

RTP ENVIRONMENTAL ASSOCIATES INC.®

AIR · WATER · SOUD WASTE CONSULTANTS

239 U.S. Highway 22 East Green Brook, New Jersey 08812-1909 (908) 968-9600 Fax: (908) 968-9603

May 8, 1996

RECEIVED

MAY 13 1996

BUREAU OF AIR REGULATION

Mr. Hamilton S. Oven, Jr., P.E. Florida Department of Environmental Protection Twin Towers Office Building 2600 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, FL 32399-2400

Dear Mr. Oven:

In response to the May 7, 1996 memo from Syed Arif and A. A. Linero to you, the PPSA conditions of certification dated February 29, 1984 do contain emission limits for Units 1 through 3 of 83 lbs/hour of SO₂, 132 lbs/hour of NO_x, and 1.3 lbs/hour of lead. However, the conditions of certification were modified by a final order dated July 28, 1986 (a copy of which is attached). The final revised emission limitations, to which the facility is currently subject to, are 170 lbs/hour of SO₂ for all three units, 254 lbs/hour of NO_x for unit 3 only, and 4.4 lbs/hour of lead for unit 3 only.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact either myself at the above number or David S. Dee, Esq. of Landers & Parsons at 904-681-0311.

Sincerely,

RTP ENVIRONMENTAL ASSOCIATES, INC.

Donald F. Elias

Principal

cc: A. Lineropal

S. Arif

D. Dee, Esq.

R. Stasis

all three Kin Menked thermouse whethey to community subject and site 140 the first on the site of the first three Kin Menked the first of MO, for and 3 the order on the first of the first

PCRRF Project File

IN RE:

PINELLAS COUNTY RESOURCE RECOVERY FACILITY MODIFICATION OF TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF CERTIFICATION NO. PA 83-18 PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA

FILE COPY PINELLAS EOR う. . . . OGC FILE NO: U5-0470

FINAL ORDER MODIFYING

CONDITIONS OF CERTIFICATION

The Florida Department of Environmental Regulation, after notice and opportunity for hearing, modifies the conditions of certification for the Pinellas County Resource Recovery Facility persuant to Section 403.516(1), Florida Statutes, and Section VII of the Conditions of Certification, which delegated modifications of emission limitation conditions to the Department.

- 1. On August 17, 1984, and May 8, 1985, Pinelius County submitted letters to the Department requesting modification of the existing Conditions of Certification for its Resource Recovery Facility to amend emission limitations and compliance , monitoring, ,
- 2. After review of the request and existing data, the Department proposed to grant relief to Pincilus County by making the following modifications to the conditions of certification. Condition XIV.A.1. shall be changed to read:
 - Emission Limitations upon Operation of Unit 3
 - Stack emissions from each-unit Units 1 or 2 shall not exceed the following:
 - (1) Particulate matter: in grains per standard cubic foot dry gas corrected to 12% CO2 -0.08.
 - SO2-170 lbs/hr each unit (2)
 - (3) there shall be no objectionable odor Odor:

greater than 20% except as provided for during start-up, shutdown, or malfunctions when the provisions of 17-2.250, FAC, shall apply

- b. Emissions from Unit 3 shall not exceed the following:
 - (1) Particulate matter: in grains per standard cubic foot dry gas corrected to 12% CO₂ = 0.03.
 - (2) 502-170 lbs/hr
 - (3) Nitrogen oxides 254 lbs/hr
 - (4) Carbon monoxide 66 lbs/hr
 - (5) Lead 4.4 lbs/hr

- (6) Mercury 3200 grams/day when more than

 2205 lbs/day of municipal sludge is

 fired. Compliance shall be determined in
 accordance with 40 CFR 6.1, Method 101,

 Appendix B
- (7) Odor there shall be no objectionable odor
- (8) Visible emissions stack opacity shall

 be no greater than 20% except as provided

 for during start-up, shutdown or mal
 functions when the provisions of 17-2.250,

 FAC, shall apply.
- be less than 161 feet above grade.
- excess of their rated capacity of 87,500 pounds of municipal solid waste per hour each.

plate affixed in a conspicuous place on the shell showing manufacturer, model number, type waste, rated capacity and certification number.

er f. Compliance with the limitations for particulates, opacity, sulfur oxides, nitrogen oxides, carbon monoxide, and lead shall be determined in accordance with Florida Administrative Code Rule 17-2.700, DER Methods 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 9, or 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1-7, 9, 10, and 12. Method-7- The stack test shall be performed at + 10% of the maximum steam rate of 250,000 pounds per hour.

Any party to this Order has the right to seek judicial review of this Order pursuant to Section 120.68, Florida Statutes by the filing of a Notice of Appeal pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure, with the clerk of the Department in the Office of the General Counsel, 2600 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, Florida, 32301; and by filing a copy of the Notice of Appeal accompanied by the applicable filing fees with the appropriate district court of appeal. The Notice of Appeal must be filed within 30 days from the date the Final Order is filed with the clerk of the Department.

DONE AND ORDERED the 28 day of July, 1986, in Tallahassee, Florida.

STATE OF PLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION

FILING AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT FILED, on this date, pursuant to \$120.52 [9], Fiction Sciences, with the designated Department Clock, recoupt of which is hereby acknowkideed.

Formache for Page Dorge 1/53/80.

VICTORIA J TSCHINKEL Secretary

Twin Towers Office Building 2600 Binir Stone Rond Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Telephone: 904/488-9730

al

PINELLAS COUNTY RESOURCE RECOVERY FACILITY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL RETROFIT PROJECT

14 S. FORT HARRISON AVE. 5TH FLOOR CLEARWATER, FL 34616PHONE: (813) 464-4913FAX: (813) 464-3944

RECEIVED

April 22, 1996

APR 26 1996

BUREAU OF AIR REGULATION

Clair Fancy
Department of Environmental Protection
Bureau of Air Regulation
2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, FL 32399

RE: Quarterly Reports of Project Progress

Dear Mr. Fancy:

Enclosed for your information is a report on progress on the Pinellas County Resource Recovery Facility Air Pollution Control Retrofit Project, addressing activities during the first quarter of 1996. I have also enclosed a copy of the initial progress report, which discussed activities prior to 1996.

If you would like more information about the project, please contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely,

Russell Menke Project Facilitator

Enclosures (2)

cc:

Fred Porter - USEPA-RTP Walt Stevenson - USEPA-RTP Andrew Nguyen - DEP Tampa Bill Thomas - DEP Tampa

cc: Syed

PINELLAS COUNTY RESOURCE RECOVERY FACILITY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL RETROFIT PROJECT

14 S FORT HARRISON AVE. 5TH FLOOR CLEARWATER, FL 34616 PHONE: (813) 464-4913 FAX: (813) 464-3944

April 15, 1996

Winston Smith
Director, Division of Air, Pesticides and Toxic Management
United States Environmental Protection Agency
345 Courtland Avenue, NE
Atlanta, Georgia 30365

RE: Pinellas County Resource Recovery Facility

Dear Mr. Smith:

Enclosed for your information is a Quarterly Report on project progress. Should you desire any additional information, please contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely,

Russell Menke Project Facilitator

Enclosure

cc:

Pick Talley

Mike Rudd

Julie Yard

David Dee

Stu Broom

Pete Stasis

Brian Beals

Scott Davis

file

Pinellas County Resource Recovery Facility Air Pollution Control Retrofit Project

Quarterly Report on Project Progress For the First Quarter, 1996 Submitted April 15, 1996

Overview

This Quarterly Report for the retrofit of the Pinellas County Resource Recovery Facility covers the County's activities during the first calendar quarter on 1996 on the retrofit project. In general, the County's overall progress with the retrofit activities has been in accordance with the schedule. Certain activities have proceeded ahead of the previously developed schedules, and other items are not proceeding as fast as previously scheduled. Detailed descriptions of the efforts completed, underway and scheduled for the future are presented in the following paragraphs.

Actions Taken During Reporting Period

Stack Testing of Dioxin Emissions - Stack tests were conducted during the period from November 7 through November 9, 1995 to measure the levels of dioxin emissions from Units 1 and 2. The laboratory test report was received on January 26, 1996 and submitted to EPA. As a part of the annual compliance testing during the week of March 11, 1996, additional dioxin tests were performed on Unit 1. The results of this most recent round of testing are not yet available, but will be submitted following receipt and quality control review.

Water Washing of Boilers - Historically, water washing of boilers had been done on approximately a ten week schedule. Since August of 1995, these washes have been done on approximately an 8 week schedule. Additionally, numerous partial washes are done between the complete washes.

Florida Power Plant Siting Act (PPSA) Application - An application for modification of the Conditions of Certification under Florida's Power Plant Siting Act was developed and submitted to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) on May 19, 1995. Additional analyses were performed and submitted in response to FDEP questions, and a supplement to the application was submitted on April 1, 1996. The FDEP issued an amendment to the Facility's PSD permit on October 10, 1995, which authorizes construction of the retrofit. However, the construction and operation of the new air pollution control systems at the Facility must comply with the requirements of the Conditions of Certification under the PPSA. The County has been working with the FDEP to develop appropriate modifications to the Conditions of Certification, and expects to finalize the revised Conditions of Certification, and publish notice of the conditions during April 1996.

Materials Recovery System (MRS) Relocation - In order to minimize downtime during the retrofit of the Facility (to minimize loss of electrical generation and use of landfill space), the existing Materials Recovery System must be relocated as the initial step of the retrofit, prior to the erection of the new air pollution control equipment. The County has negotiated agreements with Wheelabrator Pinellas Inc. (WPI), the operator of the Facility, to construct a new Ash Storage and Processing Building (ASPB) and to relocate the existing MRS equipment into the new ASPB. Design work and procurement are well underway, and actual construction on site is is currently scheduled to begin in late May. This portion of the work is proceeding approximately 4 months sooner than originally scheduled, to assure that the overall retrofit project is not delayed by this relocation.

Selection of Design Engineer - Selection of a design engineer to design the Balance of Plant for the retrofit is currently underway, pursuant to Florida's Consultant's Competitive Negotiations Act (CCNA). On January 9, 1996 the Board of County Commissioners selected Stone & Webster and authorized staff to negotiate a contract for the design services. It is currently anticipated that the design engineer will be under contract by mid-April, 1996, approximately one month sooner than originally scheduled.

Updated Health Risk Assessment - The health risk assessment (HRA) dated April 17, 1995 was updated and submitted to USEPA on April 3, 1996, incorporating the results of the dioxin tests conducted in August and November of 1995. The updated HRA reconfirmed that the potential excess lifetime cancer risks from PCDD/F are within or below levels of regulatory concern.

Actions Scheduled but not Completed

Negotiations for the Construction and Operation of the Retrofit - Negotiations with WPI for the Construction and Operation of the retrofit were originally scheduled for completion in late 1995. However, efforts were concentrated from August through November on developing the agreements related to the construction of the ASPB and relocation of the MRS (see above), so that the construction of the ASPB and relocation of the MRS could proceed early enough as to not delay the construction of the remaining portions of the retrofit. Concentrated efforts are currently underway to finalize an agreement for the construction of the remaining portions of the retrofit, and numerous meetings were held with WPI during January, February and March of 1996 to finalize the agreement for the construction of the new air pollution control equipment. Negotiations on the construction agreement are nearing completion, and negotiations on the management agreement are beginning, with a goal of finalizing the agreements during the second quarter of 1996, so that detailed design and equipment procurement can proceed.

agreements by early in the second quarter of 1996, so that detailed design and equipment procurement can proceed.

Updated Health Risk Assessment - The health risk assessment (HRA) dated April 17, 1995 was scheduled to be updated by December 15, 1995, based on the results of the August, 1995 stack test results. However, as indicated above, the validity of the stack test results for Units 1 and 2 was suspect, and additional testing was done in November of 1995, the results of which testing have not yet been received. Therefore, the HRA update will not be completed until sometime during the first quarter of 1996. The updated HRA will be submitted as soon as it is completed.

Actions Scheduled During Next Reporting Period

Selection of Design Engineer - It is anticipated that negotiations with Stone & Webster will be completed and a contract will be approved by the Board of County Commissioners in February, 1996. Design efforts will then begin in February or March.

ASPB Construction and MRS Relocation - During the first quarter of 1996, the design of the ASPB and the relocated MRS should be nearly completed. Procurement will begin on long lead time equipment items.

Negotiations of Construction and Operation Agreements - As mentioned previously, negotiations of contracts for the construction and operation of the retrofit are ongoing. These efforts are continuing on an aggressive schedule, and substantial progress is expected during the next quarter.

Health Risk Assessment Update - As mentioned previously, the report of stack tests done in early November has not yet been received. Following quality control review, the results from the report will be incorporated into an update of the health risk assessment dated April 17, 1995. This update will be completed and submitted during the next quarter.

Financing - It is anticipated that the retrofit project will be financed with a combination of current reserve funds and a new bond issue, which is scheduled to be sold in approximately mid-1996. During the next quarter, the development of financing documents will be initiated.

Finalization of Conditions of Certification - Draft Conditions of Certification under the PPSA are currently undergoing review. Issuance of the final Conditions of Certification is expected during the next quarter.

Respectfully Submitted,

Russell Menke

Project Facilitator

LANDERS & PARSONS

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

CINDY L. BARTIN
DAVID S. DEE
JOSEPH W. LANDERS, JR.
JOHN T. LAVIA, III
RICHARD A. LOTSPEICH
FRED A. McCORMACK
PHILIP S. PARSONS
ROBERT SCHEFFEL WRIGHT

310 WEST COLLEGE AVENUE
POST OFFICE BOX 271

TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32302

TELEPHONE (904) 681-0311

TELECOPY (904) 224-5595

HOWELL L FERGUSON OF COUNSEL

VICTORIA J. TSCHINKEL
SENIOR CONSULTANT
INOT A MEMBER OF THE FLORIDA BARI

MEMORANDUM

RECEIVED

TO:

Buck Oven Chip Collette Clair Fancy Al Linero APR 23 1996 BUREAU OF

AIR REGULATION

David S. Dee D. Dee

DATE:

FROM:

April 22, 1995

RE:

Notice For Retrofit of Pinellas County

Resource Recovery Facility

On behalf of Pinellas County, we would like you to review the draft notice (attached) that we have prepared concerning the County's plan to retrofit its resource recovery facility and install an auxiliary boiler.

As you know, Pinellas County wants to install new air pollution control equipment and make other improvements to its resource recovery facility. The Florida Department of Environmental Protection already has issued a PSD permit amendment to Pinellas County for these improvements. No notice was published for the PSD permit amendments.

The Department also has prepared a notice, which will be published soon in the Florida Administrative Weekly, that the Department intends to grant the County's request for modification of the conditions of certification for the Facility. The Department has not required the County to publish notice of DEP's intent in a newspaper.

Although we believe that newspaper notice is not mandatory in this case, we would like to avoid any potential procedural problems. Accordingly, the County would like to publish notice of DEP's intent in the legal section of the St. Petersburg Times or another paper of general circulation in Pinellas County. Accordingly, we have prepared a draft notice for your consideration.

Memo to Oven, Collette, Fancy, Linero Page Two April 22, 1996

We would greatly appreciate it if you would promptly review the attached draft notice and provide us with any comments. We would like to publish this notice within the next five to ten days and, therefore, we would like to receive your comments promptly. Thank you for your assistance.

Please call me if you have any questions (681-0311).

cc: Julie Yard Mike Rudd Russ Menke

/vc:PIN9

STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

NOTICE OF INTENT TO ISSUE PERMIT AMENDMENT AND MODIFICATION OF POWER PLANT CERTIFICATION

The Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Department) gives notice of its intent to issue a permit amendment to Pinellas County, Florida for the Pinellas County Resource Recovery Facility (Facility), which is located at 3095 114th Avenue North, in Pinellas County, Florida. The Department also gives notice of its intent to grant the County's request for modification of the conditions of certification that were previously issued for the Facility pursuant to the Florida Electrical Power Plant Siting Act, Section 403.501 et seq., Florida Statutes (F.S.). The Facility consists of three mass burn units, which process municipal solid waste, and related equipment and systems. The permit amendment and the modification to the conditions of certification would authorize the County to construct and operate certain improvements to the Facility that will reduce the Facility's overall environmental impacts. Specifically, Pinellas County has requested an amendment to its permit (PSD-FL-011; PSD-Fl-098) and a modification of the conditions of certification (PA 78-11 and PA 83-18) to allow: the installation of new air pollution control equipment, including an acid gas scrubber system, a fabric filter baghouse, a mercury control system, and an SNCR system for the control of oxides of nitrogen; installation of an auxiliary boiler; a decrease in the size of the certified site to exclude landfilling activities;

modification of ash handling and conditioning systems; operation of water treatment and water recycling systems; and related changes that would allow the continued operation of the Facility in accordance with state and federal regulations. The proposed improvements to the Facility will not increase the Facility's solid waste processing capacity.

The County's request for a permit amendment and modification of the conditions of certification are available for public inspection during normal business hours, 8 AM to 5 PM, Monday through Friday, except holidays, at the Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Air Regulation, 111 South Magnolia Drive, Suite 4, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, and the Department of Environmental Protection, Southwest District Office, 8407 Laurel Fair Circle, Tampa, Florida 33610.

A person who is not already a party to the certification proceeding and whose substantial interest will be affected by the Department's proposed action may petition for an administrative proceeding (hearing) in accordance with Section 120.57, F.S. The petition must contain the information set forth below and must be filed (received) in the Office of General Counsel of the Department at 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, MS 35, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000 within 30 days from the date of publication of this notice. Petitioners shall mail a copy of the petition to the applicant's attorney, David Dee, c/o Landers & Parsons, 310 W. College Avenue, P.O. Box 271, Tallahassee, Florida 32302. Failure to file a petition within this time period shall

constitute a waiver of any right such person may have to request an administrative determination (hearing) under Section 120.57, F.S.

The petition shall contain the following information: (a) The name, address and telephone number of each petitioner, the applicant's name and address, the Department Permit File Number and the county in which the project is proposed; (b) A statement of how and when each petitioner received notice of the Department's action or proposed action; (c) A statement of how each petitioner's substantial interests are affected by the Department's action or proposed action; (d) A statement of the material facts disputed by petitioner, if any; (e) A statement of facts which petitioner contends warrant reversal or modification of the Department's action or proposed action; (f) A statement of which rules or statutes petitioner contends require reversal or modification of the Department's action or proposed action; and (g) A statement of the relief sought by petitioner, stating precisely the action petitioner wants the Department to take with respect to the Department's action or proposed action.

If a petition is filed, the administrative hearing process is designed to formulate agency action. Accordingly, the Department's final action may be different from the position taken by it in this Notice. Persons whose substantial interests will be affected by any decision of the Department with regard to the application have the right to petition to become a party to the proceeding. The petition must conform to the requirements

specified above and be filed (received) within 14 days of publication of this notice in the Office of General Counsel at the above address of the Department. Failure to petition within the allowed time frame constitutes a waiver of any right such person has to request a hearing under Section 120.57, F.S., and to participate as a party to the proceeding. Any subsequent intervention will only be at the approval of the presiding officer upon motion filed pursuant to Rule 60Q-2.010, F.A.C.

/vc:PINNOT

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

Date: 24-Apr-1996 10:54am EST

From: Alvaro Linero TAL

LINERO A

Dept: Air Resources Management

Tel No: 904/921-9532

SUNCOM: 291-9532

TO: Hamilton Buck Oven TAL (OVEN H)
TO: Chip Collette TAL (COLLETTE C)

Subject: Notice for Retrofit of Pinellas County RRF

I had a chance to look at the proposed Notice sent by David Dee for the PCRRF Retrofit. I do not consider it necessary to publish it in order to amend the existing PSD permit. We sent them a letter authorizing the project and copied EPA some time ago. Because (to my understanding) it reduces emissions, it did not trigger PSD and we could not really require them to get a permit to make the change. We did require that the letter be attached to their PSD permits.

In short, we already revised the PSD permit. We received no adverse comment from EPA about the public notice aspect. They were obviously pleased that the project is going forward. They are not as concerned as they used to be about so-called federal enforceability of PSD permits. We are satisfied with how we handled it and the certification process will firm things up even more. The Title V permit will tidy all this up even more and provide additional public notice.

After Florida actually adopts the NSPS, with which the project seeks to comply, we will revise the PSD permits using the limits actually promulgated in Florida's version of the rule.

I also don't see any need to revise the Certification to include all the new values because there is not State rule requiring this project (except maybe the mercury part). If the certification is revamped now, then it is all voluntary stuff and we should include a provision which states that it may be revised in accordance with the final version of the NSPS adopted by the DEP.

We have found some incompatibility in the SO2 values set by DEP in the certification when compared with the value set by EPA in one of the original PSD permit. It looks as if PCRRF wants to raise the value in the certification to the higher number even though they will now have SO2 control equipment that they did not have. Raising that number is the only good reason for a public notice. However, we would need to explain why the number is going up when control equipment is being added. I believe the number should go down if

anything. We will as requested, shortly comment on the draft certification modification.