

<u>HUMAN CREMATORY</u> COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST



	INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)				
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
FA	CILITY: Anderson-McQueen Funer	al Home	DISTRICT:		
	A/Site Name: E. James Reese Fui	neral Home	Southwest		
			CONTACT PHONE:		
	Seminole, FL		391-9954		
AR	MS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 7/27/13		
	1030131 001	1030131-004-AG	Renewal Date: 6/27/13 Test Date: 6/6/00		
EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION: Incinerator, Human: Nortech Research and Engineering, Inc. (B&L systems, Inc.), Model N-20A (1400 degrees F). A Systems, Inc. Visible Opacity Monitor (VOM-1) set at 10% opacity is present on the unit.					
INS	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (cl	$neck \ \Box \ only \ one \ box)$		
0	6/18/09	☐ In Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Compl	iance; Significant Non-Compliance		
		PART I: General Review:			
1.	Permit File Review		∑Yes □ No		
2.	Introduction and Entry		⊠Yes □ No		
	Comments: I was met by Joe Finocchi	aro and given a tour of the facility.			
3.					
4.	Comments: 4. Is the facility contact still William McQueen?				
	Comments:				
	Comments:				
5.		he facility provide an administrative update	within 30 days? Yes No		
5.	If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]	STING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296. 40	01(5), F.A.C.		
<u>Con</u> 1.	If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] PART II: TE (check □ appropriate boundless of the properties of the process Equation 62-296.401(5) □ New Facility / □ New Process Equation New Process New Pr	STING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296. 40 x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would in (h), F.A.C.]	OI(5), F.A.C. ndicate noncompliance)		
<u>Con</u> 1.	If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] PART II: TE (check □ appropriate bounding the properties of the process	STING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296. 40 x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would in the control of the contro	PI(5), F.A.C. Indicate noncompliance) Deteration?		
Con 1. 2.	If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] PART II: TE (check □ appropriate both poliance Demonstration [62-296.401(5) □ New Facility / □ New Process Equal Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance Series Was an annual visible emissions compliance Test Reports Does the submitted visible emission test minute average, except that visible emission test minutes in any one-hour period? [62-296.401(5)]	STING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296. 40 x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would in the control of the contro	Poeration? Yes No each calendar year: Yes No opacity, six- ed for up to Yes No		
Con 1. 2.	If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] PART II: TE (check □ appropriate both poliance Demonstration [62-296.401(5)] □ New Facility / □ New Process Equal Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance Series Was an annual visible emissions compliance Test Reports Does the submitted visible emission test minute average, except that visible emission test minutes in any one-hour period? [6.5] The last visible emission test resulted in the last	STING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296. 40 x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would in the shaded box is checked, the shaded box is checked. The shaded box is checked box is checked, the shaded box is checked by the shaded box is checked. The shaded box is checked by the shaded box is checked by the shaded box is checked by the shaded box is checked. The shaded box is checked by the shaded box is checked by the shaded box	Posity, sixed for up to minute average.		
Con 1. 2.	If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] PART II: TE (check □ appropriate both poliance Demonstration [62-296.401(5)] □ New Facility / □ New Process Equal Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance Was an annual visible emissions compliance Test Reports Does the submitted visible emission test minute average, except that visible emission test minute average, except that visible emission test minutes in any one-hour period? [6.7] The last visible emission test resulted in the Was the test conducted with the unit operation.	STING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296. 46 x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would is (h), F.A.C.] ipment— pliance no later than 30 days after beginning of ance test conducted on each crematory unit for (s) demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent of sions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allow 2-296.401(5)(b)1., F.A.C.]——————————————————————————————————	DI(5), F.A.C.		
2. 1. 2. 3. 4.	If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] PART II: TE (check □ appropriate both policies Check □ appropriate both policies New Facility / □ New Process Equal Did this facility demonstrate initial compliants Existing Facilities Was an annual visible emissions compliants Test Reports Does the submitted visible emission test minute average, except that visible emission test minute average, except that visible emission test minute average, except that visible emission test resulted in the last visible emission test resulted in the was the test conducted with the unit open was the department notified at least 15 Was the required test report filed with the with the last the second conducted with the last 15 Was the required test report filed with the last	STING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296. 40 x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would is (h), F.A.C.] ipment— pliance no later than 30 days after beginning of ance test conducted on each crematory unit for (s) demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent of sisions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allow 2-296.401(5)(b)1., F.A.C.]——————————————————————————————————	DI(5), F.A.C.		

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296. 401(5), F.A.C. (check ☐ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
6.	Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9?		No	
	a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average.b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the opacity standard?	Yes] No	
7.	Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards?			
	DADE HI. ODED ATING/DECORD/JEEDING DECUIDEMENTS			
	PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> (check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance	e)		
1.	Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?		No	
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Downwind odor level detected- 0 ; Wind direction – $N.W.$ Upwind odor level detected- 0 (1-10)			
2.				
	a) Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	X Yes [$1 N_0$	
	b) Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at $\Box 1,800^1 \ \boxtimes 1,600^2$ degrees was determined?			
	c) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the recording of such measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	Z 165] 110	
	1) All temperature measurements	🛛 Yes 🔲] No	
	2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements;		1 27	
	monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on <u>(09/04/08)</u>] <i>No</i>] <i>No</i>	
	4) Adjustments	🛛 Yes 🔲	No	
	5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices 6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	\(\times \) Yes] <i>No</i>] <i>No</i>	
	7) Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of	🖂 Tes 🗀	j <i>IVO</i>	
	when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	🛚 Yes 🔲	No	
	8) Are all the above records available for at least 2 years?9) Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If yes go to 10)a) – c)		No	
	a) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatically	[] Tes [1 100	
	control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	🛛 Yes 🔲] No	
	b) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity exceeds 15% opacity?	⊠ v _{as} □	l No	
	c) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in	🖂 1es 🔼] 110	
	accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	🛛 Yes 🔲] No	
	1 – Application received on or after 8/30/89; 2 – Application received prior to 8/30/89			
3.	Was this crematory unit application to construct: [62-296.401(5)(c), F.A.C.] (check only one □ box) a) ⊠ <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #4 and skip #5) b) □ <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #4 and continue on to #5)			
4.	J 11		1	
	 a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F? b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F 			
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	⊠ Yes <u></u>] No	
	is equal to or greater than $1400^{\circ}F$?	🛛 Yes 🔲] No	
5.	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:			
	a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1800° F?	Yes	No	
	b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than $1600^{\circ}F$			
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than $1600^{\circ}F$ before the cremation] No	
	process begins in the primary chamber?] No	

2 of 4 Revised 05/08

(check appropriate boxies), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) 6. Are appropriate cremation containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies, as demonstrated by MSD sheet? a) If the answer to question 6 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of their use and for at least two years after their use? b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at this location? PART IV: Equipment Maintenance (check appropriate boxies), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) Equipment Maintenance: - [62-296.401(5)(c), FAC.] 1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? 2. Are there maintenance/repair/adjustment records kept onsite for at least 2 years? 3. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? 4. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics? 4. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics? 4. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics? 4. Does the crematory allow for a visible check at least once during each operating shift? 4. Does the remaincred allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics? 5. No 1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative changes at the facility with a facility with with the 5 year effective period? 2. If yes, did he facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 prior to permit expiration? 4. It is general per	PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS				
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies, as demonstrated by MSD sheet? Yes No 162-290-40115(M) F.A.C. a) If the answer to question 6 above is YEs, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they are composed of 0.5% or lees by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of their use and for at least two years after their use? No b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at this location? Yes No					
### PART IV: Equipment Maintenance Check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)	plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies, as demonstrated by MSD sheet?				
Equipment Maintenance: - [62-296.401(5)(e), F.A.C.]		'es 🛛 No			
Equipment Maintenance: - [62-296.401(5)(e), F.A.C.]					
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?					
2. Are there maintenance/repair/adjustment records kept onsite for at least 2 years?	Equipment Maintenance: – [62-296.401(5)(e), F.A.C.]				
3. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?	1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? \boxtimes Y	'es 🗌 No			
shutdown and malfunction?	2. Are there maintenance/repair/adjustment records kept onsite for at least 2 years? 🖂 Y	'es 🗌 No			
4. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?		les \square No			
PART V: Special Conditions And Procedures Check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance	4. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	'es No			
Administrative Changes:	a) Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? 🔲 Y	'es □ No 'es □ No			
Administrative Changes:					
1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility					
1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?	1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Y				
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 prior to permit expiration?	Permit Effective Period — [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?	Yes □ No			
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership C Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2, F.A.C a) Installation of any new process equipment?					
a) Installation of any new process equipment? Yes No b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Yes No c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? Yes No d) A change in ownership? Yes No If the any of the answers to 1a) – 1)d is Yes to any, a new registration form and appropriate fee should have been submitted 30 days prior to the change. No Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.] 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit? Yes No If the answer is Yes, proceed to a) and b).					
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.] 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit?	C Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2, F.A.C a) Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes No Yes No Yes No			
b) Did the notification include: 1. A description of and cause of noncompliance?	Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.] 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition limitation of the air general permit?	on or Ves No Ves No Ves No expected to			

3 of 4 Revised 05/08

PART VI: Comments		
All records (msds, ssm, temperature charts, recorder calibra complete.	ation and repair records) were readily accessible, well organized and	
he ran the facility and the efforts that he had applied through	iaro. In the interview I thanked Mr. Finocchiaro for the care with which h-out the year to maintain compliance with the Air General Permit. I told tion of the records, the thorough documentation of temperature charts there has been a retort upset.	
Chris R. Brodeur	06/18/09	
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection	
	06/10	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

 $H: \label{lem:hamma:lem:$

4 of 4 Revised 05/08