

1001 Broad Street P.O. Box 1050 Johnstown, PA 15907-1050

Writer's Direct Dial Number 814-533-8037

October 29, 2002

Via Facsimile and Overnight Mail

Mr. Scott M. Sheplak, P.E. Bureau of Air Regulation Florida Department of Environmental Protection 111 South Magnolia Drive, Suite 4 Tallahassee, FL 32301 RECEIVED

BUREAU OF AIR REGULATION

Re: Additional Comments on Title V Operating Permit for Osceola Station Draft Permit No. 0970071-004-AV, dated 08/26/02

Dear Mr. Sheplak:

Reliant Energy Osceola, L.L.C. (Reliant Energy) respectfully submits the following additional comments on the Department's draft Title V permit for the Osceola Generating Station, Permit No. 0970071-004-AV, dated 08/26/02.

Condition A.21, on page 13, of Section III sets forth the initial performance testing requirements of the combustion turbines. The condition includes a requirement to conduct testing after any modifications of air pollution control equipment "such as change or tuning of combustors." We object to the inclusion of combustor "tuning" as a trigger for conducting performance testing of the combustion turbines.

Combustor tuning is a necessary procedure and may be required at a regular frequency in order to maintain the units at optimum performance. Requiring performance testing after each tuning of the combustors would impose a substantial and unreasonable burden. Moreover, we understand that the Department's recently issued air construction permits have not required performance tests after combustor tuning and, instead, have recognized tuning in accordance with manufacturer's recommendations. Thus, consistent with the language of these recently issued permits, we request the following alternative wording for Condition A.21:

All performance tests required for initial start-up shall also be required after any substantial modifications (and shakedown period not to exceed 100 days after re-starting the CT) of air pollution control equipment such as a change of combustors.

Mr. Scott M. Sheplak October 29, 2002 Page 2

In addition, we request a revision of Condition A.5 on page 8 to the following (additional text is underscored):

Dry Low NO_x (DLN-2.6) combustors shall be installed on the stationary combustion turbine to control nitrogen oxides (NO_x) emissions while firing natural gas. The system shall be tuned in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations. The permittee shall provide at least five days advance notice prior to any tuning session.

These recommended revisions will allow Reliant Energy the flexibility to operate the turbines in a manner consistent with the manufacturer's recommendations and in accordance with good engineering practices while maintaining compliance with the specific pollutant emissions rates outlined in the operating permit. The revisions will not compromise the Department's ability to enforce and implement the conditions of the operating permit.

We appreciate the Department's consideration of these comments. If you have any questions, please contact either Amy Deese at 321-264-4589 or Timothy E. McKenzie at 814-533-8670.

Sincerely,

word J. Brisini / TEM Vincent J. Brisini

Environmental Manager

VJB/cms/TEM428R

Attachment

cc: T. E. McKenzie (w/attach)

A. H. Deese (w/attach)



1001 Broad Street P.O. Box 1050 Johnstown, PA 15907-1050

Writer's Direct Dial Number 814-533-8670

October 9, 2002

Via Overnight Mail

Mr. Scott M. Sheplak, P.E. Bureau of Air Regulation Department of Environmental Protection 111 South Magnolia Drive, Suite 4 Tallahassee, FL 32301 RECEIVED

OCT 10 2002

BUREAU OF AIR REGULATION

Re: Proof of Publication "Public Notice Of Intent To Issue Title V Air Operating Permit" Reliant Energy Osceola, LLC Draft Permit No. 0970071-004-AV

Dear Mr. Sheplak:

Reliant Energy Osceola, L.L.C. (Reliant Energy) respectfully submits the Proof of Publication, "Public Notice Of Intent To Issue Title V Air Operating Permit." The Public Notice was published in the Orlando Sentinel on September 30, 2002.

If you have any questions concerning this Proof of Publication, please contact either me.

Sincerely,

Timothy E. McKenzie

Senior Environmental Scientist

TEM/cms/TEM417R

Attachment

Orlando Sentinel

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Published Daily

State of Florida & s.s. COUNTY OF ORANGE

COUNTY OF GRANGE			œ
Before the undersigned authority personally appeared	BEVERLY	C.SIMM	SNS.
	_, who on o	ath says	ć
that he/she is the Legal Advertising Representative of Orlanewspaper published at _ORLANDO	indo Sentinel	l, a daily in	읶
	County	, Florida;	AIR
ORANGE that the attached copy of advertise ment being a ATUBLIC in the matter of	NOTICE	OF_I	
in the ORANGE		Court,	Q
was published in said newspaper in the issue; of _B9/30/[12		REGULATION
			ğ
Affiant further says that the said Orlando Sentinel is a ne	wspaper pub	lished at	
ORI ANDO		, in said	
ORANGE	County,	, Florida,	

and that the said newspaper has heretofore been continuously published in said ORANGF County, Florida, each Week Day and has been entered as second-class mail matter at the post office in ORL ANDO in said County, Florida, for a period of one year next preceding the first publication of the attached copy of advertisement; and affiant further says that he/she has neither paid nor promised any person, firm or corporation any discount, rebate, commission or refund for the purpose of securing this advertisement for publication in the said newspaper. 1st day of

who is personally known to me and who did take an oath.

(SEAL)

OFFICIAL NOTARY SEAL JULIA NICHOLS NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF FLORIDA COMMISSION NO. DD054311 MY COMMISSION EXP. SEPT 23,2005 OCT 10 2002

刀

The permitting authority will accept written comments concerning the posed DRAFT Permit issuance action for a period of 30 (thirty) days from the date of publication of this Notice. Written comments should be provided to the permitting authority's office and the permitting authority of the public notice of authors of the public notice of authority of the permitting authority for notice of authority of the authority of the permitting authority for notice of authority of

presiding officer upon the illing of a motion in compiliance with Rule 28-105,205.

Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.)

A petition that disputes the material facts on which the permitting authority's tan the following information:

(a) The name and address of each agency's file or identification number, if known;

(b) The name, address and elephone number of the petitioner, name address and telephone number of the petitioner's representative, if a considerable of the petitioner's substantial rights will be affected by the igency determination of how petitioner's substantial rights will be affected by the igency determination of the petition of the general considerable of the telephone of the petition must so state, and in the petition must so state, (e) A concise statement of the ultimate facts alleged, as well as the rules and statutes which entitle petition of the agency's proposed action; and,

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION OSCOIO CONTY.

The Department of Environmental Protection (permitting authority) gives notice of its intent to issue at the protection (permitting authority) gives notice of its intent to issue at the protection (permitting authority) gives notice of its intent to issue at the protection (permitting authority) gives notice of its intent to issue at the protection (permitting authority) gives notice of its intent to issue at the protection (permitting authority) gives notice of its intent to issue at the protection (permitting authority) gives notice of its intent to issue at the protection of the protection (permitting authority) gives notice of its intent to issue at the protection of the protection of the protection (permitting authority) gives notice of intent permitting authority in the conditions of the protection of the

Remitting Authority;
Department of Environmental Protection
Sureau of Air Regulation
11 S. Magnalia 2730
California 3730
Telephone: 850/48-0114
Fax: 850/27-6779

Telephone: 850/485-0114;
Fax: 850/272-6799.

Affected District and/or Local Program: 100 priment of Environment of Environment



1001 Broad Street P.O. Box 1050 Johnstown, PA 15907-1050

Writer's Direct Dial Number 814-533-8037

October 1, 2002

OVERNIGHT MAIL

Mr. Scott M. Sheplak, P.E. Bureau of Air Regulation Department of Environmental Protection 111 South Magnolia Drive, Suite 4 Tallahassee, FL 32301 RECEIVED

OCT 02 2002

BUREAU OF AIR REGULATION

Re: Comments on Title V Operating Permit for Osceola Station Draft Permit No. 0970071-004-AV, dated 08/26/02

Reliant Energy Osceola, L.L.C. (Reliant Energy) respectfully submits the following comments on the Department's draft Title V permit for the Osceola Generating Station, Permit No. 0970071-004-AV, dated 08/26/02. We appreciate the opportunity to comment on the draft Title V permit and value the excellent working relationship we have with the Department. The subject comments can be found on the attached pages.

We appreciate the Department's consideration of these comments. If you have any questions, please contact either Amy Deese at 321-264-4589 or Timothy E. McKenzie at 814-533-8670.

Sincerely,

Vincent J. Brisin

Environmental Manager

VJB/cms/TEM414R

Attachment

cc: T. E. McKenzie (w/ attach)

A.H. Deese (w/:attach)

Reliant Energy Comments on Draft Osceola Station Title V Operating Permit

We respectfully submit the following comments on the Department's draft Title V permit for the Osceola Generating Station, Permit No. 0970071-004-AV, dated 08/26/02.

General Comment

The draft Title V permit includes numerous Conditions regarding NSPS monitoring requirements that are not explicitly set out in the facility's PSD permit (PSD-FL-273). Several of these NSPS requirements are not directly applicable to Emission Units 001-003 because the PSD permit, consistent with U.S. EPA guidance, authorizes alternative monitoring methods (e.g., use of Acid Rain certified NOx CEMS, Custom Fuel Monitoring Schedule). To streamline the Title V permit and to eliminate possible confusion regarding these overlapping monitoring requirements, we request that the superfluous NSPS requirements (as identified in the comments below) be deleted from the permit in accordance with U.S. EPA guidance on streamlining Title V permits.

Comments on Section III: Emission Unit(s) and Conditions

1. Page 7 – Permitting note.

The last sentence of the "Permitting note" should be corrected to state: "Emission Units #001 and #002 began operation in 2001; Emission Unit #003 began operation in 2002."

2. Page 9 – Condition A.8

In the Visible Emissions paragraph, "%" should be added directly after "10."

3. Page 10 – Condition A.11

We understand that the provisions of this condition are part of the Department's rules but because the Department has not included this Condition in other Title V permits, we request that it be deleted to streamline the permit.

4. Page 11 – Condition A.15

As set forth in Specific Conditions A.28 and A.29, the facility's PSD permit authorizes alternative fuel monitoring to meet this NSPS Subpart GG requirement. To streamline the permit and to avoid confusion, we request that this Condition be deleted.

Alternatively, we request that this Condition cross-reference Specific Conditions A.28 and A.29 by adding at the end of thereof: "See Specific Conditions A.28 and A.29."

5. Page 12 – Condition A.17

Because the PSD permit requires a CEMS is used to determine compliance with the NOx emission limits, this Condition is unnecessary and should be deleted.

6. Page 12 – Condition A.18

Because a CEMS is used to demonstrate compliance with the NOx emissions limits, this Condition should be deleted in order to streamline the permit.

7. Page 12 – Condition A.19

This Condition sets out the requirement in 40 CFR 60.335(c)(2). Specific Condition A.14 provides that the requirement in 40 CFR 60.335(c)(2) is replaced by 40 CFR 75 Acid Rain certification tests of the NOx CEMS. To streamline the permit and to avoid confusion, we request that this Condition be deleted.

Alternatively, Specific Condition A.19 should be revised to cross reference Specific Condition A.14 by adding at the end of thereof: "See Specific Condition A.14."

8. Page 12 – Condition A.20

We request that this Condition be deleted because: 1) initial performance testing has been completed, 2) SO2 stack testing is not required, and 3) the PSD permit (Condition III.29) does not require Method 20 testing to determine annual compliance with the NOx limits.

9. Page 13 and 15 – Conditions A.24 and A.30

Condition A.24 and Condition A.30 both address visible emissions testing. In order to streamline the permit, we request that these conditions be combined.

Alternatively, Condition A.24 should cross-reference Condition A.30 by adding at the end thereof: "See Specific Condition A.30."

10. Page 13 through 15 – Conditions A.21, A.22, A.23, A.24, A.25, A.30

Each of these conditions refers to "initial performance tests." The initial performance tests have been completed. In order to streamline the permit we request that these conditions be rewritten to eliminate the reference to "initial" testing, as appropriate.

11. Page 14 – Condition A.26

This Condition sets out the 40 CFR 60.335(f) requirements regarding determination of fuel sulfur content. As established in draft permit Condition A.27, PSD permit FL-273 (Condition III.31) states that when determining compliance with the NSPS Subpart GG SO₂ standard, "the applicant is responsible for ensuring that the procedures in 40 CFR 60.335 or 40 CFR 75 are used when determination of fuel sulfur content is made" (emphasis added). Thus, the facility is not limited to the ASTM test methods identified in 40 CFR 60.335. To avoid confusion, we request that Condition A.26 be revised by adding at the end thereof a cross reference to Specific Condition A.27, as follows: "[See Specific Condition A.27, authorizing use of 40 CFR Part 75 procedures to determine sulfur content.]"

12. Page 14 – Condition A.29

By letter dated November 19, 1999, EPA approved a custom fuel monitoring schedule for Osceola based on the facility's use of a NOx CEMS. The EPA letter approves the NOx CEMS as an alternative to monitoring NOx excess emissions under NSPS Subpart GG using water-to-fuel injection rates and fuel nitrogen content. Consistent with that custom fuel monitoring schedule, EPA policy provides fuel oil nitrogen content monitoring is not required for NSPS Subpart GG units that use a NOx CEMS. See EPA Region 4 letter from R. Douglas Neely, Chief, Air and Radiation Technology Branch, to Region 4 State and Local Air Directors, Subject: Approval of Routine Alternative Testing and Monitoring Procedures for Combustion Turbines Regulated Under New Source Performance Standards (May 26, 2000) (recognizing that Florida DEP has the delegated authority to waive the Subpart GG requirement to collect and analyze oil samples for nitrogen content where a CEMS is used to monitor NOx excess emissions). Thus, to avoid confusion, we request that the following be added to the end of Condition A.29: "[Per EPA Region IV custom fuel monitoring schedule and EPA policy, nitrogen content analysis of fuel oil is waived due to NOx CEMS.]"

13. Page 18 – Condition A.39

In accordance with the wording of 40 CFR § 60.13(c), we request that the words "required under 40 CFR 60.8" be inserted after the word "test" in the first line of this condition. This change is necessary to clarify that a CEMS performance evaluation is only required during performance tests under § 60.8 and not for annual compliance tests required by the Title V permit.

14. Pages 18 – 19 – Conditions A.40, A.41, and A.42

The PSD permit (condition III.44) authorizes the use of 40 CFR Part 75 CEMS certification and CEMS quality assurance requirements as an alternative to NSPS CEMS requirements. To avoid confusion and to streamline the permit, we request that Specific Conditions A.40, A.41 and A.42 be deleted. In addition, PSD permit condition III.44 should be added to the Title V permit.

Alternatively, if these Conditions are not deleted, we request the following changes:

- a) Condition A.40 -- This Condition unnecessarily duplicates requirements identified in Specific Condition A.41(1). As such, this Condition A.40 should be deleted.
- b) Condition A.41 -- We request clarification of the reference to Condition A.41 (i.e., "see A.41") at the end of this Condition.
- c) Condition A.42(1) -- Subparagraph (1) should be deleted because the units do not use a continuous monitoring system for opacity.

15. Page 20 - Condition A.48

Specific Condition A.14 provides that the NOx CEMS shall be used in lieu of the water/fuel monitoring system for reporting excess emissions. To streamline the permit, we request that Condition A.48 be deleted. Alternatively, to avoid confusion, Specific Condition A.14 should be cross-referenced at the end of this Condition by adding the following: "See Specific Condition A.14."

16. Page 24 - Condition A.60

We request that this Condition and the attached Compliance Plan be deleted. Reliant Energy has timely satisfied all requirements in the Compliance Plan. As such, the Compliance Plan and this Condition are unnecessary and irrelevant to current compliance and, thus, should be deleted.

17. Table 1-1 Summary of Air Pollutant Standards and Terms

We request a correction to the NOx standard for gas combustion from 9 ppmvd to 10.5 ppmvd.

In addition, we request a correction to the TPY equivalent emissions for oil combustion for all pollutants. The TPY equivalent emissions should be corrected to the following values as given in Attachment 5 of the Title V permit application:

NOx	121.13	TPY
VOC	3.00	TPY
CO	26.25	TPY
PM/PM10	12.75	TPY
SO2	39.11	TPY

18. Table 2-1 Summary of Compliance Requirements

We request a correction to the Minimum Compliance Test Duration for CO. The correct duration is "three 1-hour tests."

Also, the Testing Time Frequency for SO2 is blank. A more appropriate entry for the table would be "Per Natural Gas Monitoring Plan."