I met with James Monroe, back-up batch operator and driver, who provided me with most of the records and gave me a tour of the yard. Mark Throckmorton soon arrived and provided me with the maintenance logs.					
3.						
	Comments:					
4.	Is the facility contact still Mark Throckmorton?					
5.	If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]					
	PART II: 7	TESTING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.	414. F.A.C.			
		ox(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would				
<u>Co</u> 1.	Compliance Demonstration 1. New Facilities / New Process Equipment— (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? Yes No					
2.	2. \(\subseteq \) Existing Facilities — (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust collector exhaust point within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test? \(\subseteq \) Yes \(\subseteq \) No					
3.	Test Reports 3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit?					
4.	Was the department notified at least 15	5 days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A	A.C.]			
5.		the department as soon as practical, but no late				
6.	6. Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C] Yes 🔲 No					
7.	7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,					

PART II. TESTING DECHIDEMENTS Dula 62 206 414 E A C				
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]				
8. Are emissions from a weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer				
to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then				
skip to question 9.)				
a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3(c)), F.A.C.] Yes \square No b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and				
duration? [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.]				
9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from				
the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching				
at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]	⊠ Yes ∐ No			
10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9? Yes 🛛 No				
a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average.				
b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard?	Yes No			
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance				
1. Is this facility: 1) a ⊠ stationary; 2) a □ relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, □ stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check □ only one box.)	ble			
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete batchin	ig plants			
or nonmetallic mineral processing plants:				
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.] b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usages	<u> Yes</u> No			
listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.]	Yes No			
1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel – usage equals gallons				
2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals gallons				
3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals cubic feet 4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons				
5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals% of a	ll fuels			
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to				
account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and				
are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years?				
[62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.]	☐ Yes ☐ No			
<u>Relocation Notification</u> - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.)				
1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)				
a) Did the owner or operator notify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication	Yes No			
at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?	Yes No			
b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6))				
to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation?	Yes No			
If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below				
2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at least five (5) business days prior to relocation?	□ Yes □ No			
icusi fire (5) ousiness auys prior to retocution:				
PART IV: Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)				
(check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance	e)			
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control				
unconfined emissions				
Which of the following methods are used: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:				
1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? \boxtimes Yes \square No				
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control				
emissions?3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to	⊠ Yes ∐ No			
s) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	⊠ Yes □ No			

PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>				
(check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?				
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?				
o) use of spruy our, chaire, or partial enclosure to margain emissions at the drop point to the track.				
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
Administrative Changes:				
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative				
not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility				
2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No				
Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]				
1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?				
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration?				
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership				
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been $[62-210.310(2)(b)2]$				
a) installation of any new process equipment? \square Yes \square No				
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?				
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most				
recent notification form? ☐ Yes ☒ No d) Change in ownership ☐ Yes ☒ No				
If any of the answers to $1a - 1$ is Yes , a new registration form and appropriate fee should				
have been submitted 30 days prior to the change No				
<u>Noncompliance Notice:</u> - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]				
1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or				
limitation of the air general permit? Yes 🗵 No				
If the answer is <u>Yes</u> , proceed to a) and b). a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department?				
b) Did the notification include:				
1. A description of and cause of noncompliance? Yes No				
2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to				
continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance? Yes No				
PART VI: Comments				
O&M Plan				
The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M				
plan shall include, but is not limited to:				
(1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device;				
(2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer;(3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation;				
(4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the				
permit applicant;				
(5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:				
a. When maintenance and observations were performed;b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and				
c. Who performed said maintenance and observations.				
d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check.				
[Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]				
Reviewed records for the months of November 2010 – October 10, 2011				

Comments:

Inspector's Signature	~ 10/12 Approximate Date of Next Inspection
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection
Shannon Ransom	
Exit Interview: I informed Mark Throckmorton and James	Monroe that the facility appears to be in compliance at this time.
The yard was clean and free of unconfined emissions. The month and waters as needed to prevent emissions.	facility waters the stock piles with sprinklers, sweeps the yard once a
	ection as the facility/equipment was not operating at the time.
The annual visible emissions test was completed and subm	•
The record logs were complete and according to the O&M	I Plan approved by AQ earlier this year.

H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\1030139 003 80702.doc

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06