

State of Florida DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION

For Routing To Other Than The Addresses		
то:	Location:	
To:	Location:	
То:	. Location:	
From:	Date:	

Interoffice Memorandum

To: Willard M. Hanks, Air BAR, Tallahassee

Thru: J. Harry Kerns for W.C. Thomas

From: Gary A. Maier, Air Permitting, Tampa Han a mair

Date: July 23, 1991

Subject: AC53-199112, Agrico Chemical Company,

Sulfuric Acid Plants #10 and #11.

Pursuant to Clair Fancy's letter dated July 5, 1991, the Southwest District Air Section reviewed the above referenced permit application. Agrico Chemical Company is proposing a project which appears to be essentially identical to a project proposed by Royster (AC41-173305, processing by Teresa Heron). The Royster application, submitted on December 1, 1989, was never made complete because the applicant failed to satisfactorily respond to questions raised by this office and Tallahassee.

The proposed process, developed by Monsanto, is different from typical sulfuric acid production plants. To our knowledge, this new process has never operated in the USA. In my opinion, the literature reports regarding the success of foreign operations are conflicting. The Southwest District Office respectfully requests BAR to ask for the following additional information in an incompletion letter to Agrico.

- (1) The application does not contain process flow diagrams for the proposed modified facility. Although figures 3-1A and 3-1B purport to be process flow diagrams, they are, in actuality, plant equipment layout diagrams. Please request Agrico to submit process flow diagrams for the actual (not typical) proposed modified facility..
- (2) The plant equipment layout diagrams (figures 3-1A and 3-1B) seem to indicate that drying towers will be utilized. Please ask Agrico to confirm that the drying towers will be utilized in the proposed modified facility. Utilization of the drying towers should be reflected in the process flow diagrams requested above.

- (3) Please request Agrico to provide the Department with reasonable assurance that the efficiency of the converters will not be degraded while operating at the proposed new process conditions and higher process rates. The answer to this question must
 - (a) completely describe the process streams that each converter was originally designed to handle,
 - (b) completely describe the process streams that each converter will handle in the proposed modified facility, and
 - (c) explain why the differences between (a) and (b) will not degrade converter efficiency.
- (4) Please request Agrico to provide the Department with reasonable assurance that the efficiency of the absorbers will not be degraded while operating at the proposed new process conditions and higher process rates. The answer to this question must
 - (a) completely describe the process streams that each absorber was originally designed to handle,
 - (b) completely describe the process streams that each absorber will handle in the proposed modified facility, and
 - (c) explain why the differences between (a) and (b) will not degrade absorber efficiency.
- (5) Please request Agrico to provide the Department with reasonable assurance that the efficiency of the mist eliminators will not be degraded while operating at the proposed new process conditions and higher process rates. The answer to this question must
 - (a) completely describe the process streams that each mist eliminator was originally designed to handle,
 - (b) completely describe the process streams that each mist eliminator will handle in the proposed modified facility, and
 - (c) explain why the differences between (a) and (b) will not degrade mist eliminator efficiency.

If you have any questions, my Suncon number is 552-7612, extension 408.

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION

ROUTING AND	ACTION NO	
TRANSMITTAL SLIP	ACTION DUE DATE	
1. TO: (NAME, OFFICE, LOCATION)	Initial	
WILLARD HANKS	Date	
2. Bureau of Air Regulation	Initial	
Tallahassee_	Date	
3.	Initial	
	Date	
4,	Initial	
,	Date	
REMARKS:	INFORMATION	
·	Review & Return	
	Review & File	
	Initial & Forward	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	DISPOSITION	
	Review & Respond	
	Prepare Response	
	For My Signature	
	For Your Signature	
	Let's Discuss	
•	Set Up Meeting	
	Investigate & Report	
	Initial & Forward	
	Concurrence	
	For Processing	
	Initial & Return	
FROM: Gary Maler	DATE 7-23-9/	
Cary I cael	PHONE Surce 552-76/2	
	ext 408	