Adams, Patty

From: Halpin, Mike

Sent: Monday, October 01, 2001 7:02

To: Adams, Patty
Subject: RE: FW: Reliant

Thanks. I suppose that we ought to add a new letter to the PSD number. Would 273A be the new number?

Mike

----Original Message-----From: Adams, Patty

Sent: Friday, September 28, 2001 2:26 PM

To: Halpin, Mike

Subject: RE: FW: Reliant

It's done. The number is 0970071-002-AC. Do we need to modify the PSD permit number (PSD-FL-

273A)?

-----Original Message-----From: Halpin, Mike

Sent: Friday, September 28, 2001 9:22 AM

To: Adams, Patty

Subject: FW: FW: Reliant

Patty -

Can you show this Reliant project as incomplete as of the day it was recorded (see below e-

mails)? Thanks Mike

----Original Message----

From: David S. Dee [mailto:ddee@landersandparsons.com]

Sent: Friday, September 21, 2001 2:46 PM

To: Halpin, Mike

Subject: Re: FW: Reliant

Mike.

Reliant wanted to move quickly with this issue so, yes, they sent in their letter request before I received your e-mail.

I have forwarded your questions to Reliant and they are working on your issues already.

You do not need to send a request for additional information.

My assumption is that the PTE and modeling results will not change, even if Reliant's request is granted, because all of the emissions estimates and modeling presumably were based on maximum oil-firing. The request simply would give Reliant more flexibility in its operations, and help Reliant avoid the use of oil. However, just to be sure, I have asked Reliant to confirm that my assumptions are correct.

I'll get back to you with additional information as soon as possible.

David Dee

Halpin, Mike wrote:

David -

Re: prior e-mail

It appears that my e-mail (below) may have not preceded Reliant's re which I received today and was dated September 18th. The request does appear to fully address the issues noted below. I am willing to acce supplementary (e-mail) response by Reliant to these issues, or will d to preparing a Request for Additional Information when I can get to i Let me know your preference.

Thanks Mike

----Original Message----

From: Halpin, Mike

Sent: Tuesday, September 18, 2001 7:31 AM

To: David Dee (E-mail)
Subject: Reliant

Hi David -

Sorry it took me until now to answer your voice-mail: You asked about the amount of the check and how to make the perm request. The amount is \$250. The applicant's letter should sum request and include (at a minimum) the following:

- 1) Why the applicant wants the change
- 2) How (if at all) the applicant may operate differently in the the request is approved
- 3) How the maximum emissions (P.T.E.) would be increased (if at
- 4) How the P.T.E. comports with the original application
- 5) Whether the original modeling submitted to the Department inc the worst case emissions which could be seen with the requested
- 6) Any other info believed to be pertinent

As I had indicated, a public notice will be required.

Mike