## STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

SEA RAY BOATS, INC.,

Petitioner,

vs.

OGC CASE NO. 99-1794

STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION,

Respondent.

## ORDER GRANTING REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE PETITION FOR HEARING

This cause has come before the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Department) on receipt of a request made by Petitioner, Sea Ray Boats, Inc., to grant an extension of time to file a petition for an administrative hearing on Application No. 0090093-003-AC. See Exhibit 1.

Respondent, State of Florida Department of Environmental Protection, has no objection to it. Therefore,

#### IT IS ORDERED:

The request for an extension of time to file a petition for administrative proceeding is granted. Petitioner shall have until December 15, 1999, to file a petition in this matter. Filing shall be complete on receipt by the Office of General Counsel, Mail Station 35, Department of Environmental Protection, 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000.

DONE AND ORDERED on this Tallahassee, Florida.

> STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

General Counsel

Douglas Building, MS #35 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-3000 Telephone: (850) 488-9314

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I CERTIFY that a true copy of the foregoing was mailed to:

Angela R. Morrison, Esq. Tallahassee, Florida 32301 November

\_ day of October, 1999.

STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

W. DOUGLAS BEASON

Assistant General Counsel Florida Bar No. 379239

Mail Station 35 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-3000 Telephone: (850) 488-9314

## THE STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

In the Matter of an Application for Permit by:	OGC No.:
Sea Ray Boats, Inc. 1200 Sea Ray Drive Merritt Island, FL 32953	Permit No.: 0090093-003-AC; PSD-FL-274 Cape Canaveral Plant Brevard County, Florida
Merrit Island, FL 32933	/

#### REQUEST FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME

By and through undersigned counsel, Sea Ray Boats, Inc. (Sea Ray) hereby requests, pursuant to Florida Administrative Code Rule 62-110.106(4), an Enlargement of Time, to and including December 1, 1999, in which to file a Petition for Administrative Proceedings in the above-styled matter. As good cause for granting this request, Sea Ray states the following:

- 1. On or about October 8, 1999, Sea Ray received from the Department of Environmental Protection (Department) an "Intent to Issue Air Construction Permit" (Permit No. 0090093-003-AC, PSD-FL-274) for the proposed Cape Canaveral Plant to be located in Brevard County, Florida. Along with the Intent to Issue, Sea Ray received a proposed Air Construction Permit and "Public Notice of Intent to Issue Air Construction Permit."
- 2. The proposed permit and associated documents contain several provisions that warrant clarification, correction, or revision.
- 3. Representatives of Sea Ray have corresponded and intend to continue to correspond with staff of the Department's Bureau of Air Regulation in an effort to resolve all issues.

130901.1

**OCT 20** 1999

- 4. This request is filed simply as a protective measure to avoid waiver of Sea Ray's right to challenge certain conditions contained in the proposed permit. Grant of this request will not prejudice either party, but will further their mutual interest and likely avoid the need to file a petition and proceed to a formal administrative hearing.
- 5. Counsel for Sea Ray has attempted without success to contact Douglas Beason with the Department's Office of General Counsel regarding this request.

WHEREFORE, Sea Ray respectfully requests that the time for filing of a Petition for Administrative Proceedings in regard to the Department's Intent to Issue Air Construction Permit for Permit No. 0090093-003-AC, PSD-FL-274 be formally extended to and including December 1, 1999. If the Department denies this Request, Sea Ray requests the opportunity to file a Petition for Administrative Proceedings within 10 days of such denial.

Respectfully submitted this 20th day of October, 1999.

HOPPING GREEN SAMS & SMITH, P.A.

Angela R/ Morrison

Fla. Bar No. 0855766

123 South Calhoun Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 222-7500

Attorneys for SEA RAY BOATS, INC.

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing has been furnished to the following by U.S. Mail on this 20 day of October, 1999:

Clair H. Fancy, P.E., Chief Bureau of Air Regulation Department of Environmental Protection 2600 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, FL 32399-2600

Douglas Beason, Esq.
Office of General Counsel
Department of Environmental Protection
2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, FL 32399-2600

Angela R. Marrison

# LEGAL ADVERTISING FAX COVER SHEET

P.O. BOX 419000 A Melbourne, FL 32941-9000

TO: Kim

RE: SEA RAY Notice

FROM: MAUREEN FARR

PHONE: (407) 242-3832

FAX: (407) 255-0350

NUMBER OF PAGES: 2

Had to cut in 1/2 to year.

The TRUE 1995 SIGN AND THE STATE OF FLORING AND CONSTRUCTION OF FLORING AND CONSTRUCTI

in the control of the

participation of the property of the participation of the participation

A business photologic participation for the process of the process

reviewed of the probability of t

#### CAPE PUBLICATIONS, INC. P.O. BOX 419000, MELBOURNE, FL 32941-9000

LEGAL ADVERTISEMENT INVOICE

7182

Insertion Dates:

October 31, 1999

Public Notice/Issue Air Construction Permit

Affidavit will be sent last day of publication.

NAME

Florida Dept. of Environmental Proctection

**ADDRESS** 

2600 Blair Stone Road

CITY

Tallahassee, FL 32399-2400

ACCOUNT#

6FL028

INCHES	RATE	AFFIDAVIT FEE	TOTAL AMOUNT DUE
Zed			\$ ~ 10 00
133/4	27.05	4.50	4 718.37

WHITE

YELLOW ACCT. PINK PROOF COPY

GOLI Fil.E

e lane042s-mP

notice in

5+ -

. .

;

GIATE OF FLORIDA FLA. DEPARTHENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

PURCHASE ORDER

90 RD: 5 3700 204529

ISSUE DATE: DOTOBER 28, 1999 PO NUMBER MUST APPEAR ON ALL CORRESPONDENCE,

SHIPMENTS, AND INVOICES. SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.

SHAROLYN W. 850/488-0114 SHIP TO: DIV OF AIR RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

111 S MAGNOLIA STE 23 RM 241

MS 5500

TALLAHASSEE

FL 32301

INVOICE TO: DIV OF AIR RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

ATTN: SHAROLYN WOOD

2400 BLAIR STONE RD MS 5500

TALLAHASSEE

FL 32399-24

VENDOR (NOT TRANSFERABLE)

FLORIDA TODAY CAPE PUBLICATION PO POX 419000

MELBOURNE

FL 32941-9000

VEN#: F591096978006

CMBE: A

PID/QUOTE/CONT NO:

CONFIRMING ORDER - DO NOT DUPLICATE

VENDOR PHONE **‡** 407/242-3500

ATTN: LEGAL DEPARTMENT

STATE SALES TAX EXEMPT

FOB: DESTINATION

FGT: FRT INCLUDED IN PRI

F'.O. COMMODITY CODE/DESCRIPTION LINE

QUANTITY AND UNIT

UNIT PRICE EXTENDED TOTAL

1 973 040 000 0000

LEGAL ADVERTISEMENT TO BE PUBLISHED IN DAY

1

\$400.000 0,000% DISC TAKEN \$400.0

AFFIDAVIT AND PROOF OF PUBLICATION SHOULD ACCOMPANY INVOICE

YOUR PAPER ON OCTOBER 31, 1999

AD TO INFORM PUBLIC OF PUBLIC MEETING

DELIVER ON OR BEFORE: 10/31/1999

PURCHASE CODE: Q DISCRETIONARY PURCHASE

RECYCLED CONTENT: Y

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS/INSTRUCTIONS

REF NO. DARM 00-197 FUND 035001 CATEGORY 040000

MODULE 2550

TOTAL

\$400.

REQ NO \*NONE\*

ORIGINATOR

P.A. / TELEPHONE GEEW NAAOL

850-488-6711

CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

STATE OF FLORIDA

FURCHASE GRDER

FLA. DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

PO NO: 8 3700 204528

ISSUE PAGE: 2

--ORG. CODE--- EO VR OBJECT 37 55 02 04 000 1R 01 133100

ERCUMBRANCE \$9.00 AMOUNT

YENDOR NO

PZ0 NUMBE

\$400.00 F591096978 006 5 3700 204528

AS AGENT FOR ABOVE NAMED GOVERNMENT ENTITY



#### **Published Daily**

#### STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BREVARD

Defore the diderarghed a	automy personally appeared WIAUREEN FARK who on
oath says that she is	LEGAL ADVERTISING CLERK
of the FLORID	A TODAY, a newspaper published in Brevard County,
	copy of advertising being aLEGAL_NOTICE
	in the matter of
FLA. DEF	T. OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
in the	Court
	"SEA RAY BOATS, INC."
was published in the	FLORIDA TODAY NEWSPAPER
in the issues of	OCTOBER 31, 1999
Affiant further says that th	e saidFLORIDA TODAY NEWSPAPER
	evard County, Florida, and that the said newspaper has heretofore
	ed in said Brevard County, Florida, regularly as stated above, and
	icals matter at the post office in <u>MELBOURNE</u>
	orida, for a period of one year next preceding the first publication
	dvertisement; and affiant further says that she has neither paid
	firm or corporation any discount, rebate, commission or refund
Tor the purpose of sectioning	this advertisement for publication in said newspaper.
	(Signature of Affiant)
Sworn to and subscribed b	efore me this 31st day of OCTOBER 1999
Commence of the Market of the	Signature of Notary Public)
	LINDA L. BRAUD (Name of Notary Typed, Printed or Stamped)
Personally Known	
Type of Identification Prod	or Produced Identification
The or recurring arround blod	1ced

TO-1 2182-1T-10/31 1899-5116

PUBLIC NOTICE OF INTENT TO ISSUE AIR CONSTRUCTION
PERMIT
STATE OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
DEP File No 0000091-00-3.C (PD-FL-274)
Sea Ray Boats, Inc., MANNIT Island Facility

The Cepariment of Environmental Protection (Department) gives notice of its meets to issue an air construction permit pursuant to the Rules of its meets to issue an air construction permit pursuant to the Rules of its meets to issue an air construction permit pursuant to the Rules of its meets and the Construction of the Interdates book unit of the Interdates book unit duction plant at 100 Sea Ray Direct inside the Interdates book unit duction plant at 100 Sea Ray Direct inside the Interdates book unit mum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) determination of the resulted pursuant to Rules sel-21140 and 62-20 applicitudity. Fix AC for violatile organic compounds (VOC) and hazardous air politicalism (IAAP), respectively. The applicant's name and address are Sea Ray (IAAP), respectively. The applicant's name and address are Sea Ray

coats, Inc., 150 see Ney Drive. Merriff Island, Florida 1793.
The easting facility less on property bounded by the Barge Canal Ic he form, See Ray Orne (pakallet to SR 528) to the South, Highway 1 to the West and Svies Creek to the East. The new point will be located West of the Barants River and 12 miles East of the existing facility The Department determined that the new point will be part of the existing facility based on common control, industrial Classification that the Commission of the

EPA is developmen MACT standards for the fine-roads boat industrial outstand to Section 112(t) of the Clean Air ACT. Because the standardy have not been finalized, the State must prepare a case-by-case new source MACT celeromation in accordance with Section 112(s) of the ACT and, ACCERS, Subpart B as adopted in the Department's Rules the final permit, if sisted, with server the outproses of the required

Sea Ray produces tiberclass boats by a process called "contaopen moding". Emissions of VOCHAP result primarily from the an plication and curing of set coat and resin that is apoled to various choices for the boat pairs. The plant will be constructed in times onase-Per Sea Ray's applications and control technology proposals. VOemissions from the fully constructed new plant are expected to be 21 tors per view (TPY), including 14T TPY of HAP, of which 125 TPY will contain the process of the production of the properties of the view of the plant of the plant

The Department's preliminary determination is final hurber control may be feasible and cost-effective including capture and add-on-control for set coal adolication and lamination emissions; compliant control for set coal application and lamination emissions; compliant exists to bottom and non-row model fination parts; compliant materials for bottom and non-row model final parts; and non-raily result and get coal cleaning solvents. Therefore missions could be substantially where than protected by Sea Ray.

The Department will initially require additional control of emission from per cost apolecation and ramination by a system departed (additive and destroy at least 50 percent of the total VOC/HAP, if millial control system is shown to be reasitive and cost-reference, a function of the system destroyed to the control system destined to capture and destroy at least 85 per control system destroyed to the control control system destroyed.

sea Ray will be required to submit a proposed pilot-scale add-on confrol system design for the Department's approval six months after beginning lamination. One year will be allowed for installation following approval of the confrol system design. During a one-year demonstration program, the Department will make a final determination whether a full-scale confrol system is despite and cost-effective. An other projection of the projection of the Department determines that a full-scale system is despited and cost-effective. An

The appricant believes that backhouter emission, will be meanture because of high efficiency filteration throughout the vertiliation system VOC emissions will contribute to ground-level come formation. The impacts of this type of process on ambient coone (evens cannot be accurately modeled However the Department believes that the process will not cause or contribute to a violation on any National Ambien.

The Department will accept written comments and requests to public meetings concerning the proposed permit issuance action for a period of 30 (thirty) days from the date of publication of this Public help of 10 (thirty) days from the date of publication of this Public Notice of Intern to Issue Arr Construction Permit, Written comments and requests for public meetings should be provided to the Department of Arr Regulation of \$200 Bats. Stone Road, Mail Station 1955, because of Air Regulation of \$200 Bats. Stone Road, Mail Station 1955, because of Air Regulation of \$200 Bats. Stone Road, Mail Station 1955, because of Air Regulation of \$200 Bats. Stone Road, Mail Station 1955, because of Air Regulation of \$200 Bats. Stone Road, Mail Station 1955, because of Air Regulation of Air

The Department will issue the permit with the affached conditions unless a limiting perition for an administrative hearing is filed pursuant to sections 120,59 and 120,57 F.S., before the deadline for filing a petition. The procedures for petitioning for a hearing are set forth below Mediation is not available in this representation.

A person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed permitting decision may pellion for an administrative proceeding permitting decision may pellion for an administrative proceeding pellion for an administrative proceeding in the pellion must contain the pellion must contain the pellion must contain the pellion must contain the other of General Counsel of the Department at 1900 Commonwealth Boulevard. Adal Station #35. Tallatrassee, Florida 1909 2009 Pellion filed by the permit abolisant or any of the parties insted below must be filed within fourteen days of receipt of this notice of intellion. In the pellion pellion foliation within tourteen days of publication of the public notice in within tourteen days of receipt of this notice of intelli, whichever occurs this under section 120 MUI), however, any person who asked the Department of the public notice in within tourteen days of receipt of this notice of intelli, whichever occurs this under section 120 MUI), however, any person who asked the Department of the public notice in the pellion of the public notice of the pellion of the public notice of the pellion of the pellion of the public notice of the pellion of the person of pellion of the pellion of the person of pellion of the pellion of the pellion of the person of pellion of the pellio

ment's action to be and more interests (acts) on which the Department's action to be and more interests (acts) and interests acts (acts) and acts (acts) acts (acts) acts (acts) acts (acts) (a

A pelition that does not dispute the malerial facts upon which the Department's action is based shall state that no such facts are a dispute and otherwise shall contain the same information as set for above, as required by Rule 28-106 and

6 Because the administrative hearing process is designed to formulate him above a clinic, the fullion of a petition means that the Desart-ment's final action may be different from the position faken by it in such that designs whose substantial intensit with be affected by any such third design and the properties of the proceeding, in accordance with the requirements set form above.

 compare project is filled is available for public inspection during normal business hours, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except legal holidays, at
 Florida Copartment of Environments) Restortion

la Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Air Regulation 111 S. Magnolia Drive, Suite 4 Fallahassee, Florida 32301 Tetephone, 850/488-1344 FAX: 850/927-4979

Florida Department of Environmental Protectio Central District Office 3319 Magoire Boulevard, Surfe 232 Orlando, Florida 32803-3767 Telephone: 407/894-7355

Brevard County Office of Natural Resource Management

2725 Judge Fran Jamieson Way Melbourne, (Viera), FL 32940 Telephone: 407/433-2016 FAX: 407/533-2029

The complete project file includes the application, technical evaluation, dail permit, and the information submitted by the responsible official, exclusive of confidential reports under Schop, 80.111, FS, interested persons may contact the Application of the Resource Review Section at 11350.048, 1113, to provide the Applications of the Contact of the Applications of the Contact of the Cont

Capie. Cal 10/21/99 RECEIVED

OCT 21 1999

# THE STATE OF FLORIDA BUREAU OF AIR REGULATION DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

In the Matter of an	
Application for Permit by:	OGC No.:
Con Day Boots Inc	Permit No : 0090093-003-A

Sea Ray Boats, Inc. 1200 Sea Ray Drive Merritt Island, FL 32953 Permit No.: 0090093-003-AC; PSD-FL-274 Cape Canaveral Plant Brevard County, Florida

#### REQUEST FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME

By and through undersigned counsel, Sea Ray Boats, Inc. (Sea Ray) hereby requests, pursuant to Florida Administrative Code Rule 62-110.106(4), an Enlargement of Time, to and including December 1, 1999, in which to file a Petition for Administrative Proceedings in the above-styled matter. As good cause for granting this request, Sea Ray states the following:

- I. On or about October 8, 1999, Sea Ray received from the Department of Environmental Protection (Department) an "Intent to Issue Air Construction Permit" (Permit No. 0090093-003-AC, PSD-FL-274) for the proposed Cape Canaveral Plant to be located in Brevard County, Florida. Along with the Intent to Issue, Sea Ray received a proposed Air Construction Permit and "Public Notice of Intent to Issue Air Construction Permit."
- 2. The proposed permit and associated documents contain several provisions that warrant clarification, correction, or revision.
- 3. Representatives of Sea Ray have corresponded and intend to continue to correspond with staff of the Department's Bureau of Air Regulation in an effort to resolve all issues.

130901.1

- A. This request is filed simply as a protective measure to avoid waiver of Sea Ray's right to challenge certain conditions contained in the proposed permit. Grant of this request will not prejudice either party, but will further their mutual interest and likely avoid the need to file a petition and proceed to a formal administrative hearing.
- 5. Counsel for Sea Ray has attempted without success to contact Douglas Beason with the Department's Office of General Counsel regarding this request.

WHEREFORE, Sea Ray respectfully requests that the time for filing of a Petition for Administrative Proceedings in regard to the Department's Intent to Issue Air Construction Permit for Permit No. 0090093-003-AC, PSD-FL-274 be formally extended to and including December 1, 1999. If the Department denies this Request, Sea Ray requests the opportunity to file a Petition for Administrative Proceedings within 10 days of such denial.

Respectfully submitted this 20th day of October, 1999.

HOPPING GREEN SAMS & SMITH, P.A.

Angela R. Morrison

Fla. Bar No. 0855766

123 South Calhoun Street

Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 222-7500

Attorneys for SEA RAY BOATS, INC.

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing has been furnished to the following by U.S. Mail on this 20 day of October, 1999:

Clair H. Fancy, P.E., Chief
Bureau of Air Regulation
Department of Environmental Protection
2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, FL 32399-2600

Douglas Beason, Esq.
Office of General Counsel
Department of Environmental Protection
2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, FL 32399-2600

Angela W. Morrison

130901.1



#### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 4
ATLANTA FEDERAL CENTER
61 FORSYTH STREET
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303-8960

OCT 1 9 1999

RECLIVED

OCT 25 1999

BUREAU OF AIR REGULATION

**4APT-ARB** 

Mr. C. H. Fancy Chief, Bureau of Air Regulation Department of Environmental Protection Twin Towers Office Building 2600 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

SUBJ: Applicability Determination of Sea Ray Boats

Dear Mr. Fancy:

Thank you for the letter dated September 3, 1999, requesting an applicability determination for Sea Ray Boats, located in Brevard County, Florida. Based on a review of 40 CFR 63 Subpart II, National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutant (NESHAP), for Shipbuilding and Ship Repair and the information provided to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) concerning this facility, only military or commercial shipbuilding and repair operations at this facility, which exceed the volume thresholds, are subject to Subpart II.

Section 63.781 of Subpart II applies to shipbuilding and ship repair operations at any facility that is a major source. This Subpart only applies to "ship" operations, as defined in the Subpart, regardless of length, which have a combined annual surface coating use above 1000 liters(L), (264 gallons [gal]), or a single annual coating usage at or above 200 (L), 52.8 (gal). Section 63.782, defines a "ship" as "any marine or fresh water vessel used for military or commercial operations..." The definition of "ship" is specific to vessels used for military or commercial operations. Additionally, Section 63.782 defines "shipbuilding and repair operations" as "any building, repair, repainting, or alteration of ships." Therefore, this regulation applies only to operations associated with the building, repair, repainting, or alteration of "ships" as defined by Subpart II, which meet the associated volume quantities. Any building, repair, repainting, or alteration of any vessel within a facility that does not meet the definition of "ship" is not subject to Subpart II.

To further clarify the applicability of Subpart II to the Sea Ray Boat facility, any shipbuilding or repair operations which involve military or commercial vessels and use a combination of annual coatings above 1000(L), 264 (gal) or a single annual coating usage at or above 200 (L), 52.8 (gal) are subject to Subpart II. Similarly, any operations at Sea Ray Boat facility which do not involve military or commercial vessels, regardless of length or coating usage volumes, are not be subject to Subpart II.

This determination has been coordinated with the EPA's Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, and Office of General Counsel, and overrides all previous determinations. If you have any questions or concerns, please contact Mr. Leonardo Ceron of the Region 4 staff at (404) 562-9129.

Sincerely,

R. Douglas Neeley

Chief

Air, Radiation and Technology Branch

Air, Pesticides, and Toxics Management Division

Paul Huguer

CC. J. Reynolds, BAR
CD
NPS
Brevard CO. Chair
P. Cantelou, PE-Sea Ray
D. Wilson, Sea-Ray
A. Morrison, H6545
C. Rowl
D. Sphar, Sierra Club



#### **MEMORANDUM**

TO:

Mark Morris, U.S. EPA/OAQPS/OCG (MD-13)

FROM:

Brian Palmer, Eastern Research Group, Inc.

DATE:

October 18, 1999

SUBJECT:

Comments on Draft BACT/MACT Determination for Proposed Sea Ray

Boats Plant at Cape Canaveral, Florida

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

We have reviewed the draft BACT/MACT determination for the proposed Sea Ray Boats plant and have the following three comments. The comments relate to how the EPA database and MACT model point values were used and interpreted in the determination that an add-on control was BACT for the proposed Sea Ray Boats plant. The comments are listed and explained below.

1. The BACT determination does not compare estimated emissions from the Bombardier jet-boat line to the MACT floor technology on an equal basis. The control level for the Bombardier jet-boat line must be estimated using the MACT model point value equations.

On pages BD-14 and BD-15, the BACT determination notes that the MACT model point value for 35-percent styrene resin and non-atomized application is 85 lbs per ton of resin. [The revised equations currently used by EPA give a value of 91 lb/ton.] It

also states that Bombardier's uncontrolled styrene emissions at their original 35-percent styrene resin, applied by spray, is 77 lbs per ton of resin. This latter estimate is based on an emission factor of 11-percent of available styrene and shown by the following equation:

The emission factor of 11-percent used in the above equation is the midpoint of the EPA's AP-42 emission factors for resin spray lay up, which range from 9 to 13 percent of available styrene. More recent data from the industry and EPA test programs have shown that the AP-42 emission factors for polyester resin operations underestimate actual emissions. The EPA's MACT model point value equations are based on the more current data and give point values that are substantially higher than the lb/ton emission rates estimated from AP-42 emission factors.

To compare the uncontrolled and controlled emissions from Bombardier's jet-boat line to the MACT floor technology, the MACT model point value equation for resin spray up operations must be used to estimate uncontrolled lb/ton emissions. For a 35-percent styrene resin, which Bombardier originally used on the jet-boat line, the point value equation for atomized application estimates a MACT model point value of 155 lb/ton:

For the 42-percent styrene resin that Bombardier is currently using, the same equation estimates a MACT model point value of 242 lb/ton:

Applying the 85-percent control level (90-percent capture, 95-percent destruction), to the operation with 42-percent styrene resin gives a controlled point value for the Bombardier jet-boat line of 36 lb/ton:

2. The BACT analysis questions EPA's conclusion that the Bombardier facility is no better controlled than a facility using the existing source MACT floor technology. Although the jet-boat line is better controlled than the MACT floor technology, the EPA's conclusion is based on the entire facility, including the uncontrolled Celebrity line, and not just the jet-boat line.

On page BD-14, the BACT determination questions EPA's conclusion that the Bombardier facility is probably no better controlled than a facility using 35-percent styrene resin and non-spray application (the existing source MACT floor). The EPA included both the Celebrity line and the jet-boat line in determining the overall control level of the Bombardier facility. The Celebrity line spray-applies resin with 42-percent

styrene content and the Celebrity line emissions are not controlled by the add-on control device. The EPA's MACT analysis was based on a facility-wide control level for all boat manufacturers because most boat manufacturers make a variety of products and change their product mix over time. By performing the MACT analysis on a facility-wide basis, the proposed standards will allow averaging among different operations and across production lines.

The MACT model point value for the Celebrity line is 242 lb/ton and the controlled point value for the jet-boat line is 36 lb/ton. The Celebrity line represents 25-percent of the total resin usage at the plant and the jet-boat line 75-percent. Therefore, the combined MACT model point value is 88 lb/ton, compared to the existing source MACT floor value of 91 lb/ton:

However, EPA has not been able to confirm the performance of the control device. The EPA contacted the Illinois EPA several times after the performance test had been completed in April 1998. As of April, 1999 Illinois EPA had not received a report on the performance of the control device. After several years of operation, the facility was still operating under a construction permit and had not yet received an operating permit with an enforceable emission limit. In the absence of data from a successful performance test, the EPA decided to remove the Bombardier facility from the MACT analysis because of the uncertainty associated with the emission limitation the facility was achieving.

3. The Production Resin Draft Summary Table cited on page BD-17, which lists Bombardier as the best controlled facility for resin operations, has been updated and the draft table is no longer valid. Bombardier has been removed from EPA's MACT analysis.

The draft tables were posted on the EPA's Unified Air Toxics website in September 1998. Since then, EPA has revised the MACT model point value equations. In addition, EPA has removed Bombardier from the MACT analysis because of the uncertainty surrounding the emission limitation the facility is achieving. Therefore, Bombardier is no longer the facility with the lowest MACT model point value for open mold resin operations, according to the EPA database. Corsair Marine and Tracker Marine are the facilities with the lowest MACT model point values, both achieving 86

lb/ton. Corsair Marine uses vacuum bagging to build the narrow hulls and other parts of trimarans. Tracker Marine uses 34-percent styrene resin applied with resin rollers.

RFC-822-headers:

Received: from epic50.dep.state.fl.us ([199.73.195.8])

by mail.epic1.dep.state.fl.us (PMDF V5.2-32 #37976)

with ESMTP id <01JHBBDB3PLG002TZ6@mail.epic1.dep.state.fl.us> for

PHILLIPS C@a1.epic1.dep.state.fl.us

(ORCPT rfc822; Cindy Phillips@dep.state.fl.us); Tue, 19 Oct 1999 09:34:07 EDT

Received: from merlin.rtpnc.epa.gov ([134.67.208.148])

by mail.epic50.dep.state.fl.us (PMDF V5.2-32 #31508)

with ESMTP id <01JHBBEITR48004DDQ@mail.epic50.dep.state.fl.us> for

PHILLIPS\_C@a1.epic1.dep.state.fl.us

(ORCPT rfc822; Cindy. Phillips@dep. state.fl. us); Tue,

19 Oct 1999 09:35:07 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from myrtle.rtpnc.epa.gov by epamail.epa.gov (PMDF V5.1-12 #26439)

with ESMTP id <0FJU0056NQND0A@epamail.epa.gov> for

Cindy.Phillips@dep.state.fl.us; Tue, 19 Oct 1999 09:27:57 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from RTASY1.RTP.EPA.GOV by epamail.epa.gov (PMDF V5.1-12 #26438)

with SMTP id <0FJU00JIZQNWQS@epamail.epa.gov> for

Cindy.Phillips@dep.state.fl.us; Tue, 19 Oct 1999 09:26:27 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from RTPHUB-Message\_Server by RTASY1.RTP.EPA.GOV with

Novell\_GroupWise; Tue, 19 Oct 1999 09:26:37 -0400

X-Mailer: Novell GroupWise 4.1

#### Clarence Rowe 418 Pennsylvania Avenue Rockledge, FL 32955

RECEIVED BUREAU OF AIR REGULATION

October 13, 1999

Florida Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Air Regulation 2600 Blair Stone Road, Mail Station 5505 Tallahassee, FL 32399-2400

Reference DEP File No. 0090093-003, Sea Ray Boats, Inc.

Dear Sir or Madam:

I am writing to request that DEP hold a public meeting in Central Brevard concerning the Intent to Issue Air Construction Permit for the referenced file. I reside in Central Brevard and I have children, grandchildren, and other family members in Central Brevard. The younger members of my family will potentially have many years of exposure to the styrene emissions of this facility. I am concerned about the long-term effects on their health.

I request the hearing so the public can gather information on this proposed facility. The public can also hear DEP describe what emission controls and monitors DEP will be imposing on Sea Ray. The public meeting should be held at a Central Florida location, after normal working hours to provide maximum opportunity for affected citizens to attend, hear DEP's presentation, and ask questions.

Yours truly,

Clarence Rowe

## INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

Date: 12-Oct-1999 03:59pm

From: Douglas Sphar

canoe2@digital.net

Dept: Tel No:

To: LINERO A

Mary Todd

( LINERO\_A@dep.state.fl.us)

( marytodd3@juno.com )

Subject: DEP File 0090093-003 Sea Ray Boats

Mr Linero:

CC:

Ref. DEP File 0090093-003 Sea Ray Boats

The Public Notice of Intent to Issue an Air Construction Permit states that the proposed facility will emit 211 TPY of VOC of which 125 TPY will be styrene.

Please send the types and amounts of the remaining 86 TPY of VOC/HAP. Thanks,

Douglas Sphar

Air Quality Issues Chair, Turtle Coast Group Sierra Club

canoe2@digital.net

Douglas Sphar 819 Heron Road

Cocoa, FL 32926-2320

Kim - Send to -

your RETURN ADDRESS completed on the reverse side?	SENDER:  Complete items 1 and/or 2 for additional services.  Complete items 3, 4a, and 4b.  Print your name and address on the reverse of this form so that we can return this card to you.  Attach this form to the front of the mailpiece, or on the back if space does not permit.  Write "Return Receipt Requested" on the mailpiece below the article number.  The Return Receipt will show to whom the article was delivered and the date delivered.			I also wish to re following service extra fee):  1. Address 2. Restrict Consult postma	es (for an see's Address ed Delivery	
	3. Article Addressed to: Mr. Douslas Sphan 819 Heim Rd		}	4a. Article Number  Z O3 \ 39 \ 4b. Service Type  ☐ Registered ☐ Express Mail		959   Certified
	<del></del>	926-2320	,	7. Date of D	ceipt for Merchandise elivery e's Address (Only	
your RETUR	Received By: (Print Name)     Signature (Addresseg or A)     X	hgnt) ML		and fee is	paid)	·
	PS Form 3811, December 18	94 f.	1025	95-98-8-0229	Domestic Ret	urn Receipt
	Richard Richar	Z 031 391 S Postal Service eceipt for Cer Insurance Coverage onol use for Internation int DULL Insurance State 2 ZP Coo instage intified Fee lecial Delivery Fee	tifie Provid nal Ma	ed.	58)	

Thank you for using Return Receipt Service.

Return Receipt Showing to Whorn & Date Delivered Return Receipt Showing to Whom, Date, & Addressee's Address PS Form 3800, April 1995

TOTAL Postage & Fees
Postmark or Date
Sea Ray



# Department of Environmental Protection

Jeb Bush Governor Twin Towers Office Building 2600 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

David B. Struhs Secretary

October 11, 1999

### CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. Clarence Rowe 418 Pennsylvania Avenue Rockledge, Florida 32955

Re: Your Public Records Request

Sea Ray File

Dear Mr. Rowe:

Your telephone request to Mike Halpin for information regarding the Sea Ray Project was forwarded to my assistant, Kim Tober. She has enclosed a copy of a draft permit and Public Notice information that we sent to Sea Ray last week. She will routinely copy you as you requested on all future correspondence at no cost.

You may review a complete file at our Orlando District office and request copies in accordance with their procedures. Typically copies are made at a charge of \$0.15 per page (1-sided) or \$0.20 per page (2-sided). We estimate that the applications and correspondence to-date comprise about 200 pages which would cost approximately \$20.00 to copy. You can send a check in that amount to the letterhead address and we will send you the rest of the file (and return any balance due). We also sent some additional information to Brevard County that you can review at their Office of Natural Resource Protection in Viera.

If you have any further questions regarding this matter, please contact Ms. Kim Tober at 850/921-9533.

Sincerely,

A. A. Linero, P.E. Administrator

New Source Review Section

AAL/t

Enclosures

		,	
on the reverse side?	SENDER:  Complete items 1 and/or 2 for additional services.  Complete items 3, 4a, and 4b.  Print your name and address on the reverse of this form so that we card to you.  Attach this form to the front of the mailpiece, or on the back if spacepermit.  Write "Return Receipt Requested" on the mailpiece below the article.  The Return Receipt will show to whom the article was delivered and delivered.	I also wish to receive the following services (for an extra fee):  1.  Addressee's Address 2.  Restricted Delivery Consult postmaster for fee.	
ADDRESS completed or	3. Article Addressed to: Clarence Rowe H& Pennsylvanea Pockledse, Fl	4a. Article Ni  2 0  4b. Service 1  Registere  Express I  Return Rec  7. Date of De	Type  od   Mail   Insured   ceipt for Merchandise   COD
your RETUR	5. Received By: (Print Name)  6. Signature: (Addressee or Agent)  X  Management	8. Addressee's Address (Only if request and fee is paid)	
<u> 10</u>		595-97-B-0179	Domestic Return Receipt

Z 031 392 022

	US Postal Service Receipt for Certified Mail No Insurance Coverage Provided. Do not use for International Mail (See reverse) Sent of March Power Street & Mirriger Post Office, State, & Airp Code			
•	Postage	\$		
	Curtified Fee			
	Special Delivery Fee			
2	Restricted Delivery Fee			
April 1995	Return Receipt Showing to Whom & Date Delivered			
-	Return Receipt Showing to Whom, Date, & Addressee's Address			
800	TOTAL Postage & Fees	\$		
PS Form <b>3800</b>	Postmark or Date Sla Ray Draft	10-12-99		

## INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

Sensitivity: COMPANY CONFIDENTIAL

Date: 11-Oct-1999 11:50am

From: Mike Halpin TAL

HALPIN\_M

Dept: Air Resources Management

**Tel No:** 850/488-0114

To: Alvaro Linero TAL ( LINERO\_A )
CC: Kim Tober TAL ( TOBER\_K )

Subject: sea-ray

Al -

I received a call this morning from Mr. Clarence Rowe (Brevard County). You may recall that Mr. Rowe had an interest in the Oleander project. Mr. Rowe has asked about the Brevard County Sea-Ray application, but I was unable to give him any specific information as I am not involved in that project. He specifically requested the following:

- 1) to be placed on the "list" of interested parties (so as to get routine information)
- 2) to be copied on any past formal correspondence which we have had with the applicant, including any public notices.

Mr. Rowe's mailing address (which I copied from the Oleander file) is:

Mr. Clarence Rowe 418 Pennsylvania Ave. Rockledge, FL 32955

Thanks Mike