Golder Associates Inc.

5100 West Lemon Street, Suite 114 Tampa, FL USA 33609 Telephone (813) 287-1717 Fax (813) 287-1716 www.golder.com



JUL 22 2008

July 21, 2008

BUREAU OF AIR REGULATION

Via Federal Express

Jeffery F. Koerner
New Source Review Section
Florida Department of Environmental Protection
Bob Martinez Center
2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

Re: Request for Additional Information Regarding the Site Expansion – Addition of Two 170 MW Simple Cycle Combustion Turbines – for the Shady Hills Generating Station; Project No. 1010373-007-AC (PSD-FL-402)

Dear Mr. Koerner:

Shady Hills Power Company, LLC (Shady Hills) is in receipt of the Department's June 11, 2008 request for additional information (RAI) related to the May 13, 2008, air construction permit to construct and install two simple cycle GE 7FA combustion turbines at the existing Shady Hills Generating Station. The following responses are provided to the comments in the order in which they were received.

1. Section 2-3 of the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) report provided with the application identifies the potential emissions of carbon monoxide (CO) for natural gas and distillate oil from the two simple cycle GE 7FA combustion turbines. The report states that CO for natural gas has the potential to emit 9 ppmvd and distillate oil has the potential to emit 20 ppmvd. These emissions are based on a 59°F turbine inlet air condition and a baseload of 2,390 hours/year for natural gas and 1,000 hours/year for distillate oil. Actual CO emissions data for installed units indicate levels of 1-2 ppmvd @ 15% oxygen for gas and oil firing. Please discuss the rationale for the requested higher CO emission rates. Recent PSD permits issued by the Department for the GE 7FA combustion turbines specify CO standards at 4.1 and 8.0 ppmvd @ 15% oxygen for gas and oil firing, respectively. In addition, General Electric offers a guaranteed CO emission rate of 4.1 ppmvd @ 15% oxygen. At these levels, it may be possible for the project to escape PSD review for CO emissions. Please comment.

<u>Response</u>: Shady Hills has re-evaluated the emission levels for carbon monoxide (CO) for the GE 7FA combustion turbines and at this time is requesting permit limits for CO as follows:

- Natural Gas Combustion, 6.5 ppmvd; and
- Distillate Oil Combustion, 13.5 ppmvd.

Based on these concentrations, the maximum annual CO emissions for the Project are 98 tons per year (TPY) assuming an average of 750 hours per year per CT, rather than the 1,000 hours per year per CT initially requested. This annual emission level is below the PSD review threshold for CO of 100 TPY. Updated emission tables are provided in Attachment A.

2. Please describe the evaporative cooling equipment that will be installed on the simple cycle units. What is the expected maximum temperature reduction from the evaporative cooling equipment?

<u>Response</u>: A number of components make up the evaporative cooling system. The main components are briefly described below:

- Evaporative Media Blocks The media blocks are direct contact, irrigated media utilizing cross-fluted cellulose blocks which are impregnated with insoluble anti-rot salts and rigidifying saturants. These blocks are retained in place by facing plates within the cooler section. Air entering the cooler and passing through the water saturated media is cooled through adiabatic exchange of heat.
- Mist Eliminator Panels Mist eliminator blocks are installed directly downstream of the cooler media blocks. These panels protect the turbine from water droplets that may be pulled from the evaporative media blocks. Water separated out of the air stream by the mist eliminator blocks drains forward by gravity into the bottom of the cooler into a sump.
- Distribution Pads Located on top of the media at each water distribution manifold, these pads ensure that the water is evenly distributed across the top of the media.

The evaporative cooler is used where significant operation of the turbine occurs in the warm months and where low relative humidities are common. The cooler air, being denser, gives the machine a higher mass-flow rate and pressure ratio, resulting in an increase in turbine output and efficiency. In addition to achieving extra power, the use of an evaporative cooler increases water vapor in the inlet air, thereby lowering the amount of nitrogen oxides produced in the combustion process.

The evaporative cooler design specifications are as follows (note that these values are design estimates, provided for informational purposes only):

Component/Condition	Setting
Air Flow	772,027 cfm
Evaporative Cooler Air Pressure Drop	0.28 in. w.g.
Drift Eliminator Media Air Pressure Drop	0.03 in. w.g.
Evaporative Cooler Media Velocity (ISO)	538 fpm
Estimated Saturation Efficiency	88 percent

Component/Condition	Setting	
Relative Humidity	64%	
Entering Conditions		
Dry Bulb Temperature	95 F	
Wet Bulb Temperature	84.3 F	
Water Conditions		
Evaporation Rate	16 gpm	
Maximum Blow Down Rate	16 gpm	
Make up water Rate	32 gpm	

The temperature reduction is not a design requirement for the cooler. Instead the design specification identifies that the cooler has a saturation efficiency of a minimum of 85 percent. Saturation efficiency is defined as follows:

 $SE\% = [(DBE-DBL)/(DBE-WBE)] \times 100$

Mr. Koerner July 21, 2008 Page 3

DBE = Dry Bulb Entering Temperature

DBL = Dry Bulb Leaving Temperature

WBE = Wet Bulb Entering Temperature

Accordingly, the maximum temperature decrease really depends on the condition of the incoming air (the wet and dry bulb temperatures) which is basically the humidity of the incoming air.

3. Please provide a discussion of the expected combustion turbine maintenance schedules and compare natural gas and oil.

Response: Combustion maintenance scheduling is based on independent counts of fired starts and fired hours (whichever occurs first). For a peaking plant, as in our case, combustion maintenance scheduling is "starts-based" and fuel type does not change the planned maintenance interval. A base loaded plant will be "hours-based" and fuel type does have an effect. For a base loaded plant, the distillate fuel type has an hours factor of 1.5 compared to 1.0 for gas fuel.

4. See Table B-4 of the application. This table identifies a cost associated with a "selective catalytic reduction bypass duct and stack". Please explain.

Response: Table B-4 has been updated and the bypass duct and stack have been removed. See Attachment B.

5. Were the acid rain forms provided in the application sent to Region 4 of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)?

<u>Response</u>: The Acid Rain application forms were only provided initially to the Department, however, the U.S. EPA has since been provided with copies of these application forms.

- 6. The application requests an average operation of 3,390 hours/year/unit with no single unit operating more than 5,000 hours/year. As requested by EPA Region 4 in the past, please revise the control equipment cost estimates for "5,000" hours/year of operation. In addition, please revise the control equipment estimates for the following items:
- An equipment life of 20 years;
- Zero indirect costs for overhead, property taxes, and insurance (see page 2-48 of EPA's OAQPS manual);
- Exclude the "MW loss and Heat Rate Penalty" cost (the table references EPA, page 6-20, but there is no page 6-20 in EPA's OAQPS cost manual);
- For the oxidation catalyst, assume replacement every 5 years or provide vendor data to support replacement every 3 years for 4,000 hours/year on natural gas or 1,000 hours/year on ultra low sulfur distillate oil; and
- Do not double count catalysts costs (see page 2-33 and 2-46 of EPA's OAQPS cost manual).

Please provide the vendor quote for the selective catalytic reduction (SCR) and oxidation catalyst equipment costs.

Response: The NOx control cost analysis has been updated and is included as Attachment B. The control costs are based on vendor quotes from 2003 and scaled up to 2008 cost based on ENR's

Construction Cost Index included as Attachment B. The following changes have been made for the cost analysis:

- Equipment life of 20 years and associated Capital Recovery Factor of 9.44%;
- Per OAQPS page 2-48, indirect costs for overhead, property taxes, and insurance have all been set equal to zero;
- MW loss and Heat Rate Penalty is from EPA 1993 Alternative Control Techniques Document-NOx Emissions from Stationary Gas Turbines, Page 6-20. These losses are associated with the addition of SCR and have been included and accepted by the State in previous BACT cost analysis for SCR control of combustion turbines. As such no changes have been made other than clarification of reference to the EPA 1993 Alternative Control Techniques Document--NOx Emissions from Stationary Gas Turbines.
- CO oxidation catalyst costs have not been updated since CO is no longer subject to PSD review per RAI response No. 1; and
- To prevent double counting of SCR catalyst in the cost analysis, the cost of the catalyst has been removed from the capital cost of the system.

In addition to the changes noted above, additional cost analyses are provided assuming that a single CT could operate up to 5,000 hrs/yr. A summary of the resulting cost-effectiveness evaluation is as follows:

Scenario	Cost Effectiveness (\$/ton)
2,640 hr/y gas and 750 hr/yr	9,640
oil	
4,250 hr/yr gas and 750 hr/yr	8,407
oil	
5,000 hr/yr gas only	14,050

- 7. For the facility, the existing combustion turbines have operated at the following maximum rates over the last seven years:
- Less than 1,500 hours/year/combustion turbine total (2002/2003); and
- Less than 325 hours/year/combustion turbine on oil (2002/2003).

Please explain why the proposed peaking units will operate a maximum of:

- 5,000 hours/year/combustion turbine (total);
- 1,000 hours/year/combustion turbine on oil; and
- An average of 3,390 hours/year/combustion turbine.

Response: GE has revised the permit request for oil combustion to an average of 750 hours/year/CT. However, the total maximum requested hours of operation (i.e., gas and oil-firing combined) of an average of 3,390 hours per year per CT is based on anticipated demand and the parameters of the Power Purchase Agreement (PPA). During 2007, Shady Hills experienced a demand of 2,448 total operating hours for CT1, 2,223 total hours for CT2 and 2,502 total hours for CT3. Of these totals, oil firing ranged from ~ 55 to 75 hours annually per CT. The units continue to be dispatched more frequently in 2008 than in previous years, with January through June operating hours totaling 1,414 for CT1, 1,390 for CT2 and 1,413 for CT3. If these actual operating hours were doubled to estimate operating hours for the full 2008 calendar year, it's evident that an upward trend in demand is continuing.

Therefore, regarding the above-requested operating hours, Shady Hills believes an average of 3,390 total operating hours per CT is justified based on past operating history, the anticipated annual growth in energy demand and the obligations under the PPA which require this type of operating flexibility. The request for up to a maximum of 5,000 hours per year of operation for an individual CT, again, is necessary in the event other CTs are not operational or otherwise unavailable. The total operating hours among the two CTs would not increase, however, the maximum hours on an individual CT could. At the Department's request, the response to Comment 6 above provided a revised cost-effectiveness determination based on the ability of an individual CT to operate up to 5,000 hours per year. Finally, as stated above, Shady Hills has revised the requested oil-firing hours to an average of 750 hours per CT, rather than the 1,000 hours per CT initially requested. Shady Hills requires this operational flexibility in order to comply with power demand obligations in the event of a natural gas curtailment. Further, it's anticipated that a certain amount of the oil-firing may entail the use of biofuels, which would be important in attaining any future renewable portfolio standards.

8. Does the facility have a firm contract for the primary fuel of natural gas?

Response: GE will obtain a contract for primary fuel upon development of a Power Purchase Agreement for the project. However, the parameters of the fuel procurement contract will be reflective of the assumptions provided in other associated responses.

9. The application indicates that the facility will become a major source of hazardous air pollutants (HAP) with the additional units. Therefore, the combustion turbines will be subject to the provisions of National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) Subpart YYYY. Since this regulation has been stayed, currently the only requirement is to notify the Department that the facility is a major source of HAP and the affected units. Please revise the application pages accordingly.

<u>Response</u>: The application incorrectly indicated that the facility will become a major source of hazardous air pollutants. As indicated on Page 2-5,

"The MACT standard in 40 CFR, Subpart YYYY is potentially applicable to the Project. However, Shady Hills Generating Station will not be a major source of HAP emissions since emissions are projected to be below 10 tons per year (TPY) of a single HAP and less than 25 TPY for all HAPs. "

10. A Level 2 VISCREEN analysis was performed which showed potential impacts over the threshold screening level outside the Chassahowitzka PSD Class I area. In addition, the 4.4 m/s wind speed associated with this analysis seemed high. A lower wind speed may result in impacts over the threshold level inside the Class I area. Please provide further detailed justification for this value in order to evaluate whether a PLUVUE analysis should be required.

Response: The Stability Array (STAR) program that was used to compute the wind speed and stability class frequencies was modified. The original STAR wind speed frequencies of 0-3, 4-6, 7-10, 11-16, 17-21 and GT 21 knots were replaced with the following wind speed categories: 0-2, 3-4, 5-6, 7-8, 9-10 and GT10 knots. The first 5 wind speed categories equate closely to 0-1, 1-2, 2-3, 3-4, and 4-5 m/s. The revised STAR frequencies for the south-southeast (SSE), south (S) and the average of the two wind direction sectors is summarized in Table 7-4 Rev. Based on the revised combined wind direction (WD) sector wind speed frequencies, the realistic meteorological condition for VISCREEN Level 2 was D stability and 4.0 m/s. VISCREEN modeling output for natural gas and oil firing are summarized in Figures 7-19 rev and 7-20 rev. The air modeling results vary slightly from the results in the original application which were based on D stability and a wind speed of 4.4 m/s.

Supplement Information: Individual WD Sector Analyses:

The original Level 2 analysis was based on the average wind frequencies from combining both the SSE and S wind direction sectors and assumed a fixed nearest receptors distance of 28 km. These two assumptions produced very conservative plume impacts. Figure 1 shows that over 95 percent of Chassahowitka NWA lies within the SSE sector. The small section that lies within the S wind direction sector is at a minimum distance from the Shady Hills plant of 35 km and a maximum distance of 36 km from the plant. For the SSE wind direction, the actual wind frequencies are so low that the cumulative frequency never reaches 1.0 (see Table 7-4 rev) through the last listed condition of D stability and 5 m/s. As such, D stability and 6 m/s wind speed were assumed as the realistic Level 2 meteorological conditions for the SSE wind direction sector. Similarly, from Table 7-4 rev, D stability and 3 m/s wind speed were assumed as the realistic Level 2 meteorological conditions for the S wind direction sector.

The results for natural gas and fuel oil-firing for the SSE wind direction sector are shown in Figures 7-21 and 7-22, respectively. The results for natural gas and fuel oil-firing for the S wind direction sector are shown in Figures 7-23 and 7-24, respectively. The revised tables and figures referred to in this response are included as Attachment C.

As these responses are providing additional information of an engineering nature, a State of Florida professional engineering certification has also been provided, in accordance with Rule 62-4.050(3), F.A.C. In addition, the appropriate Responsible Official certification page has been signed and included in this submittal.

Should you have any question regarding these responses or need additional information, please contact the undersigned at (813) 287-1717 or Roy Belden at 203-357-6820.

Sincerely,

GOLDER ASSOCIATES INC.

David Larocca

Senior Project Engineer

Scott Osbourn, P.E. Senior Consultant

Attachments

cc: Mara Nasca, Southwest District Office (Mara Nasca@dep.state.fl.us)
Roy S. Belden, GE Energy Financial Services
William Stevens, GE Energy Financial Services
Rick Waggoner, Compliance Opportunities Group

ATTACHMENT A UPDATED FDEP APPLICATION FORMS UPDATED PSD APPLICATION EMISSION CALCULATIONS

EMISSIONS UNIT INFORMATION

Section [1] of [3] Simple-Cycle Combustion Turbine

B. EMISSIONS UNIT CAPACITY INFORMATION

(Optional for unregulated emissions units.)

Emissions Unit Operating Capacity and Schedule

1. Maximum Process or Throughput Rate:

2. Maximum Production Rate:

3. Maximum Heat Input Rate: 1,830 million Btu/hr

4. Maximum Incineration Rate:

pounds/hr

tons/day

5. Requested Maximum Operating Schedule:

24 hours/day

7 days/week

52 weeks/year

5,000 hours/year

6. Operating Capacity/Schedule Comment:

Maximum heat input rates: Natural gas firing - 1,623 MMBtu/CT/hr Distillate fuel oil firing - 1,830 MMBtu/CT/hr

Maximum heat input rates are based on lower heating value of each fuel at ambient conditions of 59 degree F, 60 percent RH, 100 percent load, and 14.7 psi pressure.

<u>Fuel oil firing limited to an average of 750 hours/CT/year.</u> <u>Fuel oil firing limited to an average of 1,000 hr/CT/yr.</u>

Annual operation limited to an average of 3,390 hr/CT/yr. No single CT is permitted to operate more than 5,000 hr/yr.

EMISSIONS UNIT INFORMATION

Section [1] of [3] Simple-Cycle Combustion Turbine

D. SEGMENT (PROCESS/FUEL) INFORMATION

Segment	Description	and Rate:	Segment 1	of 2

_			- -			
1.	Segment Description (Process/Fuel Type):					
	Internal Combustion Engines; Electric Generation; Natural-Gas Firing					
			-			
2.	Source Classification Cod 2-01-002-01	e (SCC):	3. SCC Units: Million cubi	c feet natural gas burned		
4.	Maximum Hourly Rate: 1.74	5. Maximum . 5,897.8	Annual Rate:	6. Estimated Annual Activity Factor:		
7.	Maximum % Sulfur:	8. Maximum	% Ash:	9. Million Btu per SCC Unit: 933		
10	. Segment Comment:					
Ma	sed on natural gas lower he eximum hourly rate = 1,623 h eximum annual rate = 1.739	MMBtu/hr /933 MN	$MBtu/MM ft^3 = 1.7$	39 MM ft³/CT/hr M ft³/CT/yr		
Sa	Sagment Description and Rate: Segment 2 of 2					

1. Segment Description (Process/Fuel Type):
Internal Combustion Engines; Electric Generation; Distillate Oil Firing

2.	2. Source Classification Code (SCC): 2-01-001-01		3. SCC Units: 1,000 Gallons burned		
4.	Maximum Hourly Rate: 13.9	5. Maximum Annual Rate: 43,863.610,397.7		6. Estimated Annual Activity Factor:	
7.	Maximum % Sulfur: 0.0015	8. Maximum % Ash:		9. Million Btu per SCC Unit: 132	

10. Segment Comment:

Based on distillate oil LHV of 132 MMBtu/1,000 gal

Maximum hourly rate = 1,830 MMBtu/hr /132 MMBtu/1,000 gal = 13,863.6 gal/CT/hr

Maximum annual rate = 13,863 gallons/hr x 750 hr/yr = 10,397,700 gallons/yr. Maximum annual

rate = 13,863.6 gal/hr x 1,000 hr/yr = 13,863,600 gal/CT/yr

POLLUTANT DETAIL INFORMATION
Page [1] of [6]
Total Particulate Matter - PM

F1. EMISSIONS UNIT POLLUTANT DETAIL INFORMATION – POTENTIAL/ESTIMATED FUGITIVE EMISSIONS

(Optional for unregulated emissions units.)

Complete a Subsection F1 for each pollutant identified in Subsection E if applying for an air construction permit or concurrent processing of an air construction permit and a revised or renewal Title V operation permit. Complete for each emissions-limited pollutant identified in Subsection E if applying for an air operation permit.

Potential, Estimated Fugitive and Baseline & Projected Actual Emissions 2. Total Percent Efficiency of Control: Pollutant Emitted: PM 3. Potential Emissions: 4. Synthetically Limited? 17.0 lb/hour **19.3**18.3 tons/year ⊠ Yes □ No 5. Range of Estimated Fugitive Emissions (as applicable): tons/year 7. Emissions 6. Emission Factor: 17.0 lb/hr Method Code: Reference: Vendor Data 8.a. Baseline Actual Emissions (if required): 8.b. Baseline 24-month Period: tons/year From: To: 9.a. Projected Actual Emissions (if required): 9.b. Projected Monitoring Period: tons/year \square 5 years \square 10 years 10. Calculation of Emissions: Annual emissions based on 750 hrs/yr of distillate oil firing and 2,640 hrs/yr of natural gas firing. Annual emissions based on 1,000 hr/yr of distillate oil firing and 2,390 hr/yr of natural gas firing. Annual emissions = (17.0 lb/hr x 750 hrs/yr + 9 lb/hr x 2,640 hrs/yr) x ton/2,000 lb = 18.255 TPYAnnual emissions = $(17.0 \text{ lb/hr} \times 1,000 \text{ hr/yr} + 9 \text{ lb/hr} \times 2,390 \text{ hr/yr}) \times \text{ton/2,000 lb} = 19.255$ TPY/CT 11. Potential, Fugitive, and Actual Emissions Comment: Hourly emissions based on distillate oil firing.

EMISSIONS UNIT INFORMATION

Section [1] of [3] Simple-Cycle Combustion Turbine

POLLUTANT DETAIL INFORMATION Page [1] of [6] Total Particulate Matter - PM

F2. EMISSIONS UNIT POLLUTANT DETAIL INFORMATION -ALLOWABLE EMISSIONS

Complete Subsection F2 if the pollutant identified in Subsection F1 is or would be subject to a numerical emissions limitation.

Allowable Emissions Allowable Emissions 1 of 2

1.	Basis for Allowable Emissions Code: OTHER	2.	Future Effective Date of Allowable Emissions:
3.	Allowable Emissions and Units:	4.	Equivalent Allowable Emissions:
	9.0 lb/hr		9.0 lb/hour 15.3 tons/year
5.	Method of Compliance: VE Test using EPA Method 9		
6.	Allowable Emissions Comment (Description Allowable emissions based on natural gas firm		Operating Method):
<u>Al</u>	lowable Emissions Allowable Emissions 2 of	f <u>2</u>	
1.	Basis for Allowable Emissions Code: OTHER	2.	Future Effective Date of Allowable Emissions:
3.	Allowable Emissions and Units:	4.	Equivalent Allowable Emissions:
	17.0 lb/hr		17.0 lb/hour 8.5 <u>6.4</u> tons/year
5.	Method of Compliance: VE Test using EPA Method 9		
6.	Allowable Emissions Comment (Description Allowable emissions based on distillate oil fir		Operating Method):
All	lowable Emissions Allowable Emissions	c	f
1.	Basis for Allowable Emissions Code:	2.	Future Effective Date of Allowable Emissions:
3.	Allowable Emissions and Units:	4.	Equivalent Allowable Emissions:
			lb/hour tons/year
5.	Method of Compliance:		
6.	Allowable Emissions Comment (Description	of (Operating Method):

POLLUTANT DETAIL INFORMATION
Page [2] of [6]
Particulate Matter - PM₁₀

F1. EMISSIONS UNIT POLLUTANT DETAIL INFORMATION – POTENTIAL/ESTIMATED FUGITIVE EMISSIONS

(Optional for unregulated emissions units.)

Complete a Subsection F1 for each pollutant identified in Subsection E if applying for an air construction permit or concurrent processing of an air construction permit and a revised or renewal Title V operation permit. Complete for each emissions-limited pollutant identified in Subsection E if applying for an air operation permit.

Potential, Estimated Fugitive and Baseline & Projected Actual Emissions 2. Total Percent Efficiency of Control: 1. Pollutant Emitted: PM₁₀ 3. Potential Emissions: Synthetically Limited? ⊠ Yes 17.0 lb/hour П No **19.318.3** tons/year 5. Range of Estimated Fugitive Emissions (as applicable): tons/year Emission Factor: 17.0 lb/hr 7. Emissions Method Code: Reference: Vendor Data 8.a. Baseline Actual Emissions (if required): 8.b. Baseline 24-month Period: tons/year From: To: 9.a. Projected Actual Emissions (if required): 9.b. Projected Monitoring Period: 5 years 10 years tons/year 10. Calculation of Emissions: Annual emissions based on 750 hrs/yr of distillate oil firing and 2,640 hrs/yr of natural gas firing. Annual emissions = $(17.0 \text{ lb/hr} \times 750 \text{ hrs/vr} + 9 \text{ lb/hr} \times 2.640 \text{ hrs/vr}) \times \text{ton/2,000 lb} = 18.255$ TPY/CT Annual emissions based on 1,000 hr/yr of distillate oil firing and 2,390 hr/yr of natural gas firing. Annual emissions = $(17.0 \text{ lb/hr} \times 1,000 \text{ hr/yr} + 9 \text{ lb/hr} \times 2,390 \text{ hr/yr}) \times \text{ton/2,000 lb} = 19.255$ 11. Potential, Fugitive, and Actual Emissions Comment: Hourly emissions based on distillate oil firing.

POLLUTANT DETAIL INFORMATION
Page [2] of [6]
Particulate Matter - PM₁₀

F2. EMISSIONS UNIT POLLUTANT DETAIL INFORMATION - ALLOWABLE EMISSIONS

Complete Subsection F2 if the pollutant identified in Subsection F1 is or would be subject to a numerical emissions limitation.

Allowable Emissions Allowable Emissions 1 of 2

1.	Basis for Allowable Emissions Code: OTHER	2.	Future Effective Date of Allowable Emissions:
3.	Allowable Emissions and Units: 9.0 lb/hr	4.	Equivalent Allowable Emissions: 9.0 lb/hour 15.3 tons/year
5.	Method of Compliance: VE Test using EPA Method 9		
6.	Allowable Emissions Comment (Description Allowable emissions based on natural gas fire		Operating Method):
Al	lowable Emissions Allowable Emissions 2 or	f <u>2</u>	
1.	Basis for Allowable Emissions Code: OTHER	2.	Future Effective Date of Allowable Emissions:
3.	Allowable Emissions and Units: 17.0 lb/hr	4.	Equivalent Allowable Emissions: 17.0 lb/hour 8.5-6.4 tons/year
5.	Method of Compliance: VE Test using EPA Method 9		
6.	Allowable Emissions Comment (Description Allowable emissions based on distillate oil fire		Operating Method):
All	owable Emissions Allowable Emissions	c	of
1.	Basis for Allowable Emissions Code:	2.	Future Effective Date of Allowable Emissions:
3.	Allowable Emissions and Units:	4.	Equivalent Allowable Emissions: lb/hour tons/year
5.	Method of Compliance:		
6.	Allowable Emissions Comment (Description	of (Operating Method):

EMISSIONS UNIT INFORMATION Section [1] of [3]

Simple-Cycle Combustion Turbine

POLLUTANT DETAIL INFORMATION Page [3] of Carbon Monoxide - CO

F1. EMISSIONS UNIT POLLUTANT DETAIL INFORMATION -POTENTIAL/ESTIMATED FUGITIVE EMISSIONS

(Optional for unregulated emissions units.)

Complete a Subsection F1 for each pollutant identified in Subsection E if applying for an air construction permit or concurrent processing of an air construction permit and a revised or renewal Title V operation permit. Complete for each emissions-limited pollutant identified in Subsection E if applying for an air operation permit.

Potential, Estimated Fugitive and Baseline & Projected Actual Emissions 2. Total Percent Efficiency of Control: 1. Pollutant Emitted: CO 3. Potential Emissions: Synthetically Limited? ⊠ Yes П No 66.244.7 lb/hour **68.645.1** tons/year Range of Estimated Fugitive Emissions (as applicable): tons/year 7. Emissions 6. Emission Factor: 20-13.5 ppmvd Method Code: Reference: Vendor Data 8.a. Baseline Actual Emissions (if required): 8.b. Baseline 24-month Period: tons/year From: To: 9.a. Projected Actual Emissions (if required): 9.b. Projected Monitoring Period: \square 5 years \square 10 years tons/year 10. Calculation of Emissions: Annual emissions based on 750 hrs/yr of distillate oil firing and 2,640 hrs/yr of natural gas firing Annual emissions based on 1,000 hr/yr of distillate oil firing and 2,390 hr/yr of natural gas firina. Annual emissions = $(44.7 \text{ lb/hr} \times 750 \text{ hrs/yr} + 21.5 \text{ lb/hr} \times 2,640 \text{ hrs/yr}) \times \text{ton/2,000 lb} = 45.1$ TPYAnnual emissions = (66.2 lb/hr x 1,000 hr/yr + 29.7 lb/hr x 2,390 hr/yr) x ton/2,000 lb = 68.59 TPY/CT 11. Potential, Fugitive, and Actual Emissions Comment: Hourly emissions and ppm concentration based on distillate oil firing.

DEP Form No. 62-210.900(1) - Form

083-89507/SH-EU5-EU6.docx Effective: 3/16/08 20 7/21/2008

POLLUTANT DETAIL INFORMATION Page [3] of [6] Carbon Monoxide - CO

F2. EMISSIONS UNIT POLLUTANT DETAIL INFORMATION - ALLOWABLE EMISSIONS

Complete Subsection F2 if the pollutant identified in Subsection F1 is or would be subject to a numerical emissions limitation.

Allowable Emissions 1 of 2

1.	Basis for Allowable Emissions Code: OTHER	2. Future Effective Date of Allowable Emissions:
3.	Allowable Emissions and Units:	4. Equivalent Allowable Emissions:
	9 - <u>6.5</u> ppmvd	29.721.5 lb/hour 50.336.4
		tons/year
5.	Method of Compliance:	
	Annual testing using using EPA Method 10.	
6.	Allowable Emissions Comment (Description	
	Allowable emissions based on natural gas fire	ng.
	owable Emissions Allowable Emissions 2 of	
1.	Basis for Allowable Emissions Code:	2. Future Effective Date of Allowable
	OTHER	Emissions:
3.	Allowable Emissions and Units:	4. Equivalent Allowable Emissions:
	13.5 ppmvd20 ppmvd	66.2 44.7 lb/hour 33.1 16.8
		tons/year
5.	Method of Compliance:	
	Annual testing using EPA Method 10.	
6.	Allowable Emissions Comment (Description	
	Allowable emissions based on distillate oil fir	ing.
All	owable Emissions Allowable Emissions	of
1.	Basis for Allowable Emissions Code:	2. Future Effective Date of Allowable
		Emissions:
3.	Allowable Emissions and Units:	4. Equivalent Allowable Emissions:
		lb/hour tons/year
5.	Method of Compliance:	-

POLLUTANT DETAIL INFORMATION
Page [4] of [6]
Volatile Organic Compounds - VOC

F1. EMISSIONS UNIT POLLUTANT DETAIL INFORMATION – POTENTIAL/ESTIMATED FUGITIVE EMISSIONS

(Optional for unregulated emissions units.)

Complete a Subsection F1 for each pollutant identified in Subsection E if applying for an air construction permit or concurrent processing of an air construction permit and a revised or renewal Title V operation permit. Complete for each emissions-limited pollutant identified in Subsection E if applying for an air operation permit.

Potential, Estimated Fugitive and Baseline & Projected Actual Emissions

1. Pollutant Emitted:

VOC

2. Total Percent Efficiency of Control:

VOC						
3. Potential Emissions: 7.5 lb/hour 7.346.8	8_tons/year	 Synth Ye 	etically Limited?			
5. Range of Estimated Fugitive Emissions (as	applicable).					
to tons/year	application.					
	•		7. Emissions			
6. Emission Factor: 4 ppmvd			Method Code:			
Deference: Vander Dete			o			
Reference: Vendor Data						
8.a. Baseline Actual Emissions (if required):	8.b. Baseline 2		Period:			
tons/year	From: T	o:				
9.a. Projected Actual Emissions (if required):	9.b. Projected	Monitorii	ng Period:			
tons/year		rs 🔲 10	_			
10115. y 0111			<i>y</i>			
10. Calculation of Emissions:						
Annual emissions based on 750 hrs/yr of distilla						
firing. Annual emissions based on 1,000 hr/yr of	distillate oil firinç	g and 2,39	00 hr/yr of natural gas			
firing.	h/hr v 2 640 hm/s	(r) v ton/2	000 lb = 6 77			
Annual emissions = (7.5 lb/hr x 750 hrs/yr + 3.0 l TPYAnnual emissions = (7.5 lb/hr x 1,000 hr/yr +	3.0 lb/hr x 2.390	hr/vr) x to	on/2.000 lb = 7.34			
TPY/CT	0.0 .u x _,000					
11. Potential, Fugitive, and Actual Emissions C	11. Potential, Fugitive, and Actual Emissions Comment:					
Hourly emissions based on distillate oil firing						

POLLUTANT DETAIL INFORMATION
Page [4] of [6]
Volatile Organic Compounds - VOC

F2. EMISSIONS UNIT POLLUTANT DETAIL INFORMATION - ALLOWABLE EMISSIONS

Complete Subsection F2 if the pollutant identified in Subsection F1 is or would be subject to a numerical emissions limitation.

Allowable Emissions Allowable Emissions 1 of 2

1.	Basis for Allowable Emissions Code: OTHER	2.	Future Effective Date of Allowa Emissions:	ible
3.	Allowable Emissions and Units: 1.6 ppmvd	4.	Equivalent Allowable Emission 3.0_lb/hour 5.1 ton	
	Method of Compliance:			
	Allowable Emissions Comment (Description Allowable emissions based on natural gas fire	ing.	Operating Method):	
Al	lowable Emissions 2 of	f <u>2</u>		
1.	Basis for Allowable Emissions Code: OTHER	2.	Future Effective Date of Allowa Emissions:	able
3.	Allowable Emissions and Units: 4.0 ppmvd		Equivalent Allowable Emission 7.5 lb/hour 3.752.8 s/year	
5.	Method of Compliance:			
6.	Allowable Emissions Comment (Description Allowable emissions based on distillate oil fir		Operating Method):	
All	lowable Emissions Allowable Emissions	0	f	
	Basis for Allowable Emissions Code:		Future Effective Date of Allowaterissions:	able
3.	Allowable Emissions and Units:	4.	Equivalent Allowable Emission lb/hour	s: tons/year
5.	Method of Compliance:			
6.	Allowable Emissions Comment (Description	of C	Operating Method):	

POLLUTANT DETAIL INFORMATION

Page [5] of [6]

Sulfur Dioxide – SO₂

F1. EMISSIONS UNIT POLLUTANT DETAIL INFORMATION – POTENTIAL/ESTIMATED FUGITIVE EMISSIONS

(Optional for unregulated emissions units.)

Complete a Subsection F1 for each pollutant identified in Subsection E if applying for an air construction permit or concurrent processing of an air construction permit and a revised or renewal Title V operation permit. Complete for each emissions-limited pollutant identified in Subsection E if applying for an air operation permit.

Potential, Estimated Fugitive and Baseline & Projected Actual Emissions 1. Pollutant Emitted: 2. Total Percent Efficiency of Control: SO₂ 3. Potential Emissions: 4. Synthetically Limited? ⊠ Yes □ No 3.0-1 lb/hour 13.314.9 tons/year 5. Range of Estimated Fugitive Emissions (as applicable): tons/year 6. Emission Factor: 0.0015 % S 7. Emissions Method Code: Reference: Vendor Data 8.b. Baseline 24-month Period: 8.a. Baseline Actual Emissions (if required): tons/year From: To: 9.a. Projected Actual Emissions (if required): 9.b. Projected Monitoring Period: \square 5 years \square 10 years tons/year 10. Calculation of Emissions: Annual emissions based on 750 hrs/yr of distillate oil firing and 2,640 hrs/yr of natural gas firing. Annual emissions based on 1,000 hr/yr of distillate oil firing and 2,390 hr/yr of natural gas Annual emissions ≈ (3.1 lb/hr x 750 hrs/yr + 10.4 lb/hr x 2,640 hrs/yr) x ton/2,000 lb = 14.89 TPYAnnual emissions = $(3.0 \text{ lb/hr} \times 1,000 \text{ hr/yr} + 9.9 \text{ lb/hr} \times 2,390 \text{ hr/yr}) \times \text{ton/2,000 lb} = 13.3$ TPY/CT 11. Potential, Fugitive, and Actual Emissions Comment: Hourly emissions based on distillate oil firing.

POLLUTANT DETAIL INFORMATION Page [5] of [6] Sulfur Dioxide – SO₂

F2. EMISSIONS UNIT POLLUTANT DETAIL INFORMATION - ALLOWABLE EMISSIONS

Complete Subsection F2 if the pollutant identified in Subsection F1 is or would be subject to a numerical emissions limitation.

Allowable Emissions Allowable Emissions 1 of 2

1.	Basis for Allowable Emissions Code: OTHER	2.	Future Effective Date of Allowable Emissions:
3.	Allowable Emissions and Units: 2 grains/ 100 cf	4.	Equivalent Allowable Emissions: 9.910.4 lb/hour 16.817.6
		ton	s/year
5.	Method of Compliance: Use of pipeline natural gas (sulfur content 2g	rains	s/100 ft^3).
6.	Allowable Emissions Comment (Description Allowable emissions based on natural gas fire		Operating Method):
Al	lowable Emissions Allowable Emissions 2 of	f <u>2</u>	
1.	Basis for Allowable Emissions Code: OTHER	2.	Future Effective Date of Allowable Emissions:
3.	Allowable Emissions and Units: 0.0015 % S	4.	Equivalent Allowable Emissions: 3.0-1 lb/hour 1.5-2 tons/year
5.	Method of Compliance: Use of distillate oil with a maximum of 0.0015	perc	ent sulfur. Fuel sampling.
6.	Allowable Emissions Comment (Description Allowable emissions based on distillate oil fir		Operating Method):
All	lowable Emissions Allowable Emissions	<u> </u>	f
1.	Basis for Allowable Emissions Code:	2.	Future Effective Date of Allowable Emissions:
3.	Allowable Emissions and Units:	4.	Equivalent Allowable Emissions: lb/hour tons/year
5.	Method of Compliance:		
6.	Allowable Emissions Comment (Description	of C	Operating Method):

EMISSIONS UNIT INFORMATION

Section [1] of [3] Simple-Cycle Combustion Turbine

POLLUTANT DETAIL INFORMATION

Page [6] of [6] Nitrogen Oxide - NO_x

F1. EMISSIONS UNIT POLLUTANT DETAIL INFORMATION – POTENTIAL/ESTIMATED FUGITIVE EMISSIONS

(Optional for unregulated emissions units.)

Complete a Subsection F1 for each pollutant identified in Subsection E if applying for an air construction permit or concurrent processing of an air construction permit and a revised or renewal Title V operation permit. Complete for each emissions-limited pollutant identified in Subsection E if applying for an air operation permit.

Potential, Estimated Fugitive and Baseline & Projected Actual Emissions 1. Pollutant Emitted: 2. Total Percent Efficiency of Control: NO_x 3. Potential Emissions: 4. Synthetically Limited? ⊠ Yes □ No **323** lb/hour 232_199 tons/vear Range of Estimated Fugitive Emissions (as applicable): tons/year 7. Emissions 6. Emission Factor: 42 ppmvd at 15 percent O₂ Method Code: Reference: Vendor Data 8.a. Baseline Actual Emissions (if required): 8.b. Baseline 24-month Period: tons/year From: To: 9.a. Projected Actual Emissions (if required): 9.b. Projected Monitoring Period: \square 5 years \square 10 years tons/year 10. Calculation of Emissions: Annual emissions based on 750 hrs/yr of distillate oil firing and 2,640 hrs/yr of natural gas firing. Annual emissions based on 1,000 hr/yr of distillate oil firing and 2,390 hr/yr of natural gas Annual emissions = (323.0 lb/hr x 750 hrs/yr + 59 lb/hr x 2,640 hrs/yr) x ton/2,000 lb = 199.0 TPYAnnual emissions = $(323.0 \text{ lb/hr} \times 1,000 \text{ hr/yr} + 59 \text{ lb/hr} \times 2,390 \text{ hr/yr}) \times \text{ton/2,000 lb} = 232.005$ TPY/CT 11. Potential, Fugitive, and Actual Emissions Comment: Hourly emissions based on distillate oil firing.

POLLUTANT DETAIL INFORMATION
Page [6] of [6]
Nitrogen Oxide – NO_x

F2. EMISSIONS UNIT POLLUTANT DETAIL INFORMATION - ALLOWABLE EMISSIONS

Complete Subsection F2 if the pollutant identified in Subsection F1 is or would be subject to a numerical emissions limitation.

Allowable Emissions	Allowable Emissions 1 of	2
----------------------------	--------------------------	---

1.	Basis for Allowable Emissions Code: OTHER	2.	Future Effective Date of Allowable Emissions:			
3.	Allowable Emissions and Units: 9 ppmvd at 15 percent O ₂ .	4. Equivalent Allowable Emissions: 59 lb/hour 100 tons/yea				
5.	Method of Compliance: CEM Data (24-hour block average)					
6.	Allowable Emissions Comment (Description Allowable emissions based on natural gas firi		Operating Method):			
All	owable Emissions Allowable Emissions 2 of	f <u>2</u>				
1.	Basis for Allowable Emissions Code: OTHER	2.	Future Effective Date of Allowable Emissions:			
3.	Allowable Emissions and Units: 42 ppmvd at 15 percent O ₂ .	4.	Equivalent Allowable Emissions: 323 lb/hour 461.5121.1			
		ton	s/year			
5.	Method of Compliance: CEM Data (3-hour average)					
6.	Allowable Emissions Comment (Description Allowable emissions based on distillate oil fir		Operating Method):			
All	owable Emissions Allowable Emissions	o	f			
1.	Basis for Allowable Emissions Code:	2.	Future Effective Date of Allowable Emissions:			
	Allowable Emissions and Units:	4.	Equivalent Allowable Emissions: lb/hour			
	Method of Compliance:					
6.	Allowable Emissions Comment (Description	of C	Operating Method):			

TABLE A-I DESIGN INFORMATION AND STACK PARAMETERS FOR THE SHADY HILLS ENERGY CENTER PROJECT GE7FA, NATURAL GAS, BASE LOAD

	CT Only				
1	Turbine Inlet Temperature				
Parameter	20 °F	59 °F	95 °F		
	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3		
Combustion Turbine Performance					
Net power output (MW)	201.803	181.599	161.72		
Net heat rate (Btu/kWh, LHV)	9,080	9,385	9,59		
(Btu/kWh, HHV)	10,078	10,418	10,65		
Heat Input (MMBtu/hr, LHV)	1,832.3	1,704.4	1,551.		
(MMBtu/hr, HHV)	2,033.8	1,891.9	1,722.		
Relative Humidity (%)	80	60	6		
Fuel heating value (Btu/lb, LHV)	20,825	20,825	20,82		
(Btu/lb, HHV)	23,116	23,116	23,11		
(HHV/LHV)	1.110	1.110	1.11		
(nnv/Lnv)	1.110	1,110	1,11		
CT Exhaust Flow					
Mass Flow (lb/hr)- provided	3,929,264	3,650,916	3,333,09		
- provided	NA	NA	N.		
Temperature (°F) - provided	1,074	1,113	1,15		
Moisture (% Vol.)	7.55	8.37	9.8		
Oxygen (% Vol.)	12.75	12.57	12.3		
Molecular Weight	28.48	28.38	28.2		
Fuel Usage					
Fuel usage (lb/hr) = Heat Input (MMBtu/hr) x 1,000,000 Btu/MMBt	u (Fuel Heat Content, Btu/lb (LH	(V))			
Heat input (MMBtu/hr, LHV)	1,832.3	1,704.4	1,551.		
Heat content (Btu/lb, LHV)	20,825	20,825	20,82		
Fuel usage (lb/hr)- calculated	87,985	81,843	74,52		
Heat content (Btu/cf, LHV)- assumed	933	933	93		
reat content (blue), bit v)- assumed	0.0448	0.0448	0.044		
Fuel usage (cf/hr)- calculated	1,963,009	1,825,979	1,662,60		
Out-de					
Stack Stack Height (ft)	75	75	7		
Stack Diameter (ft)	18	18	1		
Stack Flow Conditions					
Velocity (ft/sec) = Volume flow (acfm) / $[((diameter)^2/4) \times 3.1415]$	9] / 60 sec/min				
Mass flow (lb/hr)	3,929,264	3,650,916	3,333,09		
, ,	1,074	1,113	1,15		
Molecular weight	28.48	28.38	28.2		
Volume flow (acfm)	2,575,419	2,462,317	2,320,03		
Diameter (ft)	18	18	1		
Velocity (ft/sec)- calculated	168.7	161,3	152.		

TABLE A-2 MAXIMUM EMISSIONS FOR CRITERIA POLLUTANTS FOR THE SHADY HILLS ENERGY CENTER PROJECT GE7FA, NATURAL GAS, BASE LOAD

	CT Only			
		Turbine Inlet Temperature		
Parameter Parameter	20 °F	59 °F	95 °F	
	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	
articulate Matter (Front-half only)				
Particulate from CT- provided	9.0	9.0	9.0	
ulfur Dioxide				
Fuel use (cf/hr)	1,963,009	1,825,979	1,662,601	
Sulfur content (grains/ 100 cf)	2	2	2	
-	2	2	2	
CT emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated	11.2	10.4	9.5	
$\frac{\text{trogen Oxides}}{NOx (lb/hr) = NOx (ppm actual) \times Volume flow (acfm) \times Volume}$				
Basis, ppmvd - calculated	10.8	11.0	11.0	
Maistura (9/)	9 7.55	9 8.37		
Moisture (%) Oxygen (%)	12.75	12.57		
Oxygen (%) dry	13.79	13.72	13.69	
Furbine Flow (acfm)	2,575,419			
Furbine Flow (acfm, dry)	2,380,975			
Furbine Exhaust Temperature (°F)	1,074	1,113		
CT emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated	63.6	59.4	53.8	
T Emission rate (lb/hr) - provided	63.0	59.0	53.0	
arbon Monoxide				
$CO(lb/hr) = CO(ppm \ actual) \ x \ Volume \ flow (acfm) \ x$				
Basis, ppmvd - provided	6.5	6.5	6.5	
Basis, ppmvd @ 15% O2 - calculated	5.4	5.3	5.3	
oisture (%)	7.55	8.37	9.88	
xygen (%)	12.75	12.57	12.34	
xygen (%) dry	13.79	13.72	13.69	
urbine Flow (acfm)	2,575,419			
urbine Flow (acfm, dry)	2,380,975			
urbine Exhaust Temperature (°F)	1,074	1,113	1,154	
CT emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated	23.2	21.5	19.4 26.0	
CT Emission rate (lb/hr) - provided	32.0	29.0		

TABLE A-2
MAXIMUM EMISSIONS FOR CRITERIA POLLUTANTS FOR THE SHADY HILLS ENERGY CENTER PROJECT
GE7FA, NATURAL GAS, BASE LOAD

	CT Only			
		Inlet Tem		
Parameter	20 °F	59 °F	95 °F	
	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	
Volatile Organic Compounds				
$VOC(lb/hr) = VOC(ppm \ actual) \ x \ Volume flow(acfm) \ x$				
Basis, ppmvd - provided	1.6	1.6	1.6	
Basis, ppmvd @ 15% O2 - calculated	1.3	1.3	1.3	
Moisture (%)	7.55	8.37	9.88	
Oxygen (%) wet	12.75	12.57	12.34	
Oxygen (%) dry	13.79	13.72	13.69	
Turbine Flow (acfm)	2,575,419	2,462,317	2,320,037	
Turbine Flow (acfm, dry)	2,380,975	2,256,221	2,090,818	
Turbine Exhaust Temperature (°F)	1,074	1,113	1,154	
CT emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated	3.26	3.02	2.72	
CT Emission rate (lb/hr) - provided	3.00	2.80	2.60	
Sulfuric Acid Mist				
Total Sulfuric Acid Mist (SAM) (lb/hr)= SAM Formed in the CT				
	11.2	10.4	9.5	
	. 10	10	10	
Stack emission rate (lb/hr)- calculated	1.72	1.60	1.45	
- provided	NA	NA	NA	
<u>_ead</u>				
Emission Rate Basis •	NA	NA	NA	
Emission rate (lb/hr)	NA	NA	NA	

TABLE A-3
DESIGN INFORMATION AND STACK PARAMETERS FOR THE SHADY HILLS ENERGY CENTER PROJECT GE7FA, NATURAL GAS, 75% LOAD

	CT Only Turbine Inlet Temperature				
Parameter	20 °F	59 °F	95 °F		
	Case 4	Case 5	Case 6		
Combustion Turbine Performance					
Net power output (MW)	151.348	138.128	121.310		
Net heat rate (Btu/kWh, LHV)	9,942	10,067	10,589		
(Btu/kWh, HHV)	11,036	11,175	11,753		
Heat Input (MMBtu/hr, LHV)	1,504.7	1,390.6	1,284.5		
(MMBtu/hr, HHV)	1,670.2	1,543.5	1,425.8		
	80	1,343.3			
Relative Humidity (%)			20.824		
Fuel heating value (Btu/lb, LHV)	20,825	20,825	20,825		
(Btu/lb, HHV)	23,116	23,116	23,116		
(HHV/LHV)	1.110	1.110	1.110		
CT Exhaust Flow					
Mass flow (lb/hr)- provided	2,956,564	2,941,381	2,762,226		
- provided	NA	NA	NA		
Temperature (°F) - provided	1,200	1,159	1,190		
Moisture (% Vol.)	8.13	8.26	9.80		
Oxygen (% Vol.)	12.11	12.60	12.43		
Molecular Weight	28.44	28.41	28.22		
Fuel Usage					
Fuel usage (lb/hr) = Heat Input (MMBtu/hr) x 1,000,000 Btu/MME	Btu (Fuel Heat Content, Btu/lb (Ll	HV))			
Heat input (MMBtu/hr, LHV)	1,505	1,391	1,285		
Heat content (Btu/lb, LHV)	20,825	20,825	20,825		
Fuel usage (lb/hr)- calculated	72,254	66,774	61,681		
Tuel dauge (16/11) calculated	. =,=0 .	55,	,		
Heat content (Btw/cf, LHV)- assumed	933	933	933		
•	0.0448	0.0448	0.0448		
Fuel usage (cf/hr)- calculated	1,612,040	1,489,784	1,376,156		
<u>Stack</u>					
Stack Height (ft)	. 75	75	75		
Stack Diameter (ft)	18	18	18		
Stack Flow Conditions					
Velocity (ft/sec) = Volume flow (acfm) / [((diameter) ² /4) x 3.1415	91 / 60 sec/min				
Mass flow (lb/hr)	2,956,564	2,941,381	2,762,226		
muse non (total)	1,200	1,159	1,190		
Molecular weight	28.44	28.41	28.22		
Volume flow (acfm)	2,100,040	2,040,226	1,965,203		
· · ·	2,100,040	2,040,226	1,965,203		
Diameter (ft)					
Velocity (ft/sec)- calculated	137.5	133.6	128.7		

TABLE A-4
MAXIMUM EMISSIONS FOR CRITERIA POLLUTANTS FOR THE SHADY HILLS ENERGY CENTER PROJECT
GE7FA, NATURAL GAS, 75% LOAD

	Turbine	Turbine Inlet Temperature		
Parameter	20 °F	59 °F	95 °F	
	_Case 4	Case 5	Case 6	
articulate Matter (Front-half only)				
Particulate from CT- provided	9.0	9.0	9.0	
sulfur Dioxide				
Fuel use (cf/hr)	1,612,040	1,489,784	1,376,156	
Sulfur content (grains/ 100 cf)	2	2	2	
	2	2	2	
CT Stack emission rate (lb/hr)- calculated	9.2	8.5	7.9	
$\frac{\text{itrogen Oxides}}{NOx (lb/hr) = NOx (ppm actual) x Volume flow (acfm) x}$				
Basis, ppmvd - calculated	11.8	10.9	10.9	
Moisture (%)	9 8.13	9 8.26	9.8 9.8	
Oxygen (%)	12.11	12.60	12.43	
Oxygen (%) dry	13.18	13.73	13.78	
Turbine Flow (acfm)	2,100,040	2,040,226	1,965,203	
Furbine Flow (acfm, dry)	1,929,307	1,871,704	1,772,613	
Furbine Exhaust Temperature (°F)	1,200	1,159	1,190	
CT Emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated	51.7	47.8	44.1	
CT Emission rate (lb/hr) - provided	51.0	47.0	44.0	
arbon Monoxide				
$CO(lb/hr) = CO(ppm \ actual) \times Volume flow (acfm) \times$				
Basis, ppmvd - provided	6.5	6.5	6.5	
Basis, ppmvd @ 15% O2 - calculated	5.0	5.4	5.4	
loisture (%)	8.13	8.26	9.8	
xygen (%)	. 12.11	12.60	12.43	
xygen (%) dry	13.18	13.73	13.78	
urbine Flow (acfm)	2,100,040	2,040,226	1,965,203	
urbine Flow (acfin, dry)	1,929,307	1,871,704	1,772,613	
	1,200	1,159	1,190	
Turbine Exhaust Temperature (°F) CT Emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated	17.4	17.3	16.1	

Golder Associates

TABLE A-4 MAXIMUM EMISSIONS FOR CRITERIA POLLUTANTS FOR THE SHADY HILLS ENERGY CENTER PROJECT GE7FA, NATURAL GAS, 75% LOAD

	Turbine Inlet Temperature 20 °F 59 °F 95 °F		
Parameter	20 °F		95 °F
	Case 4	Case 5	Case 6
Volatile Organic Compounds			
$VOC(lb/hr) = VOC(ppm actual) \times Volume flow (acfm) \times Volume flow (acfm) \times VOC(ppm actual) \times VOC(ppm a$			
Basis, ppmvd - provided	1.6	1.6	1.6
Basis, ppmvd @ 15% O2 - calculated	1.2	1.3	1.3
Moisture (%)	8.13	8.26	9.8
Oxygen (%)	12.11	12.60	12.43
Oxygen (%) dry	13.18	13.73	13.78
Turbine Flow (acfm)	2,100,040	2,040,226	1,965,203
Turbine Flow (acfm, dry)	1,929,307	1,871,704	1,772,613
Turbine Exhaust Temperature (°F)	1,200	1,159	1,190
CT Emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated	2.44	2.43	2.26
CT Emission rate (lb/hr) - provided	2.40	2.20	2.20
Sulfuric Acid Mist			
Total Sulfuric Acid Mist (SAM) (lb/hr)= SAM Formed in the CT			
	9.2	8.5	7.9
	10	10	10
Stack emission rate (lb/hr)- calculated	1.41	1.30	1.20
- provided	NA	NA	NA
Lead			
Lead $(lb/hr) = NA$			
Emission Rate Basis	NA	NA	NA
HRSG Stack emission rate (lb/hr)	NA	NA	NA

TABLE A-5
DESIGN INFORMATION AND STACK PARAMETERS FOR THE SHADY HILLS ENERGY CENTER PROJECT GE7FA, NATURAL GAS, 50% LOAD

		CT Only	
		ine Inlet Temperature	
Parameter	20 °F Case 7	59 °F Case 8	95 °F Case 9
	Case	Case o	Cust
Combustion Turbine Performance			
Net power output (MW)	100.897	92.126	80.90
Net heat rate (Btu/kWh, LHV)	11,762	12,083	12,65
(Btu/kWh, HHV)	13,056	13,412	14,04
Heat Input (MMBtu/hr, LHV)	1,186.7	` 1,113.1	1,023
(MMBtu/hr, HHV)	1,317.3	1,235.6	1,136
Relative Humidity (%)	80	60	6
Fuel heating value (Btu/lb, LHV)	20,825	20,825	20,82
(Btu/lb, HHV)	23,116	23,116	23,11
(HHV/LHV)	1.110	1.110	1.11
CT Exhaust Flow			
Mass flow (lb/hr)- provided	2,498,048	2,433,269	2,325,97
- provided	NA	NA	N.
Temperature (°F) - provided	1,200	1,200	1,20
Moisture (% Vol.)	7.54	7.96	9.3
Oxygen (% Vol.)	12.77	12.94	12.9
Molecular Weight	28.48	28.42	28.2
Fuel Usage			
Fuel usage (lb/hr) = Heat Input (MMBtu/hr) x 1,000,000) Btu/MMBtu (Fuel Heat Conte	nt, Btu/lb (LHV))	
Heat input (MMBtu/hr, LHV)	1,187	1,113	1,02
Heat content (Btu/lb, LHV)	20,825	20,825	20,82
Fuel usage (lb/hr)- calculated	56,986	53,452	49,16
Heat content (Btu/cf, LHV)- assumed	933	933	93
	0.0448	0.0448	0.044
Fuel usage (cf/hr)- calculated	1,271,405	1,192,548	1,096,88
<u>Stack</u>			
Stack Height (ft)	75	75	7
Stack Diameter (ft)	18	18	1
Stack Flow Conditions			
Velocity (ft/sec) = Volume flow (acfm) / $[((diameter)^2 / 4)]$	4) x 3.14159] / 60 sec/min		
Mass flow (lb/hr)	2,498,048	2,433,269	2,325,97
	1,200	1,200	1,20
Molecular weight	28.48	28.42	28.2
Volume flow (acfm)	1,771,785	1,729,434	1,663,34
Diameter (ft)	18	18	1
Velocity (ft/sec)- calculated	116.0	113.3	108.

TABLE A-6 MAXIMUM EMISSIONS FOR CRITERIA POLLUTANTS FOR THE SHADY HILLS ENERGY CENTER PROJECT GE7FA, NATURAL GAS, 50% LOAD

	Turbine Inlet Temperature			
Parameter	20 °F Case 7	59 °F Case 8	95 °F Case 9	
Particulate Matter (Front-half only)				
Particulate from CT- provided	9.0	9.0	9.0	
Sulfur Dioxide				
Fuel use (cf/hr)	1,271,405	1,192,548	1,096,884	
Sulfur content (grains/ 100 cf)	2	2	2	
,	2	2	2	
CT Stack emission rate (lb/hr)- calculated	7.3	6.8	6.3	
Nitrogen Oxides NOx (lb/hr) = NOx (ppm actual) x Volume flow (acfm) x				
Basis, ppmvd - calculated	10.8	10.4	10.1	
· ·	9	9	9	
Moisture (%)	7.54	7.96	9.37	
Oxygen (%)	12.77	12.94	12.92	
Oxygen (%) dry	13.81	14.06	14.26	
Turbine Flow (acfm)	1,771,785	1,729,434	1,663,345	
Turbine Flow (acfm, dry)	1,638,192	1,591,771	1,507,489	
Turbine Exhaust Temperature (°F)	1,200	1,200	1,200	
CT Emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated CT Emission rate (lb/hr) - provided	40.3 40.0	37.8 37.0	34.8 34.0	
Carbon Monoxide				
$CO(lb/hr) = CO(ppm \ actual) \times Volume \ flow(acfm) \times$				
Basis, ppmvd - provided	6.5	6.5	6.5	
Basis, ppmvd @ 15% O2 - calculated	5.4	5.6	5.8	
Moisture (%)	7.54	7.96	9.37	
Oxygen (%)	12.77	12.94	12.92	
Oxygen (%) dry	13.81	14.06	14.26	
Turbine Flow (acfm)	1,771,785	1,729,434	1,663,345	
Turbine Flow (acfm, dry)	1,638,192	1,591,771	1,507,489	
Turbine Exhaust Temperature (°F)	1,200	1,200 14.3	1,200	
CT Emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated	14.8		13.6	

TABLE A-6 MAXIMUM EMISSIONS FOR CRITERIA POLLUTANTS FOR THE SHADY HILLS ENERGY CENTER PROJECT GE7FA, NATURAL GAS, 50% LOAD

	Turbine	Turbine Inlet Temperature		
Parameter	20 °F	59 °F	95 °F	
	Case 7	Case 8	Case 9	
olatile Organic Compounds				
VOC (lb/hr) = VOC (ppm actual) x $Volume$ flow (acfm) x				
Basis, ppmvd - provided	1.6	1.6	1.6	
Basis, ppmvd @ 15% O2 - calculated	1.3	1.4	1.4	
Moisture (%)	7.54	7.96	9.37	
Oxygen (%)	12.77	12.94	12.92	
Oxygen (%) dry	13.81	14.06	14.26	
Turbine Flow (acfm)	1,771,785	1,729,434	1,663,345	
Turbine Flow (acfm, dry)	1,638,192	1,591,771	1,507,489	
Turbine Exhaust Temperature (°F)	1,200	1,200	1,200	
CT Emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated	2.08	2.02	1.91	
CT Emission rate (lb/hr) - provided	2.00	1.80	1.80	
ulfuric Acid Mist				
Total Sulfuric Acid Mist (SAM) (lb/hr)= SAM Formed in the CT				
	7.3	6.8	6.3	
	10	10	10	
Stack emission rate (lb/hr)- calculated	1.11	1.04	0.96	
- provided	NA	NA	NA	
ead_				
Lead (lb/hr) = NA				
Emission Rate Basis	NA	NA	NA	
HRSG Stack emission rate (lb/hr)	NA	NA	NA	

TABLE A-7
DESIGN INFORMATION AND STACK PARAMETERS FOR THE SHADY HILLS ENERGY CENTER PROJECT
GE7FA, DISTILLATE OIL, BASE LOAD

		CT Only Turbine Inlet Temperature		
Parameter	20 °F	59 °F	95 °F	
	Case 10	Case II	Case 12	
Combustion Turbine Performance				
Net power output (MW)	199.791	187.397	1 64.066	
Net heat rate (Btu/kWh, LHV)	10,050	10,080	104.000	
(Btu/kWh, HHV)	10,653	10,685	11,003	
Heat Input (MMBtu/hr, LHV)	2,007.9	1,888.96	1,703.0	
(MMBtw/hr, HHV)	2,128.4	2,002.3	1,805.2	
Relative Humidity (%)	80	60	64	
Fuel heating value (Btu/lb, LHV)	18,300	18,300	18,300	
(Btu/lb, HHV)	19,398	19,398	19,398	
(HHV/LHV)	1.060	1.060	1.060	
CT Exhaust Flow				
Mass Flow (lb/hr)- provided	4,055,000	3,766,000	3,407,000	
Temperature (°F) - provided	1,053	1,093	1,143	
Moisture (% Vol.)	10.87	11.46	13.07	
Oxygen (% Vol.)	11.24	11.11	10.77	
Molecular Weight	28.36	28.30	28.12	
Fuel Usage				
Fuel usage (lb/hr) = Heat Input (MMBtu/hr) x 1,000,000 Btu/MMBtu (Fuel Heat Co	ntent, Btu/lb (LHV))			
Heat input (MMBtu/hr, LHV)	2,007.9	1,889.0	1,703.0	
Heat content (Btu/lb, LHV)	18,300	18,300	18,300	
Fuel usage (lb/hr)- calculated	109,721	103,222	93,061	
Stack				
Stack Height (ft)	75	75	75	
Diameter (ft)	18	18	18	
HRSG Stack Flow Conditions				
Velocity (fl/sec) = Volume flow (acfm) / [((diameter) ² /4) x 3.14159] / 60 sec/min				
Mass flow (lb/hr) - provided	4,055,000	3,766,000	3,407,000	
	1,053	1,093	1,143	
Molecular weight	28.36	28.30	28.12	
CT volume flow (acfm)	2,632,958	2,515,327	2,363,790	
	43,883	41,922	39,397	
Diameter (ft)	18	18	18	
Velocity (ft/sec)- calculated	172.4	164.7	154.8	

TABLE A-8
MAXIMUM EMISSIONS FOR CRITERIA POLLUTANTS FOR THE SHADY HILLS ENERGY CENTER PROJECT
GE7FA, DISTILLATE OIL, BASE LOAD

Particulate Matter (Front-half only)		Tur	Turbine Inlet Temperature			
Particulate Matter (Front-half only)	Parameter	20 °F	59 °F	95 °F		
Particulate from CT- provided 17.0 17.		Case 10	Case 11	Case 12		
Sulfur Dioxide Fuel oil use (lb/hr) 109,721 103,222 93,06	Particulate Matter (Front-half only)					
Fuel oil use (lb/hr)	Particulate from CT- provided	17.0	17.0	1 7.0		
Fuel oil Sulfur Content 0.0015% 0.0015% 0.0015% lb SO2 / lb S (64/32) 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Sulfur Dioxide					
Fuel oil Sulfur Content 0.0015% 0.0015% 0.0015% 10 SO2 / lb S (64/32) 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Fuel oil use (lb/hr)	109.721	103.222	93,061		
Ib SO2 / Ib S (64/32)		•		0.001 5%		
Nitrogen Oxides NOx (Ib/Inr) = NOx (ppm actual) x Volume flow (acfm) x	lb SO2 / lb S (64/32)	2		2		
Basis, ppmvd - calculated 59.0 59.5 60	Stack emission rate (lb/hr)- calculated	3.3	3.1	. 2.8		
Moisture (%)			·			
Moisture (%) 10.87 11.46 13.0 Oxygen (%) 11.24 11.11 10.7 Oxygen (%) dry 12.61 12.55 12.3 Turbine Flow (acfm) 2,632,958 2,515,327 2,363,75° Turbine Flow (acfm, dry) 2,346,756 2,227,070 2,054,84 Turbine Exhaust Temperature (°F) 1,053 1,093 1,14 CT emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated 345.9 322.3 293 Carbon Monoxide 20.00 345.0 323.0 293 Carbon Monoxide 13.5	Basis, ppmvd - calculated			6 0 .6		
Name	Maiatura (9/)					
Display 12.61 12.55 12.35 12				10.77		
Turbine Flow (acfm) 2,632,958 2,515,327 2,363,755 Turbine Flow (acfm, dry) 2,346,756 2,227,070 2,054,84 Turbine Exhaust Temperature (°F) 1,053 1,093 1,14 CT emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated 345.9 322.3 293 Carbon Monoxide 345.0 323.0 293 Carbon Monoxide 5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.6				12.39		
Turbine Flow (acfm, dry) 2,346,756 2,227,070 2,054,84 Turbine Exhaust Temperature (°F) 1,053 1,093 1,14 CT emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated 345.9 322.3 293 CT Emission rate (lb/hr) - provided 345.0 323.0 293 Carbon Monoxide Basis, ppmvd - provided 13.5 13.5 13 Basis, ppmvd @ 15% O2 - calculated 9.6 9.5 9 Moisture (%) 10.87 11.46 13.0 Oxygen (%) 11.24 11.11 10.7 Oxygen (%) dry 12.61 12.55 12.3 Turbine Flow (acfm) 2,632,958 2,515,327 2,363,79 Turbine Flow (acfm, dry) 2,337,014 2,235,874 2,109,21 Turbine Exhaust Temperature (°F) 1,053 1,093 1,14 CT emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated 48.0 44.7 40.	• •	2,632,958	2,515,327	2,363,790		
CT emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated 345.9 322.3 293 CT Emission rate (lb/hr) - provided 345.0 323.0 293 Carbon Monoxide Co (lb/hr) = CO (ppm actual) x Volume flow (acfm) x Basis, ppmvd - provided 13.5 13.5 13.5 Basis, ppmvd @ 15% O2 - calculated 9.6 9.5 9.5 Moisture (%) 10.87 11.46 13.0 Oxygen (%) 11.24 11.11 10.7 Oxygen (%) dry 12.61 12.55 12.3 Turbine Flow (acfm) 2,632,958 2,515,327 2,363,79 Turbine Flow (acfm, dry) 2,337,014 2,235,874 2,109,21 Turbine Exhaust Temperature (°F) 1,053 1,093 1,14 CT emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated 48.0 44.7 40.		2,346,756	2,227,070	2,054,843		
CT Emission rate (lb/hr) - provided 345.0 323.0 293 Carbon Monoxide CO (lb/hr) = CO (ppm actual) x Volume flow (acfm) x Basis, ppmvd - provided 13.5 13.5 13.5 Basis, ppmvd @ 15% O2 - calculated 9.6 9.5 9.6 Moisture (%) 10.87 11.46 13.0 Oxygen (%) 11.24 11.11 10.7 Oxygen (%) dry 12.61 12.55 12.3 Turbine Flow (acfm) 2,632,958 2,515,327 2,363,79 Turbine Flow (acfm, dry) 2,337,014 2,235,874 2,109,21 Turbine Exhaust Temperature (°F) 1,053 1,093 1,14 CT emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated 48.0 44.7 40.	Turbine Exhaust Temperature (°F)	1,053	1,093	1,1 43		
Carbon Monoxide Carbon Monoxide CO (lb/hr) = CO (ppm actual) x Volume flow (acfin) x Basis, ppmvd - provided 13.5 13.5 13.5 Basis, ppmvd @ 15% O2 - calculated 9.6 9.5 9.6 Moisture (%) 10.87 11.46 13.0 Oxygen (%) 11.24 11.11 10.7 Oxygen (%) dry 12.61 12.55 12.3 Turbine Flow (acfm) 2,632,958 2,515,327 2,363,79 Turbine Flow (acfm, dry) 2,337,014 2,235,874 2,109,21 Turbine Exhaust Temperature (°F) 1,053 1,093 1,14 CT emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated 48.0 44.7 40.	CT emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated			293.5		
CO (lb/hr) = CO (ppm actual) x Volume flow (acfm) x Basis, ppmvd - provided 13.5 13.5 13.5 Basis, ppmvd @ 15% O2 - calculated 9.6 9.5 9.5 Moisture (%) 10.87 11.46 13.0 Oxygen (%) 11.24 11.11 10.7 Oxygen (%) dry 12.61 12.55 12.3 Turbine Flow (acfm) 2,632,958 2,515,327 2,363,79 Turbine Flow (acfm, dry) 2,337,014 2,235,874 2,109,21 Turbine Exhaust Temperature (°F) 1,053 1,093 1,14 CT emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated 48.0 44.7 40.	CT Emission rate (lb/hr) - provided	345.0	323.0	293.0		
Basis, ppmvd - provided 13.5 13.5 13.5 Basis, ppmvd @ 15% O2 - calculated 9.6 9.5 9.6 Moisture (%) 10.87 11.46 13.0 Oxygen (%) 11.24 11.11 10.7 Oxygen (%) dry 12.61 12.55 12.3 Turbine Flow (acfm) 2,632,958 2,515,327 2,363,79 Turbine Flow (acfm, dry) 2,337,014 2,235,874 2,109,21 Turbine Exhaust Temperature (°F) 1,053 1,093 1,14 CT emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated 48.0 44.7 40.	Carbon Monoxide					
Basis, ppmvd @ 15% O2 - calculated 9.6 9.5 9. Moisture (%) 10.87 11.46 13.0 Oxygen (%) 11.24 11.11 10.7 Oxygen (%) dry 12.61 12.55 12.3 Turbine Flow (acfm) 2,632,958 2,515,327 2,363,79 Turbine Flow (acfm, dry) 2,337,014 2,235,874 2,109,21 Turbine Exhaust Temperature (°F) 1,053 1,093 1,14 CT emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated 48.0 44.7 40.	$CO(lb/hr) = CO(ppm \ actual) \times Volume \ flow(acfm) \times$					
Moisture (%) 10.87 11.46 13.00 Oxygen (%) 11.24 11.11 10.7 Oxygen (%) dry 12.61 12.55 12.3 Turbine Flow (acfm) 2,632,958 2,515,327 2,363,79 Turbine Flow (acfm, dry) 2,337,014 2,235,874 2,109,21 Turbine Exhaust Temperature (°F) 1,053 1,093 1,14 CT emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated 48.0 44.7 40.	Basis, ppmvd - provided	13.5	13.5	13.5		
Oxygen (%) 11.24 11.11 10.7 Oxygen (%) dry 12.61 12.55 12.3 Turbine Flow (acfm) 2,632,958 2,515,327 2,363,79 Turbine Flow (acfm, dry) 2,337,014 2,235,874 2,109,21 Turbine Exhaust Temperature (°F) 1,053 1,093 1,14 CT emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated 48.0 44.7 40.				9.4		
Oxygen (%) dry 12.61 12.55 12.3 Turbine Flow (acfm) 2,632,958 2,515,327 2,363,79 Turbine Flow (acfm, dry) 2,337,014 2,235,874 2,109,21 Turbine Exhaust Temperature (°F) 1,053 1,093 1,14 CT emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated 48.0 44.7 40.				.13.07		
Turbine Flow (acfm) 2,632,958 2,515,327 2,363,79 Turbine Flow (acfm, dry) 2,337,014 2,235,874 2,109,21 Turbine Exhaust Temperature (°F) 1,053 1,093 1,14 CT emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated 48.0 44.7 40.				10.77		
Turbine Flow (acfm, dry) 2,337,014 2,235,874 2,109,21 Turbine Exhaust Temperature (°F) 1,053 1,093 1,14 CT emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated 48.0 44.7 40.				12.39		
Turbine Exhaust Temperature (°F) 1,053 1,093 1,14 CT emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated 48.0 44.7 40.						
CT emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated 48.0 44.7 40.						
				59.0		

TABLE A-8
MAXIMUM EMISSIONS FOR CRITERIA POLLUTANTS FOR THE SHADY HILLS ENERGY CENTER PROJECT
GE7FA, DISTILLATE OIL, BASE LOAD

	Tur	Turbine Inlet Temperature		
Parameter	20 °F	59 °F	95 °F	
	Case 10	Case 11	Case 12	
Volatile Organic Compounds				
VOC (lb/hr) = VOC (ppm actual) x Volume flow (acfm) x				
Basis, ppmvd - provided	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Basis, ppmvd @ 15% O2 - calculated	2.8	2.8	2.8	
Moisture (%)	10.87	11.46	13.07	
Oxygen (%)	11.24	11.11	10.77	
Oxygen (%) dry	12.61	12.55	12.39	
Turbine Flow (acfm)	2,632,958	2,515,327	2,363,790	
Turbine Flow (acfm, dry)	2,346,756	2,227,070	2,054,843	
Turbine Exhaust Temperature (°F)	1,053	1,093	1,143	
CT emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated	8.2	7.5	6.7	
CT Emission rate (lb/hr) - provided	8.0	7.5	7.0	
Sulfuric Acid Mist			•	
Total Sulfuric Acid Mist (SAM) (lb/hr)= SAM Formed in the CT				
	3.3	3.1	2.8	
	10	10	10	
Stack emission rate (lb/hr)- calculated	0.50	0.47	0.43	
- provided	NA	NA	NA	
<u>Lead</u>				
	14	14	14	
Stack emission rate (lb/hr)- calculated	0.0281	0.0264	0.0238	

TABLE A-9
DESIGN INFORMATION AND STACK PARAMETERS FOR THE SHADY HILLS ENERGY CENTER PROJECT
GE7FA, DISTILLATE OIL, 75% LOAD

		CT Only		
	Turbin	e Inlet Tempera	ture	
Parameter	20 °F	59 °F	95 °F	
	Case 13	Case 14	Case 15	
Combustion Turbine Performance				
Net power output (MW)	149.812	139.907	123.076	
Net heat rate (Btu/kWh, LHV)	10,970	11,030	11,400	
(Btu/kWh, HHV)	11,628	11,692	12,084	
Heat Input (MMBtu/hr, LHV)	1,643.4	1,543.2	1,403.1	
(MMBtu/hr, HHV)	1,742.0	1,635.8	1,487.3	
Relative Humidity (%)	80	60	64	
Fuel heating value (Btu/lb, LHV)	18,300	18,300	18,300	
(Btu/lb, HHV)	19,398	19,398	19,398	
(HHV/LHV)	1.060	1.060	1.060	
CT Exhaust Flow				
Mass Flow (lb/hr)- with no margin	2,991,000	2,898,000	2,783,000	
- provided	NA	2,070,000 NA	2,703,000 NA	
Temperature (°F) - provided	1,196	1,200	1,200	
Moisture (% Vol.)	11.72	11.85	12.65	
Oxygen (% Vol.)	10.34	10.57	10.86	
Molecular Weight	28.32	28.29	28.16	
Evel Hages				
Fuel Usage Fuel usage (lb/hr) = Heat Input (MMBtw/hr) x 1,000,000 Btw/MMBtu (Fuel F	Jeat Content Rtu/lh	(LHV))		
Heat input (MMBtu/hr, LHV)	1,643.4	1,543.2	1,403.1	
	18,300	18,300	18,300	
Heat content (Btu/lb, LHV) Fuel usage (lb/hr)- calculated	89,805	84,326	76,670	
	•	•		
HRSG Stack				
HRSG - Stack Height (ft)	75	75	75	
Diameter (ft)	18	18	18	
Stack Flow Conditions				
Velocity (ft/sec) = Volume flow (acfm) / $[((diameter)^2/4) \times 3.14159] / 60 sec$				
Mass flow (lb/hr)	2,991,000	2,898,000	2,783,000	
	1,196	1,200	1,200	
Molecular weight	28.32	28.29	28.16	
CT volume flow (acfm)	2,128,442	2,069,745	1,996,279	
	35,474	34,496	33,271	
Diameter (ft)	18	18	18	
Velocity (ft/sec)- calculated	139.4	135.6	130.7	

TABLE A-10 MAXIMUM EMISSIONS FOR CRITERIA POLLUTANTS FOR THE SHADY HILLS ENERGY CENTER PROJECT GE 7FA, DISTILLATE OIL, 75% LOAD

Parameter	Turbine Inlet Temperature		
	20 °F	59 °F	95°F
	Case 13	Case 14	Case 15
Particulate Matter (Front-half only)			
Particulate from CT- provided	17.0	17.0	17.
Sulfur Dioxide			
Fuel oil Sulfur Content	0.0015%	0.0015%	0.0015
Fuel oil use (lb/hr)	89,805	84,326	76,67
lb SO2 / lb S (64/32)	2	2	
Stack emission rate (lb/hr)- calculated	2.7	2.5	2.
Nitrogen Oxides $NOx (lb/hr) = NOx (ppm actual) \times Volume flow (acfm) \times Volume flow (acfm) = NOx (ppm actual) = NOx (ppm actua$			
Basis, ppmvd - calculated	65.4 42	63.4 42	60. . 4
Moisture (%)	11.72	11.85	12.6
Oxygen (%)	10.34	10.57	10.8
Oxygen (%) dry	11.71	11.99	12.4
Turbine Flow (acfm)	2,127,478	2,068,807	1,995,37
Turbine Flow (acfm, dry)	1,878,138	1,823,654	1,742,96
Turbine Exhaust Temperature (°F)	1,196	1,200	1,20
CT emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated	280.4	263.3	239.
CT Emission rate (lb/hr) - provided	280.0	263.0	239.
Carbon Monoxide			
$CO(lb/hr) = CO(ppm actual) \times Volume flow (acfm) \times$			
Basis, ppmvd - provided	13.5	13.5	13.
Basis, ppmvd @ 15% O2 - calculated	8.7	8.9	9.
Moisture (%)	11.72	11.85	12.6
Oxygen (%)	10.34	10.57	10.8
Oxygen (%) dry	11.71	11.99	12.4
Turbine Flow (acfm)	2,127,478	2,068,807	1,995,37
Turbine Flow (acfm, dry) Turbine Fybourt Temperature (PF)	1,878,138 1,196	1,823,654 1,200	1,742,96 1,20
Turbine Exhaust Temperature (°F) CT emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated	35.2	1,200 34.1	32.
CT Emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated CT Emission rate (lb/hr) - provided	52.0	51.0	48.

TABLE A-10
MAXIMUM EMISSIONS FOR CRITERIA POLLUTANTS FOR THE SHADY HILLS ENERGY CENTER PROJECT
GE 7FA, DISTILLATE OIL, 75% LOAD

Parameter	Turbit	ne Inlet Temperatui	re
	20 °F	59 °F	95°F
	Case 13	Case 14	Case 15
Volațile Organic Compoundș			
VOC (lb/hr) = VOC (ppm actual) x Volume flow (acfm) x			
Basis, ppmvd - provided	4.0	4.0	4.
Basis, ppmvd @ 15% O2 - calculated	2.6	2.6	2.
Moisture (%)	11.72	11.85	12.6
Oxygen (%)	10.34	10.57	10.8
Oxygen (%) dry	11.71	11.99	12.43
Turbine Flow (acfm)	2,127,478	2,068,807	1,995,37
Turbine Flow (acfm, dry)	1,878,138	1,823,654	1,742,96
Turbine Exhaust Temperature (°F)	1,196	1,200	1,20
CT emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated	6.0	5.8	5.:
CT Emission rate (lb/hr) - provided	6.0	5.5	5.:
Sulfuric Acid Mist			
Total Sulfuric Acid Mist (SAM) (lb/hr) = SAM Formed in the CT			
	2.7	2.5	2
	10	10	10
Stack emission rate (lb/hr)- calculated	0.41	0.39	0.3
- provided .	NA	NA	NA
Lea <u>d</u>			
	14	14	1-
Stack emission rate (lb/hr)- calculated	0.0230	0.0216	0.019

Note: ppmvd= parts per million, volume dry; O2= oxygen.

TABLE A-11
DESIGN INFORMATION AND STACK PARAMETERS FOR THE SHADY HILLS ENERGY CENTER PROJECT
GE7FA, DISTILLATE OIL, 50% LOAD

	CT Only Turbine Inlet Temperature				
Parameter	20 °F	59 °F	95 °F		
T at a free C	Case 16	Case 17	Case 18		
Combustion Turbine Performance					
Net power output (MW)	99.844	93.237	81.983		
Net heat rate (Btu/kWh, LHV)	12,730	12,920	13,370		
(Btu/kWh, HHV)	13,494	13,695	14,172		
Heat Input (MMBtu/hr, LHV)	1,271.0	1,204.6	1,096.1		
(MMBtw/hr, HHV)	1,347.3	1,276.9	1,161.9		
Relative Humidity (%)	80	60	64		
Fuel heating value (Btu/lb, LHV)	18,300	18,300	1 8,300		
(Btw/lb, HHV)	19,398	19,398	19,398		
(HHV/LHV)	1.060	1.060	1.060		
CT Exhaust Flow					
Mass Flow (lb/hr)- with no margin	2,499,000	2,457,000	2,353,000		
- provided	NA	NA	NA		
Temperature (°F) - provided	1,196	1,200	1,200		
Moisture (% Vol.)	10.19	10.38	11.37		
Oxygen (% Vol.)	11.30	11.54	11.73		
Molecular Weight	28.44	28.40	28.26		
Fuel Usage					
Fuel usage (lb/hr) = Heat Input (MMBtu/hr) x 1,000,000 Btu/MMBtu (Fuel Heat Content, Btu/					
Heat input (MMBtu/hr, LHV)	1,271.0	1,204.6	1,096.1		
Heat content (Btu/lb, LHV)	18,300	18,300	1 8,300		
Fuel usage (lb/hr)- calculated	69,454	65,826	59,897		
HRSG Stack					
HRSG - Stack Height (ft)	75	75	75		
Diameter (ft)	18	18	18		
Stack Flow Conditions					
Velocity (ft/sec) = Volume flow (acfm) / $[((diameter)^2/4) \times 3.14159] / 60 sec/min$					
Mass flow (lb/hr)	2,499,000	2,457,000	2,353,000		
	1,196	1,200	1,200		
Molecular weight	28.44	28.40	28.26		
CT volume flow (acfm)	1,770,922	1,748,009	1,682,253		
	29,515	29,133	28,038		
Diameter (ft)	18	18	18		
Velocity (ft/sec)- calculated	116.0	114.5	1 10.2		

Source: GE, 2007- CT Performance Data; Goler, 2008

TABLE A-12 MAXIMUM EMISSIONS FOR CRITERIA POLLUTANTS FOR THE SHADY HILLS ENERGY CENTER PROJECT GE 7FA, DISTILLATE OIL, 50% LOAD

	Turbine Inlet Temperature			
Parameter	20 °F	59 °F	95 °F	
	Case 16	Case 17	Case 18	
Particulate Matter (Front-half only)				
Particulate from CT- provided	17.0	17.0	17.0	
Sulfur Dioxide				
Fuel oil Sulfur Content	0.0015%	0.0015%	0.0015%	
Fuel oil use (lb/hr)	69,454	65,826	59,897	
lb SO2 / lb S (64/32)	2	2	2	
Stack emission rate (lb/hr)- calculated	2.1	2.0	1.8	
Nitrogen Oxides NOx (lb/hr) = NOx (ppm actual) x Volume flow (acfm) x				
Basis, ppmvd - calculated	59.2 42	57.1 42	54.6 42	
Moisture (%)	10.19	10.38	11.37	
Oxygen (%)	11.30	11.54	11.73	
Oxygen (%) dry	12.58	12.88	13.23	
Turbine Flow (acfm)	1,770,120	1,747,217	1,681,491	
Turbine Flow (acfm, dry)	1,589,745	1,565,856	1,490,306	
Turbine Exhaust Temperature (°F)	1,196	1,200	1,200	
CT emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated	214.9	203.6	185.2	
CT Emission rate (lb/hr) - provided	215.0	203.0	185.0	
Carbon Monoxide				
$CO(lb/hr) = CO(ppm \ actual) \times Volume \ flow(acfm) \times Volume \ fl$		·		
Basis, ppmvd - provided	13.5	13.5	13.5	
Basis, ppmvd @ 15% O2 - calculated	9.6	9.9	10.4	
Moisture (%)	10.19	10.38	11.37	
Oxygen (%)	11.30	11.54	11.73	
Oxygen (%) dry	12.58	12.88	13.23	
Turbine Flow (acfm)	1,770,120	1,747,217	1,681,491	
Turbine Flow (acfin, dry)	1,589,745	1,565,856	1,490,306	
Turbine Exhaust Temperature (°F)	1,196	1,200	1,200	
	29.8	29.3	27.9	
CT emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated CT Emission rate (lb/hr) - provided	44.0	43.0	41.0	

TABLE A-12 MAXIMUM EMISSIONS FOR CRITERIA POLLUTANTS FOR THE SHADY HILLS ENERGY CENTER PROJECT GE 7FA, DISTILLATE OIL, 50% LOAD

	Turbin	e Inlet Tempera	ture
Parameter	20 °F	59 °F	95 °F
	Case 16	Case 17	Case 18
Volatile Organic Compounds			
VOC (lb/hr) = VOC (ppm actual) x Volume flow (acfm) x			
Basis, ppmvd - provided	4.0	4.0	4.0
Basis, ppmvd @ 15% O2 - calculated	2.8	2.9	3.1
Moisture (%)	10.19	10.38	11.37
Oxygen (%)	11.30	11.54	11.73
Oxygen (%) dry	12.58	12.88	13.23
Turbine Flow (acfm)	1,770,120	1,747,217	1,681,491
Turbine Flow (acfm, dry)	1,589,745	1,565,856	1,490,306
Turbine Exhaust Temperature (°F)	1,196	1,200	1,200
CT emission rate (lb/hr) - calculated	5.0	5.0	4.7
CT Emission rate (lb/hr) - provided	5.0	5.0	4.5
Sulfuric Acid Mist			
Total Sulfuric Acid Mist (SAM) (lb/hr)= SAM Formed in the CT			
	2.1	2.0	1.8
	10	10	10
Stack emission rate (lb/hr)- calculated	0.32	0.30	0.28
- provided	NA	NA	NA
<u>Lead</u>			
	14	14	14
Stack emission rate (lb/hr)- calculated	0.0178	0.0169	0.0153

Note: ppmvd= parts per million, volume dry; O2= oxygen.

Source: GE, 2007- CT Performance Data; Goler, 2008

TABLE A-13
REGULATED AND HAZARDOUS AIR POLLUTANT EMISSION FACTORS AND EMISSIONS
WHEN FIRING NATURAL GAS, GE7FA CT

Parameter	for Operating Conditions of Base Load (1)		Natural Gas Maximum Annual Emissions (TPY) (2)	
	59 °F	59 °F	59 °F	
		1	2	
HIR (MMBtu/hr):	1,892	CT	CTs	
Sulfuric acid mist	1.60	2.7	5.4	
HAPs (Section 112(b) of Clean Air Act)				
,3-Butadiene	0.000814	0.001	0.003	
Acetaldehyde	0.0757	0.128	0.257	
Acrolein	0.0121	0.021	0.041	
Benzene	0.0227	0.038	0.077	
Ethylbenzene	0.0605	0.103	0.205	
ormadehyde	0.392	0.664	1.328	
laphthalene	0.00246	0.004	0.008	
olycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH) (3)	0.00416	0.007	0.014	
Propylene Oxide	0.0549	0.093	0.186	
°oluene	0.0624	0.106	0.212	
Kylene	0.121	0.205	0.410	
Antimony	0.0	0.0	0.00	
Arsenie	0.0	0.0	0.00	
Beryllium	0.0	0.0	0.00	
Cadmium	0.0	0.0	0.00	
Chromium	0.0	Ø _{0.0}	0.00	
.ead	0.0	0.0	0.00	
Manganese	0.0	0.0	0.00	
Mercury	1.89E-06	0.0	6.41E-06	
lickel	0.0	0.0	0.00	
Gelenium	0.0	0.0	0.00	
APs (Total)	0.808	1.4	2.7	

(1) Emissions based on the following emission factors and conversion factors for firing natural gas:

Emission Factors		Value	Reference
Sulfuric acid mist		10	
1,3-Butadiene	(a)	0.43	
Acetaldehyde		40	
Acrolein		6.4	
Benzene		12	
Ethylbenzene		32	
Formadehyde		0.091	ppmvd @15% O2 (see Table 9a)
Naphthalene		1.3	
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH)		2.2	
Propylene Oxide	(a)	29	
Toluene		33	
Xylene		64	
Antimony		0.00E+00	
Arsenic		0.00E+00	
Beryllium		0.00E+00	
Cadmium		0.00E+00	
Chromium		0.00E+00	
Lead		0.00E+00	
Manganese		0.00E+00	
Mercury		1.00E-03	
Nickel		0.00E+00	
Selenium		0.00E+00	

(a) Based on 1/2 the detection limit; expected emissions are lower.

3390 CT 0 CT/DB

⁽³⁾ Assumed to be representative of Polycyclic Organic Matter (POM) emissions, a regulated HAP.

TABLE A-13a MAXIMUM FORMALDEHYDE EMISSIONS FOR THE SHADY HILLS ENERGY CENTER PROJECT GE7FA, DRY LOW NOx COMBUSTOR, NATURAL GAS, BASE LOAD

		CT Only			
	Turbine Inlet Temperature				
Parameter	20 °F	59 °F	95 °F		
	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3		
Formaldehyde (CH_2O) $MW = 30$					
$CH_2O(lb/hr) = CH_2O(ppm\ actual)\ x\ Vo$	olume flow (acfm) x 46 (mole.	wgt NOx) x 2116.	.8 lb/ft2 (pressure) /		
[1545.7 (gas constant, R) x Actual Temp.					
CH_2O (ppm actual) = CH_2O (ppmd @, 1		20.9 - 15)]			
Oxygen (%, dry)(O_2 dry) = Oxygen (%)/(
Basis, ppmvd - calculated	0.110	0.111	0.111		
•	0.091	0.091	0.091		
Moisture (%)	7.55	8.37	9.88		
Oxygen (%)	12.75	12.57	12.34		
Oxygen (%) dry	13.79	13.72	13.69		
Exhaust Flow (acfm)	2,575,419	2,462,317	2,320,037		
		2.256.221	2 000 010		
Exhaust Flow (acfm, dry)	2,380,975	2,256,221	2,090,818		
	2,380,975 1,074	2,256,221 1,113	1,154		
Exhaust Flow (acfm, dry)	, ,				

Note: ppmvd= parts per million, volume dry; O₂= oxygen.

Source: GE, 2007- CT Performance Data; Goler, 2008

TABLE A-14
REGULATED AND HAZARDOUS AIR POLLUTANT EMISSION FACTORS AND EMISSIONS
WHEN FIRING DISTILLATE FUEL OIL, GE 7FA CTS

Parameter	Emission Rate (lb/hr) Firing Distillate Fuel Oil (1)			Maximum Annual Emissions (TP)	0
arameter	Base Load	Distillate F	uel Oil (2)	Natural Gas (4)	Natural Gas and Fuel Oil (5)
	59 °F				
		1	2	2	2
HIR (MMBtu/hr):	2.002	СТ	CTs	CTs	CTs
Sulfuric acid mist	0.47	0.24	0.5	5.4	5.3
HAPs (Section 112(b) of Clean Air	r Act)				
1,3-Butadiene	0.0320	0.0160	0.0320	0,0028	0.034
Aceialdehyde	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.2
Acrolein	0,00	0,00	0.00	0.041	0,04
Benzene	0.110	0,0551	0.1101	0.077	0.18
Ethylbenzene	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.205	0.18
formadehyde	0.455	0.228	0.4554	1.33	1.6
Naphthalene	0.0701	0.0350	0.0701	0.0083	0.077
Polycyclic Aromatic Hy ₁ (3)	0.0801	0.0400	0.0801	0.0141	0.09
Propylene Oxide	0,00	0.00	0.00	0.186	0.16
Toluene	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,21	0.2
Kylene	0.00	0.00	00,0	0.41	0.4
Antimony	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.0
Arsenic	0.0220	0.01101	0.02203	0,00	0.022
Beryllium	0.000621	0.000310	0,000621	0.00	0.00062
Cadmium	0.00961	0,00481	0.00961	0.00	0,0096
Chromium	0.0220	0.01101	0.02203	0.00	0.022
cad	0.0280	0.01402	0.02803	0.00	0.028
Manganese	1.58	0.791	1.582	0.00	1,6
Acreury	0.00240	0.001201	0.002403	00,0	0.0024
Vickel	0.00921	0.00461	0.00921	0,00	0.0092
Selenium	0.0501	0.0250	0.0501	0.00	0.050
HAPs (Total)	2.47	1.24	2.47	2.7	4,9

(1) Emissions based on the following emission factors and conversion factors for firing distillate fuel oil:

Sulfuric acid miss 5 1,3-Buadiene (a) 16 Accetaldchyde 0.0 0.0 Acrotein 0.0 0.0 Benzene 55 Ethythenzene 0.0 Formadehyde 0.091 ppmvd @15% O2 (see Table 10a) Naphtbalene Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (40 Propylene Oxide 0.0 Toluene 0.0 Value Value Antimony 0.0 Value Value Antimony 0.0 Value Value Cadmium 4.8 Chromium 11 Lead 14 Manganes 790 Mercury 1.2 Nickel (a) 4.6	P. Justine Parameter		17-1	P-f
1,3-Butadicne	Emission Factors		Value	Reference
Acetaldchyde				
Acrolein		(a)		
Benzene 55 Elhylbenzene 0.0 Formadehyde 0.09 ppmvd@15% O2 (see Table 10a) Naphthalene 35 Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (40 Propylene Oxide 0.0 Toluene 0.0 Xylene 0.0 Antimony 0.0 Antimony 0.0 Arsenic (a) 11 Beryllium (a) 0.31 Cadmium 4.8 Chromium 11 Lead 14 Manganese 790 Mercury 1.2 Nickel (a) 4.6			0.0	
Ethylbenzene 0.0 Formadehyde 0.091 ppmvd @15% O2 (see Table 10a) Naphthale ne 35 Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (40 Propylene Oxide 0.0 Xylene 0.0 Antimony 0.0 Antimony 0.0 Arsenic (a) 11 Beryllium (a) 0.31 Cadmium 1.8 Chromium 11 Lead 14 Manganese 790 Mercury 1.2 Nickel (a) 4.6	Acrolein		0.0	
Formadehyde 0,091 ppmvd @15% O2 (see Table 10a) Naphthalene 35 Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (40 Propylene Oxide 0,0 Toluene 0,0 Xylene 0,0 Antimony 0,0 Antimony 0,0 Ansenic (a) 11 Beryllium (a) 0,31 Cadmium 4,8 Chromium 11 Lead 14 Manganese 790 Mercury 1,2 Nickel (a) 4,6	Benzene		55	
Naphthalene 35 Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (40 40 Propylene Oxide	Ethylbenzene		0.0	
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (40 Propylene Oxide	Formadehyde		0.091	ppmvd@15% O2 (see Table 10a)
Propylene Oxide 0.0 Toluene 0.0 Xylene 0.0 Antimony 0.0 Arsenic (a) 11 Beryllium (a) 0.31 Cadmium 4.8 Chromium 11 Lead 14 Manganese 790 Mercury 1.2 Nickel (a) 4.6	Naphthale ne		35	
Toluene	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocart	ons (40	
Xylene 0.0	Propylene Oxide		0,0	
Antimony 0.0 Arsenic (a) 11 Beryllium (a) 0.31 Cadmium 4.8 Chromium 11 Lead 14 Manganes 790 Mercury 1.2 Nickel (a) 4.6	Toluene		0,0	
Arsenic (a) 11 Beryllium (a) 0.31 Cadmium 4.8 Chromium 11 Lead 14 Manganes 790 Mercury 1.2 Nickel (a) 4.6	Xylenc		0.0	
Beryllium	Antimony		0.0	
Cadmium 4.8 Chromium 11 Lead 14 Manganese 790 Mercury 1.2 Nickel (a) 4.6	Arsenic	(a)	11	
Chromium	Beryllium	(a)	0.31	
Lead 14 Manganese 790 Mercury 1.2 Nickel (a) 4.6	Cadmium		4.8	
Manganese 790 Mercury 1.2 Nickel (a) 4.6	Chromium		11	
Mcrcury 1.2 Nickel (a) 4.6	Lead		14	
Nickel (a) 4.6	Manganese		790	
	Mercury		1.2	
	Nickel	(a)	4.6	
Selenum (a) 25	Selenium	(a)	25	

(a) Based on 1/2 the detection limit; expected emissions are lower.

1,000 hours

- Assumed to be representative of Polycyclic Organic Matter (POM) emissions, a regulated HAP,
 Annual emissions based on maximum emissions presented for natural gas-firing
 Maximum total annu 1,000 hours of firing fuel and remaining hours firing natural gas.

TABLE A-14a

MAXIMUM FORMALDEHYDE EMISSIONS

FOR THE SHADY HILLS ENERGY CENTER PROJECT

GE 7FA CT, DRY LOW NOx COMBUSTOR, DISTILLATE OIL, BASE LOAD

		CT Only		
	Turbi	ne Inlet Temperati	ure	
Parameter	20 °F	59 °F	95 °F	
	Case 10	Case 11	Case 12	
Formaldehyde (CH ₂ O) MW = 30			•	
$CH_2O(lb/hr) = CH_2O(ppm \ actual) \ x \ Volume$	ne flow (acfm) x 46 (mole. wgt NO.	x) x 2116.8 lb/ft2 (p	ressure) /	
[1545.7 (gas constant, R) x Actual Temp. (°R)] x 60 min/hr			
CH_2O (ppm actual) = CH_2O (ppmd @, 15%)	- O ₂) x [(20.9 - O ₂ dry)/(20.9 - 15)]		
Oxygen (%, dry)(O $_2$ dry) = Oxygen (%)/(1-M	10isure (%))	-		
Basis, ppmvd - calculated	0.128	0.129	0.131	
	0.091	0.091	0.091	
Moisture (%)	10.87	11.46	13.07	
Oxygen (%)	11.24	11.11	10.77	
Oxygen (%) dry	12.61	12.55	12.39	
Exhaust Flow (acfm)	2,632,958	2,515,327	2,363,790	
Exhaust Flow (acfm, dry)	2,346,756	2,227,070	2,054,843	
Exhaust Temperature (°F)	1,053	1,093	1,143	
CT Emission rate (lb/hr)	0.489	0.455	0.415	
	229.7	227.4	229.8	

Note: ppmvd= parts per million, volume dry; O2= oxygen.

Source: GE, 2007- CT Performance Data; Goler, 2008

TABLE 2-1
STACK, OPERATING, AND EMISSION DATA FOR THE COMBUSTION TURBINES FOR SIMPLE CYCLE
OPERATION - NATURAL GAS COMBUSTION

		Operating and En	nission Data ^a for An		
			Combustion Turbin	<u>e</u>	
Parameter		20 °F	59 °F	95 °F	
CT Stack Data (ft)					
Height		75	75	75	
Diameter		18.0	18.0	18.0	
100 Percent Load					
•		1,074	1,113	1,154	
Velocity (ft/sec)		168.7	161.3	152.0	
Maximum Hourly Em	issions per Unit				
SO ₂	lb/hr	11.2	10.4	9.5	
PM/PM ₁₀	lb/hr	9.0	9.0	9.0	
NO _x	lb/hr	63.6	59.4	53.8	
co	lb/hr	23.2	21.5	19.4	
VOC (as methane)	lb/hr	3.3	3.0	2.7	
Sulfuric Acid Mist	lb/hr	1.7	1.6	1.5	
75 Percent Load					
		1,200	1,159	1,190	
Velocity (ft/sec)		137.5	133.6	128.7	
Maximum Hourly Em	issions per Unit				
SO ₂	lb/hr	9.2	8.5	7.9	
PM/PM ₁₀	lb/hr	9.0	9.0	9.0	
NO _x	lb/hr	51.7	47.8	44.1	
CO	lb/hr	17.4	17.3	16.1	
VOC (as methane)	lb/hr	2.4	2.4	2.3	
Sulfuric Acid Mist	lb/hr	1.41	1.30	1.20	
50 Percent Load					
Velocity (ft/sec)		1,200 116.0	1,200 113.3	1,200 108.9	
Maximum Hourly Em	issions per Unit				
SO ₂	lb/hr	7.3	6.8	6.3	
PM/PM ₁₀	lb/hr	9.0	9.0	9.0	
NO _x	lb/hr	40.3	37.8	34.8	
CO	lb/hr	14.8	14.3	13.6	
VOC (as methane)	lb/hr	2.1	2.0	1.9	
Sulfuric Acid Mist	lb/hr	1.11	1.04	0.96	

^a Refer to Appendix A for detailed information on basis of pollutant emission rates and operating data. Source: GE, 2007- CT Performance Data; Goler, 2008

TABLE 2-2
STACK, OPERATING, AND EMISSION DATA FOR THE COMBUSTION TURBINES FOR SIMPLE CYCLE OPERATION - ULTRA LOW-SULFUR LIGHT OIL COMBUSTION

			nission Data ^a for Am	
		<u></u>	Combustion Turbin	<u>e</u>
Parameter		20 °F	59 °F	95 °F
CT/HRSG Stack Data	<u>(ft)</u>			
Height		75	75 `	75
Diameter		18	18	18
100 Percent Load				
Velocity (fl/sec)		1,053 172.4	1,093 164.7	1,143 154.8
Maximum Hourly Em	issions per Unit			
SO ₂	ib/hr	3.3	3.1	2.8
PM/PM ₁₀	lb/hr	17.0	17.0	17.0
NO _x	lb/hr	345.9	322.3	293.5
CO	lb/hr	48.0	44.7	40.9
VOC (as methane)	lb/hr	8.2	7.5	6.7
Lead	lb/hr	0.028	0.026	0.024
Sulfuric Acid Mist	lb/hr	0.50	0.47	0.43
75 Percent Load				
		1,196	1,200	1,200
Velocity (ft/sec)		139.4	135.6	130.7
Maximum Hourly Emi				
SO ₂	lb/hr	2.7	2.5	2.3
PM/PM ₁₀	lb/hr	17.0	17.0	17.0
NO _x	lb/hr	280.4	263.3	239.2
CO	lb/hr	35.2	34.1	32.6
VOC (as methane)	lb/hr	6.0	5.8	5.5
Lead	lb/hr	0.023	0.022	0.020
Sulfuric Acid Mist	lb/hr	0.41	0.39	0.35
50 Percent Load		1,196	1,200	1,200
Velocity (ft/sec)		116.0	114.5	110.2
Maximum Hourly Emi	issions per Unit			
SO ₂	lb/hr	2.1	2.0	1.8
PM/PM ₁₀	lb/hr	17.0	17.0	17.0
NO _x	lb/hr	214.9	203.6	185.2
co	lb/hr	29.8	29.3	27.9
VOC (as methane)	lb/hr	5.0	5.0	4.7
Lead	lb/hr	0.018	0.017	0.015
Sulfuric Acid Mist	ib/hr	0.32	0.30	0.28

^a Refer to Appendix A for detailed information on basis of pollutant emission rates and operating data. Source: GE, 2007- CT Performance Data; Goler, 2008

TABLE 2-3
SUMMARY OF MAXIMUM POTENTIAL ANNUAL EMISSIONS FOR THE CTS IN SIMPLE CYCLE OPERATIONS

				Maximu	m Emissions (tons/yea	ar) ´
	Maximum Hourly Emissions (lb/hr) ^a			Operating		
		Simple Cycle (SC)		Scenario	Operating Hours	
	Fuel:	NG	Oil	SC/ NG 100 % Load	3,390	2,640
	Temp & Load:	59 °F, 100%	59 °F, 100%	SC/ OIL 100 % Load	0	750
Pollutant				TOTAL	3,390	3,390
One Combustion Turbine						
SO ₂		10.4	~3.1		17.7	14.9
PM/PM ₁₀		9.0	17.0		15.3	18.3
NO _x		59.4	322.3		100.7	199.2
co		21.5	44.7		36.4	45.1
VOC (as methane)		3.0	7.5		5.1	6.8
Sulfuric Acid Mist		1.6	0.5		2.7	2.3
HAPs		0.81	2.47		1.4	2.0
Lead		0.00	0.026		0.0	0.010
Two Combustion Turbines			_			
SO ₂		20.9	6.2		35.4	29.9
PM/PM ₁₀		18.0	34.0		30.5	36.5
NO_x		118.8	644.5		201.3	398.5
CO		42.9	89.4		72.7	90.2
VOC (as methane)		6.0	15.1		10.2	13.6
Sulfuric Acid Mist		3.2	0.9		5.4	4.6
HAPs		1.6	4.9		2.7	4.0
Lead		0.0	0.1		0.0	0.020

^a Based on 59 °F ambient inlet air temperature Source: GE, 2007- CT Performance Data; Goler, 2008

TABLE 2-6
SUMMARY OF MAXIMUM POTENTIAL ANNUAL EMISSIONS FOR THE SHADY HILLS GENERATING STATION PROJECT

		Annual Emissions (tons/year)		DOD O' . G	DCD
Pollutant	2 CTs	Emergency Generator	Natural Gas Heater	TOTAL	PSD Significant Emission Rate (tons/year)	PSD Review Required?
SO ₂	35.4	0.0082	0.24	36	40	No
PM	36.5	0.13	0.08	37	25	Yes
PM_{10}	36.5	0.13	0.08	37	15	Yes
NO_x	398.5	6.65	4.15	409	40	Yes
CO	90.2	4.60	3.49	98	100	No
VOC (as methane)	13.6	1.76	0.23	16	40	No
Sulfuric Acid Mist	5.4	NA	NA	5.42	7	No
Lead	0.020	NA	NA	0.02	0.6	No

Source: Golder, 2008.

ATTACHMENT B

UPDATED BACT CALCULATIONS AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Table B-4. Capital Cost for Selective Catalytic Reduction for General Electric Frame 7F Simple Cycle Combustion Turbine Based on 2.640 hr/yr Gas Firing and 750 hr/yr Oil Firing

Based on 2,640 hr/yr Gas Firing and 750 hr/yr Oil Firing			
Cost Component	Costs	Basis of Cost Component	
Direct Capital Costs			
SCR Associated Equipment	5,243,333.33	Vendor Estimate; Includes Cooling System	
Ammonia Storage Tank	included	Vendor Estimate	
Flue Gas Ductwork	included	Vendor Estimate	
Instrumentation	included	Vendor Estimate	
Emission Monitoring	\$262,167	5% of SCR Associated Equipment	
Freight	\$262,167	5% of SCR Associated Equipment	
Total Direct Capital Costs (TDCC)	\$5,767,667		
Direct Installation Costs			
Foundation and supports	\$461,413	8% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual	
Handling & Erection	\$807,473	14% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual	
Electrical	\$230,707	4% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual	
Piping (Ammonia Injection Grid)	included	Vendor Estimate	
Insulation for ductwork	\$57,677	1% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual	
Painting	\$57,677	1% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual	
Site Preparation (General Facilities)	\$288,383	5% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual	
Project Contingencies	\$576,767	10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual	
Total Direct Installation Costs (TDIC)	\$2,480,097		
Total Capital Costs (TCC)	\$8,247,763	Sum of TDCC and TDIC	
Indirect Costs			
Engineering	included	Vendor Estimate	
PSM/RMP Plan	\$50,000	Engineering Estimate	
Construction and Field Expense	\$412,388	5% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual	

Indirect Costs		
Engineering	included	Vendor Estimate
PSM/RMP Plan	\$50,000	Engineering Estimate
Construction and Field Expense	\$412,388	5% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual
Contractor Fees	\$824,776	10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual
Start-up	\$164,955	2% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual
Performance Tests	\$82,478	1% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual
Total Indirect Capital Cost (TInCC)	\$1,534,597	
Total Direct, Indirect and Capital Costs (TDICC)	\$9,782,361	Sum of TCC and TInCC

Table B-5. Annualized Cost for Selective Catalytic Reduction for General Electric Frame 7F Simple Cycle Operation Based on 2,640 hr/yr Gas Firing and 750 hr/yr Oil Firing

Cost Component	Costs	Basis of Cost Component
Direct Annual Costs		
Operating Personnel	\$21,840	28 hours/week at \$15/hr
Supervision	\$3,276	5 15% of Operating Personnel;OAQPS Cost Control Manual
Ammonia	\$52,545	\$ \$500 per ton for Aqueous NH ₃ 62 lb/hr, 3,390 hr/year
PSM/RMP Update	\$25,000	Engineering Estimate
Inventory Cost	\$9,519	Capital Recovery (9.44%) for 1/3 catalyst for SCR
Catalyst Cost	\$75,625	4 years catalyst life; Based on Vendor Budget Estimate
Contingency	\$5,634	3% of Direct Annual Costs
Total Direct Annual Costs (TDAC)	\$193,439	
Energy Costs		
Electrical (SCR and Cooling)	\$44,748	330kW/h for SCR system @ \$0.04/kWh, 3,390 hr/yr
MW Loss and Heat Rate Penalty	\$117,260	0.5% of MW output; EPA, 1993 (Page 6-20) ^a
Total Energy Costs (TEC)	\$162,008	
Indirect Annual Costs		
Overhead	\$0	0% of Operating/Supervision Labor and Ammonia
Property Taxes	\$0	0% of Total Capital Costs
Insurance	\$0	0% of Total Capital Costs
Annualized Total Direct Capital	\$923,455	9.44% Capital Recovery Factor of 7% over 20 years times sum c of TDICC
Total Indirect Annual Costs (TIAC)	\$923,455	
Total Annualized Costs	\$1,278,902	Sum of TDAC, TEC and TIAC
Incremental Cost Effectiveness(9 to 3 ppmvd)	\$9,640	NO _x Reduction Only
	\$14,264	Net Emission Reduction

^a Alternative Control Techniques Document--NOx Emissions from Stationary Gas Turbines, Page 6-20.

Table B-6. Maximum Potential Incremental Emissions (TPY) with Selective Catalytic Reduction Based on 2,640 hr/yr Gas Firing and 750 hr/yr Oil Firing

_	Incremental Emissions (tons/year) of SCR			
Pollutants	Primary	Secondary	Total	
Particulate	5.24	0.14	5.38	
Sulfur Dioxide		0.05	0.05	
Nitrogen Oxides	-132.67	2.62	-130.05	
Carbon Monoxide		1.57	1.57	
Volatile Organic Compounds		0.10	0.10	
Ammonia	33.29			
Total:	-94.14	4.48	-89.66	
Carbon Dioxide (additional from gas firing)	2,484.33	2,484.33		

Basis:

Lost Energy (mmBtu/year)

39,226

Secondary Emissions (lb/mmBtu): Assumes natural gas firing in NO_x controlled steam unit.

Particulate 0.0072
Sulfur Dioxide 0.0027
Nitrogen Oxides w/LNB 0.1333
Carbon Monoxide 0.0800
Volatile Organic Compounds 0.0052

Reference: Table 1.4-1 and 1.4-2, AP-42, Version 2/98

Table B-4. Capital Cost for Selective Catalytic Reduction for General Electric Frame 7F Simple Cycle Combustion Turbine Based on 4,250 hr/yr Gas Firing and 750 hr/yr Oil Firing.

Cost Component	Costs Ba	sis of Cost Component
Direct Capital Costs		
SCR Associated Equipment	5,243,333.33	Vendor Estimate; Includes Cooling System
Ammonia Storage Tank	included	Vendor Estimate
Flue Gas Ductwork	included	Vendor Estimate
Instrumentation	included	Vendor Estimate
Emission Monitoring	\$262,167	5% of SCR Associated Equipment
Craight	\$262,167	5% of SCR Associated Equipment
Total Direct Capital Costs (,	
Total Direct Capital Costs (,	
Total Direct Capital Costs (Direct Installation Costs	,	.,
Total Direct Capital Costs (Direct Installation Costs Foundation and supports	(TDCC) \$5,767,667	8% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual
Total Direct Capital Costs (Direct Installation Costs Foundation and supports Handling & Erection	(TDCC) \$5,767,667 \$461,413	8% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual 14% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual
Total Direct Capital Costs (Direct Installation Costs Foundation and supports Handling & Erection Electrical	\$5,767,667 \$461,413 \$807,473	8% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual 14% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual
Total Direct Capital Costs (Direct Installation Costs Foundation and supports Handling & Erection Electrical Piping (Ammonia Injection Grid)	\$5,767,667 \$461,413 \$807,473 \$230,707	8% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual 14% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual 4% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual
Total Direct Capital Costs (Direct Installation Costs Foundation and supports Handling & Erection Electrical Piping (Ammonia Injection Grid) Insulation for ductwork	\$5,767,667 \$461,413 \$807,473 \$230,707 included	8% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual 14% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual 4% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Vendor Estimate
Freight Total Direct Capital Costs (Direct Installation Costs Foundation and supports Handling & Erection Electrical Piping (Ammonia Injection Grid) Insulation for ductwork Painting Site Preparation (General Facilities)	\$5,767,667 \$461,413 \$807,473 \$230,707 included \$57,677	8% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual 14% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual 4% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Vendor Estimate 1% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual

Total Capital Costs (TCC) \$8,247,763 Sum of TDCC and TDIC

\$2,480,097

Total Direct Installation Costs (TDIC)

Indirect Costs		
Engineering	included	Vendor Estimate
PSM/RMP Plan	\$50,000	Engineering Estimate
Construction and Field Expense	\$412,388	5% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual
Contractor Fees	\$824,776	10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual
Start-up	\$164,955	2% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual
Performance Tests	\$82,478	1% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual
Total Indirect Capital Cost (TInCC)	\$1,534,597	
Total Direct, Indirect and Capital Costs (TDICC)	\$9,782,361	Sum of TCC and TInCC

Table B-5. Annualized Cost for Selective Catalytic Reduction for General Electric Frame 7F Simple Cycle Operation

Based on 4,250 hr/yr Gas Firing and 750 hr/yr Oil Firing.

Cost Component	Costs	Basis of Cost Component
Direct Annual Costs		
Operating Personnel	\$21,840	28 hours/week at \$15/hr
Supervision	\$3,276	15% of Operating Personnel;OAQPS Cost Control Manual
Ammonia	\$77,500	\$500 per ton for Aqueous NH ₃ , 62 lb/hr, 3,390 hr/year
PSM/RMP Update	\$25,000	Engineering Estimate
Inventory Cost	\$9,519	Capital Recovery (9.44%) for 1/3 catalyst for SCR
Catalyst Cost	\$75,625	4 years catalyst life; Based on Vendor Budget Estimate
Contingency	\$6,383	3% of Direct Annual Costs
Total Direct Annual Costs (TDAC)	\$219,142	
Energy Costs		
Electrical (SCR and Cooling)	\$66,000	330kW/h for SCR system @ \$0.04/kWh, 3,390 hr/yr
MW Loss and Heat Rate Penalty	\$172,950	0.5% of MW output; EPA, 1993 (Page 6-20) ^a
Total Energy Costs (TEC)	\$238,950	
Indirect Annual Costs		
Overhead	\$0	0% of Operating/Supervision Labor and Ammonia
Property Taxes	\$0	0% of Total Capital Costs
Insurance	\$0	0% of Total Capital Costs
Annualized Total Direct Capital	\$923,455	9.44% Capital Recovery Factor of 7% over 20 years times sum of TDICC of TDICC
Total Indirect Annual Costs (TIAC)	\$923,455	
Total Annualized Costs	\$1,381,547	Sum of TDAC, TEC and TIAC
Incremental Cost Effectiveness(9 to 3 ppmvd)	\$8,407	NO _x Reduction Only
. ,	\$13,363	Net Emission Reduction

Table B-6. Maximum Potential Incremental Emissions (TPY) with Selective Catalytic Reduction Based on 4,250 hr/yr Gas Firing and 750 hr/yr Oil Firing.

· ·	Incremental Emissions (tons/year) of SCR			
Pollutants	Primary	Secondary	Total	
Particulate	5.24	0.21	5.45	
Sulfur Dioxide		0.08	0.08	
Nitrogen Oxides	-164.33	3.86	-160.48	
Carbon Monoxide		2.31	2.31	
Volatile Organic Compounds		0.15	0.15	
Ammonia	49.10			
Total:	-110.00	6.61	-103.39	
Carbon Dioxide (additional from gas firing)	3,664.20	3,664.20		

Basis:

Lost Energy (mmBtu/year) 57,856

Secondary Emissions (lb/mmBtu): Assumes natural gas firing in NO_x controlled steam unit.

Particulate	0.0072
Sulfur Dioxide	0.0027
Nitrogen Oxides w/LNB	0.1333
Carbon Monoxide	0.0800
Volatile Organic Compounds	0.0052

Reference: Table 1.4-1 and 1.4-2, AP-42, Version 2/98

Table B-4. Capital Cost for Selective Catalytic Reduction for General Electric Frame 7F Simple Cycle Combustion Turbine Based on 5000 hr/yr gas firing only.

SCR Associated Equipment Ammonia Storage Tank included in	Cost Component	Costs	Basis of Cost Component
Ammonia Storage Tank included vendor Estimate	Direct Capital Costs		
Flue Gas Ductwork included vendor Estimate Emission Monitoring \$262,167 5% of SCR Associated Equipment Freight \$262,167 5% of SCR Associated Equipment Total Direct Capital Costs (TDCC) \$5,767,667 Direct Installation Costs Foundation and supports \$461,413 8% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Analysing & Erection \$230,707 4% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Electrical \$230,707 4% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Electrical \$250,707 1% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Electrical \$57,677 1% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Site Preparation (General Facilities) \$288,383 5% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Control Manual Manual Project Control Manual Manual Project Control Manual M	SCR Associated Equipment	5,243,333.33	Vendor Estimate; Includes Cooling System
Instrumentation included Vendor Estimate Emission Monitoring \$262,167 5% of SCR Associated Equipment Total Direct Capital Costs (TDCC) \$5,767,667 Direct Installation Costs Foundation and supports \$461,413 8% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Foundation and supports \$461,413 8% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Foundation and supports \$461,413 8% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Foundation and supports \$461,413 8% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Foundation and supports \$461,413 8% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Foundation and supports \$461,413 8% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Foundation and supports \$461,413 8% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Foundation for ductwork \$57,677 1% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Foundation for ductwork \$57,677 1% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Foundation for ductwork \$576,777 1% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Foundation for ductwork \$576,777 1% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Foundation for ductwork \$576,777 1% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Foundation for ductwork \$57,677 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Foundation for ductwork \$576,777 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Foundation for ductwork \$576,777 10% of TDCC and TDIC Foundation for ductwork \$576,777 10% of TDCC and TDIC Foundation for ductwork \$576,777 10% of TDCC and TDIC Foundation for ductwork \$576,777 10% of TDCC and TDIC Foundation for ductwork \$576,777 10% of TDCC and TDIC Foundation for ductwork \$576,777 10% of TDCC and TDIC Foundation for ductwork \$576,777 10% of TDCC and TDIC Foundation for ductwork \$576,777 10% of TDCC and TDIC Foundation for ductwork \$576,777 10% of TDCC and TDIC Foundation for ductwork \$576,777 10% of TDCC and TDIC Foundation for ductwork \$576,777 10% of TDCC and TDIC Foundation for ductwork \$576,777 10% of TDCC and TDIC Foundation for ductwork \$576,777 10% of TDCC and TDIC Foundation for ductwork \$576,777 10% of TDCC and	Ammonia Storage Tank	included	Vendor Estimate
Total Direct Capital Costs (TDCC) \$5,767,667 Direct Installation Costs Foundation and supports \$461,413 \$% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Handling & Erection \$230,707 \$% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Piping (Ammonia Injection Grid) included Insulation for ductwork \$57,677 \$\$% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual \$55,677 \$\$% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual \$55,677 \$\$% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual \$57,677 \$\$% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual \$57,677 \$\$% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual \$57,677 \$\$% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual \$57,677 \$\$% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual \$700 \$\$% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual \$700 \$\$% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual \$700 \$\$% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual \$700 \$\$% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual \$700 \$\$% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual \$700 \$\$% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual \$700 \$\$% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual \$700 \$\$% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual \$700 \$\$% of TDCC and TDCC \$700 \$\$% of TDC	Flue Gas Ductwork	included	Vendor Estimate
Total Direct Capital Costs (TDCC) \$5,767,667 Direct Installation Costs Foundation and supports \$461,413 8% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Handling & Erection \$807,473 14% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Electrical \$230,707 4% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Piping (Ammonia Injection Grid) included Vendor Estimate Site Preparation (General Facilities) \$57,677 11% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 11% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and TDIC Regimeering Start-up \$50,000 Engineering Estimate S50,000 Engineering Estimate S60,000 Engineering S60,000 Engineering S60,000 Engineering S60,000 Engin	Instrumentation	included	Vendor Estimate
Total Direct Capital Costs (TDCC) \$5,767,667 Direct Installation Costs Foundation and supports \$461,413 8% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Andling & Erection \$807,473 14% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Cost Common Injection Grid) included vendor Estimate stanting \$57,677 1% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Site Preparation (General Facilities) \$288,383 5% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Control Costs (TDIC) \$2,480,097 Total Direct Installation Costs (TDIC) \$2,480,097 Total Direct Installation Costs (TDIC) \$2,480,097 Total Capital Costs (TDIC) \$5,2480,097 Total Capital Costs (TDIC) \$5,2480,097 Total Capital Costs (TDIC) \$5,040,097 Cost Control Manual Project Cost (TDIC) \$5,040,097 Cost Control Manual Project Cost (TDIC) \$5,040,097 Cost	Emission Monitoring	\$262,167	5% of SCR Associated Equipment
Direct Installation Costs Coundation and supports \$461,413	Freight	\$262,167	5% of SCR Associated Equipment
Foundation and supports \$461,413 8% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Handling & Erection \$807,473 14% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Electrical \$230,707 4% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Piping (Ammonia Injection Grid) included Vendor Estimate Stainting \$57,677 1% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$57,677 1% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 1% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$50,000 Engineering Estimate Engineering Estimate Engineering Estimate Construction and Field Expense \$412,388 5% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Control Fees \$824,776 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Control Fees \$824,776 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Control Fees \$824,776 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Control Fees \$824,776 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Control Fees \$824,776 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Costs (Control Manual Project Costs) (Cost Control Manual Project Costs) (Cost Control Manual Project Costs) (Cost Control Manual Project Cost Cost Cost Control Manual Project Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cos	Total Direct Capital Costs (TDCC)	\$5,767,667	
Handling & Erection \$807,473 14% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Electrical \$230,707 4% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Piping (Ammonia Injection Grid) included Vendor Estimate Number of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$57,677 1% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$288,383 5% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$2,480,097 10% of TDCC and TDIC \$2,480,097 10% of TOTAL Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Costs \$412,388 5% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Costs \$824,776 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Costs \$824,776 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Costs \$824,776 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Costs \$824,776 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Costs \$824,776 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Costs \$824,778 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Costs \$824,778 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Costs \$824,778 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Costs \$824,778 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Costs \$824,778 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Costs \$824,778 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Costs \$824,778 10	Direct Installation Costs		
Electrical \$230,707 4% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Piping (Ammonia Injection Grid) included vendor Estimate Insulation for ductwork \$57,677 1% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Vendor Estimate Insulation (General Facilities) \$288,383 5% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Site Preparation (General Facilities) \$288,383 5% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Total Direct Installation Costs (TDIC) \$2,480,097 Total Capital Costs (TCC) \$8,247,763 Sum of TDCC and TDIC Indirect Costs Included Vendor Estimate I	Foundation and supports	\$461,413	8% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual
Piping (Ammonia Injection Grid) included Vendor Estimate Insulation for ductwork \$57,677 1% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Painting \$57,677 1% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$288,383 5% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Total Direct Installation Costs (TDIC) \$2,480,097 Total Capital Costs (TCC) \$8,247,763 Sum of TDCC and TDIC Indirect Costs Engineering included Vendor Estimate PSM/RMP Plan \$50,000 Engineering Estimate Construction and Field Expense \$412,388 5% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Contractor Fees \$824,776 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Performance Tests \$82,478 1% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Portion Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Control Manua	Handling & Erection		14% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual
nsulation for ductwork \$57,677 1% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual \$57,677 1% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual \$510 Preparation (General Facilities) \$288,383 5% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Total Direct Installation Costs (TDIC) \$2,480,097 Total Capital Costs (TCC) \$8,247,763 Sum of TDCC and TDIC Indirect Costs Engineering included Vendor Estimate Engineering Estimate Engineering Estimate Engineering Estimate Construction and Field Expense \$412,388 5% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Contractor Fees \$824,776 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Performance Tests \$82,478 1% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Control Tests \$82,478 1% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Control Tests \$82,478 1% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Costs (TDIC) \$8,247,76 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Costs (TDIC) \$8,247,76 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Costs (TDIC) \$8,247,76 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Costs (TDIC) \$8,247,76 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Costs (TDIC) \$8,247,76 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Costs (TDIC) \$8,247,76 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Costs (TDIC) \$8,247,76 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Costs (TDIC) \$8,247,76 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Costs (TDIC) \$8,247,76 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Costs (TDIC) \$8,247,76 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Costs (TDIC) \$8,247,76 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Costs (TDIC) \$8,247,76 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Costs (TDIC) \$8,247,76 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Costs (TDIC) \$8,247,76 10% of Total Capital	Electrical	\$230,707	
Painting \$57,677 1% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Site Preparation (General Facilities) \$288,383 5% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Total Direct Installation Costs (TDIC) \$2,480,097 Total Capital Costs (TCC) \$8,247,763 Sum of TDCC and TDIC Indirect Costs Engineering included Vendor Estimate Engineering Est	Piping (Ammonia Injection Grid)		
Site Preparation (General Facilities) \$288,383 5% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Project Contingencies \$576,767 10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual Total Direct Installation Costs (TDIC) Total Capital Costs (TCC) \$8,247,763 Sum of TDCC and TDIC Indirect Costs Engineering included PSM/RMP Plan \$50,000 Engineering Estimate Construction and Field Expense \$412,388 5% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Performance Tests \$824,776 \$10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manual Total	nsulation for ductwork		
Total Direct Installation Costs (TDIC) \$2,480,097 Total Capital Costs (TCC) \$8,247,763 Sum of TDCC and TDIC Indirect Costs Engineering included Vendor Estimate PSM/RMP Plan \$50,000 Engineering Estimate Construction and Field Expense \$412,388 5% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Contractor Fees \$824,776 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Contractor Fees \$824,776 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Contractor Tests \$82,478 1% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Contractor Tests \$82,478 1% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Contractor Tests \$82,478 1% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Contractor Tests \$82,478 1% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Contractor Tests \$82,478 1% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man	Painting	-	
Total Direct Installation Costs (TDIC) \$2,480,097 Total Capital Costs (TCC) \$8,247,763 Sum of TDCC and TDIC Indirect Costs Engineering included Vendor Estimate PSM/RMP Plan \$50,000 Engineering Estimate Construction and Field Expense \$412,388 5% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Contractor Fees \$824,776 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Start-up \$164,955 2% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Performance Tests \$82,478 1% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man			
Total Capital Costs (TCC) \$8,247,763 Sum of TDCC and TDIC Indirect Costs Engineering included Vendor Estimate PSM/RMP Plan \$50,000 Engineering Estimate Construction and Field Expense \$412,388 5% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Contractor Fees \$824,776 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Performance Tests \$82,478 1% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Contractor Fees \$824,776 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Contractor Fees \$824,776 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Contractor Fees \$824,776 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Contractor Fees \$824,776 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Contractor Fees \$824,776 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man	Project Contingencies	\$576,767	10% of TDCC and RCC;OAQPS Cost Control Manual
Indirect Costs Engineering included Vendor Estimate PSM/RMP Plan \$50,000 Engineering Estimate Construction and Field Expense \$412,388 5% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Contractor Fees \$824,776 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Start-up \$164,955 2% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Performance Tests \$82,478 1% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man	Total Direct Installation Costs (TDIC)	\$2,480,097	
Engineering included Vendor Estimate PSM/RMP Plan \$50,000 Engineering Estimate Construction and Field Expense \$412,388 5% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Contractor Fees \$824,776 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Start-up \$164,955 2% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Performance Tests \$82,478 1% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man	Total Capital Costs (TCC)	\$8,247,763	Sum of TDCC and TDIC
PSM/RMP Plan \$50,000 Engineering Estimate Construction and Field Expense \$412,388 5% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Contractor Fees \$824,776 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Start-up \$164,955 2% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Performance Tests \$82,478 1% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man	Indirect Costs		
Construction and Field Expense \$412,388 5% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Contractor Fees \$824,776 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Start-up \$164,955 2% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Performance Tests \$82,478 1% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man			
Contractor Fees \$824,776 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Start-up \$164,955 2% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Performance Tests \$82,478 1% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man 10% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost			
Start-up \$164,955 2% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man Performance Tests \$82,478 1% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man	•		
Performance Tests \$82,478 1% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Man			·
	•		, , ,
otal Indirect Capital Cost (TInCC) \$1,534,597	'erformance Tests	\$82,478	1% of Total Capital Costs; OAQPS Cost Control Manua
	otal Indirect Capital Cost (TInCC)	\$1,534,597	

\$9,782,361 Sum of TCC and TInCC

Total Direct, Indirect and Capital

Costs (TDICC)

Table B-5. Annualized Cost for Selective Catalytic Reduction for General Electric Frame 7F Simple Cycle Operation Based on 5000 hr/yr gas firing only.

Cost Component	Costs	Basis of Cost Component
Direct Annual Costs		
Operating Personnel	\$21,840	28 hours/week at \$15/hr
Supervision	\$3,276	15% of Operating Personnel;OAQPS Cost Control Manual
Ammonia	\$77,500	\$500 per ton for Aqueous NH ₃ , 62 lb/hr, 3,390 hr/year
PSM/RMP Update		Engineering Estimate
Inventory Cost	\$9,519	Capital Recovery (9.44%) for 1/3 catalyst for SCR
Catalyst Cost	\$75,625	4 years catalyst life; Based on Vendor Budget Estimate
Contingency	\$6,383	3% of Direct Annual Costs
Total Direct Annual Costs (TDAC)	\$219,142	
Energy Costs		
Electrical (SCR and Cooling)	\$66,000	330kW/h for SCR system @ \$0.04/kWh, 3,390 hr/yr
MW Loss and Heat Rate Penalty	\$172,950	0.5% of MW output; EPA, 1993 (Page 6-20) ^a
Total Energy Costs (TEC)	\$238,950	
Indirect Annual Costs		
Overhead	\$0	0% of Operating/Supervision Labor and Ammonia
Property Taxes	\$0	0% of Total Capital Costs
Insurance	\$0	0% of Total Capital Costs
Annualized Total Direct Capital	\$923,455	9.44% Capital Recovery Factor of 7% over 20 years times sum of TDICC
Total Indirect Annual Costs (TIAC)	\$923,455	
Total Annualized Costs	\$1,381,547	Sum of TDAC, TEC and TIAC
Incremental Cost Effectiveness(9 to 3 ppmvd)	\$14,050	NO _x Reduction Only
	\$36,953	Net Emission Reduction

^a Alternative Control Techniques Document--NOx Emissions from Stationary Gas Turbines, Page 6-20.

Table B-6. Maximum Potential Incremental Emissions (TPY) with Selective Catalytic Reduction Based on 5000 hr/yr gas firing only.

Incremental Emissions (tons/year) of SCR						
Pollutants	Primary	Secondary	Total			
Particulate	5.24	0.21	5.45			
Sulfur Dioxide		0.08	0.08			
Nitrogen Oxides	-98.33	3.86	-94.48			
Carbon Monoxide		2.31	2.31			
Volatile Organic Compounds		0.15	0.15			
Ammonia	49.10					
Total:	-44.00	6.61	-37.39			
Carbon Dioxide (additional from gas firing)		3,664.20	3,664.20			

Basis:

Lost Energy (mmBtu/year) 57,856

Secondary Emissions (lb/mmBtu): Assumes natural gas firing in NO_x controlled steam unit.

Particulate	0.0072
Sulfur Dioxide	0.0027
Nitrogen Oxides w/LNB	0.1333
Carbon Monoxide	0.0800
Volatile Organic Compounds	0.0052

Reference: Table 1.4-1 and 1.4-2, AP-42, Version 2/98



E-MAIL COMMUNICATION

10:	
CC:	
FROM: PHONE: FAX:	
DATE:	June 10, 2003

SUBJECT: Frame 7FA SCR Systems

Based on you inquiry we propose to furnish six (6) Simple Cycle SCR systems for use with six (6) GE Frame 7FA combustion gas turbine generators for the budgetary selling price of \$27,500,000.00 FOB point of manufacture. Estimated shipping weight is approximately 10,500,000 lb. total. Based on the availability of material and present shop loading conditions delivery is estimated to be approximately one year after receipt of an order and complete release to purchase materials.

Our scope of supply includes the following components:

- Ductwork and catalyst housings
- Exhaust stack
- Ammonia injection grid (AIG)
- AIG piping
- · Ammonia/air dilution skid
- SCR catalyst
- Controls
- Walkways and ladders
- Fluid cooling system including:
 - · Exhaust gas cooler
 - Air cooled heat exchanger
 - Redundant circulating pumps
 - Expansion/storage tank
 - Interconnecting piping
 - Associated trim and trim piping

Warranted SCR catalyst life is three years based on combustion gas turbine operation of less than 3800 hours per year and operation on fuel oil of less than 720 hours per year. Expected catalyst life is four years under the aforementioned operating conditions. Replacement catalyst cost is \$250,000.00 per unit based on current pricing. Ammonia consumption is approximately 325 lb/hr based on 19% aqueous ammonia. Estimated electrical loads for operating the system are listed below.

Ambient Temp - F	20	59	100
Load, SCR Operating (Fuel Oil) – kW	215	330	385
Load, SCR not Operating (Natural Gas) - kW	140	255	310

We request the opportunity to work with you on this project. Please contact us if you need additional information.

Regards, David R. Logeais Sr. Product Manager

	PPPI (Producer Prices Paid Index) 1.	PPRI (Producer Prices Received Index) 1.	PPPI (Producer Prices Paid Index) 1.	PPRI (Producer Prices Received Index) 1.	CCI (ENR's Construction Cost Index) 2.	CPI (Consumer Price Index) 3.	Water Resource Discount Rate 4.	OMB 10Y A-76 Nominal Discount Rate 5.	
YEAR	1977 Index	1977 Index	1990-92 Index	1990-92 Index	1913 Index				
1908					97.00				
1909					91.00				
1910					96.00			_	
1911					93.00				
1912		ļ II			91.00				
1913					100.00	9.90			
1914		<u> </u>			89.00	10.00			
1915					93.00	10.10			
1916	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			130.00	10.90			
1917		<u>-</u>			181.00	12.80			
1918	<u> </u>				189.00	15.10			
1919	<u> </u>				198.00	17.30			
1920		ļ. I			251.00	20.00			
1921	<u> </u>	 	·	ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	202.00	17.90		J	
1922	<u> </u>	A /			174.00	16.80		l	
1923	<u> </u>	-W		\\/	214.00	17.10	<u> </u>		
1924	<u> </u>	₩		W	215.00	17.10	<u> </u>		
1925	<u> </u>	у .		.Y	207.00	17.50			
1926	<u> </u>				208.00	17.70			
1927	<u> </u>				206.00	17.40			
1928	<u> </u>				207.00	17.10			
1929	1				207.00	17.10			
1930	<u> </u>				203.00	16.70			
1931	<u> </u>				181.00	15.20	<u> </u>		
1932	1				157.00	13.70	<u> </u>		
1933	<u> </u>	-			170.00	13.00			
1934 1935					198.00	13.40			
1935					196.00 206.00	13.70 13.90			
1936					235.00	14.40			
1937	 	 			236.00	14.10	-		
1938	 	-		<u> </u>	236.00	13.90			
1939	1				242.00	14.00	ł		-
1940	_	-			258.00	14.70	<u> </u>		+
1941	-				276.00	16.30	I		
1942	l — —		l		290.00	17.30	5 ———		1
1943	 			_	299.00	17.60	_		<u> </u>
1944	3	-		I	308.00	18.00	. — — —		+
1946	_			-	346.00	19.50	1		÷ —
1947	- 1		-		413.00	22.30	1	-	· —

1948					461.00	24.10	<u> </u>		=	
1948					477.00	23.80				
									<u> </u>	
1950		· ···			510.00	24.10				
1951					543.00	26.00				
1952					569.00	26.50			1	
1953	44.50	54.00	05.57	07.04	600.00	26.70				
1954	44.50	54.00	25.57	37.24	628.00	26.90				
1955	43.50	51.00	25.00	35.17	660.00	26.80				
1956	43.50	50.00	25.00	34.48	692.00	27.20			<u> </u>	
1957	45.00	51.00	25.86	35.17	724.00	28.10	2.500			
1958	46.00	55.00	26.44	37.93	759.00	28.90	2.500			
1959	46.50	53.00	26.72	36.55	797.00	29.10	2.500		L	
1960	46.00	52.00	26.44	35.86	824.00	29.60	2.500			
1961	46.50	53.00	26.72	36.55	847.00	29.90	2.625			
1962	47.00	53.00	27.01	36.55	872.00	30.20	2.625		1	
1963	47.50	53.00	27.30	36.55	901.00	30.60	2.875			
1964	47.00	52.00	27.01	35.86	936.00	31.00	3.000			
1965	48.00	54.00	27.59	37.24	971.00	31 <u>.5</u> 0	3.125			
1966	49.50	58.00	28.45	40.00	1019.00	32.40	3.125			
1967	50.00	55.00	28.74	37.93	1074.00	33.40	3.125		<u> </u>	
1968	50.00	56.00	28.74	38.62	1155.00	34.80	3.250			
1969	52.00	59.00	29.89	40.69	1269.00	36.70	4.625			
1970	54.00	60.00	31.03	41.38	1381.00	38.80	4.875			
1971	56.50	62.00	32.47	42.76	1581.00	40.50	5.125			
1972	61.00	69.00	35.06	47.59	1753.00	41.80	5.375			
1973	73.00	98.00	41.95	67.59	1895.00	44.40	5.500			
1974	83.00	105.00	47.70	72.41	2020.00	49.30	5.625			
1975	91.00	101.00	52.30	69.66	2212.00	53.80	5.875			
1976	97.00	102.00	55.75	70.34	2401.00	56.90	6.125			
1977	100.00	100.00	57.47	68.97	2576.00	60.60	6.375			
1978	108.00	115.00	62.07	79.31	2776.00	65.20	6.625			
1979	125.00	132.00	71.84	91.03	3003.00	72.60	6.875	9.000		
1980	138.00	134.00	79.31	92.41	3237.00	82.40	7.125	10.600	3	
1981	148.00	138.00	85.06	95.17	3535.00	90.90	7.375	12.200		
1982	153.00	133.00	87.93	91.72	3825.00	96.50	7.625	13.300		
1983	152.00	135.00	87.36	93.10	4066.00	99.60	7.875	10.200		
1984	155.00	142.00	89.08	97.93	4146.00	103.90	8.125	10.300		
1985	151.00	128.00	86.78	88.28	4195.00	107.60	8.375	11.000		
1986	144.00	123.00	82.76	84.83	4295.00	109.60	8.625	8.900		
1987	147.00	127.00	84.48	87.59	4406.00	113.60	8.875	6.700		
1988	157.00	138.00	90.23	95.17	4519.00	118.30	8.625	8.000		
1989	167.00	147.00	95.98	101.38	4615.00	124.00	8.875	8.300		
1990	171.00	149.00	99.00	104.00	4732.00	130.70	8.875	7.700		
1991	174.00	145.00	100.00	100.00	4835.00	136.20	8.750	7.500		
1992	174.00	140.00	101.00	98.00	4985.00	140.30	8.500	7.000		
1993	181.00	143.00	104.00	101.00	5210.00	144.50	8.250	6.700	1	
1994	183.40	144.70	106.00	100.00	5408.00	148.20	8.000	5.700	1	
1995	201.70	147.60	109.00	102.00	5471.00	152.40	7.750	7.900		
1996	210.90	162.00	115.00	112.00	5620.00	156.90	7.625	5.600		

1997	216.40	154.77	118.00	107.00	5826.00	160.50	7.375	6.100	
1998	210.90	147.54	115.00	102.00	5920.00	163.00	7.125	5.900	
1999	210.90	137.41	115.00	95.00	6059.00	166.60	6.875	4.900	1
2000	220.07	138.86	120.00	96.00	6221.00	172.20	6.625	6.100	
2001	225.57	147.54	123.00	102.00	6334.00	177.07	6.375	5.400	
2002	227.41	141.75	124.00	98.00	6538.00	179.88	6.125	5.100	
2003	234.74	154.77	128.00	107.00	6694.64	183.96	5.875	4.200	
2004	243.91	160.55	133.00	111.00	7114.89	188.90	5.625	4.600	
2005	262.25	164.89	143.00	114.00	7445.98	195.30	5.375	4.600	
2006	271.42	175.02	148.00	121.00	7887.62	201.60	5.125	5.000	
2007	289.76	199.61	158.00	138.00	8089.45	207.342	4.875	5.000	
2008	308.10	216.96	168.00	150.00	8094.28	210.036	4.875	4.600	1.2091
Report Dates	Jan. 2008	Jan. 2008	Jan. 2008	Jan. 2008	Feb.2008	Dec, 2007	As of FY2008	For FY 2008	
Data Sources:									
		rs, ERS/NASS data							
http://usda.mannlib.d	ornell.edu/MannU	sda/viewDocumentIn	lo.do?documentl	D=1002					
<u> </u>									
		ction Cost Index Histo	<u> </u>						
http://www.enr.com/									
The ENR website on	ly provides the cur	rent month CCI. Hist	ory of CCI availa	ble to members.					
		L							
3. Consumer Price I									
nttp://inflationdata.co	m/inflation/Consul	mer Price Index/Cur	rentCPI.asp						1
4 Dian Farmula	ion Deta Fay Fad					_			
4. FY Plan Formula			hémi						<u> </u>
nttp://www.economic	S.nrcs.usga.gov/c	ost/priceindexes/rates	<u>senuni</u>						
5. OMB Circ. A-94 1	0-Year Nominal D	iscount Rate							
http://www.whitehou									
,	Song Stronnoron Cult								
Updated since 1997	by David Buland								
Update dates given		e column.							
		, 1996, Updated 2007	7						1
			_						

ATTACHMENT C UPDATED VISCREEN ANALYSIS

Figure 7-19 Level 2 Screening Analysis of Visual Effects due to the Project Firing Natural Gas Predicted at the Chassahowitzka NWA – Average of SSE and S WD Sectors (revised 07/08)

*** User-selected Screening Scenario Results ***
Input Emissions for

Particula	ates	18.00	$_{ m LB}$	/HR
NOx (as N	1 02)	129.10	$_{ m LB}$	/HR
Primary N	102	.00	$_{ m LB}$	/HR
Soot		.00	$_{ m LB}$	/HR
Primary S	504	3.28	$_{ m LB}$	/HR

Transport Scenario Specifications:

Background Ozone:	.04 ppm
Background Visual Range:	177.80 km
Source-Observer Distance:	28.00 km
Min. Source-Class I Distance:	28.00 km
Max. Source-Class I Distance:	46.00 km
Plume-Source-Observer Angle:	11.25 degrees

Stability: 4

Wind Speed: 4.00 m/s

RESULTS

Asterisks (*) indicate plume impacts that exceed screening criteria

Maximum Visual Impacts INSIDE Class I Area Screening Criteria ARE NOT Exceeded

					Del	ta E	Contrast		
					=========		=====		
Backgrnd	Theta	Azi	Distance	Alpha	Crit	Plume	Crit	Plume	
	=====	===		=====	====	=== =	====	=====	
SKY	10.	152.	46.0	16.	2.00	.319	.05	.003	
SKY	140.	152.	46.0	16.	2.00	.219	.05	004	

					Delta E		Con	trast
					=====	=====	=====	
Backgrnd	Theta	Azi	Distance	Alpha	Crit	Plume	Crit	Plume
=======	=====	===	======	=====	====	=====	====	=====
SKY	10.	0.	1.0	168.	2.00	3.102*	.05	.044
SKY	140.	0.	1.0	168.	2.00	1.443	`.05	041

Figure 7-20 Level 2 Screening Analysis of Visual Effects due to the Project Firing Fuel Oil Predicted at the Chassahowitzka NWA – Average of SSE and S WD Sectors (revised 07/08)

*** User-selected Screening Scenario Results ***
Input Emissions for

Particulates 34.00 LB /HR
NOx (as NO2) 693.70 LB /HR
Primary NO2 .00 LB /HR
Soot .00 LB /HR
Primary SO4 .98 LB /HR

Transport Scenario Specifications:

Background Ozone: .04 ppm
Background Visual Range: 177.80 km
Source-Observer Distance: 28.00 km
Min. Source-Class I Distance: 28.00 km
Max. Source-Class I Distance: 46.00 km
Plume-Source-Observer Angle: 11.25 degrees

Stability: 4

Wind Speed: 4.00 m/s

RESULTS

Asterisks (*) indicate plume impacts that exceed screening criteria

Maximum Visual Impacts INSIDE Class I Area Screening Criteria ARE NOT Exceeded

					Del	ta E	Con	Contrast		
					=====	======	=====	_=====	=	
Backgrnd	Theta	Azi	Distance	Alpha	Crit	Plume	Crit	Plume		
======	=====	===	=======		====	=====		====		
SKY	10.	152.	46.0	16.	2.00	1.692	.05	002		
SKY	140.	152.	46.0	16.	2.00	1.059	.05	012		

Maximum Visual Impacts OUTSIDE Class I Area Screening Criteria ARE Exceeded

					Delta E		Contrast	
					=====			======
Backgrnd	Theta	Azi	Distance	Alpha	Crit	Plume	Crit	Plume
	=====	===	=======	=====		=====	====	=====
SKY	10.	0.	1.0	168.	2.00	5.246*	.05	.061*
SKY	140.	0.	1.0	168.	2.00	2.089*	.05	054*

Note: The results with Theta equal to 10 degrees are unrealistic because the plume is assumed to be between the observer and the sun which is located at an angle of 10 degrees above the horizon in a direction to the southeast or southwest of the observer. In reality, such a sun angle and direction are not likely to occur for any given line of sight from the Class I area to the project. By limiting the southward extent of sun's location to the east-southeast or west-southwest directions and to a 10-degree angle above the horizon, the Delta E for the project is estimated to be less than the criterion of 2.0.

Figure 21. Level 2 Screening Analysis of Visual Effects due to the Project Firing Natural Gas Predicted at the Chassahowitzka NWA – SSE WD Sector Only

 $\,$ *** User-selected Screening Scenario Results *** Input Emissions for

Particulates	18.00	LB	/HR
NOx (as NO2)	129.10	LB	/HR
Primary NO2	.00	LB	/HR
Soot	.00	LB	/HR
Primary SO4	3.28	LB	/HR

Transport Scenario Specifications:

Background Ozone:	.04	ppm
Background Visual Range:	177.80	km
Source-Observer Distance:	28.00	km
Min. Source-Class I Distance:	28.00	km
Max. Source-Class I Distance:	46.00	km
Plume-Source-Observer Angle:	11.25	degrees

Stability: 4

Wind Speed: 6.00 m/s

RESULTS

Asterisks (*) indicate plume impacts that exceed screening criteria

Maximum Visual Impacts INSIDE Class I Area Screening Criteria ARE NOT Exceeded

					Delta E		Contrast	
					=====	=====	=====	======
Backgrnd	Theta	Azi	Distance	Alpha	Crit	Plume	Crit	Plume
=======	=====	===	=======	=====	====	=====	====	=====
SKY	10.	152.	46.0	16.	2.00	.213	.05	.002
SKY	140.	152.	46.0	16.	2.00	.146	.05	003

					Delța E		Contrast		
							=====	======	
Backgrnd	Theta	Azi	Distance	Alpha	Crit	Plume	Crit	Plume	
	=====	===	=======	====	====	=====	====	=====	
SKY	10.	0.	1.0	168.	2.00	2.380*	.05	.026	
SKY	140.	0.	1.0	168.	2.00	1.286	.05	031	

Figure 22. Level 2 Screening Analysis of Visual Effects due to the Project Firing Fuel Oil Predicted at the Chassahowitzka NWA – SSE WD Sector Only

*** User-selected Screening Scenario Results ***
Input Emissions for

Particulates 34.00 LB /HR
NOx (as NO2) 693.70 LB /HR
Primary NO2 .00 LB /HR
Soot .00 LB /HR
Primary SO4 .98 LB /HR

Transport Scenario Specifications:

Background Ozone: .04 ppm
Background Visual Range: 177.80 km
Source-Observer Distance: 28.00 km
Min. Source-Class I Distance: 28.00 km
Max. Source-Class I Distance: 46.00 km
Plume-Source-Observer Angle: 11.25 degrees

Stability: 4

Wind Speed: 6.00 m/s

RESULTS

Asterisks (*) indicate plume impacts that exceed screening criteria

Maximum Visual Impacts INSIDE Class I Area Screening Criteria ARE NOT Exceeded

					Delta E		Contrast	
					=====	=====	=====	_=====
Backgrnd	Theta	Azi	Distance	Alpha	Crit	Plume	Crit	Plume
=======	=====	===	======	=====	====	=====	====	=====
SKY	10.	152.	46.0	16.	2.00	1.139	.05	001
SKY	140.	152.	46.0	16.	2.00	.714	.05	008

					Delta E		Contrast	
					========		=====	======
Backgrnd	Theta	Azi	Distance	Alpha	Crit	Plume	Crit	Plume
=======	=====	===		=====	====	=====	====	=====
SKY	10.	0.	1.0	168.	2.00	3.906*	.05	.038
SKY	140.	0.	1.0	168.	2.00	1.744	.05	040

Figure 23. Level 2 Screening Analysis of Visual Effects due to the Project Firing Natural Gas Predicted at the Chassahowitzka NWA – South WD Sector Only

*** User-selected Screening Scenario Results ***
Input Emissions for

Particulate	es 18.00	$^{ m LB}$	/HR
NOx (as NO2	2) 129.10	$_{ m LB}$	/HR
Primary NO2	.00	LB	/HR
Soot	.00	LB	/HR
Primary SO4	3.28	T.B	/HR

PARTICLE CHARACTERISTICS

		Density	Diameter
			=======
Primary	Part.	2.5	6
Soot		2.0	1
Sulfate		1.5	4

Transport Scenario Specifications:

Background Ozone:	.04 ppm
Background Visual Range:	177.80 km
Source-Observer Distance:	35.00 km
Min. Source-Class I Distance	e: 35.00 km
Max. Source-Class I Distance	e: 36.00 km
Plume-Source-Observer Angle	: 11.25 degrees

Stability: 4

Wind Speed: 3.00 m/s

RESULTS

Asterisks (*) indicate plume impacts that exceed screening criteria

Maximum Visual Impacts INSIDE Class I Area Screening Criteria ARE NOT Exceeded

					Delta E		Contrast	
					========		*======	
Backgrnd	Theta	Azi	Distance	Alpha	Crit	Plume	Crit	Plume
=======	=====	===	=======	=====	====	=====	====	=====
SKY	10.	93.	36.0	76.	2.98	.183	.06	.002
SKY	140.	93.	36.0	76.	2.00	.170	.06	002

					Delta E		Contrast	
					=========		=====	=======
Backgrnd	Theta	Azi	Distance	Alpha	Crit	Plume	Crit	Plume
=======	=====	===	======	=====	====	=====	====	=====
SKY	10.	0.	1.0	168.	2.00	3.539*	.05	.054*
SKY	140.	0.	1.0	168.	2.00	1.263	.05	043

Figure 24. Level 2 Screening Analysis of Visual Effects due to the Project Firing Fuel Oil Predicted at the Chassahowitzka NWA – South WD Sector Only

*** User-selected Screening Scenario Results ***
Input Emissions for

Particulates 34.00 LB /HR
NOx (as NO2) 693.70 LB /HR
Primary NO2 .00 LB /HR
Soot .00 LB /HR
Primary SO4 .98 LB /HR

Transport Scenario Specifications:

Background Ozone: .04 ppm
Background Visual Range: 177.80 km
Source-Observer Distance: 35.00 km
Min. Source-Class I Distance: 35.00 km
Max. Source-Class I Distance: 36.00 km
Plume-Source-Observer Angle: 11.25 degrees

Stability: 4

Wind Speed: 3.00 m/s

RESULTS

Asterisks (*) indicate plume impacts that exceed screening criteria

Maximum Visual Impacts INSIDE Class I Area Screening Criteria ARE NOT Exceeded

					Delta E		Contrast			
					=======================================		=====	z========		
Backgrnd	Theta	Azi	Distance	Alpha	Crit	Plume	Crit	Plume		
=======	=====	===	=======	=====	====	=====	====	=====		
SKY	10.	93.	36.0	76.	2.98	1.245	.06	001		
SKY	140.	93.	36.0	76.	2.00	.825	.06	007		

						Delta E		Contrast		
						=====	=====	=========		
Back	grnd	Theta	Azi	Distance	Alpha	Crit	Plume	Crit	Plume	
====	====	=====	===	=======	=== = =	====	=====	====	~====	
SKY		10.	0.	1.0	168.	2.00	5.851*	.05	.072*	
SKY	•	140.	0.	1.0	168.	2.00	1.834	.05	058*	

TABLE 7-4
PLUME VISUAL IMPACT ANALYSIS - SCREENING LEVEL 2 - IDENTIFICATION OF WORSE-CASE METEOROLOGICAL CONDITIONS

Dispersion Conditions						Transport	Frequency of Occurrence (percent)			
			Dispersio	Dispersion Parameter Sigm		Time to		of Dispersion	Conditions	
	Stability	Wind Speed	Horizontal	Vertical (sigma Z (m))	x Wind Speed	Class 1 Area	7 a.m. 1	o I p.m.	1 p.m. 1	to 7 p.m.
Category	Name	(m/s)	(sigma Y (m))		(m³/s)	(hours)*	f⁵	cfb	f.p	cf*
Combined !	South-southeast to South W	/ind Direction Sector								
F	Moderately Stable	l	673.6	67.3	45,333	7.8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	Moderately Stable	2	673.6	67.3	90,667	3.9	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00
E	Slightly Stable	1	1011.5	124.1	125,473	7.8	0.02	0.03	0.15	0.16
F	Moderately Stable	3	673.6	67.3	136,000	2.6	0.02	0.05	0.08	0.23
E	Slightly Stable	2	1011.5	124.1	250,946	3.9	0.00	0.06	0.06	0.30
D	Neutral	1	1350.7	241.5	326,253	7.8	0.00	0.06	0.05	0.28
E	Slightly Stable	3	1011.5	124.1	376,419	2.6	0.00	0.06	0.05	0.33
E	Slightly Stable	4	1011.5	124.1	501,892	1.9	0.00	0.06	0.05	0.38
E	Slightly Stable	5	1011.5	124.1	627,365	1.6	0.00	0.06	0.17	0.55
D	Neutral	2	1350.7	241.5	652,506	3.9	0.14	0.20	0.08	0.63
D	Neutral	3	1350.7	241.5	978,758	2.6	0.31	0.51	0.22	0.85
D	Neutral	4	1350.7	241.5	1,305,011	1.9	0.31	0.82	0.22	1.07
D	Neutral	5	1350.7	241.5	1,631,264	1.6	0.31	1.13	0.22	1.29
	neast Wind Direction Sector		672.6	(7.2	46.333	,	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	Moderately Stable	l 2	673.6	67.3	45,333	7.8	0.00 0.03	0.00 0.03	0.00 0.01	0.00 0.01
F	Moderately Stable	2	673.6	67.3	90,667	3.9		0.03		0.01
E	Slightly Stable	1	1011.5	124.1	125,473	7.8	0.00 0.03	0.03	0.00 0.04	0.01
F	Moderately Stable	3 2	673.6	67.3	136,000	2.6	0.03	0.05		0.03
E D	Slightly Stable	2	1011.5	124.1	250,946	3.9 7.8	0.00	0.03	0.04 0.00	10.0
_	Neutral	3	1350.7	241.5	326,253			0.03	0.00	0.01
E	Slightly Stable	4	1011.5 1011.5	124.1 124.1	376,419 501,892	2.6 1.9	0.01 0.00	0.04	0.00	0.12
E	Slightly Stable	5			,		0.00	0.04		0.12
D	Slightly Stable	2	1011.5	124.1	627,365	1.6	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.12
_	Neutral	-	1350.7	241.5	652,506	3.9		0.14	0.06	
D D	Neutral	3 4	1350.7	241.5	978,758	2.6 1.9	0.24 0.24	0.37	0.10 0.10	0.28 0.38
D	Neutral Neutral	5	1350.7 1350.7	241.5 241.5	1,305,011 1,631,264	1.6	0.24	0.85	0.10	0.38
b	Neutral	,	1330.7	241.5	1,031,204	1.0	0.24	0.83	0.10	0.46
	d Direction Sector Only									
F	Moderately Stable	ı	673.6	67.3	45,333	7.8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	Moderately Stable	2	673.6	67.3	90,667	3.9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
E	Slightly Stable	1	1011.5	124.1	125,473	7.8	0.04	0.04	0.30	0.30
F	Moderately Stable	3	673.6	67.3	136,000	2.6	0.02	0.05	0.12	0.42
E	Slightly Stable	2	1011.5	124.1	250,946	3.9	0.00	0.05	0.09	0.51
D	Neutral	l l	1350.7	241.5	326,253	7.8	0.00	0.05	0.09	0.60
Е	Slightly Stable	3	1011.5	124.1	376,419	2.6	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.42
E	Slightly Stable	4	1011.5	124.1	501,892	1.9	0.00	0.05	0.09	0.51
E	Slightly Stable	5	1011.5	124.1	627,365	1.6	0.00	0.05	0.35	0.86
D	Neutral	2	1350.7	241.5	652,506	3.9	0.17	0.23	0.09	0.95
D	Neutral	3	1350.7	241.5	978,758	2.6	0.38	0.61	0.34	1.29
D	Neutral	4	1350.7	241.5	1,305,011	1.9	0.38	0.99	0.34	1.62
D	Neutral	5	1350.7	241.5	1,631,264	1.6	0.38	1.38	0.34	1.96

Proposed project location is approximately

^{28.0} km from closest boundary of Class I area.

^b f= frequency for given meteorological condition; cf= cumulative frequency up to and including condition.

E Based on surface meteorological data for 2001 to 2005 from the National Weather Service (NWS) station at the Tampa International Airport.

d Approximately 95 percent of the Chassahowitzka NWA is downwind of the proposed project with a south-southeast wind direction.