# . STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION NOTICE OF PERMIT

In the matter of an Application for Permit by:

DER File No. AC50-219413 PSD-FL-196 Palm Beach County

Mr. Gus Cepero, Vice President Okeelanta Power Limited Partnership P. O. Box 86 South Bay, Florida 33493

Enclosed is construction Permit Number AC50-219413 (PSD-FL-196) for a 74.9 megawatt (MW) electric cogeneration facility to be constructed at the Okeelanta Corporation sugar mill located 6 miles south of South Bay, off U.S. Highway 27 in Palm Beach County, Florida. This permit is issued pursuant to Section 403, Florida Statutes.

Any party to this Order (permit) has the right to seek judicial review of the permit pursuant to Section 120.68, Florida Statutes, by the filing of a Notice of Appeal pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure, with the Clerk of the Department in the Office of General Counsel, 2600 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, Florida 32399~2400; and by filing a copy of the Notice of Appeal accompanied by the applicable filing fees with the appropriate District Court of Appeal. The Notice of Appeal must be filed within 30 days from the date this Notice is filed with the Clerk of the Department.

Executed in Tallahassee, Florida.

STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

C. H. Fancy, P.E., Chief Bureau of Air Regulation 2600 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, FL 32399-2400 904-488-1344

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned duly designated deputy agency clerk hereby certifies that this NOTICE OF PERMIT and all copies were mailed before the close of business on to the listed persons.

Clerk Stamp

FILING AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT FILED, on this date, pursuant to \$120.52(11), Florida Statutes, with the designated Department Clerk, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged.

Copies furnished to: David Knowles, SD Isidore Goldman, SED James Stormer, PBCHD Jewell Harper, EPA John Bunyak, NPS David Buff, KBN

1.

# Final Determination

Okeelanta Power Limited Partnership South Bay, Palm Beach County, Florida

74.9 Megawatt (MW) Electric Cogeneration Facility

Permit No.: AC 50-219413 PSD-FL-196

Department of Environmental Protection Division of Air Resources Management Bureau of Air Regulation

#### FINAL DETERMINATION

The Technical Evaluation and Preliminary Determination for a permit to construct (AC 50-219413/PSD-FL-196) a 71.25 megawatt (MW) electric cogeneration facility for Okeelanta Power Limited Partnership, P.O. Box 86, South Bay, Florida 33493, was distributed on June 3, 1993. The cogeneration facility will be built at Okeelanta Corporation's sugar mill located 6 miles south of South Bay, off U.S. Highway 27, Palm Beach County, Florida. The Notice of Intent to Issue was published in the Palm Beach Post on June 9, 1993. Copies of the evaluation were available for public inspection at the Department offices in Tallahassee, Ft. Myers, and West Palm Beach, and at the Palm Beach County Health Department office in West Palm Beach.

The Environmental Protection Agency and National Park Service had no negative comments on the proposed permit.

letters dated July 2 and August 11, 1993, the applicant requested that the plant be allowed to generate 74.9 megawatts (MW) of electricity as proposed in the application, that they be allowed to burn small quantities of treated wood that may escape detection by their inspection program provided the air pollution standards are not exceeded, that the prohibition on the burning of "special waste" be deleted from the permit, that they not be required to analyze the ash, that the permit be reworded to state that the fossil fuel heat input to the boilers will be less than 25 percent on a quarterly basis instead of 25 percent on an annual basis, that the nitrogen oxide emissions be corrected from 873.1 to 862.5 tons per year (TPY), that a 3-hour sulfur dioxide emission limit for coal be added to the permit, that a visible emission standard be added to the permit, that they not be required to test the emissions from all allowed fuels during the first 180 days of operation, that they be allowed to use other test methods than the ones listed in the permit, that they be allowed more than 2 hours for excess emissions during startup conditions, and that they not be required to cover the inactive coal storage pile. Except for the request to not cover the inactive coal pile or analyze the ash, the Department finds their comments acceptable and have made the following changes, along with minor editional changes to the proposed permit:

Specific conditions Nos. 1, 11, and 15, the project description, and the BACT and RACT determinations were revised from 71.25 to 74.9 MW, 1-hour average, except during emission compliance and equipment performance tests. This change does not increase allowable heat input or emissions of any air pollutant.

Specific condition No. 12 was revised to incorporate a plan to minimize treated/painted wood from being burned in the cogeneration facility. Limits on metals associated with treated wood needed to prevent the Acceptable Ambient Concentration from being exceeded were added to the permit.

Specific Condition No. 17 was revised to allow limited operation of both existing bagasse boilers and new cogeneration boilers during the first year while the cogeneration facility is being debugged.

Specific condition No. 18 was revised to allow additional time for excess emissions during startup. Limits on the number of startups during a time period were added to the permit.

Specific condition No. 20 was revised to include a visible emission standard and a 3-hour sulfur dioxide standard for coal based on the new source performance standard for electrical utility steam generating units.

Specific condition No. 21 was revised to allow the use of additional EPA approved compliance test methods.

Specific condition No. 23 was corrected to require a 15 day notice instead of 10 days as listed in the proposed permit prior to any scheduled compliance test.

The final action of the Department will be to issue construction permit No. AC 50-219413 (PSD-FL-196) as proposed in the Technical Evaluation and Preliminary Determination except for the changes noted above.



# Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Lawton Chiles Governor Twin Towers Office Building 2600 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

Virginia B. Wetherell Secretary

PERMITTEE:
Okeelanta Power Limited
Partnership
P. O. Box 86
South Bay, FL 33493

Permit Number: AC50-219413 PSD-FL-196

Expiration Date: July 1, 1996

County: Palm Beach

Latitude/Longitude: 26°35'00"N 80°45'00"W

Project: Cogeneration Facility

This permit is issued under the provisions of Chapter 403, Florida Statutes, and Florida Administrative Code Chapters 17-210, 212, 272, 275, 296, and 297; and 17-4. The above named permittee is hereby authorized to perform the work or operate the facility shown on the application and approved drawings, plans, and other documents attached hereto or on file with the Department and specifically described as follows:

A 74.9 megawatt (gross) electric, (1-hour average), cogeneration facility (biomass--bagasse and wood waste material as the primary fuel, No. 2 fuel oil as a supplementary fuel, and low sulfur coal as an alternate fuel) located at Okeelanta Corporation's sugar mill that is 6 miles south of South Bay, off U.S. Highway 27, Palm Beach County, Florida. The cogeneration facility contains three Zurn spreader-stoker or equivalent steam boilers with a design heat input for each boiler of 715 MMBtu/hr on biomass and 490 MMBtu/hr on fossil fuels. Each boiler will produce approximately 455,400 lbs/hr of steam at 1,500 psig and 975°F. Particulate matter, nitrogen oxides, and mercury emissions from each boiler will be controlled by Research-Cottrell (or equivalent) electrostatic precipitator, Thermal DeNO<sub>X</sub> (or equivalent) selective non-catalytic reduction system, and an activated carbon injection system (or equivalent), respectively. Auxiliary equipment includes feed and ash handling systems, steam turbines and condensers, electric generators, cooling towers, and stacks that are 8.0 ft. in diameter and a minimum 199 ft. high.

The UTM coordinates of this facility are Zone 17, 524.9 km E and 2940.1 km N.

The source shall be constructed in accordance with the permit application, plans, documents, amendments and drawings, except as otherwise noted in the General and Specific Conditions.

# Attachments are listed below:

- 1. Application received September 30, 1992.
- 2. DER letter dated November 3, 1992.
- 3. KBN letter dated December 4, 1992.
- Carlton letter dated December 23, 1992.
- 5. KBN letter dated February 17, 1993.
- 6. KBN letter dated May 25, 1993.
- 7. KBN letter dated July 2, 1993.
- 8. KBN letter dated August 11, 1993.

Permit Number: AC50-219413 PSD-FL-196

Expiration Date: July 1, 1996

#### **GENERAL CONDITIONS:**

1. The terms, conditions, requirements, limitations, and restrictions set forth in this permit are "Permit Conditions" and are binding and enforceable pursuant to Sections 403.161, 403.727, or 403.859 through 403.861, Florida Statutes. The permittee is placed on notice that the Department will review this permit periodically and may initiate enforcement action for any violation of these conditions.

- 2. This permit is valid only for the specific processes and operations applied for and indicated in the approved drawings or exhibits. Any unauthorized deviation from the approved drawings, exhibits, specifications, or conditions of this permit may constitute grounds for revocation and enforcement action by the Department.
- 3. As provided in Subsections 403.087(6) and 403.722(5), Florida Statutes, the issuance of this permit does not convey any vested rights or any exclusive privileges. Neither does it authorize any injury to public or private property or any invasion of personal rights, nor any infringement of federal, state or local laws or regulations. This permit is not a waiver of or approval of any other Department permit that may be required for other aspects of the total project which are not addressed in the permit.
- 4. This permit conveys no title to land or water, does not constitute State recognition or acknowledgement of title, and does not constitute authority for the use of submerged lands unless herein provided and the necessary title or leasehold interests have been obtained from the State. Only the Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund may express State opinion as to title.
- 5. This permit does not relieve the permittee from liability for harm or injury to human health or welfare, animal, or plant life, or property caused by the construction or operation of this permitted source, or from penalties therefore; nor does it allow the permittee to cause pollution in contravention of Florida Statutes and Department rules, unless specifically authorized by an order from the Department.
- 6. The permittee shall properly operate and maintain the facility and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) that are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit, as required by Department rules. This provision includes the operation of backup or auxiliary facilities or similar systems when necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit and when required by Department rules.
- 7. The permittee, by accepting this permit, specifically agrees to allow authorized Department personnel, upon presentation of credentials or other documents as may be required by law and at a

Permit Number: AC50-219413 PSD-FL-196

Expiration Date: July 1, 1996

#### GENERAL CONDITIONS:

reasonable time, access to the premises, where the permitted activity is located or conducted to:

- a. Have access to and copy any records that must be kept under the conditions of the permit;
- b. Inspect the facility, equipment, practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit; and
- c. Sample or monitor any substances or parameters at any location reasonably necessary to assure compliance with this permit or Department rules.

Reasonable time may depend on the nature of the concern being investigated.

- 8. If, for any reason, the permittee does not comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation specified in this permit, the permittee shall immediately provide the Department with the following information:
  - a. a description of and cause of non-compliance; and
  - b. the period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or, if not corrected, the anticipated time the non-compliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance.

The permittee shall be responsible for any and all damages which may result and may be subject to enforcement action by the Department for penalties or for revocation of this permit.

- 9. In accepting this permit, the permittee understands and agrees that all records, notes, monitoring data and other information relating to the construction or operation of this permitted source which are submitted to the Department may be used by the Department as evidence in any enforcement case involving the permitted source arising under the Florida Statutes or Department rules, except where such use is prescribed by Sections 403.73 and 403.111, Florida Statutes. Such evidence shall only be used to the extent it is consistent with the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure and appropriate evidentiary rules.
- 10. The permittee agrees to comply with changes in Department rules and Florida Statutes after a reasonable time for compliance, provided, however, the permittee does not waive any other rights granted by Florida Statutes or Department rules.

Permit Number: AC50-219413 PSD-FL-196

Expiration Date: July 1, 1996

#### **GENERAL CONDITIONS:**

11. This permit is transferable only upon Department approval in accordance with Florida Administrative Code Rules 17-4.120 and 17-730.300, F.A.C., as applicable. The permittee shall be liable for any non-compliance of the permitted activity until the transfer is approved by the Department.

- 12. This permit or a copy thereof shall be kept at the work site of the permitted activity.
- 13. This permit also constitutes:
  - (x) Determination of Best Available Control Technology (BACT)
  - (x) Determination of Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD)
  - (x) Compliance with New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)
- 14. The permittee shall comply with the following:
  - a. Upon request, the permittee shall furnish all records and plans required under Department rules. During enforcement actions, the retention period for all records will be extended automatically unless otherwise stipulated by the Department.
  - b. The permittee shall hold at the facility or other location designated by this permit records of all monitoring information (including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation) required by the permit, copies of all reports required by this permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for this permit. These materials shall be retained at least three years from the date of the sample, measurement, report, or application unless otherwise specified by Department rule.
  - c. Records of monitoring information shall include:
    - the date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements;
    - the person responsible for performing the sampling or measurements;
    - the dates analyses were performed;
    - the person responsible for performing the analyses;
    - the analytical techniques or methods used; and
    - the results of such analyses.

Permit Number: AC50-219413 PSD-FL-196

Expiration Date: July 1, 1996

#### **GENERAL CONDITIONS:**

15. When requested by the Department, the permittee shall within a reasonable time furnish any information required by law which is needed to determine compliance with the permit. If the permittee becomes aware that relevant facts were not submitted or were incorrect in the permit application or in any report to the Department, such facts or information shall be corrected promptly.

#### SPECIFIC CONDITIONS:

# Construction Details

1. Construction of the proposed cogeneration facility shall reasonably conform to the plans described in the application. The facility shall be designed, constructed, and operated so that its gross generating capacity shall not exceed 74.9 megawatt (MW), 1-hour average, except during scheduled emission compliance and equipment performance tests. Equipment performance testing in excess of 74.9 shall be limited to a total of 24 hours (cumulative) during the 180-day calendar period after initial firing of each boiler.

The permittee shall provide detailed engineering plans, 30 days after they become available, demonstrating that the steam electric generating system will not produce more than 74.9 MW at design maximum steam conditions. Such demonstration may include plans for installation of a steam pressure relief valve. If the steam electric generating system is designed with a pressure relief valve, such valve shall be installed and maintained as a requirement of this permit.

- 2. Boilers No. 1, 2 and 3 shall be of the spreader stoker type with a maximum heat input of 715 MMBtu/hr with biomass fuel and 490 MMBtu/hr with fossil fuels.
- 3. Each boiler shall have an individual stack, and each stack must have a minimum height of 199 feet. The stack sampling facilities for each stack must comply with F.A.C. Rule 17-297.345.
- 4. Each boiler shall be equipped with instruments to measure the fuel feed rate, steam production, steam pressure, and steam temperature.
- 5. Each boiler shall be equipped with a:
  - -Electrostatic precipitator (ESP) designed for at least 98 percent removal of particulate matter;
  - -Selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR) system designed for at least 40 percent removal of  $NO_X$ ; and
  - -Carbon injection system (or equivalent) for mercury emissions control.

Permit Number: AC50-219413 PSD-FL-196

Expiration Date: July 1, 1996

#### SPECIFIC CONDITIONS:

6. The permittee shall install and operate continuous monitoring devices for each main boiler exhaust for opacity, nitrogen oxides  $(NO_X)$ , sulfur dioxide  $(SO_2)$ , oxygen  $(O_2)$ , and carbon monoxide (CO).

The monitoring devices shall meet the applicable requirements of Section 17-297.500, F.A.C., and 40 CFR 60.47a. The opacity monitor shall be placed in the duct work between the electrostatic precipitator and the stack or in the stack.

An oxygen meter shall be installed for each unit to continuously monitor a representative sample of the flue gas. The oxygen monitor shall be used with automatic feedback or manual controls to continuously maintain air/fuel ratio parameters at an optimum. Operating procedures shall be established based on the initial emission compliance tests required by Specific Condition No. 21 below. The document "Use of Flue Gas Oxygen Meter as BACT for Combustion Controls" shall be used as a guide. An operating plan shall be submitted to the Department within 90 days of completion of such tests.

- 7. For the electrostatic precipitator, the selective non-catalytic reduction process (SNCR), and the activated carbon injection mercury control system (equivalent controls allowed):
  - a. The permittee shall submit to the Department copies of technical data pertaining to the selected PM,  $NO_X$ , and mercury emission controls within thirty (30) days after it becomes available. These data should include, but not be limited to, guaranteed efficiency and emission rates and major design parameters.
- 8. For the fly ash handling and mercury control system reactant storage systems:
  - a. The particulate matter filter control system for the storage silos shall be designed to achieve a 0.01 gr/acf outlet dust loading. The permittee must submit to the Department copies of technical data pertaining to the selected particulate emissions control for the mercury control system reactant storage silos within thirty (30) days after it becomes available. These data should include, but not be limited to, guaranteed efficiency, emission rates, and major design parameters.
  - b. The fly ash handling system (including transfer points and storage bin) shall be enclosed. The ash shall be wetted in the ash conditioner to minimize fugitive dust prior to it being discharged into the disposal bin.

Permit Number: AC50-219413 PSD-FL-196

Expiration Date: July 1, 1996

#### SPECIFIC CONDITIONS:

9. Prior to operation of the source, the permittee shall submit to the Department an operation and maintenance plan that will allow the permittee to monitor emission control equipment efficiency and enable the permittee to return malfunctioning equipment to proper operation as expeditiously as possible.

10. During land clearing and site preparation, wetting operations or other soil treatment techniques appropriate for controlling unconfined particulates, including grass seeding and mulching of disturbed areas, shall be undertaken and implemented. Any open burning of land clearing debris on this site shall be performed in compliance with Department regulations.

# Operational and Emission Restrictions

- 11. The proposed cogeneration facility steam generating units shall be constructed and operated in accordance with the capabilities and specifications described in the application. The facility shall not exceed 74.9 (gross) megawatt generating capacity, 1 hour average, except during emission compliance and equipment performance tests. Equipment performance testing shall be limited to a 180-day calendar period after initial firing of each boiler. The hourly average generation rate shall be recorded in a log and the log retained for at least 2 years. The maximum heat input rate for each steam generator shall not exceed 715 MMBtu/hr when burning 100 percent biomass and 490 MMBtu/hr when burning 100 percent No. 2 fuel oil or low sulfur coal. Maximum heat input to the entire facility (total all three boilers) shall not exceed 11.5 x 10<sup>12</sup> Btu per year. Steam production of each boiler shall not exceed an average of 455,418 lbs/hr at 1,500 psig, 975°F.
- 12. The primary fuel for the facility shall be biomass--bagasse and wood waste material. Authorized wood waste material is clean construction and demolition wood debris, yard trash, land clearing debris, and other clean cellulose and vegetative matter.

The biomass fuel used at the cogeneration facility shall not contain hazardous substances, hazardous wastes, biomedical wastes, or garbage. The fuel used at the cogeneration facility shall not contain special wastes, except wood, lumber, trees, tree remains, bagasse, cane tops and leaves, and other clean vegetative and cellulose matter.

The permittee shall perform a daily visual inspection of any wood waste or similar vegetative matter that has been delivered to the facility for use as fuel. Any shipment observed to contain prohibited materials shall not be used as fuel, unless such materials can be readily segregated and removed from the wood waste and vegetative matter.

Permit Number: AC50-219413 P8D-FL-196

Expiration Date: July 1, 1996

#### **SPECIFIC CONDITIONS:**

The permittee shall design and implement a management and testing program for the wood waste and other materials delivered to the facility for fuel. The program shall be designed to keep painted and chemically treated wood, household garbage, toxic or hazardous non-biomass and non-combustible waste material, from being burned at this plant. This program shall be submitted to the Department's Bureau of Air Regulation for review and approval at least 60 days before the commencement of operations of the cogeneration facility. At a minimum, the program shall provide for the routine inspection and/or testing of the fuel at the originating wood yard sites as well as at the cogeneration site, to ensure that the quantities of painted or chemically treated wood in the fuel are minimized. Fuel scheduled for burning shall be inspected daily. Fuel tests shall be conducted weekly for the first year of operations at the facility and monthly thereafter, if the Department determines on the basis of the prior test results that less frequent testing is appropriate. A representative sample of ash for the biomass burned during each month for the first year of operation shall be analyzed copper, chromium and arsenic by appropriate analytical procedures per 40 CFR 261, Appendix III, described in SW-846, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods. Wood waste containing more than 70.7 ppm arsenic or 83.3 ppm chromium or 62.8 ppm copper shall not be burned based on an analysis of a composite sample.

- 13. Any fuel oil burned in the facility shall be "new" No. 2 fuel oil with a maximum sulfur content of 0.05 percent sulfur as determined by the appropriate test method listed in 40 CFR 60.17. "New" oil means an oil which has been refined from crude oil and has not been used in any manner that may contaminate it.
- 14. Any coal burned in the facility shall be low sulfur coal with a maximum sulfur content of 0.70 percent and a maximum potential emission equivalent to 1.2 lb SO<sub>2</sub>/MMBtu.
- 15. The consumption of No. 2 fuel oil shall be less than 25 percent of the total heat input to each boiler unit in any calendar quarter. Not more than 73,714 tons of coal shall be burned at this facility during any 12-month period. The combined heat input for coal and oil shall be less than 25 percent of the heat input on a calendar quarter basis.
- 16. The permittee shall maintain a daily log of the amounts and types of fuels used. The amount, heating value, beryllium content (coal only), sulfur content, and equivalent  $SO_2$  emission rate (in lbs/MMBtu) of each fuel oil and coal delivery shall be kept in a log for at least two years. For each calendar month, the calculated  $SO_2$  emissions and 12-month rolling average shall be determined (in tons) and kept in a log.

Permit Number: AC50-219413 PBD-FL-196 Expiration Date: July 1, 1996

#### SPECIFIC CONDITIONS:

During the first three years of commercial cogeneration facility operation, the existing Boilers Nos. 4, 5, 6, 10, 11, 12, 14, and 15 (Permit Nos. A050-169210, 190690, 175414, 190693, 175411, 169215, 189904, and 209094, respectively) may be retained for standby operation. During the period from initial firing to commercial operation, all three cogeneration boilers can be operated simultaneously with the existing boilers. Only biomass and No. 2 fuel oil may be used in the cogeneration boilers during this period. If more than 910,836 lb/hr steam is generated in the cogeneration boilers, steam in excess of 910,836 lb/hr must be sent to the Okeelanta sugar mill, and the existing boiler's steam production reduced by an equivalent amount. This period shall not exceed a total duration of 12 months. During this 12-month period, simultaneous operation of the existing boilers and the cogeneration boilers shall not occur on more than a total of 90 calendar days. After the first year of cogeneration facility operation, the existing boilers may be operated only when all three cogeneration boilers are shutdown. During operation, the existing boilers must meet all requirements in the most recent construction and operation permits for the boilers. These existing boilers shall be shutdown and rendered incapable of operation within three (3) years of commercial startup of the cogeneration facility, but no later than January 1, 1999.

- 18. Boiler No. 16 (AC50-191876) may be retained as a standby boiler for the cogeneration facility provided its permit is amended to authorize standby use. Boiler No. 16 may be operated during initial startup, debugging, and testing of the cogeneration facility for a period not to exceed 12 months following initial firing of fuel in the new boilers. After the first year of cogeneration operation, this boiler may be operated only when one or more of the three cogeneration boilers are shutdown. During operation, this boiler must meet all requirements in the current construction or operating permit for the boiler.
- 19. For the biomass, coal, fly ash, and mercury control system reactant handling facilities:
  - a. All conveyors and conveyor transfer points shall be enclosed to preclude PM emissions (except those directly associated with the stacker/reclaimers, for which enclosure is operationally infeasible).
  - b. Inactive coal storage piles shall be shaped, compacted, and oriented to minimize wind erosion. Sod, wetting agents, synthetic or other appropriate materials shall be used to cover those portions of the inactive coal pile that are prone to wind or water erosion.

Permit Number: AC50-219413

PSD-FL-196

Expiration Date: July 1, 1996

#### SPECIFIC CONDITIONS:

c. Water sprays or chemical wetting agents and stabilizers shall be applied to storage piles, handling equipment, unenclosed transfer points, etc. during dry periods and as necessary to all facilities to maintain an opacity of less than or equal to 5 percent, except when adding, moving or removing coal from the coal pile, which would be allowed no more than 20 percent opacity.

d. The mercury control system reactant storage silos shall be maintained at a negative pressure while operating with the exhaust vented to a filter control system. Particulate matter emissions from each of the three silos shall not exceed a visible emission reading of 5 percent opacity. A visible emission test is to be performed annually on each silo.

20. Visible emissions from any boiler shall not exceed 20 percent opacity, 6-minute average, except up to 27 percent opacity is allowed for up to 6 minutes in any 1-hour period. Based on a maximum heat input to each boiler of 715 MMBtu/hr for biomass fuels and 490 MMBtu/hr for No. 2 fuel oil and coal, stack emissions shall not exceed any limit shown in the following table:

		Emission Limit (per boiler) <sup>d</sup>					
	Biomass		No. 2 Oil		Bit. Coal		Total All <sup>e</sup> Three Boilers
Pollutant	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/hr)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/hr)	(lb/MMBtu)	(lb/hr)	(TPY)
Particulate (TSP)	0.03	21.5	0.03	14.7	0.03	14.7 S	172.5 75 = 2 = 1
Particulate (PM <sub>10</sub> )	0.03	21.5	0.03	14.7	0.03	14.7	172.5
Sulfur Dioxide							
3-hour average					1,2	588.0	
24-hour average	0.10	71.5	0.05	24.5	1.2	588.0	
Annual average	0.02ª				1.2ª		1,154.3 <sup>†</sup>
Nitrogen Oxides						2	164.8=3
Annual average -	0.15 <sup>a</sup>	107.3ª	0.15ª	73.5°	0.17ª	83.3 <sup>a</sup>	1,862.5 1,287.5
Carbon Monoxide							7 4 2 6 7. 8
8-hour average	0.35	250.3	0.2	98.0	0.2	98.0	2,012.5
Volatile Organic							3= 670.8
Compounds	0.06	42.9	0.03	14.7	0.03	14.7	115 = 345.0
lead	2.5 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	0.018	8.9 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>	0.0004	6.4 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	0.031	0.17 = .0
Mercury	6.3 x 10 <sup>-6b</sup> 0.29 x 10 <sup>-6c</sup>	0.0045b 0.00021¢	2.4 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.00118	8.4 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.0041	0.0300

PERMITTEE:

Okeelanta Power Limited

Partnership

Permit Number: AC50-219413

PSD-FL-196

Expiration Date: July 1, 1996

## SPECIFIC CONDITIONS:

SPECIFIC CONL	TITONS:				Mondo	1 tho	
Beryllium		***	3.5 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>	0.00017	5.9 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.0029	0.0052
Fluorides			6.3 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.003	0.024	11.8	21.2
Sulfuric Acid Mist	0.003	2,15	0.0015	0.74	0.036	17.6	34.6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Compliance based on 30-day rolling average, per 40 CFR 60, Subpart Da.

The permittee shall comply with the excess emissions rule contained in F.A.C. Rule 17-210.700. In addition, the permittee is allowed excess emissions during startup conditions, provided such excess emissions do not exceed a duration of four hours, and such emissions in excess of two hours do not exceed six (6) times per year.

# Compliance Requirements

#### 21. Stack Testing

- a. Within 60 calendar days after achieving the maximum capacity at which each unit will be operated, but no later than 180 operating days after initial startup, the permittee shall conduct emission compliance tests for all air pollutants listed in Specific Condition No. 20 (including visible emissions). Tests shall be conducted during normal operations (i.e., within 10 percent of the permitted heat input). The permittee shall furnish the Department a written report of the results of such performance tests within 45 days of completion of the tests. The emission compliance tests will be conducted in accordance with the provisions of 40 CFR 60.46a.
- b. Compliance with emission limitations for each fuel stated in Specific Condition No. 20 above shall be demonstrated using EPA Methods, as contained in 40 CFR Part 60 (Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources), or 40 CFR Part 61 (National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants), or any other method as approved by the

bEmission limit for bagasse. Subject to revision after testing pursuant to Specific Conditions Nos. 24 and 25.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>C</sup>Emission limit for wood waste. Subject to revision after testing pursuant to Specific Conditions Nos. 24 and 25. <sup>d</sup>The emission limit shall be prorated when more than one type of fuel is burned in a boiler.

eLimit heat input from No. 2 fuel to less than 25% of total heat input on a calendar quarter basis, coal to 73,714 tons during any 12-month period, and the combination of oil and coal to less than 25% of the total heat input on a calendar quarter basis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup>Compliance based on a 12-month rolling average.

Permit Number: AC50-219413
PSD-FL-196

Expiration Date: July 1, 1996

#### SPECIFIC CONDITIONS:

Department, in accordance with F.A.C. Rule 17-297.620. A test protocol shall be submitted for approval to the Bureau of Air Regulation at least 90 days prior to testing.

EPA Method*	For Determination of
1	Selection of sample site and velocity traverses.
2	Stack gas flow rate when converting concentrations to or from mass emission limits.
3 or 3A	Gas analysis when needed for calculation of molecular weight or percent 02.
4	Moisture content when converting stack velocity to dry volumetric flow rate for use in converting concentrations in dry gases to or from mass emission limits.
5	Particulate matter concentration and mass emissions.
201 or 201A	PM <sub>10</sub> emissions.
6, 6C, or 19	Sulfur dioxide emissions from stationary sources.
7 or 7E	Nitrogen oxide emissions from stationary sources.
8	Sulfuric acid mist.
9	Visible emission determination of
-	opacity.
	- At least three one hour runs to be
	conducted simultaneously with
	particulate testing.
	- At least one truck unloading into the
	mercury reactant storage silo (from start to finish).
10	Carbon monoxide emissions from
	stationary sources.
12	Determination of inorganic lead
	emissions from stationary sources.
13A or 13B	Fluoride emissions from stationary
	sources.
18 or 25	Volatile organic compounds
	concentration.
101A	Determination of particulate and gaseous
704	mercury emissions.
104	Determination of beryllium emissions
108	from stationary sources.  Determination of particulate and gaseous arsenic emissions.

Permit Number: AC50-219413 PSD-FL-196

Expiration Date: July 1, 1996

#### SPECIFIC CONDITIONS:

EMTIC Test Method CTM-012.WPF Chromium and copper emissions.

\*Other approved EPA test methods may be substituted for the listed method unless the Department has adopted a specific test method for the air pollutant.

- 22. Emission compliance tests shall be conducted under such conditions as the Department shall specify based on representative performance of the facility. The permittee shall make available to the Department such records as may be necessary to determine the conditions of the emission compliance tests.
- 23. The permittee shall provide 30 days notice of the equipment performance tests or 15 working days for stack tests in order to afford the Department the opportunity to have an observer present.
- 24. Stack tests for particulates,  $NO_X$ ,  $SO_2$ , sulfuric acid mist, CO, VOC, lead, mercury, beryllium, fluorides, arsenic, chromium, copper, and visible emissions shall be performed once every six months during the first two years of facility operation in accordance with Specific Conditions Nos. 21, 22, and 23 above. If the test results for the first two years of operation indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the terms of approval and of applicable permits and regulations, the tests will thereafter occur according to the following schedule:
  - -Annually for particulates, sulfur dioxide,\* sulfuric acid mist,\*  $NO_X$ , CO, VOC, mercury, arsenic, chromium, copper and visible emissions.
  - -Once every five years (at permit renewal time) for SO<sub>2</sub>, sulfuric acid mist, lead, beryllium, and fluorides.
  - \*Test required only during years coal is burned in the boilers.
- 25. After conducting the initial stack tests required under Specific Condition No. 24 above, a fuel management plan shall be submitted to the Department and Palm Beach County within 90 days specifying the fuel types and fuel quantities to be burned in the facility in order to not exceed the facility annual mercury, lead, beryllium, and fluorides emission limits specified in Condition 20

Permit Number: AC50-219413 PSD-FL-196

Expiration Date: July 1, 1996

#### SPECIFIC CONDITIONS:

above. The plan shall include mercury emission factors based on stack testing, and may include revised mercury emission factors and baseline emission estimates for the existing Okeelanta facility.

# Reporting Requirements

- 26. Stack monitoring, fuel usage, and fuel analysis data shall be reported to the Department's South and Southeast District Offices and to the Palm Beach County Health Unit on a quarterly basis commencing with the start of commercial operation in accordance with 40 CFR, Part 60, Sections 60.7 and 60.49a, and in accordance with Section 17-297.500, F.A.C.
- The permittee, for good cause, may request that this construction permit be extended. Such a request shall be submitted to the Bureau of Air Regulation prior to 60 days before the expiration of the permit (F.A.C. Rule 17-4.090).
- An application for an operation permit must be submitted to the South District office at least 90 days prior to the expiration date of this construction permit. To properly apply for an operation permit, the applicant shall submit the appropriate application form, fee, certification that construction was completed noting any deviations from the conditions in the construction permit, and compliance test reports as required by this permit (F.A.C. Rules 17-4.055 and 17-4.220).

Issued this 27 day of September , 1993

STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Virginia B. Wetherell, Secretary

Department of Environmental

Protection

# Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Determination Okeelanta Power Limited Partnership Palm Beach County AC50-219413 (PSD-FL-196)

The applicant proposes to construct a 74.9 MW (gross), (1-hour average), electric cogeneration facility consisting of three 715 MMBtu/hr spreader-stoker boilers that will burn biomass (bagasse and wood waste material), No. 2 fuel oil, and coal. The proposed cogeneration facility will be constructed at Okeelanta Corporation's sugar mill that is located 6 miles south of South Bay, off U.S. Highway 27, Palm Beach County, Florida. During the period from initial firing to commercial operation of the cogeneration facility, both new and existing boilers can be operated simultaneously for up to 90 days while the new system is being debugged. Eight existing bagasse/No. 6 fuel oil fired boilers at the sugar mill will be shut down when the cogeneration facility begins commercial operation.

The cogeneration facility, as proposed, will cause a significant net emissions increase of sulfur dioxide, fluorides, and beryllium. Therefore, the project is subject to new source review pursuant to the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) regulations (F.A.C. Rule 17-212.400). This BACT determination is part of the PSD requirements.

Date of Receipt of a BACT Application: September 30, 1992

The BACT Determination requested by the applicant is summarized below:

<u>Sulfur Dioxide</u>: The recommended BACT is the use of low sulfur fuel: biomass, typically 0.009 percent sulfur; No. 2 fuel oil with a maximum of 0.05 percent sulfur, and coal with a maximum of 0.70 percent sulfur. Also, limiting the No. 2 fuel oil burned in the boilers to less than 25 percent of the heat input on a calendar quarter basis, limiting the burning of coal to 73,714 tons during any 12-month period, limiting the combined heat input from coal and oil to 25 percent of the heat input during any calendar quarter, and limiting the annual sulfur dioxide emissions to 1,154.3 TPY are conditions of the BACT determination.

Fluorides: The recommended BACT is limiting the quantity of low sulfur coal burned in the facility, the primary source of fluorides, to a maximum of 16 percent of the total annual heat input and the use of an ESP to capture particulates containing the pollutant.

Beryllium: Same as above.

A summary of the emission limits proposed by the applicant for each pollutant subject to the BACT determination follows:

# Proposed Emission Limits for the Okeelanta Power Facility

	Emission Limits	(lbs per MMBtu/lbs Fuels*	per hr per boiler)
<u>Pollutants</u>	Biomass	No. 2 fuel oil	Coal
so <sub>2</sub>	0.10/71.5	0.05/24.5	1.2/588
Beryllium		3.5E-7/1.7E-4	5.9E-6/2.9E-3
Fluorides		6.3E-6/3.0E-3	2.4E-2/11.8

\* Maximum heat input per boiler

Biomass - 715 MMBtu/hr No. 2 fuel oil - 490 MMBtu/hr Coal - 490 MMBtu/hr

#### BACT Determination Procedure

In accordance with Florida Administrative Code Chapter 17-212.410, Best Available Control Technology Determination, Stationary Source-Preconstruction Review, this BACT determination is based on the maximum degree of reduction of each pollutant emitted which the Department, on a case by case basis, taking into account energy, environmental and economic impacts, and other costs, determines is achievable through application of production processes and available methods, systems, and techniques. In addition, the regulations state that in making the BACT determination the Department shall give consideration to:

- (a) Any Environmental Protection Agency determination of Best Available Control Technology pursuant to 40 CFR 52.21, and any emission limitation contained in 40 CFR Part 60 (Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources) or 40 CFR Part 61 (National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants).
- (b) All scientific, engineering, and technical material and other information available to the Department.
- (c) The emission limiting standards or BACT determinations of any other state.
- (d) The social and economic impact of the application of such technology.

The EPA currently stresses that BACT should be determined using the "top-down" approach. The first step in this approach is to determine for the emission source in question the most stringent control available for a similar or identical source or source category. If it is shown that this level of control is technically

or economically infeasible for the source in question, then the next most stringent level of control is determined and similarly evaluated. This process continues until the BACT level under consideration cannot be eliminated by any substantial or unique technical, environmental, or economic objections.

# BACT Determination by DEP

Pollutant	Emission Limit (lbs/MMBtu)	Control Technology	EPA Test Method
Sulfur Dioxide	0.10 (biomass)  0.02 (30-day rolling avg. on biomass)  0.05 (No. 2 fuel oil)  1.2 (coal) (30-day rolling average)	Low sulfur fuel (0.05 percent max. for No. 2 fuel oil; 0.70 percent max. for coal; max. heat input of less than 25 percent on a calendar quarter basis from No. 2 fuel oil, a max. of 73,714 tons coal burned during any 12-month period, a max. combined heat input for coal and oil of less than 25 percent on a calendar quarter basis, and limiting sulfur dioxide emissions to 1,154.3 TPY 12-month rolling average	6, 6C, or 19 and continuous emissions monitoring.
Beryllium	3.5E-7 (No. 2 fuel oil) 5.9E-6 (coal)	Max. heat input of less than 25 percent from No. 2 fuel oil, on a calendar quarter basis, max. annual capacity factor of 16 percent for coal, a max. heat input of less than 25 percent on a calendar quarter basis for combined coal and oil, and use of an ESP	104

Okeelanta Power (BACT)
-AC50-219413 (PSD-FL-196)
Page 4

Fluorides	fuel oil)	Max. heat input of less than 25 percent on a calendar quarter basis from No. 2 fuel oil, max, annual capacity factor of 16 percent for coal, a max. combined heat input of less than 25 percent on a calendar quarter basis for coal and oil, and use of an ESP	13A or 13B
-----------	-----------	---	------------

#### BACT Determination Rationale

Sulfur Dioxide: The proposed facility is subject to PSD because of the potential emissions of the alternate coal fuel. The coal will contain a maximum of 0.70 percent sulfur. The applicant proposes that the heat input from fossil fuels be limited to less than 25 percent of the total heat input on a calendar quarter basis for the Thus, 75 percent of the heat input (minimum) for the boilers will be provided by biomass -- a fuel that averages 0.009 percent sulfur. The highest proposed SO<sub>2</sub> emissions, 1.2 lbs/MMBtu heat input and 1,154 TPY, will occur when 16 percent of the annual heat input is provided by coal containing 0.7 percent sulfur. These emissions meet the applicable new source performance standards, 40 CFR 60, Subpart Da. The use of either a wet limestone scrubber or lime/sodium spray dry scrubber, controls used in other BACT determinations listed in the BACT/LAER Clearinghouse document, would reduce SO2 emissions significantly (over 90 percent). The scrubbers would also create a contaminated liquid or dry solid waste which would have to be disposed of properly. The applicant evaluated the economic, energy and environmental impacts of wet scrubbers, dry scrubbers and dry injection system, in combination with low, medium and high sulfur coal, as technically feasible control alternatives. The economic analysis estimated the total cost effectiveness over baseline of these alternatives to range from \$4,994 to \$8,923 per ton of SO2 removed. Limiting the use of low sulfur coal to a 16 percent capacity factor and total sulfur dioxide emissions from the facility, instead of requiring a flue gas desulfurization system, is consistent with recent BACT determinations for multi-fuel spreader stoker boilers. This is applicable to Okeelanta Power because the coal will be fired on an infrequent and intermittent basis. The weighted average sulfur dioxide emissions from this facility will be 0.21 lbs/MMBtu. combined sulfur dioxide emissions from Okeelanta Power and Osceola Power, a similar proposed plant whose application is being processed at this time, is 1,507 TPY. This results in an overall

Okeelanta Power (BACT)
AC50-219413 (PSD-FL-196)
Page 5

sulfur dioxide emission limit of 0.168 lbs/MMBtu for both facilities. This average emission rate is close to that determined as BACT for 100 percent coal-fired power plants (i.e., 0.17 lbs/MMBtu for Bechtel Indiantown and 0.25 lbs/MMBtu for OUC Stanton Unit 2).

The ambient air impact for  $SO_2$  at the proposed emission rate has been calculated to be 0.8, 74, and 164  $ug/m^3$  for the annual, 24-hour, and 3-hour time periods, respectively.

Beryllium: Traces of beryllium are present in fossil fuels. Beryllium can be vaporized and emitted as an air pollutant when these fuels are burned. At the operating temperature of the ESP, approximately 350°F, most of the beryllium should be condensed and captured by the 98 percent efficient ESP. Maximum beryllium emissions are estimated to be 8.7E-3 lbs/hr. The ambient air impact of this emission will be 5E-4, 4E-4, and 3E-5 ug/m³ for the 8-hour, 24-hour and annual time periods, respectively. These impacts are below the Acceptable Ambient Concentration (AAC), a concentration believed to have an acceptable health risk to the public.

Fluorides: The fluorides in the fuels can be converted to acid gases during combustion. A majority of these pollutants at Okeelanta Power will come from the coal burned at that facility. By limiting the heat input from coal to a 16% capacity factor, acid gases (fluorides) will be limited. Any acid gas existing in a liquid or solid phase can be captured by the ESP.

At a maximum emission rate per boiler of 11.8 lbs/hr fluorides, the 8-hour and 24-hour impacts are 1.95 and 1.48  $ug/m^3$ . These impacts are below the AAC.

The Department concluded that limitations on the amount of fossil fuel burned at this facility is BACT for these pollutants.

# Conclusion

For the emission standards established as BACT, the ambient air impacts of the sulfur dioxide, beryllium, and fluorides will be below the ambient air standards and/or AAC for these pollutants.

Details of the Analysis May be Obtained by Contacting: Doug Outlaw, P.E., BACT Coordinator Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Air Regulation 2600 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

Recommended by:

C. H. Fancy, P.E., Chief Bureau of Air Regulation

September 17 1993

Approved by:

Virginia B. Wetherell, Secretary Dept. of Environmental Protection

September 27 1993 Date

# Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) Determination Okeelanta Power Limited Partnership Palm Beach County AC50-219413 (PSD-FL-196)

The applicant proposed to construct a 74.9 MW (gross, 1-hour average), electric cogeneration facility consisting of three 715 MMBtu/hr spreader-stoker boilers that will burn biomass (bagasse and wood waste material), No. 2 fuel oil, and coal. The proposed cogeneration facility will be constructed at and its operations integrated into Okeelanta Corporation's sugar mill. This mill is located 6 miles south of South Bay, Palm Beach County, Florida. Eight existing bagasse/No. 6 fuel oil boilers at the sugar mill will be replaced by the cogeneration facility when it begins commercial operation. The cogeneration facility is a major source for volatile organic compounds (345 TPY) and nitrogen oxides (862.5 TPY). However, the net contemporaneous emission change for these pollutants resulting from the cogeneration facility project, a reduction of 56.9 TPY for VOC and a reduction of 26.2 TPY for NO $_{\rm X}$ , is less than the significant emission rates, Table 212.400-2, F.A.C. Thus, the project is subject to F.A.C. Rule 17-296.570, Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) Requirements for Major VOC - and NO<sub>X</sub> - Emitting Facilities.

Date of Receipt of an Application Subject to RACT: Sept. 30, 1992.

The RACT Determination requested by the applicant is summarized below:

<u>Volatile Organic Compounds</u>: The recommended VOC air pollution control is efficient boiler design and good combustion practices based on the document titled "Use of Flue Gas Oxygen Meter as BACT for Combustion Controls." The estimated VOC emission rates are 0.06 lbs/MMBtu on biomass and 0.03 lbs/MMBtu on No. 2 fuel oil and coal.

Nitrogen Oxides: The recommended  $NO_X$  air pollution control is use of a selective non-catalytic reduction system designed to achieve at least 40 percent  $NO_X$  reduction efficiency. The estimated  $NO_X$  emission rates are 0.15 lbs/MMBtu for biomass fuels and No. 2 fuel oil and 0.17 lbs/MMBtu for coal firing.

#### RACT Determination Procedure

In accordance with F.A.C. Rule 17-296.570, Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) Requirements for Major VOC - and NO $_{\rm X}$  - Emitting Facilities, this RACT determination is based on the applicant's proposal, published documents, and technological feasibility.

Okeelanta Power Limited Partnership (RACT) AC50-219413 (PSD-FL-196)

+ Page 2

# RACT Determined by DEP

<u>voc</u>			NO <sub>Y</sub>		
Fuel	<u>lbs/MMBtu</u>	Control	lbs/MMBt		
Biomass	0.06	Boiler Design, Good operation practice using the oxygen meter	0.15	Non-Catalytic reduction system	
No. 2 Fuel Oil	0.03		0.15		
Coal	0.03		0.17		

## RACT Determination Rationale

<u>VOC</u>: The applicant is committed to meeting the VOC emission limit through good design and operating practice based on a procedure that has been considered as a BACT determination for similar boilers. As a BACT determination is generally considered to establish more stringent emission standards than a RACT determination, the Department finds the applicant's proposal acceptable.

 $\underline{\text{NO}_X}$ : The applicant will use a selective non-catalytic reduction system to lower NO<sub>X</sub> emissions. The proposed NO<sub>X</sub> emissions are lower than the limits given in the new source performance standards (NSPS) for electric utility steam generation units (40 CFR 60, Subpart Da). As a NSPS is generally considered to have a more stringent emission limit than a RACT standard, the Department finds the applicant's proposal acceptable.

There is a net reduction in the VOC and  $\rm NO_X$  emissions from the Okeelanta Power Limited Partnership project. Therefore, the ambient air impact of these pollutants from the Okeelanta Corporation's sugar mill will decrease.

#### Conclusion

Good boiler design, operation practice and use of a non-catalytic reduction system meets the VOC and  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$  RACT for the proposed cogeneration facility. The emissions will not interfere with reasonable further progress in this ozone non-attainment area.

# Details of the Analysis May be Obtained by Contacting:

Doug Outlaw, P.E., BACT Coordinator Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Air Regulation 2600 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400 Okeelanta Power Limited Partnership (RACT)

AC50-219413 (PSD-FL-196)
Page 3

Recommended by:

C. H. Fancy P.E., Chief Bureau of Air Regulation

Ecpluber 17 1993

Date

Approved by:

Virginia B. Wetherell, Secretary Dept. of Environmental Protection

September 27

\_\_\_\_\_1993

Date

TO: Virginia Wetherell

FROM: Howard L. Rhodes

DATE: September 27, 1993

SUBJ: Approval of Construction Permits

Okeelanta/Osceola Power Limited Partnership

Attached for your approval and signature are two PSD permits for electric/steam cogeneration facilities to be built at two sugar mills in Palm Beach County burning biomass, oil and coal. One of these permits is for 74.9 megawatts of electricity production and the other is for 60 megawatts of electricity production. Controls include reduction for nitrogen oxide, particulate, and mercury.

I recommend your approval and signature on the Permits, Best Available Control Technology determinations and Reasonably Available Control Technology determinations.

HLR/WH/bjb

Attachments