Gas Pipeline Operating Company
1931 NOV 19 AN IO: 17

P. O. Box 1188 Houston, Texas 77251-1188 (713) 853-6161

November 16, 1990

Clair Fancy, P.E. Chief, Bureau of Air Regulation Florida Department of Environmental Regulation 2600 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, FL 32301

Dear Mr. Fancy:

RE: Construction Permit Application - Compressor Station No. 15 Taylor County, Florida - Florida Gas Transmission Company

This permit application, sent to you on behalf of Florida Gas Transmission Company (FGT), describes the expansion of FGT's Compressor Station No. 15. With net NO_{χ} emissions exceeding 40 tons per year, this addition, a 4,000 horsepower reciprocating compressor engine, constitutes a major modification. The maximum estimated NO_{χ} concentration from the proposed lean burn engine, however, is less than EPA's significant impact level.

This is the fourth of nine permit applications we plan to submit to FDER as part of FGT's Phase II expansion. We have spent a lot of time and effort to ensure that it is of highest quality. For example, the Best Available Control Technology (BACT) analysis follows EPA's (draft) top-down guideline, and capitalizes on what Enron has learned about guideline interpretation from its Northern Natural Gas Company Waterloo, Iowa station - a recently approved permit application that followed the draft guideline.

Since FGT's Phase II project is designed to bring clean fuel to Floridians by the 1991-92 heating season, and to displace foreign oil imports, we would ask that you review this permit application and issue the construction permit as soon as possible.

If you have any questions concerning this letter, please contact me at (713) 853-7303, or David Buff, KEN Engineering and Applied Sciences, Inc., Gainesville, Florida, at (904) 331-9000.

Sincerely,

W. Alan Bowman (Room 2570) Project Environmentalist

W. alan Bowman

Environmental Affairs Department

Enclosures: 8 Copies of Permit Application

Construction Permit Fee

cc: Jerry Murphy, Enron Kevin McGlynn, Enron

David Buff, KBN

Place Stool No. Company Chief, Bureau of Air Regulation No. Posts No. Chief, Bureau of Air Regulation No. Place Stool Actives Access (W. Chief, Bureau of Air Regulation No. Chief, Chief Stool Access (W. Chi 1965982020 - 3 RECIPIENT'S COPY A 12600 Blatr Stone Road PACKAGE TO THE MUMBER ** DELIVERY AND SPECIAL HANDLING | Monaces | M 3, □ Cash Received St. □ Petum Shipmeni (You Proce Number (Yety Important). AVAIRANT I [17] Bill Sérider 72 [18] Bill Recopents is eques modello 18 [18].

See [18] Greek [18] A Control of the Control of AND FOR POOL OF FACE OWN IN A STATE OF THE S FINTENG STAPRUTEU SCIENCES! OUR INTERNAL BILLING REFERENCE INFORMATION (First 24 Grandless will appear 98595058 1034 NW 577H ST David A. Buff Promy Overnight . Standard Overnight -GATHESYTLE PA No Report No. 10 Person And I described the Part of 90051 News. A HOST

٠, ٠

ENRON GAS PIPELINE OPERATING COMPANY P.O. BOX 1188

PON HOUSTON TEXAS 77251-1188

This check is VOID unless printed on BLUE packground

EXACTLY

\$*****1,000 DOLLARS 00 CENTS

\$*****1,000.00

DATE OF CHECK 10-19-90

PAY
TO THE BUREAU OF AIR REGULATION
ORDER FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF
ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION
OF 2500 BLAIR STONE ROAD
TALLAMASSEE FL
32389-2400

UNITED BANK OF GRAND JUNCTION

"OB 2 20 20 23 3" " LLO 2 100 9 18 C 60 6 0 6 3 3 3 7 0 "

CHECK NO. 0822020233

REMITTANCE STATEMENT ENRON GAS PIPELINE OPERATING COMPANY

PAGE 001 OF 001

VOUCHER NO.	INVOICE	INVOICE NUMBER	PURCHACE	1	AMOUNT	
VUUCHER NU.	INVOICE DATE	INVOICE NUMBER	PURCHASE ORDER	GROS5	DISCOUNT	NET
9010001569 C.S	10179 0 . #15 C	CKR10179002 ONSTRUCTION PERM	IT FGT	1,000.00	0.00 TOTAL	1,000.00
			,			
		50 < Q <	100 tp	Y		
,						
	•	,				

Special Instructions

CALL SUZY AT EXT 7304

STATE OF FLORIDA

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION



APPLICATION TO OPERATE/CONSTRUCT AIR POLLUTION SOURCES

SOURCE TYPE: Natural Gas Compressor Engi	ne [] New ¹ [X] Existing ¹
APPLICATION TYPE: [] Construction [X]	Operation [] Modification
COMPANY NAME: Florida Gas Transmission Co	mpany COUNTY: Taylor
Identify the specific emission point sour	ce(s) addressed in this application (i.e., Lime
Kiln No. 4 with Venturi Scrubber; Peaking	Unit No. 2, Gas Fired) Station 15, Unit Nos.1 to 5
SOURCE LOCATION: Street 6 miles north o	f Perry on Pisgah Road City Perry
UTM: East 17:249.02 km	North_3,339,60 km
Latitude 30 ° 09 ' 50 "N	Longitude <u>83</u> ° <u>36</u> ' <u>22</u> "W
APPLICANT NAME AND TITLE: W. Alan Bowman	, Project Environmentalist
APPLICANT ADDRESS: P.O. Box 1188.	Houston, Texas 77251; Phone; (713) 853-7303
SECTION I: STATEME	NTS BY APPLICANT AND ENGINEER
A. APPLICANT	
I am the undersigned owner or authori	zed representative* of <u>Florida Gas Transmission Co.</u>
permit are true, correct and complete I agree to maintain and operate the p facilities in such a manner as to com Statutes, and all the rules and regul also understand that a permit, if gra	this application for an <u>operating</u> to the best of my knowledge and belief. Further, ollution control source and pollution control ply with the provision of Chapter 403, Florida ations of the department and revisions thereof. I nted by the department, will be non-transferable ment upon sale or legal transfer of the permitted
*Attach letter of authorization	Signed:
	Stanley C. Horton, President
	Name and Title (Please Type)
	Date: Telephone No(713) 853-6177
B. PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER REGISTERED IN F	LORIDA (where required by Chapter 471, F.S.)

This is to certify that the engineering features of this pollution control project have been designed/examined by me and found to be in conformity with modern engineering principles applicable to the treatment and disposal of pollutants characterized in the permit application. There is reasonable assurance, in my professional judgement, that

¹See Florida Administration Code Rule 17-2.100(57) and (104)

DER Form 17-1.202(1)/90051E1/E/APS1 Effective October 31, 1982

	the pollution control facilities, when properly maintained and operated, will discharge an effluent that complies with all applicable statutes of the State of Florida and the rules and regulations of the department. It is also agreed that the undersigned will furnish, if authorized by the owner, the applicant a set of instructions for the proper maintenance and operation of the pollution control facilities and, if applicable, pollution sources.
	Signed David a buff
	David A. Buff. P.E.
	Name (Please Type)
	KBN Engineering and Applied Sciences, Inc. Company Name (Please Type)
	1034 NW 57th Street, Gainesville, FL 32605 Mailing Address (Please Type)
Flo	rida Registration No. 19011 Date: 10/19/90 Telephone No. (904) 331-9000
	SECTION II: GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION
A.	Describe the nature and extent of the project. Refer to pollution control equipment, and expected improvements in source performance as a result of installation. State whether the project will result in full compliance. Attach additional sheet if necessary.
	See Attachment A
В.	Schedule of project covered in this application (Construction Permit Application Only) Start of ConstructionN/A Completion of ConstructionN/A
C.	
	Not applicable
D.	Indicate any previous DER permits, orders and notices associated with the emission point, including permit issuance and expiration dates.
	Consent Order OGC File No. 90-1225.

Re	quested permitted equipment operating time: hrs/day <u>24</u> ; days/wk <u>7</u>	_; wks/yr _
Ιf	power plant, hrs/yr <u>N/A</u> ; if seasonal, describe: <u>N/A</u>	
If (Ye	this is a new source or major modification, answer the following quest es or No) N/A. Sources have been in operation since 1968.	tions.
1.	Is this source in a non-attainment area for a particular pollutant?	
	a. If yes, has "offset" been applied?	
	b. If yes, has "Lowest Achievable Emission Rate" been applied?	
	c. If yes, list non-attainment pollutants.	
2.	Does best available control technology (BACT) apply to this source? If yes, see Section VI.	
3.	Does the State "Prevention of Significant Deterioration" (PSD) requirement apply to this source? If yes, see Sections VI and VII.	
4.	Do "Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources" (NSPS) apply to this source?	-
5.	Do "National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants" (NESHAP) apply to this source?	
Do to	"Reasonably Available Control Technology" (RACT) requirements apply this source?	No
	a. If yes, for what pollutants?	
	b. If yes, in addition to the information required in this form, any	informatio

requested in Rule 17-2.650 must be submitted.

Attach all supportive information related to any answer of "Yes". Attach any justification for any answer of "No" that might be considered questionable.

See Attachment A

SECTION III: AIR POLLUTION SOURCES & CONTROL DEVICES (Other than Incinerators)

A. Raw Materials and Chemicals Used in your Process, if applicable:

	Conta	minants	Utilization Rate - lbs/hr	·		
Description	Туре	% Wt		Relate to Flow Diagram		
Not Applicable	······································					
			_			
	,					

B. 1	Process	Rate.	if	applicable:	See	Section	٧.	Item	1)
------	---------	-------	----	-------------	-----	---------	----	------	---	---

1.	Total	Process	Input Rate	(lbs/hr):	Not Applicable	

 Product Weight (lbs/hr): Not Applical

C. Airborne Contaminants Emitted: (Information in this table must be submitted for each emission point, use additional sheets as necessary)

Note: Emissions represent total for all five engines.

	Emission ¹		Allowed ² Emission Rate per	Allowable ³		ntial ⁴ sion	Relate
Name of Contaminant	Maximum lbs/hr	Actual T/yr	Rule 17-2	Emission lbs/hr	lbs/hr	T/yr	to Flow Diagram
NO _x	242.5	1,062.2	N/A	N/A	242.5	1,062.2	
co	30.9	135.3	N/A	N/A	30.9	135.3	
VOCs (non-met	nane) 9.7	42.5	N/A	N/A	9.7	42.5	
Particulates	0.36	1.6	N/A	N/A	0.36	1.6	
50 ₂	2.1	9.0	N/A	N/A	2.1	9.0	

¹See Section V, Item 2.

²Reference applicable emission standards and units (e.g. Rule 17-2.600(5)(b)2. Table II, E. (1) - 0.1 pounds per million BTU heat input)

³Calculated from operating rate and applicable standard.

⁴Emission, if source operated without control (See Section V, Item 3).

D. Control Devices: (See Section V, Item 4) Not Applicable

Name and Type (Model & Serial No.)	Contaminant	Efficiency	Range of Particles Size Collected (in microns) (If applicable)	Basis for Efficiency (Section V Item 5)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

E. Fuels

Fuel Analysis:

	Consumption*		Manifester Nact Transfer
Type (Be Specific)	avg/hr	max./hr	Maximum Heat Input (MMBTU/hr)
Natural Gas	0.0721	0.0721	75.0
(total 5 engines)			
			,

*Units: Natural Gas--MMCF/hr; Fuel Oils--gallons/hr; Coal, wood, refuse, others--lbs/hr.

	•			,		
Percent	Sulfur:	0,031 (by weight)**		Percent Ash:	N/A	_
Dengity:	0.0455	1b/ft3	lhe/gal	Typical Percent	t Nitrogen: N/A	
bensie,	0,0455	10/10	TDS/ Eat	Typical refeem	c nicrogen. <u>nyn</u>	_

N/A BTU/gal

Other Fuel Contaminants (which may cause air pollution): N/A

Heat Capacity: 22.857 (1.040 Btu/scf) BTU/lb

	If applicable,	indicate	the	percent	of	fuel	used	for	space	heating	
--	----------------	----------	-----	---------	----	------	------	-----	-------	---------	--

Annual Average Not applicable Maximum

G. Indicate liquid or solid wastes generated and method of disposal.

Not applicable

**Based on contract limit of 10 gr/100 $\rm ft^3$ and gas at 0.0455 $\rm 1b/ft^3$.

		-			•	data for eac	h stack):	ft
_							600	
						-	000	_
			TION IV:		R INFORMATI			
Type of Waste	Type 0 (Plastics)	Type II (Rubbish)	Type III (Refuse)	Type IV (Garbage)			Type VI (Solid By-pro	od.)
Actual lb/hr Inciner- ated		-						
Uncon- trolled (lbs/hr)								
Manufactur	er						s/yr	
		Volume (ft) ³		Release J/hr)	Fue Type		Temperature (°F)	
Primary C	hamber	(10)	(BIC	7/111/	Type	BIO/III	(1)	
Secondary	Chamber						-	
Stack Haio	h+·	f+	Stack D	iameter:		Stack Te	mp	
							шр	
*If 50 or	more tons p		ign capaci	lty, submit	the emission	ons rate in (
Type of po	llution co	ntrol devic	es: [] C	yclone []	Wet Scrubb	er [] Afte		
(specify)_							[] Other	

Ultimate disposalush, etc.):	of any	effluent	other	than	that	emitted	from	the	stack	(scrubber	water,

NOTE: Items 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, and 10 in Section V must be included where applicable.

SECTION V: SUPPLEMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

Please provide the following supplements where required for this application.

- Total process input rate and product weight -- show derivation [Rule 17-2.100(127)]
 Not applicable.
- 2. To a construction application, attach basis of emission estimate (e.g., design calculations, design drawings, pertinent manufacturer's test data, etc.) and attach proposed methods (e.g., FR Part 60 Methods, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) to show proof of compliance with applicable standards. To an operation application, attach test results or methods used to show proof of compliance. Information provided when applying for an operation permit from a construction permit shall be indicative of the time at which the test was made.

See Attachment A.

- Attach basis of potential discharge (e.g., emission factor, that is, AP42 test).
 See Table A-2 and Attachment B.
- With construction permit application, include design details for all air pollution control systems (e.g., for baghouse include cloth to air ratio; for scrubber include cross-section sketch, design pressure drop, etc.) Not applicable.
- 5. With construction permit application, attach derivation of control device(s) efficiency. Include test or design data. Items 2, 3 and 5 should be consistent: actual emissions potential (1-efficiency).

 Not applicable.
- 6. An 8 km x 11 flow diagram which will, without revealing trade secrets, identify the individual operations and/or processes. Indicate where raw materials enter, where solid and liquid waste exit, where gaseous emissions and/or airborne particles are evolved and where finished products are obtained.

 See Figure A-4.
- 7. An 8 4" x 11" plot plan showing the location of the establishment, and points of airborne emissions, in relation to the surrounding area, residences and other permanent structures and roadways (Examples: Copy of relevant portion of USGS topographic map). See Figure A-2.
- 8. An 8 ½" x 11" plot plan of facility showing the location of manufacturing processes and outlets for airborne emissions. Relate all flows to the flow diagram.

 See Figure A-3.

- 9. The appropriate application fee in accordance with Rule 17-4.05. The check should be made payable to the Department of Environmental Regulation. With an application for operation permit, attach a Certificate of Completion of 10. Construction indicating that the source was constructed as shown in the construction permit. Not applicable. SECTION VI: BEST AVAILABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY Not Applicable A. Are standards of performance for new stationary sources pursuant to 40 C.F.R. Part 60 applicable to the source? [] Yes [] No Contaminant Rate or Concentration B. Has EPA declared the best available control technology for this class of sources (If yes, attach copy) [] Yes [] No Contaminant Rate or Concentration C. What emission levels do you propose as best available control technology? Contaminant Rate or Concentration
- D. Describe the existing control and treatment technology (if any).
 - Control Device/System:

2. Operating Principles:

3. Efficiency:*

4. Capital Costs:

^{*}Explain method of determining

	Э.	Useful Life:		ь.	Operating Costs:	
	7.	Energy:		8.	Maintenance Cost	:
	9.	Emissions:				
		Contaminant			Rate or Concent	ration
					<u> </u>	
	10.	Stack Parameters				
	a.	Height:	ft.	b.	Diameter	ft.
	c.	Flow Rate:	ACFM	đ.	Temperature:	°F.
	e.	Velocity:	FPS			
E.		cribe the control and additional pages if		ology av	ailable (As many	types as applicable
	1.					
	a.	Control Devices:		ъ.	Operating Princi	ples:
	c.	Efficiency: 1		đ.	Capital Cost:	
	e.	Useful Life:		f.	Operating Cost:	
	g.	Energy: ²		h.	Maintenance Cost	:
	i.	Availability of cons	truction materia	ls and p	rocess chemicals:	
	j.	Applicability to man	ufacturing proces	sses:		
	k.	Ability to construct within proposed leve		vice, in	stall in availabl	e space, and operat
	2.					
	a.	Control Device:		ъ.	Operating Princi	ples:
	c.	Efficiency:1		d.	Capital Cost:	
	e.	Useful Life:		f.	Operating Cost:	
	g.	Energy: ²		h.	Maintenance Cost	:
	i.	Availability of cons	truction materia	ls and p	rocess chemicals:	

¹Explain method of determining efficiency.

²Energy to be reported in units of electrical power - KWH design rate.

	j.	Applicability to manufacturing processe	s:	
	k.	Ability to construct with control device within proposed levels:	e, i	nstall in available space, and operate
	3.			
	a.	Control Device:	Ъ.	Operating Principles:
	c.	Efficiency: 1	d.	Capital Cost:
	e.	Useful Life:	f.	Operating Cost:
	g.	Energy: ²	h.	Maintenance Cost:
	í.	Availability of construction materials	and p	process chemicals:
	j.	Applicability to manufacturing processe	s:	
	k.	Ability to construct with control device within proposed levels:	e, i	nstall in available space, and operate
	4.			
	a.	Control Device:	Ъ.	Operating Principles:
	c.	Efficiency: 1	d.	Capital Cost:
	e.	Useful Life:	f.	Operating Cost:
	g.	Energy: ²	h.	Maintenance Cost:
	i.	Availability of construction materials	and p	process chemicals:
	j.	Applicability to manufacturing processe	s:	
	k.	Ability to construct with control device within proposed levels:	e, i	nstall in available space, and operate
F.	Des	cribe the control technology selected:		
	1.	Control Device:	2.	Efficiency: 1
	3.	Capital Cost:	4.	Useful Life:
•	5.	Operating Cost:	6.	Energy: ²
	7.	Maintenance Cost:	8.	Manufacturer:
	9.	Other locations where employed on simil	ar p	rocesses:
	a.	(1) Company:		
	(2)	Mailing Address:		
	(3)	City:	(4)) State:
_		n method of determining efficiency. to be reported in units of electrical p	ower	- KWH design rate.

(5) Environmental Manager:	
(6) Telephone No.:	
(7) Emissions: 1	
Contaminant	Rate or Concentration
(8) Process Rate:1	
b. (1) Company:	
(2) Mailing Address:	•
(3) City:	(4) State:
(5) Environmental Manager:	
(6) Telephone No.:	
(7) Emissions: 1	
Contaminant	Rate or Concentration
(8) Process Rate: 1	
10. Reason for selection and descript	ion of systems:
Applicant must provide this information when available, applicant must state the reason(s)	
	•
	F SIGNIFICANT DETERIORATION plicable
A. Company Monitored Data	
1 no. sites TSP	() SO ^{2*} Wind spd/dir
month day	/ to/
day year	•
Other data recorded	
Attach all data or statistical summaries t	to this application.
	3

*Specify bubbler (B) or continuous (C).

	a.	Was instrumentation	EPA referenced or	its eq	uivalent?	[] Yes	[] No		
	b.	Was instrumentation	calibrated in acc	ordance	with Depa	artment pro	cedures?	,	
		[] Yes [] No []	Unknown						
В.	Met	ceorological Data Used	for Air Quality	Modelin	g				
	1.	Year(s) of da	ta from	/	/	to			
			month	day	year	month	day	year	
	2.	Surface data obtaine	d from (location)						
	3.	Upper air (mixing he	ight) data obtain	ed from	(location	n)			
	4.	Stability wind rose	(STAR) data obtai	ned fro	m (locatio	on)			
C.	Com	nputer Models Used							
	1.				Modified	? If yes,	attach d	lescriptio	n.
	2.				Modified:	? If yes,	attach d	lescriptio	n.
	3.				Modified:	? If yes,	attach d	lescriptio	n.
	4.				Modified:	? If yes,	attach d	lescriptio	n.
		cach copies of all fin Inciple output tables.		wing in	put data,	receptor]	locations	s, and	
D.	-	olicants Maximum Allow	•	a					
-,		llutant	Emission Rat						
						/			
	TS	-			gra				
	SO)2	<u> </u>				grams/	'sec	
Ε.	Emí	ission Data Used in Mo	deling						
	poi	tach list of emission int source (on NEDS po I normal operating tim	int number), UTM		-		•	-	
F.	Att	ach all other informa	tion supportive t	o the P	SD review				
G.	Dis	cuss the social and e	conomic impact of	the se	lected tea	chnology ve	ersus oth	ier	

DER Form 17-1.202(1)/90051E1/E/APS1 Effective October 31, 1982

2. Instrumentation, Field and Laboratory

H. Attach scientific, engineering, and technical material, reports, publications, journals, and other competent relevant information describing the theory and application of the

applicable technologies (i.e, jobs, payroll, production, taxes, energy, etc.).

assessment of the environmental impact of the sources.

requested best available control technology.

Include

ATTACHMENT A

1.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Florida Gas Transmission Company (FGTC), a subsidiary of ENRON Corporation of Houston, Texas, operates the Florida gas transmission pipeline system. The main transmission pipeline crosses into Florida near Pensacola, runs along the northern border of Florida into north-central Florida, then along the Florida turnpike down to the central Florida coastal areas, and ends in St. Lucie County. Shown in Figure A-1 is the layout of the main gas pipeline and the approximate locations of its existing compressor stations along the pipeline. Smaller gas transmission lines are also a part of the overall gas pipeline system. These lines also are shown in Figure A-1. The eight existing compressor stations are shown in the figure and are designated as Station No. 12 through Station No. 20. Station No. 19 is not yet in existence but is planned for future expansion.

Station No. 15 is located about 6 miles north of the township of Perry on Pisgah Road or C-361 in Taylor County, Florida. Figure A-2 shows the site location of Compressor Station No. 15.

FGTC's existing Compressor Station No. 15 consists of five 2,000-bhp natural-gas-fired reciprocating internal combustion (IC) engines. All five engines are identical integral compressor-engine units manufactured by Worthington. These engines were installed before the Clean Air Act (CAA) amendments of 1977: three engines were installed in 1962; the fourth engine was installed in 1966; and the fifth engine was installed in 1968. The fourth and fifth engines were installed to increase the natural gas transport capacity of the pipeline. Other than routine maintenance procedures, there have been no changes made to these engines since the last engine was installed.

All five engines are Worthington Model SEHG-8. Each engine is rated at 2,000 bhp. The engines are naturally aspirated and operate at near stoichiometric air-to-fuel ratio. The fuel type is only natural gas




Figure A-1 FGTC'S GAS TRANSMISSION SYSTEM

Florida Gas
Transmission Company




Figure A-2 SITE LOCATION OF ENRON'S FLORIDA GAS TRANSMISSION LINE COMPRESSOR STATION NO. 15, PERRY, TAYLOR COUNTY, FLORIDA



supplied from the Florida gas pipeline. Engine specifications and stack parameters for each existing engine are presented in Table A-1.

A plot plan of FGTC's Compressor Station No. 15, showing the location of the plant boundaries, the existing compressor building, and stack locations, is presented in Figure A-3. Also shown on the plot plan is a future compressor engine, which will be addressed in a separate construction permit application. A flow diagram of each compressor engine is presented in Figure A-4.

Compressor Station No. 15, consisting of the five compressor engines and auxiliary facilities, was constructed and began operating before the implementation of federal and State of Florida air pollution control regulations. As a result, these engines are not subjected to new source review requirements. There are no emission limiting standards that apply to these engines.

This submittal serves as a multiple-source operating permit application for all five existing compression engines at FGTC's Compressor Station No. 15. This application for a permit to operate is being filed to comply with the conditions of a Consent Order (OGC File No.: 90-1225). This action is intended to correct an administrative deficiency in the facility's compliance status and to bring the facility into full compliance with State of Florida air pollution control regulations.

2.0 Emission Estimates

Maximum hourly and annual emissions of regulated pollutants from the proposed engine are presented in Table A-2. Currently, there are no federal or state emission limiting standards that apply to these engines. Emission estimates for nitrogen oxides (NO_x) , carbon monoxide (CO), and volatile organic compounds (VOC) are based on emission factors listed in AP-42 for natural-gas-fired pipeline compressor engines. Emission estimates for particulate matter (PM) are based on the AP-42 emission factor for natural-gas-fired boilers. Emissions of sulfur dioxide (SO_2)

Table A-1. Engine Specifications and Stack Parameters of Existing Engines, Station No. 15.

Parameter	Design Specification
Per Engine	
Manufacturer	Worthington
Model	SEHG-8
Air Charging	Naturally aspirated
Unit Size	2,000 bhp
Specific Fuel Consumption	7,500 Btu/bhp-hr
Maximum Fuel Consumption	0.0144 MMscf/hr*
Stack Parameters	
Stack Height	28.08 ft
Stack Diameter	17.25 in
Exhaust Gas Flow	26,172 lb/hr
	11,637 acfm
Exhaust Temperature	600°F
Exhaust Gas Velocity	119.5 ft/sec

^{*} Based on heating value for natural gas of 1,040 Btu/scf at Compressor Station No. 15. (Source: ENRON, 1990).

Note: bhp = brake horsepower.


Btu/bhp-hr = British thermal units per brake horsepower per hour.

MMscf = million standard cubic feet.

lb/hr = pounds per hour.

acfm = actual cubic feet per minute.

°F = degree Fahrenheit. ft/sec = feet per second.






Figure A-3 PLOT PLAN OF COMPRESSOR STATION NO. 15

Florida Gas Transmission Company




Figure A-4 FLOW DIAGRAM OF AN INTEGRAL ENGINE-COMPRESSOR UNIT



Table A-2. Maximum Emissions from FGTC's Existing Engines at Compressor Station No. 15.

					Maximum Em	issions	
				Per E	ngine	Total All Fiv	e Engines*
Pollutant	Emissi	on Factor	Reference	lb/hr	TPY	lb/hr	TPY
Nitrogen Oxides	11	g/bhp-hr	AP-42, Section 3.2	48.5	212.4	242.5	1,062.2
Carbon Monoxide	1.4	g/bhp-hr	AP-42, Section 3.2	6.17	27.0	30.9	135.3
Volatile Organic Compounds (Non-methane)	0.44	g/bhp-hr	AP-42, Section 3.2	1.94	8.50	9.7	42.5
Particulate Matter	5	lb/MMscf	AP-42, Table 1.4-1	0.0721	0.316	0.36	1.6
Sulfur Dioxide	10	gr/100 scf	ENRON Corporation	0.412	1.80	2.1	9.0

^{*} All five engines are identical. Total emissions are five times the per engine rate.

Note: Specific brake fuel consumption is 7,500 Btu/bhp-hr, and specific heat value at Compressor Station No. 15 is 1,040 Btu/scf.

Btu/bhp-hr = British thermal units per brake horsepower per hour.

g/bhp-hr = grams per brake horsepower per hour.

gr/100 scf = grains per 100 standard cubic feet.

ib/hr = pounds per hour.

TPY = tons per year.

are based on ENRON's natural gas specification. According to EPA's publication entitled "Toxic Air Pollutant Emission Factors--A Compilation for Selected Air Toxic Compounds and Sources (EPA-450/2-88-006a, 1988)," there are no emission factors for other regulated pollutants caused by natural gas combustion in reciprocating IC engines. Detailed emission calculations are presented in Attachment B.

ATTACHMENT B EMISSION CALCULATIONS

Fuel usage and emission calculations are presented below for each engine. The basis for the calculations is given in Attachment A and Table A-2.

Fuel Usage: - 15 x 10 6 Btu/hr $(2,000 \text{ bhp}) \times (7,500 \text{ Btu/bhp-hr})$ $(15 \times 10^6 \text{ Btu/hr})/(1,040 \text{ Btu/scf})$ = 14,423 scf/hr(11 g/bhp-hr)(2,000 bhp)(1 lb/453.593 g) = 48.5 lb/hrNO.: (48.5 lb/hr)(8,760 hr/yr)(1 ton/2,000 lb)- 212.4 TPY (1.4 g/bhp-hr)(2,000 bhp)(1 lb/453.593 g) CO: = 6.17 lb/hr(6.17 lb/hr)(8,760 hr/yr)(1 ton/2,000 lb)= 27.0 TPY VOCs(non-methane hydrocarbon): (0.44 g/bhp-hr)(2,000 bhp)(1 lb/453.593 g) = 1.94 lb/hr= 8.50 TPY(1.94 lb/hr)(8,760 hr/yr)(1 ton/2,000 lb) $(5 \text{ lb/}10^6 \text{ scf})(0.0144 \times 10^6 \text{ scf/hr})$ PM: -0.0721 lb/hr(0.0721 lb/hr)(8,760 hr/yr)(1 ton/2,000 lb)- 0.316 TPY (10 gr/100 scf)(14,423 scf/hr)(1 lb/7,000 gr)= 0.206 lb/hr ofSO,: Sulfur (2 lb SO₂/lb Sulfur)(0.206 lb/hr Sulfur) $= 0.412 \text{ lb/hr of SO}_2$ (0.412 lb/hr)(8,760 hr/yr)(1 ton/2,000 lb)- 1.80 TPY

PSD PERMIT APPLICATION FLORIDA GAS TRANSMISSION COMPANY COMPRESSOR STATION NO. 15

Prepared For:

Florida Gas Transmission Company 1400 Smith Street Houston, TX 77251-1188

Prepared By:


KBN Engineering and Applied Sciences, Inc. 1034 NW 57th Street Gainesville, FL 32605

November 1990 90051E1/P

STATE OF FLORIDA

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION





APPLICATION TO OPERATE/CONSTRUCT AIR POLLUTION SOURCES

SOURCE TYPE: Natural Gas Compressor Engi	ne [X] New¹ [] Existing¹
APPLICATION TYPE: [X] Construction []	Operation [] Modification
COMPANY NAME: Florida Gas Transmission Co	mpany COUNTY: Taylor
Identify the specific emission point sour	ce(s) addressed in this application (i.e., Lime
Kiln No. 4 with Venturi Scrubber; Peaking	Unit No. 2, Gas Fired) <u>Station 15, Unit No. 6</u>
SOURCE LOCATION: Street 6 miles north o	f Perry on Pisgah Road City Perry
UTM: East 17:249,02 km	North_3339,60 km
Latitude <u>30 ° 09 ′ 50 </u> "N	Longitude <u>83</u> ° <u>36</u> ′ <u>22</u> "W
APPLICANT NAME AND TITLE: W. Alan Bowman	. Project Environmentalist
APPLICANT ADDRESS: P.O. Box 1188.	Houston, Texas 77251 Phone; (713) 853-7303
SECTION I: STATEME	ENTS BY APPLICANT AND ENGINEER
A. APPLICANT	
I am the undersigned owner or authori	zed representative of Florida Gas Transmission Co.
I certify that the statements made in	this application for a <u>construction</u>
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	to the best of my knowledge and belief. Further,
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ollution control source and pollution control ply with the provision of Chapter 403, Florida
	ations of the department and revisions thereof. I
•	nted by the department, will be non-transferable
and I will promptly notify the depart establishment.	ment upon sale or legal transfer of the permitted
Attach letter of authorization	Signed: = J Company
Attach letter of authorization	Signed:
	C.L. Truby, Vice President
	Name and Title (Please Type)
	Date: 11-12-90 Telephone No. (713) 853-6161

B. PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER REGISTERED IN FLORIDA (where required by Chapter 471, F.S.)

This is to certify that the engineering features of this pollution control project have been designed/examined by me and found to be in conformity with modern engineering principles applicable to the treatment and disposal of pollutants characterized in the permit application. There is reasonable assurance, in my professional judgement, that

'See Florida Administration Code Rule 17-2.100(57) and (104)

	the pollution control facilities, when properly maintained and operated, will discharge an effluent that complies with all applicable statutes of the State of Florida and the rules and regulations of the department. It is also agreed that the undersigned will furnish, if authorized by the owner, the applicant a set of instructions for the proper maintenance and operation of the pollution control facilities and, if applicable, pollution sources.
	Signed David a. buff
	David A, Buff, P.E. Name (Please Type)
	KBN Engineering and Applied Sciences, Inc. Company Name (Please Type)
	1034 NW 57th Street, Gainesville, FL 32605 Mailing Address (Please Type)
Flo	rida Registration No. 19011 Date: Nov. 16, 1990 Telephone No. (904) 331-9000
	SECTION II: GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION
Α.	Describe the nature and extent of the project. Refer to pollution control equipment, and expected improvements in source performance as a result of installation. State whether the project will result in full compliance. Attach additional sheet if necessary.
	See PSD report, Section 1.0Introduction, and
	Section 2.0Project Description
В.	Schedule of project covered in this application (Construction Permit Application Only) 18 months after Start of Construction March 15, 1991 Completion of Construction permit issuance
C.	Costs of pollution control system(s): (Note: Show breakdown of estimated costs only for individual components/units of the project serving pollution control purposes. Information on actual costs shall be furnished with the application for operation permit.)
	Not applicable
D.	Indicate any previous DER permits, orders and notices associated with the emission point, including permit issuance and expiration dates.
	Not applicable

Ιf	power plant, hrs/yr; if seasonal, describe:	<u>.</u>
		
	this is a new source or major modification, answer the following questes or No)	cions.
1.	Is this source in a non-attainment area for a particular pollutant?	No
	a. If yes, has "offset" been applied?	<u> </u>
	b. If yes, has "Lowest Achievable Emission Rate" been applied?	
	c. If yes, list non-attainment pollutants.	
2.	Does best available control technology (BACT) apply to this source? If yes, see Section VI.	Yes
3.	Does the State "Prevention of Significant Deterioration" (PSD) requirement apply to this source? If yes, see Sections VI and VII.	Yes
4.	Do "Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources" (NSPS) apply to this source?	No
5.	Do "National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants" (NESHAP) apply to this source?	No
	"Reasonably Available Control Technology" (RACT) requirements bly to this source?	No
	a. If yes, for what pollutants?	1
Do	(NESHAP) apply to this source? "Reasonably Available Control Technology" (RACT) requirements oly to this source?	No

Attach all supportive information related to any answer of "Yes". Attach any justification for any answer of "No" that might be considered questionable.

See PSD Report, Section 3.0--Air Quality Review Requirements and Applicability

SECTION III: AIR POLLUTION SOURCES & CONTROL DEVICES (Other than Incinerators)

A. Raw Materials and Chemicals Used in your Process, if applicable:

	Contai	ninants	YT. 117			
Description	Type % V		Utilization Rate - lbs/hr	Relate to Flow Diagram		
Not applicable			 			

- B. Process Rate, if applicable: (See Section V, Item 1)
 - 1. Total Process Input Rate (lbs/hr): Not applicable
 - 2. Product Weight (lbs/hr): Not applicable
- C. Airborne Contaminants Emitted: (Information in this table must be submitted for each emission point, use additional sheets as necessary)

_		sion ¹	Allowed ² Emission Rate per	Allowable ³	Poten Emis	tial ⁴ sion	Relate
Name of Contaminant	Maximum lbs/hr	Actual T/yr	Rule 17-2	Emission lbs/hr	lbs/hr	T/yr	to Flow Diagram
NO _x	17.6	77.2	BACT	BACT	17.6	77.2	
СО	22.0	96.6	N/A	N/A	22.0	96.6	
VOCs	8.8	38.6	N/A	N/A	8.8	38.6	
Particulates	0.13	0.57	N/A	N/A	0.13	0.57	
SO ₂	0.75	3.27	N/A	N/A	0.75	3.27	

^{&#}x27;See Section V, Item 2.

Reference applicable emission standards and units (e.g. Rule 17-2.600(5)(b)2. Table II, E. (1) - 0.1 pounds per million BTU heat input)

³Calculated from operating rate and applicable standard.

⁴Emission, if source operated without control (See Section V, Item 3).

D. Control Devices: (See Section V, Item 4)

Name and Type (Model & Serial No.)	Contaminant	Efficiency	Range of Particles Size Collected (in microns) (If applicable)	Basis for Efficiency (Section V Item 5)
Lean Burn Engine Design	NO _x	80%	N/A	Design and
				AP-42

E. Fuels

	Consu	mption*	M
Type (Be Specific)	avg/hr	max/hr	Maximum Heat Input (MMBTU/hr)
Natural Gas	0.0262	0.0262	27.20

Fuel Analysis: Percent Sulfur: 0 031 (by weight)*	Percent Ash: NA
	lbs/gal Typical Percent Nitrogen: NA
	Btu/scf) BTU/lb NA BTU/ga
Other Fuel Contaminants (which may cau	use air pollution):NA
F. If applicable, indicate the percer Annual Average Not applicable	nt of fuel used for space heating. Maximum

^{*}Based on contract limit of 10 gr/100 ft^3 and gas at 0.0455 $\mathrm{lb/ft}^3$

		-			•	lata for eacl	·
_							1,938 ft.
							550 °F
Water Vapor	r Content:	-	8	%	Velocity:	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	170.28 FPS
		SEC		INCINERATO ot Applicab	R INFORMATIO	ON	
	Type 0 (Plastics)	Type II (Rubbish)	Type III (Refuse)	Type IV (Garbage)	Type IV (Patholog- ical)	Type V (Liq.& Gas By-prod.)	Type VI (Solid By-prod.)
Actual lb/hr Inciner- ated							
Uncon- trolled (lbs/hr)		,					
		of Hours of		_	day/wk	wk:	s/yr
Date Const	ructed			· - · - · · · ·	_ Model No.		
					Fuel		
	ì	Volume (ft) ³		Release J/hr)	Туре	BTU/hr	Temperature (°F)
Primary Ch	namber						
Secondary	Chamber						
	L	L			·		
Stack Heigh	nt:	ft.	Stack Di	amter:		Stack Temp	p
Gas Flow Ra	ate:		ACFM _		DSCF	M Velocity:	FPS
		per day desi oot dry gas				ns rate in g	rains per
Type of pol	llution co	ntrol devic	es: [] Cy	rclone []	Wet Scrubbe	er [] After	rburner
(specify)_				,			() October

Iltimate	disposal	of an	v Afflu	ent o	ther	than	that	emitted	from	the	stack	(scrubber	water
sh, etc.		OL AII	y elliu	enc O	CHEL	CHAII	CHAC	emicred	TTOM	cite	SCACK	(acrubber	water

NOTE: Items 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, and 10 in Section V must be included where applicable.

SECTION V: SUPPLEMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

Please provide the following supplements where required for this application.

- Total process input rate and product weight -- show derivation [Rule 17-2.100(127)]
 Not Applicable
- 2. To a construction application, attach basis of emission estimate (e.g., design calculations, design drawings, pertinent manufacturer's test data, etc.) and attach proposed methods (e.g., FR Part 60 Methods, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) to show proof of compliance with applicable standards. To an operation application, attach test results or methods used to show proof of compliance. Information provided when applying for an operation permit from a construction permit shall be indicative of the time at which the test was made.

See PSD Report, Section 2.0, Tables 2-1 and 2-2

- Attach basis of potential discharge (e.g., emission factor, that is, AP42 test).
 See PSD Report, Section 2.0
- 4. With construction permit application, include design details for all air pollution control systems (e.g., for baghouse include cloth to air ratio; for scrubber include cross-section sketch, design pressure drop, etc.)

Not Applicable

5. With construction permit application, attach derivation of control device(s) efficiency. Include test or design data. Items 2, 3 and 5 should be consistent: actual emissions = potential (1-efficiency).

Not Applicable

6. An 8 ½ x 11 flow diagram which will, without revealing trade secrets, identify the individual operations and/or processes. Indicate where raw materials enter, where solid and liquid waste exit, where gaseous emissions and/or airborne particles are evolved and where finished products are obtained.

See PSD Report, Figure 2-2

- 7. An 8 ½" x 11" plot plan showing the location of the establishment, and points of airborne emissions, in relation to the surrounding area, residences and other permanent structures and roadways (Examples: Copy of relevant portion of USGS topographic map).

 See PSD Report, Figure 1-2
- 8. An 8 ½" x 11" plot plan of facility showing the location of manufacturing processes and outlets for airborne emissions. Relate all flows to the flow diagram.

See PSD Report, Figure 2-1

- 9. The appropriate application fee in accordance with Rule 17-4.05. The check should be made payable to the Department of Environmental Regulation.
- 10. With an application for operation permit, attach a Certificate of Completion of Construction indicating that the source was constructed as shown in the construction permit.

See PSD report Sections 3.0 and 6.0

A.	=	stationary sources pursuant to 40 C.F.R. Part 60
	[] Yes [] No	
	Contaminant	Rate or Concentration
В.	Has EPA declared the best available co	ontrol technology for this class of sources (If
	[] Yes [] No	
	Contaminant	Rate or Concentration
<u> </u>	What emission levels do you propose as	best available control technology?
	Contaminant	Rate or Concentration
	Describe the existing control and trea	tmont tachnology (if any)
υ.	-	
		2. Operating Principles:
	3. Efficiency:	4. Capital Costs:
*Ex	plain method of determining	

	5.	Useful Life:		6.	Operating Costs:	
	7.	Energy:		8.	Maintenance Cost:	
	9.	Emissions:				
		Contaminant			Rate or Concentration	n
					- 1	
	10.	Stack Parameters				
	a.	Height:	ft.	b.	Diameter	ft.
	c.	Flow Rate:	ACFM	d.	Temperature:	°F.
	е.	Velocity:	FPS			
Ε.		cribe the control and trea		gy av	vailable (As many types	as applicable,
		additional pages if neces	sary).			
	1.	Company 1 Description .		,		
	а.	Control Devices:		ъ.		
	c.	Efficiency:		d.	Capital Cost:	
	е.	Useful Life:		f.	. 8	
	g.	Energy: ²		h.		
	i.	Availability of construct			rocess chemicals:	
	j.	Applicability to manufact	-			
	k.	Ability to construct with within proposed levels:	control device	e, in	stall in available spac	ce, and operate
	2.					
	а.	Control Device:		Ь.	Operating Principles:	
	c.	Efficiency:		d.		
	е.	Useful Life:		f.	Operating Cost:	·
	g.	Energy: ²		h.	•	
	i.	Availability of construct	ion materials :			-
	-•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	L			

¹Explain method of determining efficiency.

²Energy to be reported in units of electrical power - KWH design rate.

j.	Applicability to manufacturing processes	s :						
k.	Ability to construct with control device within proposed levels:	e, in	stall in available space, and operate					
3.								
a.	Control Device:	ъ.	Operating Principles:					
С.	Efficiency:	d.	Capital Cost:					
е.	Useful Life:	f.	Operating Cost:					
g.	Energy: ²	h.	Maintenance Cost:					
i.	Availability of construction materials and process chemicals:							
j.	Applicability to manufacturing processes:							
k.	Ability to construct with control device, install in available space, and operate within proposed levels:							
4.								
a.	Control Device:	b.	Operating Principles:					
c.	Efficiency:	d.	Capital Cost:					
e.	Useful Life:	f.	Operating Cost:					
g.	Energy: ²	h.	Maintenance Cost:					
i.	Availability of construction materials and process chemicals:							
j.	Applicability to manufacturing processes	: :						
k.	Ability to construct with control device within proposed levels:	e, in	stall in available space, and operate					
Des	cribe the control technology selected:							
1.		2.	Efficiency:					
3.		4						
5.	•	6.	Energy: ²					
7.	•	8.	4.5					
		- •						

a. (1) Company:

(3) City:

(2) Mailing Address:

F.

9. Other locations where employed on similar processes:

(4) State:

 $^{^{1}\}mbox{Explain}$ method of determining efficiency. $^{2}\mbox{Energy}$ to be reported in units of electrical power - KWH design rate.

(5) Environmental Manager:	
(6) Telephone No.:	
(7) Emissions:	
Contaminant	Rate or Concentration
(8) Process Rate:	
b. (1) Company:	
(2) Mailing Address:	
(3) City:	(4) State:
(5) Environmental Manager:	
(6) Telephone No.:	
(7) Emissions: 1	
Contaminant	Rate or Concentration
(8) Process Rate:	
Reason for selection and descript	ion of systems:
'Applicant must provide this information when a available, applicant must state the reason(s)	
SECTION VII - PREVENTION OF Refer to F A. Company Monitored Data	
1 no. sites TSP	() SO ^{2*} Wind spd/dir
Period of Monitoring /	
month day day year	year month
Other data recorded	
Attach all data or statistical summaries to	this application.
*Specify bubbler (B) or continuous (C).	

DER Form 17-1.202(1)/90051E1/P/APS1 Effective October 31, 1982

	2.	Instrumentation, Field and	Laboratory					
	а.	Was instrumentation EPA re	ferenced or	its eq	uivalent?	[] Yes	[] No	
	Ъ.	Was instrumentation calibra	ated in acco	rdance	with Depa	rtment pro	cedures?	
		[] Yes [] No [] Unknow	Wτι					
В.	Met	ceorological Data Used for A	ir Quality Mo	odelin	g			
	1.	Year(s) of data from	n			to		·
			month	day	year	month	day	year
	2.	Surface data obtained from	(location)_					
	3.	Upper air (mixing height)	data obtaine	d from	(location)		
	4.	Stability wind rose (STAR)	data obtain	ed fro	m (locatio	n)		
C.	Com	puter Models Used						
	1.				Modified?	If yes,	attach de	scription.
						-		_
						•		• ,
						-		_
		ach copies of all final mode nciple output tables.	el runs show:	ing in	put data,	receptor l	ocations,	and
D.	App	licants Maximum Allowable En	mission Data					
	Pol	lutant Er	mission Rate					
	TS				gra	me/eac		
	so				gra	13/300		
_		-					_ grams/s	ec
Ε.	Emi.	ssion Data Used in Modeling						
	poi	ach list of emission sources nt source (on NEDS point nur normal operating time.						
F.	Att	ach all other information su	upportive to	the P	SD review.			
G.	app	cuss the social and economic licable technologies (i.e, j essment of the environmental	jobs, payrol:	l, pro	duction, t			

requested best available control technology.

H. Attach scientific, engineering, and technical material, reports, publications, journals, and other competent relevant information describing the theory and application of the

PREVENTION OF SIGNIFICANT DETERIORATION REPORT FLORIDA GAS TRANSMISSION COMPANY COMPRESSOR STATION NO. 15

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Page 1 of 3)

		ABLES 'IGURES		iv vi
1.0	INTR	ODUCTIO	N	1-1
2.0	PROJ	ECT DES	CRIPTION	2-1
	2.1	EXISTI	NG OPERATIONS	2-1
	2.2	PROPOS	ED COMPRESSOR STATION ADDITION	2-1
3.0	AIR	QUALITY	REVIEW REQUIREMENTS AND APPLICABILITY	3-1
	3.1	NATION	AL AND STATE AAQS	3-1
	3.2	PSD RE	QUIREMENTS	3-1
		3.2.1	GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	3-1
		3.2.2	INCREMENTS/CLASSIFICATIONS	3-3
		3.2.3	CONTROL TECHNOLOGY REVIEW	3-7
		3.2.4	AIR QUALITY MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	3 - 9
		3.2.5	SOURCE IMPACT ANALYSIS	3-10
		3.2.6	ADDITIONAL IMPACT ANALYSES	3-11
		3.2.7	GOOD ENGINEERING PRACTICE STACK HEIGHT	3-11
	3.3	NONATT.	AINMENT RULES	3-12
	3.4	SOURCE	APPLICABILITY	3-12
		3.4.1	PSD REVIEW 3.4.1.1 Pollutant Applicability 3.4.1.2 Ambient Monitoring 3.4.1.3 GEP Stack Height Analysis	3-12 3-12 3-13 3-15
		3 / 2	NONATTAINMENT REVIEW	3-15

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Page 2 of 3)

4.0	SOUR	CE IMPA	CT ANALYSIS		4-1
	4.1	ANALYS	IS APPROACH	AND ASSUMPTIONS	4-1
		4.1.1	GENERAL MOD	DELING APPROACH	4-1
		4.1.2	MODEL SELEC	CTION	4-1
		4.1.3	METEOROLOGI	ICAL DATA	4-4
		4.1.4	SOURCE DATA	A	4-5
		4.1.5	RECEPTOR LC	OCATIONS	4-5
		4.1.6	BUILDING DO	DWNWASH CONSIDERATIONS	4 - 8
	4.2	MODEL	RESULTS		4-10
5.0	SOIL IMPA	•	ration, Visi	IBILITY AND ASSOCIATED POPULATION GROWTH	5-1
	5.1	IMPACT	S UPON SOILS	S AND VEGETATION	5-1
	5.2	IMPACT	S UPON VISIE	BILITY	5-1
	5.3	IMPACT	DUE TO ASS	SOCIATED POPULATION GROWTH	5 - 3
6.0	BEST	AVAILA	BLE CONTROL	TECHNOLOGY EVALUATION	6-1
	6.1	NATURA	L GAS PRIME	MOVERS	6-1
•	6.2		FICATION OF OCATING IC E	NO CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES FOR ENGINES	6-2
		6.2.1	TECHNOLOGIE	ES INVOLVING ENGINE MODIFICATION	6-3
			6.2.1.1 <u>St</u>	team Injection	6 - 3
			6.2.1.2 <u>Ai</u>	ir-to-Fuel Ratio Changes	6-4
			6.2.1.3 <u>Re</u>	etarded Ignition Timing	6-5
			6.2.1.4 <u>De</u>	erating Power Output	6-6
			6.2.1.5 <u>Ex</u>	xhaust Gas Recirculation	6-7

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Page 3 of 3)

	6.2.2	TECHNOLOGIES INVOLV	JING EXHAUST GAS TREATMENT	6-8
		6.2.2.1 <u>NO_xOUT Pro</u>	ces <u>s</u>	6-8
		6.2.2.2 THERMAL De	<u>∍NO</u> _x	6-9
			on of Lean-Burn Engine and ive Catalytic Reduction	6-10
,		6.2.2.4 <u>Selective</u> <u>Ammonia In</u>	Catalytic Reduction with	6-11
		6.2.2.5 <u>Combination</u>	on of Rich-Burn Engine and NSCR	6-12
	6.2.3	SUMMARY OF TECHNICA	ALLY FEASIBLE NO, CONTROL METHODS	6-13
6.3	EVALUA'	ION OF TECHNICALLY	FEASIBLE NO, CONTROL METHODS	6-13
	6.3.1	RANKING OF FEASIBLE	E CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES	6-16
	6.3.2	ANALYSIS OF LEAN-BU	JRN ENGINE WITH SCR	6-19
	6.3.3	ANALYSIS OF RICH-BU	JRN ENGINE WITH NSCR	6-25
	6.3.4	ANALYSIS OF LEAN-BU OUTPUT	JRN ENGINE WITH DERATING POWER	6-30
	6.3.5	ANALYSIS OF LEAN-BUTIMING	JRN ENGINE WITH RETARD IGNITION	6-33
	6.3.6	ANALYSIS OF LEAN-BU	JRN ENGINE	6-34
6.4	BACT ST	MMARY AND CONCLUSION	<u>DN</u>	6-37
	6.4.1	COMPARISON OF TECH	NICAL ISSUES	6-37
	6.4.2	COMPARISON OF ENVI	RONMENTAL EFFECTS	6-37
	6.4.3	COMPARISON OF ENERG	GY IMPACTS	6-38
	6.4.4	COMPARISON OF ECONO	DMIC ANALYSIS	6-38
	6.4.5	SUMMARY AND CONCLU	SION	6-40
REFERENCE	S			REF-1
APPENDICE	S			

LIST OF TABLES (Page 1 of 2)

2-1	Engine Specifications and Stack Parameters for the Proposed Project	2 - 5
2-2	Maximum Emissions From FGTC's Proposed Compressor Engine	2-6
3-1	National and State AAQS, Allowable PSD Increments, and Significance Levels $(\mu g/m^3)$	3-2
3-2	PSD Significant Emission Rates and <u>De Minimis</u> Monitoring Concentrations	3-4
3-3	Maximum Potential Emissions Due to Proposed Engine at Compressor Station No. 15	3-14
4-1	Major Features of the ISCLT Model	4-3
4-2	Summary of Source Parameters Used in the Modeling Analysis	4-6
4-3	Discrete Plant Boundary Receptors, Compressor Station No. 15	4-7
4-4	Building Dimensions Used in the ISCLT Modeling, Compressor Station No. 15	4-9
4-5	Maximum Predicted Annual Average NO ₂ Concentrations Due to the Proposed Compressor Engine for Comparison to Significant Impact Levels	4-11
5-1	Visual Effects Screening Analysis for Compressor Station No. 15	5 - 2
6-1	Summary of Technical Feasibility of $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$ Emission Controls for Reciprocating Engine	6-14
6-2	Summary of BACT Determinations for $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$ Emissions from Gas-Fired Reciprocating Engines	6-16
6-3	BACT "Top-Down" Hierarchy of NO _x Control Technologies	6-17
6-4	Capital Cost Estimates for SCR Systems for $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$ Emission Control	6-22
6 - 5	Annualized Cost Estimates for SCR Systems for $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$ Emission Control	6-24
6-6	Capital Cost Estimates for Lean-Burn Engine and Rich-Burn Engine/NSCR System	6-26

LIST OF TABLES (Page 2 of 2)

6-7	Annualized Cost Estimates for Lean-Burn Engine and Rich-Burn Engine/NSCR System	6-28
6-8	Summary of the Operating Parameters for the Proposed Lean-Burn Engine	6 - 31
6-9	Summary of Top-Down BACT Impact Analysis Results for NO_{x} , Compressor Station No. 15	6 - 35

LIST OF FIGURES

1-1	FGTC's Gas Transmission System	1-2
1-2	Site Location of Enron's Florida Gas Transmission Line Compressor Station No. 15, Perry, Taylor County, Florida	1-3
2-1	Plot Plan of Compressor Station No. 15	2-2
2-2	Process Flow Diagram of an Integral Engine-Compressor Unit	2-4

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Florida Gas Transmission Company (FGTC), a subsidiary of ENRON Corporation of Houston, Texas, is proposing to expand its existing natural gas pipeline Compressor Station No. 15. This proposed expansion is part of FGTC's Phase II expansion project aimed at increasing the natural gas transport capacity of the existing Florida gas pipeline system. The scope of work for Phase II includes expansions by addition of state-of-the-art compressor engines at eight existing compressor stations and at a newly proposed compressor station. The main gas pipeline and the approximate locations of the existing and proposed compressor stations along the main pipeline are shown in Figure 1-1.

Compressor Station No. 15 is located about 6 miles north of the town of Perry on Pisgah Road in Taylor County, Florida. Figure 1-2 shows the site location of the existing compressor station.

The proposed expansion at this location consists of the addition of one new 4,000 brake horsepower (bhp) natural-gas-fired, reciprocating internal combustion (IC) engine. The proposed engine would be used solely for the purpose of transporting natural gas in the pipeline for distribution in Florida. The proposed engine is a turbocharged Cooper-Bessemer Model 8W-330C2. Under current federal and state air quality regulations, the proposed engine will constitute a major modification at an existing major stationary source.

This report addresses the requirements of the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) review procedures pursuant to rules and regulations implementing the Clean Air Act (CAA) Amendments of 1977. The Florida Department of Environmental Regulation (FDER) has PSD review and approval authority in Florida. Based on the proposed emissions from the addition of a 4,000-bhp engine, a PSD review is required for nitrogen oxides (NO_x).




Figure 1-1 FGTC'S GAS TRANSMISSION SYSTEM

Florida Gas

Transmission Company




Figure 1-2 SITE LOCATION OF ENRON'S FLORIDA GAS TRANSMISSION LINE COMPRESSOR STATION NO. 15, PERRY, TAYLOR COUNTY, FLORIDA



Engineering designs for the proposed expansion project include selection of an engine incorporating lean-burn technology. The lean-burn technology for emission control represents best available control technology (BACT) for the proposed reciprocating IC engine.

This application contains five additional sections. Descriptions of the existing operation at FGTC's Compressor Station No. 15 and the proposed 4,000-bhp engine addition are presented in Section 2.0. The air quality review requirements and source applicability of the proposed engine to the regulations are discussed in Section 3.0. The methodology and results of the air dispersion modeling and air quality impact analysis are presented in Section 4.0, and impacts on soil, vegetation, and visibility are summarized in Section 5.0. The BACT analysis required as part of the PSD permitting process is presented in Section 6.0.

2.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A plot plan of FGTC's Compressor Station No. 15, showing the location of the plant boundaries, the existing engines, and the proposed additional engine, is presented in Figure 2-1. The following sections describe the existing operations at this location, as well as a description of the proposed project.

2.1 EXISTING OPERATIONS

FGTC's existing Compressor Station No. 15 consists of five 2,000-bhp natural-gas-fired reciprocating IC engines. All of the engines are Worthington Model SEHG-8. These engines were installed prior to the CAA amendments of 1977: three engines were installed in 1962; the fourth engine was installed in 1966; and the fifth engine was installed in 1968. These existing engines are not being modified as part of this expansion project; therefore, they are not subject to PSD review.

2.2 PROPOSED COMPRESSOR STATION ADDITION

The proposed engine will be used to drive a gas compressor that is a part of the mechanical prime mover of the main gas transmission line that transports natural gas from source wells in Texas and Louisiana. The proposed engine will play a critical part in recompressing the natural gas for delivery throughout Florida. Without the proposed engine, it would not be possible to increase the volumetric delivery capacity in order to meet both short-term and long-term demands for natural gas in Florida.

FGTC proposes to install one natural-gas-fired engine at the Compressor Station No. 15. The expansion plan currently calls for installation of a Cooper-Bessemer Model 8W-330C2 integral engine-compressor unit. The engine has 8 power cylinders and is rated at 4,000 bhp at 330 revolutions per minute (rpm). The engine is turbocharged, increasing the air inlet manifold pressure, which allows the engine to operate at a high air-to-fuel ratio. This turbocharging provides more power output from the engine than would otherwise be attained without having to use a larger size engine. A

flow diagram of the integral engine compressor unit is presented in Figure 2-2. Fuel fired will be exclusively natural gas, supplied from the FGTC's gas pipeline. Based on the operating characteristics and design, this engine is classified as a high-power, large-bore, slow-speed reciprocating IC engine according to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) documented classification (EPA, 1979). Engine specifications and stack parameters for the proposed engine are presented in Table 2-1.

The proposed engine will incorporate "lean-burn" technology, which is state-of-the-art design for minimizing air pollutant concentration in the exhaust gases from gas-fired reciprocating IC engines. In the lean-burn design, a small, fuel-rich mixture is combusted in a pre-ignition chamber. The hot combustion gases from the pre-ignition chamber then pass to the main combustion chamber, where they ignite a lean mixture of fuel. Since most of the fuel entering the engine is burned in a lean state (i.e., high ratio of air to fuel), exhaust NO_{x} emissions are minimized. However, volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions are approximately 40 to 50 percent higher than the standard "rich-burn" engines.

Maximum hourly and annual emissions of regulated pollutants from the proposed engine are presented in Table 2-2. Emissions of NO_x , carbon monoxide (CO), and VOC are based on the engine manufacturer's guarantee. Particulate matter (PM) emissions are based upon EPA publication AP-42 (EPA, 1988d) emission factors for natural gas combustion in boilers. Emissions of sulfur dioxide (SO₂) are based on ENRON's natural gas specification. According to EPA's publication entitled Toxic Air Pollutant Emission Factors--A Compilation for Selected Air Toxic Compounds and Sources, there are no emission factors for other regulated pollutants due to natural gas combustion in stationary IC engines (EPA, 1988a).

In order to accommodate the new engine at the existing compressor station site, the existing compressor building will be extended. The extent of the addition is shown in Figure 2-1. The new engine will be housed inside the

Figure 2-2 PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAM OF AN INTEGRAL ENGINE-COMPRESSOR UNIT



?

Table 2-1. Engine Specifications and Stack Parameters for the Proposed Project

Parameter	Design Specification
English Compagne	
Engine-Compressor Manufacturer	Carrage Barrage
Model	Cooper-Bessemer 8W-330C2
Air Charging Unit Size	Turbocharged
	4,000 bhp
Number of Power Cylinders	8 cylinders
Number of Compressor Cylinders	4 cylinders
Power Cylinder Data Bore Size	10 41
Stroke	18 inches
•	20 inches
Cylinder Power	500 bhp/cylinder
Specific Heat Input	6,800 Btu/bhp-hr
Maximum Fuel Consumption	26,154 scf/hr ^a
Speed	330 rpm
Stack Parameters	
Stack Height	40 ft
Stack Diameter	23.25 inches
Exhaust Gas FLow	71,100 lb/hr
	30,138 acfm
Exhaust Temperature	550°F
Exhaust Gas Velocity	170.28 ft/sec
· · ·	=

Note: acfm = actual cubic feet per minute.

bhp = brake horsepower.

Btu/bhp-hr - British thermal units per brake horsepower per hour.

°F = degrees fahrenheit.

ft - feet.

ft/sec = feet per second.

lb/hr = pounds per hour.

scf = standard cubic feet.

rpm = revolutions per minute.

*Based on heating value for natural gas of 1,040 British thermal units per standard cubic foot (Btu/scf).

Source: Cooper Industries, 1990.

ENRON Corporation, 1990.

Table 2-2. Maximum Emissions From FGTC's Proposed Compressor Engine

Pollutant	Emission Factor	<u>Ma</u> Reference	ximum Emi	issions TPY
				
Nitrogen Oxides	2.0 g/bhp-hr	Manufacturer's guarantee	17.6	77.2
Carbon Monoxide	2.5 g/bhp-hr	Manufacturer's guarantee	22.0	96.6
Volatile Organic Compounds (non- methane)	Ψ	Manufacturer's guarantee	8.8	38.6
Particulate Matter	5 lb/MMscf	AP-42, Table 1.4-1	0.13	0.57
Sulfur Dioxide	10 gr/100 scf	ENRON Specification	0.75	3.27

Note: Maximum natural gas consumption is 26,154 standard cubic feet per hour (scf/hr).

g/bhp-hr = grams per brake horsepower per hour.

gr/100scf = grains per one hundred standard cubic feet.

lb/hr = pounds per hour.

lb/MMscf = pounds per million standard cubic feet.

TPY = tons per year.

enlarged building, on the west end of the existing compressor building. The location of the exhaust stack for the new engine is also shown in Figure 2-1.

3.0 AIR QUALITY REVIEW REQUIREMENTS AND APPLICABILITY

The following discussion pertains to the federal and state air regulatory requirements and their applicability to FGTC's proposed compressor station expansion. These regulations must be satisfied before construction can begin on the proposed source.

3.1 NATIONAL AND STATE AAQS

The existing applicable national and Florida ambient air quality standards (AAQS) are presented in Table 3-1. Primary national AAQS were promulgated to protect the public health, and secondary national AAQS were promulgated to protect the public welfare from any known or anticipated adverse effects associated with the presence of pollutants in the ambient air. Areas of the country in violation of AAQS are designated as "nonattainment" areas, and new sources to be located in or near these areas may be subject to more stringent air permitting requirements.

3.2 PSD REQUIREMENTS

3.2.1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

Federal PSD requirements are contained in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), 40, 52.21, Prevention of Significant Deterioration of air quality. The state of Florida has adopted PSD regulations [Chapter 17-2.510, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.)] that are essentially identical to the federal regulations. PSD regulations require that all new major stationary sources or major modifications to existing major sources of air pollutants regulated under CAA be reviewed and a construction permit issued. Florida's State Implementation Plan (SIP), which contains PSD regulations, has been approved by EPA, and, therefore, PSD approval authority in Florida has been granted to FDER.

A "major facility" is defined under PSD as any one of 28 named source categories which has the potential to emit 100 TPY or more, or any other

Table 3-1. National and State AAQS, Allowable PSD Increments, and Significance Levels (μg/m³)

			AAQS				
		Nat:	onal	State			Significant
		Primary	Secondary	of	PSD In	crements	Impact
Follutant	Averaging Time	Standard	Standard	Floride	Class I	Class II	Levels
Particulate Matter	Annual Geometric Mean	RA	NA	· NA	5	19	1
(TSP)	24-Hour Maximum ^a	NA	NA	NA	10	37	5
Particulate Matter	Annual Arithmetic Mean	50	50	50	40	17 ^c	1
(PM10)	24-Hour Maximum ^b	150	150	150	8°	30 ^c	5
Sulfur Dioxide	Annual Arithmetic Mean	80	NA	60	2	20	1
	24-Hour Maximum ^b	365	NA	260	5	91	5
	3-Hour Maximum ^b	АК	1,300	1,300	25	512	25
Carbon Monoxide	8-Hour Maximumb	10,000	10,000	10,000	NA	NA	500
	1-Hour Maximum ^b	40,000	40,000	40,000	NA	NA	2,000
Nitrogen Dioxide	Annual Arithmetic Mean	100	100	100	2.5	25	1
Ozone	1-Hour Maximum ^d	235	235	235	NA	NA	AK
Lead	Calendar Quarter Arithmetic Mean	1.5	1.5	15	NA	NA	АК

Maximum concentration not to be exceeded more than once per year.

Note: Particulate matter (TSP) = total suspended particulate matter.

Particulate matter (PM10) = particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to 10 micrometers.

 $\mu g/m^3 = micrograms per cubic meter.$

NA = Not applicable, i.e., no standard exists.

Sources: Federal Register, Vol. 43, No. 118, June 19, 1978.

40 CFR 50.

40 CFR 52.21.

Chapter 17-2.400, F.A.C.

bAchieved when the expected number of exceedances per year is less than 1.

^cProposed by EPA in the Federal Register on October 5, 1989.

dAchieved when the expected number of days per year with concentrations above the standard is less than 1.

stationary facility that has the potential to emit 250 TPY or more of any pollutant regulated under CAA. A "source" is defined as an identifiable piece of process equipment or emissions unit. "Potential to emit" means the capability, at maximum design capacity, to emit a pollutant considering the application of control equipment and any other federally enforceable limitations on the source's capacity. A "major modification" is defined under PSD regulations as a change at an existing major stationary facility which increases emissions by greater than significant amounts. PSD significant emission rates are shown in Table 3-2.

PSD review is used to determine whether significant air quality deterioration will result from the new or modified facility. Major new facilities and major modifications are required to undergo the following analyses related to PSD for each pollutant emitted in significant amounts:

- 1. Control technology review,
- 2. Source impact analysis,
- 3. Air quality analysis (monitoring),
- 4. Source information, and
- 5. Additional impact analyses.

In addition to these analyses, a new facility must also be reviewed with respect to good engineering practices (GEP) stack height regulations. If the proposed new source or modification is located in a nonattainment area for any pollutant, the source may be subject to nonattainment new source review requirements. Discussions concerning each of these requirements are presented in the following sections.

3.2.2 INCREMENTS/CLASSIFICATIONS

The 1977 Clean Air Act (CAA) amendments address PSD of air quality. The law specifies that certain increases in air quality concentrations above the baseline concentration level of sulfur dioxide (SO_2) and particulate matter--total suspended particulates [PM(TSP)]--would constitute

Table 3-2. PSD Significant Emission Rates and De Minimis Monitoring Concentrations

Pollutant	Regulated Under	Significant Emission Rate (TPY)	<u>De Minimis</u> Monitoring Concentration (μg/m³)
Sulfur Dioxide	NAAQS, NSPS	40	13, 24-hour
Particulate Matter (TSP)	NAAQS, NSPS	25	10, 24-hour
Particulate Matter (PM10)	NAAQS	15	10, 24-hour
Nitrogen Oxides	NAAQS, NSPS	40	14, annual
Carbon Monoxide	NAAQS, NSPS	100	575, 8-hour
Volatile Organic	·		•
Compounds (Ozone)	NAAQS, NSPS	40	100 TPY*
Lead	NAAQS	0.6	0.1, 3-month
Sulfuric Acid Mist	NSPS	7	NM
Total Fluorides	NSPS	3	0.25, 24-hour
Total Reduced Sulfur	NSPS	10	10, 1-hour
Reduced Sulfur Compounds	NSPS	10	10, 1-hour
Hydrogen Sulfide	NSPS	10	0.2, 1-hour
Asbestos	NESHAP	0.007	NM
Beryllium	NESHAP	0.0004	0.001, 24-hour
Mercury	NESHAP	0.1	0.25, 24-hour
Vinyl Chloride	NESHAP	1	15, 24-hour
Benzene	NESHAP	ь	NM .
Radionuclides	NESHAP	ъ	NM
Inorganic Arsenic	NESHAP	ъ	NM

^{*}No <u>de minimis</u> concentration; an increase in VOC emissions of 100 TPY or more will require monitoring analysis for ozone.

*Any emission rate of these pollutants.

Note: Ambient monitoring requirements for any pollutant may be exempted if the impact of the increase in emissions is below <u>de minimis</u> monitoring concentrations.

NAAQS - National Ambient Air Quality Standards.

NM - No ambient measurement method.

NSPS - New Source Performance Standards.

NESHAP - National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants.

 $\mu g/m^3$ = micrograms per cubic meter.

Sources: 40 CFR 52.21.

Chapter 17-2, F.A.C.

significant deterioration. The magnitude of the allowable increment depends on the classification of the area in which a new source (or modification) will be located or will have an impact. Congress also directed EPA to evaluate PSD increments for other criteria pollutants and, if appropriate, promulgate PSD increments for such pollutants.

Three classifications were designated, based on criteria established in the CAA Amendments. Certain types of areas (international parks, national wilderness areas, and memorial parks larger than 5,000 acres, and national parks larger than 6,000 acres) were designated as Class I areas. All other areas of the country were designated as Class II. PSD increments for Class III areas were defined, but no areas were designated as Class III. However, Congress made provisions in the law to allow the redesignation of Class III areas to Class III areas.

In 1977, EPA promulgated PSD regulations related to the requirements for classifications, increments, and area designations as set forth by Congress. PSD increments were initially set for only SO_2 and PM(TSP). However, in 1988, EPA promulgated final PSD regulations for nitrogen oxides (NO_2) and established PSD increments for nitrogen dioxide (NO_2).

The current federal PSD increments are shown in Table 3-1. As shown, Class I increments are the most stringent, allowing the smallest amount of air quality deterioration, while the Class III increments allow the greatest amount of deterioration. FDER has adopted the EPA class designations and allowable PSD increments for PM(TSP), SO₂, and NO₂.

On October 5, 1989, EPA proposed PSD increments for PM10. Those proposed increments are shown in Table 3-1. The PM10 increments as proposed are somewhat lower in magnitude than the current PM(TSP) increments.

The term "baseline concentration" evolves from federal and state PSD regulations and refers to a fictitious concentration level corresponding

to a specified baseline date and certain additional baseline sources. By definition in the PSD regulations, baseline concentration means the ambient concentration level that exists in the baseline area at the time of the applicable baseline date. A baseline concentration is determined for each pollutant for which a baseline date is established and includes:

- 1. The actual emissions representative of sources in existence on the applicable baseline date; and
- 2. The allowable emissions of major stationary sources that began construction before January 6, 1975, for SO_2 and PM(TSP) sources, or February 8, 1988, for NO_x sources; but which were not in operation by the applicable baseline date.

The following emissions are not included in the baseline concentration and therefore affect PSD increment consumption:

- Actual emissions from any major stationary source on which construction began after January 6, 1975, for SO₂ and PM(TSP) sources, and after February 8, 1988, for NO₂ sources; and
- 2. Actual emission increases and decreases at any stationary source occurring after the baseline date.

In reference to the baseline concentration, the baseline date actually includes three different dates:

- 1. The major source baseline date, which is January 6, 1975, in the cases of SO_2 and PM(TSP), and February 8, 1988, in the case of NO_2 ;
- 2. The minor source baseline date, which is the earliest date after the trigger date on which a major stationary source or major modification subject to PSD regulations submits a complete PSD application; and
- 3. The trigger date, which is August 7, 1977, for SO_2 and PM(TSP), and February 8, 1988, for NO_2 .

The minor source baseline date for SO_2 and PM(TSP) has been set as December 27, 1977, for the entire state of Florida (Chapter 17-2.450, F.A.C.). The minor source baseline date for NO_2 has been set as March 28, 1988, for all of Florida.

3.2.3 CONTROL TECHNOLOGY REVIEW

The control technology review requirements of the federal and state PSD regulations require that all applicable federal and state emission limiting standards be met and that BACT be applied to control emissions from the source [Chapter 17-2.500(5)(c), F.A.C]. The BACT requirements are applicable to all regulated pollutants for which the increase in emissions from the facility or modification exceeds the significant emission rate (see Table 3-2).

BACT is defined in Chapter 17-2.100(25), F.A.C. as:

An emissions limitation, including a visible emission standard, based on the maximum degree of reduction of each pollutant emitted which the Department, on a case by case basis, taking into account energy, environmental, and economic impacts, and other costs, determines is achievable through application of production processes and available methods, systems, and techniques (including fuel cleaning or treatment or innovative fuel combustion techniques) for control of such pollutant. If the Department determines that technological or economic limitations on the application of measurement methodology to a particular part of a source or facility would make the imposition of an emission standard infeasible, a design, equipment, work practice, operational standard or combination thereof, may be prescribed instead to satisfy the requirement for the application of BACT. Such standard shall, to the degree possible, set forth the emissions reductions achievable by implementation of such design, equipment, work practice, or operation.

The requirements for BACT were promulgated within the framework of PSD in the 1977 amendments of the CAA [Public Law 95-95; Part C, Section 165(a)(4)]. The primary purpose of BACT is to optimize consumption of PSD air quality increments and, thereby, enlarge the potential for future economic growth without significantly degrading air quality (EPA,

1978; 1980). Guidelines for the evaluation of BACT can be found in EPA's Guidelines for Determining Best Available Control Technology (BACT) (EPA, 1978) and in the PSD Workshop Manual (EPA, 1980). These guidelines were promulgated by EPA to provide a consistent approach to BACT and to ensure that the impacts of alternative emission control systems are measured by the same set of parameters. In addition, through implementation of these guidelines, BACT in one area may not be identical to BACT in another area. According to EPA (1980),

BACT analyses for the same types of emissions unit and the same pollutants in different locations or situations may determine that different control strategies should be applied to the different sites, depending on site-specific factors. Therefore, BACT analyses must be conducted on a case-by-case basis.

The BACT requirements are intended to ensure that the control systems incorporated in the design of a proposed facility reflect the latest in control technologies used in a particular industry and take into consideration existing and future air quality in the vicinity of the proposed facility. BACT must, as a minimum, demonstrate compliance with New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) for a source (if applicable). An evaluation of the air pollution control techniques and systems, including a cost-benefit analysis of alternative control technologies capable of achieving a higher degree of emission reduction than the proposed control technology, is required. The cost-benefit analysis requires the documentation of the materials, energy, and economic penalties associated with the proposed and alternative control systems, as well as the environmental benefits derived from these systems. A decision on BACT is to be based on sound judgment, balancing environmental benefits with energy, economic, and other impacts (EPA, 1978).

Historically, a "bottom-up" approach consistent with the BACT Guidelines and PSD Workshop Manual has been used. With this approach, an initial control level, which is usually NSPS, is evaluated against successively more stringent controls until a BACT level is selected. However, EPA

developed a concern that the bottom-up approach was not providing the level of BACT decisions originally intended. As a result, in December 1987, the EPA Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation mandated changes in the implementation of the PSD program including the adoption of a new "top-down" approach to BACT decision making.

The top-down BACT approach essentially starts with the most stringent (or top) technology and emissions limit that have been applied elsewhere to the same or a similar source category. The applicant must next provide a basis for rejecting this technology in favor of the next most stringent technology or propose to use it. Rejection of control alternatives may be based on technical or economic infeasibility. Such decisions are made on the basis of physical differences (e.g., fuel type), locational differences (e.g., availability of water), or significant differences that may exist in the environmental, economic or energy impacts. The differences between the proposed facility and the facility on which the control technique was applied previously must be justified. Recently, EPA issued a draft guidance document on the top-down approach entitled Top-Down Best Available Control Technology Guidance Document (EPA, 1990a).

3.2.4 AIR QUALITY MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with requirements of 40 CFR 52.21(m) and Chapter 17-2.500(f), F.A.C, any application for a PSD permit must contain an analysis of ambient air quality data in the area affected by the proposed major stationary facility or major modification. For a new major facility, the affected pollutants are those that the facility would potentially emit in significant amounts. For a major modification, the pollutants are those for which the net emissions increase exceeds the significant emission rate (see Table 3-2).

Ambient air monitoring for a period of up to 1 year is generally appropriate to satisfy the PSD monitoring requirements. A minimum of

4 months of data is required. Existing data from the vicinity of the proposed source may be utilized if the data meet certain quality assurance requirements; otherwise, additional data may need to be gathered. Guidance in designing a PSD monitoring network is provided in EPA's Ambient Monitoring Guidelines for Prevention of Significant Deterioration (EPA, 1987a).

Under the exemption rule, FDER may exempt a proposed major stationary facility or major modification from the monitoring requirements with respect to a particular pollutant if the emissions increase of the pollutant from the facility or modification would cause, in any area, air quality impacts less than the <u>de minimis</u> levels presented in Table 3-2 [Chapter 17-2.500(3)(e), F.A.C.].

3.2.5 SOURCE IMPACT ANALYSIS

A source impact analysis must be performed for a proposed major source subject to PSD for each pollutant for which the increase in emissions exceeds the significant emission rate (Table 3-2). The PSD regulations specifically provide for the use of atmospheric dispersion models in performing impact analysis, estimating baseline and future air quality levels, and determining compliance with AAQS and allowable PSD increments. Designated EPA models must normally be used in performing the impact analysis. Specific applications for other than EPA-approved models require EPA's consultation and prior approval. Guidance for the use and application of dispersion models is presented in the EPA publication Guideline on Air Quality Models (EPA, 1987b). The source impact analysis for criteria pollutants may be limited to only the new or modified source if the net increase in impacts due to the new or modified source is below significance levels, as presented in Table 3-1.

Various lengths of record for meteorological data can be utilized for impact analysis. A 5-year period can be used with corresponding evaluation of highest, second-highest short-term concentrations for comparison to AAQS or PSD increments. The term "highest, second-

highest" (HSH) refers to the highest of the second-highest concentrations at all receptors (i.e., the highest concentration at each receptor is discarded). The second-highest concentration is significant because short-term AAQS specify that the standard should not be exceeded at any location more than once a year. If less than 5 years of meteorological data are used in the modeling analysis, the highest concentration at each receptor must normally be used for comparison to air quality standards.

3.2.6 ADDITIONAL IMPACT ANALYSES

In addition to air quality impact analyses, federal and state of Florida PSD regulations require analysis of the impairment to visibility and the impacts on soils and vegetation that would occur as a result of the proposed source [40 CFR 52.21; Chapter 17-2.500(5)(e), F.A.C.]. These analyses are to be conducted primarily for PSD Class I areas. Impacts due to general commercial, residential, industrial, and other growth associated with the source must also be addressed. These analyses are required for each pollutant emitted in significant amounts (Table 3-2).

3.2.7 GOOD ENGINEERING PRACTICE STACK HEIGHT

The 1977 CAA amendments require that the degree of emission limitation required for control of any pollutant not be affected by a stack height that exceeds GEP, or any other dispersion technique. On July 8, 1985, EPA promulgated final stack height regulations (EPA, 1985). Identical regulations have been adopted by FDER [Chapter 17-2.270, F.A.C.]. GEP stack height is defined as the highest of:

- 1. 65 meters (m); or
- 2. A height established by applying the formula:

Hg = H + 1.5L

where: Hg = GEP stack height,

-H = Height of the structure or nearby structure, and

L = Lesser dimension (height or projected width) of nearby structure(s); or

3. A height demonstrated by a fluid model or field study.

"Nearby" is defined as a distance up to five times the lesser of the height or width dimensions of a structure or terrain feature, but not greater than 0.8 kilometers (km). Although GEP stack height regulations require that the stack height used in modeling for determining compliance with AAQS and PSD increments not exceed the GEP stack height, the actual stack height may be greater.

3.3 NONATTAINMENT RULES

Based on the current nonattainment provisions (Chapter 17-2.510, F.A.C.), all major new facilities and modifications to existing major facilities located in a nonattainment area must undergo nonattainment review if the proposed pieces of equipment have the potential to emit 100 TPY or more of the nonattainment pollutant, or if the modification results in a significant net emission increase of the nonattainment pollutant.

For major facilities or major modifications that locate in an attainment or unclassifiable area, the nonattainment review procedures apply if the source or modification is located within the area of influence of a nonattainment area. The area of influence is defined as an area which is outside the boundary of a nonattainment area but within the locus of all points that are 50 km outside the boundary of the nonattainment area. Based on Chapter 17-2.510(2)(a)2.a, F.A.C., all VOC sources which are located within an area of influence are exempt from the provisions of new source review for nonattainment areas. Sources which emit other nonattainment pollutants and are located within the area of influence are subject to nonattainment review unless the maximum allowable emissions from the proposed source do not have a significant impact within the nonattainment area.

3.4 SOURCE APPLICABILITY

3.4.1 PSD REVIEW

3.4.1.1 Pollutant Applicability

FGTC's Compressor Station No. 15 is located in Taylor County, which has been designated by EPA and FDER as an attainment area for all criteria pollutants. Taylor County and surrounding counties are designated as PSD Class II areas for SO₂, PM(TSP), and NO₂. The site is located within 100 km of two PSD Class I areas. These Class I areas are the Bradwell Bay and the St. Mark's National Wildlife Refuge, which are approximately 85 km west and 36 km west of the compressor station location, respectively.

FGTC's existing Compressor Station No. 15 is considered to be an existing major facility because total potential emissions of any regulated pollutant from the existing facility exceed 250 TPY. As a result, PSD review is required for the proposed expansion for each pollutant for which the net increase in emissions exceeds the PSD significant emission rates presented in Table 3-2 (i.e., major modification).

Table 3-3 presents the maximum hourly and annual emissions from the proposed new compressor engine. As shown, potential NO_{x} emissions from the engine will exceed the PSD significant emission rate for this regulated pollutant. Therefore, the proposed expansion project is subject to PSD review for NO_{x} .

3.4.1.2 Ambient Monitoring

Based upon the increase in emissions from FGTC's proposed expansion at Compressor Station No. 15, presented in Table 3-3, a PSD preconstruction ambient monitoring analysis is required for NO_{x} . However, if the increase in impacts of a pollutant is less than the <u>de minimis</u> monitoring concentration, then an exemption from the preconstruction ambient monitoring requirement may be granted for that pollutant. In addition, if an acceptable ambient monitoring method for the pollutant has not been established by EPA, monitoring is not required.

Table 3-3. Maximum Potential Emissions Due to Proposed Engine at Compressor Station No. 15

Pollutant	Maximum Potential Emissions From Proposed Compressor Engine		Significant Emission Rate	PSD Review Applies?
	(lb/hr)	(TPY)	(TPY)	
Nitrogen Oxides	17.6	77.2	40	Yes
Carbon Monoxide	22.0	96.6	100	No
Votatile Organic Compounds (non-methane)	8.8	38.6	40	No
Particulate Matter (TSP)	0.13	0.57	25	No
Particulate Matter (PM10)	0.13	0.57	15	No
Sulfur Dioxide	0.75	3.27	40	No

The maximum annual impact associated with the potential NO_x emissions from the proposed IC engine is $0.83~\mu g/m^3$. The methodology used to predict this value is presented in Section 4.0, along with the impact analysis result. The <u>de minimis</u> concentration level for NO_x is $14~\mu g/m^3$ annual average. Since the maximum impact of NO_x is less than its <u>de minimis</u> concentration level, the proposed expansion project is exempted from the PSD preconstruction ambient monitoring requirement for NO_x .

3.4.1.3 GEP Stack Height Analysis

The GEP stack height regulations allow any stack to be at least 65 m (213 ft) high. The proposed stack for the new compressor engine will be 40 ft high (12.19 m) and, therefore, does not exceed the GEP stack height. The potential for downwash of the engines' emissions due to nearby structures is discussed in Section 4.0, Source Impact Analysis.

3.4.2 NONATTAINMENT REVIEW

FGTC's Compressor Station No. 15 is not located in any nonattainment area or in any area of influence of a nonattainment area. As a result, nonattainment review does not apply to the proposed expansion project.

4.0 SOURCE IMPACT ANALYSIS

4.1 ANALYSIS APPROACH AND ASSUMPTIONS

4.1.1 GENERAL MODELING APPROACH

The general modeling approach follows EPA and FDER modeling guidelines for determining compliance with AAQS and PSD increments. In general, when model predictions are used to determine compliance with AAQS and PSD increments, current EPA and FDER policies stipulate that the highest annual average concentration and highest, second-highest short-term (i.e., 24 hours or less) concentration can be compared to the applicable standard.

Model predictions for annual average NO_x concentrations were performed using the Industrial Source Complex Long-Term (ISCLT) model (Version 90008). A brief description of the Industrial Source Complex (ISC) model is given in Section 4.1.2.

4.1.2 MODEL SELECTION

The ISC dispersion model (EPA, 1988b) was used to evaluate the NO_{x} emissions from the proposed compressor engine. This model is contained in the EPA User's Network for Applied Modeling of Air Pollution (UNAMAP), Version 6 (EPA, 1988c). The ISC model was selected primarily for the following reasons:

- EPA and FDER have approved the general use of the model for air quality dispersion analysis because the model assumptions and methods are consistent with those in the Guideline on Air Quality Models (EPA, 1987b);
- 2. The ISC model is capable of predicting the impacts from stack, area, and volume sources that are spatially distributed over large areas and located in flat or gently rolling terrain; and
- 3. The results from the ISC model are appropriate for addressing compliance with AAQS and PSD increments.

The ISCLT model is an extension of the Air Quality Display Model (AQDM) and the Climatological Dispersion Model (CDM). The ISCLT model uses joint

frequencies of wind direction, windspeed, and atmospheric stability to calculate seasonal and/or annual average ground-level concentrations. Because the input wind directions are for 16 sectors, with each sector defined as 22.5 degrees, the model calculates concentrations by assuming that the pollutant is uniformly distributed in the horizontal plane within a 22.5-degree sector.

Major features of the ISCLT model are presented in Table 4-1.

Concentrations due to stack and volume sources are calculated by the model using the steady-state Gaussian plume equation for a continuous source.

The area source equation in the ISC model is based on the equation for a continuous and finite crosswind line source.

The ISC model has rural and urban options which affect the windspeed profile exponent law, dispersion rates, and mixing-height formulations used in calculating ground-level concentrations. The criteria used to determine when the rural or urban mode is appropriate are based on land use near the proposed plant's surroundings (Auer, 1978). If the land use is classified as heavy industrial, light-moderate industrial, commercial, or compact residential for more than 50 percent of the area within a 3-km radius circle centered on the proposed source, the urban option is selected. Otherwise, the rural option is used.

For modeling analyses that will undergo regulatory review, such as PSD permit applications, the following model features are recommended by EPA (1987a) and are referred to as the regulatory options in the ISC model:

- 1. Final plume rise at all receptor locations,
- 2. Stack-tip downwash,
- Buoyancy-induced dispersion,
- 4. Default windspeed profile coefficients for rural or urban option,
- 5. Default vertical potential temperature gradients, and

Table 4-1. Major Features of the ISCLT Model

ISCLT Model Features

- Polar or Cartesian coordinate systems for receptor locations
- Rural or one of three urban options that affect windspeed profile exponent, dispersion rates, and mixing height calculations
- Plume rise as a result of momentum and buoyancy as a function of downwind distance for stack emissions (Briggs)
- Procedures suggested by Huber and Snyder (1976), Huber (1977), Schulmann and Hanna (1986), and Schulmann and Scire (1980) for evaluating building downwash and wake effects
- Procedures suggested by Briggs for evaluating stack-tip downwash
- Separation of multiple point sources
- Consideration of the effects of gravitational settling and dry deposition on ambient particulate concentrations
- Capability of simulating point, line, volume, and area sources
- Capability to calculate dry deposition
- Variation of windspeed with height (windspeed-profile exponent law)
- Concentration estimates for annual average
- Terrain-adjustment procedures for elevated terrain including a terrain truncation algorithm
- Receptors located above local terrain (i.e., "flagpole" receptors)
- Consideration of time-dependent exponential decay of pollutants
- The method of Pasquill (1976) to account for buoyancy-induced dispersion
- A regulatory default option to set various model options and parameters to EPA recommended values (see text for regulatory options used)

Source: EPA, 1988a.

6. Reducing calculated SO₂ concentrations in urban areas by using a decay half-life of 4 hours (i.e., reduce the SO₂ concentration by 50 percent for every 4 hours of plume travel time).

In this analysis, the EPA regulatory options were used to address maximum impacts. Based on a review of the land use around the facility, the rural mode was selected based on the degree of residential, industrial, and commercial development within 3 km of the plant site.

4.1.3 METEOROLOGICAL DATA

EPA (1987b) recommends the use of 5 years of representative meteorological data for use in air quality modeling. The most recent, readily available 5-year period is preferred. The meteorological data may be collected either onsite or at the nearest National Weather Service (NWS) station.

Meteorological data used in the analysis were selected based on the recommendations of the FDER for the area in which the project is located. The data consisted of a 5-year record of surface weather observations (1982-1986) from the NWS station located at the Tallahassee Municipal Airport. The database consists of hourly surface data (i.e., windspeed, wind direction, etc.) that are recorded and then sent to the National Climatic Data Center (NCDC) in Asheville, North Carolina. The NCDC digitizes the recorded data onto magnetic tape for sale to the public.

The NWS station in Tallahassee, located approximately 75 km northwest of the site, is the nearest weather station that routinely records the hourly surface data required by the air dispersion models. Because of the proximity of the Tallahassee NWS station to the plant site, the Tallahassee meteorological data are considered to be representative of weather conditions occurring at FGTC's Compressor Station No. 15 site.

The ISCLT model requires annual/seasonal mixing height data and ambient air temperatures. The appropriate values for Tallahassee for input to the model were obtained from FDER. The Tallahassee hourly surface data were

input into the National Climatic Data Center (NCDC) stability array (STAR) preprocessor program. The STAR program converts the hourly data into the joint frequency of occurrence of wind direction, windspeed and atmospheric stability. The program can produce monthly, seasonal and annual stability arrays.

4.1.4 SOURCE DATA

The model parameters for the proposed compressor engine are given in Table 4-2. The location of the proposed engine stack within the FGTC's Compressor Station No. 15 site are presented in Figure 2-1.

4.1.5 RECEPTOR LOCATIONS

The locations of the receptors were based on identifying the areas in which maximum concentrations would be expected due to the proposed compressor engine. A description of the receptor locations for determining maximum predicted concentrations is as follows:

- 1. For the ISCLT model, 128 receptors were located on 16 radials centered on the proposed engine's stack location and at downwind distances of 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 750, 1,000, and 1,250 m.
- 2. To account for plant boundaries in all directions, 36 discrete receptors were located along 36 radials separated by 10-degree increments. These discrete receptors were located at the nearest plant boundary in each direction. The locations of the discrete receptors are given in Table 4-3.

Only those receptors located outside FGTC's Compressor Station No. 15 plant property were used in the determination of maximum impacts. After the screening modeling was completed, refined modeling was conducted using a receptor grid centered on the receptor which had the highest concentration from the screening analysis. The refined receptors were located at 50-m intervals along nine radials separated by 2-degree increments.

Table 4-2. Summary of Source Parameters Used in the Modeling Analysis

Modeled			<u>Operating Pa</u>	arameters_	
Source Number	<u>Stack Dim</u> Height	ensions (m) Diameter	Temperature (K)	Velocity (m/s)	Emissions (g/s) NO ₂
1	12.19	0.59	561	51.90	2.22

Table 4-3. Discrete Plant Boundary Receptors, Compressor Station No. 15*

Direction	Distance (km)	Direction	Distance (km)
10	0.030	190	0.204
20	0.030	200	0.216
30	0.030	210	0.235
40	0.034	220	0.207
50	0.040	230	0.174
60	0.049	240	0.152
70	0.073	250	0.140
80	0.146	260	0.134
90	0.271	270	0.131
100	0.274	280	0.134
110	0.290	290	0.073
120	0.314	300	0.049
130	0.354	310	0.040
140	0.326	320	0.034
150	0.290	330	0.030
160	0.265	340	0.030
170	0.256	350	0.030
180	0.253	360	0.030

^{*}Relative to the proposed stack located at (0,0) meters.

4.1.6 BUILDING DOWNWASH CONSIDERATIONS

Based on the dimensions of the compressor building that will house the proposed engine, the stack for the proposed engine will be less than GEP height. Also, based on the location of the proposed engine's exhaust stack in relation to the compressor building, the stack will be in the influence of the compressor building. Therefore, the potential for building downwash must be considered in the modeling analysis.

The procedures used for addressing the effects of building downwash are those recommended in the ISC Dispersion Model User's Guide. In the ISCLT model, the building height and width are input to the model, which are used to modify the dispersion parameters if the Huber-Snyder building downwash routine is used. The effective width used by the program is the diameter of a circle of equal area to the square of the width input to the model. If a specific width is to be modeled, then the value input to the model must be calculated according to the following formula:

$$M_{w} = \sqrt{\pi \left(\frac{H}{2}\right)^{2}} = 0.886 H_{w}$$

If the Schulman-Scire wake effects method is used, the user inputs the building height and projected width associated with each 22.5-degree wind sector. These building heights and projected widths are the same used for GEP stack height calculations.

A summary of actual and modeled building dimensions is presented in Table 4-4. Because of the proximity of the proposed stack to the compressor building (approximately 17 ft) and the low ratio of stack height to building height, potential downwash from this structure was assumed to occur. Because the stack-to-building height ratio is less than 1.5, the

Table 4-4. Building Dimensions used in the ISCLT Modeling, Compressor Station No. 15

	Actual Building Dimensions			Modeled Building Dimension	
Building	Height (m)	Length (m)	Width (m)	Height (m)	Projected Width* (m)
Compressor Building ^b	9.69	67.1	16.8	9.69	69.2

^{*}Maximum projected building width was assumed to be applicable in all directions. bDimensions are for expanded compressor building with proposed engine.

Schulman-Scire downwash method was used in the analysis. Therefore, directional specific building height and width for each 22.5-degree wind sector was determined for use as input values in this algorithm. In order to be conservative, the building diagonal was used as the input value for width in all 16 wind sectors.

4.2 MODEL RESULTS

A summary of the five-year maximum annual NO_2 impact concentrations predicted for the proposed compressor engine is presented in Table 4-5. As shown in this table, the maximum annual average NO_2 impact concentration is 0.83 $\mu g/m^3$. This value was obtained from additional refinement modeling of the three highest screening values (i.e., the maximum screening value and two other values that were within 10 percent of the maximum value). The refinement modeling was necessary because the separation distances between the receptors used in the screening modeling were greater than 100 m. The refined maximum concentration is predicted to occur in a direction of 360° and at a distance of 0.650 km from the proposed engine's stack. Since the predicted maximum NO_2 impact concentration is less than the significant impact level of 1 $\mu g/m^3$, further modeling of potential NO_2 impacts to the local surroundings of the compressor station is not required. The computer modeling printouts are provided in Appendix C.

The potential NO_x impacts with respect to the Bradwell Bay and the St. Mark's National Wildlife Refuge areas must also be considered because Compressor Station No. 15 is within 100 km of these two designated Class I areas. Since the modeling results showed that maximum impacts are below the significant level (i.e., less than $1 \, \mu \text{g/m}^3$) at the plant site, potential impacts on the Class I areas located 40 km or more away will be much less than $1 \, \mu \text{g/m}^3$, annual average concentration.

Table 4-5. Maximum Predicted Annual Average $\mathrm{NO_2}$ Concentrations Due to the Proposed Station 15 Compressor Engine for Comparison to Significant Impact Levels

Year Modeled	Maximum Concentration $(\mu g/m^3)$	Receptor Direction (°)		NO_2 Significant Impact Level $(\mu g/m^3)$
1982	0.75*	360	0.750	1
1983	0.72	360	0.750	
1984	0.83*	360	0.650	
1985	0.65	360	0.750	
1986	0.80*	180	0.700	

^{*}Refined concentration and location are identical to the screening concentration and location.

5.0 SOILS, VEGETATION, VISIBILITY AND ASSOCIATED POPULATION GROWTH IMPACTS

5.1 IMPACTS UPON SOILS AND VEGETATION

As demonstrated in Section 4.0, FGTC's proposed IC engine will have a very minimal impact upon ambient air quality in the vicinity of the Compressor Station No. 15 site. The maximum predicted impact of NO_x is below the EPA significance level, and emissions of VOC and CO are low. Since the predicted impacts are below significant concentration levels for the areas near the plant site, there is expected to be no significant impact to soils or vegetation in the Class I areas (i.e., Bradwell Bay and St. Mark's National Wildlife Refuge) caused by the proposed engine.

5.2 IMPACTS UPON VISIBILITY

The visibility analysis required by PSD regulations is directed primarily towards Class I areas. The Clean Air Act Amendments of 1977 provide for implementation of guidelines to prevent visibility impairment in mandatory PSD Class I areas. The guidelines are intended to protect the aesthetic quality of these pristine areas from reduction in visual range and atmospheric discoloration due to various pollutants. The nearest Class I area to the proposed facility is St. Mark's National Wildlife Refuge, located about 36 km from the facility. A level-1 visibility screening analysis was performed to determine the potential adverse visibility effects using the approach suggested in the Workbook for Plume Visual Impact Screening and Analysis (EPA, 1988e). The Level-1 screening analysis is designed to provide a conservative estimate of plume visual impacts (i.e., impacts higher than expected). The EPA model, VISCREEN, was used for this analysis. Model input and output results are presented in Table 5-1. As indicated, the maximum visual impacts caused by the proposed compressor engine do not exceed the screening criteria inside or outside the Class I area.

Table 5-1. Visual Effects Screening Analysis for Compressor Station No. 15

	Class I A	rea: ST. MARKS	NWR
	*** Lev	el-1 Screening	***
Input Emissions	for		
Particulates	0.13	LB /HR	
NOx (as NO2)	17.60	LB /HR	
Primary NO2	0.00	LB /HR	
Soot	0.00	LB /HR	
Primary SO4	0.00	LB /HR	

**** Default Particle Characteristics Assumed
Transport Scenario Specifications:

Background Ozone: 0.04 ppm
Background Visual Range: 25.00 km
Source-Observer Distance: 36.00 km
Min. Source-Class I Distance: 36.00 km
Max. Source-Class I Distance: 76.00 km
Plume-Source-Observer Angle: 11.25 degrees

Stability: 6

Wind Speed: 1.00 m/s

RESULTS

Asterisks (*) indicate plume impacts that exceed screening criteria Maximum Visual Impacts INSIDE Class I Area Screening Criteria ARE NOT Exceeded

					Del	ta E	Con	trast	
Backgrnd	Theta	Azi	Distance	Alpha	Crit	Plume	Crit	Plume	
SKY	10.	84.	36.0	84.	2.00	.138	.05	001	
SKY	140.	84.	36.0	84.	2.00	.045	.05	001	
TERRAIN	10.	84.	36.0	84.	2.00	.021	.05	.000	
TERRAIN	140.	84.	36.0	84.	2.00	.007	.05	.000	

Maximum Visual Impacts OUTSIDE Class I Area Screening Criteria ARE NOT Exceeded

					Del	ta E	Con	trast
							*	
Backgrnd	Theta	Azi	Distance	Alpha	Crit	Plume	Crit	Plume
		===			-			
SKY	10.	75.	34.8	94.	2.00	.139	.05	001
SKY	140.	75.	34.8	94.	2.00	. 045	. 05	001
TERRAIN	10.	55.	32.2	114.	2.00	.026	.05	.000
TERRAIN	140.	55.	32.2	114.	2.00	.009	. 05	.000

In regards to local visibility impacts, the proposed source will meet Florida visible emission requirement of 20 percent opacity [Chapter 17-2.610(2), F.A.C.]. During normal operations, the expected actual opacity from the IC engine will be much less than 20 percent.

5.3 IMPACTS DUE TO ASSOCIATED POPULATION GROWTH

There will be a small increase in temporary construction workers during construction; however, there will be no increase in permanent employment at Compressor Station No. 15 as a result of adding the new engine. As a result, there will be no permanent impacts on air quality caused by associated population growth.

6.0 BEST AVAILABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY EVALUATION

The potential emissions of NO_x from the proposed engine exceed the PSD significant emission rate of 40-TPY; therefore, BACT analysis for NO_x is required. The complete "top-down" BACT evaluation of NO_x includes a description of natural gas prime movers (Section 6.1), the identification of NO_x control technologies for reciprocating internal combustion engines (Section 6.2), the environmental, energy and economic impact evaluations of all technically feasible methods (Section 6.3), and the BACT analysis summary (Section 6.4).

6.1 NATURAL GAS PRIME MOVERS

The prime movers in the natural gas industry are generally heavy duty natural-gas-fired stationary internal combustion (IC) engines. These engines are applied to power compressors used for pipeline transmission, field collection of gas from wells, underground storage, and gas processing plant activities. Stationary IC engines used include both gas turbines and reciprocating IC engines.

The use of gas turbines at new natural gas pipeline compression stations has increased in recent years for a wide variety of reasons. Their primary benefit is that gas turbines typically emit fewer pollutants than reciprocating IC engines (i.e., on g/bhp-hr basis); however, gas turbines are generally 10 to 15 percent less fuel efficient, requiring higher specific heat input rate (i.e., on Btu/bhp/hr basis). Also, gas turbines have been found to use more fuel to produce the same compression efficiency.

A primary limitation of gas turbines is related to their inability to respond quickly and efficiently to varying load changes in service demand. This often precludes the use of turbines when supplemental compression is required at a given compressor station. Furthermore, the use of gas turbines in conjunction with reciprocating IC engines at existing compressor stations is hindered by operating limitations. The mechanical

operation of reciprocating IC engines generates a pulse vibration that can be transferred to adjacent equipment through physical connection to the pipeline. Gas turbines are sensitive to this type of vibration due to the destructive interference nature of this vibrational frequency; therefore, their operation and reliability can be adversely effected. Based on the above discussion, the use of gas turbines for FGTC's proposed expansion is not considered further.

The use of reciprocating IC engines has been more widespread in terms of the number of installations at natural gas pipeline compressor stations. A recent Gas Research Institute research study (GRI, 1990) reports that the number of such engines is five times that of gas turbines. Advantages of using reciprocating IC engines are primarily better fuel and compression efficiencies and their capability to operate at variable loads to meet the fluctuating consumptive demands.

Reciprocating IC engines used in gas pipeline transmission are generally integral engine-compressor units designed specifically for such application. The integral units provide greater gas-moving efficiency than separable compressors and offer greater operating flexibility than gas turbines. The engines are either two-cycle or four-cycle and are rated between 900 to 13,500 bhp. Old existing engines include four-cycle rich-burn or two- and four-cycle lean-burn. New engines installed in pipeline compressor stations are generally of lean-burn combustion design, which can achieve 80 percent or greater NO_x emission reduction compared to the older, rich-burn models.

6.2 <u>IDENTIFICATION OF NO CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES FOR RECIPROCATING IC ENGINES</u>

In this section, the control technologies capable of reducing NO_x emissions produced by reciprocating IC engines will be evaluated relative to their potential application as BACT for the proposed 4,000-bhp engine. This BACT analysis follows EPA's most recent draft guideline for the top-down approach (EPA, 1990a).

All potentially applicable control technologies for reciprocating IC engines are reviewed. The technologies can be separated into two major groups:

- Reducing pollutant emissions by process modification (i.e., "low-NO," engine design), and
- 2. Converting $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$ in the exhaust gas by add-on catalytic exhaust gas treatment devices.

The discussion of each potential NO_{x} control technology includes a description of the technology and the potential NO_{x} emission reduction, if the technology is concluded to be technically feasible.

6.2.1 TECHNOLOGIES INVOLVING ENGINE MODIFICATION

The concept of low- NO_x reciprocating IC engines is described in the NSPS Background Information Document (BID) for stationary reciprocating IC engines issued by EPA in July 1979 (EPA, 1979). Five types of engine or process modifications have been recognized by EPA as technically viable for reducing NO_x emissions from such engines:

- 1. Steam injection,
- 2. Air-to-fuel ratio changes,
- 3. Retarded ignition timing,
- 4. Derating power output, and
- 5. Exhaust gas recirculation.

Each of these is discussed in the following sections.

6.2.1.1 Steam Injection

The concept of designing a low-NO $_{\rm x}$ reciprocating IC engine focuses on controlling the combustion temperature, since thermal NO $_{\rm x}$ generally increases as combustion temperature increases. Favorable conditions for thermal oxidation of molecular nitrogen can be reduced by quenching the flame temperature with low quality steam or water. In this method, water or steam is injected at a location downstream from the combustion zone inside each firing cylinder.

However, water or steam injection to reduce NO_{x} formation does not work well at the high water injection rate required for reciprocating IC engines. Reciprocating IC engines are typically designed with high gas flow rates and operate at high excess air. Also, experiments with largebore engines have concluded that steam injection for controlling NO_{x} emissions can cause irreversible structural damage to the engine block (EPA, 1979). Thus, water or steam injection technology for reciprocating IC engines is considered technically infeasible. As a result, this method will not be discussed further.

Potential NO Emission Reduction

Not applicable for a technically infeasible process.

6.2.1.2 Air-to-Fuel Ratio Changes

The state-of-the-art concept in designing a low-NO_x reciprocating IC engine involves raising the air-to-fuel ratio to create a lean fuel mixture for the combustion process. The peak combustion temperature is lowered due to lower heat of combustion from burning less fuel, and by the high excess air, which tends to dilute the combustion gases. Such combustion results in less pollutants being emitted (i.e., a cleaner burning process). Cooper-Bessemer was the first original equipment manufacturer of reciprocating IC engines to incorporate this concept into engine design, which was appropriately named CleanBurn technology.

In general, the high air-to-fuel ratio design is referred to as lean-burn technology (LBT) for gas-fired reciprocating IC engines. The name is derived from the lean mixture of air-to-fuel in the main combustion cylinder. The air-to-fuel ratio can reach as high as 200 for some IC engine designs and operating conditions, according to one of the major reciprocating IC engine suppliers (Dresser-Rand, 1990).

LBT is primarily accomplished by increasing the stoichiometric air-to-fuel ratio over the conventional rich-burn engine. In general, small increases

in the air-to-fuel ratio (approximately 10 percent) cause a significant reduction in NO_x (approximately 30 percent) with less than 5 percent fuel penalty (EPA, 1979). On turbocharged engines, this can be accomplished by operating at high manifold pressures, which results in lower combustion temperatures and reduces NO_x formation. However, misfiring and erratic combustion can occur at very lean mixtures. The limits to which the air-to-fuel ratio can be increased are related to three major engine design factors:

- 1. The capability of the turbocharger to produce higher air manifold pressures for rated engine loading,
- The ability of the ignition system to light-off the leaner mixtures, and
- 3. The combustion chamber characteristics to maintain efficient combustion with leaner combustible gaseous mixtures.

With the current state-of-the-art engine and turbocharger designs coupled with advanced control technology, all of these three factors can be sufficiently achieved.

Potential NO Emission Reduction:

<u>Pollutant</u>	Uncontrolled Emission Level	Guaranteed Emission Level	Potential Percentage <u>Reduction</u>
NO.	11.0 g/bhp-hr*	1.5-2.0 g/bhp-hr	82-86%

Note: * Represents emission level for the baseline rich-burn engine.

6.2.1.3 Retarded Ignition Timing

Retarding the spark ignition timing of the reciprocating IC engine reduces the peak combustion pressure and temperature, thereby lowering thermal NO_x formation. The timing delay is measured in degrees in reference to the engine's crankshaft rotation. There are limits to how much the ignition timing can be retarded. In general, retard values range from 2 to 6

degrees, depending on engine, and NO_{x} reduction per degree of retard decreases for increasing levels of retard.

A study by the American Gas Association showed that the $\mathrm{NO_x}$ emissions from 10 different gas-fired naturally aspirated engine models ranged from a 7 percent reduction to a 2 percent increase per degree of ignition retardation (Urban and Springer, 1975). EPA's research (1979) reported the percent of $\mathrm{NO_x}$ reduction per degree of retard ranged from 0.6 to 8.5 for turbocharged engines. Overall, EPA's report concluded that retarding ignition timing reduced $\mathrm{NO_x}$ emissions 15 percent for gas-fired engines.

Potential NO Emission Reduction:

<u>Pollutant</u>	Uncontrolled Emission Level	Achievable Emission Level	Potential Percentage <u>Reduction</u>
NO _x	11.0 g/bhp-hr ^a	9.4 g/bhp-hr	15%
	2.0 g/bhp-hr ^b	1.7 g/bhp-hr	15%

Note: * Represents emission level for the baseline rich-burn engine.

6.2.1.4 Derating Power Output

A reciprocating IC engine can be derated by operating at less than full or 100-percent rated power. The effect of derating on an engine is to reduce peak combustion cylinder temperatures and pressures, thus lowering NO_x formation rates.

Reported NO_x reduction levels achieved by derating vary greatly for different reciprocating IC engines primarily as a result of air charging. Data compiled by EPA (1979) show that non-turbocharged engines achieve the largest reduction because derating has a greater effect on air-to-fuel ratios. In contrast, turbocharged engines operate at an already high air-to-fuel ratio and, therefore, very little NO_x reduction is achieved by derating. Normalized NO_x reduction from derating (i.e., percent of NO_x reduction per percent derate) is reported from 0.25 to 6.2 for normally

b Represents emission level for a typical lean-burn engine.

aspirated or blower-charged engines, and 0.01 to 2.6 for turbocharged engines. The EPA report showed that NO_x reduction ranged from 10 percent increase to 90 percent reduction, and averaged approximately 40 percent reduction at a derating of 75 percent of rated torque.

Potential NO Emission Reduction:

Pollutant	Uncontrolled Emission Level	Achievable Emission Level	Potential Percentage <u>Reduction</u>
NO _x	11.0 g/bhp-hr*	6.6 g/bhp-hr	40 %
	2.0 g/bhp-hr*	1.2 g/bhp-hr	40 %

Note: * Represents emission level for the baseline rich-burn engine.

6.2.1.5 Exhaust Gas Recirculation

Exhaust gas recirculation (EGR) reduces peak combustion temperatures in a reciprocating IC engine by replacing a fraction of the combustion air with exhaust gases. The recirculated exhaust gases serve to absorb heat without providing as much additional oxygen for the oxidation of nitrogen.

EGR can be accomplished by either introducing exhaust gases into the intake manifold or restricting the exit of gases from the cylinder by internal recirculation. Externally recirculated gases must be cooled prior to being reintroduced into the combustion cylinder in order to provide greater heat absorption per charge.

EGR is most effective in reducing NO_x emission from conventional rich-burn engines because its application can increase the air-to-fuel ratio. EPA's research (1979) reported a NO_x reduction of 34 percent for a gas-fired, blower-charged engine with 6 percent EGR rate. Excessive EGR rates can result in increased fuel consumption, high CO emissions, and misfiring (GRI, 1990).

b Represents emission level for a typical lean-burn engine.

EGR is not effective for a lean-burn engine with a high air intake flow rate since it cannot significantly further dilute the air/fuel mixture. In addition, no system has been developed to date for the complex control system needed to regulate the recirculation of the exhaust gases. As a result, EGR for lean-burn engines is not considered further.

Potential NO, Emission Reduction:

<u>Pollutant</u>	Uncontrolled Emission Level	Achievable <u>Emission Level</u>	Potential Percentage <u>Reduction</u>
NO _x	11.0 g/bhp-hrª	7.3 g/bhp-hr	34%
	2.0 g/bhp-hrb	Not applicable	

Note: * Represents emission level for the baseline rich-burn engine.

6.2.2 TECHNOLOGIES INVOLVING EXHAUST GAS TREATMENT

6.2.2.1 NO OUT Process

The $\mathrm{NO_xOUT}$ process originated from the initial research by the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) in 1976 on the use of urea to reduce $\mathrm{NO_x}$. EPRI licensed the proprietary process to Fuel Tech, Inc., for commercialization. In the $\mathrm{NO_xOUT}$ process, aqueous urea is injected into the flue gas stream ideally within a temperature range of 1,600°F to 1,900°F. In the presence of oxygen, the following reaction occurs:

$$CO(NH_2)_2 + 2NO + \frac{1}{2}O_2 --> 2N_2 + CO_2 + 2H_2O$$

The amount of urea required is most cost effective when the treatment rate is 0.5 to 2 moles of urea per mole of NO_{x} . In addition to the original EPRI urea patents, Fuel Tech claims to have a number of proprietary catalysts capable of expanding the effective temperature range of the reaction to between $1,000\,^{\circ}\mathrm{F}$ and $1,950\,^{\circ}\mathrm{F}$. Advantages of the system are:

 Low capital and operating costs due to utilization of urea injection, and

b Represents emission level for a typical lean-burn engine.

2. The proprietary catalysts used are nontoxic and nonhazardous, thus eliminating potential disposal problems.

Disadvantages of the system are:

- Formation of ammonia from excess urea treatment rates and/or improper use of reagent catalysts, and
- 2. SO_3 , if present, will react with ammonia created from the urea to form ammonium bisulfate, potentially plugging the cold end equipment downstream.

Commercial application of the $\mathrm{NO_{x}OUT}$ system is limited to three reported cases:

- 1. Trial demonstration on a 62.5-ton-per-hour (TPH) stoker-fired wood waste boiler with 60 to 65 percent NO reduction,
- 2. A 600-million-British-thermal-unit (MMBtu) CO boiler with 60 to 70 percent NO reduction, and
- 3. A 75-megawatt (MW) pulverized coal-fired boiler with 65 percent NO, reduction.

The NO OUT system has not been demonstrated on any stationary IC engine.

The NO_xOUT process is not technically feasible for the proposed lean-burn engine due to the high application temperature of 1,000°F to 1,950°F. The exhaust gas temperature of a lean-burn engine is typically between 495°F to 700°F. Raising the exhaust temperature to the required temperature level would essentially require the installation of an auxiliary heater. This would be economically prohibitive and would result in an increase in fuel consumption, an increase in the volume of gases that must be treated by the control system, and an increase in uncontrolled air emissions, including NO_x.

6.2.2.2 THERMAL DeNO.

Thermal $DeNO_x$ is Exxon Research and Engineering Company's patented process for NO_x reduction. The process is a high temperature selective

noncatalytic reduction (SNCR) of NO_x using ammonia as the reducing agent. Thermal $DeNO_x$ requires the exhaust gas temperature to be above 1,800°F. However, use of ammonia plus hydrogen lowers the temperature requirement to about 1,000°F. For some applications, this must be achieved by additional firing in the exhaust stream prior to ammonia injection.

The only known commercial applications of Thermal DeNO $_{\rm x}$ are on industrial boilers, large furnaces, and incinerators which consistently produce exhaust gas temperatures above 1,800°F. There are no known applications or experience in the reciprocating IC engine industry. Temperatures of 1,800°F require alloy materials of construction with very large size piping and components since the exhaust gas volume would be increased by several times. As with the NO $_{\rm x}$ OUT process, high capital, operating, and maintenance costs are expected due to material of construction specification, additional duct burner system, and fuel consumption. Uncontrolled emissions would increase because of the additional fuel burning.

Thus, the Thermal ${\rm DeNO}_{\rm x}$ process will not be considered for the proposed project because it is technically infeasible due to its high application temperature.

6.2.2.3 <u>Combination of Lean-Burn Engine and Nonselective Catalytic</u> Reduction

Certain manufacturers, such as Engelhard and Johnson-Matthey, market a non-selective catalytic reduction system (NSCR) for NO_x control on reciprocating IC engines. The NSCR process requires a low oxygen content in the exhaust gas stream and high temperature (700°F to 1,400°F) in order to be effective. Rich-burn engines typically achieve low oxygen levels of less than 4 percent and the required temperature and, therefore, can use the NSCR process. Lean-burn engines, on the other hand, have a high airto-fuel ratio, typical exhaust gas oxygen content of 12 to 15 percent, and the exhaust gas temperature is less than 700°F. As a result, NSCR is not a technically feasible add-on NO_x control device for FGTC's proposed

lean-burn engine. Therefore, the combination of a lean-burn engine and NSCR was not considered further in the BACT analysis.

6.2.2.4 Selective Catalytic Reduction with Ammonia Injection

The $\mathrm{NO_x}$ abatement technology for oil- and gas-fired combustion sources that is currently receiving considerable attention is the selective catalytic reduction (SCR) process with ammonia injection. Engelhard Corporation's discovery in 1957 that ammonia reacts selectively with $\mathrm{NO_x}$ in the presence of a catalyst and excess oxygen has led to the commercialization of SCR technology for industrial boilers of various sizes. The technology has been well developed and applied in Japan, especially for control of emissions from gas-, oil-, and coal-fired utility boilers. It has been applied domestically on combustion sources which generate large quantities of $\mathrm{NO_x}$, such as gas turbines.

SCR catalysts consist of two types: metal oxides and zeolite. In the metal oxides catalytic system, either vanadium or titanium is embedded into a ceramic matrix structure; the zeolite catalysts are ceramic molecular sieves extruded into modules of honeycomb shape. The all-ceramic zeolite catalysts are durable, and less susceptible to catalyst masking or poisoning than the noble metal/ceramic base catalysts. All catalysts exhibit advantages and disadvantages in terms of exhaust gas temperatures, ammonia/NO_x ratio, and optimum exhaust gas oxygen concentrations. A common disadvantage for all catalyst systems is the narrow window of temperature between 600°F and 900°F within which the NO_x reduction process takes place (Schorr, 1989; Steuler, 1990; Engelhard, 1990; Johnson-Matthey, 1990). Operating outside this temperature range results in catastrophic harm to the catalyst system. Chemical poisoning occurs at lower temperature conditions, while thermal degradation occurs at higher temperatures. Reactivity can only be restored through catalyst replacement.

Catalysts are subject to loss of activity over time. Since the catalyst is the most costly component of the SCR system, applications require servicing and cleaning of the catalyst surface every 2,000 to 3,000 hours of operation. The cleaning normally consists of blowing the catalyst surfaces with a compressed air gun or water jet. Most catalyst suppliers guarantee a catalyst life of 3 years, assuming certain operating conditions.

Technically, SCR is potentially applicable to further reduce the already low NO_x emissions (2 g/bhp-hr) from the proposed lean-burn reciprocating engine. SCR is capable of achieving NO_x reduction of 70 to 90 percent. For the proposed lean-burn engine, with already low NO_x concentration in the exhaust gases, vendors guarantee a removal rate of 80 percent. This would result in NO_x emissions of 0.4 g/bhp-hr. This represents an overall NO_x reduction of 96 percent compared to a rich-burn engine (at 11.0 g/bhp-hr).

6.2.2.5 Combination of Rich-Burn Engine and NSCR

Although the draft top-down BACT guideline document dated March 15, 1990, does not require an evaluation of processes that have inherently higher emission rates than the proposed process, the option of using a rich-burn engine equipped with NSCR also was considered in the BACT analysis.

Rich-burn reciprocating IC engines are defined as those which contain less than 4 percent oxygen concentration in the exhaust gas. Rich-burn engines typically are naturally aspirated engines with near stoichiometric air-to-fuel ratios and produce exhaust gas temperatures in the range of 1,200°F to 1,300°F.

NSCR technology uses a precious metal to catalyze the reactions of NO_{x} with CO and unburned hydrocarbon fuel in the exhaust gas streams to form nitrogen, carbon dioxide, and water vapor. A complete NSCR system includes exhaust gas oxygen sensor, exhaust gas monitor, hydrocarbon fuel injector, automatic air/fuel controller, and temperature sensor for automatic shutdown of the engine if overheating occurs. The engine exhaust entering the catalyst bed is maintained slightly fuel-rich to maximize NO_{x} reduction. The hydrocarbon fuel injector automatically controls an adjustable valve

that supplies a small amount of hydrocarbon fuel to compensate for the changes in engine load or ambient conditions.

Technically, NSCR is potentially applicable to reduce 90 percent or more of the NO_x emissions in the exhaust gas of the rich-burn reciprocating IC engine. In general, vendors guarantee a removal rate of 90 percent for an equivalent NO_x emission level of 1.1 g/bhp-hr (i.e., 10 percent of the rich-burn engine NO_x emission rate of 11.0 g/bhp-hr).

6.2.3 SUMMARY OF TECHNICALLY FEASIBLE NO, CONTROL METHODS

In summary, there are two basic alternatives for reduction of NO_x emissions from reciprocating IC engines: engine modification and add-on control technology. Presented in Table 6-1 is a summary of the technical evaluation of NO_x emission control methods applicable to reciprocating IC engines.

In the engine modification category, only the alternatives of air-to-fuel ratio change, retard ignition timing, derating power output, and EGR are applicable. EGR is applicable to rich-burn engines only. Steam/water injection and EGR (for lean-burn engines) are considered technically infeasible. In the add-on control technology category, only the lean-burn engine/SCR combination and rich-burn engine/NSCR combination are considered technically feasible. Other methods such as the NO_xOUT process, Thermal DeNO_x, and the lean-burn engine/NSCR combination are considered technically infeasible.

6.3 EVALUATION OF TECHNICALLY FEASIBLE NO. CONTROL METHODS

This section examines all of the technically feasible NO_{x} control methods identified in the previous discussion. First, all five remaining control alternatives are ranked according to their total removal effectiveness. Each alternative is then examined further in regards to technical issues, environmental effects, energy requirements and impacts, and economic impacts.

Table 6-1 Summary of Technical Feasibility of NOx Emission Controls for Reciprocating Engine

Control Technology	NOx Controlled Emission Rate	Technical Feasibility	Comments
Engine Modification Alternatives			
Steam Injection	Not Applicable	NO	Technically infeasible due to irreversible structural damage to engine block.
Air-to-fuel Ratio Change (or Lean-Burn Technology)	1.5-2.0 g/bhp-hr	YES	Lowest emission rate achievable by engine modification, at least 80% control efficiency.
Retarding Ignition Timing Rich-burn Engine	9.4 g/bhp-hr	YES	Engine timing retard between 2° and 6°;
Lean-burn Engine	1.7 g/bhp-hr	YES	average 15% NOx reduction.
Derating Power Output Rich-burn Engine Lean-burn Engine	6.6 g/bhp-hr 1.2 g/bhp-hr	YES YES	Average 40% NOx reduction at 25% of engine power derated for gas-fired engines.
Exhaust Gas Recirculation			
Rich-burn Engine Lean-burn Engine	7.3 g/bhp-hr Not Applicable	YES NO	Maximum 34% NOx reduction for standard engine. Ineffective for lean-burn engine.
Add-on Control Technology*			
NOxOUT Process	Not Applicable	NO	Technically infeasible (1000-1600°F), cost prohibitive for high temperature auxiliary equipment.
THERMAL DeNOx	Not Applicable	NO	Technically infeasible (above 1000°F), cost prohibitive for high temperature auxiliary equipment.
Lean-Burn Engine/NSCR	Not Applicable	NO	Technically infeasible for lean-burn engine, required <4% O2 conc. in the exhaust stream.
Lean-Burn Engine/SCR	0.4 g/bhp-hr	YES	Applicable to lean-burn engine with control efficiency of 80 percent.
Rich-Burn Engine/NSCR	1.1 g/bhp-hr	YES	Applicable to rich-burn engine only, required greater than 4% O2 conc. in exhaust gas stream. control efficiency of 90%.

^{*} Except for the rich-burn engine/NSCR option, all add-on control technologies are for lean-burn engines.

The discussion also reviews current permitting practices for applications similar to FGTC's proposed project. Presented in Table 6-2 is a summary of BACT determinations for NO_{x} emissions from gas-fired stationary reciprocating IC engines issued since 1985. The information was obtained from BACT/Lowest Achievable Emission Rate (LAER) Clearinghouse documents from 1985 to 1990, as well as from actual permit applications, issued permits, and personal conversations with personnel of air permitting agencies from various states.

6.3.1 RANKING OF FEASIBLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES

The top-down BACT approach requires the ranking of the NO_x emission control alternatives in terms of achievable emission level. The five options, in order of removal effectiveness, are as follows: first, the lean-burn engine equipped with SCR; second, the rich-burn engine equipped with NSCR; third, the lean-burn engine with derating; fourth, the lean-burn engine with retard ignition timing; and fifth, the lean-burn engine.

A baseline condition must be established for BACT ranking and economic analysis purposes. The baseline is defined as the uncontrolled rate of the process being reviewed. Therefore, the baseline condition for the control technologies involving stationary reciprocating IC engine would be a conventional rich-burn engine with a NO_x emission level of 11 g/bhp-hr (EPA, 1988d).

Presented in Table 6-3 is the BACT top-down hierarchy of technically feasible $\mathrm{NO_x}$ control technologies, their corresponding $\mathrm{NO_x}$ emission rates, and their control efficiencies calculated from the baseline emission level. Only control options that result in an $\mathrm{NO_x}$ emission rate lower than the proposed lean-burn engine (2.0 g/bhp-hr) are shown in the table. Only these options are evaluated further for BACT.

Table 6-2 Summary of BACT Determinations for NOx Emissions from Gas-fired Reciprocating Engines

		Permit	Date of		Engine Specific	cations						
Company Name	State	Number	Permit	Fuel*	Make	Model	Size	NOx Em	ission Limit	t**	Control Method	Comments
				Туре			(Bhp)	(g/Bhp-hr)	(lb/hr)	(ppm)	pm)	
Source Type: Natural Gas Compressor	Station											
Northern Natural Gas Company	IA		05-Sep-90	N.G.	Cooper		4,000	1.8	15.9		Lean burn engine	
same as above	IA		05-Sep-90	N.G.	Cooper		2,000	1.8	7.9		Lean burn engine	
National Fuel Gas Supply Corp.	PA	53-329-001	13-Jun-89	N.G.	Cooper	8015JHC2	3,000	2.0	13.2		Lean burn engine	•
Natural Gas Pipeline Company	IL	85100014	01-Mar-89	N.G.	Worthington	MLV-10	4,000	9.0	79.4		Design & oper, practice	
Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company	PA	53-339-002	21-Jun-88	N.G.	Cooper	GMVH-10C	2,250	3.0	14.9		Lean burn engine	
Consolidated Gas Transmission Corp.	PA	59-399-008	10-May-88	N.G.	Dresser-Rand	TCV-10	4,200	3.0	27.8		Lean burn engine	Air to fuel ratio is 4.5:1
ANR Production Company	VA	11064	03-Mar-88	N.G.	Caterpiller	G398TAA	600	1.2	1.6		Catalytic converter	N.G. Compressor Sta.
Southern Natural Gas Company	AL	406-0003-X	19-Feb-88	N.G.	Dresser-Rand	TCVD-10	4,160	2.2	20.2		Lean burn engine	Per. cond.: stack test
National Fuel Gas Supply Corp.	PA	53-399-002	01-Feb-88	N.G.	Dresser-Rand	412 KEV-1	2,850	3.0	18.8		Lean burn engine	
Shell California Production Co.	CA	147853	14-Oct-86	N.G.			600	3.2	4.2		SCR	70% reduction
Northern Natural Gas Company	IA		04-Feb-86	N.G.			4,000			250	Engine design	
Consolidated Gas Transmission Corp.	PA	18-399-009	11-Dec-85	N.G.	Cooper	12W-330-C2	6,000	3.0	39.7		Lean burn engine	
Shell California Production	CA	0041-6	02-Dec-85	N.G.	Caterpiller		225	0.805	0.4	50	NSCR, rich burn engine	90% reduction
Source Type: Power Cogeneration and	Other U	<u>ses</u>										
University of Illinois, Ch. Cir. Camp.	IL	applying	1990	N.G.	Cooper	LSVB-GDC	8,000	1.9	33.5		Lean burn engine	
Northeast Landfill Power	RI.	999-1014	12-Dec-89	L.G.	Waukesha	12V-AT25GL	2,400	1.3	6.6		Lean burn engine	High-speed (900 rpm)
Worcester Company	RI	988-990	27-Sep-89	N.G.	Superior	12-SGTB	2,000	1.5	6.6		Lean burn engine	High-speed (900 rpm)
City of Ventura	CA	1379-1	31-Dec-86	D.G.			773	2.0	3.4		Engine design	Digestive gas
State of Utah Natural Resources	υT		01-Sep-86	N.G.			4,630	3.5	36.0		Lean burn engine	Turbocharger ups fuel e
Tricounty Sun Energy Sheraton Hotel	CA	1369-1	07-Aug-86	N.G.	Caterpiller		200			50	NSCR, rich burn engine	90% reduction
Genstar Gas Recovery Systems	CA	30970	29-Aug-85	L.G.			2,650	1.5	8.8		Lean burn engine	Landfilled gas
same as above	CA	30893	29-Aug-85	LG.			1,100	1.5	3.6		Lean burn engine	Landfilled gas
Pacific Lighting Energy	CA	30336	01-Mar-85	N.G.	Superior	16-SGTA	2.650	1.5	8.8		Lean burn engine	High-speed (900 rpm)

^{*} N.G. = Natural Gas; L.G. = Landfilled Gas; and D.G. = Digestive Gas.

^{**} for a single engine.

Table 6-3 BACT "Top-Down" Hierarchy of NOx Control Technologies

BACT Ranking	Technology	Brake Emission Rate (g/bhp-hr)	Annual Emissions (TPY)	Total Emission Reduction (TPY)*	Total Control Efficiency (%)*
First	Lean-burn Engine with SCR	0.4	15.4	409.5	96%
Second	Rich-burn Engine with NSCR	1.1	42.5	382.4	90%
Third	Lean-burn Engine/Derating Power+	1.2	46.3	378.6	89%
Fourth	Lean-burn Engine/Retard Timing	1.7	65.7	359.2	85%
Fifth	Lean-burn Engine	2.0	77.2	347.7	82%
Baseline	Rich-burn Engine	11.0	424.9		

^{*} Total emission reduction and total control efficiency are calculated from baseline emission level.

⁺ The range of control effectiveness is dependent on the percent of engine's rated torque. The calculated values are based on 40% NOx reduction at 25% derated power (or at 75% rated torque).

6.3.2 ANALYSIS OF LEAN-BURN ENGINE WITH SCR

Technical Issues

As the most effective $\mathrm{NO_x}$ abatement process in terms of removal efficiency, SCR has been a more frequently attempted technology for state-of-the-art reciprocating IC engines. However, the reliability of SCR's performance on reciprocating IC engines has not been consistently demonstrated. Data on sustained $\mathrm{NO_x}$ reduction performance for reciprocating IC engines are very limited.

Technical issues involved in the use of SCR are the narrow operating temperature range and the possible damage to the catalyst and downstream equipment. A stack gas reheat system would be required to heat the exhaust gases up to the operating temperature of the SCR (see further discussion under Energy Requirements and Impacts). This further complicates an already complicated system consisting of SCR components and ammonia handling system. The use of ammonia as a reactant for the NO_{x} reduction reactions may allow excess ammonia to form ammonium bisulfate compounds under irregular operating conditions. These compounds can serve as catalyst poisoning agents and also cause damage to metal ductwork downstream. Thus, SCR application requires a strict maintenance service schedule. It is expected that the SCR system may require manual cleaning every 2,000 to 2,500 hours of operation (Steuler, 1990). Cleaning consists of blowing the catalyst surfaces with a compressed air gun and vacuuming any soot.

In California, the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD, 1984) reported SCR demonstration tests on seven reciprocating engines. The report indicated that only one SCR system was able to complete the 4,000 hours of continuous testing operation; the other six engine/SCR units failed because of various reasons attributed to either poor catalyst performance and/or problematic ammonia injection operation. A recent survey report by the Gas Research Institute on SCR (GRI, 1990) states:

A total of 13 SCR units are currently installed on reciprocating engines. Only one unit involves gas transmission. A number of operational problems impacting SCR

performance and engine operation have been documented. At least three SCR units applied to reciprocating engines are scheduled to be replaced with alternative controls...

In addition, a review of the BACT determinations made to date on gas-fired reciprocating IC engines (Table 6-2) reveals that SCR has never been applied specifically to any large-bore (i.e., greater than 1,000 bhp) and low-speed (i.e., 300 rpm) lean-burn engine due to the already low NO_x emission rate. The economic consideration is also a significant factor for not using SCR in such applications.

Application of SCR on gas-fired engines has been limited to small-bore, high-speed engines typically less than 1,000 bhp, at 900 rpm or greater (i.e., ANR Production Company's 600-bhp engine, and Shell California Production's 600-bhp engine; see Table 6-2). The only SCR application to a large-bore reciprocating IC engine was reported for Pfizer, Inc.'s cogeneration facility in Massachusetts. This project was for a 6,710-bhp engine with estimated uncontrolled emission rates between 5 and 12 g/bhp-hr for dual-fuel (94 percent natural gas, 6 percent diesel) and diesel fuel, respectively (see Appendix A). However, Pfizer's engine is different than FGTC's proposed engine in both fuel-type and application. Furthermore, the reliability of Pfizer's operation is still in question pending its performance verification based on upcoming stack testing.

The most recent PSD permit for a reciprocating IC engine used in natural gas compression application was issued on September 5, 1990. This permit was issued to Northern Natural Gas Company for a gas-fired 4,000-bhp gas compressor engine in Iowa. It was determined by the permitting agency, the Iowa Department of Natural Resources (IDNR), that "application of SCR systems to the engine as applied for would represent a transfer of technology since none are known to be operational." They further found such "technology transfer to be unreliable at best with a high percentage of down time likely." Therefore, SCR was rejected as BACT by IDNR due to its uncertain reliability.

Environmental Effects

The add-on SCR technology for NO_x control will pose other potential adverse environmental impacts such as accidental spills and emissions of ammonia, and solid waste disposal for the non-inert spent catalyst. These issues are briefly described in the following discussion.

The SCR system requires the use of ammonia as reagent to convert NO, to nitrogen gas and water. The main environmental impact centers around the issue of delivery, handling, and storage of ammonia, which poses inherent safety and health risks in the event of accidental releases. In proposing NO, abatement regulations for stationary gas turbines, California's South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) has performed a risk assessment study on spill handling and storage of ammonia. The study has concluded that this aspect of SCR operation could realistically present serious consequences, and recommended further consideration of potential impacts and mitigation measures (SCAQMD, 1979). The current practice is to use an aqueous ammonia system (normally between 25 to 29 percent ammonia concentration) at installations located in populated areas. However, such practice increases the complexity, size, and the cost of the ammonia system. Furthermore, ammonia slippage is a normal occurrence during operation of SCR control equipment. NO, abatement system suppliers generally report an ammonia slippage level of 10 ppm.

Spent catalysts of the metal oxides pellet-type system must be disposed of properly. Ceramic-based honeycomb-shaped catalysts can be landfilled due to the inert intrinsic properties of ceramic materials.

Energy Requirements and Impacts

The add-on technology of SCR imposes further energy penalties. The additional energy requirements are caused by power loss due to additional back pressure from the SCR, electrical requirements for heating the ammonia solution and operating the injection system, and additional energy necessary for reheating the proposed engine exhaust gases from 550°F up to

the SCR operating range of 700°F. [SCR manufacturers specify a typical operating temperature window between 600°F to 900°F (Engelhard, 1990; and Steuler, 1990)]. A minimum of 3.47 MMBtu/hr is required for stack gas reheating or 30,397 MMBtu/yr. However, using the lean-burn engine will result in better fuel economy than the baseline rich-burn engine. The heat input saving amounts to 4.8 MMBtu/hr or 42,048 MMBtu/yr. Thus, the net fuel credit is 11,651 MMBtu/yr. Also, an addition of 8.6 megawatt-hour is required for the operation of the ammonia vaporizer and injection system.

Economic Analysis

This section presents the total capital investment (TCI) and the annualized cost (AC) of the SCR NO_{x} control system for the proposed lean-burn engine. The analysis uses the cost of the conventional rich-burn engine as the baseline cost. The detailed economic analysis procedure is given in Appendix B.

Capital and annualized cost estimates were prepared for two SCR systems:

- 1. Kleenaire system from Nitrogen Nergas Corporation, which uses the metal oxide-based catalyst and can achieve an 80 percent NO_x reduction on the proposed lean-burn engine; and
- 2. Engelhard NO_x abatement system which uses the all-ceramic honeycomb catalyst and can achieve an NO_x reduction efficiency of 80 percent on the proposed lean-burn engine.

Capital costs for both systems are tabulated in Table 6-4. In the purchased equipment costs for both SCR systems, the differential engine cost of \$100,000 (i.e., Item la in Table 6-4) is added to account for the extra cost of the lean-burn engine. The vendor's equipment quote for the Kleenaire system is \$350,000. The direct capital cost of the system is calculated to be \$965,367, and the indirect capital cost is calculated to be \$532,692. The total capital investment is \$1,498,059. The basic equipment cost for the Engelhard System is \$384,780. Direct capital cost is \$1,040,650 and the indirect capital cost is \$567,990 for a total capital investment of \$1,608,640.

Table 6-4 Capital Cost Estimates for SCR Systems for NOx Emission Control

		Costs		
Cost items	Cost Factors	Kleenaire System+	Engelhard System++	
DIRECT CAPITAL COSTS (DCC):			·	
(1) Purchased Equipment				
(a) Differential Engine Cost	See Note 1	\$100,000	\$100,000	
(b) SCR Basic Equipment	Vendor Quote	\$350,000	\$384,780	
(c) Ammonia System	See Note 2	\$20,000	\$20,000	
(d) Auxiliary Equipment (Reheat)*	0.10 x (1b)	\$35,000	\$38,478	
(e) Emission Monitoring	0.15 x (1b)	\$52,500	\$57,717	
(f) Structure Support	0.10 x (1a-1e)	\$ 55,750	\$60,098	
(g) Instrumentation & controls1	0.10 x (1a-1e)	\$55,750	\$60,098	
(h) Freight¹	0.05 x (1a-1g)	\$33,450	\$36,059	
(i) Sales Tax (Florida)	0.06 x (1a-1g)	\$40,140	\$43,270	
(j) Subtotal	(1a-1i)	\$742,590	\$800,500	
(2) Direct Installation ¹	0.30 x (1j)	\$222,777	\$240,150	
Total DCC:	(1) + (2)	\$965,367	\$1,040,650	
NDIRECT CAPITAL COSTS (ICC):				
(3) Indirect Installation				
(a) Engineering & Supervision ¹	0.10 x (DCC)	\$96,537	\$104,065	
(b) Construction & Field Expenses ¹	0.05 x (DCC)	\$48,268	\$52,033	
(c) Contruction Contractor Fee ¹	0.10 x (DCC)	\$96,537	\$104,065	
(d) Contigencies ²	0.25 x (DCC)	\$241,342	\$260,163	
(4) Other Indirect Costs				
(a) Startup & Testing ¹	0.03 x (DCC)	\$28,961	\$31,220	
(b) Working Capital	30-day DOC**	\$21,047	\$16,444	
Total ICC:	(3) + (4)	\$532,692	\$567,990	
TOTAL CAPITAL INVESTMENT (TCI):	DCC + ICC	\$1,498,059	\$1,608,640	

⁺ Represents a typical first generation catalyst which is metal oxides embeded in ceramic matrix.

Note 1: Differential engine cost is calculated from vendor's price quotation for a lean-burn engine minus vendor's price quotation for the rich-burn engine being used as baseline.

Note 2: Ammonia vendor's quotation from LaRoche Industries, Inc. for a 3,000-gallon anhydrous ammonia tank, an ammonia evaporator, and a dual-valve pressure regulator.

⁺⁺ Represents second generation all ceramic catalyst extruded into honeycomb-shape.

Duct burner system to reheat the exhaust gas from 550°F up to 700°F.

^{** 30} days of direct operating costs, calculated from the annualized cost Table 6-5 (i.e., total DOC/12 months).

Based on catalytic incinerators, from OAQPS Control Cost Manual, Fourth Edition.

Guaranteed efficiency and operation for the installation of SCR on large-bore and low-speed lean-burn engine. Such application is not considered as well-proven technology.

The annualized costs for these two NO_{x} abatement systems are given in Table 6-5. The calculation basis for cost items are also given in the table. The annualized costs are \$665,769 and \$635,212 for the Kleenaire system and the Engelhard system, respectively. Current application trend favors the use of the all-ceramic system due to its advantages of higher removal rates and more reliable catalyst component. In general, the all-ceramic catalyst system is considered the better system since it is less susceptible to catalyst damage and results in less operating costs. Therefore, subsequent economic cost effectiveness analysis uses the cost values computed for the Engelhard system.

6.3.3 ANALYSIS OF RICH-BURN ENGINE WITH NSCR

Technical Issues

Rich-burn engines operate at near stoichiometric air-to-fuel ratios and, therefore, generate high engine cylinder temperatures in the range of 1,200°F to 1,300°F. Engine manufacturers have found that such high temperatures do not allow loading the engine very high. For greater power output, engine manufacturers have found that engine modifications (i.e., turbocharged engines which can produce more power enhancements with lower emission levels) are the better choice than building larger engine blocks. In the current U.S. market, rich-burn engines over 2,000 bhp are not standard off-the-shelf items; however, a 4,000-bhp engine can be obtained by special order.

All known rich-burn engine/NSCR combination applications are found for small engines of approximately 1,000 bhp or less (i.e., a 600-bhp engine for ANR Production Company, Virginia; a 225-bhp engine for Shell California Production, California; and a 200-bhp engine for Tricounty Sheraton Hotel, California; see Table 6-2).

A significant technical consideration in the use of the rich-burn engine with NSCR is the NSCR's effect upon maintenance, operation, and reliability of the overall system. Any add-on technology requires substantially more maintenance, controls, monitors, and operating personnel compared to a

Table 6-5 Annualized Cost Estimates for SCR Systems for NOx Emission Control

		Costs		
Cost Items	Basis	Kleenair System+	Engelhard System++	
DIRECT OPERATING COSTS (DOC):				
(1) Operating Labor				
Operator ²	5,840 hr/yr @ \$20/hr	\$116,800	\$116,800	
Supervisor ¹	15% of operator cost	\$17,520	\$17,520	
(2) Maintenance ²	5% of direct capital cost	\$48,268	\$52,033	
(3) Replacement Parts		·		
(include freight & tax)				
(a) Catalyst	(Part+Labor)xCRF; See Note 1	\$80,661	\$27,102	
(b) Guard Bed	(Part+Labor)xCRF; See Note 2	\$5,436	\$0	
(4) Utilities				
(a) Electricity	0.30 MW-hr/ton NH3; \$85/MW-hr	\$729	\$729	
(b) Fuel for stack reheat	\$2.06/MMBtu; See Note 3	\$62,618	\$62,618	
(c) Fuel credit	\$2.06/MMBtu; See Note 4	-\$86,619	-\$86,619	
(5) Ammonia	0.37 lb NH3/lb NOx; \$250/ton NH3	\$7,146	\$7,146	
Total DOC		\$252,559	\$197,329	
INDIRECT OPERATING COSTS (IOC)	:			
(7) Overhead¹	60% of operating labor & maintenance	\$109,553	\$111,812	
(8) Property Taxes ¹	1% of total capital investment	\$14,981	\$16,086	
(9) Insurance ¹	1% of total capital investment	\$14,981	\$16,086	
(10) Administration1	2% of total capital investment	\$29,961	\$32,173	
Total IOC		\$169,476	\$176,157	
CAPITAL RECOVERY COST (CRC)	CRF of 0.1627 times TCI	\$243,734	\$261,726	
ANNUALIZED COST (AC):	DOC + IOC + CRC	\$665,769	\$635,212	

- + Represents a typical first generation catalyst which is metal oxides embeded in ceramic matrix.
- ++ Represents second generation all ceramic catalyst extruded in honeycomb shape.
- Based on catalytic incinerators, from OAQPS Control Cost Manual, Fourth Edition.
- Based on no existing installation of SCR on large-bore and low-speed lean-burn engine: 5.33 hours per shift are devoted to the emission control system operation and maintenance.
- Note 1: Catalyst replacement part cost for the Kleenair System is \$180,000 with a service life of 3 years.

 Catalyst replacement part cost for the Engelhard system is \$60,000 with a service life of 3 years.

 Combined freight and tax factor is 11%; and CRF for a 3-year recovery period and 10% interest rate is 0.4021.

 Replacement labor cost is \$50 per hour for two 8-hour days. Total cost includes both material and labor costs.
- Note 2: The Kleenair system includes a guard bed which works as a pre-filter upstream from the metal oxides catalyst; the replacement part cost is \$12,000 with an estimated service life of 3 years. Required labor is for 4 hours.
- Note 3: Assumed heat transfer efficiency of 80%, heat input required to raise exhaust temperature to 700°F is: Q = (71,100 lb/hr)(0.26 Btu/lb°F for air)(700°F-550°F)/(0.8) = 3.47 MMBtu/hr.

 Annual heat input equals 3.47 MMBtu/hr times 8,760 hr/yr = 30,397 MMBtu/yr.
- Note 4: Heat input for lean-burn engine is calculated from 6,800 Btu/bhp-hr times 4,000 bhp = 27.2 MMBtu/hr.

 Heat input for rich-burn, naturally aspirated engine is calculated from 8,000 Btu/bhp-hr times 4,000 bhp = 32.0 MMBtu/hr.

 Therefore, using a better fuel efficient engine results in saving an annual heat input of:

 (32.0 27.2) MMBtu/hr x 8,760 hr/yr = 42,048 MMBtu/yr.

system without add-on technology (i.e., lean-burn engine). The system will have a much greater frequency of downtime and malfunctioning such that the system will have far less operating reliability. Reliability is an extremely important consideration for a compressor station engine, which must be operated nearly continuously throughout the year and usually is located in a remote area.

Environmental Effects

Catalyst disposal may be required when using NSCR, depending on the catalyst type. Most vendors guarantee a service life of 3 years for the catalyst system. Potential toxic air impacts are expected to be minimal for the rich-burn engine/NSCR option since no toxic or hazardous reagents are required. Rich-burn/NSCR technology generally produces lower CO and VOC emissions as compared to a lean-burn engine.

Energy Requirements and Impacts

The NSCR converter does not require any additional fuel other than a small amount of hydrocarbon fuel used for injection into the exhaust gas mixture to ensure fuel rich conditions. However, the fuel economy of the richburn, naturally aspirated engine is approximately 8,000 Btu/bhp-hr (EPA, 1979) compared to the 6,800 Btu/bhp-hr for the proposed lean-burn engine. For a 4,000-bhp output, an additional 4.8 MMBtu/hr heat input is required, or approximately 42,048 MMBtu per year for an annual cost of \$86,619.

Economic Analysis

Capital and annualized cost estimates were prepared for a NSCR converter. Cost of the NSCR converter was provided by Johnson-Matthey as \$80,000. The NSCR can achieve 90 percent NO_x reduction. The resulting NO_x emission rate is 1.1 g/bhp-hr.

The total capital investment cost for a NSCR converter designed for a 4,000-bhp rich-burn engine is tabulated in Table 6-6. The direct capital cost is calculated to be \$159,307, and the indirect capital cost is calculated to be \$93,682. The total capital investment is \$252,989. Also

Table 6-6 Capital Cost Estimates for Lean-burn Engine and Rich-burn Engine/NSCR System

			Costs	
Cost Items	Cost Factors	Lean-Burn Engine	Johnson-Matthey NSCR System	
DIRECT CAPITAL COSTS (DCC):				
(1) Purchased Equipment				
(a) Differential Engine Cost	See Note 1	\$100,000	\$0	
(b) NSCR Converter	Vendor Quote	\$0	\$80,000	
(c) Emission Monitoring	0.15 x (1b)	\$0	\$12,000	
(d) Structural Support	0.10 x (1b-1c)	\$0	\$9,200	
(e) Instrumentation ¹	0.10 x (1a-1c)	\$10,000	\$9,200	
(f) Freight ¹	0.05 x (1a-1e)	\$5,500	\$5,520	
(g) Sales Tax (Florida)	0.06 x (1a-1e)	\$6,600	\$6,624	
(h) Subtotal	(1a-1g)	\$122,100	\$122,544	
(2) Direct Installation1	0.30 x (1h)	\$36,630	\$36,763	
Total DCC:	(1) + (2)	\$158,730	\$159,307	
INDIRECT CAPITAL COSTS (ICC):				
(3) Indirect Installation				
(a) Engineering & Supervision ¹	0.10 x (DCC)	\$15,873	\$15,931	
(b) Construction & Field Expenses ¹	0.05 x (DCC)	\$7,937	\$7,965	
(c) Contruction Contractor Fee ¹	0.10 x (DCC)	\$15,873	\$15,931	
(d) Contigencies	See Note 2	\$23,810	\$39,827	
(4) Other Indirect Costs				
(a) Startup & Testing ¹	0.03 x (DCC)	\$4,762	\$4,779	
(b) Working Capital	30-day DOC*	\$0	\$9,249	
Total ICC:	(3) + (4)	\$68,255	\$93,682	
TOTAL CAPITAL INVESTMENT (TCI):	DCC + ICC	\$226,985	\$252,989	

^{* 30} days of direct operating costs, calculated from the annualized cost Table 6-7 (i.e., total DOC/12 months).

Based on catalytic incinerators, from OAQPS Control Cost Manual, Fourth Edition.

Note 1: Differential engine cost is calculated from vendor's price quotation for a lean-burn engine minus vendor's price quotation for the rich-burn engine being designated as baseline.

Note 2: For lean-burn engine, 15 percent of DCC is used for a guaranteed efficiency and operation.

For NSCR application, 25 percent of DCC is used for contigency based on no existing installtion of NSCR on large-bore rich-burn engine.

shown in the table is the differential cost of the lean-burn engine over that of the baseline rich-burn engine.

The annualized cost for the NSCR converter is given in Table 6-7. The calculation basis for cost items are also given in the table. The resulting annualized cost is \$207,348. In comparison, the annualized differential cost of the lean-burn engine itself is -\$27,910. As computed from Table 6-7, this negative value of the annualized cost for the lean-burn engine resulted from the fuel credit generated by using the proposed fuel-efficient Cooper-Bessemer engine.

6.3.4 ANALYSIS OF LEAN-BURN ENGINE WITH DERATING POWER OUTPUT Technical Issues

Derating power output does not require additional equipment. Derating is accomplished by restricting the engine torque to a level below its normal operating design rate. This is done by making adjustment to the throttle valve setting in order to change the power output. Although a derated engine produces less NO_x emissions, such practice will also reduce the overall engine's efficiency and shorten its service life as much as 25 percent (Cooper Industries, Inc., 1990). In addition, continuous derating operation would require a bigger, more expensive engine to meet the overall power requirement.

Derating power output is not considered BACT for the proposed lean-burn engine because of potential engine reliability problems, shortened engine life, and increased emissions of hydrocarbons.

Environmental Effects

Application of this technology would result in lower NO_x emissions and no change in carbon monoxide (CO) emissions, but emissions of hydrocarbons would increase. For instance, Cooper Industries, Inc., has reported a 38.6 TPY emissions reduction of NO_x and no change in CO, with a corresponding emissions increase of 11.6 TPY total hydrocarbons based on a 30 percent derating of the proposed 4,000 lean-burn engine.

Table 6-7 Differential Annualized Cost Estimates for Lean-Burn Engine and Rich-Burn/NSCR System

		c	osts	
Cost Items	Basis	Lean-Burn Engine	Johnson-Matthe NSCR System	
DIRECT OPERATING COSTS (DOC):				
(1) Operating Labor				
Operator ²	\$20/hr (2,920 hr/yr for NSCR)	\$0	\$58,400	
Supervisor ¹	15% of operator cost	\$0	\$8,760	
(2) Maintenance ²	5% of direct capital cost	\$7,937	\$7,965	
(3) Replacement Parts				
(include freight & tax)				
Catalyst	(Part+Labor)xCRF; See Note 1	\$0	\$35,867	
(4) Fuel				
Fuel credit (gas)	\$2.06/MMBtu; See Note 2	-\$86,619	\$0	
Total DOC		-\$78,682	\$110,992	
INDIRECT OPERATING COSTS (IOC)	:			
(7) Overhead¹	60% of operating labor & maintenance	\$4,762	\$45,075	
(8) Property Taxes ¹	1% of total capital investment	\$2,270	\$2,530	
(9) Insurance ¹	1% of total capital investment	\$2,270	\$2,530	
(10) Administration ¹	2% of total capital investment	\$4,540	\$5,060	
Total IOC		\$13,842	\$55,195	
CAPITAL RECOVERY COST (CRC)	CRF of 0.1627 times TCI	\$36,930	\$41,161	
ANNUALIZED COST (AC):	DOC + IOC + CRC	-\$27.910	\$207,348	

Based on catalytic incinerators, from OAQPS Control Cost Manual, Fourth Edition.

Note 2: Heat input for lean-burn engine is calculated from 6,800 Btu/bhp-hr times 4,000 bhp = 27.2 MMBtu/hr. Heat input for rich-burn engine is calculated from 8,000 Btu/bhp-hr times 4,000 bhp = 32.0 MMBtu/hr. Therefore, using a better fuel efficient engine results in saving an annual heat input of: (32.0 - 27.2) MMBtu/hr x 8,760 hr/yr = 42,048 MMBtu/yr.

Based on no existing installation of NSCR on high-load rich-burn engine: 2.667 hours per shift are devoted to the emission control system operation and maintenance.

Note 1: For NSCR, the catalyst accounts for 95% of the basic cost and has a service life of 3 year; therefore, catalyst replacement part cost is \$80,000 times 0.95 plus 11% for the combined freight and tax cost.

Replacement labor cost is \$50 per hour for one 8-hour day. Total cost includes both material and labor costs. Thus, the annualized catalyst replacement cost is equal to the total replacement cost multiplied by the CRF for a 3-year recovery period and an interest rate of 10%. CRF = 0.4021.

Energy Requirements and Impacts

In general, derating an engine will result in less fuel economy. EPA (1979) reported a fuel penalty of 8 percent based on derating power output on a dual-fuel engine by 25 percent. Manufacturers of gas-fired reciprocating engines state that approximately a 9 percent increase in fuel consumption will occur for a derating of 30 percent (Cooper Industries, Inc., 1990).

Economic Analysis

If derating is employed, a larger engine would be necessary to meet FGTC's power requirement of 4,000 bhp at Compressor Station No. 15. This will increase both the capital cost and annual operating cost for the engine. A detailed economic analysis was not performed for this technology.

6.3.5 ANALYSIS OF LEAN-BURN ENGINE WITH RETARD IGNITION TIMING Technical Issues

EPA's research (1979) has reported that retard ignition timing is only effective for dual-fuel and diesel fuel burning engines. Retarding the spark for lean-burn engines will result in misfiring because spark-ignited engines are designed to be sensitive to any small deviation in timing changes. The summary of previous BACT determinations (Appendix A) shows that all ignition timing changes were exclusively applied to diesel burning reciprocating IC engines.

Ignition timing retardation increases exhaust temperatures above the engine's normal operating temperature. The increased engine operating temperature will result in additional maintenance, shorter engine life, and higher initial cost for high temperature exhaust components. Thus, retarding ignition timing for a lean-burn engine is not considered further.

Environmental Effects

Retarding ignition timing can increase the emission level of CO and VOC. This is due to less efficient combustion as the engine timing is changed

from the optimal setting. In the event of misfiring, unburned hydrocarbons and CO emissions may increase significantly.

Energy Requirements and Impacts

Not performed -- inapplicable technology.

Economic Analysis

Not performed--inapplicable technology. The expected capital cost is equal to the cost of the lean-burn engine since the low NO_x technology differs only in terms of operating practice.

6.3.6 ANALYSIS OF LEAN-BURN ENGINE

Technical Issues

The proposed turbocharged reciprocating IC engine will operate according to the manufacturer's specified operating parameters listed in Table 6-8. The engine's state-of-the-art design includes small pre-ignition chambers in which a rich fuel mixture is spark-ignited. The hot gases then enter the main combustion chambers and create spontaneous combustion of the lean fuel mixture. As a result, the overall combustion process is conducted under very lean fuel conditions. Operations on the lean side of the air-to-fuel ratio allow the proposed engine to obtain peak fuel economy.

In general, NO_x formation is directly proportional to the combustion temperature and residence time of the combustion gases (EPA, 1988d). The high mass flow rate at full-load, as indicated by the 71,100 pounds per hour of exhaust mass flow rate, reduces the residence time of the combustion gases compared to a rich-burn engine, which operates at an airto-fuel ratio near unity. High mass flow rate also means the engine operates below the peak temperature region for thermal NO_x formation. The exhaust temperature for the proposed engine is 550°F, which is lower than the exhaust temperature of between 1,200°F and 1,300°F for an equivalent rich-burn engine. Thus, the rate of thermal NO_x formation is lower compared to the conventional rich-burn engine (i.e., 2 g/bhp-hr compared to 11 g/bhp-hr, respectively).

Table 6-8 Summary of the Operating Parameters for the Proposed Engine, Station No. 15

	Parameter	Design Specification	
	Make and Model	Cooper-Bessemer 8W-330C2	
	Air/Fuel Ratio	Variable	
	Exhaust Mass Flow	71,100 lb/hr	
	Ignition Timing	Variable	
	Air Manifold Pressure	Variable	
	Air Manifold Temperature	80 °F	
	Exhaust Temperature	550 °F	
	Maximum Allowed Back Pressure	3 inches of water	
	Specific Fuel Consumption	6,800 Btu/bhp-hr	
i			

Source: Cooper Industries, Inc. (1990).

The lean-burn engine-compressor has become the most effective method of transporting natural gas in a pipeline system judging by recent construction permits issued by several states (see Page 1 of Appendix A). The engine itself is very reliable and durable in continuous operation without requiring excessive maintenance attention as would be required in the case of additional add-on control technology.

Environmental Effects

There are no adverse environmental impacts expected for using the lea-burn engine, since there is no wastewater or solid waste created.

Energy Requirements and Impacts

The lean-burn engine is more fuel efficient than a comparable rich-burn engine. The fuel saved is 4.8 MMBtu/hr, for a total savings of 42,048 MMBtu/yr.

Economic Analysis

Capital and annualized cost estimates were prepared for the lean-burn engine. The differential engine cost of the lean-burn engine compared to the baseline rich-burn engine was provided by ENRON for the proposed 4,000-bhp Cooper-Bessemer 8W-330C2 model. The engine has a guaranteed NO_x emission limit of 2 g/bhp-hr.

The differential capital cost of the integral engine-compressor unit is tabulated in Table 6-6. The differential engine cost for the Cooper-Bessemer engine is \$100,000, from which the differential direct capital cost is calculated to be \$158,730, and the indirect capital cost is calculated to be \$68,255. The differential total capital investment is \$226,985.

The annualized cost is given in Table 6-7. The calculation basis for cost items is also given. The direct operating cost consists of normal maintenance cost of the lean-burn technology parts for \$7,937 and a fuel credit of \$86,619 for better fuel efficiency operation. The differential

annualized cost is -\$27,910 for the lean-burn engine. Thus, the negative annualized cost value indicates an annual savings of \$27,910 by using the proposed lean-burn engine. This savings is more than sufficient to offset the higher differential basic equipment cost (i.e., \$100,000) for the lean-burn engine.

6.4 BACT SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The BACT analysis for NO_{x} control has identified three feasible control alternatives: the lean-burn engine with SCR, the rich-burn engine with NSCR, and the lean-burn engine. Elimination of a control technology as BACT will be based on comparison of the overall environmental, energy, and economic impacts. The most effective control alternative not eliminated will be selected as BACT.

6.4.1 COMPARISON OF TECHNICAL ISSUES

Of the three alternatives, the lean-burn engine is the most reliable option for pipeline transmission application. SCR and NSCR require significant routine maintenance and scheduled downtime for replacement service but also may cause unscheduled downtime because of malfunction or failure of SCR/NSCR components. Conversely, the lean-burn engine is highly reliable and requires low maintenance over unattended continuous operation. The lean-burn engine also has the capability of operating under variable load conditions. Since most compressor stations are located in rural areas, the lean-burn engine by itself without any add-on control device is most suitable for such operation.

6.4.2 COMPARISON OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS

Of the three alternatives, SCR poses the greatest potential for toxic impacts due to ammonia handling and storage, and ammonia slip. Comparing potential adverse environmental impacts: the lean-burn engine with SCR option is the worst due to potential ammonia release and disposal of catalysts; the rich-burn engine with NSCR is the next worse option due to disposal of catalyst. The lean-burn engine does not create any waste;

therefore, it is the best alternative in terms of the environmental impact analysis.

6.4.3 COMPARISON OF ENERGY IMPACTS

The lean-burn engine equipped with SCR shows a net fuel credit of 11,651 MMBtu/yr for using the fuel-efficient lean-burn engine. In addition, an annual 8.6 MW-hr of electrical power is required for the ammonia vaporizer and injection system. The next highest energy requirement is for the rich-burn/NSCR combination. This alternative does not use any additional fuel or energy for operation of the control device. However, the non-burn engine is less fuel efficient than the proposed lean-burn engine, making the rich-burn engine/NSCR option the worst ranking in terms of energy impacts. The lean-burn engine shows a savings of 42,048 MMBtu/yr in heat input over the rich-burn engine because of its inherent fuel efficient design. The amount of heat input being saved by using the lean-burn engine is sufficiently significant to result in a lower annualized cost, even though the lean-burn engine is more costly than the conventional rich-burn engine. Thus, the lean-burn engine is the best alternative in view of the energy impact analysis.

6.4.4 COMPARISON OF ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

Economic analysis is based on the cost effectiveness of the control method. Economic impact is determined by the total and incremental cost effectiveness values. The detailed cost estimating procedure is presented in Appendix B. Results of the economic impact analysis are summarized in Table 6-9 for all three technically feasible $\mathrm{NO_x}$ control methods. Comparing the total cost effectiveness of these three $\mathrm{NO_x}$ control alternatives: the lean-burn engine/SCR technology has the highest cost effectiveness value of \$1,551 per ton of $\mathrm{NO_x}$ removed; the rich-burn engine/NSCR technology is the next highest with \$542 per ton of $\mathrm{NO_x}$ removed. The lean-burn engine has a total cost effectiveness value of -\$80 per ton of $\mathrm{NO_x}$ removed.

Table 6-9 Summary of Top-Down BACT Impact Analysis Results for NOx

			Environm	ental Impacts		Energy Impacts		Economic Impacts				
	Total Emission	Incremental Emission	Potential toxic	Potential adverse	increment over b	al increase aseline	Total Annualized	Incremental Annualized	Total Cost	Incremental Cost		
Control Alternative	Reduction Reduction (TPY)**		air impact?	enviromental impacts?	Natural gas (MMBtu/yr)	Electricity (MW-hr/yr)	Cost (\$/yr)	Cost (\$/yr)	Effectiveness (\$/ton)	Effectiveness (\$/ton)		
Lean-Burn Engine with SCR	409.5	27.1	Yes	Yes	-11,651	8.6	\$635,212	\$427,864	\$1,551	\$15,788		
Rich-Burn Engine with NSCR	382.4	34.7	No	Yes	0	0	\$207,348	\$235,258	\$542	\$6,780		
Lean-Burn Engine	347.7	347.7	No	No	-42,048	0	-\$27,910	-\$27,910	-\$80	-\$80		
Baseline (rich-burn engine)												

Total emission reduction, total annualized cost, and total cost effectiveness are calculated based on similar baseline parameter values.

Incremental values are based on the next lower control technology's parameter values.

The incremental cost effectiveness values for the lean-burn engine/SCR technology and the rich-burn engine/NSCR technology are \$15,788 and \$6,780 per ton of NO_x removed, respectively. The lean-burn engine has an incremental cost effectiveness of -\$80 per ton of NO_x removed. Therefore, the lean-burn engine is the most cost effective control option.

6.4.5 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The top-down BACT analysis in terms of environmental, energy and economic impacts for the FGTC's proposed project is summarized in Table 6-9. Both the lean-burn engine/SCR and the rich-burn engine/NSCR control options are eliminated primarily based on the high total and incremental cost effectiveness for NO, control. Recently, FDER has determined that incremental cost effectiveness values of \$4,000 to \$5,000 per ton of NO, removed are unreasonable. These values were established for much larger sources of NO, such as utility gas turbine combined-cycle projects. addition, add-on control technologies have significant energy penalties along with potential adverse environmental impacts, and these systems are not fully proven on IC engines of the size proposed by FGTC. On the other hand, lean-burn engines are the proven method for pipeline transmission application in which minimum maintenance and unattended operation are essential. Currently, lean-burn engines are the state-of-the-art application of reciprocating IC engines capable of achieving low emission without add-on control.

By eliminating lean-burn/SCR and rich-burn/NSCR options, the lean-burn engine is BACT. This is consistent with current BACT determinations shown in Table 6-2 for similar source applications. In the most recent top-down BACT analysis, IDNR has concluded that the inherently low NO_x emitting lean-burn engine is BACT for Northern Natural Gas Company. In its BACT summary, IDNR rejected SCR on the grounds of uncertain reliability and unreasonable cost effectiveness (i.e., total cost effectiveness of \$1,600 and incremental cost effectiveness of \$12,000 per ton NO_x removed).

No other stationary internal combustion sources, whether in natural-gas-related applications or other industrial processes, which use similar fuel and equivalent engines (i.e., natural-gas-fired and 4,000-bhp lean-burn engine) have been required to bear a high incremental cost effectiveness to reduce NO_x emissions. Furthermore, the FGTC's proposed lean-burn engine has low NO_x emissions of 77.2 TPY, and modeling results show an insignificant NO_x impact (less than 1.0 μ g/m³). In conclusion, the FGTC's proposed Cooper-Bessemer 8W-3302C lean-burn engine is BACT.

REFERENCES (Page 1 of 5)

- Auer, A.H. 1978. Correlation of Land Use and Cover With Meteorological Anomalies. J. Appl. Meteor., Vol 17.
- Briggs, G.A. 1969. Plume Rise, USAEC Critical Review Series, TID-25075. National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA.
- Briggs, G.A. 1971. Some Recent Analyses of Plume Rise Observations. <u>In:</u>
 Proceedings of the Second International Clean Air Congress. Academic Press, New York, NY.
- Briggs, G.A. 1972. Discussion on Chimney Plumes in Neutral and Stable Surroundings. Atmos. Environ. 6:507-510.
- Briggs, G.A. 1974. Diffusion Estimation for Small Emissions. <u>In</u>: ERL, ARL USAEC Report ATDL-106. U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Oak Ridge, TN.
- Briggs, G.A. 1975. Plume Rise Predictions. <u>In</u>: Lectures on Air Pollution and Environmental Impact Analysis. American Meteorological Society, Boston, MA.
- Cooper Industries, Inc. 1987. Cooper-Bessemer Integral Gas Engine-Compressors, Performance Through Innovation (Technical sales literature).
- Cooper Industries, Inc. 1990. Personal Communication With Cooper's Sales Engineer on CleanBurn Technology and Lean-Burn Engine Performance.
- Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Department of Environmental Quality Engineering. 1990. Permit application B-87-C-066 for Pfizer, Inc. Diesel Cogen Facility in Adams, MA. Springfield, MA.
- Dresser-Rand Company. 1990. Personal Communications With Dresser-Rand's Sales Engineer on Engine Specification and Performance.
- Engelhard Corporation. 1990. Catalyst Technology for Cleaner Air.
- Engelhard Corporation. 1990. Verbal Budgetary Quotation for NO_x Abatement System.
- Engelhard Corporation. 1990. Budgeting Quotation for NO_x/CO Control System.

REFERENCES (Page 2 of 5)

- Exxon Research and Engineering Company. 1986. Thermal $DeNO_x$: A Commercial Selective Non-Catalytic NO_x Reduction Process for Waste-to-Energy Applications (B.E. Hurst and C.M. White, presented at ASME 12th Biennial National Waste Processing Conference, Denver, Colorado, June 2nd).
- Exxon Research and Engineering Company. 1987. Improved ER&E Thermal $DeNO_x$ Process.
- Fuel Tech. 1988. Control of Nitrogen Oxides Emissions From Stationary Sources. Presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Power Conference, April 1988.
- Fuel Tech. 1989. Standard Technical Sales Literature.
- Gas Research Institute. 1990. Evaluation of NO_x Reduction Technology for Natural Gas Industry Prime Movers Update to Special Report GRI-87/0257 (Compiled by Carlo Castaldini, Acurex Corporation, Mountain View, CA).
- Huber, A.H. 1977. Incorporating Building/Terrain Wake Effects on Stack Effluents. Preprint Volume for the Joint Conference on Applications of Air Pollution Meteorology in Boston, MA. American Meteorological Society.
- Huber, A.H., and W.H. Snyder. 1976. Building Wake Effects on Short Stack Effluents. Preprint Volume for the Third Symposium on Atmospheric Diffusion and Air Quality in Boston, MA. American Meteorological Society.
- Johnson Matthey Catalyst Systems Division. 1990. Standard Technical Sales Literature.
- Neveril, R.B, et al. 1978. Capital and Operating Costs of Selected Air Pollution Control Systems (V). J. of the Air Poll. Control Assoc., Vol. 28(12): 1253-1256.
- Nitrogen Nergas Corporation. 1990. Kleenaire Process.
- Nitrogen Nergas Corporation. 1990. Budgetary Quotation for Kleenaire System.
- Pasquill, F. 1976. Atmospheric Dispersion Parameters in Gaussian Plume Modelings, Part II. Possible Requirements for Changes in the Turner Workbook Values. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC. EPA Report No. EPA 600/4/76-030b.

REFERENCES (Page 3 of 5)

- Schulman, L.L., and S.R. Hanna. 1986. Evaluation of Downwash Modifications to the Industrial Source Complex Model. J. of Air Poll. Control Assoc., 36(3):258-264.
- Schulman, L.L., and J.S. Scire. 1980. Buoyant Line and Point Source (BLP) Dispersion Model User's Guide. Environmental Research and Technology, Inc., Concord, MA. Document P-7304B.
- Schorr, M.M. 1989. The Real Economics of SCR as BACT for Gas Turbines;
 Presented at the AWMA 82nd Annual Meeting and Exhibition in Anaheim,
- South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). 1979. The California Air Resources Board (CARB) Proposal on Control Strategy for Internal Combustion Engines Ruling 1110.
- South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). 1984. State of Technology Related to Rule 1100 Emission from Stationary Internal Combustion Engines (Demonstration). Staff report by L.M. Bowen, E. Larson, and A. Rawuka.
- Steuler International Corporation. 1990. Standard Technical Sales Literature.
- Steuler International Corporation. 1990. Budgetary Quotation for $CER-NO_x$ System.
- Texas Air Control Board (TACB). 1990. Personal Communication with a TACB Air Permitting Engineer. Austin, TX.
- Urban, C.M., and K.J. Springer. 1975. Study of Exhaust Emissions From Natural Gas Pipeline Compressor Engines (American Gas Association: Project PR-15-61, p.57). Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, TX.
- U.S. Department of Energy/Energy Information Administration (DOE/EIA). 1987. Cost and Quality of Fuels for Electric Utility Plants, 1989. U.S. Government Printing Office. DOE/EIA-0191(89).
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1977. User's Manual for Single Source (CRSTER) Model. Research Triangle Park, NC. EPA-450/2-77-013.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1978. Guidelines for Determining Best Available Control Technology (BACT). Research Triangle Park, NC.

REFERENCES (Page 4 of 5)

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1979. Stationary Internal Combustion Engine: Standard Support and Environmental Impact Statement. Volume 1: Proposed Standard Performance (Draft). Research Triangle Park, NC. EPA-450/2-78-125a.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1980. PSD Workshop Manual. Research Triangle Park, NC.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1985. Guideline for Determination of Good Engineering Practice Stack Height (Technical Support Document for the Stack Height Regulations) (Revised). Research Triangle Park, NC. EPA-450/4-80-023.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1986. Environmental Assessment of a Reciprocating Engine Retrofitted With Selective Catalytic Reduction (Volume I: Technical Results). Research Triangle Park, NC. EPA-1699/7-86-014a.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1987a. Ambient Monitoring Guidelines for Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD). Research Triangle Park, NC. EPA-450/4-87-007.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1987b. Guideline on Air Quality Models, Supplement A (Revised). EPA-450/2-78-027.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1988a. Toxic Air Pollutant Emission Factors--A Compilation for Selected Air Toxic Compounds and Sources. Research Triangle Park, NC. EPA-450/2-88-006a.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1988b. Industrial Source Complex (ISC) Dispersion Model User's Guide (2nd Ed., Revised). EPA-450/4-88-002a.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1988c. EPA User's Network for Applied Modeling of Air Pollution (UNAMAP) (Version 6, Change 3, January 4). Research Triangle Park, NC.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1988d. Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors, Volume I: Stationary Point and Area Sources (Supplement B, p. 3.1-2) AP-42. Research Triangle Park, NC.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1988e. Workbook for Plume Visual Impact Screening and Analysis. Research Triangle Park, NC. EPA-450/4-88-015.

REFERENCES . (Page 5 of 5)

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1990a. "Top-Down" Best Available Control Technology Guidance Document (Draft). Research Triangle Park, NC.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1990b. OAQPS Control Cost Manual (4th Ed.) EPA Publication: Research Triangle Park, NC. EPA-450/3-90-006.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1985 to 1990. BACT/LAER Clearinghouse--A Compilation of Control Technology Determinations. Research Triangle Park, NC.

APPENDIX A

Appendix A Summary of BACT Determinations for NOx Emissions from Stationary Reciprocating Engines (page 1 of 2)

		Permit	Date of	Total		Engine Specifi	ications						
Company Name	State	Number	Permit	Capacity	Fuel Type	Make	Model	Load		nission L		Control Method	Comments
			·			<u> </u>		(Bhp)	(g/Bhp-hr)	(lib/hr)	(ppm)		
Source Type: Natural Gas Comp	Source Type: Natural Gas Compressor Station												
Northern Natural Gas Company	IA		05-Sep-90	4,000 Bhp	N.G.	Cooper		4,000	1.8	15.9		Lean burn engine	
same as above	IA		05-Sep-90	4,000 Bhp	N.G.	Cooper		2,000	1.8	7.9		Lean burn engine	
National Fuel Gas Supply Corp.	PA	53-329-001	13-Jun-89	6,000 Bhp	N.G.	Cooper	8015JHC2	3,000	20	13.2		Lean burn engine	
Natural Gas Pipeline Company	IL	85100014	01-Mar-89	1,600 Bhp	N.G.	Worthington	MLV-10	4,000	9.0	79.4		Design & oper, practic	9
Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company	PA	53-339-002	21-Jun-88	2,250 Bhp	N.G.	Cooper	GMVH-10C	2,250	3.0	14.9		Lean burn engine	
Consolidated Gas Transmission Corp.	PA	59-399-008	10-May-88	8,400 Bhp	N.G.	Dresser-Rand	TCV-10	4,200	3.0	27.8		Lean burn engine	Air to fuel ratio is 4.5:1
ANR Production Company	VA	11064	03-Mar-88	1,800 Bhp	N.G.	Caterpiller	G398TAA	600	1.2	1.6	•	Catalytic converter	N.G. Compressor Sta.
Southern Natural Gas Company	AL	406-0003-X0	19-Feb-88	4,160 Bhp	N.G.	Dresser-Rand	TCVD-10	4,160	2.2	20.2		Lean burn engine	Per. cond.: stack test
National Fuel Gas Supply Corp.	PA	53-399-002	01-Feb-88	2,850 Bhp	N.G.	Dresser-Rand	412 KEV-1	2,850	3.0	18.8		Lean burn engine	
Shell California Production Co.	CA	147853	14-Oct-86	600 Bhp				600	3.2	4.2		SCR	70% reduction
Northern Natural Gas Company	IA		04-Feb-86	8,000 Bhp	N.G.			4,000			250	Engine design	
Consolidated Gas Transmission Corp.	PA	18-399-009	11-Dec-85	6,000 Bhp	N.G.	Cooper	12W-330-C2	6,000	3.0	39.7		Lean burn engine	
Shell California Production	CA	0041-6	02-Dec-85	225 Bhp	N.G.	Caterpliler		225	0.805	0.4	50	NSCR, rich burn engin	e 90% reduction

for a single engine. N.G. = Natural Gas.

A

Appendix A Summary of BACT Determinations for NOx Emissions from Stationary Reciprocating Engines (page 2 of 2)

		Permit	Date of	Total		Engine Specifi	cations		_				
Company Name	State	Number	Permit	Capacity	Fuel Type	Make	Model	Load		nission L		Control Method	Comments
							(9hp)	(g/Bhp-hr)	(Itb/hr)	(ppm)			
Source Type: Power Cogenerati	on and	Other Uses											
University of Illinois, Ch. Cir. Camp.	IL	applying	1990	16,000 Bhp	N.G.	Cooper	LSVB-GDC	8,000	1.9	33.5		Lean burn engine	
Northeast Landfill Power	RI	999-1014	12-Dec-89	19,200 Bhp	LG.	Waukesha	12V-AT25GL	2,400	1.3	6.6		Lean burn engine	High-speed (900 rpm)
Pfizer, Inc.	MA	B-87-C-006	16-Nov-89	6,710 Bhp	Dual/Diesei	Cooper	LSVB-16-GDT	6,710	0.7	10.1		SCR	90% reduction
Cogentrix (formerly Xlox)	PA	33-399-004	31-Oct-89	20,904 Bhp	Dual	Wartella	18V32GD	6,968	5.0	76.8		Engine retardation	
Worcester Company	RI	988-990	27-Sep-89	6,000 Bhp	N.G.	Superior	12-SGTB	2,000	1.5	6.6		Lean burn engine	High-speed (900 rpm)
Citizens Utilities	н	HI 88-04	19-Sep-89	42,000 Bhp	Diesel			10,500			605	Engine design	
Key West Electric System	FL	PSD-FL-135	05-Jun-89	26,532 Bhp	Diesel			13,266	6.0	175.5		Engine timing retard	
Maul Electric Company, Inc.	HI	HI 87-01	30-Dec-88	33,400 Bhp	Diesel			16,700	7.0	258.1	595	5° ignition retard	20% reduction
Power Ventures	FL	PSD-FL-120	05-Dec-88	8,800 Bhp	Dual	Undetermined			5.0			Engine design	
same as above	FL	PSD-FL-120	05-Dec-88	8,800 Bhp	Diesel	Undetermined			12.0			Engine design	
Maul Pineappie Co., Ltd.	HI	HI 87-02	17-May-88	4,020 Bhp	Diesel			2,010	5.2	23.0	536	2º ignition retard	
same as above	HI	HI 87-02	17-May-88	6,040 Bhp	Diesel			3,020	5.3	35.0	520	2º ignition retard	
Maui Electric Company, Inc.	Hi	HI 86-02	17-Nov-87	6,700 Bhp	Diesei			3,350	9.3	68.4	600	4° ignition retard	20% reduction
Hawaii Electric Light Co., Inc.	н	HI 85-03	17-Nov-87	10,050 Bhp	Diesei			3,350	9.3	68.4	600	4° engine retard	20% reduction
City of Ventura	CA	1379-1	31-Dec-86	773 Bhp	D.G.			773	20	3.4		Engine design	Digestive gas
State of Utah Natural Resources	UΤ		01-Sep-86	18,000 Bhp	N.G.			4,630	3.5	36.0		Lean burn engine	Turbocharger ups fuel ef
Tricounty Sun Energy Sheraton Hotel	CA	1369-1	07-Aug-86	200 Bhp	N.G.	Caterpiller		200			50	NSCR, rich burn engin	e 90% reduction
LaJet Energy Company	CA	85096	17-Jul-86	1,385 Bhp	Diesel	Cummins	KTTA-50CC	1,385	5.4	16.5		Engine design	
3M	TX	PSD-TX-674	30-May-86	8,386 Bhp	Dual	Cooper	LSVG-20-GDT	8,386	5.0	92.4		Engine design	
Genstar Gas Recovery Systems	CA	30970	29-Aug-85	2,650 Bhp	LG.			2,650	1.5	8.8		Lean burn engine	Landfilled gas
same as above	CA	30893	29-Aug-85	1,100 Bhp	L.G.			1,100	1.5	3.6		Lean burn engine	Landfilled gas
Pacific Lighting Energy	CA	30336	01-Mar-85	2,650 Bhp	N.Q.	Superior	16-SGTA	2,650	1.5	8.8		Lean burn engine	High-speed (900 rpm)

^{*} for a single engine. Note: N.G. = Natural Gas; L.G. = Landfilled Gas; D.G. = Digestive Gas.

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX B

ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

In the "top-down" approach, the economic impact along with environmental and energy impacts is one of three main criteria for BACT evaluation in considering any emission control method. The economic analysis determines the cost effectiveness of each applicable emission control alternative.

The economic analysis is based on the cost estimating procedure outlined in EPA's control cost manual (EPA, 1990b). An overall description of this cost estimating methodology is given as follows:

- The total capital investment consists of direct capital and indirect capital costs. The direct capital cost includes the purchased equipment cost and the direct installation cost. The indirect capital cost accounts for other indirect expenses pertaining to the installation of the emission control device, such as engineering, construction and field expenses, contractor fee, contingencies, and startup and testing.
- 2. The annualized cost consists of the direct operating cost, the indirect operating cost, and the capital recovery cost. The direct operating cost includes both annual operating and maintenance costs, cost of replacement parts, and fuel costs. The indirect annual operating cost accounts for items such as overhead, property taxes, insurance, and administration. The capital recovery cost is calculated from the total capital investment cost using a capital recovery factor.
- 3. The total annual operating cost is divided by the total emission reduction of the control system to result in dollars per ton of pollutant removed (i.e., dollars per ton of NO_{x} in this case). This value is defined as the cost effectiveness of the control method. Incremental cost effectiveness of one control method over

another is also calculated based on the incremental annual cost and incremental emission reduction.

Detailed descriptions of the cost estimates are presented in the following three sections for the SCR system being evaluated as an add-on control device for the lean-burn engine. The discussion includes economic analyses of the lean-burn engine and the NSCR system for the rich-burn engine. The baseline cost estimate is based on the rich-burn engine since it has been defined as the baseline engine on which all emission calculations are based.

SECTION I TOTAL CAPITAL INVESTMENT (TCI)

The TCI cost for the SCR converter covers a complete turn-key system. The basic purchased equipment costs consist of the differential reciprocating IC engine cost and the SCR system cost. The differential engine cost accounts for the difference in cost between the higher cost lean-burn and the lower cost rich-burn engines as quoted by Cooper Industries, Inc. The cost of the SCR system is either a printed cost quotation or a "ball park" estimate of unit cost per brake horsepower obtained directly from the equipment vendors. Subsequently, other direct and indirect capital cost items are estimated from cost factors based on standard cost estimating guidelines (EPA, 1990b). The estimating method provides accuracies on the order of plus or minus 20 percent.

The direct capital costs (DCC) for the SCR converter are comprised of purchased equipment costs and direct installation costs. Purchased equipment costs represent the free on board (FOB) delivery costs of the differential lean-burn engine, the emission control basic equipment, ammonia auxiliary system, exhaust reheat duct burner system, emission monitoring equipment, structure support, instrumentation, freight, and sales tax. The differential engine cost accounts for the difference in costs of the lean-burn engine and an equivalent rich-burn engine (i.e., equivalent in terms of output). Emission control basic equipment consists of all catalyst structure, and mechanical and electrical components

required for efficient operation of the device. These include such items as internal piping and exhaust gas ductwork.

The storage tank and delivery equipment costs for the ammonia system were obtained from the ammonia supplier. The ammonia system was designed for a typical 3-month supply of anhydrous ammonia and its auxiliary equipment such as ammonia vaporizer/injection components.

The cost of the auxiliary equipment for reheating the exhaust gas accounts for the duct burner system required to bring the exhaust temperature from 495°F to 700°F. Without raising the temperature, the SCR system would not work properly.

Emission monitoring costs include the cost of $\mathrm{NO_x}$ and $\mathrm{O_2}$ continuous monitors, which are not included in the basic equipment costs. These monitors are tied to the ammonia injection system to ensure proper $\mathrm{NO_x}$ reduction. These costs are estimated at 15 percent of the SCR basic equipment cost.

Structure support costs account for miscellaneous external piping, auxiliary support, independent flow controllers and indicators for the connection between the basic equipment and the ammonia system. Costs are estimated at 10 percent of the overall equipment cost. Overall equipment includes the engine, emission control device, exhaust reheating heater, monitoring equipment, and any other auxiliary system.

Plant instrumentation and controls are usually not included in the basic equipment cost; typical cost factors range from 10 to 15 percent of the overall equipment cost, depending on the specific application.

The purchased equipment costs are then the basis for determining the direct and indirect installation costs. The installation costs are based on standard cost factors (EPA, 1990b).

The direct installation costs consist of the direct expenditures for materials and labor for site preparation, foundations, structural steel, erection, piping, electrical, painting, and insulation. Direct installation costs are expressed as a percentage of the total basic equipment costs for standard industrial installations.

The indirect capital costs (ICC) typically cover several areas, such as: engineering and supervision, construction and field expenses, construction contractor fee, contingencies, start-up and testing, and working capital. Each of the above items is based on a percentage of the DCC; except for the working capital which is based on the direct operating cost (DOC).

For the proposed lean-burn engine, the TCI cost estimate is also calculated by summing the purchased equipment costs, direct installation costs, and indirect capital costs. In this case, the itemized basic purchased equipment costs only include the differential engine cost, instrumentation, freight, and sales tax. Other direct and indirect installation costs are estimated by multiplying the sum of the basic purchased equipment costs by the standard cost factors.

The TCI cost estimate for the NSCR converter was based on a similar cost estimating procedure. Basic purchased equipment costs for the NSCR system include the basic converter, emission monitoring, structural support, instrumentation, freight, and sales tax. The direct and indirect installation costs follow a similar procedure to the one described above.

SECTION II ANNUALIZED COST (AC)

The AC estimates for each SCR system are comprised of the direct operating costs (DOC), the indirect operating costs (IOC) and the capital recovery cost (CRC). The DOC includes the operating labor, maintenance, replacement catalyst and parts, utilities, and ammonia supply. The IOC includes plant overhead, property taxes, insurance, and administration. The CRC accounts for the annualized cost of the initial capital investment for the emission control system.

In the DOC category, the annual operating labor includes the operator and supervisor costs for continuous operation. The operator cost for the SCR system was calculated based on 5.33 hours per shift devoted to regular maintenance and safety assurance procedure for the emission control system, which include the operation of the ammonia system. The maintenance requirement is 5 percent of the DCC.

Catalyst replacement cost was calculated using a capital recovery factor (CRF) computed for a three-year recovery period and a 10 percent interest rate. The CRF equation is given below. The total catalyst replacement cost includes the replacement part cost and the labor cost for technical supervision by the catalyst supplier.

The utility costs are the sum of the itemized costs for electricity, natural gas for exhaust stack gas reheat, and a fuel credit for using the more efficient lean-burn engine. Electricity cost is based on the estimated total annual consumption for the ammonia vaporizer/injection system. The unit cost for electrical power is current standard cost value. The price of natural gas is based on current natural gas pricing (DOE/EIA, 1989). The total tonnage of ammonia is calculated by the ammonia molar equivalent required to convert the total estimated NO, emissions.

Indirect operating costs include the cost of plant overhead, property taxes, insurance, administration, and capital recovery cost. These costs are typically either one or two percent of the total capital investment; except the overhead which is sixty percent of the operating labor and maintenance costs. The capital recovery cost (CRC) is based on the service life of the control system, interest rate, capital depreciation rate, and total capital investment. The CRC is calculated by multiplying the TCI by the capital recovery factor (CRF), which is defined as:

$$CRF = \frac{i(1+i)^{n}}{(1+i)^{n}-1}$$

where: i = annual interest rate (in percent), and
n = equipment service life (in years).

The standard estimated equipment service life for each alternative is 10 years, and the average interest rate is assumed to be 10 percent.

The annualized cost is the sum of the DOC, IOC, and CRC.

The annualized cost estimates for the lean-burn engine and the NSCR converter use similar cost estimating procedure as shown for the SCR system, with the exception of the ammonia supply in the DOC category. The DOC of the NSCR system includes the costs of the operating labor, maintenance, and catalyst replacement.

SECTION III COST EFFECTIVENESS

In general, the cost effectiveness of SCR, lean-burn engine, or rich-burn engine/NSCR option is based on the annualized cost of each system and the associated annual pollutant emission reduction. This is determined by dividing the annualized cost by the tonnage of pollutant removed per year.

This cost effectiveness value is presented in terms of total cost effectiveness and incremental cost effectiveness. The total cost effectiveness values are based on the differences in costs and tonnages of $\mathrm{NO_x}$ emitted between a given emission control option and the baseline. The incremental cost effectiveness values are based on the difference in costs and tonnages of $\mathrm{NO_x}$ emitted between a given emission control option and the next most effective control option.

APPENDIX C

ISCLT PRINTOUTS FLORIDA GAS TRANSMISSION COMPANY COMPRESSOR STATION NO. 15

ISCLTK6L MODEL, A VERSION OF ISCLT (VERSION 90008) AN AIR QUALITY DISPERSION MODEL IN SECTION 1. GUIDELINE MODELS. IN UNAMAP (VERSION 6) JAN 1990. SOURCE: FILE 7 ON UNAMAP MAGNETIC TAPE FROM NTIS.

CONVERTED BY : KBN ENGINEERING AND APPLIED SCIENCES, INC. GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA (904)331-9000

COPYRIGHT 1990 L

CARD INPUT FILE IS

ER15LT82.181

SUMMARY OUTPUT FILE IS ER15LT82.081

TITLE OF RUN IS

1982 ENRON STATION 15 / 40 FT STACK

10-29-90

- ISCLT INPUT DATA -

NUMBER OF SOURCES = 1 NUMBER OF X AXIS GRID SYSTEM POINTS = NUMBER OF Y AXIS GRID SYSTEM POINTS = 16 NUMBER OF SPECIAL POINTS = 36 NUMBER OF SEASONS = 1 NUMBER OF WIND SPEED CLASSES = 6 NUMBER OF STABILITY CLASSES = 6 NUMBER OF WIND DIRECTION CLASSES = 16 FILE NUMBER OF DATA FILE USED FOR REPORTS = 1 THE PROGRAM IS RUN IN RURAL MODE CONCENTRATION (DEPOSITION) UNITS CONVERSION FACTOR =0.10000000E+07 ACCELERATION OF GRAVITY (METERS/SEC**2) = 9.800 HEIGHT OF MEASUREMENT OF WIND SPEED (METERS) = 7.620 CORRECTION ANGLE FOR GRID SYSTEM VERSUS DIRECTION DATA NORTH (DEGREES) = 0.000 DECAY COEFFICIENT =0.00000000E+00 PROGRAM OPTION SWITCHES = 1, 2, 2, 0, 0, 3, 2, 1, 3, 2, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0,

	RANGE X AXIS	GRID SYSTEM	POINTS (METER	S)=	100.00,	200.00,	300.00,	400.00,	500.00,	750.00,
	1000.00,	1250.00,								
	RANGE X SPECI	AL DISCRETE	POINTS (METER	S)=	30.00,	30.00,	30.00,	34.00,	40.00,	49.00,
	73.00,	146.00,	271.00,	274.00,	290.00,	314.00,	354.00,	326.00,	290.00,	265.00,
	256.00,	253.00,	204.00,	216.00,	235.00,	207.00,	174.00,	152.00,	140.00,	134.00,
	131.00,	134.00,	73.00,	49.00,	40.00,	34.00,	30.00,	30.00,	30.00,	30.00,
ZIMUTH B	EARING Y AXIS	GRID SYSTEM	POINTS (DEGRE	ES)=	22.50,	45.00,	67.50,	90.00,	112.50,	135.00,
	157.50,	180.00,	202.50,	225.00,	247.50,	270.00,	292.50,	315.00,	337.50,	360.00,
CIMUTH B	EARING Y SPECI	AL DISCRETE	POINTS (DEGRE	ES)=	10.00,	20.00,	30.00,	40.00,	50.00,	60.00,
	70.00,	80.00,	90.00,	100.00,	110.00,	120.00,	130.00,	140.00,	150.00,	160.00,
	170.00,	180.00,	190.00,	200.00,	210.00,	220.00,	230.00,	240.00,	250.00,	260.00,
	270.00,	280.00,	290.00,	300.00,	310.00,	320.00,	330.00,	340.00,	350.00,	360.00,

- AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE (DEGREES KELVIN) -

STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY CATEGORY 1 CATEGORY 2 CATEGORY 3 CATEGORY 4 CATEGORY 5 CATEGORY 6 SEASON 1 299.0000 299.0000 299.0000 293.0000 287.0000 287.0000

- MIXING LAYER HEIGHT (METERS) -

SEASON 1

WIND SPEED WIND SPEED WIND SPEED WIND SPEED WIND SPEED WIND SPEED

CATEGORY 1 CATEGORY 2 CATEGORY 3 CATEGORY 4 CATEGORY 5 CATEGORY 6 BILITY CATEGORY 10.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+0400.2000E+0400.2000E+0400.2000E+0400.2000E+04000E+040000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+040000E+040000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+040000E+040000 BILITY CATEGORY 20.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+0 BILITY CATEGORY 30.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E BILITY CATEGORY 40.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+040000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+0 31LITY CATEGORY 60.100000E+050.100000E+050.100000E+050.100000E+050.100000E+050.100000E+050.

- ISCLT INPUT DATA (CONT.) -

- FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF WIND SPEED, DIRECTION AND STABILITY -

SEASON 1

STABILITY CATEGORY 1

	WIND SPEED					
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00092100	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00103500	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00149701	0.00125600	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00092200	0.00091300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00138201	0.00114200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00080500	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00103400	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00068900	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.00115000	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.00069000	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00011500	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00069000	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00080500	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00115000	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00069200	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00069200	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

SEASON 1

STABILITY CATEGORY 2

	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00259901	0.00513702	0.00296801	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00228601	0.00308201	0.00171201	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00310001	0.00479502	0.00171201	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00153301	0.00194101	0.00216901	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00323101	0.00513702	0.00228301	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00212801	0.00308201	0.00114200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00318001	0.00376701	0.00091300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00177801	0.00216901	0.00102700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.00355601	0.00433802	0.00205501	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.00128700	0.00171201	0.00114200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00119000	0.00228301	0.00102700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00148901	0.00182601	0.00079900	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00043700	0.00114200	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00103200	0.00228301	0.00148401	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00148901	0.00182601	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00153301	0.00194101	0.00137001	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

- ISCLT INPUT DATA (CONT.) -

- FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF WIND SPEED, DIRECTION AND STABILITY -

SEASON 1

STABILITY CATEGORY 3

	WIND SPEED					
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00238101	0.00559402	0.00890403	0.00148401	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00065900	0.00159801	0.00388101	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00113100	0.00308201	0.00662103	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00179501	0.00422402	0.00468002	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00195101	0.00376701	0.00605002	0.00114200	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00180501	0.00331101	0.00251101	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00101700	0.00319601	0.00353901	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00091300	0.00239701	0.00239701	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.00175301	0.00456602	0.01095904	0.00091300	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.00073100	0.00182601	0.00262601	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00088200	0.00182601	0.00125600	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00076300	0.00239701	0.00148401	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00059100	0.00091300	0.00091300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00050800	0.00159801	0.00274001	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00069000	0.00216901	0.00137001	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00069500	0,00171201	0.00159801	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000

SEASON 1

STABILITY CATEGORY 4

	WIND SPEED					
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00325001	0.00958904	0.01769407	0.01027404	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00151701	0.00388101	0.00684903	0.00251101	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00193601	0.00696303	0.01084504	0.00331101	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00256501	0.00764803	0.01164404	0.00388101	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00448802	0.01141604	0.01369905	0.00274001	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00365001	0.01050204	0.00958904	0.00171201	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00356101	0.00913203	0.00547902	0.00239701	0.00022800	0.00000000
157.500	0.00270401	0.00605002	0.00650702	0.00605002	0.00079900	0.00000000
180.000	0.00479802	0.01095904	0.02146108	0.02020508	0.00114200	0.00000000
202.500	0.00187201	0.00411002	0.00331101	0.00194101	0.00022800	0.00000000
225.000	0.00224001	0.00228301	0.00205501	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00073600	0.00159801	0.00079900	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00199301	0.00296801	0.00102700	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00060300	0.00216901	0.00182601	0.00091300	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00089500	0.00216901	0.00274001	0.00171201	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00212001	0.00342501	0.00559402	0.00319601	0.00000000	0.00000000

- ISCLT INPUT DATA (CONT.) -

- FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF WIND SPEED, DIRECTION AND STABILITY -

SEASON 1

STABILITY CATEGORY 5

	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPÉED	WIND SPEED
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00000000	0.00650702	0.00468002	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00000000	0.00239701	0.00091300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.0000000	0.00342501	0.00171201	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00000000	0.00411002	0.00148401	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00000000	0.01107304	0.00159801	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00000000	0.00422402	0.00114200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.0000000
135.000	0.00000000	0.00331101	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00000000	0.00182601	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.00000000	0.00547902	0.00182601	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.00000000	0.00296801	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00000000	0.00114200	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00000000	0.00079900	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270,000	0.00000000	0.00045700	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00000000	0.00091300	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00000000	0.00194101	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00000000	0.00342501	0.00228301	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

SEASON 1

STABILITY CATEGORY 6

	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.05692022	0.01084504	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00895403	0.00182601	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00980904	0.00251101	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.01174904	0.00262601	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.03450513	0.00707803	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.01129304	0.00205501	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.01266205	0.00171201	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00547502	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.02635010	0.00445202	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.01602706	0.00194101	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.01425905	0.00114200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00775703	0.00148401	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00809903	0.00114200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.02498109	0.00479502	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.01967607	0.00445202	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.02977211	0.00513702	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

5 ****

- ISCLT INPUT DATA (CONT.) -

- VERTICAL POTENTIAL TEMPERATURE GRADIENT (DEGREES KELVIN/METER) -

WIND SPEED WIND SPEED

- WIND PROFILE POWER LAW EXPONENTS -

WIND SPEED WIND SPEED

- SOURCE INPUT DATA -

T SOUN NUM >	RCE SOURCE BER TYPE	COORDI		Y COORDINATE (M)	EMISSION HEIGHT (M)	BASE / ELEV- / ATION / (M) /	,		- SOURC	E DETAIL	.S DEPENO	DING ON T	YPE -		
	1 STACK	:	0.00	0.0	0 12.19		STACK D	IAMETER (EG K)= 56 M)= 0.590 69.17,	, HEIGHT	OF ASSO	D. BLDG.		•	TH OF
					- DIRECT	ION SPEC	CIFIC BU	ILDING DI	MENSIONS	•					
TOR	DSBH	DSBW	IWAKE	SECTOR	DSBH	DSBW	IWAKE	SECTOR	DSBH	DSBW	IWAKE	SECTOR	DSBH	DSBW	IWAKE
1	9.7,	69.2,	0) 2	9.7,	69.2,	0	3	9.7,	69.2,	0	4	9.7,	69.2,	0
5	9.7,	69.2,	0) 6	9.7,	69.2,	0	7	9.7,	69.2,	0	8	9.7,	69.2,	0
9	9.7,	69.2	0	10	9.7	69.2,	0	11	9.7,	69.2,	0	12	9.7,	69.2,	0
13	0.7	69.2	n	14	9.7	69.2	a	15	9.7	69.2	n	16	9.7.	69.2.	۵

- SOURCE STRENGTHS (GRAMS PER SEC) SEASON 1 SEASON 2 SEASON 3 SEASON 4
2.22000E+00

ING - HW/HB > 5 FOR SOURCE 1 PROG. USES LATERAL VIRTUAL DIST. FOR UPPER BOUND OF CONCENTRATION (DEPOSITION) IN SECTOR(S):
1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16.

OWER BOUND IS DESIRED SET THE DIRECTION SPECIFIC BUILDING HEIGHT TO < 0 (WAKE EFFECTS FLAG) AND REFUN.

40.0

30.0

310.0

340.0

0.002140

0.004620

34.0

30.0

320.0

350.0

0.002503

0.005369

30.0

30.0

330.0

360.0

0.003340

0.006491

*	* ANNUAL	. GROUND LEV	EL CONCENT	RATION (M			BIC METER RECEPTORS -)	DUE TO SOUR	RCE	1	**
							, METERS) -					
		100.000	200 000				500.000	750 nr	00 1000.	000	1250 000	
AXIS (A		RING, DEGRE		300.0			NTRATION -	130.00	70 1000	.000	1230.000	
,												
360	.000	0.226773	0.339062	0.324	631	.485281	0.646916	0.7494	33 0.69	21954	0.598195	
337	.500	0.114040	0.137819	0.122	2371 (.171512	0.224783	0.2582	293 0.24	0159	0.209786	
315	.000	0.066689	0.093090	0.094	693 (.150808	0.211977	0.2561	40 0.24	2587	0.215272	
292	.500	0.063751	0.084016	0.082	2859	.126548	0.177883	0.2322	230 0.23	58525	0.223295	
270	.000	0.155774	0.210525	0.201	218 0	.282365	0.362410	0.4087	752 0.38	38496	0.350381	
247	.500	0.129567	0.170841	0.159	760 (.218339	0.274590	0.3133	0.30	2772	0.272866	
225	.000	0.104085	0.161511	0.168	3103 (.256367	0.340034	0.3795	80 0.34	7477	0.300357	
202	.500	0.100756	0.131865	0.125		.179698	0.231734	0.2523	0.22	27491	0.194663	
180	.000	0.211937	0.296748	0.278	366 0	.393449	0.509089	0.5832	247 0.54	7743	0.484140	
157	.500	0.089813	0.116061	0.106		1.142344	0.177225	0.1931	195 0.18	30553	0.161081	
135		0.055209	0.079205	0.076		.106688	0.135298	0.1464		33476	0.116433	
		0.076385	0.096612	0.093		.133744	0.167257	0.1595		31679	0.108632	
		0.029778	0.039141	0.039	547 (.060061	0.078407	0.0762		55337	0.056621	
67	.500	0.043993	0.055975	0.055	026	.079149	0.102548	0.1105		98428	0.083834	
		0.054342	0.061229			.074802	0.097272	0.1137		06177		
		0.079126	0.098651			.126873	0.159575			51377	0.131863	
.,		CONCENTOR	7100	v			CEPTORS -	.,				
	Y	CONCENTRA	ITON	X	Υ	CONCEN	ITRATION			CONCENT	RATION	·
IGE	HTUMISA				AZIMUTH				AZIMUTH			
	BEARING				BEARING				BEARING			
:TERS)	(DEGREES)			(METERS)	(DEGREES)	l		(METERS)	(DEGREES)			
30.0	10.	0.004	4017	30.0	20.	0 0	.001764	30.0	30.0	0.	.000842	
34.0				40.0	50.		.000088	49.0	60.0		001057	
73.0			8408	146.0	80.	0 0	.043140	271.0	90.0	0.	.040022	
274.0	100.	0 0.063	3586	290.0	110.	0 0	.088074	314.0	120.0	0.	.086356	
354.0				326.0	140.		.080752	290.0	150.0		096590	
265.0				256.0	170.		.210934	253.0	180.0		295367	
204.0				216.0	200.		.148623	235.0	210.0		141102	
207.0				174.0	230.		.155176	152.0	240.0		154385	
140.0				134.0	260.		172071	131.0	270.0		190217	
134.0				73.0	290.		1.047348	49.0	300.0		002742	
				7/ 0						-		

** ANNUAL GROUND LEVEL CONCENTRATION (MICROGRAMS PER CUBIC METER

) DUE TO SOURCE

1 (CONT.) **

- 10 CONTRIBUTING VALUES TO PROGRAM DETERMINED MAXIMUM 10 OF ALL SOURCES COMBINED -

X	Y	CONCENTRATION		
COORDINATE	COORDINATE			
RANGE	AZIMUTH			
	BEARING			
(METERS)	(DEGREES)			
750.00	360.00	0.749433		
1000.00	360.00	0.691954		
500.00	360.00	0.646916		
1250.00	360.00	0.598195		
750.00	180.00	0.583247		
1000.00	180.00	0.547743		
500.00	180.00	0.509089		
400.00	360.00	0.485281		
1250.00	180.00	0.484140		
750.00	270.00	0.408752		

**************************************	SOURCES PROCESSED **********************************
----------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------

ISCLTK6L MODEL, A VERSION OF ISCLT (VERSION 90008) AN AIR QUALITY DISPERSION MODEL IN SECTION 1. GUIDELINE MODELS. IN UNAMAP (VERSION 6) JAN 1990. SOURCE: FILE 7 ON UNAMAP MAGNETIC TAPE FROM NTIS.

CONVERTED BY : KBN ENGINEERING AND APPLIED SCIENCES, INC. GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA (904)331-9000

COPYRIGHT 1990 L

CARD INPUT FILE IS ER15LT83.181 SUMMARY OUTPUT FILE IS ER15LT83.081

TITLE OF RUN IS

1983 ENRON STATION 15 / 40 FT STACK 10-29-90

NUMBER OF SOURCES = NUMBER OF X AXIS GRID SYSTEM POINTS = NUMBER OF Y AXIS GRID SYSTEM POINTS = 16 NUMBER OF SPECIAL POINTS = 36 NUMBER OF SEASONS = 1 NUMBER OF WIND SPEED CLASSES = 6 NUMBER OF STABILITY CLASSES = 6 NUMBER OF WIND DIRECTION CLASSES = 16 FILE NUMBER OF DATA FILE USED FOR REPORTS = 1 THE PROGRAM IS RUN IN RURAL MODE CONCENTRATION (DEPOSITION) UNITS CONVERSION FACTOR =0.10000000E+07 ACCELERATION OF GRAVITY (METERS/SEC**2) = 9.800 HEIGHT OF MEASUREMENT OF WIND SPEED (METERS) = 7.620 CORRECTION ANGLE FOR GRID SYSTEM VERSUS DIRECTION DATA NORTH (DEGREES) = 0.000 DECAY COEFFICIENT =0.00000000E+00 PROGRAM OPTION SWITCHES = 1, 2, 2, 0, 0, 3, 2, 1, 3, 2, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0,

	RANGE X AXIS	GRID SYSTEM	POINTS (METER	S)=	100.00,	200.00,	300.00,	400.00,	500.00,	750.00,
	1000.00,	1250.00,								
	RANGE X SPECI	AL DISCRETE	POINTS (METER	S)=	30.00,	30.00,	30.00,	34.00,	40.00,	49.00,
	73.00,	146.00,	271.00,	274.00,	290.00,	314.00,	354.00,	326.00,	290.00,	265.00,
	256.00,	253.00,	204.00,	216.00,	235.00,	207.00,	174.00,	152.00,	140.00,	134.00,
	131.00,	134.00,	73.00,	49.00,	40.00,	34.00,	30.00,	30.00,	30.00,	30.00,
,IMUTH	BEARING Y AXIS	GRID SYSTEM	POINTS (DEGRE	ES)=	22.50,	45.00,	67.50,	90.00,	112.50,	135.00,
HTUMI,	BEARING Y AXIS 157.50,	GRID SYSTEM 180.00,			22.50, 247.50,		67.50, 292.50,	•	•	•
		180.00,	202.50,	225.00,	•		292.50,	•	•	•
	157.50,	180.00,	202.50,	225.00,	247.50, 10.00,	270.00, 20.00,	292.50, 30.00,	315.00, 40.00,	337.50, 50.00,	360.00, 60.00,
	157.50, BEARING Y SPECE	180.00, AL DISCRETE	202.50, POINTS (DEGRE	225.00, ES)=	247.50, 10.00, 110.00,	270.00, 20.00, 120.00,	292.50, 30.00, 130.00,	315.00, 40.00, 140.00,	337.50, 50.00, 150.00,	360.00, 60.00, 160.00,

- AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE (DEGREES KELVIN) -

STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY
CATEGORY 1 CATEGORY 2 CATEGORY 3 CATEGORY 4 CATEGORY 5 CATEGORY 6
SEASON 1 299.0000 299.0000 293.0000 287.0000 287.0000

- MIXING LAYER HEIGHT (METERS) -

SEASON 1

- FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF WIND SPEED, DIRECTION AND STABILITY -

SEASON 1

STABILITY CATEGORY 1

	WIND SPEED					
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00032600	0.00079899	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00032600	0.00079899	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00037300	0.00091299	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00034700	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00025400	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00023300	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00028000	0.00068499	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00039400	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.00083399	0.00125599	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.00066900	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00023300	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00018600	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00009300	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00051300	0.00125599	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00025400	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00028000	0.00068499	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

SEASON 1

	WIND SPEED					
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00225298	0.00433797	0.00285398	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00108199	0.00262598	0.00216898	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00085199	0.00216898	0.00182599	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.0000000
67.500	0.00101199	0.00228298	0.00125599	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00145199	0.00445197	0.00136999	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00124099	0.00205499	0.00125599	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00110499	0.00273998	0.00148399	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00105899	0.00251098	0.00171199	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.00179199	0.00273998	0.00216898	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.00073599	0.00159799	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00084999	0.00148399	0.00091299	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00069000	0.00136999	0.00102699	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00124199	0.00273998	0.00159799	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00119599	0.00251098	0.00239698	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00075899	0.00171199	0.00102699	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00071499	0.00216898	0.00136999	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

- FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF WIND SPEED, DIRECTION AND STABILITY -

SEASON 1

STABILITY CATEGORY 3

	WIND SPEED					
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00129299	0.00559396	0.01027393	0.00239698	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00051900	0.00251098	0.00570796	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00058900	0.00285398	0.00513696	0.00068499	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00058600	0.00216898	0.00525096	0.00068499	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00084499	0.00342498	0.00650695	0.00125599	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00077399	0.00308198	0.00353897	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00082199	0.00331098	0.00331098	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00044400	0.00148399	0.00410997	0.00091299	0.00022800	0.00000000
180.000	0.00104999	0.00308198	0.00924693	0.00365297	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.00028300	0.00136999	0.00239698	0.00125599	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00062900	0.00171199	0.00228298	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00094799	0.00125599	0.00205499	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00084099	0.00273998	0.00285398	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00100599	0.00353897	0.00365297	0.00068499	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00060900	0.00228298	0.00262598	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00063300	0.00239698	0.00365297	0.00068499	0.00000000	0.00000000

SEASON 1

	WIND SPEED CATEGORY 1	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED CATEGORY 4	WIND SPEED CATEGORY 5	WIND SPEED
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)					(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00222898	0.00878994	0.01369890	0.01255691	0.00034200	0.00000000
22.500	0.00120499	0.00456597	0.01141592	0.00479497	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00125699	0.00490896	0.01198591	0.00422397	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00111799	0.00662095	0.01324190	0.00947493	0.00057100	0.00000000
90.000	0.00262498	0.01232891	0.01244291	0.00867594	0.00011400	0.00000000
112.500	0.00165999	0.00673495	0.00730595	0.00376697	0.00022800	0.00000000
135.000	0.00094799	0.00547896	0.00639295	0.00331098	0.00022800	0.00011400
157.500	0.00111999	0.00399497	0.00844694	0.00981693	0.00205499	0.00159799
180.000	0.00202399	0.00741995	0.01940586	0.02054785	0.00216898	0.00011400
202.500	0.00116599	0.00342498	0.00353897	0.00353897	0.00022800	0.00000000
225.000	0.00063700	0.00251098	0.00331098	0.00136999	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00102999	0.00251098	0.00194099	0.00114199	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00091599	0.00262598	0.00262598	0.00079899	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00138799	0.00490896	0.00433797	0.00182599	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00123999	0.00479497	0.00399497	0.00205499	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00089699	0.00513696	0.00821894	0.00536496	0.00000000	0.00000000

- FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF WIND SPEED, DIRECTION AND STABILITY -

SEASON 1

STABILITY CATEGORY 5

	WIND SPEED					
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00000000	0.00639295	0.00490896	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00000000	0.00136999	0.00079899	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00000000	0.00285398	0.00239698	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00000000	0.00262598	0.00239698	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00000000	0.01175791	0.00502296	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00000000	0.00490896	0.00114199	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00000000	0.00490896	0.00079899	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00000000	0.00262598	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.00000000	0.00479497	0.00228298	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.00000000	0.00308198	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00000000	0.00205499	0.00148399	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00000000	0.00125599	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00000000	0.00159799	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00000000	0.00251098	0.00079899	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00000000	0.00216898	0.00125599	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00000000	0.00433797	0.00239698	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

SEASON 1

	WIND SPEED					
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.03910672	0.01301390	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00611196	0.00239698	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00994693	0.00388097	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00647895	0.00273998	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.01539189	0.00410997	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00644295	0.00171199	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00442897	0.00159799	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00594996	0.00114199	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.01288491	0.00342498	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.01168292	0.00285398	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.01988686	0.00422397	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.01872086	0.00467997	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.01963386	0.00376697	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.03159377	0.00776294	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.02152784	0.00719195	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.02888379	0.00799094	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

- VERTICAL POTENTIAL TEMPERATURE GRADIENT (DEGREES KELVIN/METER) -

WIND SPEED WIND SPEED

- WIND PROFILE POWER LAW EXPONENTS -

T SOURCE SOURCE X

- SOURCE INPUT DATA -

EMISSION BASE /

A NUMBER	TYPE	COORDINATE (M)	COORDINATE (M)	HEIGHT (M)	ELEV- , ATION , (M) ,	,
1	STACK	0.00	0.00	12.19	0.00	GAS EXIT TEMP (DEG K)= 561.00 , GAS EXIT VEL. (M/SEC)= 51.90 , STACK DIAMETER (M)= 0.590 , HEIGHT OF ASSO. BLDG. (M)= -9.69 , WIDTH OF ASSO. BLDG. (M)= 69.17 , WAKE EFFECTS FLAG = 0

- DIRECTION SPECIFIC BUILDING DIMENSIONS -

CTOR	DSBH	DSBW	IWAKE	SECTOR	DSBH	DSBW	IWAKE	SECTOR	DSBH	DSBW	IWAKE	SECTOR	DSBH	DSBW	IWAKE
1	9.7,	69.2,	0	2	9.7,	69.2,	0	3	9.7,	69.2,	0	4	9.7,	69.2,	0
5	9.7,	69.2,	0	6	9.7,	69.2,	0	7	9.7,	69.2,	0	8	9.7,	69.2,	0
9	9.7,	69.2,	0	10	9.7,	69.2,	0	11	9.7,	69.2.	0	12	9.7	69.2,	0
13	9.7,	69.2,	0	14	9.7,	69.2,	0	15	9.7,	69.2,	0	16	9.7	69.2,	0

- SOURCE STRENGTHS (GRAMS PER SEC)
SEASON 1 SEASON 2 SEASON 3 SEASON 4
2.22000E+00

RNING - HW/HB > 5 FOR SOURCE 1 PROG. USES LATERAL VIRTUAL DIST. FOR UPPER BOUND OF CONCENTRATION (DEPOSITION) IN SECTOR(S):
1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16.

LOWER BOUND IS DESIRED SET THE DIRECTION SPECIFIC BUILDING HEIGHT TO < 0 (WAKE EFFECTS FLAG) AND REFUN.

134.0

40.0

30.0

280.0

310.0

340.0

0.137751

0.006564

0.055165

73.0

34.0

30.0

290.0

320.0

350.0

0.060493

0.018961

0.033820

***** PAGE

**	- ANNU	JAL G	ROUND LEV	EL CONCENT	RATION (BIC METER RECEPTORS -)	DUE TO SO	URCE	1	**
								, METERS) -					
		10	0.000	200.000	300		00.000	500.000	750.0	nn 1nn	0.000	1250.000	
AXIS (AZ	ZIMUTH E		NG, DEGRE		200.			NTRATION -	, ,	100	0.000	12301011	
	• • • •						· · · · · · · · · ·						
360.	.000	0.	373352	0.485878	0.42	6173 (.561418	0.685812	0.722	752 0.	643275	0.545886	
337.	.500	0.	390431	0.364294	0.28	5772 (.338137	0.392717	0.390	401 0.	336331	0.280048	
315	.000	0.	115672	0.142769	0.13	0419 (1.175143	0.218905	0.243	199 0.	226638	0.200001	
292.	.500	0.	088777	0.119678	0.11	3068 (158444	0.203612	0.237	658 0.	228459	0.205425	
270.	.000	0.	129216	0.195734	0.18	7127 (.274230	0.364265	0.426	740 0.	406851	0.367280	
247.	.500	0.	129858	0.184818	0.17	2819 (.248123	0.323750	0.375	034 0.	352296	0.308617	
225	.000	0.	121320	0.169288	0.15	9778 (.217657	0.271003	0.304	158 0.	287745	0.253967	
202.	.500	0.	135352	0.184046	0.17	2674 (.235941	0.294183	0.324	183 0.	297866	0.256256	
180.	.000	0.	255873	0.357560	0.32	7741 (.445952	0.556604	0.606	338 0.	550451	0.476735	
157.	.500	0.	099133	0.142987	0.13	5133 (187009	0.236323	0.263	348 0.	246613	0.219510	
135.	.000	٥.	065369	0.086261	0.08	0320 (111536	0.142647	0.161	387 0.	152040	0.136579	
	.500	0.	143421	0.178598	0.16	2601 (.205955	0.239580	0.236	509 0.	209127	0.181004	
90.	.000	0.	093882	0,110365	0.09	8446 (128211	0.157858	0.170	876 0.	153277	0.131319	
67.	.500	0.	067223	0.086055	0.07	8466 (.101194	0.119696	0.119	421 0.	105512	0.091891	
45.	.000	٥.	062844	0.085860	0.08	0816 (107803	0.131298	0.139	642 0.	128516	0.113665	
22.	.500	0.	079420	0.113799	0.10	4573 (142728	0.177237	0.181	011 0.	158181	0.135171	
						- DI	COETE DE	CEPTORS -					
x	Y		CONCENTRA	TION	¥	Y			¥	Y	CONCE	NTRATION	
ANGE	AZIMUTI		CONCENTRA			AZIMUTH	00.102.		RANGE	AZIMUTH			
11105	BEARING				MANUE	BEARING			MANGE	BEARING			
4ETERS)					(METERS)	(DEGREES)	,		(METERS)	(DEGREES)	ı		
1616837							·						
30.0	1	10.0	0.00	18944	30.0	20.	.0 (0.002656	30.0	30.	0	0.000848	
34.0		0.0		0343	40.0			0.000101	49.0			0.001383	
73.0		70.0		4597	146.0			0.096809	271.0			0.102992	
274.0		0.0		0310	290.0			157045	314.0			0.131839	
354.0		30.0		5780	326.0			0.088972	290.0			0.117094	
265.0		50.0		3431	256.0			.254956	253.0			0.352073	
204.0		0.0		5539	216.0			201517	235.0			0.176650	
207.0		20.0		0568	174.0			166713	152.0			0.164775	
140.0		50.0		5251	134.0			.161032	131.0			0.166179	
140.0	2.2		0.10		134.0	200			131.0	L.O.	-	7.100.17	

300.0

330.0

360.0

49.0

30.0

30.0

0.007995

0.040979

0.015681

** ANNUAL GROUND LEVEL CONCENTRATION (MICROGRAMS PER CUBIC METER

) DUE TO SOURCE 1 (CONT.) **

- 10 CONTRIBUTING VALUES TO PROGRAM DETERMINED MAXIMUM 10 OF ALL SOURCES COMBINED -

X	Y	CONCENTRATION
COORDINATE	COORDINATE	
RANGE	AZIMUTH	
	BEARING	
(METERS)	(DEGREES)	
750.00	360.00	0.722 752
500.00	360.00	0.685812
1000.00	360.00	0.643275
750.00	180.00	0.606338
400.00	360.00	0.561418
500.00	180.00	0.556604
1000.00	180.00	0.550451
1250.00	360.00	0.545886
200.00	360.00	0.485878
1250.00	180.00	0.476735

********	END -	OF	ISCLT PROGRAM,	1	SOURCES PROCESSED	********

ISCLTK6L MODEL, A VERSION OF
ISCLT (VERSION 90008)
AN AIR QUALITY DISPERSION MODEL IN
SECTION 1. GUIDELINE MODELS.
IN UNAMAP (VERSION 6) JAN 1990.
SOURCE: FILE 7 ON UNAMAP MAGNETIC TAPE FROM NTIS.

CONVERTED BY:
KBN ENGINEERING AND APPLIED SCIENCES, INC.
GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA
(904)331-9000

COPYRIGHT 1990 L

CARD INPUT FILE IS

TITLE OF RUN IS

ER15LT84.181

SUMMARY OUTPUT FILE IS ER15LT84.081

R15LT84.081

1984 ENRON STATION 15 / 40 FT STACK

10-29-90

NUMBER OF SOURCES = 1 NUMBER OF X AXIS GRID SYSTEM POINTS = NUMBER OF Y AXIS GRID SYSTEM POINTS = NUMBER OF SPECIAL POINTS = 36 NUMBER OF SEASONS = 1 NUMBER OF WIND SPEED CLASSES = 6 NUMBER OF STABILITY CLASSES = 6 NUMBER OF WIND DIRECTION CLASSES = 16 FILE NUMBER OF DATA FILE USED FOR REPORTS = 1 THE PROGRAM IS RUN IN RURAL MODE CONCENTRATION (DEPOSITION) UNITS CONVERSION FACTOR =0.10000000E+07 ACCELERATION OF GRAVITY (METERS/SEC**2) = 9.800 HEIGHT OF MEASUREMENT OF WIND SPEED (METERS) = 7.620 CORRECTION ANGLE FOR GRID SYSTEM VERSUS DIRECTION DATA NORTH (DEGREES) = 0.000 DECAY COEFFICIENT =0.00000000E+00 PROGRAM OPTION SWITCHES = 1, 2, 2, 0, 0, 3, 2, 1, 3, 2, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0,

	RANGE X AXIS	GRID SYSTEM	POINTS (METER	S)=	100.00,	200.00,	300.00,	400.00,	500.00,	750.00,
	1000.00,	1250.00,								
	RANGE X SPECIA	AL DISCRETE	POINTS (METER	S)=	30.00,	30.00,	30.00,	34.00,	40.00,	49.00,
	<i>7</i> 3.00,	146.00,	271.00,	274.00,	290.00,	314.00,	354.00,	326.00,	290.00,	265.00,
	256.00,	253.00,	204.00,	216.00,	235.00,	207.00,	174.00,	152.00,	140.00,	134.00,
	131.00,	134.00,	73.00,	49.00,	40.00,	34.00,	30.00,	30.00,	30.00,	30.00,
					00 50	45 00	/= = = =	00 00	443 50	475 66
CIMUTH	BEARING Y AXIS	GRID SYSTEM	POINTS (DEGRE	ES)=	22.50,	45.00,	67.50,	90.00,	112.50,	135.00,
HTUMIÇ	157.50,				22.50, 247. 5 0,	•	•	•	337.50,	•
		180.00,	202.50,	225.00,	247.50,	•	292.50	•	337.50,	•
	157.50,	180.00,	202.50,	225.00, ES)=	247.50, 10.00,	270.00, 20.00,	292.50, 30.00,	315.00, 40.00,	337.50,	360.00, 60.00,
	157.50, BEARING Y SPECIA	180.00, AL DISCRETE 80.00,	202.50, POINTS (DEGRE	225.00, ES)= 100.00,	247.50, 10.00,	270.00, 20.00, 120.00,	292.50, 30.00,	315.00, 40.00, 140.00,	337.50, 50.00,	360.00, 60.00, 160.00,

- AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE (DEGREES KELVIN) -

STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY
CATEGORY 1 CATEGORY 2 CATEGORY 3 CATEGORY 4 CATEGORY 5 CATEGORY 6
SEASON 1 299.0000 299.0000 293.0000 287.0000 287.0000

- MIXING LAYER HEIGHT (METERS) -

SEASON 1

- FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF WIND SPEED, DIRECTION AND STABILITY -

SEASON 1

STABILITY CATEGORY 1

	WIND SPEED					
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00071600	0.00091100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00026000	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00021100	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00024400	0.00056900	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00040700	0.00056900	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00009800	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00030900	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00073200	0.00056900	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.00055300	0.00091100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.00009800	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00047200	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00019500	0.00045500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00050400	0.00079700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00014600	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00014600	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00014600	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

SEASON 1

	WIND SPEED					
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00176399	0.00307399	0.00295999	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00082900	0.00193499	0.00204899	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00114600	0.00341499	0.00193499	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00096700	0.00193499	0.00136599	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00161699	0.00432598	0.00102500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00148799	0.00307399	0.00068300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00173899	0.00489498	0.00147999	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00189399	0.00239099	0.00113800	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.00231699	0.00500898	0.00330099	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.00087000	0.00147999	0.00056900	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00189399	0.00239099	0.00102500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00080500	0.00182099	0.00136599	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00090200	0.00227699	0.00136599	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00081300	0.00250499	0.00079700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00066600	0.00182099	0.00102500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00134899	0.00307399	0.00159399	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

- FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF WIND SPEED, DIRECTION AND STABILITY -

SEASON 1

STABILITY CATEGORY 3

	WIND SPEED						
					CATEGORY 5		
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY	CATEGORY 6	
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)	
(DEGREES)							
0.000	0.00145199	0.00637498	0.00899397	0.00136599	0.00011400	0.00000000	
22.500	0.00054600	0.00284599	0.00387099	0.00068300	0.00000000	0.00000000	
45.000	0.00046500	0.00318799	0.00466798	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000	
67.500	0.00026600	0.00182099	0.00500898	0.00125200	0.00000000	0.00000000	
90.000	0.00113600	0.00421198	0.00626098	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000	
112.500	0.00067800	0.00375699	0.00409798	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000	
135.000	0.00090900	0.00443998	0.00432598	0.00068300	0.00000000	0.00000000	
157.500	0.00072600	0.00318799	0.00512298	0.00147999	0.00000000	0.00000000	
180.000	0.00113600	0.00421198	0.01400295	0.00352899	0.00022800	0.00000000	
202.500	0.00028200	0.00193499	0.00455398	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000	
225.000	0.00056000	0.00204899	0.00352899	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000	
247.500	0.00041300	0.00193499	0.00250499	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000	
270.000	0.00056200	0.00295999	0.00318799	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000	
292.500	0.00064300	0.00261799	0.00307399	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000	
315.000	0.00031600	0.00216299	0.00239099	0.00045500	0.00000000	0.00000000	
337.500	0.00061200	0.00330099	0.00432598	0.00102500	0.00000000	0.00000000	

SEASON 1

	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00399998	0.00637498	0.01138396	0.01092896	0.00011400	0.00000000
22.500	0.00171299	0.00500898	0.00785497	0.00648898	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00197299	0.00489498	0.00796897	0.00330099	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00244499	0.00398498	0.00842397	0.00307399	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00313499	0.00899397	0.01092896	0.00250499	0.00011400	0.00000000
112.500	0.00268499	0.00637498	0.00762797	0.00284599	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00289399	0.00762797	0.00626098	0.00387099	0.00011400	0.00000000
157.500	0.00224999	0.00432598	0.00705797	0.00785497	0.00091100	0.00022800
180.000	0.00488698	0.01001796	0.01832893	0.01741793	0.00261799	0.00022800
202.500	0.00276999	0.00409798	0.00478098	0.00432598	0.00056900	0.00000000
225.000	0.00160199	0.00307399	0.00398498	0.00273199	0.00011400	0.00000000
247.500	0.00147299	0.00261799	0.00227699	0.00216299	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00097000	0.00239099	0.00170799	0.00147999	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00197499	0.00387099	0.00330099	0.00193499	0.00011400	0.00000000
315.000	0.00163599	0.00216299	0.00352899	0.00147999	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00195799	0.00432598	0.00466798	0.00261799	0.00000000	0.00000000

- FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF WIND SPEED, DIRECTION AND STABILITY -

SEASON 1

STABILITY CATEGORY 5

	WIND SPEED					
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00000000	0.00648898	0.00318799	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00000000	0.00136599	0.00102500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00000000	0.00250499	0.00170799	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00000000	0.00204899	0.00147999	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00000000	0.00796897	0.00489498	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00000000	0.00398498	0.00091100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00000000	0.00284599	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00000000	0.00284599	0.00056900	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.00000000	0.00705797	0.00398498	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.00000000	0.00375699	0.00125200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00000000	0.00261799	0.00102500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00000000	0.00113800	0.00068300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00000000	0.00125200	0.00113800	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00000000	0.00182099	0.00068300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00000000	0.00227699	0.00079700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337,500	0.00000000	0.00341499	0.00204899	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

SEASON 1

	WIND SPEED					
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.03400387	0.00933496	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00504398	0.00159399	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.01091696	0.00352899	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00971296	0.00239099	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.03096188	0.00808297	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.01042896	0.00284599	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00322099	0.00068300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00505998	0.00079700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.02293991	0.00478098	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.01805893	0.00341499	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.02088992	0.00409798	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.02015792	0.00443998	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.02025492	0.00239099	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.02666590	0.00535098	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.02108592	0.00546398	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.02918789	0.00751397	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

- VERTICAL POTENTIAL TEMPERATURE GRADIENT (DEGREES KELVIN/METER) -

WIND SPEED WIND SPEED

- WIND PROFILE POWER LAW EXPONENTS -

- SOURCE INPUT DATA -

	TYPE	COORDINATE (M)			ELEV-	- SOURCE DETAILS DEPENDING ON TYPE -	
1	STACK	0.00	0.00	12.19	(M) 0.00	GAS EXIT TEMP (DEG K)= 561.00, GAS EXIT VEL. (M/SEC)= 51.90, STACK DIAMETER (M)= 0.590, HEIGHT OF ASSO. BLDG. (M)= -9.69, WIDTH OF ASSO. BLDG. (M)= 69.17, WAKE EFFECTS FLAG = 0	

- DIRECTION SPECIFIC BUILDING DIMENSIONS -

TOR	DSBH	DSBW	IWAKE	SECTOR	DSBH	DSBW	IWAKE	SECTOR	DSBH	DSBW	IWAKE	SECTOR	DSBH	DSBW	IWAKE
1	9.7,	69.2,	0	2	9.7,	69.2,	0	3	9.7,	69.2,	0	4	9.7,	69.2,	0
5	9.7,	69.2,	0	6	9.7,	69.2,	0	7	9.7,	69.2,	0	8	9.7,	69.2,	0
9	9.7,	69.2,	0	10	9.7,	69.2,	0	11	9.7,	69.2,	0	12	9.7,	69.2,	0
13	9.7,	69.2,	0	14	9.7,	69.2,	0	15	9.7,	69.2,	0	16	9.7,	69.2,	0

- SOURCE STRENGTHS	(GRAMS PER	SEC)
SEASON 1	SEASON 2	SEASON 3	SEASON 4	
2.22000E+00				

IING - HW/HB > 5 FOR SOURCE 1 PROG. USES LATERAL VIRTUAL DIST. FOR UPPER BOUND OF CONCENTRATION (DEPOSITION) IN SECTOR(S):
1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16.

OWER BOUND IS DESIRED SET THE DIRECTION SPECIFIC BUILDING HEIGHT TO < 0 (WAKE EFFECTS FLAG) AND RERUN.

10-29-90

140.0

134.0

40.0

30.0

250.0

280.0

310.0

340.0

0.140399

0.080378

0.001190

0.012805

134.0

73.0

34.0

30.0

260.0

290.0

320.0

350.0

0.113929

0.031312

0.003619

0.017109

131.0

49.0

30.0

30.0

270.0

300.0

330.0

360.0

0.095134

0.002011

0.008038

0.022529

#1	* ANNUAL	L GROUND LE	VEL CONCENT	RATION (SIC METER)	DUE TO SO	URCE	1	**
							ECEPTORS -					
		400 000	300 000	700			, METERS) -	T			4050 000	
V16 (A		100.000	200.000	300.			500.000	750.0	00 100	0.000	1250.000	
(15 (A		ARING, DEGR	•••••			- CUNCE	NTRATION -			-		
360	.000	0.473381	0.574611	0.49	7903 (0.647903	0.785000	0.813	539 0.1	715413	0.605096	
337	.500	0.182264	0.227099	0.20	1213 (270024	0.336520	0.358	974 0.3	318260	0.268943	
315	.000	0.107656	0.145673	0.13	B657 (200582	0.266291	0.307	997 0.2	283162	0.244919	
292	.500	0.049061	0.081889	0.08	5117 (.134292	0.188463	0.241		236662	0.212492	
270	.000	0.073928	0.118696	0.12	4334 (. 193996	0.265980	0.325		320207	0.293532	
		0.113538	0.158475			194300	0.237055	0.254		232802	0.201002	
		0.105483	0.133638			178013	0.233573	0.271		253788	0.221338	
		0.131708	0.169928			204390	0.256180	0.284		260765	0.225143	
		0.224370	0.308421			389214	0.486067	0.527		477824	0.413436	
		0.115300	0.150553	0.13	7265 (.183053	0.227514	0.247		224916	0.195806	
135	.000	0.067724	0.088071	0.08	1187 (108790	0.135222	0.148		135681	0.118499	
		0.057610	0.081537	0.079		116878	0.153337	0.173		159331	0.139415	
		0.075960	0.102842	0.10		139296	0.172170	0.174		151004	0.127400	
		0.078538	0.099809	0.09	2026 (.122856	0.150094	0.155		136247	0.116084	
45	.000	0.073447	0.101708	0.09	7884 (141864	0.185125	0.207	314 0.1	188034	0.162078	
22	.500	0.077991	0.110159	0.10		151246	0.195610	0.218	638 0.	199549	0.173780	
					2.4							
v	v	CONCENTE	ATION	x			CEPTORS -	v	v	COVCE	NTDATION	
	Y	CONCENTR	ATTUN		Y	CUNCEN	TRATION		Υ	CONCE	NTRATION	
1GE	AZIMUTH			RANGE	AZIMUTH			RANGE	AZIMUTH			
	BEARING			(METERC)	BEARING			(MEXEBO)	BEARING			
: (EK2)	(DEGREES)	, . 		(METEKS)	(DEGREES)	, 		(METEKS)	(DEGREES)	·		
30.0	10.	.0 0.0	13418	30.0	20.	.0 0	.005014	30.0	30.0)	0.002297	
34.0	40.	.0 0.0	01506	40.0	50.	.0 0	.001146	49.0	60.0)	0.002395	
73.0	70.	.0 0.0	51811	146.0			.093834	271.0			0.102997	
274.0	100.	.0 0.0	92207	290.0	110.	.0 0	.082214	314.0			0.078554	
354.0		.0 0.0	88158	326.0	140.	.0 0	.089804	290.0		י כ	0.119165	
265.0			60419	256.0			.230301	253.0			0.304398	
204.0			42557	216.0	200.	.0 0	.182687	235.0)	0.153968	
207.0	220.		39752	174.0	230,	.0 0	.136020	152.0	240.0		0.141573	
1/0 0	250		(0700	47/ 0	240		447030	474 0	270		0.00047/	

** ANNUAL GROUND LEVEL CONCENTRATION (MICROGRAMS PER CUBIC METER

) DUE TO SOURCE

1 (CONT.) **

- 10 CONTRIBUTING VALUES TO PROGRAM DETERMINED MAXIMUM 10 OF ALL SOURCES COMBINED -

X	Y	CONCENTRATION
COORDINATE	COORDINATE	
RANGE	AZIMUTH	
	BEARING	
(METERS)	(DEGREES)	
750.00	360.00	0.813539
500.00	360.00	0.785000
1000.00	360.00	0.715413
400.00	360.00	0.647903
1250.00	360.00	0.605096
200.00	. 360.00	0.574611
750.00	180.00	0.527002
300.00	360.00	0.497903
500.00	180.00	0.486067
1000.00	180-00	0.477824

***********	END	OF	ISCLT PROGRAM,	1	SOURCES PROCESSED	*******

ISCLTK6L MODEL, A VERSION OF
ISCLT (VERSION 90008)
AN AIR QUALITY DISPERSION MODEL IN
SECTION 1. GUIDELINE MODELS.
IN UNAMAP (VERSION 6) JAN 1990.
SOURCE: FILE 7 ON UNAMAP MAGNETIC TAPE FROM NTIS.

CONVERTED BY:

KBN ENGINEERING AND APPLIED SCIENCES, INC.

GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA

(904)331-9000

COPYRIGHT 1990 L

CARD INPUT FILE IS

ER15LT85.181 ER15LT85.081

SUMMARY OUTPUT FILE IS TITLE OF RUN IS

R15LT85.081

1985 ENRON STATION 15 / 40 FT STACK

10-29-90

NUMBER OF SOURCES = 1

- ISCLT INPUT DATA (CONT.) -

```
NUMBER OF X AXIS GRID SYSTEM POINTS = 8

NUMBER OF Y AXIS GRID SYSTEM POINTS = 16

NUMBER OF SPECIAL POINTS = 36

NUMBER OF SEASONS = 1

NUMBER OF WIND SPEED CLASSES = 6

NUMBER OF STABILITY CLASSES = 6

NUMBER OF WIND DIRECTION CLASSES = 16

FILE NUMBER OF DATA FILE USED FOR REPORTS = 1

THE PROGRAM IS RUN IN RURAL MODE

CONCENTRATION (DEPOSITION) UNITS CONVERSION FACTOR =0.10000000E+07

ACCELERATION OF GRAVITY (METERS/SEC**2) = 9.800

HEIGHT OF MEASUREMENT OF WIND SPEED (METERS) = 7.620

CORRECTION ANGLE FOR GRID SYSTEM VERSUS DIRECTION DATA NORTH (DEGREES) = 0.000

DECAY COEFFICIENT =0.00000000E+00

PROGRAM OPTION SWITCHES = 1, 2, 2, 0, 0, 3, 2, 1, 3, 2, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0
```

	RANGE X AXIS	GRID SYSTEM	POINTS (MET	ERS)=	100.00,	200.00,	300.00,	400.00,	500.00,	750.00,
	1000.00,	1250.00,								
	RANGE X SPECI	AL DISCRETE	POINTS (MET	ERS)=	30.00,	30.00,	30.00,	34.00,	40.00,	49.00,
	73.00,	146.00,	271.00,	274.00,	290.00,	314.00,	354.00,	326.00,	290.00,	265.00,
	256.00,	253.00,	204.00,	216.00,	235.00,	207.00,	174.00,	152.00,	140.00,	134.00,
	131.00,	134.00,	73.00,	49.00,	40.00,	34.00,	30.00,	30.00,	30.00,	30.00,
HTUM:	BEARING Y AXIS	GRID SYSTEM	POINTS (DEGI	REES)=	22.50,	45.00,	67.50,	90.00,	112.50,	135.00,
:MUTH	BEARING Y AXIS 157.50,	GRID SYSTEM 180.00,			22.50, 247.50,	•	67.50, 292.50,	•	•	•
		180.00,	202.50,	225.00,	247.50,	•	•	•	•	•
	157.50,	180.00,	202.50,	225.00,	247.50, 10.00,	270.00, 20.00,	292.50, 30.00,	315.00, 40.00,	337.50, 50.00,	360.00, 60.00,
	157.50, BEARING Y SPECI	180.00, AL DISCRETE	202.50, POINTS (DEG	225.00, REES)=	247.50, 10.00, 110.00,	270.00, 20.00, 120.00,	292.50, 30.00, 130.00,	315.00, 40.00, 140.00,	337.50, 50.00, 150.00,	360.00, 60.00, 160.00,

- AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE (DEGREES KELVIN) -

STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY
CATEGORY 1 CATEGORY 2 CATEGORY 3 CATEGORY 4 CATEGORY 5 CATEGORY 6
SEASON 1 299.0000 299.0000 293.0000 287.0000 287.0000

- MIXING LAYER HEIGHT (METERS) -

SEASON 1

- FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF WIND SPEED, DIRECTION AND STABILITY -

SEASON 1

STABILITY CATEGORY 1

	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00086099	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00013500	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00072299	0.00102699	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.0000000
67.500	0.00018000	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00051900	0.00091299	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.0000000
112.500	0.00042900	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00054300	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.0000000
157.500	0.00027000	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.0000000
180.000	0.00133899	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.00038400	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00013500	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00033900	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00029400	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00036300	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00022500	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00033900	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

SEASON 1

	WIND SPEED					
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00188499	0.00445197	0.00205499	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00139499	0.00216898	0.00182599	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00097499	0.00285398	0.00171199	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00208399	0.00319598	0.00171199	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00285798	0.00308198	0.00262598	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00163499	0.00285398	0.00114199	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00227698	0.00273998	0.00159799	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00135999	0.00194099	0.00102699	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.00260498	0.00399497	0.00171199	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.00181599	0.00148399	0.00091299	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00190599	0.00205499	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00098899	0.00125599	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00149199	0.00194099	0.00136999	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00069999	0.00194099	0.00125599	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00124599	0.00205499	0.00114199	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00160599	0.00182599	0.00148399	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

- FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF WIND SPEED, DIRECTION AND STABILITY -

SEASON 1

STABILITY CATEGORY 3

	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00148899	0.00570796	0.00947493	0.00114199	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00037300	0.00296798	0.00410997	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00025800	0.00205499	0.00467997	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00075899	0.00296798	0.00890394	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00111599	0.00273998	0.00741995	0.00102699	0.00011400	0.00000000
112.500	0.00078799	0.00319598	0.00376697	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00081599	0.00342498	0.00296798	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00085799	0.00171199	0.00490896	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.00078799	0.00422397	0.00707795	0.00171199	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.00091599	0.00319598	0.00331098	0.00114199	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00090199	0.00308198	0.00239698	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00100099	0.00182599	0.00148399	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00100199	0.00285398	0.00296798	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00115899	0.00308198	0.00285398	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00094499	0.00342498	0.00422397	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00053000	0.00216898	0.00422397	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000

SEASON 1

	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00249098	0.00616396	0.00947493	0.00947493	0.00068500	0.00000000
22.500	0.00069599	0.00376697	0.00296798	0.00228298	0.00034200	0.00000000
45.000	0.00123199	0.00593596	0.00467997	0.00136999	0.00114199	0.00000000
67.500	0.00192399	0.00821894	0.00844694	0.00319598	0.00011400	0.00045700
90.000	0.00308798	0.01232891	0.01221491	0.00388097	0.00068500	0.00022800
112.500	0.00250998	0.00993193	0.00776294	0.00182599	0.00034200	0.00000000
135.000	0.00199199	0.00639295	0.00433797	0.00205499	0.00068500	0.00034200
157.500	0.00163399	0.00445197	0.00582196	0.00604996	0.00022800	0.00011400
180.000	0.00270498	0.00878994	0.01997686	0.01849287	0.00079899	0.00022800
202.500	0.00229198	0.00582196	0.00616396	0.00273998	0.00011400	0.00011400
225.000	0.00239398	0.00490896	0.00342498	0.00148399	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00159999	0.00353897	0.00262598	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00219598	0.00456597	0.00365297	0.00114199	0.00011400	0.00000000
292.500	0.00229698	0.00365297	0.00353897	0.00114199	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00136299	0.00445197	0.00285398	0.00148399	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00177799	0.00376697	0.00547896	0.00342498	0.00000000	0.00000000

- FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF WIND SPEED, DIRECTION AND STABILITY -

SEASON 1

STABILITY CATEGORY 5

	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED				
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MP\$)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00000000	0.00593596	0.00410997	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00000000	0.00194099	0.00102699	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00000000	0.00513696	0.00194099	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00000000	0.00559396	0.00228298	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00000000	0.00844694	0.00331098	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00000000	0.00502296	0.00148399	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00000000	0.00582196	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00000000	0.00239698	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.00000000	0.00593596	0.00205499	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.00000000	0.00502296	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00000000	0.00228298	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00000000	0.00194099	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00000000	0.00216898	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00000000	0.00205499	0.00079899	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00000000	0.00308198	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00000000	0.00342498	0.00171199	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

SEASON 1

	WIND SPEED					
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.03074678	0.00821894	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.01093692	0.00433797	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.01329891	0.00696295	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.01314091	0.00525096	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.02755880	0.01015993	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.01419890	0.00388097	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00933393	0.00251098	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00302498	0.00102699	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.02069285	0.00331098	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.02024486	0.00251098	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.02041185	0.00296798	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.01698288	0.00296798	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.01904986	0.00308198	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.02031085	0.00525096	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.02290984	0.00639295	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.02163185	0.00673495	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

- VERTICAL POTENTIAL TEMPERATURE GRADIENT (DEGREES KELVIN/METER) -

WIND SPEED WIND SPEED

- WIND PROFILE POWER LAW EXPONENTS -

* SOURCE SOURCE X

- SOURCE INPUT DATA -

EMISSION BASE /

. NUMBER	TYPE	COORDINATE (M)	COORDINATE:(M)	HEIGHT (M)	ELEV- ATION (M)	
1	STACK	0.00	0.00	12.19	0.00	GAS EXIT TEMP (DEG K)= 561.00 , GAS EXIT VEL. (M/SEC)= 51.90 , STACK DIAMETER (M)= 0.590 , HEIGHT OF ASSO. BLDG. (M)= -9.69 , WIDTH OF ASSO. BLDG. (M)= 69.17 , WAKE EFFECTS FLAG = 0

- DIRECTION SPECIFIC BUILDING DIMENSIONS -

TOR	DSBH	DSBW	IWAKE.	SECTOR	DSBH	DSBW	IWAKE	SECTOR	DSBH	DSBW	IWAKE	SECTOR	DSBH	DSBW	IWAKE
1	9.7,	69.2,	C	2	9.7,	69.2,	0	3	9.7,	69.2,	0	4	9.7,	69.2,	0
5	9.7,	69.2,	0	6	9.7,	69.2,	0	7	9.7,	69.2,	0	8	9.7,	69.2,	0
9	9.7.	69.2,	0	10	9.7,	69.2,	0	11	9.7,	69.2,	0	12	9.7,	69.2,	0
13	9.7,	69.2,	0	14	9.7,	69.2,	0	15	9.7,	69.2,	0	16	9.7,	69.2,	0

- SOURCE STRENGTHS (GRAMS PER SEC) -SEASON 1 SEASON 2 SEASON 3 SEASON 4 2.22000E+00

NING - HW/HB > 5 FOR SOURCE 1 PROG. USES LATERAL VIRTUAL DIST. FOR UPPER BOUND OF CONCENTRATION (DEPOSITION) IN SECTOR(S):

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16.

LOWER BOUND IS DESIRED SET THE DIRECTION SPECIFIC BUILDING HEIGHT TO < 0 (WAKE EFFECTS FLAG) AND REFUN.

0.194871

0.018581

0.005296

73.0

34.0

30.0

290.0

320.0

350.0

0.070712

0.014533

0.008102

49.0

30.0

30.0

300.0

330.0

360.0

0.015788

0.007432

0.011411

134.0

40.0

30.0

280.0

310.0

340.0

•	** ANNUA	L GROUND L	EVEL CONCENT	RATION ()	DUE TO SO	URCE	1	**
							CEPTORS -					
							, METERS) -					
		100.000		300.	000 4		500.000	750.0	00 100	0.000	1250.000	
AXIS (AZIMUIN BE	ARING, DEG	 			- CONCEN	TRATION -					
36	0.000	0.229599	0.320251	0.29	5170 0	.426474	0.563245	0.649	849 0.	601087	0.520161	
33	7.500	0.103772	0.149804	0.14	2965 0	.201708	0.254791	0.273	547 0.	243832	0.207617	
31	5.000	0.151108	0.154302	0.13	2396 0	.170630	0.210446	0.231	108 0.	214146	0.189286	
29	2.500	0.093434	0.122291	0.11	5885 0	.161683	0.207661	0.241	734 0.	235007	0.214635	
27	0.000	0.234365	0.272225	0.23	9372 0	.307643	0.370596	0.399	595 0.	375105	0.336786	
24	7.500	0.160917	0.200772	0.18	6844 0	.258207	0.324207	0.354	778 0.	324215	0.282733	
229	5.000	0.167757	0.192330	0.17	0650 0	.219560	0.258538	0.248	251 0.	214463	0.184537	
207	2.500	0.125644	0.148228	0.13	1095 0	.169936 0.205340		0.215	294 0.	190627	0.162220	
180	0.000	0.192122	0.268363	0.25	3318 0	.363900	0.469250	0.469250 0.5128		460631	0.396207	
15	7.500	0.091486	0.125235	0.11	8332 0	. 164335	0.207386	0.228		210278	0.183947	
13!	5.000	0.082939	0.116409	0.11	0578 0	.150377	0.185481	0.198	598 0.	180051	0.157490	
113	2.500	0.084747	0.103549	0.09	2222 0	.122028	0.152167	0.167	488 0.	155390	0.137919	
90	0.000	0.079714	0.096145	0.08	8432 0	.119999	0.151381	0.171	564 0.	161272	0.143216	
67	7.500	0.039158	0.052150	0.05	0825 0	.070587	0.089449	0.101	797 0.	098713	0.090709	
45	5.000	0.034474	0.056636	0.05	8613 0	.089562 0.123484		0.158	571 0.	156452	0.141864	
22	2.500	0.102172	0.133986	0.12	0877 0	. 158422	0.195248	0.221	73 8 0.	212101	0.190643	
					- DIS	CRETE REC	EPTORS -					
X	Y	CONCENT	RATION	X	Y	CONCENT		x	Y	CONCE	NTRATION	
RANGE	AZIMUTH			RANGE	AZIMUTH			RANGE	AZIMUTH			
	BEARING				BEARING				BEARING			
METERS) (DEGREES)		(METERS)	(DEGREES)			(METERS)	(DEGREES)			

30.0			007828	30.0			004717	30.0			0.002666	
34.0			000982	40.0			000063	49.0			0.000831	
73.0			028343	146.0			072786	271.0			0.091981	
274.0			092521	290.0			092660	314.0			0.095512	
354.0			116794	326.0	140.		108428	290.0			0.115679	
265.0			138310	256.0			201252	253.0			0.268602	
204.0			211271	216.0			158538	235.0			0.156361	
207.0			179994	174.0			193252	152.0			0.190897	
140.0	250	.0 0.	197112	134.0	260.	0 0.	227314	131.0	270.	0	0.267349	
47/ 4			0/07/	. .	200					_		

** ANNUAL GROUND LEVEL CONCENTRATION (MICROGRAMS PER CUBIC METER) DUE TO SOURCE 1 (CONT.) **

- 10 CONTRIBUTING VALUES TO PROGRAM DETERMINED MAXIMUM 10 OF ALL SOURCES COMBINED -

X	Y	CONCENTRATION		
COORDINATE	COORDINATE			
RANGE	AZIMUTH			
	BEARING			
(METERS)	(DEGREES)			
750.00	360.00	0.649849		
1000.00	360.00	0.601087		
500.00	360.00	0.563245		
1250.00	360.00	0.520161		
750.00	180.00	0.512876		
500.00	180.00	0.469250		
1000.00	180.00	0.460631		
400.00	360.00	0.426474		
750.00	270.00	0.399595		
1250.00	180.00	0.396207		

*************	ND OF ISO	CLT PROGRAM,	1 SOURCES PROCESSED	***********

ISCLTK6L MODEL, A VERSION OF ISCLT (VERSION 90008) AN AIR QUALITY DISPERSION MODEL IN SECTION 1. GUIDELINE MODELS. IN UNAMAP (VERSION 6) JAN 1990. SOURCE: FILE 7 ON UNAMAP MAGNETIC TAPE FROM NTIS.

CONVERTED BY : KBN ENGINEERING AND APPLIED SCIENCES, INC. GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA (904)331-9000

COPYRIGHT 1990 L

CARD INPUT FILE IS

ER15LT86.181

SUMMARY OUTPUT FILE IS ER15LT86.081
TITLE OF RUN IS 1986 ENRON STATION 15 / 40 FT STACK

10-2**9-90**

0,

- ISCLT INPUT DATA (CONT.) -

NUMBER OF SOURCES = 1 NUMBER OF X AXIS GRID SYSTEM POINTS = NUMBER OF Y AXIS GRID SYSTEM POINTS = 16 NUMBER OF SPECIAL POINTS = 36 NUMBER OF SEASONS = 1 NUMBER OF WIND SPEED CLASSES = 6 NUMBER OF STABILITY CLASSES = 6 NUMBER OF WIND DIRECTION CLASSES = 16 FILE NUMBER OF DATA FILE USED FOR REPORTS = 1 THE PROGRAM IS RUN IN RURAL MODE CONCENTRATION (DEPOSITION) UNITS CONVERSION FACTOR =0.10000000E+07 ACCELERATION OF GRAVITY (METERS/SEC**2) = 9.800 HEIGHT OF MEASUREMENT OF WIND SPEED (METERS) = 7.620 CORRECTION ANGLE FOR GRID SYSTEM VERSUS DIRECTION DATA NORTH (DEGREES) = 0.000 DECAY COEFFICIENT =0.00000000E+00 PROGRAM OPTION SWITCHES = 1, 2, 2, 0, 0, 3, 2, 1, 3, 2, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0,

400.00, 500.00, 750.00, RANGE X AXIS GRID SYSTEM POINTS (METERS)= 100.00, 200.00, 300.00, 1000.00, 1250.00, 34.00, 40.00, 49.00, RANGE X SPECIAL DISCRETE POINTS (METERS)= 30.00. 30.00. 30.00. 73.00. 146.00, 271.00, 274.00. 290.00, 314.00. 354.00, 326.00, 290.00, 265.00, 140.00, 256.00. 253.00, 204.00. 216.00. 235.00, 207.00. 174,00, 152.00, 134.00, 131.00. 134.00, 73.00, 49.00, 40.00, 34.00. 30.00, 30.00, 30.00, 30.00, 135.00, 90.00, AZIMUTH BEARING Y AXIS GRID SYSTEM POINTS (DEGREES)= 22.50, 45.00, 67.50, 112.50, 180.00, 202.50, 225.00, 247.50, 270.00, 292.50, 315.00, 337.50, 360.00, 157.50. AZIMUTH BEARING Y SPECIAL DISCRETE POINTS (DEGREES)= 10.00, 20.00, 30.00, 40.00, 50.00, 60.00, 70.00, 80.00, 90.00, 100.00, 110.00, 120.00, 130.00, 140.00. 150.00, 160.00, 180.00, 190.00, 200.00. 210.00, 220.00. 230.00, 240.00, 250.00, 260.00, 170,00. 270.00 280.00. 290.00, 300.00. 310.00, 320.00, 330.00, 340.00, 350.00, 360.00,

- AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE (DEGREES KELVIN) -

STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY

CATEGORY 1 CATEGORY 2 CATEGORY 3 CATEGORY 4 CATEGORY 5 CATEGORY 6

SEASON 1 299.0000 299.0000 293.0000 287.0000 287.0000

- MIXING LAYER HEIGHT (METERS) -

SEASON 1

- FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF WIND SPEED, DIRECTION AND STABILITY -

SEASON 1

STABILITY CATEGORY 1

	WIND SPEED CATEGORY 1	WIND SPEED CATEGORY 2	WIND SPEED CATEGORY 3	WIND SPEED CATEGORY 4	WIND SPEED CATEGORY 5	WIND SPEED CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MP\$)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						•
0.000	0.00076000	0.00239700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00060300	0.00137000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00045500	0.00125600	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00063100	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00081400	0.00102700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00053400	0.00091300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00010400	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00023600	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.00070000	0.00114200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.00021900	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00048200	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00044700	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00010400	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00031500	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00013900	0.00091300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00076200	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

SEASON 1

	WIND SPEED					
DIRECTION	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8UUUMPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00412800	0.00913199	0.00570799	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00217800	0.00445200	0.00239700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00176200	0.00433800	0.00285400	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00193500	0.00376700	0.00228300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00350700	0.00365300	0.00205500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00171000	0.00319600	0.00114200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00278600	0.00411000	0.00251100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00180600	0.00296800	0.00125600	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.00212600	0.00331100	0.00228300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.00138900	0.00285400	0.00114200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00137400	0.00194100	0.00114200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00152900	0.00125600	0.00091300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00101300	0.00216900	0.00171200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00236100	0.00148400	0.00114200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00123800	0.00274000	0.00182600	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00169100	0.00308200	0.00216900	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

- FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF WIND SPEED, DIRECTION AND STABILITY -

SEASON 1

STABILITY CATEGORY 3

	WIND SPEED					
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00297300	0.00844699	0.01529699	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00130500	0.00433800	0.00707799	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00100200	0.00262600	0.00411000	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00054600	0.00308200	0.00376700	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00133900	0.00376700	0.00411000	0.00079900	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00059900	0.00262600	0.00319600	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00061900	0.00274000	0.00502300	0.00091300	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00115700	0.00274000	0.00616399	0.00137000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.00094200	0.00228300	0.00616399	0.00148400	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.00072600	0.00182600	0.00274000	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00034300	0.00194100	0.00274000	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00033600	0.00114200	0.00137000	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00045800	0.00182600	0.00137000	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00063200	0.00205500	0.00194100	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00044400	0.00251100	0.00194100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337,500	0.00107600	0.00228300	0.00285400	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000

SEASON 1

	WIND SPEED					
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00836599	0.02077598	0.01986298	0.00776299	0.00011400	0.00000000
22.500	0.00389100	0.01130099	0.01917798	0.00331100	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00253700	0.00616399	0.00776299	0.00251100	0.00011400	0.00000000
67.500	0.00179300	0.00525100	0.00719199	0.00194100	0.00011400	0.00000000
90.000	0.00211100	0.00479500	0.00479500	0.00148400	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00118700	0.00433800	0.00547899	0.00102700	0.00011400	0.00000000
135.000	0.00215400	0.00433800	0.00741999	0.00228300	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00265100	0.00604999	0.00684899	0.00468000	0.00011400	0.00000000
180.000	0.00346600	0.00993199	0.01141599	0.01095899	0.00034200	0.00000000
202.500	0.00129000	0.00285400	0.00559400	0.00194100	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00079800	0.00182600	0.00468000	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00066000	0.00182600	0.00102700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00142200	0.00216900	0.00102700	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00149400	0.00251100	0.00262600	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00168000	0.00274000	0.00285400	0.00102700	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00228600	0.00365300	0.00411000	0.00091300	0.00000000	0.00000000

- FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF WIND SPEED, DIRECTION AND STABILITY -

SEASON 1

STABILITY CATEGORY 5

	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MP\$)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00000000	0.01792198	0.00342500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00000000	0.00376700	0.00159800	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00000000	0.00308200	0.00125600	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00000000	0.00342500	0.00159800	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00000000	0.00730599	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00000000	0.00274000	0.00102700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00000000	0.00205500	0.00079900	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00000000	0.00445200	0.00091300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.00000000	0.00536499	0.00274000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.00000000	0.00262600	0.00079900	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00000000	0.00228300	0.00148400	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00000000	0.00205500	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00000000	0.00125600	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00000000	0.00125600	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00000000	0.00114200	0.00148400	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00000000	0.00216900	0.00205500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

SEASON 1

	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.06250394	0.01358399	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00799499	0.00205500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00677099	0.00148400	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.01212199	0.00331100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.01789798	0.00399500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.01142099	0.00365300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00858199	0.00182600	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157,500	0.01202399	0.00125600	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.02039398	0.00365300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.01773398	0.00308200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.01531999	0.00262600	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00938099	0.00102700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.02057298	0.00239700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.02448898	0.00422400	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.02401598	0.00433800	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.02592398	0.00422400	0.0000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

- VERTICAL POTENTIAL TEMPERATURE GRADIENT (DEGREES KELVIN/METER) -

WIND SPEED WIND SPEED

- WIND PROFILE POWER LAW EXPONENTS -

- SOURCE INPUT DATA -

_	NUMBER		X COORDINATE (M)		EMISSION HEIGHT (M)		- SOURCE DETAILS DEPENDING ON TYPE -
x	1	STACK	0.00	0.00	12.19	0.00	GAS EXIT TEMP (DEG K)= 561.00 , GAS EXIT VEL. (M/SEC)= 51.90 , STACK DIAMETER (M)= 0.590 , HEIGHT OF ASSO. BLDG. (M)= -9.69 , WIDTH OF ASSO. BLDG. (M)= 69.17 , WAKE EFFECTS FLAG = 0

- DIRECTION SPECIFIC BUILDING DIMENSIONS -

SECTOR	DSBH	DSBW	IWAKE	SECTOR	DSBH	DSBW	IWAKE	SECTOR	DSBH	DSB₩	IWAKE	SECTOR	DSBH	DSBW	IWAKE
1	9.7,	69.2,	0	2	9.7,	69.2,	0	3	9.7,	69.2,	0	4	9.7,	69.2,	0
5	9.7,	69.2,	0	6	9.7,	69.2,	0	7	9.7	69.2,	0	8	9.7,	69.2,	0
9	9.7,	69.2,	0	10	9.7,	69.2,	0	11	9.7,	69.2,	0	12	9.7,	69.2,	0
13	9.7,	69.2,	0	14	9.7,	69.2,	0	15	9.7,	69.2,	0	16	9.7,	69.2,	0

- SOURCE STRENGTHS (GRAMS PER SEC SEASON 1 SEASON 2 SEASON 3 SEASON 4 2.22000E+00

WARNING - HW/HB > 5 FOR SOURCE 1 PROG. USES LATERAL VIRTUAL DIST. FOR UPPER BOUND OF CONCENTRATION (DEPOSITION) IN SECTOR(S): 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16. IF LOWER BOUND IS DESIRED SET THE DIRECTION SPECIFIC BUILDING HEIGHT TO < 0 (WAKE EFFECTS FLAG) AND RERUN.

Y

30.0

340.0

0.000775

30.0

350.0

0.001330

30.0

0.001967

360.0

who	* ANNUA	AL GROUND LE	VEL CONCENT	RATION (MI		ER CUBIC MET STEM RECEPTO)	DUE TO	SOURCE	1	**
						ANGE , MET						
		100,000	200,000	300.00		.000 50		750.00	00 10	000.000	1250,000	
AXIS (A	ZIMUTH BE	ARING, DEGR			-	CONCENTRATI						
			•••••									
360	.000	0.195501	0.273277	0.2526	551 0.34	5282 0.	429648	0.4580	067	0.413855	0.358917	
337	.500	0.121704	0.179844	0.1704	62 0.2	55191 O.	294222	0.3178	309	0.286922	0.247574	
315	.000	0.156410	0.193920	0.1755	52 0.2	28875 0.	279806	0.3048	390	0.275588	0.234248	
292	.500	0.087544	0.119229	0.1145	93 0.1	5 7393 0.	197234	0.2145	65	0.196607	0.171094	
270	.000	0.130226	0.167190	0.1560	0.20	09020 0.	258513	0.2799	572	0.255392	0.223137	
247	.500	0.129098	0.154478	0.1421	99 0.19	92645 0.	242408	0.2667	788	0.245343	0.213541	
225	.000	0.158750	0.192949	0.1781	31 0.2	34534 0.	284539	0.2967	749	0.266950	0.230060	
202	.500	0.147124	0.206192	0.2008	327 0.28	32096 0.	362257	0.4292	201	0.418416	0.374674	
180	.000	0.331548	0.444276	0.4249	775 0.58	36794 0.	732481	0.7946	569	0.732565	0.648610	
157.	.500	0.118598	0.138565	0.1257	754 0.16	64 856 0.	200819	0.2061	109	0.184194	0.159875	
135	.000	0.092204	0.110299	0.1021	12 0.13	31 687 0.	157291	0.1634	34	0.146643	0.126499	
112.	.500	0.061193	0.073197				113373	0.1334		0.126937	0.112070	
90.	.000	0.087956	0.099124			0.7975 0.	123704	0.1195		0.102430		
67.	.500	0.048848	0.057372	0.0529			086329	0.0900		0.080080	0.068834	
45.	.000	0.066384	0.087076	0.0835	36 0.1°	15485 0.	145411	0.1607	767	0.148940	0.129962	
22.	.500	0.068364	0.093921	0.0913	663 0.12	29628 0.	167563	0.1912	233	0.177827	0.155388	
					- DISCRE	TE RECEPTOR	s -					
x	Y	CONCENTR	ATION	x		CONCENTRATIO		x	Y	CONC	ENTRATION	
ANGE	AZIMUTH				ZIMUTH			RANGE	AZIMUTH			
	BEARING				EARING				BEARING			
(ETERS)	(DEGREES	:)		(METERS) ((METERS)				
,						·						
30.0	10	.0 0.0	01056	30.0	20.0	0.00019	6	30.0	30	0.0	0.000007	
34.0	40	.0 0.0	00013	40.0	50.0	0.00010	1	49.0	60	0.0	0.001201	
73.0	70	.0 0.0	35519	146.0	80.0	0.08008	1	271.0	90	0.0	0.092091	
274.0	100	0.0	80831	290.0	110.0	0.06998	5	314.0	120	0.0	0.076657	
354.0	130	.0 0.1	01958	326.0	140.0	0.10335	7	290.0	150	0.0	0.118223	
265.0	160	0.1	63274	256.0	170.0	0.30081	7	253.0	180	0.0	0.443844	
204.0	190		32656	216.0	200.0	0.23132	7	235.0	210	3.0	0.199629	
207.0	220	.0 0.1	93150	174.0	230.0	0.18301	7	152.0	240	0.0	0.162668	
140.0	250	.0 0.1	50852	134.0	260.0	0.15000	7	131.0	270	0.0	0.155722	
134.0	280	.0 0.1	31839	73.0	290.0	0.05752	9	49.0	300	0.0	0.003460	
40.0	310	0.0	00476	34.0	320.0	0.00019	5	30.0	330	0.0	0.000435	
20 O	7/0	0 00	00775	70.0	750 A	0.00177	Λ.	70 O	741	n n	0.001047	

** ANNUAL GROUND LEVEL CONCENTRATION (MICROGRAMS PER CUBIC METER) DUE TO SOURCE 1 (CONT.) **

- 10 CONTRIBUTING VALUES TO PROGRAM DETERMINED MAXIMUM 10 OF ALL SOURCES COMBINED -

X	Y	CONCENTRATION
COORDINATE	COORDINATE	
RANGE	AZIMUTH	
	BEARING	
(METERS)	(DEGREES)	
750.00	180.00	0.794669
1000.00	180.00	0.732565
500.00	180.00	0.732481
1250.00	180.00	0.648610
400.00	180.00	0.586794
750.00	360.00	0.458067
200.00	180.00	0.444276
253.00	180.00	0.443844
500.00	360.00	0.429648
750.00	202.50	0.429201

*****	END OF ISCLT PROGRAM,	1 SOURCES PROCESSED	****

ISCLTK6L MODEL, A VERSION OF ISCLT (VERSION 90008) AN AIR QUALITY DISPERSION MODEL IN SECTION 1. GUIDELINE MODELS. IN UNAMAP (VERSION 6) JAN 1990. SOURCE: FILE 7 ON UNAMAP MAGNETIC TAPE FROM NTIS.

CONVERTED BY : KBN ENGINEERING AND APPLIED SCIENCES, INC. GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA (904)331-9000

COPYRIGHT 1990 L

CARD INPUT FILE IS SUMMARY OUTPUT FILE IS ER15RF82.081

ER15RF82.181

TITLE OF RUN IS

1982 ENRON STATION 15 / 40 FT STACK / REFINEMENT 10-29-90

- ISCLT INPUT DATA -

NUMBER OF SOURCES = 1 NUMBER OF X AXIS GRID SYSTEM POINTS = NUMBER OF Y AXIS GRID SYSTEM POINTS = NUMBER OF SPECIAL POINTS = NUMBER OF SEASONS = 1 NUMBER OF WIND SPEED CLASSES = NUMBER OF STABILITY CLASSES = NUMBER OF WIND DIRECTION CLASSES = 16 FILE NUMBER OF DATA FILE USED FOR REPORTS = 1 THE PROGRAM IS RUN IN RURAL MODE CONCENTRATION (DEPOSITION) UNITS CONVERSION FACTOR =0.10000000E+07 ACCELERATION OF GRAVITY (METERS/SEC**2) = 9.800 HEIGHT OF MEASUREMENT OF WIND SPEED (METERS) = 7.620 CORRECTION ANGLE FOR GRID SYSTEM VERSUS DIRECTION DATA NORTH (DEGREES) = 0.000 DECAY COEFFICIENT =0.00000000E+00 PROGRAM OPTION SWITCHES = 1, 2, 2, 0, 0, 3, 2, 1, 3, 2, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0,

550.00,

352.00,

600.00,

354.00, 356.00,

650.00,

700.00,

358.00,

750.00,

360.00,

800.00,

2.00,

- AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE (DEGREES KELVIN) -

8.00,

RANGE X AXIS GRID SYSTEM POINTS (METERS)=

850.00, **900.00**, **950.00**,

6.00,

AZIMUTH BEARING Y AXIS GRID SYSTEM POINTS (DEGREES)=

4.00,

0,

STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY
CATEGORY 1 CATEGORY 2 CATEGORY 3 CATEGORY 4 CATEGORY 5 CATEGORY 6
SEASON 1 299.0000 299.0000 293.0000 287.0000 287.0000

- MIXING LAYER HEIGHT (METERS) -

SEASON 1

- FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF WIND SPEED, DIRECTION AND STABILITY -

SEASON 1

STABILITY CATEGORY 1

	WIND SPEED					
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00092100	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00103500	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00149701	0.00125600	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00092200	0.00091300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00138201	0.00114200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00080500	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00103400	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00068900	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.00115000	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.00069000	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00011500	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00069000	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00080500	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00115000	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00069200	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00069200	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

SEASON 1

	WIND SPEED					
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00259901	0.00513702	0.00296801	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00228601	0.00308201	0.00171201	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00310001	0.00479502	0.00171201	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00153301	0.00194101	0.00216901	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00323101	0.00513702	0.00228301	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00212801	0.00308201	0.00114200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00318001	0.00376701	0.00091300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00177801	0.00216901	0.00102700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.00355601	0.00433802	0.00205501	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.00128700	0.00171201	0.00114200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00119000	0.00228301	0.00102700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00148901	0.00182601	0.00079900	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00043700	0.00114200	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00103200	0.00228301	0.00148401	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00148901	0.00182601	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00153301	0.00194101	0.00137001	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

- FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF WIND SPEED, DIRECTION AND STABILITY -

SEASON 1

STABILITY CATEGORY 3

	WIND SPEED					
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00238101	0.00559402	0.00890403	0.00148401	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00065900	0.00159801	0.00388101	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00113100	0.00308201	0.00662103	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00179501	0.00422402	0.00468002	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00195101	0.00376701	0.00605002	0.00114200	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00180501	0.00331101	0.00251101	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00101700	0.00319601	0.00353901	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00091300	0.00239701	0.00239701	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.00175301	0.00456602	0.01095904	0.00091300	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.00073100	0.00182601	0.00262601	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00088200	0.00182601	0.00125600	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00076300	0.00239701	0.00148401	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00059100	0.00091300	0.00091300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00050800	0.00159801	0.00274001	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00069000	0.00216901	0.00137001	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00069500	0.00171201	0.00159801	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000

SEASON 1

	WIND SPEED					
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00325001	0.00958904	0.01769407	0.01027404	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00151701	0.00388101	0.00684903	0.00251101	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00193601	0.00696303	0.01084504	0.00331101	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00256501	0.00764803	0.01164404	0.00388101	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00448802	0.01141604	0.01369905	0.00274001	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00365001	0.01050204	0.00958904	0.00171201	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00356101	0.00913203	0.00547902	0.00239701	0.00022800	0.00000000
157.500	0.00270401	0.00605002	0.00650702	0.00605002	0.00079900	0.00000000
180.000	0.00479802	0.01095904	0.02146108	0.02020508	0.00114200	0.00000000
202.500	0.00187201	0.00411002	0.00331101	0.00194101	0.00022800	0.00000000
225.000	0.00224001	0.00228301	0.00205501	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00073600	0.00159801	0.00079900	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00199301	0.00296801	0.00102700	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00060300	0.00216901	0.00182601	0.00091300	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00089500	0.00216901	0.00274001	0.00171201	0.00000000	0.00000000
337,500	0.00212001	0.00342501	0.00559402	0.00319601	0.00000000	0.00000000

***** PAGE

- ISCLT INPUT DATA (CONT.) -

- FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF WIND SPEED, DIRECTION AND STABILITY -

SEASON 1

STABILITY CATEGORY 5

	WIND SPEED					
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00000000	0.00650702	0.00468002	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00000000	0.00239701	0.00091300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00000000	0.00342501	0.00171201	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00000000	0.00411002	0.00148401	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00000000	0.01107304	0.00159801	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00000000	0.00422402	0.00114200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00000000	0.00331101	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00000000	0.00182601	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.00000000	0.00547902	0.00182601	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.00000000	0.00296801	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00000000	0.00114200	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00000000	0.00079900	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00000000	0.00045700	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00000000	0.00091300	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00000000	0.00194101	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00000000	0.00342501	0.00228301	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

SEASON 1

	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	MIND SPEED	MIND SPEED
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.05692022	0.01084504	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00895403	0.00182601	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00980904	0.00251101	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.01174904	0.00262601	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.03450513	0.00707803	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.01129304	0.00205501	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.01266205	0.00171201	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00547502	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.02635010	0.00445202	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.01602706	0.00194101	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.01425905	0.00114200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00775703	0.00148401	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00809903	0.00114200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.02498109	0.00479502	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.01967607	0.00445202	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.02977211	0.00513702	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

- VERTICAL POTENTIAL TEMPERATURE GRADIENT (DEGREES KELVIN/METER) -

WIND SPEED WIND SPEED

- WIND PROFILE POWER LAW EXPONENTS -

- SOURCE INPUT DATA -

		SOURCE TYPE	X COORD I (M)		Y COORDINATE (M)	EMISSION HEIGHT (M)	BASE ELEV- ATION (M)	/		- SOURC	E DETAII	.S DEPEN	DING ON T	YPE -		
X	1	STACK		0.00	0.0	0 12.19	0.00	STACK D	IAMETER (DEG K)= 56 (M)= 0.590 = 69.17, 1	, HEIGHT	OF ASS	D. BLDG.	-	•	'H OF
						- DIRECT	ION SPE	CIFIC BU	ILDING DI	MENSIONS	-					
SECTO	R DS	вн	DSBW	IWAKE	SECTOR	DSBH	DSBW	IWAKE	SECTOR	DSBH	DSBW	IWAKE	SECTOR	DSBH	DSBW	IWAKE
•	1	9.7,	69.2,	() 2	9.7.	69.2,	0	3	9.7,	69.2,	0	4	9.7	69.2,	0
!	5	9.7.	69.2.	() 6	9.7.	69.2.	0	7	9.7.	69.2.	0	8	9.7	69.2.	0

10 9.7, 69.2, 14 9.7, 69.2, 9.7, 69.2, 10 0 0 11 9.7, 69.2, 12 9.7, 69.2, 13 9.7, 69.2, 0 0 15 9.7, 69.2, 16 9.7, 69.2, - SOURCE STRENGTHS (GRAMS PER SEC) -

SEASON 1 SEASON 2 SEASON 3

SEASON 4

2.22000E+00

WARNING - HW/HB > 5 FOR SOURCE 1 PROG. USES LATERAL VIRTUAL DIST. FOR UPPER BOUND OF CONCENTRATION (DEPOSITION) IN SECTOR(S): 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16. IF LOWER BOUND IS DESIRED SET THE DIRECTION SPECIFIC BUILDING HEIGHT TO < 0 (WAKE EFFECTS FLAG) AND RERUN.

****	DACE	
	PAGE	

** AN	NUAL GROUND LE	VEL CONCENTRA	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	RAMS PER CUBI) DUE	TO SOURCE	1	**
			_	RID SYSTEM RE					
			- X A	XIS (RANGE	, METERS) -				
	550.000	600.000	650.000	700.000	750.000	800.000	850.000	900.000	950.000
Y AXIS (AZIMUTH BEARING, DEGREES) - CONCENTRATION -									
8.000	0.497294	0.519041	0.531396	0.536748	0.536845	0.533027	0.526342	0.517621	0.507504
6.000	0.546745	0.570617	0.584194	0.590066	0.590144	0.585899	0.578487	0.568823	0.557614
4.000	0.596256	0.622227	0.637017	0.643403	0.643457	0.638781	0.630645	0.620039	0.607743
2.000	0.645547	0.673630	0.689642	0.696558	0.696608	0.691526	0.682684	0.671152	0.657789
360.000	0.694367	0.724582	0.741853	0.749343	0.749433	0.743985	0.733824	0.722056	0.707029
358.000	0.651203	0.679873	0.696353	0.703626	0.703930	0.699012	0.690255	0.678758	0.665366
356.000	0.607869	0.635022	0.650740	0.657819	0.658357	0.653987	0.646004	0.635431	0.623068
354.000	0.564595	0.590227	0.605179	0.612062	0.612836	0.609014	0.601802	0.592158	0.580822
352.000	0.521607	0.545686	0.559847	0.566514	0.567500	0.564207	0.557752	0.549019	0.538698

^{- 10} CONTRIBUTING VALUES TO PROGRAM DETERMINED MAXIMUM 10 OF ALL SOURCES COMBINED .

X	Y	CONCENTRATION
COORDINATE	COORDINATE	
RANGE	AZIMUTH	
	BEARING	
(METERS)	(DEGREES)	
750.00	360.00	0.749433
700.00	360.00	0.749343
800.00	360.00	0.743985
650.00	360.00	0.741853
850.00	360.00	0.733824
600.00	360.00	0.724582
900.00	360.00	0.722056
950.00	360.00	0.707029
750.00	358.00	0.703930
700.00	358.00	0.703626

**********	END OF	ISCLT	PROGRAM	, 1 SOURCES PROCESSED	***********

ISCLTK6L MODEL, A VERSION OF ISCLT (VERSION 90008) AN AIR QUALITY DISPERSION MODEL IN SECTION 1. GUIDELINE MODELS. IN UNAMAP (VERSION 6) JAN 1990. SOURCE: FILE 7 ON UNAMAP MAGNETIC TAPE FROM NTIS.

CONVERTED BY : KBN ENGINEERING AND APPLIED SCIENCES, INC. GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA (904)331-9000

COPYRIGHT 1990 L

CARD INPUT FILE IS ER15RF84.181

SUMMARY OUTPUT FILE IS ER15RF84.081
TITLE OF RUN IS 1984 ENRON STATION 15 / 40 FT STACK / REFINEMENT 10-29-90

NUMBER OF SOURCES = 1 NUMBER OF X AXIS GRID SYSTEM POINTS = NUMBER OF Y AXIS GRID SYSTEM POINTS = NUMBER OF SPECIAL POINTS = NUMBER OF SEASONS = 1 NUMBER OF WIND SPEED CLASSES = 6 NUMBER OF STABILITY CLASSES = 6 NUMBER OF WIND DIRECTION CLASSES = 16 FILE NUMBER OF DATA FILE USED FOR REPORTS = 1 THE PROGRAM IS RUN IN RURAL MODE CONCENTRATION (DEPOSITION) UNITS CONVERSION FACTOR =0.10000000E+07 ACCELERATION OF GRAVITY (METERS/SEC**2) = 9.800 HEIGHT OF MEASUREMENT OF WIND SPEED (METERS) = 7.620 CORRECTION ANGLE FOR GRID SYSTEM VERSUS DIRECTION DATA NORTH (DEGREES) = 0.000 DECAY COEFFICIENT =0.00000000E+00 PROGRAM OPTION SWITCHES = 1, 2, 2, 0, 0, 3, 2, 1, 3, 2, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, RANGE X AXIS GRID SYSTEM POINTS (METERS)= 550.00, 600.00, 650.00, 700.00, 750.00, 800.00, 950.00, 850.00, 900.00, AZIMUTH BEARING Y AXIS GRID SYSTEM POINTS (DEGREES)= 352.00. 354.00. 356.00. 358.00. 360.00. 2.00. 4.00. 6.00,

- AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE (DEGREES KELVIN) -

STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY CATEGORY 1 CATEGORY 2 CATEGORY 3 CATEGORY 4 CATEGORY 5 CATEGORY 6 SEASON 1 299.0000 299.0000 299.0000 293.0000 287.0000 287.0000

8.00,

0,

- MIXING LAYER HEIGHT (METERS) -

SEASON 1

WIND SPEED WIND SPEED WIND SPEED WIND SPEED WIND SPEED WIND SPEED CATEGORY 1 CATEGORY 2 CATEGORY 3 CATEGORY 4 CATEGORY 5 CATEGORY 6 STABILITY CATEGORY 10.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.222000E+040.22000E+040.222000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.22000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040.2000E+040. STABILITY CATEGORY 20.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+04 STABILITY CATEGORY 30.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040. STABILITY CATEGORY 40.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+040.148000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04000E+04 STABILITY CATEGORY 50.100000E+050.100000E+050.100000E+050.100000E+050.100000E+050.100000E+050. STABILITY CATEGORY 60.100000E+050.100000E+050.100000E+050.100000E+050.100000E+050.100000E+050.

- FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF WIND SPEED, DIRECTION AND STABILITY -

SEASON 1

STABILITY CATEGORY 1

	WIND SPEED					
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00071600	0.00091100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00026000	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00021100	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00024400	0.00056900	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00040700	0.00056900	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00009800	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00030900	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00073200	0.00056900	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.00055300	0.00091100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.00009800	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00047200	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00019500	0.00045500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00050400	0.00079700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00014600	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00014600	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00014600	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

SEASON 1

	WIND SPEED					
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00176399	0.00307399	0.00295999	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00082900	0.00193499	0.00204899	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00114600	0.00341499	0.00193499	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00096700	0.00193499	0.00136599	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00161699	0.00432598	0.00102500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00148799	0.00307399	0.00068300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00173899	0.00489498	0.00147999	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00189399	0.00239099	0.00113800	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.00231699	0.00500898	0.00330099	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.00087000	0.00147999	0.00056900	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00189399	0.00239099	0.00102500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00080500	0.00182099	0.00136599	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00090200	0.00227699	0.00136599	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00081300	0.00250499	0.00079700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00066600	0.00182099	0.00102500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00134899	0.00307399	0.00159399	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

- FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF WIND SPEED, DIRECTION AND STABILITY -

SEASON 1

STABILITY CATEGORY 3

WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED
CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
0.00145199	0.00637498	0.00899397	0.00136599	0.00011400	0.00000000
0.00054600	0.00284599	0.00387099	0.00068300	0.00000000	0.00000000
0.00046500	0.00318799	0.00466798	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000
0.00026600	0.00182099	0.00500898	0.00125200	0.00000000	0.00000000
0.00113600	0.00421198	0.00626098	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000
0.00067800	0.00375699	0.00409798	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000
0.00090900	0.00443998	0.00432598	0.00068300	0.00000000	0.00000000
0.00072600	0.00318799	0.00512298	0.00147999	0.00000000	0.00000000
0.00113600	0.00421198	0.01400295	0.00352899	0.00022800	0.00000000
0.00028200	0.00193499	0.00455398	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000
0.00056000	0.00204899	0.00352899	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000
0.00041300	0.00193499	0.00250499	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000
0.00056200	0.00295999	0.00318799	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000
0.00064300	0.00261799	0.00307399	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000
0.00031600	0.00216299	0.00239099	0.00045500	0.00000000	0.00000000
0.00061200	0.00330099	0.00432598	0.00102500	0.00000000	0.00000000
	CATEGORY 1 (1.5000MPS) 0.00145199 0.00054600 0.00046500 0.00013600 0.00067800 0.00072600 0.0013600 0.00028200 0.00056000 0.00041300 0.00056200 0.00064300 0.00064300 0.00031600	CATEGORY 1 CATEGORY 2 (1.5000MPS)(2.5000MPS) 0.00145199	CATEGORY 1 CATEGORY 2 CATEGORY 3 (1.5000MPS)(2.5000MPS)(4.3000MPS) 0.00145199	CATEGORY 1 CATEGORY 2 CATEGORY 3 CATEGORY 4 (1.5000MPS)(2.5000MPS)(4.3000MPS)(6.8000MPS) 0.00145199 0.00637498 0.00899397 0.00136599 0.00054600 0.00284599 0.00387099 0.00068300 0.00046500 0.00182099 0.00500898 0.00125200 0.00113600 0.00421198 0.00626098 0.00022800 0.00067800 0.00375699 0.00409798 0.00022800 0.00090900 0.00443998 0.00432598 0.00068300 0.00072600 0.00318799 0.00512298 0.00147999 0.00113600 0.00421198 0.01400295 0.00352899 0.00028200 0.00193499 0.00455398 0.00034200 0.00056000 0.00204899 0.00352899 0.00034200 0.00041300 0.00193499 0.00250499 0.00022800 0.00056200 0.00295999 0.00318799 0.00011400 0.00064300 0.00261799 0.00307399 0.00022800 0.00031600 0.0026299 0.00239099 0.00045500	CATEGORY 1 CATEGORY 2 CATEGORY 3 CATEGORY 4 CATEGORY 5 (1.5000MPS)(2.5000MPS)(4.3000MPS)(6.8000MPS)(9.5000MPS) 0.00145199 0.00637498 0.00899397 0.00136599 0.00011400 0.00054600 0.00284599 0.00387099 0.00068300 0.00000000 0.00046500 0.00182099 0.00466798 0.00011400 0.00000000 0.0013600 0.00182099 0.00500898 0.00125200 0.00000000 0.00113600 0.00421198 0.00626098 0.00022800 0.00000000 0.00067800 0.00375699 0.00409798 0.00022800 0.00000000 0.00090900 0.00443998 0.00432598 0.00068300 0.00000000 0.00072600 0.00318799 0.00512298 0.00147999 0.00000000 0.0013600 0.00421198 0.01400295 0.00352899 0.00022800 0.000022800 0.00028200 0.0013600 0.0013499 0.00455398 0.00034200 0.00000000 0.00056000 0.00193499 0.00455398 0.00034200 0.00000000 0.00056000 0.00193499 0.00250499 0.00032800 0.00000000 0.00056200 0.00193499 0.00250499 0.00022800 0.00000000 0.00056200 0.00261799 0.00318799 0.00011400 0.00000000 0.00064300 0.00261799 0.00337399 0.00022800 0.00000000 0.00064300 0.00261799 0.00337399 0.00045500 0.00000000 0.00064300 0.002616299 0.00339099 0.00045500 0.00000000

SEASON 1

	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00399998	0.00637498	0.01138396	0.01092896	0.00011400	0.00000000
22.500	0.00171299	0.00500898	0.00785497	0.00648898	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00197299	0.00489498	0.00796897	0.00330099	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00244499	0.00398498	0.00842397	0.00307399	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00313499	0.00899397	0.01092896	0.00250499	0.00011400	0.00000000
112.500	0.00268499	0.00637498	0.00762797	0.00284599	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00289399	0.00762797	0.00626098	0.00387099	0.00011400	0.00000000
157.500	0.00224999	0.00432598	0.00705797	0.00785497	0.00091100	0.00022800
180.000	0.00488698	0.01001796	0.01832893	0.01741793	0.00261799	0.00022800
202.500	0.00276999	0.00409798	0.00478098	0.00432598	0.00056900	0.00000000
225.000	0.00160199	0.00307399	0.00398498	0.00273199	0.00011400	0.00000000
247.500	0.00147299	0.00261799	0.00227699	0.00216299	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00097000	0.00239099	0.00170799	0.00147999	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00197499	0.00387099	0.00330099	0.00193499	0.00011400	0.00000000
315.000	0.00163599	0.00216299	0.00352899	0.00147999	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00195799	0.00432598	0.00466798	0.00261799	0.00000000	0.00000000

- FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF WIND SPEED, DIRECTION AND STABILITY -

SEASON 1

STABILITY CATEGORY 5

	WIND SPEED					
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00000000	0.00648898	0.00318799	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00000000	0.00136599	0.00102500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00000000	0.00250499	0.00170799	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00000000	0.00204899	0.00147999	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00000000	0.00796897	0.00489498	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00000000	0.00398498	0.00091100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00000000	0.00284599	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00000000	0.00284599	0.00056900	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.00000000	0.00705797	0.00398498	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.00000000	0.00375699	0.00125200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00000000	0.00261799	0.00102500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00000000	0.00113800	0.00068300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00000000	0.00125200	0.00113800	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00000000	0.00182099	0.00068300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00000000	0.00227699	0.00079700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337,500	0.00000000	0.00341499	0.00204899	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

SEASON 1

	WIND SPEED					
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.03400387	0.00933496	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00504398	0.00159399	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.01091696	0.00352899	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00971296	0.00239099	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.03096188	0.00808297	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.01042896	0.00284599	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00322099	0.00068300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00505998	0.00079700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.02293991	0.00478098	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.01805893	0.00341499	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.02088992	0.00409798	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.02015792	0.00443998	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.02025492	0.00239099	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.02666590	0.00535098	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.02108592	0.00546398	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.02918789	0.00751397	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

- VERTICAL POTENTIAL TEMPERATURE GRADIENT (DEGREES KELVIN/METER) -

WIND SPEED WIND SPEED

- WIND PROFILE POWER LAW EXPONENTS -

ECTOR DSBH

- SOURCE INPUT DATA -

	SOURCE Number		X COORDINATE (H)	Y COORDINATE (M)		- SOURCE DETAILS DEPENDING ON TYPE -
:	1	STACK	0.00	0.00	0 12.19 - DIRECT	GAS EXIT TEMP (DEG K)= 561.00, GAS EXIT VEL. (M/SEC)= 51.90, STACK DIAMETER (M)= 0.590, HEIGHT OF ASSO. BLDG. (M)= -9.69, WIDTH OF ASSO. BLDG. (M)= 69.17, WAKE EFFECTS FLAG = 0 CIFIC BUILDING DIMENSIONS -

DSBW	IWAKE	SECTOR	DSBH	DSBW	IWAKE	SECTOR	DSBH	DSBW	IWAKE	SECTOR	DSBH	DSBW	IWAKE
69.2,	0	2	9.7,	69.2,	0	3	9.7,	69.2,	0	4	9.7,	69.2,	0
69.2,	0	6	9.7,	69.2,	0	7	9.7,	69.2,	0	8	9.7,	69.2,	0

1 9.7, 69.2, 5 9.7, 69.2, 9.7, 69.2, 0 6 9.7, 69.2, 0 7 9.7, 69.2, 0 8 9.7, 69.2, 0 9.7, 69.2, 0 11 9.7, 69.2, 0 12 9.7, 69.2, 0 9.7, 69.2, 0 15 9.7, 69.2, 0 16 9.7, 69.2, 0 9 13

>) -- SOURCE STRENGTHS (GRAMS PER SEC SEASON 1 SEASON 2 SEASON 3 SEASON 4 2.22000E+00

ARNING - HW/HB > 5 FOR SCURCE 1 PROG. USES LATERAL VIRTUAL DIST. FOR UPPER BOUND OF CONCENTRATION (DEPOSITION) IN SECTOR(S):
1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16. F LOWER BOUND IS DESIRED SET THE DIRECTION SPECIFIC BUILDING HEIGHT TO < 0 (WAKE EFFECTS FLAG) AND RERUN.

** A	NNUAL GROUND LE	VEL CONCENTRA	• • • •) DUE	TO SOURCE	1	**
			- G	RID SYSTEM RE	CEPTORS -				
			- X A	XIS (RANGE	, METERS) -				
	550.000	600.000	650.000	700.000	750.000	800.000	850.000	900.000	950.000
Y AXIS (AZIMUT	H BEARING, DEGR	EES)		- CONCEN	TRATION -				
-		***********							
8.000	0.589594	0.602534	0.606286	0.603475	0.596025	0.585375	0.572608	0.558540	0.543810
6.000	0.646170	0.659398	0.662720	0.658996	0.650317	0.638240	0.623930	0.608265	0.591931
4.000	0.702944	0.716438	0.719317	0.714666	0.704742	0.691220	0.675360	0.658087	0.640144
2.000	0,759682	0.773472	0.775916	0.770345	0.759184	0.744226	0.726817	0.707937	0.688389
360.000	0.816179	0.830310	0.832365	0.825911	0.813539	0.797167	0.777536	0.757756	0.735962
358.000	0.771570	0.785611	0.788103	0.782448	0.771112	0.755915	0.738215	0.719022	0.699123
356.000	0.727098	0.741051	0.743982	0.739123	0.728813	0.714781	0.698314	0.680373	0.661714
354.000	0.682928	0.696762	0.700102	0.696012	0.686706	0.673814	0.658558	0.641853	0.624412
352.000	0.639221	0.652875	0.656578	0.653213	0.644869	0.633081	0.619005	0.603504	0.587258

^{- 10} CONTRIBUTING VALUES TO PROGRAM DETERMINED MAXIMUM 10 OF ALL SOURCES COMBINED -

X	Y	CONCENTRATION
COORDINATE	COORDINATE	
RANGE	AZIMUTH	
	BEARING	
(METERS)	(DEGREES)	
650.00	360.00	0.832365
600.00	360.00	0.830310
700.00	360.00	0.825911
550.00	360.00	0.816179
750.00	360.00	0.813539
800.00	360.00	0.797167
650.00	358.00	0.788103
600.00	358.00	0.785611
700.00	358.00	0.782448
850.00	360.00	0.777536

*******	END OF ISCLT PROGRAM.	1 SOURCES PROCESSED	********
	2,00 0, 10021 1,000,000,		

ISCLTK6L MODEL, A VERSION OF ISCLT (VERSION 90008) AN AIR QUALITY DISPERSION MODEL IN SECTION 1. GUIDELINE MODELS. IN UNAMAP (VERSION 6) JAN 1990. SOURCE: FILE 7 ON UNAMAP MAGNETIC TAPE FROM NTIS.

CONVERTED BY : KBN ENGINEERING AND APPLIED SCIENCES, INC. GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA (904)331-9000

COPYRIGHT 1990 L

CARD INPUT FILE IS

ER15RF86.181

SUMMARY OUTPUT FILE IS ER15RF86.081

TITLE OF RUN IS

1986 ENRON STATION 15 / 40 FT STACK / REFINEMENT 10-29-90

NUMBER OF SOURCES = 1 NUMBER OF X AXIS GRID SYSTEM POINTS = NUMBER OF Y AXIS GRID SYSTEM POINTS = NUMBER OF SPECIAL POINTS = NUMBER OF SEASONS = 1 NUMBER OF WIND SPEED CLASSES = 6 NUMBER OF STABILITY CLASSES = 6 NUMBER OF WIND DIRECTION CLASSES = 16 FILE NUMBER OF DATA FILE USED FOR REPORTS = 1 THE PROGRAM IS RUN IN RURAL MODE CONCENTRATION (DEPOSITION) UNITS CONVERSION FACTOR =0.10000000E+07 ACCELERATION OF GRAVITY (METERS/SEC**2) = 9.800 HEIGHT OF MEASUREMENT OF WIND SPEED (METERS) = 7.620 CORRECTION ANGLE FOR GRID SYSTEM VERSUS DIRECTION DATA NORTH (DEGREES) = 0.000 DECAY COEFFICIENT =0.00000000E+00 PROGRAM OPTION SWITCHES = 1, 2, 2, 0, 0, 3, 2, 1, 3, 2, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0,

٥, RANGE X AXIS GRID SYSTEM POINTS (METERS)= 550.00, 600.00, 650.00, 700.00, 750.00, 800.00, 850.00. 900.00, 950.00. AZIMUTH BEARING Y AXIS GRID SYSTEM POINTS (DEGREES)= 172.00, 174.00, 176.00, 178.00, 180.00. 182.00, 184.00, 186.00, 188.00,

- AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURE (DEGREES KELVIN) -

STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY STABILITY
CATEGORY 1 CATEGORY 2 CATEGORY 3 CATEGORY 4 CATEGORY 5 CATEGORY 6
SEASON 1 299.0000 299.0000 293.0000 287.0000 287.0000

- MIXING LAYER HEIGHT (METERS) -

SEASON 1

***** PAGE

- FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF WIND SPEED, DIRECTION AND STABILITY -

SEASON 1

STABILITY CATEGORY 1

	WIND SPEED					
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00076000	0.00239700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00060300	0.00137000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00045500	0.00125600	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00063100	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00081400	0.00102700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00053400	0.00091300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00010400	0.0068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00023600	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.00070000	0.00114200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.00021900	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00048200	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00044700	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00010400	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00031500	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00013900	0.00091300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00076200	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

SEASON 1

	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED	WIND SPEED
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MP\$)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00412800	0.00913199	0.00570799	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00217800	0.00445200	0.00239700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00176200	0.00433800	0.00285400	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00193500	0.00376700	0.00228300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00350700	0.00365300	0.00205500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00171000	0.00319600	0.00114200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00278600	0.00411000	0.00251100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00180600	0.00296800	0.00125600	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
186.000	0.00212600	0.00331100	0.00228300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.00138900	0.00285400	0.00114200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00137400	0.00194100	0.00114200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00152900	0.00125600	0.00091300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00101300	0.00216900	0.00171200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00236100	0.00148400	0.00114200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00123800	0.00274000	0.00182600	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00169100	0.00308200	0.00216900	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

- FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF WIND SPEED, DIRECTION AND STABILITY -

SEASON 1

STABILITY CATEGORY 3

	WIND SPEED					
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00297300	0.00844699	0.01529699	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00130500	0.00433800	0.00707799	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00100200	0.00262600	0.00411000	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00054600	0.00308200	0.00376700	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00133900	0.00376700	0.00411000	0.00079900	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00059900	0.00262600	0.00319600	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00061900	0.00274000	0.00502300	0.00091300	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00115700	0.00274000	0.00616399	0.00137000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.00094200	0.00228300	0.00616399	0.00148400	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.00072600	0.00182600	0.00274000	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00034300	0.00194100	0.00274000	0.00022800	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00033600	0.00114200	0.00137000	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000
270,000	0.00045800	0.00182600	0.00137000	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00063200	0.00205500	0.00194100	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00044400	0.00251100	0.00194100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00107600	0.00228300	0.00285400	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000

SEASON 1

	MIND SHEED	MIND SPEED	MIND SHEED	MIND 25EED	MING SPEED	MIND SLEED
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00836599	0.02077598	0.01986298	0.00776299	0.00011400	0.00000000
22.500	0.00389100	0.01130099	0.01917798	0.00331100	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00253700	0.00616399	0.00776299	0.00251100	0.00011400	0.00000000
67.500	0.00179300	0.00525100	0.00719199	0.00194100	0.00011400	0.00000000
90.000	0.00211100	0.00479500	0.00479500	0.00148400	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00118700	0.00433800	0.00547899	0.00102700	0.00011400	0.00000000
135.000	0.00215400	0.00433800	0.00741999	0.00228300	0.00000000	0.00000000
157,500	0.00265100	0.00604999	0.00684899	0.00468000	0.00011400	0.00000000
180.000	0.00346600	0.00993199	0.01141599	0.01095899	0.00034200	0.00000000
202.500	0.00129000	0.00285400	0.00559400	0.00194100	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00079800	0.00182600	0.00468000	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00066000	0.00182600	0.00102700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00142200	0.00216900	0.00102700	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00149400	0.00251100	0.00262600	0.00057100	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00168000	0.00274000	0.00285400	0.00102700	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00228600	0.00365300	0.00411000	0.00091300	0.00000000	0.00000000

- FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF WIND SPEED, DIRECTION AND STABILITY -

SEASON 1

STABILITY CATEGORY 5

	WIND SPEED					
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.00000000	0.01792198	0.00342500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00000000	0.00376700	0.00159800	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00000000	0.00308200	0.00125600	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.00000000	0.00342500	0.00159800	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.00000000	0.00730599	0.00068500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.00000000	0.00274000	0.00102700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00000000	0.00205500	0.00079900	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.00000000	0.00445200	0.00091300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.00000000	0.00536499	0.00274000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.00000000	0.00262600	0.00079900	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.00000000	0.00228300	0.00148400	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00000000	0.00205500	0.00011400	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.00000000	0.00125600	0.00034200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.00000000	0.00125600	0.00045700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.00000000	0.00114200	0.00148400	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337.500	0.00000000	0.00216900	0.00205500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

SEASON 1

	WIND SPEED					
	CATEGORY 1	CATEGORY 2	CATEGORY 3	CATEGORY 4	CATEGORY 5	CATEGORY 6
DIRECTION	(1.5000MPS)	(2.5000MPS)	(4.3000MPS)	(6.8000MPS)	(9.5000MPS)	(12.5000MPS)
(DEGREES)						
0.000	0.06250394	0.01358399	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
22.500	0.00799499	0.00205500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
45.000	0.00677099	0.00148400	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
67.500	0.01212199	0.00331100	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
90.000	0.01789798	0.00399500	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
112.500	0.01142099	0.00365300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
135.000	0.00858199	0.00182600	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
157.500	0.01202399	0.00125600	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
180.000	0.02039398	0.00365300	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
202.500	0.01773398	0.00308200	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
225.000	0.01531999	0.00262600	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
247.500	0.00938099	0.00102700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
270.000	0.02057298	0.00239700	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
292.500	0.02448898	0.00422400	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
315.000	0.02401598	0.00433800	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
337,500	0.02592398	0.00422400	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000

- VERTICAL POTENTIAL TEMPERATURE GRADIENT (DEGREES KELVIN/METER) -

WIND SPEED WIND SPEED

- WIND PROFILE POWER LAW EXPONENTS -

- SOURCE INPUT DATA -

C T SOURCE A A NUMBER R P D E			Y COORDINATE (M)	EMISSION HEIGHT (M)		- SOURCE DETAILS DEPENDING ON TYPE -
x	STAC	0.00	0.00	12.19	0.00	GAS EXIT TEMP (DEG K)= 561.00 , GAS EXIT VEL. (M/SEC)= 51.90 , STACK DIAMETER (M)= 0.590 , HEIGHT OF ASSO. BLDG. (M)= -9.69 , WIDTH OF ASSO. BLDG. (M)= 69.17 , WAKE EFFECTS FLAG = 0

- DIRECTION SPECIFIC BUILDING DIMENSIONS -

SECTOR	DSBH	DSBW	IWAKE												
1	9.7,	69.2,	0	2	9.7,	69.2,	0	3	9.7,	69.2,	0	4	9.7,	69.2,	0
5	9.7,	69.2,	0	6	9.7	69.2,	0	7	9.7,	69.2	0	8	9.7	69.2,	0
9	9.7,	69.2,	0	10	9.7	69.2,	0	11	9.7,	69.2,	0	12	9.7,	69.2,	0
13	9.7,	69.2,	0	14	9.7,	69.2,	0	15	9.7,	69.2,	0	16	9.7,	69.2,	0

- SOURCE STRENGTHS (GRAMS PER SEC) SEASON 1 SEASON 2 SEASON 3 SEASON 4
2.22000E+00

WARNING - HW/HB > 5 FOR SOURCE 1 PROG. USES LATERAL VIRTUAL DIST. FOR UPPER BOUND OF CONCENTRATION (DEPOSITION) IN SECTOR(S):

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16.

IF LOWER BOUND IS DESIRED SET THE DIRECTION SPECIFIC BUILDING HEIGHT TO < 0 (WAKE EFFECTS FLAG) AND RERUN.

***** PAGE	
------------	--

** ANN	UAL GROUND LET	VEL CONCENTRA	TION (MICROG	RAMS PER CUBI	C METER) DUE	TO SOURCE	1	**
			- G	RID SYSTEM RE	CEPTORS -				
			- X A	XIS (RANGE	, METERS) -				
	550.000	600.000	650.000	700.000	750.000	800.000	850.000	900.000	950.000
Y AXIS (AZIMUTH	BEARING, DEGRI	EES)		- CONCEN	TRATION -				
	0 /10/5/			0 (5550)	0 (51000	0 (50534			0 (2(00)
188.000	0.619456	0.639999	0.651210	0.655594	0.654929	0.650571	0.643598	0.634852	0.624984
186.000	0.656056	0.676584	0.687274	0.690814	0.689121	0.683663	0.675580	0.665771	0.654913
184.000	0.693289	0.713785	0.723924	0.726593	0.723843	0.717247	0.708021	0.697113	0.685226
182.000	0.731028	0.751488	0.761078	0.762865	0.759042	0.751283	0.740887	0.728849	0.715902
180.000	0.769122	0.789577	0.798647	0.799566	0.794669	0.785738	0.773468	0.760950	0.746260
178.000	0.717118	0.736290	0.744774	0.745630	0.741055	0.732713	0.721892	0.709556	0.696443
176.000	0.664764	0.682664	0.690587	0.691408	0.687181	0.679452	0.669411	0.657961	0.645773
174.000	0.612283	0.628912	0.636275	0.637069	0.633200	0.626092	0.616840	0.606277	0.595017
172.000	0.559926	0.575253	0.582040	0.582795	0.579273	0.572779	0.564312	0.554629	0.544296

^{- 10} CONTRIBUTING VALUES TO PROGRAM DETERMINED MAXIMUM 10 OF ALL SOURCES COMBINED -

X	Y	CONCENTRATION		
COORDINATE	COORDINATE			
RANGE	AZIMUTH			
	BEARING			
(METERS)	(DEGREES)			
700.00	180.00	0.799566		
650.00	180.00	0.798647		
750.00	180.00	0.794669		
600.00	180.00	0.789577		
800.00	180.00	0.785738		
850.00	180.00	0.773468		
550.00	180.00	0.769122		
700.00	182.00	0.762865		
650.00	182.00	0.761078		
900.00	180.00	0.760950		

***********	ND OF	ISCLT	PROGRAM,	1 SOURCES PROCESSED	**********
-------------	-------	-------	----------	---------------------	------------