State of Florida
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

For Ro And/Or T	outing To District Offices O Other Than The Addressee		
То:	Loctn.:		
	Loctn.:		
To:	Loctn.:		
From:	Date:		
Reply Optional []	Reply Required []	Info. Only []	
Date Due:	Date Due:		

TO: H. S. Oven

THRU: Clair Fancy

FROM: Ed Palagyi [-]

DATE: November 16, 1981

SUBJ: Orlando Utilities Commission (OUC)

The following comments are replies to the questions Mr. B. E. Shoup asked in his letter to you on October 29, 1981. The questions asked pertain to the BACT determination of August 28, 1981, for the Curtis H. Stanton Energy Center.

The replies are in numeric sequence with the questions asked.

1. The applicant (OUC) requests the BACT determination for unit No. 1 also apply to unit No. 2, subject to later re-evaluation. Unit No. 2 is scheduled to commence construction in July 1990.

The BACT determination to which the applicant refers is valid for Site Certification purposes only and, therefore, cannot be extended to include unit No. 2. The Site Certification Application covers unit No. 1 only.

In the federal PSD permit for units No. 1 and No. 2, a BACT determination for unit No. 2 will be made, subject to the phased construction provisions of 40 CFR 52.21(j)(4). This BACT determination will be included as part of the Department's Preliminary Determination regarding the application for a federal PSD permit (PSD-FL-084).

2. The date of receipt of the BACT application as indicated on Page 2 of the determination is the date the BACT coordinator received the information. This date does not affect any time schedule (clock) for site certification or permitting.

Page Three

3. The applicant has indicated a worst case coal analysis of 4.46% sulfur content with a heat content of 10,813 Btu per pound. The emission limit for SO₂ determined as BACT is 0.76 pounds per million Btu heat input. The applicant contends this SO₂ limit removes two coal sources from the 38 available for use. Based upon AP-42 emission factors, the SO₂ emission limit for the worst case coal would be 0.78 pound per million Btu.

The review group does not believe the difference of 0.02 lb/million Btu on a 30-day rolling average will result in the applicant being denied coal purchase flexibility. The SO₂ emission limit determined as BACT agrees with Department determinations for JEA and TECO.

4. The applicant objects to the CO emission limit of 0.05 pounds per million Btu on the basis that the actual CO emissions are currently unknown. The BACT review group recognizes that combustion control requirements must be a balanced trade-off between NO and CO emissions through the use of a flue gas oxygen monitor. The review group also points out that actual emissions for all the pollutants are currently unknown but are estimated from AP-42 and/or from actual similar source test results.

The BACT review group, therefore, recommended a CO emissions limit equal to a previous determination for JEA which is 0.05 lbs. CO per million Btu heat input.

- 5. This was a statement not requiring a response.
- 6. The applicant has requested the visible emissions (VE) limitation of 5% opacity for coal, limestone, and flyash handling systems be changed to 20% opacity. The 5% opacity or no visible emission limitation is attainable using available technology. The review group recommends the 5% opacity limit be retained.
 - 7. This was a statement not requiring a response.

EP:caa

ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP STIGN OUT DATE HALL BLOMMEL THOMAS HALL BARKER GEORGE MARSHALL MOTT-SMITH J. ROGERS PALAGYI STORMARYS WHAT GEORGE MARSHALL MOTT-SMITH STORMARYS STORMAR	DOUTING A	NO TO A NEWITT		ACTEDIO 100 #5-5	
BLOMMEL THOMAS MARTY HALL BARKER GEORGE MARSHALL MOTT-SMITH J. ROGERS PALAGYI STARNES MARSHALL MOTT-SMITH 11 ROGERS PALAGYI STORMAN STITUTE 11 STORMAN STITUTE 12 STORMAN STITUTE 12 STORMAN STITUTE 12 STORMAN STITUTE 13 STORMAN STITUTE 14 STORMAN STITUTE 15 STARNES MARTY 15 STORMAN STITUTE 15 STORMAN STITUTE 16 STORMAN STITUTE 17 STORMAN STITUTE 17 STORMAN STITUTE 18 STARNES MARTY 18 STARNES 18 STARNES MARTY 18 STARNES 18 STARNES MARTY 18 STORMAN STITUTE 18 STORMAN STITUT	KUUTING A	INC IKKINSMIII)//-5/ /	1-20 0
BLOMMEL THOMAS HALL BARKER GEORGE MARSHALL MOTT-SMITH J. ROGERS PALAGYI SOUTHWAS STRUCK EVENUE & STRUCK OUTPORTION SEVEN & SEVENO FREPARE CLIPTONIC FOR TOMAS STRUCK OUTPORTION SEVEN & SEVENO FREPARE CLIPTONIC FOR TOMAS STRUCK OUTPORTION SEVEN & SEVENO FREPARE CLIPTONIC FOR TOMAS STRUCK OUTPORTION CONCUSSIONE FOR TOMAS STRUCK FOR POSCESSIONE FOR PROCESSIONE FOR PROCESSION	KAHEL	FANCY			
J. ROGERS PALAGYI What actions EVENTURE 1 1919 EVENTU	BLOMMEL	THOMAS			
J. ROGERS PALAGYI What actions EVENT & SETURN OUTPOSITION ALVANT A SETURN ALVANT A SETURN PRIPARE ALSPONED POR MY TERMINATURE TOR TOWN SEGMATURE OUTPOSITION ALVANT A SEGMATURE POR MY TERMINATURE TOR TOWN SEGMATURE OUTPOSITION OUTPOSITIO	BARKER	GEORGE	,		
What actions Programmed a	J.ROGERS	PALAGYI			
		What as feedments feedments coordinate B. Down	ctions,	DISPOSITION ASTAGE AS PROPERTY AS PROPERT	RE DOWASO