

# Memorandum

# Florida Department of Environmental Protection

---

TO: Trina Vielhauer

THRU: Jeff Koerner *JK*

FROM: Bruce Mitchell *BM*

DATE: October 27, 2006

SUBJECT: Covanta Lake II, Inc.  
Lake County Resource Recovery Facility  
PROPOSED Title V Air Operation Permit Renewal  
0690046-006-AV

Attached is the PROPOSED Title V Permit Renewal.

The subject of Title V permitting action is for the renewal of the Title V Air Operation Permit, which includes the incorporation of air construction permit, No. 0690046-007-AC/PSD-FL-113(F), and the incorporation of the rule changes made to 40 CFR 60, Subparts Cb and Eb, as contained in the Federal Register, Volume 71, No. 90, dated May 10, 2006.

Comments were received from one respondent during the 30 (thirty) day public comment period. Minor changes were made to the DRAFT permit. As can be seen in the PROPOSED Determination, the changes were not considered significant enough to reissue a Revised DRAFT Title V Air Operation Permit and require another Public Notice. Therefore, it is recommended that the PROPOSED permit be issued, including the minor changes as noted.

Attachments

TLV/jk/bm

**Friday, Barbara**

---

**To:** bcrispell@covantaenergy.com; Kozlov, Leonard; Treshler, Joseph; vta@covantaenergy.com; Chanson@co.lake.fl.us; worley.gregg@epa.gov

**Cc:** Mitchell, Bruce

**Subject:** PROPOSED Title V Permit Renewal No.: 0690046-006-AV - Covanta Lake II, Inc. - Lake County RRF

**Attachments:** 0690046p.006.AV.Renewal.Covanta.pdf;  
0690046.006.AV.Renewal.CovantaProposedDetermination.pdf;  
0690046.006.AV.Renewal.PROPOSED.Covanta.SOB.pdf;  
0690046G.006.AV.Renewal.PROPOSED.Covanta.pdf;  
0690046H.006.AV.Renewal.PROPOSED.Covanta.pdf

Dear Sir/Madam:

Please send a "reply" message verifying receipt of the attached document(s); this may be done by selecting "Reply" on the menu bar of your e-mail software and then selecting "Send". We must receive verification of receipt and your reply will preclude subsequent e-mail transmissions to verify receipt of the document(s).

The document(s) may require immediate action within a specified time frame. Please open and review the document(s) as soon as possible.

The document is in Adobe Portable Document Format (pdf). Adobe Acrobat Reader can be downloaded for free at the following internet site: <http://www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep.html>.

The Bureau of Air Regulation is issuing electronic documents for permits, notices and other correspondence in lieu of hard copies through the United States Postal System, to provide greater service to the applicant and the engineering community. Please advise this office of any changes to your e-mail address or that of the Engineer-of-Record.

Thank you,

DEP, Bureau of Air Regulation

10/31/2006

**Friday, Barbara**

---

**From:** System Administrator  
**To:** Kozlov, Leonard  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 31, 2006 11:11 AM  
**Subject:** Delivered: PROPOSED Title V Permit Renewal No.: 0690046-006-AV - Covanta Lake II, Inc. - Lake County RRF

Your message

**To:** 'bcrispell@covantaenergy.com'; Kozlov, Leonard; 'Treshler, Joseph'; 'vta@covantaenergy.com'; 'Chanson@co.lake.fl.us'; 'worley.gregg@epa.gov'  
**Cc:** Mitchell, Bruce  
**Subject:** PROPOSED Title V Permit Renewal No.: 0690046-006-AV - Covanta Lake II, Inc. - Lake County RRF  
**Sent:** 10/31/2006 11:11 AM

was delivered to the following recipient(s):

Kozlov, Leonard on 10/31/2006 11:11 AM

## Friday, Barbara

---

**From:** Ta,Viet [Viet\_Ta@CovantaEnergy.com]  
**To:** Friday, Barbara  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 31, 2006 11:13 AM  
**Subject:** Read: PROPOSED Title V Permit Renewal No.: 0690046-006-AV - Covanta Lake II, Inc. - Lake County RRF

Your message

To: Viet\_Ta@CovantaEnergy.com  
Subject:

was read on 10/31/2006 11:13 AM.

**Friday, Barbara**

---

**From:** Treshler, Joseph [Joseph\_Treshler@CovantaEnergy.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 31, 2006 11:33 AM  
**Subject:** Read: PROPOSED Title V Permit Renewal No.: 0690046-006-AV - Covanta Lake II, Inc. - Lake County RRF

Your message

To: Joseph\_Treshler@CovantaEnergy.com  
Subject:

was read on 10/31/2006 11:33 AM.

**Friday, Barbara**

---

**From:** Treshler, Joseph [Joseph\_Treshler@CovantaEnergy.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 31, 2006 11:33 AM  
**To:** Friday, Barbara  
**Subject:** RE: PROPOSED Title V Permit Renewal No.: 0690046-006-AV - Covanta Lake II, Inc. - Lake County RRF

Received

---

**From:** Friday, Barbara [mailto:Barbara.Friday@dep.state.fl.us]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 31, 2006 11:11 AM  
**To:** Crispell, Bradford; Kozlov, Leonard; Treshler, Joseph; Ta, Viet; Chanson@co.lake.fl.us; worley.gregg@epa.gov  
**Cc:** Mitchell, Bruce  
**Subject:** PROPOSED Title V Permit Renewal No.: 0690046-006-AV - Covanta Lake II, Inc. - Lake County RRF

Dear Sir/Madam:

Please send a "reply" message verifying receipt of the attached document(s); this may be done by selecting "Reply" on the menu bar of your e-mail software and then selecting "Send". We must receive verification of receipt and your reply will preclude subsequent e-mail transmissions to verify receipt of the document(s).

The document(s) may require immediate action within a specified time frame. Please open and review the document(s) as soon as possible.

The document is in Adobe Portable Document Format (pdf). Adobe Acrobat Reader can be downloaded for free at the following internet site: <http://www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep.html>.

The Bureau of Air Regulation is issuing electronic documents for permits, notices and other correspondence in lieu of hard copies through the United States Postal System, to provide greater service to the applicant and the engineering community. Please advise this office of any changes to your e-mail address or that of the Engineer-of-Record.

Thank you,

DEP, Bureau of Air Regulation

10/31/2006

**Friday, Barbara**

---

**From:** Crispell,Bradford [Bradford\_Crispell@CovantaEnergy.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 31, 2006 11:34 AM  
**To:** Friday, Barbara; Kozlov, Leonard; Treshler,Joseph; Ta,Viet; Chanson@co.lake.fl.us; worley.gregg@epa.gov  
**Cc:** Mitchell, Bruce; Main,Gary; Staniec,Teri; jcooper@lakecountyfl.gov  
**Subject:** RE: PROPOSED Title V Permit Renewal No.: 0690046-006-AV - Covanta Lake II, Inc. - Lake County RRF

We received.

Bradford Crispell  
Covanta Energy  
727-856-2917 ext 1

**om:** Friday, Barbara [mailto:Barbara.Friday@dep.state.fl.us]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 31, 2006 11:11 AM  
**To:** Crispell,Bradford; Kozlov, Leonard; Treshler,Joseph; Ta,Viet; Chanson@co.lake.fl.us; worley.gregg@epa.gov  
**Cc:** Mitchell, Bruce  
**Subject:** PROPOSED Title V Permit Renewal No.: 0690046-006-AV - Covanta Lake II, Inc. - Lake County RRF

Dear Sir/Madam:

Please send a "reply" message verifying receipt of the attached document(s); this may be done by selecting "Reply" on the menu bar of your e-mail software and then selecting "Send". We must receive verification of receipt and your reply will preclude subsequent e-mail transmissions to verify receipt of the document(s).

The document(s) may require immediate action within a specified time frame. Please open and review the document(s) as soon as possible.

The document is in Adobe Portable Document Format (pdf). Adobe Acrobat Reader can be downloaded for free at the following internet site: <http://www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep.html>.

The Bureau of Air Regulation is issuing electronic documents for permits, notices and other correspondence in lieu of hard copies through the United States Postal System, to provide greater service to the applicant and the engineering community. Please advise this office of any changes to your e-mail address or that of the Engineer-of-Record.

Thank you,

DEP, Bureau of Air Regulation

## Friday, Barbara

---

**From:** EPA Postmaster automated message [postmaster@epamail.epa.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 31, 2006 11:23 AM  
**To:** Friday, Barbara  
**Subject:** Delivery Notification: Message successfully forwarded

**Attachments:** ATT82925.txt; ATT82926.txt



ATT82925.txt (626 B) ATT82926.txt (2 KB)

This report relates to a message you sent with the following header fields:

Message-id: <5280B20498F24C46A51A87E86A0C8F97038C44@tlhexsmb5.floridadep.net>  
Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 11:11:11 -0500  
From: "Friday, Barbara" <Barbara.Friday@dep.state.fl.us>  
To: bcrispell@covantaenergy.com,  
"Kozlov, Leonard" <Leonard.Kozlov@dep.state.fl.us>,  
"Treshler, Joseph" <Joseph\_Treshler@CovantaEnergy.com>, vta@covantaenergy.com,  
Chanson@co.lake.fl.us, worley.gregg@epamail.epa.gov  
Subject: PROPOSED Title V Permit Renewal No.: 0690046-006-AV - Covanta Lake II, Inc. - Lake County RRF

Your message has been successfully relayed to the recipients

Recipient address: worley.gregg@mseive.epa.gov  
Original address: worley.gregg@epa.gov  
Reason: Message successfully relayed to a system that does not support receipts  
Diagnostic code: dns;mseive02.rtp.epa.gov (TCP|134.67.208.33|4343|134.67.221.150|25)  
(mseive02.rtp.epa.gov ESMTTP Postfix) smtp;250 Ok  
Remote system: dns;mseive02.rtp.epa.gov (TCP|134.67.208.33|4343|134.67.221.150|25)  
(mseive02.rtp.epa.gov ESMTTP Postfix)

on a remote system that does not support the generation of successful delivery receipts. This does NOT mean that your message has actually been placed in the recipients' mailboxes; merely that it has passed through a part of the message transport infrastructure. In the event of a nondelivery you should expect to receive a nondelivery notification; in the event of successful delivery, however, you are unlikely to receive a positive confirmation of delivery.



## Friday, Barbara

---

**From:** Hanson, Jodine [jhanson@co.lake.fl.us]  
**To:** Friday, Barbara  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 31, 2006 12:05 PM  
**Subject:** Read: PROPOSED Title V Permit Renewal No.: 0690046-006-AV - Covanta Lake II, Inc. - Lake County RRF

Your message

To: jhanson@co.lake.fl.us  
Subject:

was read on 10/31/2006 12:05 PM.

**Friday, Barbara**

---

**From:** Hanson, Jodine [jhanson@co.lake.fl.us]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 31, 2006 12:25 PM  
**To:** Friday, Barbara  
**Subject:** RE: PROPOSED Title V Permit Renewal No.: 0690046-006-AV - Covanta Lake II, Inc. - Lake County RRF

---

**From:** Friday, Barbara [mailto:Barbara.Friday@dep.state.fl.us]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 31, 2006 11:11 AM  
**To:** bcrispell@covantaenergy.com; Kozlov, Leonard; Treshler, Joseph; vta@covantaenergy.com; Hanson, Catherine; worley.gregg@epa.gov  
**Cc:** Mitchell, Bruce  
**Subject:** PROPOSED Title V Permit Renewal No.: 0690046-006-AV - Covanta Lake II, Inc. - Lake County RRF

Dear Sir/Madam:

Please send a "reply" message verifying receipt of the attached document(s); this may be done by selecting "Reply" on the menu bar of your e-mail software and then selecting "Send". We must receive verification of receipt and your reply will preclude subsequent e-mail transmissions to verify receipt of the document(s).

The document(s) may require immediate action within a specified time frame. Please open and review the document(s) as soon as possible.

The document is in Adobe Portable Document Format (pdf). Adobe Acrobat Reader can be downloaded for free at the following internet site: <http://www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep.html>.

The Bureau of Air Regulation is issuing electronic documents for permits, notices and other correspondence in lieu of hard copies through the United States Postal System, to provide greater service to the applicant and the engineering community. Please advise this office of any changes to your e-mail address or that of the Engineer-of-Record.

Thank you,

DEP, Bureau of Air Regulation

**Friday, Barbara**

---

**From:** Hanson, Jodine [jhanson@co.lake.fl.us]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 31, 2006 12:28 PM  
**To:** Friday, Barbara  
**Subject:** RE: PROPOSED Title V Permit Renewal No.: 0690046-006-AV - Covanta Lake II, Inc. - Lake County RRF

We received.

Catherine Hanson  
Lake County Board of County Commissioners  
352-343-9850

---

**From:** Friday, Barbara [mailto:Barbara.Friday@dep.state.fl.us]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 31, 2006 11:11 AM  
**To:** bcrispell@covantaenergy.com; Kozlov, Leonard; Treshler, Joseph; vta@covantaenergy.com; Hanson, Catherine; worley.gregg@epa.gov  
**Cc:** Mitchell, Bruce  
**Subject:** PROPOSED Title V Permit Renewal No.: 0690046-006-AV - Covanta Lake II, Inc. - Lake County RRF

Dear Sir/Madam:

Please send a "reply" message verifying receipt of the attached document(s); this may be done by selecting "Reply" on the menu bar of your e-mail software and then selecting "Send". We must receive verification of receipt and your reply will preclude subsequent e-mail transmissions to verify receipt of the document(s).

The document(s) may require immediate action within a specified time frame. Please open and review the document(s) as soon as possible.

The document is in Adobe Portable Document Format (pdf). Adobe Acrobat Reader can be downloaded for free at the following internet site: <http://www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep.html>.

The Bureau of Air Regulation is issuing electronic documents for permits, notices and other correspondence in lieu of hard copies through the United States Postal System, to provide greater service to the applicant and the engineering community. Please advise this office of any changes to your e-mail address or that of the Engineer-of-Record.

Thank you,

DEP, Bureau of Air Regulation

10/31/2006

## Friday, Barbara

---

**From:** Kozlov, Leonard  
**To:** Friday, Barbara  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 31, 2006 1:24 PM  
**Subject:** Read: PROPOSED Title V Permit Renewal No.: 0690046-006-AV - Covanta Lake II, Inc. - Lake County RRF

Your message

**To:** 'bcrispell@covantaenergy.com'; Kozlov, Leonard; 'Treshler, Joseph'; 'vta@covantaenergy.com'; 'Chanson@co.lake.fl.us'; 'worley.gregg@epa.gov'  
**Cc:** Mitchell, Bruce  
**Subject:** PROPOSED Title V Permit Renewal No.: 0690046-006-AV - Covanta Lake II, Inc. - Lake County RRF  
**Sent:** 10/31/2006 11:11 AM

was read on 10/31/2006 1:24 PM.



Jeb Bush  
Governor

# Department of Environmental Protection

Twin Towers Office Building  
2600 Blair Stone Road  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

Colleen M. Castille  
Secretary

October 31, 2006

ELECTRONICALLY SENT – Received Receipt Requested

Mr. Brad Crispell  
Responsible Official  
Covanta Lake II, Inc.  
3830 Rogers Industrial Park Road  
Okahumpka, FL 34762

Re: PROPOSED Title V Permit Renewal Project No.: 0690046-006-AV  
Covanta Lake II, Inc.  
Lake County Resource Recovery Facility

Dear Mr. Crispell:

One copy of the “PROPOSED Determination” for the Covanta Lake II, Inc.’s Lake County Resource Recovery Facility located at 3830 Rogers Industrial Park Road, Lake County, is enclosed. This letter is only a courtesy to inform you that the DRAFT Permit has become a PROPOSED Permit.

An electronic version of this determination has been provided to the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Region 4 office for their review. The web site address is:

“[http://www.dep.state.fl.us/air/permitting/airpermits/AirSearch\\_ltd.asp](http://www.dep.state.fl.us/air/permitting/airpermits/AirSearch_ltd.asp)”

Pursuant to Section 403.0872(6), Florida Statutes, if no objection to the PROPOSED Permit is made by the USEPA within 45 days, the PROPOSED Permit will become a FINAL Permit no later than 55 days after the date on which the PROPOSED Permit was mailed (posted) to USEPA. If the USEPA has an objection to the PROPOSED Permit, the FINAL Permit will not be issued until the permitting authority receives written notice that the objection is resolved or withdrawn.

If you should have any questions, please contact Bruce Mitchell at 850/413-9198.

Sincerely,

Trina L. Vielhauer  
Chief  
Bureau of Air Regulation

TLV/jfk/bm

Enclosures

Copy furnished to:

Mr. Brad Crispell, R.O. ([bcrispell@covantaenergy.com](mailto:bcrispell@covantaenergy.com))  
Mr. Len Kozlov, P.E., DAPA, CD ([Leonard.Kozlov@dep.state.fl.us](mailto:Leonard.Kozlov@dep.state.fl.us))  
Mr. Joseph R. Treshler, P.E., CE ([jtreshler@covantaenergy.com](mailto:jtreshler@covantaenergy.com))  
Mr. Viet Ta, Application Contact, CLI ([vta@covantaenergy.com](mailto:vta@covantaenergy.com))  
Ms. Catherine C. Hanson, Chairman, Lake County BCC ([chanson@lakecountyfl.gov](mailto:chanson@lakecountyfl.gov))  
Mr. Gregg Worley, U.S. EPA, Region 4 ([worley.gregg@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:worley.gregg@epamail.epa.gov))

“More Protection, Less Process”

Printed on recycled paper.

## PROPOSED Determination

Covanta Lake II, Inc.  
Lake County Resource Recovery Facility

PROPOSED Title V Air Operation Permit Renewal No.: 0690046-006-AV  
Facility ID: 0690046

### I. Public Notice.

An "INTENT TO ISSUE AN AIR CONSTRUCTION PERMIT AND A TITLE V AIR OPERATION PERMIT RENEWAL" to Covanta Lake II, Inc., for the Lake County Resource Recovery Facility located at 3830 Rogers Industrial Park Road, Okahumpka, Lake County, was clerked on August 30, 2006. The "PUBLIC NOTICE OF INTENT TO ISSUE AN AIR CONSTRUCTION PERMIT AND A TITLE V AIR OPERATION PERMIT RENEWAL" was published in The Orlando Sentinel on September 16, 2006. The Draft Permit was available for public inspection at the Department's Central District office in Orlando and the permitting authority's office in Tallahassee. Proof of publication of the "PUBLIC NOTICE OF INTENT TO ISSUE AN AIR CONSTRUCTION PERMIT AND A TITLE V AIR OPERATION PERMIT RENEWAL" was received on October 2, 2006.

### II. Public Comment(s).

Comments were received regarding the DRAFT Title V Air Operation Permit and minor changes were made to the permit. The changes were not considered significant enough to reissue a Revised DRAFT Title V Air Operation Permit and require another Public Notice. The comments were received from one respondent during the 30 (thirty) day public comment period. Listed below is the comment letter and a response to each comment in the order that the comment was received. Where duplicative comments exist, the original response is referenced.

A. Letter from Mr. Joseph Treshler, P.E. of record, received September 27, 2006, via e-mail.

1. Section II. Facility-wide Conditions.

a. Comment 1. There are two (2) conditions labeled as No. 3:

Response. The conditions will be renumbered after first Condition No. 3.

2. Section III. Subsection A.

a. Comment 2. Description Area (2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, 5<sup>th</sup> sentence). Delete the phrase "to be" because the carbon system is operational.

Response. The request is acceptable and the change was made as follows:

"Mercury (Hg) and certain organic (dioxin) emissions ~~to be~~ are controlled by activated carbon injection....."

b. Comment 3. Specific Condition A.29. Don't state here that the averaging period for HCl is the average of 3 runs because specific condition A.49.(5) specifies the compliance determination from 3 test runs.

Response. Specifying the averaging period in Specific Condition A.29. makes the HCl standard clear. No change was made.

c. Comment 4. Specific Condition A.46.(1)(vii). The phrase "no more" should be replaced with "no less" to reflect the intent of the 9 to 15 month testing window.

Response. Due to a transcription error in updating the rule text in the permit, the request is acceptable and the correction was made as follows:

".....year basis (no ~~more~~ less than 9 calendar months and no more than 15 calendar months....."

d. Comment 5. Specific Condition A.49(7). Request that the "9 to 15 month" testing window to be allowed.

Response. The May 10, 2006 amended rules retained the testing requirement on an "annual basis (no more than 12 calendar months following the previous performance test)". Therefore, no change was made.

e. Comment 6. Specific Condition A.50.(5)(iii). The applicant pointed out that this facility is allowed the standard for reduced testing of "15 nanograms" and not "7 nanograms" pursuant to 40 CFR 60.38b(b).

Response. The regulations at 40 CFR 67.38b(b) states "For approval, a State plan shall include for designated facilities the alternative performance testing schedule for dioxins/furans specified in Sec. 60.58(g)(5)(iii) of subpart Eb of this part, as applicable, for those designated facilities that achieve a dioxin/furan emissions level less than or equal to 15 nanograms per dry standard cubic meter total mass, corrected to 7 percent oxygen". Due to a transcription error in updating the rule text in the permit, the request is acceptable and the correction was made.

f. Comment 7. Specific Condition A.51.(6). The value "9095" should be changed to "95".

Response. Due to a transcription error in updating the rule text in the permit, the request is acceptable and the correction was made as follows:

".....hours per calendar quarter and for 9095 percent of the....."

g. Comment 8. Specific Condition A.52.(4). Applicant requests that the "9 to 15 month" testing window be allowed.

Response. The May 10, 2006 amended rules retained the testing requirement on an "annual basis (no more than 12 calendar months following the previous performance test)". Therefore, no change was made.

h. Comment 9. Specific Condition A.80.(14). Duplicate language should be deleted.

Response. Text was repeated and was corrected.

i. Comment 10. Specific Condition A.88. Due to the definition of excess emissions in Condition A.89. and the fact that Covanta conducts testing of PM, HCl, multi-metals, dioxin/furan annually, Covanta requests clarification that the quarterly report required by Condition A.88. covers CEMS and COMS.

Response. The Department agrees with the applicant that this specific condition would include data from CEMS and COMS, as well as stack test data, fuel sampling data, and any other records that the facility generates and acquires about its operation that show non-compliance. Therefore, the text was not changed.

j. Comment 11. Specific Conditions A.79., A.80., A.81. and A.82. There appears to be stray subsection letters at the beginning of each specific condition identified.

Response. These "letters" are intentionally placed before the text to denote the specific citing under "40 CFR 60.59b" that is being stated. Therefore, no change was made.

3. The next-to-last paragraph solicited the Departments position regarding the necessity to include in the permit that the facility is allowed to burn on-site generated hazardous waste subject to the exemption in Rule 62-730.270(3), F.A.C., as allowed under 40 CFR 261.5(f) and (g) and 40 CFR 266.100(c)(3).

Response. Condition A.11. of the permit prohibits the firing of hazardous waste. No change was made.

#### **B. Section I. Subsection C. Relevant Documents.**

The following comment submittal will be identified under the following title as follows:

These documents are on file with the permitting authority:

Letter from Mr. Joseph Treshler received September 27, 2006, via e-mail.

#### **III. Conclusion.**

The permitting authority will issue the PROPOSED Title V Air Operation Permit, No. 0690046-006-AV, with any changes noted above.

## STATEMENT OF BASIS

Covanta Lake II, Inc.  
Lake County Resource Recovery Facility  
Facility ID No.: 0690046  
Lake County

Title V Air Operation Permit Renewal  
**PROPOSED Title V Operation Permit No.:** 0690046-006-AV

This Title V air operation permit is issued for the renewal under the provisions of Chapter 403, Florida Statutes (F.S.), and Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.) Chapters 62-4, 62-210 and 62-213. Also, the specific conditions have been revised to include the amendments made to the NSPS for MWCs as published in the Federal Register on May 10, 2006 (71 FR 27234). The above named permittee is hereby authorized to perform the work or operate the facility shown on the application and approved drawing(s), plans, and other documents, attached hereto or on file with the permitting authority, in accordance with the terms and conditions of this permit.

This facility consists of two identical mass-burn municipal solid waste (MSW) combustors (Units 1 & 2), with auxiliary burners, lime storage and processing facilities, an activated carbon storage facility, ash storage and processing facilities, a metals recovery system, cooling towers, and ancillary support equipment. Solid waste is brought to the facility by truck, unloaded, and pushed into the bunker in the tipping hall. An overhead crane is used to mix the waste and separate unacceptable items. There are four methods of conveying MSW to a combustor: 1) the grapple system to Unit 1 or Unit 2; 2) the inclined conveyor to Unit 1; 3) the bucket conveyor to Unit 1 or Unit 2; and, 4) the package conveyor to Unit 2. Each processing train consists of a feed hopper, a mass-fed waterwall furnace with a horizontal grate system, a dry scrubber/baghouse filter system, an induced draft fan, a stack with individual flues, and various ancillary equipment. The flue gas exiting each furnace passes through a dry scrubber system, where slaked lime is injected for acid gas neutralization and activated carbon is injected for mercury and dioxin/furan control. Particulate matter, consisting of fly ash, activated carbon, reacted salts and unreacted lime, is then removed in the baghouse filter system. A Selective Non-Catalytic Reduction (SNCR) system is used for the removal of nitrogen oxides. Bottom ash from the furnaces, as well as the fly ash from the dry scrubbers and baghouses, are processed in an ash handling system and transported off-site to municipal solid waste ash monofills via truck or rail. Ferrous metals are continuously recovered from the ash residue. Steam output from the two processing trains drives a turbine-generator for the generation of electricity. The facility is rated for a maximum of 15.7 megawatts (MW) of energy production. The auxiliary burners associated with the combustors are permitted to fire distillate fuel oil or gas (e.g., natural and propane); however, the facility currently uses only natural gas.

Both Unit 1 and Unit 2 began commercial operation on August 22, 1990. Particulate matter emissions are controlled by a fabric filter baghouse system. Acid gas emissions are controlled by dry scrubbing followed by a fabric filter baghouse system. Carbon monoxide (CO) emissions are currently controlled by good combustion practices. Nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) are controlled by a Selective Non-Catalytic Reduction (SNCR) system. Mercury (Hg) and certain organic (dioxin) emissions are controlled by activated carbon injection (ACI) followed by a fabric filter baghouse system. The permittee has installed, calibrated, maintains, and operates (1) continuous emissions monitoring system devices for opacity, oxygen, carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides and sulfur dioxide; and, (2) continuous monitoring system devices to continuously monitor and record steam production, baghouse inlet temperatures and average carbon mass feed rate. Units 1 and 2 discharge their emissions independently through their own stack, but are co-located within a single support structure/stack. (Each unit: stack height: 199 feet; exit diameter: 4.3 feet; exit temperature: 270 °F; actual volumetric flow rate: 59,400 acfm; and, dry standard volumetric flow rate: 43,200 dscfm.)

{Permitting notes. These emissions units are regulated under NSPS - 40 CFR 60, Subpart Cb, Emissions Guidelines and Compliance Times for Large Municipal Waste Combustors That Are Constructed on or Before September 20, 1994, adopted and incorporated by reference, subject to provisions, in Rule 62-204.800(8)(b), F.A.C.; Rule 62-212.400, F.A.C., Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD; PSD-FL-113/AC35-115379; and, amendments (A thru F); Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C., Definitions - Best Available Control Technology (BACT); and, Rule 62-296.416, F.A.C., Waste-to-Energy Facilities. Also, please note that conditions in 40 CFR 60, Subpart Cb, reference requirements that are contained in 40 CFR 60, Subpart Eb.}



Statement of Basis (cont.)  
Covanta Lake II, Inc.  
Lake County Resource Recovery Facility  
Lake County  
**Facility ID No.:** 0690046  
**Permit No.:** 0690046-006-AV  
Page 2 of 3

Emissions unit -003 is an approximate 2,935 cubic foot silo for the storage of activated carbon. The silo is typically filled every 75 days. It is part of the activated carbon injection (ACI) system for control of mercury and dioxin/furan emissions from the municipal waste combustion units. A supply truck pneumatically transfers the activated carbon powder to the silo through a fill line. Particulate matter emissions are controlled by a Tech-Air baghouse system (Model No. SBR-25-6-230). The baghouse parameters are as follows: stack height = 53 feet; exit diameter = 0.8 feet; exit temperature = 77 °F, actual volumetric flow rate = 650 acfm. The initial startup date of the silo was June 14, 1995.

Emissions unit -003 is a minor emissions unit regulated under AC35-264176 (April 14, 1995); and, Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C., Permits Required.

The cooling towers are not subject to the 40 CFR 63, Subpart Q, because they are not operated with chromium-based water treatment chemicals.

Also included in this permit are miscellaneous insignificant emissions units and/or activities.

Based on the Title V permit application received June 20, 2006, this facility is a major source of hazardous air pollutants (HAPs).

Air Construction Permit Issuance:

The subject of an Air Construction Permit amendment (0690046-007-AC/PSD-FL-113(F)) is to replace the original BACT visible emissions limit of "15% opacity (6-min. average), except for one 6-min. period per hour of not more than 20% opacity" for the two existing municipal waste combustors with a more stringent BACT limit of "10 percent opacity (6-minute average)", which is also the limit established under the Final Rule for 40 CFR 60, Subpart Cb, Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources and Emissions Guidelines for Existing Sources: Large Municipal Waste Combustors. Since the requested visible emissions standard is more stringent than the existing BACT derived visible emissions standard, then the request is acceptable. See Specific Condition A.21.

Specific Condition A.7.(b).

In order to clarify the "288 TPD" capacity identified in this SC, the text of SC 1.d., AC35-115379/PSD-FL-113(A), will be added as a "Permitting note" as follows:

A.7. Capacity.

- (a) Each of the two municipal waste combustors (MWC) shall have a design rated capacity of 250 tons Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) per day, 104 million Btu input per hour and 60,200 pounds steam output per hour with MSW having a heating value of 5,000 Btu per pound.
- (b) The maximum individual MWC throughput shall not exceed 288 tons per day, 120 million Btu per hour and 69,000 pounds steam per hour, (4-hour block arithmetic average). (See specific condition A.93.)

{Permitting note: The normal operating range of the MWC shall be 80% to 115% of design rated capacity [upper range equals: 250 TPD x 115% = 288 TPD].}

Specific Conditions (SCs) A.91. and A.93.:

SC A.91. reads as follows:

**A.91.** The owner or operator of any incinerator subject to the provisions of 40 CFR 60, Subpart E, shall record the daily charging rates and hours of operation.  
[40 CFR 60.53(a); and, AC35-115379/PSD-FL-113]

Statement of Basis (cont.)  
Covanta Lake II, Inc.  
Lake County Resource Recovery Facility  
Lake County  
**Facility ID No.:** 0690046  
**Permit No.:** 0690046-006-AV  
Page 3 of 3

A request was made to delete SC A.91. because Subpart E is no longer applicable pursuant to the revised NSPS standards referenced in 71 FR 27333 and codified at 60.32b(n). The request is acceptable and SC A.91. will be deleted. Since SC A.93. carries the protocol on how to calculate the daily throughput rate of MSW pursuant to SC 1.b., AC35-115379/PSD-FL-113(A), the SC's justification will be edited to include the AC/PSD references, as follows:

**A.93. MSW Charging Rate Monitoring.** The average daily solid waste charging rate shall be determined on a monthly basis and recorded for each MWC unit. The daily charging rate shall be determined each month on an average daily basis for each MWC unit using the Facility's truck scale weight data, refuse pit inventory data and MWC operating data for the preceding calendar month. Monthly truck scale weight records of the weight of solid waste received and processed at the Facility, and refuse pit inventory data, shall be used to determine the amount of solid waste charged during the preceding calendar month on an average daily basis. The MWC load level measurements or other operating data shall be used to determine the number of operating hours per MWC unit for each day during the preceding calendar month.

[Rules 62-4.070(3) and 62-213.440(1), F.A.C.; and, AC35-115379/PSD-FL-113(A)]

#### Compliance Assurance Monitoring (CAM) Applicability

Via earlier permitting action, the Department incorporated the 40 CFR 60, Subpart Eb limits for Units 1 and 2, which are post-1990 regulations. Because emissions limits for the following pollutants were taken directly from Subpart Eb, CAM is not applicable for the control devices for these pollutants: particulate matter (PM), visible emissions (VE) and cadmium (Cd), mercury (Hg), lead (Pb), sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), and dioxin/furan (PCDD/PCDF). For the percent removal requirements for mercury at Rule 62-296.416(3)(a)(1), F.A.C., uncontrolled mercury is less than the threshold for Title V and, therefore, is not subject to CAM requirements. For carbon monoxide (CO), the permit limit is that of Subpart Eb and the facility does not use a control device to achieve compliance with the emission limitation for carbon monoxide; therefore, the CAM rule does not apply to that pollutant. For nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>), the permit limit is that of Subpart Eb and a continuous emissions monitoring system (CEMS) will be used to demonstrate compliance with the nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) permit limits; therefore, the facility is exempt from CAM for this pollutant. In fact, a COMS (continuous opacity monitoring system) is required for opacity (visible emissions) and CEMS is required for oxygen, carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides and sulfur dioxide.

Covanta Lake II, Inc.  
Lake County Resource Recovery Facility  
**Facility ID No.:** 0690046  
Lake County

**Title V Air Operation Permit Renewal**  
**PROPOSED Title V Operation Permit No.:** 0690046-006-AV

**Permitting Authority**

State of Florida  
Department of Environmental Protection  
Division of Air Resources Management  
Bureau of Air Regulation  
Title V Section

Mail Station #5505  
2600 Blair Stone Road  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400  
Telephone: 850/488-0114  
Fax: 850/922-6979

**Compliance Authority**

State of Florida  
Department of Environmental Protection  
Central District Office  
3319 Maguire Boulevard, Suite 232  
Orlando, Florida 32803-3767  
Telephone: 407/894-7555  
Fax: 407/897-2966

Title V Air Operation Permit Renewal

**PROPOSED Title V Operation Permit No.: 0690046-006-AV**

**Table of Contents**

<b>Section</b>	<b>Page Number</b>
Placard Page .....	1
I. Facility Information .....	2
A. Facility Description.	
B. Summary of Emissions Unit ID No(s). and Brief Description(s).	
C. Relevant Documents.	
II. Facility-wide Conditions .....	4
III. Emissions Units and Conditions	
A. Emissions Unit: Boilers - Units 1 and 2.....	7
B. Emissions Unit: Activated Carbon Silo.....	51

**Permittee:**  
Covanta Lake II, Inc.  
Lake County Resource Recovery Facility  
3830 Rogers Industrial Road  
Okahumpka, FL 34762

**PROPOSED Permit No.:** 0690046-006-AV  
**Facility ID No.:** 0690046  
**SIC Nos.:** 49; 4953  
**Project:** Title V Air Operation Permit  
Renewal

The subject of this permit is for the renewal of the Title V Air Operation Permit, which includes the incorporation of air construction permit, No. 0690046-007-AC/PSD-FL-113(F), which amends air construction permit (AC), No. AC35-115379/PSD-FL-113(B), and associated BACT for visible emissions, specifically contained in Specific Condition 3.j. of that AC. The existing facility is located at 3830 Rogers Industrial Road, Okahumpka, Lake County. UTM Coordinates: Zone 17; 413.12 km East; and, 3179.21 km North; Latitude: 28° 44' 22" North; and, Longitude: 81° 53' 23" West.

**Referenced attachments made a part of this permit:**

Appendix I-1, List of Insignificant Emissions Units and/or Activities  
Appendix SS-1, Stack Sampling Facilities (version dated 10/7/96)  
Appendix TV-6, Title V Conditions (version dated 06/23/06)  
Figure 1: Summary Report-Gaseous and Opacity Excess Emission and Monitoring  
System Performance (40 CFR 60)  
Table 297.310-1, Calibration Schedule

**Effective Date: Month Day, 2006**  
**Renewal Application Due Date: Month Day, 2010**  
**Expiration Date: Month Day, 2011**

---

Joseph Kahn, Director  
Division of Air Resource Management

JK/tlv/bm

**Section I. Facility Information.**

**Subsection A. Facility Description.**

This facility consists of two identical mass-burn municipal solid waste (MSW) combustors (Units 1 & 2), with auxiliary burners, lime storage and processing facilities, an activated carbon storage facility, ash storage and processing facilities, a metals recovery system, cooling towers, and ancillary support equipment. Solid waste is brought to the facility by truck, unloaded, and pushed into the bunker in the tipping hall. An overhead crane is used to mix the waste and separate unacceptable items. There are four methods of conveying MSW to a combustor: 1) the grapple system to Unit 1 or Unit 2; 2) the inclined conveyor to Unit 1; 3) the bucket conveyor to Unit 1 or Unit 2; and, 4) the package conveyor to Unit 2. Each processing train consists of a feed hopper, a mass-fed waterwall furnace with a horizontal grate system, a dry scrubber/baghouse filter system, an induced draft fan, a stack with individual flues, and various ancillary equipment. The flue gas exiting each furnace passes through a dry scrubber system, where slaked lime is injected for acid gas neutralization and activated carbon is injected for mercury and dioxin/furan control. Particulate matter, consisting of fly ash, activated carbon, reacted salts and unreacted lime, is then removed in the baghouse filter system. A Selective Non-Catalytic Reduction (SNCR) system is used for the removal of nitrogen oxides. Bottom ash from the furnaces, as well as the fly ash from the dry scrubbers and baghouses, are processed in an ash handling system and transported off-site to municipal solid waste ash monofills via truck or rail. Ferrous metals are continuously recovered from the ash residue. Steam output from the two processing trains drives a turbine-generator for the generation of electricity. The facility is rated for a maximum of 15.7 megawatts (MW) of energy production. The auxiliary burners associated with the combustors are permitted to fire distillate fuel oil or gas (e.g., natural and propane); however, the facility currently uses only natural gas.

Also included in this permit are miscellaneous insignificant emissions units and/or activities.

Based on the Title V permit application for renewal received June 20, 2006, this facility is a major source of hazardous air pollutants (HAPs).

The use of 'Permitting Notes' throughout this permit are for informational purposes only and are not permit conditions.

**Subsection B. Summary of Emissions Unit ID Numbers and Brief Descriptions.**

E.U. ID No.	Brief Description
-001	288 TPD (maximum) Municipal Solid Waste Combustor & Auxiliary Burners - Unit 1
-002	288 TPD (maximum) Municipal Solid Waste Combustor & Auxiliary Burners - Unit 2
-003	Activated Carbon Storage Silo

*Please reference the Permit Number, the Facility Identification Number, and the appropriate Emissions Unit(s) ID Number(s) on all correspondence, test report submittals, applications, etc.*

**Subsection C. Relevant Documents.**

{Permitting Note: The documents listed below are not a part of this permit; however, they are specifically related to this permitting action.}

These documents are provided to the permittee for informational purposes:

Appendix A-1: Abbreviations, Acronyms, Citations, and Identification Numbers (version dated 2/5/97).

Appendix H-1: Permit History.

These documents are on file with the permitting authority:

AC35-115379/PSD-FL-113 clerked September 25, 1986.

AC35-115379/PSD-FL-113(A) signed February 19, 1988, and clerked February 24, 1988.

AC35-115379/PSD-FL-113(B) dated December 10, 1990, and clerked December 12, 1990.

AC35-264176/PSD-FL-113(C) clerked April 14, 1995.

AC35-115379/PSD-FL-113(D) dated June 15, 1995, and clerked June 22, 1995.

Initial Title V permit clerked December 20, 2001.

0690046-003-AC/PSD-FL-113(E) clerked October 31, 2001.

Application for a Title V permit renewal and an AC (0690046-007-AC/PSD-FL-113(F)) received on June 20, 2006.

Mr. Joseph Treshler's letter received August 22, 2006, via e-mail.

Letter from Mr. Joseph Treshler received September 27, 2006, via e-mail.

## Section II. Facility-wide Conditions.

### The following conditions apply facility-wide:

1. Appendix TV-6, Title V Conditions, is a part of this permit.  
{Permitting note: Appendix TV-6, Title V Conditions is distributed to the permittee only. Other persons requesting copies of these conditions shall be provided one copy when requested or otherwise appropriate.

2. General Pollutant Emission Limiting Standards. Objectionable Odor Prohibited. The permittee shall not cause, suffer, allow, or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor.  
[Rules 62-296.320(2) and 62-296.401(2)(b), F.A.C.; and, AC35-264176]

3. Prevention of Accidental Releases (Section 112(r) of CAA).

a. The permittee shall submit its Risk Management Plan (RMP) to the Chemical Emergency Preparedness and Prevention Office (CEPPO) RMP Reporting Center when, and if, such requirement becomes applicable. Any Risk Management Plans, original submittals, revisions or updates to submittals, should be sent to:

RMP Reporting Center  
Post Office Box 1515  
Lanham-Seabrook, MD 20703-1515  
Telephone: 301/429-5018

and,

b. The permittee shall submit to the permitting authority Title V certification forms or a compliance schedule in accordance with Rule 62-213.440(2), F.A.C.  
[40 CFR 68]

4. Insignificant Emissions Units and/or Activities. Appendix I-1, List of Insignificant Emissions Units and/or Activities, is a part of this permit.  
[Rules 62-213.440(1), 62-213.430(6), and 62-4.040(1)(b), F.A.C.]

5. Unregulated Emissions Units and/or Activities. Appendix U-1, List of Unregulated Emissions Units and/or Activities, is a part of this permit. None were identified in the application.  
[Rule 62-213.440(1), F.A.C.]

6. General Pollutant Emission Limiting Standards. Volatile Organic Compounds Emissions or Organic Solvents Emissions. The permittee shall allow no person to store, pump, handle, process, load, unload or use in any process or installation, volatile organic compounds or organic solvents without applying known and existing vapor emission control devices or systems deemed necessary and ordered by the Department.

{Permitting Note: No vapor emission control devices or systems are deemed necessary nor ordered by the Department at this time.}  
[Rule 62-296.320(1)(a), F.A.C.]



7. General Particulate Emission Limiting Standards. General Visible Emissions Standard. Except for emissions units that are subject to a particulate matter or opacity limit set forth or established by rule and reflected by conditions in this permit, no person shall cause, let, permit, suffer or allow to be discharged into the atmosphere the emissions of air pollutants from any activity, the density of which is equal to or greater than 20 percent opacity. EPA Method 9 is the method of compliance pursuant to Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.  
[Rules 62-296.320(4)(b)1. & 4., F.A.C.]

8. Emissions of Unconfined Particulate Matter. Reasonable precautions to prevent emissions of unconfined particulate matter at this facility include:  
a. All roads and parking areas are paved, and unpaved areas are landscaped with plants or vegetation.  
b. Application of water would be performed as required during any demolition, grading roads, construction, land clearing operations, should unconfined particulate matter emissions occur.  
c. Potential emissions of particulate matter from the ash generated at the facility is controlled as detailed in the Lake County Resource Recovery Facility Ash Residue Management Plan.  
d. The loading operation shall be maintained and properly operated.  
[Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.; AC35-264176; and, applicant requested]

9. When appropriate, any recording, monitoring, or reporting requirements that are time-specific shall be in accordance with the effective date of the permit, which defines day one.  
[Rule 62-213.440, F.A.C.]

10. The permittee shall submit all compliance related notifications and reports required of this permit to the Department of Environmental Protection, Central District Office:

Department of Environmental Protection  
Central District Office  
3319 Maguire Boulevard, Suite 232  
Orlando, Florida 32803-3767  
Telephone: 407/894-7555; Fax: 407/897-2966

11. Any reports, data, notifications, certifications, required to be sent to the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, should be sent to:

United States Environmental Protection Agency  
Region 4  
Air, Pesticides & Toxics Management Division  
Air & EPCRA Enforcement Branch  
Air Enforcement Section  
61 Forsyth Street  
Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960  
Telephone: 404/562-9155; Fax: 404/562-9163

12. Statement of Compliance. The annual statement of compliance pursuant to Rule 62-213.440(3), F.A.C., shall be submitted to the Department and EPA within 60 (sixty) days after the end of the calendar year using DEP Form No. 62-213.900(7).  
[Rules 62-213.440(3)(a)2. and 62-213.900, F.A.C.]

{Permitting Note: This condition implements the requirements of Rules 62-213.440(3)(a)2. & 3., F.A.C. (see Condition 51. of APPENDIX TV-6, TITLE V CONDITIONS)}

**13. Certification by Responsible Official (RO).** In addition to the professional engineering certification required for applications by Rule 62-4.050(3), F.A.C., any application form, report, compliance statement, compliance plan and compliance schedule submitted pursuant to Chapter 62-213, F.A.C., shall contain a certification signed by a responsible official that, based on information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, the statements and information in the document are true, accurate, and complete. Any responsible official who fails to submit any required information or who has submitted incorrect information shall, upon becoming aware of such failure or incorrect submittal, promptly submit such supplementary information or correct information.  
[Rule 62-213.420(4), F.A.C.]

**Section III. Emissions Units and Conditions.**

**Subsection A. This section addresses the following emissions units.**

E.U. ID No.	Brief Description
-001	288 TPD (maximum) Municipal Solid Waste Combustor & Auxiliary Burners - Unit 1
-002	288 TPD (maximum) Municipal Solid Waste Combustor & Auxiliary Burners - Unit 2

Emissions units Nos. -001 and -002 are identical municipal solid waste (MSW) combustors designated as "Unit 1" and "Unit 2", respectively. Each combustor consists of a mass burn waterwall boiler with a design rated capacity of 250 tons of approved MSW fuel per day and 60,200 pounds steam output per hour. The auxiliary burners associated with the combustors are permitted to fire distillate fuel oil or gas (e.g., natural and propane); however, the facility currently uses only natural gas. The auxiliary burners are used to ignite the MSW during start-up, shutdown, and at other times when necessary and consistent with good combustion practices. The maximum permitted steam production rate for each combustor is 69,000 lbs/hr (4-hour block arithmetic average), when firing approved MSW fuel. There are four methods of conveying MSW to a combustor: 1) the grapple system to Unit 1 or Unit 2; 2) the inclined conveyor to Unit 1; 3) the bucket conveyor to Unit 1 or Unit 2; and, 4) the package conveyor to Unit 2.

Both Unit 1 and Unit 2 began commercial operation on August 22, 1990. Particulate matter emissions are controlled by a fabric filter baghouse system. Acid gas emissions are controlled by dry scrubbing followed by a fabric filter baghouse system. Carbon monoxide (CO) emissions are currently controlled by good combustion practices. Nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) are controlled by a Selective Non-Catalytic Reduction (SNCR) system. Mercury (Hg) and certain organic (dioxin) emissions are controlled by activated carbon injection (ACI) followed by a fabric filter baghouse system. Units 1 and 2 discharge their emissions independently through their own stack, but are co-located within a single support structure/stack. (Each unit: stack height: 199 feet; exit diameter: 4.3 feet; exit temperature: 270 °F; actual volumetric flow rate: 59,400 acfm; and, dry standard volumetric flow rate: 43,200 dscfm.)

{Permitting notes. These emissions units are regulated under NSPS - 40 CFR 60, Subpart Cb, Emissions Guidelines and Compliance Times for Large Municipal Waste Combustors That Are Constructed on or Before September 20, 1994, adopted and incorporated by reference, subject to provisions, in Rule 62-204.800(8)(b), F.A.C.; Rule 62-212.400, F.A.C., Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD; PSD-FL-113/AC35-115379; and, amendments (A thru F)); Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C., Definitions - Best Available Control Technology (BACT); and, Rule 62-296.416, F.A.C., Waste-to-Energy Facilities. Also, please note that conditions in 40 CFR 60, Subpart Cb, reference requirements that are contained in 40 CFR 60, Subpart Eb.}

**40 CFR 60, Subpart E Applicability.**

40 CFR 60, Subpart E is no longer applicable pursuant to the revised MACT standards for Large MWC combustors as reflected in the Federal Register (FR) published on May 10, 2006 (71 FR 27324) and on November 16, 2001 (66 FR 57824). Therefore, obsolete requirements will be deleted.

**The following specific conditions apply to the emissions units listed above:**

**General**

**A.0.** Reserved.

**A.1.** The Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources adopted by reference in Rule 62-204.800(7), F.A.C., the Emission Guidelines for Existing Sources adopted by reference in Rule 62-204.800(8), F.A.C., and the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants adopted by reference in Rule 62-204.800(9), F.A.C., shall be controlling over other standards in the air pollution rules of the Department except that any emissions limiting standard contained in or determined pursuant to the air pollution rules of the Department which is more stringent than one contained in a Standard of Performance, an Emission Guideline, or a National Emission Standard, or which regulates emissions of pollutants or emissions units not regulated by an applicable Standard of Performance, Emission Guideline, or National Emission Standard, shall apply.  
[Rules 62-204.800(7)(c), (8)(a)1., and (9)(c), F.A.C.]

**A.2. Definitions.** For the purposes of Rules 62-204.800(7), (8), and (9), F.A.C., the definitions contained in the various provisions of 40 CFR Parts 60 and 61, adopted herein shall apply except that the term "Administrator" when used in 40 CFR Parts 60 and 61, shall mean the Secretary or the Secretary's designee except as noted in 40 CFR 61.157.  
[40 CFR 60.2; and, Rules 62-204.800(7)(a), (8)(a)2., and (9)(a), F.A.C.]

**A.3. Definitions - Subpart Cb.** For purposes of Rule 62-204.800(8)(b), F.A.C., the definitions in 40 CFR 60.51b shall apply except for the term "municipal waste combustor plant" which shall have the same meaning as defined in 40 CFR 60.31b.  
[Rule 62-204.800(8)(b)2., F.A.C.]

**A.4. Circumvention.** No owner or operator subject to the provisions of 40 CFR 60 shall build, erect, install, or use any article, machine, equipment or process, the use of which conceals an emission which would otherwise constitute a violation of an applicable standard. Such concealment includes, but is not limited to, the use of gaseous diluents to achieve compliance with an opacity standard or with a standard which is based on the concentration of a pollutant in the gases discharged to the atmosphere.  
[40 CFR 60.12]

**A.5.** The incinerator boilers shall have a metal name plate affixed in a conspicuous place on the shell showing manufacturer, model number, type waste, rated capacity and certification number.  
[Rule 62-4.070(3), F.A.C.]

**A.6.** Reserved.

**Essential Potential to Emit (PTE) Parameters**

**A.7. Capacity.**

(a) Each of the two municipal waste combustors (MWC) shall have a design rated capacity of 250 tons Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) per day, 104 million Btu input per hour and 60,200 pounds steam output per hour with MSW having a heating value of 5,000 Btu per pound.

(b) The maximum individual MWC throughput shall not exceed 288 tons per day, 120 million Btu per hour and 69,000 pounds steam per hour, (4-hour block arithmetic average). (See specific condition **A.93.**).

{Permitting note: The normal operating range of the MWC shall be 80% to 115% of design rated capacity [upper range equals: 250 TPD x 115% = 288 TPD].}

(c) Load Level. Unit load means the steam load of the MWC) measured as specified in 40 CFR 60.58(i)(6). Compliance with load level requirements shall be determined by a steam meter using ASME Power Test Code for Steam Generating Units, Power Test Code 4.1, section 4 (see 40 CFR 60.58b(i)(6)(ii) & (iii)). Each MWC unit shall not operate at a load level greater than 110 percent of the unit's "maximum demonstrated unit load", based on 4-hour block averaged measurements of steam flow. The "maximum demonstrated unit load" is defined by specific condition A.9. (See specific condition **A.9.**).

(d) The procedures specified in paragraphs (1) and (2) shall be used for calculating municipal waste combustor unit capacity as defined under 40 CFR 60.51b.

(1) For municipal waste combustor units capable of combusting MSW continuously for a 24-hour period, municipal waste combustor unit capacity shall be calculated based on 24 hours of operation at the maximum charging rate. The maximum charging rate shall be determined as specified in paragraphs (i) and (ii) as applicable.

(i) For combustors that are designed based on heat capacity, the maximum charging rate shall be calculated based on the maximum design heat input capacity of the unit and a heating value of 12,800 kilojoules per kilogram for combustors firing refuse-derived fuel and a heating value of 10,500 kilojoules per kilogram for combustors firing MSW that is not refuse-derived fuel.

(ii) For combustors that are not designed based on heat capacity, the maximum charging rate shall be the maximum design charging rate.

(2) For batch feed municipal waste combustor units, municipal waste combustor unit capacity shall be calculated as the maximum design amount of MSW that can be charged per batch multiplied by the maximum number of batches that could be processed in a 24-hour period. The maximum number of batches that could be processed in a 24-hour period is calculated as 24 hours divided by the design number of hours required to process one batch of MSW, and may include fractional batches (e.g., if one batch requires 16 hours, then 24/16, or 1.5 batches, could be combusted in a 24-hour period). For batch combustors that are designed based on heat capacity, the design heating value of 12,800 kilojoules per kilogram for combustors firing refuse-derived fuel and a heating value of 10,500 kilojoules per kilogram for combustors firing MSW that is not refuse-derived fuel shall be used in calculating the municipal waste combustor unit capacity.

[40 CFR 60.31b and 40 CFR 60.58b(i) & (j); Rules 62-4.160(2) and 62-210.200(PTE), F.A.C.; AC35-115379/PSD-FL-113; AC35-115379/PSD-FL-113(A); and, 0690046-003-AC/PSD-FL-113(E)]

**A.8. Emissions Unit Operating Rate Limitation After Testing.** See specific condition **A.54.**  
[Rule 62-297.310(2), F.A.C.]

**A.9. Maximum Demonstrated Municipal Waste Combustor Unit Load.** Maximum demonstrated municipal waste combustor unit load means the highest 4-hour arithmetic average municipal waste combustor unit load achieved during four consecutive hours during the most recent dioxin/furan performance test demonstrating compliance with the applicable limit for municipal waste combustor organics specified under 40 CFR 60.52b(c) (See specific condition **A.30.**). Higher loads are allowed for testing purposes as specified in 40 CFR 60.53b(b) (See specific condition **A.13.**).  
[40 CFR 60.34b(b) and 40 CFR 60.51b]

**A.10. Maximum Demonstrated Particulate Matter Control Device Temperature.** Maximum demonstrated particulate matter control device temperature means the highest 4-hour arithmetic average flue gas temperature measured at the particulate matter control device inlet during four consecutive hours during the most recent dioxin/furan performance test demonstrating compliance with the applicable limit for municipal waste combustor organics specified in specific condition **A.30**.

[40 CFR 60.34b(b) and 40 CFR 60.51b; and, 0690046-003-AC/PSD-FL-113(E)]

**A.11. Methods of Operation - Fuels.**

(1) Municipal Solid Waste. Each municipal waste combustor shall be fueled with municipal solid waste (MSW), which includes wood chips (made from virgin or clean wood), waste tires, internally generated used oil, non-hazardous waste contaminated with virgin or used oil, and other solid waste/segregated loads, as defined below.

(2) Auxiliary Burners. The auxiliary burners are permitted to fire only natural gas or propane. The auxiliary burners may be used at startup during the introduction of any approved MSW fuel; at shutdowns; and, at other times when necessary and consistent with good combustion practices. All air pollution control and continuous emissions monitoring equipment shall be operational and functioning properly prior to the incineration or ignition of any approved MSW fuel.

(3) Unauthorized Fuel. Subject to the limitations contained in this permit, the authorized fuels for the facility also include the other solid wastes that are not MSW, which are described in categories (5), (6) and (7), below. However, the facility

(a) shall not burn:

- (1) those materials that are prohibited by state or federal law;
- (2) those materials that are prohibited by this permit;
- (3) hazardous waste;
- (4) nuclear waste;
- (5) radioactive waste;
- (6) sewage sludge;
- (7) used oil, except for what is generated on site (no used oil in liquid form from outside generators); or,
- (8) explosives; and,

(b) shall not knowingly burn:

- (1) untreated biomedical waste from biomedical waste generators regulated pursuant to Chapter 64E-16, F.A.C., and from other similar generators (or sources);
- (2) segregated loads of biological waste;
- (3) lead acid batteries; or,
- (4) beryllium-containing waste, as defined in 40 CFR 61, Subpart C.

(4) The fuel may be received either as a mixture or as a single-item stream (segregated load) of discarded materials. If the facility intends to use an authorized fuel that is segregated non-MSW material, the fuel shall be either:

- (a) well mixed with MSW in the refuse pit; or,
- (b) alternately charged with MSW in the hopper.

The facility operator shall prepare and maintain records concerning the description and quantities of all segregated loads of non-MSW material which are received and used as fuel at the facility, and subject to a percentage weight limitation, below [see (6) and (7)]. For the purposes of this permit, a segregated load is defined to mean a container or truck that is almost completely or exclusively filled with a single item or homogeneous composition of waste material, as determined by visual observation.

(5) Other Solid Waste. Subject to the conditions and limitations contained in this permit, the following other solid waste may be used as fuel at the facility:

SOLID WASTE FROM ON-SITE OPERATIONS

Used Oil from on-site operations

- (a) The constituents and properties of the *on-spec used oil* generated from on-site operations shall comply with the following allowable concentration levels, as stipulated and defined in 40 CFR 279.10 (July 1, 1998 version), which is adopted by reference in Rule 62-730.181, F.A.C.

Constituent/Property	Allowable Concentration
Cadmium	2 ppm maximum
Arsenic	5 ppm maximum
Chromium	10 ppm maximum
Lead	100 ppm maximum
Total Halogens	4000 ppm maximum
Flash Point	100 ° F minimum
Polychlorinated Byphenyls (PCBs)	Less than 2 ppm

NOTE: Used oil containing more than 1000 ppm halogens is presumed to be a hazardous waste under the rebuttable presumption provided under 40 CFR 279.10(b)(1). Such oil is subject to subpart H of Part 266 of this chapter rather than this part when burned for energy recovery unless the presumption of mixing can be successfully rebutted.

- (b) On site generated *on-specification used oil, oily water, oily sludge, spent greases and oily solid waste (such as rags)* burned at this facility shall not be a hazardous waste as defined by Rule 62-730.030, F.A.C., or 40 CFR Part 261 (July 1, 1999 version). These materials shall conform to the standards of 40 CFR 279.11 and 40 CFR 761.20(e). It shall not include fuels or blended fuels consisting in whole or in part of hazardous waste or which include mixture of any solid waste generated from the treatment, storage, or disposal of hazardous waste. The on-spec used oil shall be burned in compliance with Section 403.769(3), F.S. Records shall be maintained showing the tonnages of internally-generated used oil fired.
- (c) The on-site generated *on-specification used oil samples* (representative of the material disposed of) shall be analyzed by EPA Recommended Analytical Procedures for Used Oil for

the following constituent/property, associated unit, and using the test methods indicated:

Constituent/Property	Unit	Test Method
Cadmium	ppm	EPA SW-846(6010)
Arsenic	ppm	EPA SW-846(6010)
Chromium	ppm	EPA SW-846(6010)
Lead	ppm	EPA SW-846(6010)
Total Halogens	ppm	EPA SW-846(9252)
Sulfur	percent	ASTM D129 or ASTM D1552
Flash Point	degree F	EPA SW-846(1010)
Heat of Combustion	Btu/gal	ASTM D240
Density	lbs/gal	
Polychlorinated Byphenyls (PCB's)	ppm	EPA SW-846(0010) and EPA 680
Ash		

NOTE: Other test methods may be used only after receiving prior written approval from the Department.

**SOLID WASTE FROM OFF-SITE OPERATIONS**

- (a) Confidential, proprietary or special documents (including but not limited to business records, lottery tickets, event tickets, coupons and microfilm);
  - (b) Contraband which is being destroyed at the request of appropriately authorized local, state or federal governmental agencies, provided that such material is not an explosive, a propellant, a hazardous waste, or otherwise prohibited at the facility. For the purposes of this section, contraband includes but is not limited to drugs, narcotics, fruits, vegetables, plants, counterfeit money, and counterfeit consumer goods;
  - (c) Wood pallets, clean wood, and land clearing debris;
  - (d) Packaging materials and containers;
  - (e) Clothing, natural and synthetic fibers, fabric remnants, and similar debris, including but not limited to aprons and gloves; or,
  - (f) Rugs, carpets, and floor coverings, but not asbestos-containing materials or polyethylene or polyurethane vinyl floor coverings.
- (6) Waste Tires. Subject to the conditions and limitations contained in this permit, waste tires may be used as fuel at the facility. The total quantity of waste tires received as segregated loads and burned at the facility shall not exceed 3%, by weight, of the facility's total fuel. Compliance with this limitation shall be determined as a daily average on a calendar monthly basis in accordance with specific condition **A.94**.
- (7) Other Solid Waste/Segregated Loads. Subject to the conditions and limitations contained in this permit, the following other solid waste materials may be used as fuel at the facility (i.e. the following are authorized fuels that are non-MSW material). The total quantity of the following non-MSW material received as segregated loads and burned at the facility shall not exceed 5%, by weight, of the facility's total fuel, unless otherwise stated. Compliance with this limitation shall be determined as a daily average on a calendar monthly basis in accordance with specific condition **A.94**.

- (a) Construction and demolition debris.
- (b) The maximum percentage of oil-contaminated solid waste (non-hazardous solid waste contaminated with virgin or used oil products) defined as oil spill clean-up debris and absorbing media, including oil filters, fired in each combustor is 20%, by weight, of the total solid waste input, determined as a daily average on a calendar monthly basis. All "used oil" shall comply with the definition stated in 40 CFR 260.10 and shall not exceed the specification levels for arsenic, cadmium, chromium, lead, and total halogens contained in Table 1 of 40 CFR 279.11, or contain any hazardous



waste as defined in 40 CFR 261.3. The used oil shall not have a polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) content equal to or greater than 50 ppm, by weight.

(c) Items suitable for human, plant or domesticated animal use, consumption or application where the item's shelf-life has expired or the generator wishes to remove the items from the market. Such items or materials include but are not limited to off-specification or expired consumer products, pharmaceuticals, medications, health and personal care products, cosmetics, foodstuffs, nutritional supplements, returned goods, and controlled substances.

(d) Consumer-packaged products intended for human or domesticated animal use or application but not consumption. Such items or materials include but are not limited to carpet cleaners, household or bathroom cleaners, polishes, waxes and detergents.

(e) Waste materials that:

(i) are generated in the manufacture of items in categories (c) or (d), above and are functionally or commercially useless (expired, rejected or spent); or,

(ii) are not yet formed or packaged for commercial distribution. Such items or materials must be substantially similar to other items or materials routinely found in MSW.

(f) Waste materials generated by manufacturing, industrial or agricultural activities, provided that these items or materials are substantially similar to items or materials that are found routinely in MSW, subject to prior approval of the Department.

(8) Other fuels or wastes shall not be burned without prior specific written approval of the Secretary of the Department of Environmental Protection.

[Rules 62-4.160(2), 62-210.200 and 62-213.440(1), F.A.C.; AC35-115379/PSD-FL-113(C); and, 0690046-003-AC/PSD-FL-113(E)]

**A.12. Hours of Operation.** Each combustor is allowed to operate continuously, i.e., 8,760 hours/year. [Rule 62-210.200(PTE), F.A.C.; and, AC35-115379/PSD-FL-113]

### **Operating Practices and Requirements**

**A.13. (b)** No owner or operator of an affected facility shall cause such facility to operate at a load level greater than 110 percent of the maximum demonstrated municipal waste combustor unit load as defined in specific condition **A.9.**, except as specified below. The averaging time is specified in specific condition **A.15.**

(1) During the annual dioxin/furan or mercury performance test and the two weeks preceding the annual dioxin/furan or mercury performance test, no municipal waste combustor unit load limit is applicable if the provisions of 40 CFR 60.53b(b)(2) are met.

(2) The municipal waste combustor unit load limit may be waived in writing by the Administrator for the purpose of evaluating system performance, testing new technology or control technologies, diagnostic testing, or related activities for the purpose of improving facility performance or advancing the state-of-the-art for controlling facility emissions. The municipal waste combustor unit load limit continues to apply, and remains enforceable, until and unless the Administrator grants the waiver.

[40 CFR 60.53b(b)(1) & (2)]

**A.14. (c)** No owner or operator of an affected facility shall cause such facility to operate at a temperature, measured at the particulate matter control device inlet, exceeding 17°C above the maximum demonstrated particulate matter control device temperature as defined in specific condition **A.10.**, except as specified below. The averaging time is specified in specific condition **A.15.** These requirements apply to each particulate matter control device utilized at the affected facility.

(1) During the annual dioxin/furan or mercury performance test and the two weeks preceding the annual dioxin/furan or mercury performance test, no particulate matter control device temperature limitations are applicable if the provisions of 40 CFR 60.53b(c)(2) are met.

(2) The particulate matter control device temperature limits may be waived in writing by the Administrator for the purpose of evaluating system performance, testing new technology or control technologies, diagnostic testing, or related activities for the purpose of improving facility performance or advancing the state-of-the-art for controlling facility emissions. The temperature limits continue to apply, and remain enforceable, until and unless the Administrator grants the waiver.

[40 CFR 60.53b(c)(1) & (2)]

**A.15. (i) Operating Requirements.** The procedures specified in paragraphs (1) through (12) shall be used for determining compliance with the operating requirements under 40 CFR 60.53b.

(1) Compliance with the carbon monoxide emission limits in 40 CFR 60.53b(a) shall be determined using a 4-hour block arithmetic average for all types of affected facilities except mass burn rotary waterwall municipal waste combustors and refuse-derived fuel stokers.

(2) Not Applicable.

(3) The owner or operator of an affected facility shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a continuous emission monitoring system for measuring carbon monoxide at the combustor outlet and record the output of the system and shall follow the procedures and methods specified in paragraphs (i) through (iii).

(i) The continuous emission monitoring system shall be operated according to Performance Specification 4A in Appendix B, 40 CFR 60.

(ii) During each relative accuracy test run of the continuous emission monitoring system required by Performance Specification 4A in Appendix B, 40 CFR 60, carbon monoxide and oxygen (or carbon dioxide) data shall be collected concurrently (or within a 30- to 60-minute period) by both the continuous emission monitors and the test methods specified in paragraphs (A) and (B). For affected facilities subject to the 100 parts per million dry volume carbon monoxide standard, the relative accuracy criterion of 5 parts per million dry volume is calculated as the absolute value of the mean difference between the reference method and continuous emission monitoring systems.

(A) For carbon monoxide, EPA Reference Method 10, 10A, or 10B shall be used.

(B) For oxygen (or carbon dioxide), EPA Reference Method 3, 3A, or 3B, or ASME PTC-19-10-1981-Part 10 (incorporated by reference, see 40 CFR 60.17 of Subpart A, 40 CFR 60), as applicable, shall be used.

(iii) The span value of the continuous emission monitoring system shall be 125 percent of the maximum estimated hourly potential carbon monoxide emissions of the municipal waste combustor unit.

(4) The 4-hour block average specified in paragraph (1) shall be calculated from 1-hour arithmetic averages expressed in parts per million by volume corrected to 7 percent oxygen (dry basis). The 1-hour arithmetic averages shall be calculated using the data points generated by the continuous emission monitoring system. At least two data points shall be used to calculate each 1-hour arithmetic average.

(5) The owner or operator of an affected facility may request that compliance with the carbon monoxide emission limit be determined using carbon dioxide measurements corrected to an equivalent of 7 percent oxygen. The relationship between oxygen and carbon dioxide levels for the affected facility shall be established as specified in 40 CFR 60.58b(b)(6).

(6) The procedures specified in paragraphs (i) through (iv) shall be used to determine compliance with load level requirements under 40 CFR 60.53b(b).

(i) The owner or operator of an affected facility with steam generation capability shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a steam flow meter or a feedwater flow meter; measure steam (or feedwater) flow in kilograms per hour (or pounds per hour) on a continuous basis; and record the

output of the monitor. Steam (or feedwater) flow shall be calculated in 4-hour block arithmetic averages.

(ii) The method included in the "American Society of Mechanical Engineers Power Test Codes: Test Code for Steam Generating Units, Power Test Code 4.1-1964 (R1991)" section 4 (incorporated by reference, see 40 CFR 60.17) shall be used for calculating the steam (or feedwater) flow required under paragraph (i). The recommendations in "American Society of Mechanical Engineers Interim Supplement 19.5 on Instruments and Apparatus: Application, Part II of Fluid Meters, 6th edition (1971)," chapter 4 (incorporated by reference-see 40 CFR 60.17) shall be followed for design, construction, installation, calibration, and use of nozzles and orifices except as specified in (iii).

(iii) Measurement devices such as flow nozzles and orifices are not required to be recalibrated after they are installed.

(iv) All signal conversion elements associated with steam (or feedwater flow) measurements must be calibrated according to the manufacturer's instructions before each dioxin/furan performance test, and at least once per year.

(7) To determine compliance with the maximum particulate matter control device temperature requirements under 40 CFR 60.53b(c), the owner or operator of an affected facility shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a device for measuring on a continuous basis the temperature of the flue gas stream at the inlet to each particulate matter control device utilized by the affected facility. Temperature shall be calculated in 4-hour block arithmetic averages.

(8) The maximum demonstrated municipal waste combustor unit load shall be determined during the initial performance test for dioxins/furans and each subsequent performance test during which compliance with the dioxin/furan emission limit specified in 40 CFR 60.52b(c) is achieved. The maximum demonstrated municipal waste combustor unit load shall be the highest 4-hour arithmetic average load achieved during four consecutive hours during the most recent test during which compliance with the dioxin/furan emission limit was achieved. If a subsequent dioxin/furan performance test is being performed on only one affected facility at the MWC plant, as provided in 40 CFR 60.58b(g)(5)(iii), the owner or operator may elect to apply the same maximum municipal waste combustor unit load from the tested facility for all similarly designed and operated affected facilities at the MWC plant.

(9) For each particulate matter control device employed at the affected facility, the maximum demonstrated particulate matter control device temperature shall be determined during the initial performance test for dioxins/furans and each subsequent performance test during which compliance with the dioxin/furan emission limit specified in 40 CFR 60.52b(c) is achieved. The maximum demonstrated particulate matter control device temperature shall be the highest 4-hour arithmetic average temperature achieved at the particulate matter control device inlet during four consecutive hours during the most recent test during which compliance with the dioxin/furan limit was achieved. If a subsequent dioxin/furan performance test is being performed on only one affected facility at the MWC plant, as provided in 40 CFR 60.58b(g)(5)(iii), the owner or operator may elect to apply the same maximum particulate matter control device temperature from the tested facility for all similarly designed and operated affected facilities at the MWC plant.

(10) At a minimum, valid continuous emission monitoring system hourly averages shall be obtained as specified in paragraphs (i) and (ii) for at least 90 percent of the operating hours per calendar quarter and 95 percent of the operating hours per calendar year that the affected facility is combusting municipal solid waste.

(i) At least two data points per hour shall be used to calculate each 1-hour arithmetic average.

(ii) At a minimum, each carbon monoxide 1-hour arithmetic average shall be corrected to 7 percent oxygen on an hourly basis using the 1-hour arithmetic average of the oxygen (or carbon dioxide) continuous emission monitoring system data.

(11) All valid continuous emission monitoring system data must be used in calculating the parameters specified under 40 CFR 60.58b(i) even if the minimum data requirements of paragraph (10) are not met.

When carbon monoxide continuous emission data are not obtained because of continuous emission monitoring system breakdowns, repairs, calibration checks, and zero and span adjustments, emissions data shall be obtained using other monitoring systems as approved by EPA or EPA Reference Method 10 to provide, as necessary, the minimum valid emission data.

(12) Quarterly accuracy determinations and daily calibration drift tests for the carbon monoxide continuous emission monitoring system shall be performed in accordance with procedure 1 in Appendix F, 40 CFR 60.

[40 CFR 60.58b(i)]

### **Operator Training and Certification**

**A.16.** Standards for municipal waste combustor operator training and certification.

(a) No later than the date 6 months after the date of startup of an affected facility or 12 months after State plan approval [40 CFR 60.39b(c)(4)(ii)], whichever is later, each chief facility operator and shift supervisor shall obtain and maintain a current provisional operator certification from either the American Society of Mechanical Engineers [QRO-1-1994 (incorporated by reference - see 40 CFR 60.17 of Subpart A)] or a State certification program.

(b) No later than the date 6 months after the date of startup of an affected facility or 12 months after State plan approval [40 CFR 60.39b(c)(4)(ii)], whichever is later, each chief facility operator and shift supervisor shall have completed full certification or shall have scheduled a full certification exam with either the American Society of Mechanical Engineers [QRO-1-1994 (incorporated by reference - see 40 CFR 60.17 of Subpart A)] or a State certification program.

(c) No owner or operator of an affected facility shall allow the facility to be operated at any time unless one of the following persons is on duty and at the affected facility: A fully certified chief facility operator, a provisionally certified chief facility operator who is scheduled to take the full certification exam according to the schedule specified in paragraph (b), a fully certified shift supervisor, a provisionally certified shift supervisor who is scheduled to take the full certification exam according to the schedule specified in paragraph (b).

(1) The requirement specified in paragraph (c) shall take effect 6 months after the date of startup of the affected facility or 12 months after State plan approval [40 CFR 60.39b(c)(4)(ii)], whichever is later.

(2) If both the certified chief facility operator and certified shift supervisor are unavailable, a provisionally certified control room operator on site at the affected facility may fulfill the certified operator requirement. Depending on the length of time that a certified chief facility operator and certified shift supervisor are away, the owner or operator of the affected facility must meet one of three criteria:

(i) When the certified chief facility operator and certified shift supervisor are both off site for 12 hours or less, and no other certified operator is on site, the provisionally certified control room operator may perform the duties of the certified chief facility operator or certified shift supervisor.

(ii) When the certified chief facility operator and certified shift supervisor are both off site for more than 12 hours, but for two weeks or less, and no other certified operator is on site, the provisionally certified operator may perform the duties of the certified chief facility operator or certified shift supervisor without notice to, or approved by, the Administrator. However, the owner or operator of the affected facility must record the period when the certified chief facility operator and certified shift supervisor are off site and include that information in the annual report as specified under 40 CFR 60.59b(g)(5).

(iii) When the certified chief facility operator and certified shift supervisor are both off site for more than two weeks, and no other certified operator is on site, the provisionally certified control

room operator may perform the duties of the certified chief facility operator or certified shift supervisor without approval of the Administrator. However, the owner or operator of the affected facility must take two actions:

- (A) Notify the Administrator in writing. In the notice, state what caused the absence and what actions are being taken by the owner or operator of the facility to ensure that a certified chief facility operator or certified shift supervisor is on site as expeditiously as practicable.
  - (B) Submit a status report and corrective action summary to the Administrator every four weeks following the initial notification. If the Administrator provides notice that the status report or corrective action summary is disapproved, the facility may continue to operate for 90 days. If corrective actions are taken in the 90-day period such that the Administrator withdraws the disapproval, operation of the facility may continue.
- (3) A provisionally certified operator who is newly promoted or recently transferred to a shift supervisor position or a chief facility operator position at the facility may perform the duties of the certified chief facility operator or certified shift supervisor without notice to, or approval by, the Administrator for up to six months before taking the ASME QRO certification exam.
- (d) All chief facility operators, shift supervisors, and control room operators at affected facilities must complete the EPA or State municipal waste combustor operator training course no later than the date 6 months after the date of startup of the affected facility, or by 12 months after State plan approval [40 CFR 60.39b(c)(4)(iii)], whichever is later.
- (e) The owner or operator of an affected facility shall develop and update on a yearly basis a site-specific operating manual that shall, at a minimum, address the elements of municipal waste combustor unit operation specified in paragraphs (1) through (11).
- (1) A summary of the applicable standards;
  - (2) A description of basic combustion theory applicable to a municipal waste combustor unit;
  - (3) Procedures for receiving, handling, and feeding municipal solid waste;
  - (4) Municipal waste combustor unit startup, shutdown, and malfunction procedures;
  - (5) Procedures for maintaining proper combustion air supply levels;
  - (6) Procedures for operating the municipal waste combustor unit within the standards established;
  - (7) Procedures for responding to periodic upset or off-specification conditions;
  - (8) Procedures for minimizing particulate matter carryover;
  - (9) Procedures for handling ash;
  - (10) Procedures for monitoring municipal waste combustor unit emissions; and,
  - (11) Reporting and recordkeeping procedures.
- (f) The owner or operator of an affected facility shall establish a training program to review the operating manual according to the schedule specified in paragraphs (1) and (2) with each person who has responsibilities affecting the operation of an affected facility including, but not limited to, chief facility operators, shift supervisors, control room operators, ash handlers, maintenance personnel, and crane/load handlers.
- (1) Each person specified in paragraph (f) shall undergo initial training no later than the date specified in paragraph (i), (ii), or (iii), whichever is later.
    - (i) The date 6 months after the date of startup of the affected facility;
    - (ii) The date prior to the day the person assumes responsibilities affecting municipal waste combustor unit operation; or,
    - (iii) 12 months after State plan approval [40 CFR 60.39b(c)(4)(iii)].
  - (2) Annually, following the initial review required by paragraph (f)(1).

(g) The operating manual required by paragraph (e) shall be kept in a readily accessible location for all persons required to undergo training under paragraph (f). The operating manual and records of training shall be available for inspection by the EPA or its delegated enforcement agency upon request.

[40 CFR 60.54b]

**A.17.** The requirement specified in 40 CFR 60.54b(d) does not apply to chief operators, shift supervisors, and control room operators who have obtained full certification from the American Society of Mechanical Engineers on or before the date of State plan approval.

[40 CFR 60.39b(c)(4)(iii)(A)]

**A.18.** The owner or operator of a designated facility may request that the Administrator waive the requirement specified in 40 CFR 60.54b(d) for chief facility operators, shift supervisors, and control room operators who have obtained provisional certification from the American Society of Mechanical Engineers on or before the initial date of State plan approval.

[40 CFR 60.39b(c)(4)(iii)(B)]

**A.19.** The initial training requirements specified in 40 CFR 60.54b(f)(1) shall be completed no later than the date specified in (1), (2), or (3), whichever is later.

(1) The date six (6) months after the date of startup of the affected facility;

(2) Twelve (12) months after State Plan approval; or,

(3) The date prior to the day when the person assumes responsibilities affecting municipal waste combustor unit operation.

[40 CFR 60.39b(c)(4)(iii)(C)]

### **Emission Limitations and Standards**

{Permitting note: Unless otherwise stated, the following emission limitations are per each emissions unit.}

### **Particulate Matter**

**A.20.** a. Before April 28, 2009, the maximum emission limit for particulate matter contained in the gases discharged to the atmosphere is 27 milligrams per dry standard cubic meter, corrected to 7 percent oxygen.

b. On and after April 28, 2009, the maximum emission limit for particulate matter contained in the gases discharged to the atmosphere is 25 milligrams per dry standard cubic meter, corrected to 7 percent oxygen.

[40 CFR 60.33b(a)(1)(i); and, 0690046-003-AC/PSD-FL-113(E)]

### **Visible Emissions**

**A.21.** The maximum emission limit for opacity exhibited by the gases discharged to the atmosphere is 10 percent (6-minute average). Because the vent stacks of both Units 1 and 2 are co-located in a support structure/stack, any visible emissions violations from the structure/stack will be attributed to both units, unless the opacity meter results show the specific unit causing the violation.

[40 CFR 60.33b(a)(1)(iii); BACT; and, 0690046-007-AC/PSD-FL-113(F)]

### Cadmium

**A.22. a.** Before April 28, 2009, the maximum emission limit for cadmium contained in the gases discharged to the atmosphere is 40 micrograms per dry standard cubic meter, corrected to 7 percent oxygen.

**b.** On and after April 28, 2009, the maximum emission limit for cadmium contained in the gases discharged to the atmosphere is 35 micrograms per dry standard cubic meter, corrected to 7 percent oxygen.

[40 CFR 60.33b(a)(2)(i)]

### Mercury

**A.23. a.** Before April 28, 2009, the maximum emission limit for mercury contained in the gases discharged to the atmosphere is:

(1) 70 micrograms per dry standard cubic meter, corrected to 7 percent oxygen; or,

(2) 15 percent of the potential mercury emission concentration (85-percent reduction by weight), corrected to 7 percent oxygen, whichever is less stringent.

**b.** On or after April 28, 2009, the maximum emission limit for mercury contained in the gases discharged to the atmosphere is:

(1) 50 micrograms per dry standard cubic meter, corrected to 7 percent oxygen; or,

(2) 15 percent of the potential mercury emission concentration (85-percent reduction by weight), corrected to 7 percent oxygen, whichever is less stringent.

[Rule 62-296.416(3)(a)1., F.A.C.; 40 CFR 60.33b(a)(3); and, 0690046-003-AC/PSD-FL-113(E)]

**A.24.** Facilities with sulfur dioxide and hydrogen chloride control equipment in place or under construction as of July 1, 1993, and which choose to control mercury emissions through the use of mercury control equipment, shall comply with the mercury emissions limiting standard of Rule 62-296.416(3)(a)1., F.A.C., by July 1, 1995. All other facilities choosing to control mercury emissions through the use of mercury control equipment shall comply with the mercury emissions limiting standard of Rule 62-296.416(3)(a)1., F.A.C., by the date that the facility is required to demonstrate compliance with sulfur dioxide and hydrogen chloride emission limits, which limits are established at Rule 62-204.800(8)(b), F.A.C.

[Rule 62-296.416(3)(a)2., F.A.C.]

**A.25.** Facilities subject to the mercury emissions limiting standard of Rule 62-296.416(3)(a)1., F.A.C., shall demonstrate individual emissions unit compliance by the compliance date specified in Rule 62-296.416(3)(a)2., F.A.C., and annually thereafter.

[Rule 62-296.416(3)(a)3., F.A.C.]

**A.26. Mercury Emissions Inventory.** For emissions inventory purposes, all waste-to-energy facilities with charging rates of 40 tons or more per day shall perform annual individual emissions unit mercury emissions tests and report the results to the Department. This testing shall begin during calendar year 1993 and end upon initiation of mercury testing pursuant to Rule 62-296.416(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C.

[Rule 62-296.416(3)(c), F.A.C.]

**Lead**

- A.27.** a. Before April 28, 2009, the maximum emission limit for lead contained in the gases discharged to the atmosphere is 440 micrograms per dry standard cubic meter, corrected to 7 percent oxygen.  
b. On or after April 28, 2009, the maximum emission limit for lead contained in the gases discharged to the atmosphere is 400 micrograms per dry standard cubic meter, corrected to 7 percent oxygen.  
[40 CFR 60.33b(a)(4)]

**Sulfur Dioxide**

- A.28.** The maximum emission limit for sulfur dioxide contained in the gases discharged to the atmosphere is:  
(1) 29 parts per million by volume, corrected to 7 percent oxygen (dry basis), and based on a 24-hour daily geometric mean; or,  
(2) 25 percent of the potential sulfur dioxide emission concentration (75-percent reduction by weight or volume), corrected to 7 percent oxygen (dry basis), and based on a 24-hour daily geometric mean, whichever is less stringent.  
[40 CFR 60.33b(b)(3)(i); and, 0690046-003-AC/PSD-FL-113(E)]

**Hydrogen Chloride**

- A.29.** The maximum emission limit for hydrogen chloride contained in the gases discharged to the atmosphere is:  
(1) 29 parts per million by volume, corrected to 7 percent oxygen (dry basis), calculated as a 3-hour average; or,  
(2) 5 percent of the potential hydrogen chloride emission concentration (95-percent reduction by weight or volume), corrected to 7 percent oxygen (dry basis), and calculated as an 1-hour average, whichever is less stringent.  
[40 CFR 60.33b(b)(3)(ii); AC35-115379/PSD-FL-113(B); and, 0690046-003-AC/PSD-FL-113(E)]

**Dioxins/Furans**

- A.30.** The maximum emission limit for dioxins/furans contained in the gases discharged to the atmosphere is 30 nanograms per dry standard cubic meter (total mass), corrected to 7 percent oxygen.  
[40 CFR 60.33b(c)(1)(iii)]

**Nitrogen Oxides**

- A.31.** The maximum emission limit for nitrogen oxides contained in the gases discharged to the atmosphere is 205 parts per million by volume, corrected to 7 percent oxygen, dry basis, on a daily arithmetic average.  
[40 CFR 60.33b(d); and, 0690046-003-AC/PSD-FL-113(E)]



### **Carbon Monoxide**

**A.32.** The maximum emission limit for carbon monoxide contained in the gases discharged to the atmosphere is 100 parts per million by volume, measured at the combustor outlet in conjunction with a measurement of oxygen concentration, corrected to 7 percent oxygen, dry basis, and based on a 4-hour block average.

[40 CFR 60.34b(a); and, 0690046-003-AC/PSD-FL-113(E)]

### **Fugitive Ash Emissions**

**A.33. Fugitive Ash Emissions.**

(a) On and after the date on which the initial performance test is completed or is required to be completed under 40 CFR 60.8 of Subpart A (see specific condition **A.41.**), no owner or operator of an affected facility shall cause to be discharged to the atmosphere visible emissions of combustion ash from an ash conveying system (including conveyor transfer points) in excess of 5 percent of the observation period (i.e., 9 minutes per 3-hour period), as determined by EPA Reference Method 22 observations as specified in 40 CFR 60.58b(k), except as provided in paragraphs (b) and (c). See specific condition **A.52.**

(b) The emission limit specified in paragraph (a) does not cover visible emissions discharged inside buildings or enclosures of ash conveying systems; however, the emission limit specified in paragraph (a) does cover visible emissions discharged to the atmosphere from buildings or enclosures of ash conveying systems.

(c) The provisions of paragraph (a) do not apply during maintenance and repair of ash conveying systems.

[40 CFR 60.36b and 40 CFR 60.55b]

### **Excess Emissions**

{Permitting Note: The Excess Emissions Rule at Rule 62-210.700, F.A.C., cannot vary any requirement of an NSPS or NESHAP provision.}

**A.34.** The opacity standards set forth in 40 CFR 60 shall apply at all times except during periods of startup, shutdown, malfunction, and as otherwise provided in the applicable standard.

[40 CFR 60.11(c)]

**A.35.** At all times, including periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction, owners and operators shall, to the extent practicable, maintain and operate any affected facility including associated air pollution control equipment in a manner consistent with good air pollution control practice for minimizing emissions. Determination of whether acceptable operating and maintenance procedures are being used will be based on information available to the Administrator which may include, but is not limited to, monitoring results, opacity observations, review of operating and maintenance procedures, and inspection of the source.

[40 CFR 60.11(d)]

**A.36. (a) Startup, Shutdown and Malfunction.** The provisions for startup, shutdown, and malfunction are provided in paragraph (1).

(1) Except as provided by 40 CFR 60.56b, the standards under 40 CFR 60, Subpart Eb, apply at all times except during periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction. Duration of startup, shutdown, or malfunction periods are limited to 3 hours per occurrence, except as provided in paragraph (iii).

During periods of startup, shutdown, or malfunction, monitoring data shall be dismissed or excluded

from compliance calculations, but shall be recorded and reported in accordance with the provisions of 40 CFR 60.58b(d)(7).

(i) The startup period commences when the affected facility begins the continuous burning of municipal solid waste and does not include any warm-up period when the affected facility is combusting fossil fuel or other non-municipal solid waste fuel, and no municipal solid waste is being fed to the combustor.

(ii) Continuous burning is the continuous, semicontinuous, or batch feeding of municipal solid waste for purposes of waste disposal, energy production, or providing heat to the combustion system in preparation for waste disposal or energy production. The use of municipal solid waste solely to provide thermal protection of the grate or hearth during the startup period when municipal solid waste is not being fed to the grate is not considered to be continuous burning.

(iii) For the purpose of compliance with the carbon monoxide emission limits in 40 CFR 60.53b(a), if a loss of boiler water level control (e.g., boiler waterwall tube failure) or a loss of combustion air control (e.g., loss of combustion air fan, induced draft fan, combustion grate bar failure) is determined to be a malfunction, the duration of the malfunction period is limited to 15 hours per occurrence. During such periods of malfunction, monitoring data shall be dismissed or excluded from compliance calculations, but shall be recorded and reported in accordance with the provisions of 40 CFR 60.59b(d)(7).

(2) Not Applicable.

[40 CFR 60.58b(a)(1)]

**A.37.** Excess emissions resulting from startup, shutdown, or malfunction shall be permitted provided that best operational practices to minimize emissions are adhered to and the duration of excess emissions shall be minimized but in no case exceed three (3) hours in any 24 hour period unless specifically authorized by the Department for longer duration.

[Rule 62-210.700(1), F.A.C.; request received April 11, 2001; and, 0690046-003-AC/PSD-FL-113(E)]

**A.38.** Excess emissions which are caused entirely or in part by poor maintenance, poor operation, or any other equipment or process failure which may reasonably be prevented during startup, shutdown, or malfunction shall be prohibited.

[Rule 62-210.700(4), F.A.C.]

### **Test Methods and Procedures**

**A.39.** Within 60 days after achieving the maximum production rate at which the affected facility will be operated, but not later than 180 days after initial startup of such facility and at such other times as may be required by the Administrator under section 114 of the Act, the owner or operator of such facility shall conduct performance test(s) and furnish the Administrator a written report of the results of such performance test(s).

[40 CFR 60.8(a)]

**A.40.** Performance tests shall be conducted and data reduced in accordance with the test methods and procedures contained in each applicable subpart unless the Administrator (1) specifies or approves, in specific cases, the use of a reference method with minor changes in methodology, (2) approves the use of an equivalent method, (3) approves the use of an alternative method the results of which he has determined to be adequate for indicating whether a specific source is in compliance, (4) waives the requirement for performance tests because the owner or operator of a source has demonstrated by other means to the Administrator's satisfaction that the affected facility is in compliance with the standard, or (5) approves shorter sampling times and smaller sample volumes when necessitated by process variables

or other factors. Nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to abrogate the Administrator's authority to require testing under section 114 of the Act.

[40 CFR 60.8(b)]

**A.41.** Performance tests shall be conducted under such conditions as the Administrator shall specify to the plant operator based on representative performance of the affected facility. The owner or operator shall make available to the Administrator such records as may be necessary to determine the conditions of the performance tests. Operations during periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction shall not constitute representative conditions for the purpose of a performance test nor shall emissions in excess of the level of the applicable emission limit during periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction be considered a violation of the applicable emission limit unless otherwise specified in the applicable standard.

[40 CFR 60.8(c)]

**A.42.** The owner or operator of an affected facility shall provide the Administrator at least 30 days prior notice of any performance test, except as specified under other subparts, to afford the Administrator the opportunity to have an observer present.

[40 CFR 60.8(d)]

**A.43.** The owner or operator of an affected facility shall provide, or cause to be provided, performance testing facilities as follows:

- (1) Sampling ports adequate for test methods applicable to such facility. This includes (i) constructing the air pollution control system such that volumetric flow rates and pollutant emission rates can be accurately determined by applicable test methods and procedures and (ii) providing a stack or duct free of cyclonic flow during performance tests, as demonstrated by applicable test methods and procedures.
- (2) Safe sampling platform(s).
- (3) Safe access to sampling platform(s).
- (4) Utilities for sampling and testing equipment.

{Permitting note: See specific condition **A.57.** and Appendix SS-1, Stack Sampling Facilities (version dated 10/7/96) for State of Florida Stack Sampling Requirements.}

[40 CFR 60.8(e)]

**A.44.** Unless otherwise specified in the applicable subpart, each performance test shall consist of three separate runs using the applicable test method. Each run shall be conducted for the time and under the conditions specified in the applicable standard. For the purpose of determining compliance with an applicable standard, the arithmetic means of results of the three runs shall apply. In the event that a sample is accidentally lost or conditions occur in which one of the three runs must be discontinued because of forced shutdown, failure of an irreplaceable portion of the sample train, extreme meteorological conditions, or other circumstances, beyond the owner or operator's control, compliance may, upon the Administrator's approval, be determined using the arithmetic mean of the results of the two other runs.

[40 CFR 60.8(f)]

### **Particulate Matter and Opacity**

**A.45.** (c) Except as provided in paragraph (10), the procedures and test methods specified in paragraphs (1) through (13) shall be used to determine compliance with the emission limits for particulate matter and opacity under 40 CFR 60.52b(a)(1) and (a)(2), respectively.

- (1) The EPA Reference Method 1 shall be used to select sampling site and number of traverse points.

- (2) The EPA Reference Method 3, 3A, or 3B, or as an alternative ASME PTC-19-10-1981-Part 10, as applicable, shall be used for gas analysis.
- (3) The EPA Reference Method 5 shall be used for determining compliance with the particulate matter emission limit. The minimum sample volume shall be 1.7 cubic meters. The probe and filter holder heating systems in the sample train shall be set to provide a gas temperature no greater than 160 °C. An oxygen or carbon dioxide measurement shall be obtained simultaneously with each Method 5 run.
- (4) The owner or operator of an affected facility may request that compliance with the particulate matter emission limit be determined using carbon dioxide measurements corrected to an equivalent of 7 percent oxygen. The relationship between oxygen and carbon dioxide levels for the affected facility shall be established as specified in 40 CFR 60.58b(b)(6).
- (5) As specified under 40 CFR 60.8, all performance tests shall consist of three test runs. The average of the particulate matter emission concentrations from the three test runs is used to determine compliance.
- (6) In accordance with paragraphs (7) and (11), EPA Reference Method 9 shall be used for determining compliance with the opacity limit except as provided under 40 CFR 60.11(e).
- (7) The owner or operator of an affected facility shall conduct an initial performance test for particulate matter emissions and opacity as required under 40 CFR 60.8.
- (8) The owner or operator of an affected facility shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a continuous opacity monitoring system for measuring opacity and shall follow the methods and procedures specified in paragraphs (8)(i) through (8)(iv).
  - (i) The output of the continuous opacity monitoring system shall be recorded on a 6-minute average basis.
  - (ii) The continuous opacity monitoring system shall be installed, evaluated, and operated in accordance with 40 CFR 60.13.
  - (iii) The continuous opacity monitoring system shall conform to Performance Specification 1 in Appendix B, 40 CFR 60.
  - (iv) The initial performance evaluation shall be completed no later than 180 days after the date of the initial startup of the municipal waste combustor unit, as specified under 40 CFR 60.8.
- (9) Following the date that the initial performance test for particulate matter is completed or is required to be completed under 40 CFR 60.8 for an affected facility, the owner or operator shall conduct a performance test for particulate matter on a calendar year basis (no less than 9 calendar months and no more than 15 calendar months following the previous performance test; and must complete five performance tests in each 5-year calendar period).
- (10) Not Applicable.
- (11) Following the date that the initial performance test for opacity is completed or is required to be completed under 40 CFR 60.8 for an affected facility, the owner or operator shall conduct a performance test for opacity on an annual basis (no less than 9 calendar months and no more than 15 calendar months following the previous performance test; and must complete five performance tests in each 5-year calendar period) using the test method specified in paragraph (6).
- (12) The EPA Reference Method 2 shall be used for determining stack gas velocity and volumetric flow rate.
- (13) The EPA Reference Method 4 shall be used for the moisture content in the stack gases.  
[40 CFR 60.38b and 40 CFR 60.58b(c); and, Rule 62-4.070(3), F.A.C.]

### **Cadmium, Lead and Mercury**

- A.46.** (d) The procedures and test methods specified in paragraphs (1) and (2) shall be used to determine compliance with the emission limits for cadmium, lead, and mercury.
- (1) The procedures and test methods specified in paragraphs (1)(i) through (1)(x) shall be used to determine compliance with the emission limits for cadmium and lead.

- (i) The EPA Reference Method 1 shall be used for determining the location and number of sampling points.
  - (ii) The EPA Reference Method 3, 3A, or 3B, or as an alternative ASME PTC-19-10-1981-Part 10, as applicable, shall be used for flue gas analysis.
  - (iii) The EPA Reference Method 29 shall be used for determining compliance with the cadmium and lead emission limits.
  - (iv) An oxygen or carbon dioxide measurement shall be obtained simultaneously with each Method 29 test run for cadmium and lead required under paragraph (1)(iii).
  - (v) The owner or operator of an affected facility may request that compliance with the cadmium or lead emission limit be determined using carbon dioxide measurements corrected to an equivalent of 7 percent oxygen. The relationship between oxygen and carbon dioxide levels for the affected facility shall be established as specified in paragraph 40 CFR 60.58b(b)(6).
  - (vi) All performance tests shall consist of a minimum of three test runs conducted under representative full load operating conditions. The average of the cadmium or lead emission concentrations from three test runs or more shall be used to determine compliance.
  - (vii) Following the date of the initial performance test or the date on which the initial performance test is required to be completed under 40 CFR 60.8, the owner or operator of an affected facility shall conduct a performance test for compliance with the emission limits for cadmium and lead on a calendar year basis (no less than 9 calendar months and no more than 15 calendar months following the previous performance test; and must complete five performance tests in each 5-year calendar period).
  - (viii) [reserved]
  - (ix) [reserved]
  - (x) The EPA Reference Method 2 shall be used for determining stack gas velocity and volumetric flow rate.
- (2) The procedures and test methods specified in paragraphs (2)(i) through (2)(xii) shall be used to determine compliance with the mercury emission limit.
- (i) The EPA Reference Method 1 shall be used for determining the location and number of sampling points.
  - (ii) The EPA Reference Method 3, 3A, or 3B, or as an alternative ASME PTC-19-10-1981-Part 10, as applicable, shall be used for flue gas analysis.
  - (iii) The EPA Reference Method 29 or as an alternative ASTM D6784-02 shall be used to determine the mercury emission concentration. The minimum sample volume when using Method 29 as an alternative ASTM D6784-02 for mercury shall be 1.7 cubic meters.
  - (iv) An oxygen (or carbon dioxide) measurement shall be obtained simultaneously with each Method 29 or as an alternative ASTM D6784-02 test run for mercury required under paragraph (2)(iii).
  - (v) The percent reduction in the potential mercury emissions ( $\%P_{Hg}$ ) is computed using Equation 1:

$$[\%P_{Hg}] = [(E_i - E_o)/E_i] \times 100 \quad (\text{Equation 1})$$

where:

$\%P_{Hg}$  = percent reduction of the potential mercury emissions achieved.

$E_i$  = potential mercury emission concentration measured at the control device inlet, corrected to 7 percent oxygen (dry basis).

$E_o$  = controlled mercury emission concentration measured at the mercury control device outlet, corrected to 7 percent oxygen (dry basis).

(vi) All performance tests shall consist of a minimum of three test runs conducted under representative full load operating conditions. The average of the mercury emission concentrations or percent reductions from three test runs or more is used to determine compliance.

(vii) The owner or operator of an affected facility may request that compliance with the mercury emission limit be determined using carbon dioxide measurements corrected to an equivalent of 7 percent oxygen. The relationship between oxygen and carbon dioxide levels for the affected facility shall be established as specified in paragraph 40 CFR 60.58b(b)(6).

(viii) The owner or operator of an affected facility shall conduct an initial performance test for mercury emissions as required under 40 CFR 60.8.

(ix) Following the date that the initial performance test for mercury is completed or is required to be completed under 40 CFR 60.8, the owner or operator of an affected facility shall conduct a performance test for mercury emissions on a calendar year basis (no less than 9 calendar months and no more than 15 calendar months from the previous performance test; and must complete five performance tests in each 5-year calendar period).

(x) [reserved]

(xi) The owner or operator of an affected facility where activated carbon injection is used to comply with the mercury emission limit shall follow the procedures specified in 40 CFR 60.58b(m) (see specific condition A.95.) for measuring and calculating carbon usage.

(xii) The EPA Reference Method 2 shall be used for determining stack gas velocity and volumetric flow rate.

(3) Not Applicable.

(4) Not Applicable.

[40 CFR 60.38b and 40 CFR 60.58b(d)]

**A.47. Mercury Emissions Test Method and Procedures.**

(3)(d) All mercury emissions tests performed pursuant to the requirements of this rule shall comply with the following provisions.

1. The test method for mercury shall be EPA Method 29 adopted in Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.

2. Test procedures shall meet all applicable requirements of Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.

(4) Flue Gas Temperature Standard. Waste-to-energy facilities choosing to control mercury emissions through the use of post-combustion control equipment designed to remove mercury from flue gases shall comply with the flue gas temperature standard of Rule 62-296.416(4)(a), F.A.C.

(a) Temperature Standard. The flue gas temperature standard set forth in 40 CFR 60.53b(c) (see specific condition A.14.), incorporated by reference in Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., shall apply.

(b) Temperature Monitoring. The temperature monitoring requirements set forth in 40 CFR 60.58b(i) (see specific condition A.15.), incorporated by reference in Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., shall apply.

(5) Carbon Usage Rate. The carbon injection rate operating standard and monitoring requirements set forth in 40 CFR 60.58b(m) (see specific condition A.95.), incorporated by reference in Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., shall apply.

[Rules 62-296.416(3)(d)(4) and (5), F.A.C.]

**Sulfur Dioxide**

**A.48.** (e) The procedures and test methods specified in paragraphs (1) through (14) shall be used for determining compliance with the sulfur dioxide emission limit.

(1) The EPA Reference Method 19, section 4.3, shall be used to calculate the daily geometric average sulfur dioxide emission concentration.

- (2) The EPA Reference Method 19, section 5.4, shall be used to determine the daily geometric average percent reduction in the potential sulfur dioxide emission concentration.
- (3) The owner or operator of an affected facility may request that compliance with the sulfur dioxide emission limit be determined using carbon dioxide measurements corrected to an equivalent of 7 percent oxygen. The relationship between oxygen and carbon dioxide levels for the affected facility shall be established as specified in 40 CFR 60.58b(b)(6).
- (4) The owner or operator of an affected facility shall conduct an initial performance test for sulfur dioxide emissions as required under 40 CFR 60.8. Compliance with the sulfur dioxide emission limit (concentration or percent reduction) shall be determined by using the continuous emission monitoring system specified in paragraph (5) to measure sulfur dioxide and calculating a 24-hour daily geometric average emission concentration or a 24-hour daily geometric average percent reduction using EPA Reference Method 19, sections 4.3 and 5.4, as applicable.
- (5) The owner or operator of an affected facility shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a continuous emission monitoring system for measuring sulfur dioxide emissions discharged to the atmosphere and record the output of the system.
- (6) Following the date that the initial performance test for sulfur dioxide is completed or is required to be completed under 40 CFR 60.8, compliance with the sulfur dioxide emission limit shall be determined based on the 24-hour (measured between 12:00 midnight and the following midnight) daily geometric average of the hourly arithmetic average emission concentrations using continuous emission monitoring system outlet data if compliance is based on an emission concentration, or continuous emission monitoring system inlet and outlet data if compliance is based on a percent reduction.
- (7) At a minimum, valid continuous monitoring system hourly averages shall be obtained as specified in paragraphs (7)(i) and (7)(ii) for 90 percent of the operating hours per calendar quarter and 95 percent of the operating days per calendar year that the affected facility is combusting municipal solid waste.
  - (i) At least two data points per hour shall be used to calculate each 1-hour arithmetic average.
  - (ii) Each sulfur dioxide 1-hour arithmetic average shall be corrected to 7 percent oxygen on an hourly basis using the 1-hour arithmetic average of the oxygen (or carbon dioxide) continuous emission monitoring system data.
- (8) The 1-hour arithmetic averages required under paragraph (6) shall be expressed in parts per million corrected to 7 percent oxygen (dry basis) and used to calculate the 24-hour daily geometric average emission concentrations and daily geometric average emission percent reductions. The 1-hour arithmetic averages shall be calculated using the data points required under 40 CFR 60.13(e)(2).
- (9) All valid continuous emission monitoring system data shall be used in calculating average emission concentrations and percent reductions even if the minimum continuous emission monitoring system data requirements of paragraph (7) are not met.
- (10) The procedures under 40 CFR 60.13 shall be followed for installation, evaluation, and operation of the continuous emission monitoring system.
- (11) The initial performance evaluation shall be completed no later than 180 days after the date of initial startup of the municipal waste combustor as specified under 40 CFR 60.8.
- (12) The continuous emission monitoring system shall be operated according to Performance Specification 2 in 40 CFR 60, Appendix B. For sources that have actual inlet emissions less than 100 parts per million dry volume, the relative accuracy criterion for inlet sulfur dioxide continuous emission monitoring systems should be no greater than 20 percent of the mean value of the reference method test data in terms of the units of the emission standard, or 5 parts per million dry volume absolute value of the mean difference between the reference method and the continuous emission monitoring systems, whichever is greater.
  - (i) During each relative accuracy test run of the continuous emission monitoring system required by Performance Specification 2 in 40 CFR 60 Appendix B, sulfur dioxide and oxygen (or carbon

dioxide) data shall be collected concurrently (or within a 30- to 60-minute period) by both the continuous emission monitors and the test methods specified in paragraphs (A) and (B).

(A) For sulfur dioxide, EPA Reference Method 6, 6A, or 6C, or as an alternative ASME PTC-19-10-1981-Part 10, shall be used.

(B) For oxygen (or carbon dioxide), EPA Reference Method 3, 3A, or 3B, or as an alternative ASME PTC-19-10-1981-Part 10, as applicable, shall be used.

(ii) The span value of the continuous emissions monitoring system at the inlet to the sulfur dioxide control device shall be 125 percent of the maximum estimated hourly potential sulfur dioxide emissions of the municipal waste combustor unit. The span value of the continuous emission monitoring system at the outlet of the sulfur dioxide control device shall be 50 percent of the maximum estimated hourly potential sulfur dioxide emissions of the municipal waste combustor unit.

(13) Quarterly accuracy determinations and daily calibration drift tests shall be performed in accordance with procedure 1 in Appendix F of 40 CFR 60.

(14) When sulfur dioxide emissions data are not obtained because of continuous emission monitoring system breakdowns, repairs, calibration checks, and/or zero and span adjustments, emissions data shall be obtained by using other monitoring systems as approved by EPA or EPA Reference Method 19 to provide, as necessary, valid emissions data for a minimum of 90 percent of the hours per calendar quarter and 95 percent of the hours per calendar year that the affected facility is operated and combusting municipal solid waste.

[40 CFR 60.58b(e)]

### Hydrogen Chloride

**A.49.** (f) The procedures and test methods specified in paragraphs (1) through (8) shall be used for determining compliance with the hydrogen chloride emission limit.

(1) The EPA Reference Method 26 or 26A, as applicable, shall be used to determine the hydrogen chloride emission concentration. The minimum sampling time for Method 26 shall be 1 hour.

(2) An oxygen (or carbon dioxide) measurement shall be obtained simultaneously with each Method 26 test run for hydrogen chloride required by paragraph (1).

(3) The percent reduction in potential hydrogen chloride emissions (% P<sub>HCl</sub>) is computed using Equation 2:

$$[\%P_{HCl}] = [(E_i - E_o)/E_i] \times 100 \quad (\text{Equation 2})$$

where:

%P<sub>HCl</sub> = percent reduction of the potential hydrogen chloride emissions achieved.

E<sub>i</sub> = potential hydrogen chloride emission concentration measured at the control device inlet, corrected to 7 percent oxygen (dry basis).

E<sub>o</sub> = controlled hydrogen chloride emission concentration measured at the control device outlet, corrected to 7 percent oxygen (dry basis).

(4) The owner or operator of an affected facility may request that compliance with the hydrogen chloride emission limit be determined using carbon dioxide measurements corrected to an equivalent of 7 percent oxygen. The relationship between oxygen and carbon dioxide levels for the affected facility shall be established as specified in 40 CFR 60.58b(b)(6).



- (5) As specified under 40 CFR 60.8, all performance tests shall consist of three test runs. The average of the hydrogen chloride emission concentrations or percent reductions from the three test runs is used to determine compliance.
- (6) The owner or operator of an affected facility shall conduct an initial performance test for hydrogen chloride as required under 40 CFR 60.8.
- (7) Following the date that the initial performance test for hydrogen chloride is completed or is required to be completed under 40 CFR 60.8, the owner or operator of an affected facility shall conduct a performance test for hydrogen chloride emissions on an annual basis (no more than 12 calendar months following the previous performance test).
- (8) Not Applicable.  
[40 CFR 60.58b(f)]

### **Dioxins/Furans**

- A.50.** (g) The procedures and test methods specified in paragraphs (1) through (10) shall be used to determine compliance with the limits for dioxin/furan emissions.
- (1) The EPA Reference Method 1 shall be used for determining the location and number of sampling points.
  - (2) The EPA Reference Method 3, 3A, or 3B, or as an alternative ASME PTC-19-10-1981-Part 10, as applicable, shall be used for flue gas analysis.
  - (3) The EPA Reference Method 23 shall be used for determining the dioxin/furan emission concentration.
    - (i) The minimum sample time shall be 4 hours per test run.
    - (ii) An oxygen (or carbon dioxide) measurement shall be obtained simultaneously with each Method 23 test run for dioxins/furans.
  - (4) The owner or operator of an affected facility shall conduct an initial performance test for dioxin/furan emissions in accordance with paragraph (3), as required under 40 CFR 60.8.
  - (5) Following the date that the initial performance test for dioxins/furans is completed or is required to be completed under 40 CFR 60.8, the owner or operator of an affected facility shall conduct performance tests for dioxin/furan emissions in accordance with paragraph (3), according to one of the schedules specified in paragraphs (i) through (iii).
    - (i) For affected facilities, performance tests shall be conducted on an annual basis (no less than 9 calendar months and no more than 15 calendar months following the previous performance test; and must complete five performance tests in each 5-year calendar period).
    - (ii) For the purpose of evaluating system performance to establish new operating parameter levels, testing new technology or control technologies, diagnostic testing, or related activities for the purpose of improving facility performance or advancing the state-of-the-art for controlling facility emissions, the owner or operator of an affected facility that qualifies for the performance testing schedule specified in paragraph (iii), may test one unit for dioxin/furan and apply the dioxin/furan operating parameters to similarly designed and equipped units on site by meeting the requirements specified in paragraphs (A) through (D).
      - (A) Follow the testing schedule established in paragraph (iii). For example, each year a different affected facility at the municipal waste combustor plant shall be tested, and the affected facilities at the plant shall be tested in sequence (e.g., unit 1, unit 2, unit 3, as applicable).
      - (B) Upon meeting the requirements in paragraph (iii) for one affected facility, the owner or operator may elect to apply the average carbon mass feed rate and associated carbon injection system operating parameter levels for dioxin/furan as established in 40 CFR 60.58b(m) to similarly designed and equipped units on site.

- (C) Upon testing each subsequent unit in accordance with the testing schedule established in paragraph (iii), the dioxin/furan and mercury emissions of the subsequent unit shall not exceed the dioxin/furan and mercury emissions measured in the most recent test of that unit prior to the revised operating parameter levels.
- (D) The owner or operator of an affected facility that selects to follow the performance testing schedule specified in paragraph (iii) and apply the carbon injection system operating parameters to similarly designed and equipped units on site shall follow the procedures specified in 40 CFR 60.59b(g)(4) for reporting.
- (iii) Where all performance tests over a 2-year period indicate that dioxin/furan emissions are less than or equal to 15 nanograms per dry standard cubic meter (total mass) for all affected facilities located within a municipal waste combustor plant, the owner or operator of the municipal waste combustor plant may elect to conduct annual performance tests for one affected facility (i.e., unit) per year at the municipal waste combustor plant. At a minimum, a performance test for dioxin/furan emissions shall be conducted on a calendar year basis (no less than 9 calendar months and no more than 15 calendar months following the previous performance test; and must complete five performance tests in each 5-year calendar period) for one affected facility at the municipal waste combustor plant. Each year a different affected facility at the municipal waste combustor plant shall be tested, and the affected facilities at the plant shall be tested in sequence (e.g., Unit 1, Unit 2, Unit 3, as applicable). If each annual performance test continues to indicate a dioxin/furan emission level less than or equal to 15 nanograms per dry standard cubic meter (total mass), the owner or operator may continue conducting a performance test on only one affected facility per calendar year. If any annual performance test indicates either a dioxin/furan emission level greater than 15 nanograms per dry standard cubic meter (total mass), performance tests shall thereafter be conducted annually on all affected facilities at the plant until and unless all annual performance tests for all affected facilities at the plant over a 2-year period indicate a dioxin/furan emission level less than or equal to 15 nanograms per dry standard cubic meter (total mass).
- (6) The owner or operator of an affected facility that selects to follow the performance testing schedule specified in paragraph (5)(iii) shall follow the procedures specified in 40 CFR 60.59b(g)(4) for reporting the selection of this schedule.
- (7) The owner or operator of an affected facility where activated carbon is used shall follow the procedures specified in 40 CFR 60.58b(m) for measuring and calculating the carbon usage rate.
- (8) The owner or operator of an affected facility may request that compliance with the dioxin/furan emission limit be determined using carbon dioxide measurements corrected to an equivalent of 7 percent oxygen. The relationship between oxygen and carbon dioxide levels for the affected facility shall be established as specified in 40 CFR 60.58b(b)(6).
- (9) As specified under 40 CFR 60.8, all performance tests shall consist of three test runs. The average of the dioxin/furan emission concentrations from the three test runs is used to determine compliance.
- (10) In place of dioxin/furan sampling and testing with EPA Reference Method 23, an owner or operator may elect to sample dioxin/furan by installing, calibrating, maintaining, and operating a continuous automated sampling system for monitoring dioxin/furan emissions discharged to the atmosphere, recording the output of the system, and analyzing the sample using EPA Method 23. This option to use a continuous automated sampling system takes effect on the date a final performance specification applicable to dioxin/furan from monitors is published in the Federal Register or the date of approval of a site-specific monitoring plan. The owner or operator of an affected facility who elects to continuously sample dioxin/furan emissions instead of sampling and testing using EPA Method 23 shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a continuous automated sampling system and shall comply with the requirements specified in 40 CFR 60.58b(p) and (q).

- (11) The EPA Reference Method 2 shall be used for determining stack gas velocity and volumetric flow rate.  
[40 CFR 60.38b(b) and 40 CFR 60.58b(g)]

### **Nitrogen Oxides**

**A.51.** (h) The procedures and test methods specified in paragraphs (1) through (12) shall be used to determine compliance with the nitrogen oxides emission limit for affected facilities under 40 CFR 60.52b(d).

- (1) The EPA Reference Method 19, section 4.1, shall be used for determining the daily arithmetic average nitrogen oxides emission concentration.
- (2) The owner or operator of an affected facility may request that compliance with the nitrogen oxides emission limit be determined using carbon dioxide measurements corrected to an equivalent of 7 percent oxygen. The relationship between oxygen and carbon dioxide levels for the affected facility shall be established as specified in 40 CFR 60.58b(b)(6).
- (3) The owner or operator of an affected facility subject to the nitrogen oxides limit shall conduct an initial performance test for nitrogen oxides as required under 40 CFR 60.8. Compliance with the nitrogen oxides emission limit shall be determined by using the continuous emission monitoring system specified in paragraph (4) for measuring nitrogen oxides and calculating a 24-hour daily arithmetic average emission concentration using EPA Reference Method 19, section 4.1.
- (4) The owner or operator of an affected facility subject to the nitrogen oxides emission shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a continuous emission monitoring system for measuring nitrogen oxides discharged to the atmosphere, and record the output of the system.
- (5) Following the date that the initial performance test for nitrogen oxides is completed or is required to be completed under 40 CFR 60.8, compliance with the emission limit for nitrogen oxides shall be determined based on the 24-hour (measured between 12:00 midnight and the following midnight) daily arithmetic average of the hourly emission concentrations using continuous emission monitoring system outlet data.
- (6) At a minimum, valid continuous emission monitoring system hourly averages shall be obtained as specified in paragraphs (i) and (ii) for 90 percent of the operating hours per calendar quarter and for 95 percent of the operating hours per calendar year that the affected facility is combusting municipal solid waste.
  - (i) At least 2 data points per hour shall be used to calculate each 1-hour arithmetic average.
  - (ii) Each nitrogen oxides 1-hour arithmetic average shall be corrected to 7 percent oxygen on an hourly basis using the 1-hour arithmetic average of the oxygen (or carbon dioxide) continuous emission monitoring system data.
- (7) The 1-hour arithmetic averages required by paragraph (5) shall be expressed in parts per million by volume (dry basis) and used to calculate the 24-hour daily arithmetic average concentrations. The 1-hour arithmetic averages shall be calculated using the data points required under 40 CFR 60.13(e)(2).
- (8) All valid continuous emission monitoring system data must be used in calculating emission averages even if the minimum continuous emission monitoring system data requirements of paragraph (6) are not met.
- (9) The procedures under 40 CFR 60.13 shall be followed for installation, evaluation, and operation of the continuous emission monitoring system. The initial performance evaluation shall be completed no later than 180 days after the date of initial startup of the municipal waste combustor unit, as specified under 40 CFR 60.8.
- (10) The owner or operator of an affected facility shall operate the continuous emission monitoring system according to Performance Specification 2 in Appendix B of 40 CFR 60 and shall follow the procedures and methods specified in paragraphs(i) and (ii).

- (i) During each relative accuracy test run of the continuous emission monitoring system required by Performance Specification 2 of Appendix B of 40 CFR 60, nitrogen oxides and oxygen (or carbon dioxide) data shall be collected concurrently (or within a 30- to 60-minute period) by both the continuous emission monitors and the test methods specified in paragraphs(A) and (B).
- (A) For nitrogen oxides, EPA Reference Method 7, 7A, 7C, 7D, or 7E shall be used.
- (B) For oxygen (or carbon dioxide), EPA Reference Method 3, 3A, or 3B, or as an alternative ASME PTC-19-10-1981-Part 10, as applicable, shall be used.
- (ii) The span value of the continuous emission monitoring system shall be 125 percent of the maximum estimated hourly potential nitrogen oxide emissions of the municipal waste combustor unit.
- (11) Quarterly accuracy determinations and daily calibration drift tests shall be performed in accordance with procedure 1 in Appendix F of 40 CFR 60.
- (12) When nitrogen oxides continuous emissions data are not obtained because of continuous emission monitoring system breakdowns, repairs, calibration checks, and zero and span adjustments, emissions data shall be obtained using other monitoring systems as approved by EPA or EPA Reference Method 19 to provide, as necessary, valid emissions data for a minimum of 90 percent of the hours per calendar quarter and 95 percent of the hours per calendar year the unit is operated and combusting municipal solid waste.
- [40 CFR 60.58b(h)]

### **Fugitive Ash**

- A.52.** (k) The procedures specified in paragraphs (1) through (4) shall be used for determining compliance with the fugitive ash emission limit under 40 CFR 60.55b. (See specific condition **A.33.**)
- (1) The EPA Reference Method 22 shall be used for determining compliance with the fugitive ash emission limit under 40 CFR 60.55b. The minimum observation time shall be a series of three 1-hour observations. The observation period shall include times when the facility is transferring ash from the municipal waste combustor unit to the area where ash is stored or loaded into containers or trucks.
- (2) The average duration of visible emissions per hour shall be calculated from the three 1-hour observations. The average shall be used to determine compliance with 40 CFR 60.55b.
- (3) The owner or operator of an affected facility shall conduct an initial performance test for fugitive ash emissions as required under 40 CFR 60.8.
- (4) Following the date that the initial performance test for fugitive ash emissions is completed or is required to be completed under 40 CFR 60.8 for an affected facility, the owner or operator shall conduct a performance test for fugitive ash emissions on an annual basis (no more than 12 calendar months following the previous performance test).
- [40 CFR 60.58b(k)]

**A.53. Required Number of Test Runs.** For mass emission limitations, a compliance test shall consist of three complete and separate determinations of the total air pollutant emission rate through the test section of the stack or duct and three complete and separate determinations of any applicable process variables corresponding to the three distinct time periods during which the stack emission rate was measured provided, however, that three complete and separate determinations shall not be required if the process variables are not subject to variation during a compliance test, or if three determinations are not necessary in order to calculate the unit's emission rate. The three required test runs shall be completed within one consecutive five day period. In the event that a sample is lost or one of the three runs must be discontinued because of circumstances beyond the control of the owner or operator, and a valid third run cannot be obtained within the five day period allowed for the test, the Secretary or his or her designee may accept the results of the two complete runs as proof of compliance, provided that the arithmetic mean

of the results of the two complete runs is at least 20 percent below the allowable emission limiting standards.

[Rule 62-297.310(1), F.A.C.]

**A.54. Operating Rate During Testing.** Testing of emissions shall be conducted with the emissions unit operation at permitted capacity, which is defined as 90 to 100 percent of the maximum operation rate allowed by the permit. If it is impracticable to test at permitted capacity, an emissions unit may be tested at less than the minimum permitted capacity; in this case, subsequent emissions unit operation is limited to 110 percent of the test load until a new test is conducted. Once the emissions unit is so limited, operation at higher capacities is allowed for no more than 15 consecutive days for the purpose of additional compliance testing to regain the authority to operate at the permitted capacity.

[Rules 62-297.310(2) & (2)(b), F.A.C.]

**A.55. Calculation of Emission Rate.** The indicated emission rate or concentration shall be the arithmetic average of the emission rate or concentration determined by each of the three separate test runs unless otherwise specified in a particular test method or applicable rule.

[Rule 62-297.310(3), F.A.C.]

**A.56. Applicable Test Procedures.**

(a) Required Sampling Time.

1. Unless otherwise specified in the applicable rule, the required sampling time for each test run shall be no less than one hour and no greater than four hours, and the sampling time at each sampling point shall be of equal intervals of at least two minutes.

2. Opacity Compliance Tests. When either EPA Method 9 or DEP Method 9 is specified as the applicable opacity test method, the required minimum period of observation for a compliance test shall be sixty (60) minutes for emissions units which emit or have the potential to emit 100 tons per year or more of particulate matter, and thirty (30) minutes for emissions units which have potential emissions less than 100 tons per year of particulate matter and are not subject to a multiple-valued opacity standard. The opacity test observation period shall include the period during which the highest opacity emissions can reasonably be expected to occur. Exceptions to these requirements are as follows:

a. For batch, cyclical processes, or other operations which are normally completed within less than the minimum observation period and do not recur within that time, the period of observation shall be equal to the duration of the batch cycle or operation completion time.

b. The observation period for special opacity tests that are conducted to provide data to establish a surrogate standard pursuant to Rule 62-297.310(5)(k), F.A.C., Waiver of Compliance Test Requirements, shall be established as necessary to properly establish the relationship between a proposed surrogate standard and an existing mass emission limiting standard.

c. The minimum observation period for opacity tests conducted by employees or agents of the Department to verify the day-to-day continuing compliance of a unit or activity with an applicable opacity standard shall be twelve minutes.

(b) Minimum Sample Volume. Unless otherwise specified in the applicable rule, the minimum sample volume per run shall be 25 dry standard cubic feet.

{Permitting note: Specific condition A.45. specifies a minimum sample volume of 1.7 cubic meters.}

(c) Required Flow Rate Range. For EPA Method 5 particulate sampling, acid mist/sulfur dioxide, and fluoride sampling which uses Greenburg Smith type impingers, the sampling nozzle and sampling time shall be selected such that the average sampling rate will be between 0.5 and 1.0 actual cubic feet per minute, and the required minimum sampling volume will be obtained.

(d) Calibration of Sampling Equipment. Calibration of the sampling train equipment shall be conducted in accordance with the schedule shown in Table 297.310-1, attached as part of this permit.

(e) Allowed Modification to EPA Method 5. When EPA Method 5 is required, the following modification is allowed: the heated filter may be separated from the impingers by a flexible tube.  
[Rule 62-297.310(4), F.A.C.]

**A.57. Required Stack Sampling Facilities**. When a mass emissions stack test is required, the permittee shall comply with the requirements contained in Appendix SS-1, Stack Sampling Facilities, attached to this permit.

[Rule 62-297.310(6), F.A.C.]

**A.58. Frequency of Compliance Tests**. The following provisions apply only to those emissions units that are subject to an emissions limiting standard for which compliance testing is required.

(a) General Compliance Testing.

3. The owner or operator of an emissions unit that is subject to any emission limiting standard shall conduct a compliance test that demonstrates compliance with the applicable emission limiting standard prior to obtaining a renewed operation permit. Emissions units that are required to conduct an annual compliance test may submit the most recent annual compliance test to satisfy the requirements of this provision. In renewing an air operation permit pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(2)(a)3.b., c., or d., F.A.C., the Department shall not require submission of emission compliance test results for any emissions unit that, during the year prior to renewal:

- a. Did not operate; or,
- b. In the case of a fuel burning emissions unit, burned liquid and/or solid fuel for a total of no more than 400 hours.

4. During each federal fiscal year (October 1 - September 30), unless otherwise specified by rule, order, or permit, the owner or operator of each emissions unit shall have a formal compliance test conducted for:

- a. Visible emissions, if there is an applicable standard;
- b. Each of the following pollutants, if there is an applicable standard, and if the emissions unit emits or has the potential to emit: 5 tons per year or more of lead or lead compounds measured as elemental lead; 30 tons per year or more of acrylonitrile; or, 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant; and,
- c. Each NESHAP pollutant, if there is an applicable emission standard.

5. An annual compliance test for particulate matter emissions shall not be required for any fuel burning emissions unit that, in a federal fiscal year, does not burn liquid and/or solid fuel, other than during startup, for a total of more than 400 hours.

9. The owner or operator shall notify the Department's Central District, at least 15 days prior to the date on which each formal compliance test is to begin, of the date, time, and place of each such test, and the test contact person who will be responsible for coordinating and having such test conducted for the owner or operator.

(b) Special Compliance Tests. When the Department's Central District, after investigation, has good reason (such as complaints, increased visible emissions or questionable maintenance of control equipment) to believe that any applicable emission standard contained in a Department rule or in a permit issued pursuant to those rules is being violated, it may require the owner or operator of the emissions unit to conduct compliance tests which identify the nature and quantity of pollutant emissions from the emissions unit and to provide a report on the results of said tests to the Department's Central District.

(c) Waiver of Compliance Test Requirements. If the owner or operator of an emissions unit that is subject to a compliance test requirement demonstrates to the Department, pursuant to the procedure established in Rule 62-297.620, F.A.C., that the compliance of the emissions unit with an applicable

weight emission limiting standard can be adequately determined by means other than the designated test procedure, such as specifying a surrogate standard of no visible emissions for particulate matter sources equipped with a bag house or specifying a fuel analysis for sulfur dioxide emissions, the Department shall waive the compliance test requirements for such emissions units and order that the alternate means of determining compliance be used, provided, however, the provisions of Rule 62-297.310(7)(b), F.A.C., shall apply.

[Rule 62-297.310(7), F.A.C.; and, SIP approved]

### **Compliance With Standards and Maintenance Requirements**

**A.59.** Compliance with standards in 40 CFR 60, other than opacity standards, shall be determined by performance tests established by 40 CFR 60.8, unless otherwise specified in the applicable standard.  
[40 CFR 60.11(a)]

**A.60.** Compliance with opacity standards in 40 CFR 60 shall be determined by conducting observations in accordance with Reference Method 9 in Appendix A, 40 CFR 60, any alternative method that is approved by the Administrator, or as provided in 40 CFR 60.11(e)(5).  
[40 CFR 60.11(b)]

**A.61.** The owner or operator of an affected facility subject to an opacity standard may submit, for compliance purposes, continuous opacity monitoring system (COMS) data results produced during any performance test required under 40 CFR 60.8 in lieu of EPA Method 9 observation data. If an owner or operator elects to submit COMS data for compliance with the opacity standard, he or she shall notify the Administrator of that decision, in writing, at least 30 days before any performance test required under 40 CFR 60.8 is conducted. Once the owner or operator of an affected facility has notified the Administrator to that effect, the COMS data results will be used to determine opacity compliance during subsequent tests required under 40 CFR 60.8 until the owner or operator notifies the Administrator, in writing, to the contrary. For the purpose of determining compliance with the opacity standard during a performance test required under 40 CFR 60.8 using COMS data, the minimum total time of COMS data collection shall be averages of all 6-minute continuous periods within the duration of the mass emission performance test. Results of the COMS opacity determinations shall be submitted along with the results of the performance test required under 60.8. The owner or operator of an affected facility using a COMS for compliance purposes is responsible for demonstrating that the COMS meets the requirements specified in 40 CFR 60.13(c), that the COMS has been properly maintained and operated, and that the resulting data have not been altered in any way. If COMS data results are submitted for compliance with the opacity standard for a period of time during which EPA Method 9 data indicates noncompliance, the EPA Method 9 data will be used to determine opacity compliance.  
[40 CFR 60.11(e)(5)]

### **Monitoring Requirements**

**A.62.** For the purposes of 40 CFR 60.13, all continuous monitoring systems (CMS) required under applicable subparts shall be subject to the provisions of 40 CFR 60.13 upon promulgation of performance specifications for continuous monitoring systems under Appendix B of 40 CFR 60 and, if the continuous monitoring system is used to demonstrate compliance with emission limits on a continuous basis, Appendix F of 40 CFR 60, unless otherwise specified in an applicable subpart or by the Administrator. Appendix F is applicable December 4, 1987.  
[40 CFR 60.13(a)]

**A.63.** If the owner or operator of an affected facility elects to submit continuous opacity monitoring system (COMS) data for compliance with the opacity standard as provided under 40 CFR 60.11(e)(5), he shall conduct a performance evaluation of the COMS as specified in Performance Specification 1, Appendix B, 40 CFR 60, before the performance test required under 40 CFR 60.8 is conducted. Otherwise, the owner or operator of an affected facility shall conduct a performance evaluation of the COMS or continuous emission monitoring system (CEMS) during any performance test required under 40 CFR 60.8 or within 30 days thereafter in accordance with the applicable performance specification in Appendix B of 40 CFR 60. The owner or operator of an affected facility shall conduct COMS or CEMS performance evaluations at such other times as may be required by the Administrator under section 114 of the Act.

(1) The owner or operator of an affected facility using a COMS to determine opacity compliance during any performance test required under 40 CFR 60.8 and as described in 40 CFR 60.11(e)(5) shall furnish the Administrator two or, upon request, more copies of a written report of the results of the COMS performance evaluation described in 40 CFR 60.13(c) at least 10 days before the performance test required under 60.8 is conducted.

[40 CFR 60.13(c)(1)]

**A.64.** (1) Owners and operators of all continuous emission monitoring systems (CEMS) installed in accordance with the provisions of this part shall check the zero (or low-level value between 0 and 20 percent of span value) and span (50 to 100 percent of span value) calibration drifts at least once daily in accordance with a written procedure. The zero and span shall, as a minimum, be adjusted whenever the 24-hour zero drift or 24-hour span drift exceeds two times the limits of the applicable performance specifications in Appendix B. The system must allow the amount of excess zero and span drift measured at the 24-hour interval checks to be recorded and quantified, whenever specified. For continuous monitoring systems measuring opacity of emissions, the optical surfaces exposed to the effluent gases shall be cleaned prior to performing the zero and span drift adjustments except that for systems using automatic zero adjustments. The optical surfaces shall be cleaned when the cumulative automatic zero compensation exceeds 4 percent opacity.

(2) Unless otherwise approved by the Administrator, the following procedures shall be followed for continuous monitoring systems measuring opacity of emissions. Minimum procedures shall include a method for producing a simulated zero opacity condition and an upscale (span) opacity condition using a certified neutral density filter or other related technique to produce a known obscuration of the light beam. Such procedures shall provide a system check of the analyzer internal optical surfaces and all electronic circuitry including the lamp and photo detector assembly.

[40 CFR 60.13(d)(1) and (2)]

**A.65.** Except for system breakdowns, repairs, calibration checks, and zero and span adjustments required under 40 CFR 60.13(d), all continuous monitoring systems (CMS) shall be in continuous operation and shall meet minimum frequency of operation requirements as follows:

(1) All continuous monitoring systems referenced by 40 CFR 60.13(c) for measuring opacity of emissions shall complete a minimum of one cycle of sampling and analyzing for each successive 10-second period and one cycle of data recording for each successive 6-minute period.

(2) All continuous monitoring systems referenced by 40 CFR 60.13(c) for measuring emissions, except opacity, shall complete a minimum of one cycle of operation (sampling, analyzing, and data recording) for each successive 15-minute period.

[40 CFR 60.13(e)(1) and (2)]



**A.66.** All continuous monitoring systems (CMS) or monitoring devices shall be installed such that representative measurements of emissions or process parameters from the affected facility are obtained. Additional procedures for location of continuous monitoring systems contained in the applicable Performance Specifications of Appendix B of 40 CFR 60 shall be used.  
[40 CFR 60.13(f)]

**A.67.** When the effluents from a single affected facility or two or more affected facilities subject to the same emission standards are combined before being released to the atmosphere, the owner or operator may install applicable continuous monitoring systems (CMS) on each effluent or on the combined effluent. When the affected facilities are not subject to the same emission standards, separate continuous monitoring systems shall be installed on each effluent. When the effluent from one affected facility is released to the atmosphere through more than one point, the owner or operator shall install an applicable continuous monitoring system on each separate effluent unless the installation of fewer systems is approved by the Administrator. When more than one continuous monitoring system is used to measure the emissions from one affected facility (e.g., multiple breechings, multiple outlets), the owner or operator shall report the results as required from each continuous monitoring system.  
[40 CFR 60.13(g)]

**A.68.** Owners or operators of all continuous monitoring systems for measurement of opacity shall reduce all data to 6-minute averages and for continuous monitoring systems other than opacity to 1-hour averages for time periods as defined in 40 CFR 60.2. Six-minute opacity averages shall be calculated from 36 or more data points equally spaced over each 6-minute period. For continuous monitoring systems other than opacity, 1-hour averages shall be computed from four or more data points equally spaced over each 1-hour period. Data recorded during periods of continuous monitoring system breakdowns, repairs, calibration checks, and zero and span adjustments shall not be included in the data averages computed under this paragraph. An arithmetic or integrated average of all data may be used. The data may be recorded in reduced or non reduced form (e.g., ppm pollutant and percent O<sub>2</sub> or ng/J of pollutant). All excess emissions shall be converted into units of the standard using the applicable conversion procedures specified in subparts. After conversion into units of the standard, the data may be rounded to the same number of significant digits as used in the applicable subparts to specify the emission limit (e.g., rounded to the nearest 1 percent opacity).  
[40 CFR 60.13(h)]

**A.69. Determination of Process Variables.**

(a) Required Equipment. The owner or operator of an emissions unit for which compliance tests are required shall install, operate, and maintain equipment or instruments necessary to determine process variables, such as process weight input or heat input, when such data are needed in conjunction with emissions data to determine the compliance of the emissions unit with applicable emission limiting standards.

(b) Accuracy of Equipment. Equipment or instruments used to directly or indirectly determine process variables, including devices such as belt scales, weight hoppers, flow meters, and tank scales, shall be calibrated and adjusted to indicate the true value of the parameter being measured with sufficient accuracy to allow the applicable process variable to be determined within 10% of its true value.  
[Rule 62-297.310(5), F.A.C.]

**A.70. Continuous Emissions Monitoring Systems (CEMS) or Continuous Monitoring Systems (CMS).**

The permittee shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate (1) CEMS devices for opacity, oxygen, carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides and sulfur dioxide; and, (2) CMS devices to continuously monitor and record steam production, baghouse inlet temperatures and average carbon mass feed rate (per 40 CFR 60.59b(m)).

- a. The CMS and CEMS devices shall meet the applicable requirements of Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. (see specific conditions A.69. and A.71., respectively) and 40 CFR 60.13 (see specific conditions A.62. thru A.68.), including certification of each device.
- b. Each CEMS shall meet performance specifications of 40 CFR 60, Appendix B. The SO<sub>2</sub> CEMS sample point shall be located downstream of the control device.
- c. CEMS data shall be recorded during periods of startup, shutdown and malfunction, but shall be excluded from emission averaging calculations for CO, SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, and opacity.
- d. A malfunction means any sudden and unavoidable failure of air pollution control equipment or process equipment to operate in a normal or usual manner. Failures that are caused entirely or in part by poor maintenance, careless operation or any other preventable upset condition or preventable equipment breakdown shall not be considered malfunctions.
- e. The procedures under 40 CFR 60.13 shall be followed for installation, evaluation and operation of all CEMS.
- f. Opacity monitoring system data shall be reduced to 6-minute averages, based on 36 or more data points, and gaseous CEMS data shall be reduced to 1-hour averages, based on 4 or more data points, in accordance with 40 CFR 60.13(h).
- g. Average SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub> and CO emission concentrations, corrected for O<sub>2</sub>, shall be computed in accordance with the appropriate averaging time periods included in specific conditions A.28., A.31. and A.32., respectively.

[40 CFR 60.13; AC35-115379/PSD-FL-113; 40 CFR 60.59b(d) & (f); and, 0690046-003-AC/PSD-FL-113(E)]

**A.71. Continuous Monitor Performance Specifications.** If continuous monitoring systems are required by rule or permit to be used for demonstrating compliance with the standards of the Department, they must be installed, maintained and calibrated in accordance with the EPA performance specifications listed below. These Performance Specifications are contained in 40 CFR 60, Appendix B, and are adopted by reference in Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C. The EPA performance specifications that are adopted by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., are adopted in their entirety except for those provisions referring to approval of alternative procedures by the Administrator. For purposes of this rule, such alternative procedures may only be approved by the Secretary or his or her designee in accordance with Rule 62-297.620, F.A.C.

- (1) Performance Specification 1--Specifications and Test Procedures for Opacity Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems in Stationary Sources.
- (2) Performance Specification 2--Specifications and Test Procedures for SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems in Stationary Sources.
- (3) Performance Specification 3--Specifications and Test Procedures for O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems in Stationary Sources.
- (5) Performance Specification 4A--Specifications and Test Procedures for Carbon Monoxide Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems in Stationary Sources.

[Rule 62-297.520, F.A.C.]

### **CEM for Oxygen or Carbon Dioxide**

**A.72.** (b) The owner or operator of an affected facility shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a continuous emission monitoring system for measuring the oxygen or carbon dioxide content of the flue gas at each location where carbon monoxide, sulfur dioxide, or nitrogen oxides emissions are monitored and record the output of the system and shall comply with the test procedures and test methods specified in paragraphs (1) through (8).

- (1) The span value of the oxygen (or carbon dioxide) monitor shall be 25 percent oxygen (or carbon dioxide).
- (2) The monitor shall be installed, evaluated, and operated in accordance with 40 CFR 60.13.
- (3) The initial performance evaluation shall be completed no later than 180 days after the date of initial startup of the affected facility, as specified under 40 CFR 60.8.
- (4) The monitor shall conform to Performance Specification 3 in Appendix B, 40 CFR 60, except for section 2.3 (relative accuracy requirement).
- (5) The quality assurance procedures of Appendix F, 40 CFR 60, except for section 5.1.1 (relative accuracy test audit) shall apply to the monitor.
- (6) If carbon dioxide is selected for use in diluent corrections, the relationship between oxygen and carbon dioxide levels shall be established during the initial performance test according to the procedures and methods specified in paragraphs (i) through (iv). This relationship may be reestablished during performance compliance tests.
  - (i) The fuel factor equation in Method 3B shall be used to determine the relationship between oxygen and carbon dioxide at a sampling location. Method 3, 3A, or 3B, or as an alternative ASME PTC-19-10-1981-Part 10, as applicable, shall be used to determine the oxygen concentration at the same location as the carbon dioxide monitor.
  - (ii) Samples shall be taken for at least 30 minutes in each hour.
  - (iii) Each sample shall represent a 1-hour average.
  - (iv) A minimum of three runs shall be performed.
- (7) The relationship between carbon dioxide and oxygen concentrations that is established in accordance with paragraph (6) shall be submitted to EPA as part of the initial performance test report and, if applicable, as part of the annual test report if the relationship is reestablished during the annual performance test.
- (8) During a loss of boiler water level control or loss of combustion air control malfunction period as specified in 40 CFR 60.58b(a)(1)(iii), a diluent cap of 14 percent for oxygen or 5 percent for carbon dioxide may be used in the emissions calculations for sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides.  
[40 CFR 60.58b(b)]

### **Recordkeeping and Reporting Requirements**

**A.73.** The owner or operator subject to the provisions of 40 CFR 60 shall furnish the Administrator written notification as follows:

- (4) A notification of any physical or operational change to an existing facility which may increase the emission rate of any air pollutant to which a standard applies, unless that change is specifically exempted under an applicable subpart or in 40 CFR 60.14(e). This notice shall be postmarked 60 days or as soon as practicable before the change is commenced and shall include information describing the precise nature of the change, present and proposed emission control systems, productive capacity of the facility before and after the change, and the expected completion date of the change. The Administrator may request additional relevant information subsequent to this notice.

[40 CFR 60.7(a)(4)]

**A.74.** The owner or operator subject to the provisions of 40 CFR 60 shall maintain records of the occurrence and duration of any startup, shutdown, or malfunction in the operation of an affected facility; any malfunction of the air pollution control equipment; or, any periods during which a continuous monitoring system or monitoring device is inoperative.

[40 CFR 60.7(b)]

**A.75.** Each owner or operator required to install a continuous monitoring device shall submit an excess emissions and monitoring systems performance report (excess emissions are defined in applicable subparts) and/or a summary report form [see 40 CFR 60.7(d)] to the Administrator semiannually, except when: more frequent reporting is specifically required by an applicable subpart; or, the CMS data are to be used directly for compliance determination, in which case quarterly reports shall be submitted; or, the Administrator, on a case-by-case basis, determines that more frequent reporting is necessary to accurately assess the compliance status of the source. All reports shall be postmarked by the 30th day following the end of each six-month period. Written reports of excess emissions shall include the following information:

- (1) The magnitude of excess emissions computed in accordance with 40 CFR 60.13(h), any conversion factor(s) used, and the date and time of commencement and completion of each time period of excess emissions. The process operating time during the reporting period.
- (2) Specific identification of each period of excess emissions that occurs during startups, shutdowns, and malfunctions of the affected facility. The nature and cause of any malfunction (if known), the corrective action taken or preventative measures adopted.
- (3) The date and time identifying each period during which the continuous monitoring system was inoperative except for zero and span checks and the nature of the system repairs or adjustments.
- (4) When no excess emissions have occurred or the continuous monitoring system(s) have not been inoperative, repaired, or adjusted, such information shall be stated in the report.

[40 CFR 60.7(c)(1), (2), (3) and (4)]

**A.76.** The summary report form shall contain the information and be in the format shown in Figure 1 (attached) unless otherwise specified by the Administrator. One summary report form shall be submitted for each pollutant monitored at each affected facility.

- (1) If the total duration of excess emissions for the reporting period is less than 1 percent of the total operating time for the reporting period and CMS downtime for the reporting period is less than 5 percent of the total operating time for the reporting period, only the summary report form shall be submitted and the excess emission report described in 40 CFR 60.7(c) need not be submitted unless requested by the Administrator.
- (2) If the total duration of excess emissions for the reporting period is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time for the reporting period or the total CMS downtime for the reporting period is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time for the reporting period, the summary report form and the excess emission report described in 40 CFR 60.7(c) shall both be submitted.

*{See attached Figure 1: Summary Report-Gaseous and Opacity Excess Emission and Monitoring System Performance}*

[40 CFR 60.7(d)(1) and (2)]

**A.77.** (1) Notwithstanding the frequency of reporting requirements specified in 40 CFR 60.7(c), an owner or operator who is required by an applicable subpart to submit excess emissions and monitoring systems performance reports (and summary reports) on a quarterly (or more frequent) basis may reduce the frequency of reporting for that standard to semiannual if the following conditions are met:

- (i) For 1 full year (e.g., 4 quarterly or 12 monthly reporting periods) the affected facility's excess emissions and monitoring systems reports submitted to comply with a standard under this part continually demonstrate that the facility is in compliance with the applicable standard;
- (ii) The owner or operator continues to comply with all recordkeeping and monitoring requirements specified in 40 CFR 60, Subpart A, and the applicable standard; and,
- (iii) The Administrator does not object to a reduced frequency of reporting for the affected facility, as provided in 40 CFR 60.7(e)(2).

(2) The frequency of reporting of excess emissions and monitoring systems performance (and summary) reports may be reduced only after the owner or operator notifies the Administrator in writing of his or her intention to make such a change and the Administrator does not object to the intended change. In deciding whether to approve a reduced frequency of reporting, the Administrator may review information concerning the source's entire previous performance history during the required recordkeeping period prior to the intended change, including performance test results, monitoring data, and evaluations of an owner or operator's conformance with operation and maintenance requirements. Such information may be used by the Administrator to make a judgment about the source's potential for noncompliance in the future. If the Administrator disapproves the owner or operator's request to reduce the frequency of reporting, the Administrator will notify the owner or operator in writing within 45 days after receiving notice of the owner or operator's intention. The notification from the Administrator to the owner or operator will specify the grounds on which the disapproval is based. In the absence of a notice of disapproval within 45 days, approval is automatically granted.

(3) As soon as monitoring data indicate that the affected facility is not in compliance with any emission limitation or operating parameter specified in the applicable standard, the frequency of reporting shall revert to the frequency specified in the applicable standard, and the owner or operator shall submit an excess emissions and monitoring systems performance report (and summary report, if required) at the next appropriate reporting period following the noncomplying event. After demonstrating compliance with the applicable standard for another full year, the owner or operator may again request approval from the Administrator to reduce the frequency of reporting for that standard as provided for in 40 CFR 60.7(e)(1) and (e)(2).

[40 CFR 60.7(e)(1), (2) and (3)]

**A.78.** Any owner or operator subject to the provisions of 40 CFR 60 shall maintain a file of all measurements, including continuous monitoring system, monitoring device, and performance testing measurements; all continuous monitoring system performance evaluations; all continuous monitoring system or monitoring device calibration checks; adjustments and maintenance performed on these systems or devices; and, all other information required by 40 CFR 60 recorded in a permanent form suitable for inspection. The file shall be retained for at least 5 (five) years following the date of such measurements, maintenance, reports, and records.

[40 CFR 60.7(f); and, Rule 62-213.440(1)(b)2.b., F.A.C.]

**A.79. (b) Notification of Construction or Reconstruction.** The owner or operator of an affected facility with a capacity to combust greater than 250 tons per day shall submit a notification of construction, which includes the information specified in paragraphs (1) through (5).

- (1) Intent to construct.
- (2) Planned initial startup date.
- (3) The types of fuels that the owner or operator plans to combust in the affected facility.
- (4) The municipal waste combustor unit capacity and supporting capacity calculations prepared in accordance with 40 CFR 60.58b(j).
- (5) Not Applicable.

[40 CFR 60.59b(b)]

**A.80.** (d) The owner or operator of an affected facility subject to the standards under 40 CFR 60.53b, 60.54b, and 60.55b shall maintain records of the information specified in paragraphs (1) through (15), as applicable, for each affected facility for a period of at least 5 years.

(1) The calendar date of each record.

(2) The emission concentrations and parameters measured using continuous monitoring systems as specified under paragraphs (i) and (ii).

(i) The measurements specified in paragraphs (A) through (F) shall be recorded and be available for submittal to the Administrator or review on site by an EPA or State inspector.

(A) All 6-minute average opacity levels as specified under 40 CFR 60.58b(c).

(B) All 1-hour average sulfur dioxide emission concentrations as specified under 40 CFR 60.58b(e).

(C) All 1-hour average nitrogen oxides emission concentrations as specified under 40 CFR 60.58b(h).

(D) All 1-hour average carbon monoxide emission concentrations, municipal waste combustor unit load measurements, and particulate matter control device inlet temperatures as specified under 40 CFR 60.58b(i).

(E) Not Applicable.

(F) Not Applicable.

(ii) The average concentrations and percent reductions, as applicable, specified in paragraphs (A) through (D) shall be computed and recorded, and shall be available for submittal to the Administrator or review on-site by an inspector.

(A) All 24-hour daily geometric average sulfur dioxide emission concentrations and all 24-hour daily geometric average percent reductions in sulfur dioxide emissions as specified under 40 CFR 60.58b(e).

(B) All 24-hour daily arithmetic average nitrogen oxides emission concentrations as specified under 40 CFR 60.58b(h).

(C) All 4-hour block or 24-hour daily arithmetic average carbon monoxide emission concentrations, as applicable, as specified under 40 CFR 60.58b(i).

(D) All 4-hour block arithmetic average municipal waste combustor unit load levels and particulate matter control device inlet temperatures as specified under 40 CFR 60.58b(i).

(3) Identification of the calendar dates when any of the average emission concentrations, percent reductions, or operating parameters recorded under paragraphs (2)(ii)(A) through (2)(ii)(D), or the opacity levels recorded under paragraph (2)(i)(A) are above the applicable limits, with reasons for such exceedances and a description of corrective actions taken.

(4) For affected facilities that apply activated carbon for mercury or dioxin/furan control, the records specified in paragraphs (i) through (v).

(i) The average carbon mass feed rate (in kilograms per hour or pounds per hour) estimated as required under 40 CFR 60.58b(m)(1)(i) during the initial mercury performance test and all subsequent annual performance tests, with supporting calculations.

(ii) The average carbon mass feed rate (in kilograms per hour or pounds per hour) estimated as required under 40 CFR 60.58b(m)(1)(ii) during the initial dioxin/furan performance test and all subsequent annual performance tests, with supporting calculations.

(iii) The average carbon mass feed rate (in kilograms per hour or pounds per hour) estimated for each hour of operation as required under 40 CFR 60.58b(m)(3)(ii), with supporting calculations.

(iv) The total carbon usage for each calendar quarter estimated as specified by 40 CFR 60.58b(m)(3), with supporting calculations.

(v) Carbon injection system operating parameter data for the parameter(s) that are the primary indicator(s) of carbon feed rate (e.g., screw feeder speed).

- (5) [Reserved]
- (6) Identification of the calendar dates for which the minimum number of hours of any of the data specified in paragraphs (i) through (vi) have not been obtained, or continuous automated sampling systems were not operated as specified in paragraph (vii), including reasons for not obtaining sufficient data and a description of corrective actions taken.
- (i) Sulfur dioxide emissions data;
  - (ii) Nitrogen oxides emissions data;
  - (iii) Carbon monoxide emissions data;
  - (iv) Municipal waste combustor unit load data;
  - (v) Particulate matter control device temperature data; and
  - (vi) Not Applicable.
  - (vii) Not Applicable.
- (7) Identification of each occurrence that sulfur dioxide emissions data, nitrogen oxides emissions data (large municipal waste combustors only), or operational data (i.e., carbon monoxide emissions, unit load, and particulate matter control device temperature) have been excluded from the calculation of average emission concentrations or parameters, and the reasons for excluding the data.
- (8) The results of daily drift tests and quarterly accuracy determinations for sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, and carbon monoxide continuous emission monitoring systems, as required under Appendix F of this part, procedure 1.
- (9) The test reports documenting the results of the initial performance test and all annual performance tests listed in paragraphs (i) and (ii) shall be recorded along with supporting calculations.
- (i) The results of the initial performance test and all annual performance tests conducted to determine compliance with the particulate matter, opacity, cadmium, lead, mercury, dioxins/furans, hydrogen chloride, and fugitive ash emission limits.
  - (ii) For the initial dioxin/furan performance test and all subsequent dioxin/furan performance tests recorded under paragraph (9)(i), the maximum demonstrated municipal waste combustor unit load and maximum demonstrated particulate matter control device temperature (for each particulate matter control device).
- (10) [Reserved]
- (12) The records specified in paragraphs (i) through (iv).
- (i) Records showing the names of the municipal waste combustor chief facility operator, shift supervisors, and control room operators who have been provisionally certified by the American Society of Mechanical Engineers or an equivalent State-approved certification program as required by 40 CFR 60.54b(a) including the dates of initial and renewal certifications and documentation of current certification.
  - (ii) Records showing the names of the municipal waste combustor chief facility operator, shift supervisors, and control room operators who have been fully certified by the American Society of Mechanical Engineers or an equivalent State-approved certification program as required by 40 CFR 60.54b(b) including the dates of initial and renewal certifications and documentation of current certification.
  - (iii) Records showing the names of the municipal waste combustor chief facility operator, shift supervisors, and control room operators who have completed the EPA municipal waste combustor operator training course or a State-approved equivalent course as required by 40 CFR 60.54b(d) including documentation of training completion.
  - (iv) Records of when a certified operator is temporarily off site. Include two main items:
    - (A) If the certified chief facility operator and certified shift supervisor are off site for more than 12 hours, but for 2 weeks or less, and no other certified operator is on site, record the dates that the certified chief facility operator and certified shift supervisor were off site.

(B) When all certified chief facility operators and certified shift supervisors are off site for more than 2 weeks and no other certified operator is on site, keep records of four items:

- (1) Time of day that all certified persons are off site.
- (2) The conditions that cause those people to be off site.
- (3) The corrective actions taken by the owner or operator of the affected facility to ensure a certified chief facility operator or certified shift supervisor is on site as soon as practicable.
- (4) Copies of the written reports submitted every 4 weeks that summarize the actions taken by the owner or operator of the affected facility to ensure that a certified chief facility operator or certified shift supervisor will be on site as soon as practicable.

(13) Records showing the names of persons who have completed a review of the operating manual as required by 40 CFR 60.54b(f) including the date of the initial review and subsequent annual reviews.

(14) For affected facilities that apply activated carbon, identification of the calendar dates when the average carbon mass feed rates recorded under (4)(iii) were less than either of the hourly carbon feed rates estimated during performance tests for mercury emissions and recorded under paragraphs (4)(i) and (4)(ii), respectively, with reasons for such feed rates and a description of corrective actions taken.

(15) For affected facilities that apply activated carbon for mercury or dioxin/furan control, identification of the calendar dates when the carbon injection system operating parameter(s) that are the primary indicator(s) of carbon mass feed rate (e.g., screw feeder speed) recorded under paragraph (4)(v) are below the level(s) estimated during the performance tests as specified in 40 CFR 60.58b(m)(1)(i) and 40 CFR 60.58b(m)(1)(ii), with reasons for such occurrences and a description of corrective actions taken.  
[40 CFR 60.59b(d)]

**A.81.** (f) The owner or operator of an affected facility shall submit the information specified in paragraphs (1) through (6) in the initial performance test report.

(1) The initial performance test data as recorded under 40 CFR 60.59b(d)(2)(ii)(A) through (d)(2)(ii)(D) for the initial performance test for sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, carbon monoxide, municipal waste combustor unit load level, and particulate matter control device inlet temperature.

(2) The test report documenting the initial performance test recorded under 40 CFR 60.59b(d)(9) for particulate matter, opacity, cadmium, lead, mercury, dioxins/furans, hydrogen chloride, and fugitive ash emissions.

(3) The performance evaluation of the continuous emission monitoring system using the applicable performance specifications in Appendix B of 40 CFR 60.

(4) The maximum demonstrated municipal waste combustor unit load and maximum demonstrated particulate matter control device inlet temperature(s) established during the initial dioxin/furan performance test as recorded under 40 CFR 60.59b(d)(9).

(5) For affected facilities that apply activated carbon injection for mercury control, the owner or operator shall submit the average carbon mass feed rate recorded under 40 CFR 60.59b(d)(4)(i).

(6) For those affected facilities that apply activated carbon injection for dioxin/furan control, the owner or operator shall submit the average carbon mass feed rate recorded under 40 CFR 60.59b(d)(4)(ii).

[40 CFR 60.59b(f)]

**A.82.** (g) Following the first year of municipal combustor operation, the owner or operator of an affected facility shall submit an annual report including the information specified in paragraphs (1) through (5), as applicable, no later than February 1 of each year following the calendar year in which the data were collected (once the unit is subject to permitting requirements under Title V of the Act, the owner or operator of an affected facility must submit these reports semiannually).

(1) A summary of data collected for all pollutants and parameters regulated under this subpart, which includes the information specified in paragraphs (i) through (v).



- (i) A list of the particulate matter, opacity, cadmium, lead, mercury, dioxins/furans, hydrogen chloride, and fugitive ash emission levels achieved during the performance tests recorded under 40 CFR 60.59b (d)(9).
  - (ii) A list of the highest emission level recorded for sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, carbon monoxide, municipal waste combustor unit load level, and particulate matter control device inlet temperature based on the data recorded under 40 CFR 60.59b(d)(2)(ii)(A) through (d)(2)(ii)(E).
  - (iii) List the highest opacity level measured, based on the data recorded under 40 CFR 60.59b(d)(2)(i)(A).
  - (iv) Periods when valid data were not obtained as described in paragraphs (A) thru (C).
    - (A) The total number of hours per calendar quarter and hours per calendar year that valid data for sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, carbon monoxide, municipal waste combustor unit load, or particulate matter control device temperature data were not obtained based on the data recorded under 40 CFR 60.59b(d)(6).
    - (B) Not Applicable.
    - (C) Not Applicable.
  - (v) Periods when valid data were excluded from the calculation of average emission concentrations or parameters as described in paragraphs (a) through (C).
    - (A) The total number of hours that data for sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, carbon monoxide, municipal waste combustor unit load, and particulate matter control device temperature were excluded from the calculation of average emission concentrations or parameters based on the data recorded under 40 CFR 60.59b(d)(7).
    - (B) Not Applicable.
    - (C) Not Applicable.
- (2) The summary of data reported under paragraph (1) shall also provide the types of data specified in paragraphs (1)(i) through (1)(vi) for the calendar year preceding the year being reported, in order to provide the Administrator with a summary of the performance of the affected facility over a 2-year period.
- (3) The summary of data including the information specified in paragraphs (1) and (2) shall highlight any emission or parameter levels that did not achieve the emission or parameter limits specified under this subpart.
- (4) A notification of intent to begin the reduced dioxin/furan performance testing schedule specified in 40 CFR 60.58b(g)(5)(iii) during the following calendar year and notification of intent to apply the average carbon mass feed rate and associated carbon injection system operating parameter levels as established in 40 CFR 60.58b(m) to similarly designed and equipped units on site.
- (5) Documentation of periods when all certified chief facility operators and certified shift supervisors are off site for more than 12 hours.  
[40 CFR 60.59b(g)]

**A.83.** (h) The owner or operator of an affected facility shall submit a semiannual report that includes the information specified in paragraphs (1) through (5) for any recorded pollutant or parameter that does not comply with the pollutant or parameter limit specified under this subpart, according to the schedule specified under paragraph (6).

- (1) The semiannual report shall include information recorded under 40 CFR 60.59b(d)(3) for sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, carbon monoxide, municipal waste combustor unit load level, particulate matter control device inlet temperature, and opacity.
- (2) For each date recorded as required by 40 CFR 60.59b(d)(3) and reported as required by paragraph (1), the semiannual report shall include the sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, carbon monoxide, municipal waste combustor unit load level, particulate matter control device inlet temperature, or opacity data, as

applicable, recorded under 40 CFR 60.59b(d)(2)(ii)(A) through (d)(2)(ii)(D) and (d)(2)(i)(A), as applicable.

(3) If the test reports recorded under 40 CFR 56.59b(d)(9) document any particulate matter, opacity, cadmium, lead, mercury, dioxins/furans, hydrogen chloride, and fugitive ash emission levels that were above the applicable pollutant limits, the semiannual report shall include a copy of the test report documenting the emission levels and the corrective actions taken.

(4) The semiannual report shall include the information recorded under 40 CFR 60.59b(d)(15) for the carbon injection system operating parameter(s) that are the primary indicator(s) of carbon mass feed rate.

(5) For each operating date reported as required by paragraph (4), the semiannual report shall include the carbon feed rate data recorded under 40 CFR 60.59b(d)(4)(iii).

(6) Semiannual reports required by this condition shall be submitted according to the schedule specified in paragraphs (i) and (ii).

(i) If the data reported in accordance with paragraphs (1) through (5) were collected during the first calendar half, then the report shall be submitted by August 1 following the first calendar half.

(ii) If the data reported in accordance with paragraphs (1) through (5) were collected during the second calendar half, then the report shall be submitted by February 1 following the second calendar half.

[40 CFR 60.59b(h)]

**A.84.** (j) All reports specified under 40 CFR 60.59b(a), (b), (c), (f), (g), (h), and (i) shall be submitted as a paper copy, postmarked on or before the submittal dates specified under these paragraphs, and maintained onsite as a paper copy for a period of 5 years.

[40 CFR 60.59b(j)]

**A.85.** (k) All records specified under 40 CFR 60.59b(d) and (e) shall be maintained onsite in either paper copy or computer-readable format, unless an alternative format is approved by the Administrator.

[40 CFR 60.59b(k)]

**A.86.** (l) If the owner or operator of an affected facility would prefer a different annual or semiannual date for submitting the periodic reports required by 40 CFR 60.59b(g), (h) and (i), then the dates may be changed by mutual agreement between the owner or operator and the Administrator according to the procedures specified in 40 CFR 60.19(c) of Subpart A of this part.

[40 CFR 60.59b(l)]

**A.87.** In the case of excess emissions resulting from malfunctions, each owner or operator shall notify the Department's Central District in accordance with Rule 62-4.130, F.A.C. A full written report on the malfunctions shall be submitted in a quarterly report, if requested by the Department's Central District.

[Rule 62-210.700(6), F.A.C.]

**A.88.** Submit to the Department's Central District a written report of emissions in excess of emission limiting standards for each calendar quarter. The nature and cause of the excess emissions shall be explained. This report does not relieve the owner or operator of the legal liability for violations. All recorded data shall be maintained on file by the Source for a period of five years.

[Rule 62-213.440(1), F.A.C.]

**A.89.** For purposes of reports required under this permit, excess emissions are defined as any calculated average emission concentration, as determined pursuant to this specific condition, which exceeds the applicable emission limit in specific conditions **A.20.**, **A.22.**, **A.23.**, **A.27.**, **A.28.**, **A.29.**, **A.30.**, **A.31.**, and **A.32.**

[AC35-115379/PSD-FL-113(A)]

**A.90. Test Reports.**

- (a) The owner or operator of an emissions unit for which a compliance test is required shall file a report with the Department's Central District on the results of each such test.
- (b) The required test report shall be filed with the Department's Central District as soon as practical but no later than 45 days after the last sampling run of each test is completed.
- (c) The test report shall provide sufficient detail on the emissions unit tested and the test procedures used to allow the Department's Central District to determine if the test was properly conducted and the test results properly computed. As a minimum, the test report, other than for an EPA or DEP Method 9 test, shall provide the following information:
1. The type, location, and designation of the emissions unit tested.
  2. The facility at which the emissions unit is located.
  3. The owner or operator of the emissions unit.
  4. The normal type and amount of fuels used and materials processed, and the types and amounts of fuels used and material processed during each test run.
  5. The means, raw data and computations used to determine the amount of fuels used and materials processed, if necessary to determine compliance with an applicable emission limiting standard.
  6. The type of air pollution control devices installed on the emissions unit, their general condition, their normal operating parameters (pressure drops, total operating current and GPM scrubber water), and their operating parameters during each test run.
  7. A sketch of the duct within 8 stack diameters upstream and 2 stack diameters downstream of the sampling ports, including the distance to any upstream and downstream bends or other flow disturbances.
  8. The date, starting time and duration of each sampling run.
  9. The test procedures used, including any alternative procedures authorized pursuant to Rule 62-297.620, F.A.C. Where optional procedures are authorized in this chapter, indicate which option was used.
  10. The number of points sampled and configuration and location of the sampling plane.
  11. For each sampling point for each run, the dry gas meter reading, velocity head, pressure drop across the stack, temperatures, average meter temperatures and sample time per point.
  12. The type, manufacturer and configuration of the sampling equipment used.
  13. Data related to the required calibration of the test equipment.
  14. Data on the identification, processing and weights of all filters used.
  15. Data on the types and amounts of any chemical solutions used.
  16. Data on the amount of pollutant collected from each sampling probe, the filters, and the impingers, are reported separately for the compliance test.
  17. The names of individuals who furnished the process variable data, conducted the test, analyzed the samples and prepared the report.
  18. All measured and calculated data required to be determined by each applicable test procedure for each run.
  19. The detailed calculations for one run that relate the collected data to the calculated emission rate.
  20. The applicable emission standard, and the resulting maximum allowable emission rate for the emissions unit, plus the test result in the same form and unit of measure.

21. A certification that, to the knowledge of the owner or his authorized agent, all data submitted are true and correct. When a compliance test is conducted for the Department or its agent, the person who conducts the test shall provide the certification with respect to the test procedures used. The owner or his authorized agent shall certify that all data required and provided to the person conducting the test are true and correct to his knowledge.

[Rule 62-297.310(8), F.A.C.]

**A.91. Reserved.**

**A.92. Auxiliary Burners - Fuel Recordkeeping.** For each combustor, monthly records shall be maintained of the amount each type of fuel (e.g., natural gas and propane) used by the auxiliary burners and the equivalent heat input from each type of fuel (can be supplied by the supplier).

[Rule 62-213.440(1), F.A.C.]

**A.93. MSW Charging Rate Monitoring.** The average daily solid waste charging rate shall be determined on a monthly basis and recorded for each MWC unit. The daily charging rate shall be determined each month on an average daily basis for each MWC unit using the Facility's truck scale weight data, refuse pit inventory data and MWC operating data for the preceding calendar month. Monthly truck scale weight records of the weight of solid waste received and processed at the Facility, and refuse pit inventory data, shall be used to determine the amount of solid waste charged during the preceding calendar month on an average daily basis. The MWC load level measurements or other operating data shall be used to determine the number of operating hours per MWC unit for each day during the preceding calendar month.

[Rules 62-4.070(3) and 62-213.440(1), F.A.C.; and, AC35-115379/PSD-FL-113(A)]

**A.94. Other Solid Waste/Segregated Loads Recordkeeping.** The following records shall be made and kept to demonstrate compliance with the other solid waste/segregated non-MSW percentage limitations of specific condition **A.11.**

(1) Each segregated load of non-MSW materials, that is subject to the percentage weight limitations of specific condition **A.11.**, which is received for processing shall be documented as to waste description and weight. The weight of all waste materials received for processing shall be measured using the facility truck scale and recorded.

(2) Each day, the total weight of segregated tires received shall be computed, and the daily total shall be added to the sum of the daily totals from the current calendar month. At the end of each calendar month, the resultant monthly total weight of tires shall be divided by the total weight of all waste materials received in the same calendar month, and the resulting number shall be multiplied by 100 to express the ratio in percentage terms. The percentage computed shall be compared to the 3% limitation.

(3) Each day, the total weight of segregated non-MSW materials received that are subject to the 5% restriction shall be computed, and the daily total shall be added to the sum of the daily totals from the previous days in the current calendar month. At the end of each calendar month, the resultant monthly total weight of segregated non-MSW materials shall be divided by the total weight of all waste materials received in the same calendar month, and the resulting number shall be multiplied by 100 to express the ratio in percentage terms. The percentage computed shall be compared to the 5% limitation.

(4) Each day, the total weight of other solid waste received that are subject to the 20% restriction shall be computed, and the daily total shall be added to the sum of the daily totals from the previous days in the current calendar month. At the end of each calendar month, the resultant monthly total weight of other solid waste shall be divided by the total weight of all waste materials received in the same calendar month, and the resulting number shall be multiplied by 100 to express the ratio in percentage terms. The percentage computed shall be compared to the 20% limitation.

Records shall be maintained showing the oil-contaminated waste generator's written certification that the waste is non-hazardous. Documentation requirements shall include a written description of the waste, a material characterization form, and the applicable material safety data sheets for the waste components. Tonnages of oil-contaminated solid waste fired shall be recorded and made available to the Department upon request. These records shall be maintained for a period of five (5) years.

[Rule 62-213.440(1), F.A.C.; AC35-115379/PSD-FL-113(D); and, 0690046-003-AC/PSD-FL-113(E)]

### **Miscellaneous Requirements.**

**A.95. (m) Activated Carbon Injection.** The owner or operator of an affected facility where activated carbon injection is used to comply with the mercury emission limit under 40 CFR 60.52b(a)(5) (see specific condition **A.23.**), or the dioxin/furan emission limits (see specific condition **A.30.**), and/or the dioxin/furan emission level specified in 40 CFR 60.58b(g)(5)(iii) shall follow the procedures specified in paragraphs (1) through (4).

(1) During the performance tests for dioxins/furans and mercury, as applicable, the owner or operator shall estimate an average carbon mass feed rate based on carbon injection system operating parameters such as the screw feeder speed, hopper volume, hopper refill frequency, or other parameters appropriate to the feed system being employed, as specified in paragraphs (i) and(ii).

(i) An average carbon mass feed rate in kilograms per hour or pounds per hour shall be estimated during the initial performance test for mercury emissions and each subsequent performance test for mercury emissions.

(ii) An average carbon mass feed rate in kilograms per hour or pounds per hour shall be estimated during the initial performance test for dioxin/furan emissions and each subsequent performance test for dioxin/furan emissions. If a subsequent dioxin/furan performance test is being performed on only one affected facility at the MWC plant, as provided in 40 CFR 60.58b(g)(5)(iii), the owner or operator may elect to apply the same estimated average carbon mass feed rate from the tested facility for all the similarly designed and operated affected facilities at the MWC plant.

(2) During operation of the affected facility, the carbon injection system operating parameter(s) that are the primary indicator(s) of the carbon mass feed rate (e.g., screw feeder setting) shall be averaged over a block 8-hour period, and the 8-hour block average must equal or exceed the level(s) documented during the performance tests specified under paragraphs (1)(i) and (1)(ii), except as specified in paragraphs (i) and (ii).

(i) During the annual dioxin/furan or mercury performance test and the 2 weeks preceding the annual dioxin/furan or mercury performance test, no limit is applicable for average mass carbon feed rate if the provisions of paragraph (ii) are met.

(ii) The limit for average mass carbon feed rate may be waived in accordance with permission granted by the Administrator for the purpose of evaluating system performance, testing new technology or control technologies, diagnostic testing, or related activities for the purpose of improving facility performance or advancing the state-of-the-art for controlling facility emissions.

(3) The owner or operator of an affected facility shall estimate the total carbon usage of the plant (kilograms or pounds) for each calendar quarter by two independent methods, according to the procedures in paragraphs (i) and (ii).

(i) The weight of carbon delivered to the plant.

(ii) Estimate the average carbon mass feed rate in kilograms per hour or pounds per hour for each hour of operation for each affected facility based on the parameters specified under paragraph (1), and sum the results for all affected facilities at the plant for the total number of hours of operation during the calendar quarter.

(4) Pneumatic injection pressure or other carbon injection system operational indicator shall be used to provide additional verification of proper carbon injection system operation. The operational indicator

shall provide an instantaneous visual and/or audible alarm to alert the operator of a potential interruption in the carbon feed that would not normally be indicated by direct monitoring of carbon mass feed rate (*e.g.*, continuous weight loss feeder) or monitoring of the carbon system operating parameter(s) that are the indicator(s) of carbon mass feed rate (*e.g.*, screw feeder speed). The carbon injection system operational indicator used to provide additional verification of carbon injection system operation, including basis for selecting the indicator and operator response to the indicator alarm, shall be included in 40 CFR 60.54b(e)(6) of the site-specific operating manual required under 40 CFR 60.54b(e).

[40 CFR 60.58b(m)]

**A.96. Acid Rain Part Application.** For any unit which was a solid waste incinerator, burning less than 20 percent fossil fuel as described in 40 CFR 72.6(b)(7), adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., the designated representative of the source containing the unit shall submit a complete Acid Rain Part application governing such unit to the Department before the later of January 1, 1998, or March 1 of the year following the three calendar year period in which the incinerator consumed 20 percent or more fossil fuel on a British thermal unit (BTU) basis.

[Rule 62-214.320(1)(h), F.A.C.]

**A.97. Credible Evidence.** For the purpose of submitting compliance certifications or establishing whether or not a person has violated or is in violation of any standard in 40 CFR 60, nothing in 40 CFR 60 shall preclude the use, including the exclusive use, of any credible evidence or information, relevant to whether a source would have been in compliance with applicable requirements if the appropriate performance or compliance test or procedure had been performed.

[40 CFR 60.11(g)]

**Subsection B. This section addresses the following emissions unit.**

E.U. ID Nos.	Brief Description: Material Handling Systems and Treatment Operations
-003	Activated Carbon Storage Silo

Emissions unit -003 is an approximate 2,935 cubic foot silo for the storage of activated carbon. The silo is typically filled every 75 days. It is part of the activated carbon injection (ACI) system for control of mercury and dioxin/furan emissions from the municipal waste combustion units. A supply truck pneumatically transfers the activated carbon powder to the silo through a fill line. Particulate matter emissions are controlled by a Tech-Air baghouse system (Model No. SBR-25-6-230). The baghouse parameters are as follows: stack height = 53 feet; exit diameter = 0.8 feet; exit temperature = 77 °F, actual volumetric flow rate = 650 acfm. The initial startup date of the silo was June 14, 1995.

{Permitting note(s): Emissions unit -003 is a minor emissions unit regulated under AC35-264176 (April 14, 1995); and, Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C., Permits Required.}

**The following specific conditions apply to the emissions unit listed above:**

**Essential Potential to Emit (PTE) Parameters**

**B.1. Permitted Capacity.** The actual volumetric flow rate shall be 650 actual cubic feet per minute when filling the activated carbon silo.  
[AC35-264176]

**B.2. Emissions Unit Operating Rate Limitation After Testing.** See specific condition **B.14.**  
[Rule 62-297.310(2), F.A.C.]

**B.3. Hours of Operation.** The emissions unit may operate continuously, i.e., 8,760 hrs/yr.  
[Rules 62-213.440 and 62-210.200(PTE), F.A.C.; and, AC35-264176]

**B.4. Method of Operation.**

The operation of the carbon injection system used to control mercury emissions shall be as follows:  
a. The activated carbon will be pneumatically conveyed and injected into the flue gas duct near the scrubber inlet.

b. The activated carbon along with the adsorbed mercury, dioxins and other heavy metals will be captured in the scrubber under flow and in the baghouse for disposal along with the fly ash and the bottom ash.

[0690046-003-AC/PSD-FL-113(E)]

**Emission Limitations and Standards**

**B.5. Particulate Matter Emissions.** Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.10 lb/hr from the baghouse outlet of the activated carbon.

[Rule 62-297.620(4), F.A.C.; and, initial Title V application received June 14, 1996]

**B.6. Visible Emissions.** Visible emissions from the emissions unit shall be less than 20% opacity. However, since the emissions unit's potential particulate matter emissions is less than 100 TPY and it is equipped with a baghouse control system, the particulate matter compliance test is waived and an alternate visible emissions standard of 5% opacity is allowed. As long as the visible emissions do not exceed 5 percent opacity, compliance is assumed for the particulate matter limitation established in specific condition **B.5.**

If the Department has reason to believe that the particulate matter weight emissions standard in specific condition **B.5.** is not being met, it shall require that compliance be demonstrated by the test method specified in specific condition **B.12.**

[Rules 62-296.320(4)(b)1. and 62-297.620(4), F.A.C.; and, AC35-264176]

### **Excess Emissions**

**B.7.** Excess emissions resulting from startup, shutdown or malfunction shall be permitted provided that best operational practices to minimize emissions are adhered to and the duration of excess emissions shall be minimized but in no case exceed two hours in any 24 hour period unless specifically authorized by the Department for longer duration.

[Rule 62-210.700(1), F.A.C.]

**B.8.** Excess emissions which are caused entirely or in part by poor maintenance, poor operation, or any other equipment or process failure which may reasonably be prevented during startup, shutdown or malfunction shall be prohibited.

[Rule 62-210.700(4), F.A.C.]

### **Monitoring of Operations**

**B.9. Determination of Process Variables.**

(a) Required Equipment. The owner or operator of an emissions unit for which compliance tests are required shall install, operate, and maintain equipment or instruments necessary to determine process variables, such as process weight input or heat input, when such data are needed in conjunction with emissions data to determine the compliance of the emissions unit with applicable emission limiting standards.

(b) Accuracy of Equipment. Equipment or instruments used to directly or indirectly determine process variables, including devices such as belt scales, weight hoppers, flow meters, and tank scales, shall be calibrated and adjusted to indicate the true value of the parameter being measured with sufficient accuracy to allow the applicable process variable to be determined within 10% of its true value.

[Rule 62-297.310(5), F.A.C.]

### **Test Methods and Procedures**

**B.10. Annual Tests Required.** An annual visible emissions compliance test is required.

[Rule 62-297.310(7)4.a., F.A.C. ; and, AC35-264176]

**B.11. Visible Emissions.** The test method for visible emissions shall be EPA Method 9, adopted and incorporated in Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.

[Rules 62-296.320(4)(b)4a. & b., F.A.C.; and, AC35-264176]



**B.12. Particulate Matter.** The test method for particulate matter emissions shall be EPA Method 5, adopted and incorporated in Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.  
[Rules 62-4.070(3) and 62-297.401, F.A.C.]

**B.13. Required Number of Test Runs.** For mass emission limitations, a compliance test shall consist of three complete and separate determinations of the total air pollutant emission rate through the test section of the stack or duct and three complete and separate determinations of any applicable process variables corresponding to the three distinct time periods during which the stack emission rate was measured provided, however, that three complete and separate determinations shall not be required if the process variables are not subject to variation during a compliance test, or if three determinations are not necessary in order to calculate the unit's emission rate. The three required test runs shall be completed within one consecutive five day period. In the event that a sample is lost or one of the three runs must be discontinued because of circumstances beyond the control of the owner or operator, and a valid third run cannot be obtained within the five day period allowed for the test, the Secretary or his or her designee may accept the results of the two complete runs as proof of compliance, provided that the arithmetic mean of the results of the two complete runs is at least 20 percent below the allowable emission limiting standards.

[Rule 62-297.310(1), F.A.C.]

**B.14. Operating Rate During Testing.** Testing of emissions shall be conducted with each emissions unit operation at permitted capacity, which is defined as 90 to 100 percent of the maximum operation rate allowed by the permit. If it is impracticable to test at permitted capacity, an emissions unit may be tested at less than the minimum permitted capacity; in this case, subsequent emissions unit operation is limited to 110 percent of the test load until a new test is conducted. Once the emissions unit is so limited, operation at higher capacities is allowed for no more than 15 consecutive days for the purpose of additional compliance testing to regain the authority to operate at the permitted capacity.

[Rules 62-297.310(2) & (2)(b), F.A.C.]

**B.15. Calculation of Emission Rate.** The indicated emission rate or concentration shall be the arithmetic average of the emission rate or concentration determined by each of the separate test runs unless otherwise specified in a particular test method or applicable rule.

[Rule 62-297.310(3), F.A.C.]

**B.16. Applicable Test Procedures.**

(a) **Required Sampling Time.**

1. Unless otherwise specified in the applicable rule, the required sampling time for each test run shall be no less than one hour and no greater than four hours, and the sampling time at each sampling point shall be of equal intervals of at least two minutes.

2. **Opacity Compliance Tests.** When either EPA Method 9 or DEP Method 9 is specified as the applicable opacity test method, the required minimum period of observation for a compliance test shall be sixty (60) minutes for emissions units which emit or have the potential to emit 100 tons per year or more of particulate matter, and thirty (30) minutes for emissions units which have potential emissions less than 100 tons per year of particulate matter and are not subject to a multiple-valued opacity standard. The opacity test observation period shall include the period during which the highest opacity emissions can reasonably be expected to occur. Exceptions to these requirements are as follows:

a. For batch, cyclical processes, or other operations which are normally completed within less than the minimum observation period and do not recur within that time, the period of observation shall be equal to the duration of the batch cycle or operation completion time.

- b. The observation period for special opacity tests that are conducted to provide data to establish a surrogate standard pursuant to Rule 62-297.310(5)(k), F.A.C., Waiver of Compliance Test Requirements, shall be established as necessary to properly establish the relationship between a proposed surrogate standard and an existing mass emission limiting standard.
- c. The minimum observation period for opacity tests conducted by employees or agents of the Department to verify the day-to-day continuing compliance of a unit or activity with an applicable opacity standard shall be twelve minutes.
- (b) Minimum Sample Volume. Unless otherwise specified in the applicable rule, the minimum sample volume per run shall be 25 dry standard cubic feet.
- (c) Required Flow Rate Range. For EPA Method 5 particulate sampling, acid mist/sulfur dioxide, and fluoride sampling which uses Greenburg Smith type impingers, the sampling nozzle and sampling time shall be selected such that the average sampling rate will be between 0.5 and 1.0 actual cubic feet per minute, and the required minimum sampling volume will be obtained.
- (d) Calibration of Sampling Equipment. Calibration of the sampling train equipment shall be conducted in accordance with the schedule shown in Table 297.310-1, attached to this permit.
- (e) Allowed Modification to EPA Method 5. When EPA Method 5 is required, the following modification is allowed: the heated filter may be separated from the impingers by a flexible tube.  
[Rule 62-297.310(4), F.A.C.]

**B.17. Required Stack Sampling Facilities**. When a mass emissions stack test is required, the permittee shall comply with the requirements contained in Appendix SS-1, Stack Sampling Facilities, attached to this permit.  
[Rule 62-297.310(6), F.A.C.]

**B.18. Frequency of Compliance Tests**. The following provisions apply only to those emissions units that are subject to an emissions limiting standard for which compliance testing is required.

(a) General Compliance Testing.

3. The owner or operator of an emissions unit that is subject to any emission limiting standard shall conduct a compliance test that demonstrates compliance with the applicable emission limiting standard prior to obtaining a renewed operation permit. Emissions units that are required to conduct an annual compliance test may submit the most recent annual compliance test to satisfy the requirements of this provision. In renewing an air operation permit pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(2)(a)3.b., c., or d., F.A.C., the Department shall not require submission of emission compliance test results for any emissions unit that, during the year prior to renewal:

a. Did not operate.

4. During each federal fiscal year (October 1 - September 30), unless otherwise specified by rule, order, or permit, the owner or operator of each emissions unit shall have a formal compliance test conducted for:

- a. Visible emissions, if there is an applicable standard; and,  
b. Each of the following pollutants, if there is an applicable standard, and if the emissions unit emits or has the potential to emit 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant.

9. The owner or operator shall notify the Department's Central District, at least 15 days prior to the date on which each formal compliance test is to begin, of the date, time, and place of each such test, and the test contact person who will be responsible for coordinating and having such test conducted for the owner or operator.

(b) Special Compliance Tests. When the Department's Central District, after investigation, has good reason (such as complaints, increased visible emissions or questionable maintenance of control equipment) to believe that any applicable emission standard contained in a Department rule or in a permit issued pursuant to those rules is being violated, it shall require the owner or operator of the emissions unit

to conduct compliance tests which identify the nature and quantity of pollutant emissions from the emissions unit and to provide a report on the results of said tests to the Department's Central District.

(c) Waiver of Compliance Test Requirements. If the owner or operator of an emissions unit that is subject to a compliance test requirement demonstrates to the Department, pursuant to the procedure established in Rule 62-297.620, F.A.C., that the compliance of the emissions unit with an applicable weight emission limiting standard can be adequately determined by means other than the designated test procedure, such as specifying a surrogate standard of no visible emissions for particulate matter sources equipped with a bag house or specifying a fuel analysis for sulfur dioxide emissions, the Department shall waive the compliance test requirements for such emissions units and order that the alternate means of determining compliance be used, provided, however, the provisions of Rule 62-297.310(7)(b), F.A.C., shall apply.

[Rule 62-297.310(7), F.A.C.; and, SIP approved]

### **Recordkeeping and Reporting**

**B.19.** In the case of excess emissions resulting from malfunctions, each owner or operator shall notify the Department's Central District in accordance with Rule 62-4.130, F.A.C. A full written report on the malfunctions shall be submitted in a quarterly report, if requested by the Department's Central District.

[Rule 62-210.700(6), F.A.C.]

### **B.20. Test Reports.**

(a) The owner or operator of an emissions unit for which a compliance test is required shall file a report with the Department's Central District on the results of each such test.

(b) The required test report shall be filed with the Department's Central District as soon as practical but no later than 45 days after the last sampling run of each test is completed.

(c) The test report shall provide sufficient detail on the emissions unit tested and the test procedures used to allow the Department's Central District to determine if the test was properly conducted and the test results properly computed. As a minimum, the test report, other than for an EPA or DEP Method 9 test, shall provide the following information:

1. The type, location, and designation of the emissions unit tested.
2. The facility at which the emissions unit is located.
3. The owner or operator of the emissions unit.
4. The normal type and amount of fuels used and materials processed, and the types and amounts of fuels used and material processed during each test run.
5. The means, raw data and computations used to determine the amount of fuels used and materials processed, if necessary to determine compliance with an applicable emission limiting standard.
6. The type of air pollution control devices installed on the emissions unit, their general condition, their normal operating parameters (pressure drops, total operating current and GPM scrubber water), and their operating parameters during each test run.
7. A sketch of the duct within 8 stack diameters upstream and 2 stack diameters downstream of the sampling ports, including the distance to any upstream and downstream bends or other flow disturbances.
8. The date, starting time and duration of each sampling run.
9. The test procedures used, including any alternative procedures authorized pursuant to Rule 62-297.620, F.A.C. Where optional procedures are authorized in this chapter, indicate which option was used.
10. The number of points sampled and configuration and location of the sampling plane.
11. For each sampling point for each run, the dry gas meter reading, velocity head, pressure drop across the stack, temperatures, average meter temperatures and sample time per point.

12. The type, manufacturer and configuration of the sampling equipment used.
13. Data related to the required calibration of the test equipment.
14. Data on the identification, processing and weights of all filters used.
15. Data on the types and amounts of any chemical solutions used.
16. Data on the amount of pollutant collected from each sampling probe, the filters, and the impingers, are reported separately for the compliance test.
17. The names of individuals who furnished the process variable data, conducted the test, analyzed the samples and prepared the report.
18. All measured and calculated data required to be determined by each applicable test procedure for each run.
19. The detailed calculations for one run that relate the collected data to the calculated emission rate.
20. The applicable emission standard, and the resulting maximum allowable emission rate for the emissions unit, plus the test result in the same form and unit of measure.
21. A certification that, to the knowledge of the owner or his authorized agent, all data submitted are true and correct. When a compliance test is conducted for the Department or its agent, the person who conducts the test shall provide the certification with respect to the test procedures used. The owner or his authorized agent shall certify that all data required and provided to the person conducting the test are true and correct to his knowledge.

[Rule 62-297.310(8), F.A.C.]

**Appendix I-1. List of Insignificant Emissions Units and/or Activities.**

The facilities, emissions units, or pollutant-emitting activities listed in Rule 62-210.300(3)(a), F.A.C., Categorical Exemptions, or that meet the criteria specified in Rule 62-210.300(3)(b)1., F.A.C., Generic Emissions Unit Exemption, are exempt from the permitting requirements of Chapters 62-210, 62-212 and 62-4, F.A.C.; provided, however, that exempt emissions units shall be subject to any applicable emission limiting standards and the emissions from exempt emissions units or activities shall be considered in determining the potential emissions of the facility containing such emissions units. Emissions units and pollutant-emitting activities exempt from permitting under Rules 62-210.300(3)(a) and (b)1., F.A.C., shall not be exempt from the permitting requirements of Chapter 62-213, F.A.C., if they are contained within a Title V source; however, such emissions units and activities shall be considered insignificant for Title V purposes provided they also meet the criteria of Rule 62-213.430(6)(b), F.A.C. No emissions unit shall be entitled to an exemption from permitting under Rules 62-210.300(3)(a) and (b)1., F.A.C., if its emissions, in combination with the emissions of other units and activities at the facility, would cause the facility to emit or have the potential to emit any pollutant in such amount as to make the facility a Title V source.

The below listed emissions units and/or activities are considered insignificant pursuant to Rule 62-213.430(6), F.A.C.

Description
Building Exhausts and Vents
Steam Release Vents
Turbine Air Ejector Vent
Steam Relief Valves
Ash Conveyors/Transfers
Part Washer/Safety-Kleen Degreaser
Acetylene Torches (2)
Electric Welders (2)
200 gallon Diesel Storage Tank
500 gallon Diesel Storage Tank
185 hp Diesel-fired Fire Water Pump
CEM Vent Lines
Aqueous Ammonia Tank Storage/Transfer
Cooling Towers
Lime Silos Storage/Transfer
Plant Maintenance Activities
Water Treatment Chemicals Storage/Transfer
Waste Storage/Transfer

**Appendix H-1, Permit History**

**Covanta Lake II, Inc.**  
**Lake County Resource Recovery Facility**

**PROPOSED Permit No.: 0690046-006-AV**  
**Facility ID No.: 0690046**

**Permit History (for tracking purposes):**

<b>E.U. ID No.</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Permit No.</b>	<b>Effective Date</b>	<b>Expiration Date</b>	<b>Project Type</b>
All	Facility	0690046-001-AV	12-20-2001	12-20-2006	Initial
-001	Unit 1	0690046-006-AV (Renewal)	Pending <sup>1</sup>	Pending <sup>2</sup>	Renewal
		0690046-007-AC/PSD-FL-113(F)	10-20-2006	Not applicable <sup>3</sup>	Construction (mod.)
-002	Unit 2	0690046-006-AV (Renewal)	Pending <sup>1</sup>	Pending <sup>2</sup>	Renewal
		0690046-007-AC/PSD-FL-113(F)	10-20-2006	Not applicable <sup>3</sup>	Construction (mod.)
-003	Activated Carbon Storage Silo	0690046-006-AV (Renewal)	Pending <sup>1</sup>	Pending <sup>2</sup>	Renewal

<sup>1</sup> Change to an actual date, which is day 55 from the date of posting the PROPOSED Permit for EPA review (see confirmation e-mail from Tallahassee) or the date that EPA confirms resolution of any objections.

<sup>2</sup> Five years from the effective date.

<sup>3</sup> No construction is required - the change is to reduce a BACT visible emissions standard and is effective upon issuance; so, no expiration date is necessary.