#### **POLK POWER STATION**

# TITLE V PERMIT REVISION APPLICATION CHANGE IN SO<sub>2</sub> COMPLIANCE TEST METHOD

#### Prepared for:



Prepared by:



Environmental Consulting & Technology, Inc. 3701 Northwest 98<sup>th</sup> Street Gainesville, Florida 32606

ECT No. 010888-0500

November 2002



# Department of Environmental Protection Nov 25 2002 TITLE V SOURCE

#### **APPLICATION FOR AIR PERMIT - TITLE V SOURCE**

#### I. APPLICATION INFORMATION

#### **Identification of Facility**

	4 7 111 0 10						
	1. Facility Owner/Company Name:						
	Tampa Electric Company	_					
	2. Site Name:						
	Polk Power Station						
3.	Facility Identification Number: 10502.	33			٠ ل	] Unknown	
4.	<u> </u>						
	Street Address or Other Locator: 9995	State I	Roi	ite 37, South	1		
	City: <i>Mulberry</i> Coun	ty: <b>Po</b>	lk		Zip Code:	: <i>33860-0775</i>	
5.	Relocatable Facility?	6	j. :	Existing Per	mitted Facili	ity?	
	[ ] Yes [X] No			[X] Yes	[ ] No		
Ar	oplication Contact	<u> </u>				· ·	
Na	me and Title of Application Contact:						
l	iza Calderón, Engineer – Environment	tal Affa	iirs				
2.	Application Contact Mailing Address:						
	Organization/Firm: Tampa Electric Co	mpany	,				
	Street Address: 6944 U.S. Highway 41	l North	!				
	City: Apollo Beach	State	: <i>1</i>	FL .	Zip Code:	33572-9200	
3.	Application Contact Telephone Numbe	rs:					
	Telephone: (813) 641-5261		]	Fax: <b>(813) 6</b>	41-5081		
<u>A</u> p	plication Processing Information (DE	P Use)					
1.	Date of Receipt of Application:					-	
2.	Permit Number:						
3.	PSD Number (if applicable):				_	-	
4	Siting Number (if applicable):						

DEP Form No. 62-210.900(1) - Form Effective: 2/11/99

#### Purpose of Application

#### **Air Operation Permit Application**

T	his	Application for Air Permit is submitted to obtain: (Check one)
[	]	Initial Title V air operation permit for an existing facility which is classified as a Title V source.
[	]	Initial Title V air operation permit for a facility which, upon start up of one or more newly constructed or modified emissions units addressed in this application, would become classified as a Title V source.
		Current construction permit number:
[	]	Title V air operation permit revision to address one or more newly constructed or modified emissions units addressed in this application.
		Current construction permit number:
		Operation permit number to be revised:
[	]	Title V air operation permit revision or administrative correction to address one or more proposed new or modified emissions units and to be processed concurrently with the air construction permit application. (Also check Air Construction Permit Application below.)
		Operation permit number to be revised/corrected:
[]	<b>K</b> ]	Title V air operation permit revision for reasons other than construction or modification of an emissions unit. Give reason for the revision; e.g., to comply with a new applicable requirement or to request approval of an "Early Reductions" proposal.
		Operation permit number to be revised:1050233-009-AV
		Reason for revision:_Change a test method
Ai	r (	Construction Permit Application
Th	is	Application for Air Permit is submitted to obtain: (Check one)
[	]	Air construction permit to construct or modify one or more emissions units.
[	]	Air construction permit to make federally enforceable an assumed restriction on the potential emissions of one or more existing, permitted emissions units.
[	]	Air construction permit for one or more existing, but unpermitted, emissions units.

#### Owner/Authorized Representative or Responsible Official

1.	Name and Title of Owner/Authorized Representative or Responsible Office	cial:

Mark J. Hornick, General Manager

2. Owner/Authorized Representative or Responsible Official Mailing Address:

Organization/Firm: Tampa Electric Company

Street Address: 9995 State Route 37 South (P.O. Box 111, Tampa, FL 33601)

City: Mulberry

State: FL

Zip Code: *33572-9200* 

3. Owner/Authorized Representative or Responsible Official Telephone Numbers:

Telephone: (813) 228-1111 Ext. 39988

Fax: (813) 428-5927

4. Owner/Authorized Representative or Responsible Official Statement:

I, the undersigned, am the owner or authorized representative\*(check here [ ], if so) or the responsible official (check here [ ], if so) of the Title V source addressed in this application, whichever is applicable. I hereby certify, based on information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, that the statements made in this application are true, accurate and complete and that, to the best of my knowledge, any estimates of emissions reported in this application are based upon reasonable techniques for calculating emissions. The air pollutant emissions units and air pollution control equipment described in this application will be operated and maintained so as to comply with all applicable standards for control of air pollutant emissions found in the statutes of the State of Florida and rules of the Department of Environmental Protection and revisions thereof. I understand that a permit, if granted by the Department, cannot be transferred without authorization from the Department, and I will promptly notify the Department upon sale or legal transfer of any permitted emissions unit.

Signature

Date

#### **Professional Engineer Certification**

1. Professional Engineer Name: Daniel N. Hlaing

Registration Number: 45058

2. Professional Engineer Mailing Address:

Organization/Firm: Environmental Consulting & Technology, Inc.

Street Address: 3701 NW 98th Street

City: Gainesville

State: *FL* 

3

Zip Code: 32606-5004

3. Professional Engineer Telephone Numbers:

Telephone: (352) 332-0444

Fax: (352) 332-6722

DEP Form No. 62-210.900(1) – Form

<sup>\*</sup> Attach letter of authorization if not currently on file.

#### 4. Professional Engineer Statement:

I, the undersigned, hereby certify, except as particularly noted herein\*, that:

- (1) To the best of my knowledge, there is reasonable assurance that the air pollutant emissions unit(s) and the air pollution control equipment described in this Application for Air Permit, when properly operated and maintained, will comply with all applicable standards for control of air pollutant emissions found in the Florida Statutes and rules of the Department of Environmental Protection; and
- (2) To the best of my knowledge, any emission estimates reported or relied on in this application are true, accurate, and complete and are either based upon reasonable techniques available for calculating emissions or, for emission estimates of hazardous air pollutants not regulated for an emissions unit addressed in this application, based solely upon the materials, information and calculations submitted with this application.

If the purpose of this application is to obtain a Title V source air operation permit (check here [ ], if so), I further certify that each emissions unit described in this Application for Air Permit, when properly operated and maintained, will comply with the applicable requirements identified in this application to which the unit is subject, except those emissions units for which a compliance schedule is submitted with this application.

If the purpose of this application is to obtain an air construction permit for one or more proposed new or modified emissions units (check here [ ], if so), I further certify that the engineering features of each such emissions unit described in this application have been designed or examined by me or individuals under my direct supervision and found to be in conformity with sound engineering principles applicable to the control of emissions of the air pollutants characterized in this application.

If the purpose of this application is to obtain an initial air operation permit or operation permit revision for one or more newly constructed or modified emissions units (check here [ ], if so), I further certify that, with the exception of any changes detailed as part of this application, each such emissions unit has been constructed or modified in substantial accordance with the information given in the corresponding application for air construction permit and with all provisions contained in such permit.

Change test method for an existing emissions unit

#### **Scope of Application**

Emissions Unit ID	Description of Emissions Unit	Permit Type	Processing Fee
004	Sulfuric Acid Plant (SAP)	N/A	N/A
_			
-			
-			
_			
-			
<del></del>			

# Application Processing Fee Check one: [ ] Attached - Amount: \$\_\_\_\_\_\_ [X] Not Applicable\_ Note: The facility has been issued a FINAL Title V Permit, and therefore, an application processing fee is not required pursuant to Rule 62-213.205(4), F.A.C.

DEP Form No. 62-210.900(1) – Form Effective: 2/11/99

#### **Construction/Modification Information**

1. Description of Proposed Project or Alterations:

Condition C.14 of the current Title V permit (#1050233-009-AV) requires that EPA Method 8 be used as a test method for demonstrating compliance with the sulfur dioxide ( $SO_2$ ) emission limit in Condition C.6. Since the current stack design poses problems with using the EPA Method 8 (Determination of Sulfuric Acid Mist and Sulfur Dioxide Emissions from Stationary Sources) [explained in details in correspondence dated October 3, 2002], it is proposed that the use of EPA Method 6C [Determination of Sulfur Dioxide Emissions From Stationary Sources (Instrumental Analyzer Procedure)] be allowed to demonstrate compliance with the  $SO_2$  emission limit.

- 2. Projected or Actual Date of Commencement of Construction: N/A
- 3. Projected Date of Completion of Construction: N/A

#### **Application Comment**

It was shown in Table 1 of Ms. Laura Crouch's letter (dated October 3, 2002) to Mr. Bill Proses of FDEP-SW District that the  $SO_2$  emissions test results using EPA Method 6C and adapted version of Method 8 simultaneously are comparable (i.e., within 5%). This accuracy is sufficient for the purpose of compliance demonstration.

6

DEP Form No. 62-210.900(1) - Form

Effective: 2/11/99

#### II. FACILITY INFORMATION

#### A. GENERAL FACILITY INFORMATION

#### Facility Location and Type

1.	Facility UTM Coor	dinates:						
	Zone: 17	Ea	ıst (km):	402.	45 Nort	h (km): <i>3,06</i>	7.35	
2.	Facility Latitude/Lo	ongitude:						
	Latitude (DD/MM/	SS): <i>27/43/43</i>		Longitude (DD/MM/SS): 81/59/23				
3.	Governmental	4. Facility Sta	tus	5. F	acility Major	6. Facility	SIC(s):	
	Facility Code:	Code:		(	Group SIC Code:			
	0	$\boldsymbol{A}$			49	4911		
7.	Facility Comment (	limit to 500 char	acters):					
			٠					
	•							
					·			
							•	

#### **Facility Contact**

1.	Name and Title of Facility Contact:	Mike Perkins – Environmental Coordinator
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

2. Facility Contact Mailing Address:

Organization/Firm: Tampa Electric Company

Street Address: 9995 State Route 37 South (P.O. Box 111, Tampa, FL 33601)

State: FL

City: Mulberry

3. Facility Contact Telephone Numbers:

Telephone: (813) 228-1111 Ext.39109 Fax:

Fax: (813) 428-5927

Zip Code: 33572-9200

#### **Facility Regulatory Classifications**

#### Check all that apply:

1. [ ] Small Business Stationary Source? [ ] Unknown									
2. [X] Major Source of Pollutants Other than Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs)?									
3. [ ] Synthetic Minor Source of Pollutants Other than HAPs?									
4. [ ] Major Source of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs)?									
5. [X] Synthetic Minor Source of HAPs?									
6. [X] One or More Emissions Units Subject to NSPS?									
7. [ ] One or More Emission Units Subject to NESHAP?									
8. [ ] Title V Source by EPA Designation?									
9. Facility Regulatory Classifications Comment (limit to 200 characters):									
List of Applicable Regulations									
Reference to previously submitted Title V or									
original construction permit application									
,									

#### **B. FACILITY POLLUTANTS**

#### List of Pollutants Emitted

1. Pollutant			4. Basis for	5. Pollutant	
Emitted	Classif.	lb/hour	tons/year	Emissions Cap	Comment
NOX	A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
SO2	A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
со	A	N/A	N/A	N/A_	
PM10	A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
PM	A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
SAM	A	N/A	N/A	N/A_	
VOC	A	N/A	N/A	N/A	•
PB	В	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	-				
				-	
				-	

DEP Form No. 62-210.900(1) – Form Effective: 2/11/99

#### C. FACILITY SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

#### **Supplemental Requirements**

1. Area Map Showing Facility Location:
[ ] Attached, Document ID: [ ] Not Applicable [X] Waiver Requested
2. Facility Plot Plan:
[ ] Attached, Document ID: [ ] Not Applicable [X] Waiver Requested
3. Process Flow Diagram(s):
[ ] Attached, Document ID: [ ] Not Applicable [X] Waiver Requested
4. Precautions to Prevent Emissions of Unconfined Particulate Matter:
[ ] Attached, Document ID: [ ] Not Applicable [X] Waiver Requested
5. Fugitive Emissions Identification:
[ ] Attached, Document ID: [ ] Not Applicable [X] Waiver Requested
6. Supplemental Information for Construction Permit Application:
[ ] Attached, Document ID: [X] Not Applicable
7. Supplemental Requirements Comment:
7. Supplemental Requirements Comment:  Previously submitted with the Title V permit application. These submittals are still valid as
7. Supplemental Requirements Comment:  Previously submitted with the Title V permit application. These submittals are still valid as
7. Supplemental Requirements Comment:  Previously submitted with the Title V permit application. These submittals are still valid as
7. Supplemental Requirements Comment:  Previously submitted with the Title V permit application. These submittals are still valid as
7. Supplemental Requirements Comment:  Previously submitted with the Title V permit application. These submittals are still valid as
7. Supplemental Requirements Comment:  Previously submitted with the Title V permit application. These submittals are still valid as
7. Supplemental Requirements Comment:  Previously submitted with the Title V permit application. These submittals are still valid as
7. Supplemental Requirements Comment:  Previously submitted with the Title V permit application. These submittals are still valid as
7. Supplemental Requirements Comment:  Previously submitted with the Title V permit application. These submittals are still valid as
7. Supplemental Requirements Comment:  Previously submitted with the Title V permit application. These submittals are still valid as
7. Supplemental Requirements Comment:  Previously submitted with the Title V permit application. These submittals are still valid as

DEP Form No. 62-210.900(1) – Form Effective: 2/11/99

#### Additional Supplemental Requirements for Title V Air Operation Permit Applications

8. List of Proposed Insignificant Activities:
[ ] Attached, Document ID: [X] Not Applicable
9. List of Equipment/Activities Regulated under Title VI:
[ ] Attached, Document ID:
[ ] Equipment/Activities On site but Not Required to be Individually Listed
[X] Not Applicable
10. Alternative Methods of Operation:
[ ] Attached, Document ID: [X] Not Applicable
11. Alternative Modes of Operation (Emissions Trading):
[ ] Attached, Document ID: [X] Not Applicable
12. Identification of Additional Applicable Requirements:
[ ] Attached, Document ID: [X] Not Applicable
13. Risk Management Plan Verification:
[ ] Plan previously submitted to Chemical Emergency Preparedness and Prevention
Office (CEPPO). Verification of submittal attached (Document ID:) or
previously submitted to DEP (Date and DEP Office:)
[ ] Plan to be submitted to CEPPO (Date required:)
[X] Not Applicable
14. Compliance Report and Plan:
[ ] Attached, Document ID: [X] Not Applicable
15. Compliance Certification (Hard-copy Required):
[ ] Attached, Document ID: [X] Not Applicable

Emissions	Unit	Information	Section	1	of	1	
	CHIL	minution	Section		_ **		

#### III. EMISSIONS UNIT INFORMATION

A separate Emissions Unit Information Section (including subsections A through J as required) must be completed for each emissions unit addressed in this Application for Air Permit. If submitting the application form in hard copy, indicate, in the space provided at the top of each page, the number of this Emissions Unit Information Section and the total number of Emissions Unit Information Sections submitted as part of this application.

### A. GENERAL EMISSIONS UNIT INFORMATION (All Emissions Units)

Emissions Unit Description and Status								
1. Type of Emissions Unit Addressed in This Section: (Check one)								
process or prod	[X] This Emissions Unit Information Section addresses, as a single emissions unit, a single process or production unit, or activity, which produces one or more air pollutants and which has at least one definable emission point (stack or vent).							
process or prod	[ ] This Emissions Unit Information Section addresses, as a single emissions unit, a group of process or production units and activities which has at least one definable emission point (stack or vent) but may also produce fugitive emissions.							
1		n addresses, as a single emises which produce fugitive em						
2. Regulated or Uni	regulated Emissions Unit	? (Check one)						
[X] The emissions emissions unit.	[X] The emissions unit addressed in this Emissions Unit Information Section is a regulated emissions unit.							
[ ] The emissions emissions unit.								
1. Description of En	nissions Unit Addressed	in This Section (limit to 60	characters):					
Existing Sulfurio	e Acid Plant							
4. Emissions Unit Io	dentification Number:		[ ] No ID					
ID: <i>004</i>			[ ] ID Unknown					
5. Emissions Unit	6. Initial Startup	7. Emissions Unit Major	8. Acid Rain Unit?					
Status Code:	Date:	Group SIC Code:	[ ]					
A	4/10/96	49						
9. Emissions Unit Comment: (Limit to 500 Characters)								
The sulfuric acid plant takes a sulfur gas stream from the solid fuel gasification plant, and								
converts it to 100 percent sulfuric acid using double contact process. It has a 15 MMBtu/hr propane-fired furnace which converts $H_2S$ to $SO_2$ , and vents to atmosphere only during warm-								
1	<del>-</del>							
up; and a 9 MMBtu/hr propane-fired non-contact SO <sub>2</sub> to SO <sub>3</sub> converter preheater, which is								

DEP Form No. 62-210.900(1) – Form Effective: 2/11/99

Emissions out miormation Section1	` <u>—</u>	
<b>Emissions Unit Control Equipment</b>		
1. Control Equipment/Method Description (Limi	it to 200 characters per	device or method):
Sulfuric Acid Plant – Double Contact Process		
		•
		•
	·	
		,
		•
2. Control Device or Method Code(s): 044		
Emissions Unit Details Constructed to 2	TEC's specifications	
1. Package Unit:		
Manufacturer:	Model Number:	
2. Generator Nameplate Rating:	MW	
3. Incinerator Information:		°F
Dwell Temperature: Dwell Time:		seconds
Incinerator Afterburner Temperature:		°F

<b>Emissions</b>	Unit	<b>Information</b>	Section	1	of	1

# B. EMISSIONS UNIT CAPACITY INFORMATION (Regulated Emissions Units Only)

#### Emissions Unit Operating Capacity and Schedule

1.	Maximum Heat Input Rate:		mmBtu/hr
2.	Maximum Incineration Rate:	lb/hr	tons/day
3.	Maximum Process or Through	hput Rate:	
4.	Maximum Production Rate:	77,640 ton/ year, 100% sulfuric acid	
5.	Requested Maximum Operat	ing Schedule:	
		24 hours/day	7 days/week
		52 weeks/year	<b>8,760</b> hours/year
6.	Operating Capacity/Schedule	Comment (limit to 200 characters):	
Mo	aximum production rate is the	e permitted sulfuric acid production lin	iit.

	Emissions	Unit	Information	1 Section	1	of	1	
--	-----------	------	-------------	-----------	---	----	---	--

# C. EMISSIONS UNIT REGULATIONS (Regulated Emissions Units Only)

#### List of Applicable Regulations

Rule 62-296.402, F.A.C.	Sulfuric Acid Plants
	Permit Conditions
Rule 62-4.160, F.A.C.	Fermu Conditions
Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C.	Definitions
Rule 62-297.310, F.A.C.	General Compliance Test Requirements
Rule 62-213.410, F.A.C.	Changes without Permit Revisions
Rule 62-210.700, F.A.C.	Excess Emissions
Rule 62-213.440, F.A.C.	Permit Content
-	,
	-
<u>-</u>	

DEP Form No. 62-210.900(1) – Form

Effective: 2/11/99

<b>Emissions</b>	Unit	Information	Section	1	of	1

# D. EMISSION POINT (STACK/VENT) INFORMATION (Regulated Emissions Units Only)

#### **Emission Point Description and Type**

	Identification of Point on Pl Flow Diagram? <i>AP-01</i>	ot Plan or	Emission Point Type Code:    1			
3. Descriptions of Emission Points Comprising this Emissions Unit for VE Tracking (limit to 100 characters per point):  N/A						
1.	1. ID Numbers or Descriptions of Emission Units with this Emission Point in Common:  N/A					
5.	Discharge Type Code:	6. Stack Height 199 fe		7. Exit Diameter: 2.5 feet		
8.	Exit Temperature: 180 °F		umetric Flow 7,660 acfm	10. Water Vapor: %		
11.	Maximum Dry Standard Flo	ow Rate: dscfm	12. Nonstack Er	nission Point Height: feet		
	Emission Point UTM Coord Zone: E	linates: ast (km):	Nortl	h (km):		
14.	Emission Point Comment (I	imit to 200 chara	acters):			

DEP Form No. 62-210.900(1) – Form Effective: 2/11/99

	<b>Emissions Unit Inform</b>	ation Section	1	of	1	
--	------------------------------	---------------	---	----	---	--

#### E. SEGMENT (PROCESS/FUEL) INFORMATION (All Emissions Units)

Segment Description and Rate: Segment						
1. Segment Description (Pro	cess/Fuel Type)	(limit to 500 cl	narac	ters):		
Sulfuric acid production						
Sulfuric acia production						
	·					
2. Source Classification Cod	e (SCC):	3. SCC Unit	s:			
3-01-022-01				ced or Manufactured		
1. Maximum Hourly Rate: <b>8.9</b>	1. Maximum <i>77,64</i>		6.	Estimated Annual Activity Factor:		
7. Maximum % Sulfur:	8. Maximum 9		9.	Million Btu per SCC Unit:		
10.0	200 1					
10. Segment Comment (limit	to 200 characters	):				
Segment Description and Ra	nte: Segment	of				
1. Segment Description (Pro	cess/Fuel Type)	(limit to 500 c	harac	eters):		
2. Source Classification Code	e (SCC):	3. SCC Uni	ts:			
2. 504.00 6.4.5.						
4. Maximum Hourly Rate:	5. Maximum A	Annual Rate:	6.	Estimated Annual Activity Factor:		
7. Maximum % Sulfur:	8. Maximum % Ash:		9.	Million Btu per SCC Unit:		
10. Segment Comment (limit to 200 characters):						
To Segment Comment (mine		<b>)</b> •				

DEP Form No. 62-210.900(1) – Form Effective: 2/11/99

<b>Emissions</b>	Unit	Infor	mation	Section	1	of	1	

#### F. EMISSIONS UNIT POLLUTANTS (All Emissions Units)

1. Pollutant Emitted	2. Primary Control Device Code	3. Secondary Control Device Code	4. Pollutant Regulatory Code
SO2			EL
SAM			EL
NOX			NS
PM			NS
PM10			NS NS
			NS
		·	
		_	
		·	

DEP Form No. 62-210.900(1) - Form Effective: 2/11/99

#### G. EMISSIONS UNIT POLLUTANT DETAIL INFORMATION

(Regulated Emissions Units -

#### Emissions-Limited and Preconstruction Review Pollutants Only)

#### Potential/Fugitive Emissions

1. Pollutant Emitted: SO2	2. Total Percent Effici	ency of Control:
3. Potential Emissions:  35.6 lb/hour	155.3 tons/year	4. Synthetically Limited? [ ]
5. Range of Estimated Fugitive Emissions:	155.5 tons/year	Limited: [ ]
[ ] 1 [ ] 2 [ ] 3	to to	ns/year
6. Emission Factor: 4 lb/ton		7. Emissions
Reference: Allowable Emission Rate		Method Code:  0
8. Calculation of Emissions (limit to 600 chara	cters):	
$4 \frac{lb SO_2}{ton H_2SO_4} \times 8.9 \frac{ton H_2SO_4}{hour} = 35.6 \frac{lb SO_2}{hour}$		
$\frac{4 \frac{lb SO_2}{ton H_2SO_4} \times 77,640 \frac{ton H_2SO_4}{year}}{2,000 lb / ton} = 155.3 \frac{ton S}{yea}$	$\frac{O_2}{r}$	
9. Pollutant Potential/Fugitive Emissions Com	ment (limit to 200 charac	eters):
Allowable Emissions Allowable Emissions	of	
Basis for Allowable Emissions Code:     RULE	2. Future Effective Da Emissions:	ate of Allowable
3. Requested Allowable Emissions and Units:	4. Equivalent Allowal	ble Emissions:
4 lb/ton of 100% H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	lb/hour	tons/year
5. Method of Compliance (limit to 60 character	. ,	
EPA Method 6C [Determination of Sulfur Diox (Instrumental Analyzer Procedure)] or alternation	_	<u>*</u>
6. Allowable Emissions Comment (Desc. of O		

Emissions from Stationary Sources]

In lieu of EPA Method 8 [Determination of Sulfuric Acid Mist and Sulfur Dioxide

<b>Emission</b>	s Unit	Information	Section	1	of	1

#### G. EMISSIONS UNIT POLLUTANT DETAIL INFORMATION

#### (Regulated Emissions Units -

#### Emissions-Limited and Preconstruction Review Pollutants Only)

#### Potential/Fugitive Emissions

1. Pollutant Emitted: SAM	2. Total Percent Efficiency of Control:			
3. Potential Emissions:  1.34 lb/hour	5.82 tons/yea	4. Synthetically Limited? [ ]		
5. Range of Estimated Fugitive Emissions:  [ ] 1 [ ] 2 [ ] 3	to	tons/year		
6. Emission Factor: 0.15 lb/ton  Reference: Allowable Emission Rate		7. Emissions Method Code:		
8. Calculation of Emissions (limit to 600 chara) $0.15 \frac{lb \ SAM}{ton \ H_2SO_4} \times 8.9 \frac{ton \ H_2SO_4}{hour} = 1.34 \frac{lb \ SAM}{hour}$	•			
$\frac{0.15 \frac{lb \ SAM}{ton \ H_2 SO_4} \times 77,640 \frac{ton \ H_2 SO_4}{year}}{2,000 \ lb \ / ton} = 5.82 \frac{ton}{y}$		·		
9. Pollutant Potential/Fugitive Emissions Com	ment (limit to 200 cha	aracters):		
Allowable Emissions Allowable Emissions	of			
1. Basis for Allowable Emissions Code: <i>RULE</i>	2. Future Effective Emissions:	Date of Allowable		
3. Requested Allowable Emissions and Units:	4. Equivalent Allo	wable Emissions:		
0.15 lb/ton of 100% H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	lb/hou	ır tons/year		
5. Method of Compliance (limit to 60 character EPA Method 8 [Determination of Sulfuric Acid Stationary Sources] or alternative method appropriate the stationary Sources of th	d and Sulfur Dioxide	Emissions from		
6. Allowable Emissions Comment (Desc. of O	perating Method) (lim	it to 200 characters):		

Er	nissions Unit Information Section1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		IONS INFORMATION nits Subject to a VE Limitation)
<u>Vi</u>	sible Emissions Limitation: Visible Emiss	
1.	Visible Emissions Subtype:  VE10	2. Basis for Allowable Opacity: [X] Rule [ ] Other
3.	Requested Allowable Opacity: Normal Conditions: 10 % Ex Maximum Period of Excess Opacity Allow	sceptional Conditions: % ed: min/hour
4.	Method of Compliance:  EPA Method 9	
5.	Visible Emissions Comment (limit to 200 control of Rule 62-296.402(2)(a), F.A.C.	haracters):
Ca		NITOR INFORMATION Subject to Continuous Monitoring) Monitor of N/A
1.	Parameter Code:	2. Pollutant(s):
3.	CMS Requirement:	[ ] Rule [ ] Other
4.	Monitor Information: Manufacturer: Model Number:	Serial Number:
5.	Installation Date:	6. Performance Specification Test Date:

DEP Form No. 62-210.900(1) – Form Effective: 2/11/99

7. Continuous Monitor Comment (limit to 200 characters):

Emissions	Unit	Inf	formatio	n Secti	on	1	of	1

## J. EMISSIONS UNIT SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION (Regulated Emissions Units Only)

#### **Supplemental Requirements**

1.	Process Flow Diagram
	[ ] Attached, Document ID: [ ] Not Applicable [X] Waiver Requested
2.	Fuel Analysis or Specification
	[ ] Attached, Document ID: [ ] Not Applicable [X] Waiver Requested
3.	Detailed Description of Control Equipment
	[ ] Attached, Document ID: [ ] Not Applicable [X] Waiver Requested
4.	Description of Stack Sampling Facilities
	[ ] Attached, Document ID: [ ] Not Applicable [X] Waiver Requested
5.	Compliance Test Report
	[ ] Attached, Document ID:
	[X] Previously submitted, Date: 10/3/02_
	[ ] Not Applicable
6.	Procedures for Startup and Shutdown
	[ ] Attached, Document ID: [ ] Not Applicable [X] Waiver Requested
7.	Operation and Maintenance Plan
	[ ] Attached, Document ID: [ ] Not Applicable [X] Waiver Requested
8.	Supplemental Information for Construction Permit Application
	[ ] Attached, Document ID: [ X ] Not Applicable
9.	Other Information Required by Rule or Statute
	[ ] Attached, Document ID: [X] Not Applicable
10.	Supplemental Requirements Comment:
	Previously submitted

Additional Supplemental Requirements for Title V Air Operation Permit Application	<u>ns</u>
11. Alternative Methods of Operation	
[ ] Attached, Document ID: [X] Not Applicable	
12. Alternative Modes of Operation (Emissions Trading)	
[ ] Attached, Document ID: [X] Not Applicable	
13. Identification of Additional Applicable Requirements	•
[ ] Attached, Document ID: $X$ Not Applicable	
14. Compliance Assurance Monitoring Plan	
[ ] Attached, Document ID: [X] Not Applicable	
15. Acid Rain Part Application (Hard-copy Required)	
[ ] Acid Rain Part - Phase II (Form No. 62-210.900(1)(a)) Attached, Document ID:	
[ ] Repowering Extension Plan (Form No. 62-210.900(1)(a)1.) Attached, Document ID:	
[ ] New Unit Exemption (Form No. 62-210.900(1)(a)2.) Attached, Document ID:	
[ ] Retired Unit Exemption (Form No. 62-210.900(1)(a)3.) Attached, Document ID:	
Phase II NOx Compliance Plan (Form No. 62-210.900(1)(a)4.)	

Emissions Unit Information Section \_\_\_1\_\_ of \_\_\_1\_\_

Attached, Document ID:\_\_\_\_\_

Attached, Document ID:\_\_\_\_\_

[X] Not Applicable

[ ] Phase NOx Averaging Plan (Form No. 62-210.900(1)(a)5.)



October 3, 2002

Mr. Bill Proses
Southwest District
Florida Department of
Environmental Protection
3804 Coconut Palm Drive
Tampa, Florida 33619-8318

Via FedEx Airbill No. 7921 1285 2820

Re:

Tampa Electric Company (TEC)
Polk Power Station Sulfuric Acid Plant
Stack Emission Test
Permit No. 1050233-009-AV
AIRS #1050233, EU#004

Dear Mr. Proses:

According to Condition C.20 of the Title V Permit #1050233-009-AV, TEC is required to perform prior to renewal of the permit a formal compliance test demonstrating compliance for sulfuric acid mist (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) at Polk Power Station for E.U. ID No. 004. As referenced in Condition C.14 and Chapter 62-297, F.A.C, EPA Method 8 is required to be used for sulfuric acid mist/sulfur dioxide compliance. Provided with this correspondence is the August 22 & 23, 2002 emission performance test. This emission performance test was performed in order to evaluate different methods for testing and to develop a recommended single method.

EPA Method 8 specifies that the stack velocity be determined by differential pressure using a manometer. Due to the very low velocity in the sulfuric acid plant stack at Polk Power Station, TEC testing personnel have found it impossible to register a differential pressure reading for the exit gas using a manometer. This, in turn, prevents the direct application of EPA Method 8. This problem was addressed on the April 26, 2000 letter to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP), where TEC requested permission to use an alternative approach to determine the exit velocity of the sulfuric acid plant stack gas. Richard L. Davis of Davis & Associates Consulting, Inc developed an algorithm that allowed TEC to calculate the exit velocity of acid plant stack gas based on available plant operating data. TEC reviewed this algorithm and found it to be technically correct and precise, therefore TEC used it for the sulfuric acid plant initial compliance test and the August 22 & 23, 2002 emission performance test. Other than this adaptation, TEC strictly adhered to all requirements of EPA Method 8.

During the August 22 & 23, 2002 emission performance test, EPA Method 6C was simultaneously used along with the adapted EPA Method 8 for the determination of sulfur dioxide emissions from the sulfuric acid plant. This test method continuously extracts a gas sample from a stack, and a portion of the sample is conveyed to an instrumental analyzer for determination of  $SO_2$  gas concentration. Since the  $H_2SO_4$  and  $SO_2$  emission limits are of 0.15 pounds per ton of 100 percent acid produced and 4 pounds per ton of 100 percent acid produced, respectively, the concentrations would need to be converted to a lb/ton number. The results of this test method are included in Appendix A of the performance test report enclosed. This test method is considered an accurate representation of the emissions from the sulfuric acid plant, but it only is applicable for determining  $SO_2$  gas concentrations and not  $H_2SO_4$  gas concentrations.

Tampa Electric Company P.O. Box 111 - Tampa, Fl 33601-0111

(813) 228-4111

Mr. Bill Proses October 3, 2002 Page 2 of 3

#### **BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

Presented below in Table 1 is a comparison of the emission rates using the EPA Method 6C and the adapted EPA Method 8 for the August 22 & 23, 2002 sulfuric acid plant emission performance test..

TABLE 1. EPA Method 6C and Adapted Method 8 Comparison for Sulfuric Acid Plant Emission Performance Test (August 22 & 23, 2002)

Sulfuric Acid Plant	SO <sub>2</sub> Concentration	Conversion Facor	SO₂ Cond	entration		
August 22 & 23, 2002	[ EPA Method 8 ]	lbs/dscf to ppm	f to ppm EPA Adapted EPA Method 8C Method 8		Difference	
· 图12字中12字字图12字图1	Ib/dacr		PPM III	pemi	11 % T	
Run 1	2.380E-05	1.660⊑-07	135.03	143.37	-5.82	
Run 2	2.564E-05	1.660E <b>-</b> 07	147.72	154.46	-4.36	
Run 3	2.756E-05	1.660E-07	161.13	166.02	-2.95	
		1				

Average 148.0 154.6 -4.38

The only other option at the present time to calculate the  $H_2SO_4$  and  $SO_2$  emissions from the sulfuric acid plant would be to use the following alternative equation for a source that processes "elemental sulfur or an ore that contains elemental sulfur" and uses air to supply oxygen as referenced in 60.84(d):

$$E_s = (C_s S)/[0.265 - (0.126\% O_2) - (A \% CO_2)]$$

At Polk, the source of the sulfur to the sulfuric acid plant is not "elemental sulfur or an ore containing elemental sulfur" as specified in 60.84. Rather, it is hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S) in the acid gas stream from the solid fuel gasification plant's gas cleanup system. Also, the Polk Power Station sulfuric acid plant uses pure oxygen in addition to air to supply the oxygen for acid production. Consequently, the alternative equation above does not calculate an accurate emission rate for this process.

Presented below in Table 2 is a comparison of the emission rates using the alternative method referenced in 60.84(d) and the adapted EPA Method 8 for the sulfuric acid plant initial compliance test performed on June 25, 1999.

TABLE 2. Alternative Method and Adapted EPA Method 8 Comparison for Sulfuric Acid Plan Initial Compliance Test (June 25, 1999)

Sulfuric Acid Plant	SO₂ Concentration	H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub> Emission Rate [E <sub>s</sub> ]  Alternative Adapted EPA Method Method B		H₂SO₄ Emission Rate [ E₅ ]		
June 25, 1999		Concentration [ C <sub>s</sub> }			Alternative Method	Adapted EPA Method 8	
	To a To a deci	LEAN DEFENSE ASSESSMENT	Ibhon	ub/ton	Mbnon	Disn's	
Run 1	3.053E-05	7.873E-07	1.4035	2.107	0.0362	0.054	
Run 2	2.755E-05	7.888E-07	1.2653	1.980	0.0362	0.057	
Run 3		-	_	_	-	_	

1.3344

2.0435

0.0362

0,0555

Average

Mr. Bill Proses October 3, 2002 Page 3 of 3

Presented below in Table 3 is a comparison of the emission rates using the alternative method referenced in 60.84(d) and the adapted EPA Method 8 for the August 22 & 23, 2002 sulfuric acid plant emission performance test.

TABLE 3. Alternative Method and Adapted EPA Method 8 Comparison for Sulfuric Acid Plant Emission Performance Test (August 22 & 23, 2002)

Sulfuric Acid Plant	SC <sub>2</sub> Concentration	H₂SO, Concentration	SO₂ Emission Rate [E <sub>B</sub> ]		H₂SO, Emission Rate [ E₅ ]	
August 22 & 23, 2002			Alternative Adapted EPA Method Method 8		Alternative Method	Adapted EPA Method 8
THE TRUE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY	<b>Baig</b>	AND AN IPAREST SERVICES	in the house	# thirtion	e nonon in a	HE IN LEW
Run 1	2.38017E-05	3.55016E-07	1.0883	2,2017	0.0162	0.0328
Run 2	2.56370E-05	3.58358€-07	1.1722	2.3426	0.0164	0.0327
Run 3	2.75575E-05	3.06610E-07	1.2600	2.5804	0.0140	0.0287
		Average	1,1735	2.3749	0.0155	0.0314

Although the alternative method calculates the emission rate to be lower than the adapted EPA Method 8, it is not an accurate representation of the emissions from the sulfuric acid plant. TEC is planning on submitting an administrative amendment requesting for official permission from FDEP to use the adapted EPA Method 8 for future sulfuric acid plant compliance tests in Quarter IV, 2002.

Enclosed please find the emissions performance report for tests performed on August 22 & 23, 2002 at the Sulfuric Acid Plant. As stated in the Summary of Results, below is a list of results:

- sulfur dioxide calculated average was 2 lbs/ton; permit limit 4 lbs/ton.
- sulfuric acid mist calculated average was 0.03 lbs/ton; permit limit 0.15 lbs/ton.
- average opacity observed during the 30-minute test was 0 percent; permit limit 10 percent.

If you have any questions, please call Raiza Calderon or me at (813) 641-5261.

Sincerely.

Laura R. Crouch

Manager - Air Programs

Environmental Affairs

EA/bmr/RC139

c/enc: Mr. Jerry Kissel, FDEP SW

aurak. Civila

R.L. Dorey

M.J. Hornick

J.E. McDaniel

M.R. Perkins (enc)

D.A. Smith

L.T. Webb

AP 6.0

AR 6.5 (enc)

C 2.1

r:

R. Calderon

S.S. Castro

L.R. Crouch

D. Latchman

L.A. Pence

From: Costello, Martin

Sent: Wednesday, November 20, 2002 10:34 AM

To: Linero, Alvaro

Cc: Riza Calderon (E-mail)

Subject: TEC Polk Unit 1

I expect you to receive a request form TEC to substitute Method 6C for the current method required in the PSD permit (Method 8) on the acid plant. I support this change since the current port location has very low flow rates and the annual compliance tests for SO2 have required very long run times.

Let me know if you have any questions on this issue.

Martin Costello, P.E. Florida Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Air Monitoring and Mobile Sources Emissions Monitoring Section 850/921-9578 or Suncom 291-9578