



HUMAN CREMATORY COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST



INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) [X] COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) [] RE-INSPECTION (FUI) [] ARMS COMPLAINT NO: []

FACILITY: Cemetery Management, Inc. DBA/Site Name: Bay Area Crematory ADDRESS: 5862 Ulmerton Road Clearwater, FL ARMS NO: 1030017 006 PERMIT NO: 1030017-006-AG DISTRICT: Southwest CONTACT PHONE: 531-8200 Expiration Date: 11/10/12 Renewal Date: 10/10/12 Test Date: 9/4/00

EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION: Human Crematory: IE & E Model IE-43-SSP (Super Power-Pak), 300 pound batch operated at 1600 degrees minimum secondary chamber temperature

INSPECTION DATE: 1/14/2009 INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check [] only one box) [X] In Compliance; [] Minor Non-Compliance; [] Significant Non-Compliance

PART I: General Review:

- 1. Permit File Review [X] Yes [] No
2. Introduction and Entry [X] Yes [] No
Comments: This emission unit was inspected to determine the annual compliance status. I met with the crematory manager, Mr. William Wood for the inspection of the facility and emission unit.
3. Is the Authorized Representative still Robert E. Simpson? [X] Yes [] No
Comments: Mr. Simpson stills the Authorized Representative for the facility.
4. Is the facility contact still William Wood? [X] Yes [] No
Comments: Mr. Simpson stills the facility contact.
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? [] Yes [X] No [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]

PART II: TESTING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296. 401(5), F.A.C. (check [] appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)

Compliance Demonstration [62-296.401(5)(h), F.A.C.]

- 1. [] New Facility / [] New Process Equipment- Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? [] Yes [X] No
2. [X] Existing Facilities Was the annual visible emissions compliance, test conducted on each crematory unit within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test? [X] Yes [] No
Test Reports
1. Does the submitted visible emission test(s) demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes in any one-hour period? [62-296.401(5)(b)1., F.A.C.] [X] Yes [] No
The last visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0% for the highest six minute average.
2. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cadaver? [62-296.401(5)(g)] [X] Yes [] No
3. Was the department notified at least 15 days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.C.] [X] Yes [] No
4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b) [X] Yes [] No
5. Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C.] [X] Yes [] No

PART II: TESTING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296. 401(5), F.A.C.

(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)

6. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9?----- Yes No
a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0% for the highest six minute average.
b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the opacity standard? ----- Yes No
7. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards? ----- Yes No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS

(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)

1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? ----- Yes No
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:
Downwind odor level detected- 0; Wind direction - 180 Upwind odor level detected-0 (1-10)
2. **Continuous Monitoring System** – [62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.]
- a) Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions? ----- Yes No
- b) Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at 1,800^f 1,600^f degrees was determined? ----- Yes No
- c) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the recording of such measurements, maintenance, reports and records?
- 1) All temperature measurements ----- Yes No
- 2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations ----- Yes No
- 3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on (2/12/08)) ----- Yes No
- 4) Adjustments ----- Yes No
- 5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices ----- Yes No
- 6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices ----- Yes No
- 7) Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings ----- Yes No
- 8) Are all the above records available for at least 2 years? ----- Yes No
- 9) Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If yes go to 10(a) – c) ----- Yes No
- a) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatically control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement? ----- Yes No
- b) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity exceeds 15% opacity? ----- Yes No
- c) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer’s recommended maintenance schedule? ----- Yes No

1 – Application received on or after 8/30/89; 2 – Application received prior to 8/30/89

3. Was this crematory unit application to construct: [62-296.401(5)(c), F.A.C.] (check only one box)
- a) **BEFORE** August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #4 and skip #5)
- b) **ON** or **AFTER** August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #4 and continue on to #5)
4. If the application to construct was **BEFORE** August 30, 1989 is the:
- a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ **1600°F**? ----- Yes No
- b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than **1400°F** throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? ----- Yes No
- c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature is equal to or greater than **1400°F**? ----- Yes No
5. If the application to construct **ON** or **AFTER** August 30, 1989 is the:
- a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ **1800°F**? ----- Yes No
- b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than **1600°F** throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? ----- Yes No
- c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than **1600°F** before the cremation process begins in the primary chamber? ----- Yes No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS

(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)

6. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies, as demonstrated by MSD sheet? ----- Yes No
[62-296.401(5)(d), F.A.C.]
- a) If the answer to question 6 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of their use and for at least two years after their use? ----- Yes No
- b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at this location? ----- Yes No

PART IV: Equipment Maintenance

(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)

Equipment Maintenance: – [62-296.401(5)(e), F.A.C.]

1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer’s specifications? ----- Yes No
2. Are there maintenance/repair/adjustment records kept onsite for at least 2 years? ----- Yes No
3. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? ----- Yes No
4. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?----- Yes No
If yes go to a) – b)
- a) Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift?----- Yes No
- b) Was the flame adjusted when necessary?----- Yes No

PART V: Special Conditions And Procedures

(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)

Administrative Changes:

1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility ----- Yes No
2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] ----- Yes No

Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]

1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? ----- Yes No
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 prior to permit expiration? ----- Yes No

New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership

- C.. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2, F.A.C]
- a) Installation of any new process equipment? - ----- Yes No
- b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? ----- Yes No
- c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? ----- Yes No
- d) A change in ownership? ----- Yes No
- If the any of the answers to 1a) – 1d) is **Yes** to any, a new registration form and appropriate fee should have been submitted 30 days prior to the change.----- Yes No

Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]

1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit? ----- Yes No
If the answer is **Yes**, proceed to a) and b).
- a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department? ----- Yes No
- b) Did the notification include:
1. A description of and cause of noncompliance?----- Yes No
2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance? ----- Yes No

PART VI: Comments

An AQD VE test was performed during this site visit. An opacity of 0% was observed.

Reviewed temperature charts for the months of 7/01/2008 through 1/14/2009.

The emission unit was calibrated on 2-12-08. . See attached calibration data sheets.

Exit Interview: During the closing conference, I informed Mr. William Wood, facility appears to be in compliance at this time.

Mike Ojo Thomas

Inspector's Name

1-14-2009

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\1030017 006 66801.doc