RECEIVED

DEC 06 2006

BUREAU OF AIR REGULATION

REVISED CAM PLAN PAGES

9.4 Monitoring Approach

The monitoring approach is based on monitoring scrubber pressure drop and scrubber water flow rate. The monitoring approach is summarized in the table below:

Bryant Boiler No. 1	Indicator No. 1	Indicator No. 2				
Indicator	Pressure drop across the scrubber.	Total water flow rate to the scrubber.				
Measurement Approach	Pressure drop is monitored with a manometer or equivalent.	The scrubber water flow rate is measured using a flow meter.				
Indicator Range	An excursion is defined as any pressure drop below 5.4 inches H ₂ O. Excursions trigger an inspection, corrective action, and a recordkeeping and reporting requirement.	An excursion is defined as any water flow rate below 200 gpm. Excursions trigger an inspection, corrective action, and a recordkeeping and reporting requirement.				
Data Representativeness	The monitoring system consists of a manometer which measures the pressure drop across the scrubber. The minimum accuracy of the device is ±0.5 inches of water gauge pressure.	The scrubber water flow meter is located on the scrubber liquid supply line. The minimum accuracy of the device is ±5 percent of total water flow.				
Verification of Operational Status	NA	NA				
QA/QC Practices and Criteria	The manometer is maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations.	The flow meter is maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations.				
Monitoring Frequency	Pressure drop is monitored continuously.	Scrubber water flow rate is monitored continuously.				
Data Collection Procedures	Reading taken once every 8 hours and recorded in log.	Reading taken once every 8 hours and recorded in log.				
Averaging Period	NA	NA				

9.5 <u>Justification</u>

Both pressure drop across the scrubber and water flow rate to the scrubber are recognized parameters for controlling PM emissions with wet scrubbers. The pressure drop is a measure of the energy imparted to the gas stream and, therefore, the efficiency of the scrubbing process. The water flow rate is a measure of sufficient fresh scrubbing liquid being supplied to the scrubber.

Water delivery pressure is currently monitored, which provides an indication of plugging of the spray nozzles in the scrubber. However, scrubber water flow rate provides a more direct indicator of

adequate water supply to the scrubber. Therefore, water delivery pressure is not proposed as a parameter for CAM purposes.

U.S. Sugar has sufficient historic test data necessary to establish indicator values for pressure drop and total water flow rate to the Boiler No. 1 wet scrubber. The test data correlating the parameters to the PM emission levels are presented in Figures 9-1 through 9-2. Supporting information is contained in Appendix B.

The proposed parameter minimum values are based on 90 percent of the minimum parameter values recorded during the test runs, using the historic test data, when compliance was demonstrated with the PM limit. The calculations of the minimum parameter values are provided below:

Pressure Drop:

Minimum test run value = 6.0 inches H_2O

Minimum parameter value = $6.0 \times 0.9 = 5.4$ inches H₂O

Water Flow Rate:

Minimum test run value = 220 gpm

Minimum parameter value = $220 \times 0.9 = 198 \text{ gpm}$

Wet scrubber operating parameter values below these minimum parameter values are indicative of abnormal operation of the wet scrubbers. This methodology is consistent with the establishment of wet scrubber operating limits under 40 CFR 63, Subpart DDDDD, which are the Industrial Boiler/Process Heater MACT standards. Boiler No. 1 will be subject to these standards beginning in September 2007.

The CAM regulations generally require that pollutant-specific emissions units with the potential to emit greater than 100 TPY collect monitoring data at least four (4) times per hour. However, 40 CFR 64.3(b)(4)(ii) allows the permitting authority to approve a reduced data collection frequency, if appropriate, based on the data collection mechanisms available for a particular parameter.

U.S. Sugar has been recording scrubber parameters once every 8-hour shift, according to the current Title V permit conditions. Although U.S. Sugar has continuous pressure drop and water flow rate monitors in place, the mechanisms are not in place to continuously record the data and create hourly averages. It is, therefore, requested that the current recording frequency of once per 8-hour shift be retained.

12.4 Monitoring Approach

The monitoring approach is based on monitoring scrubber pressure drop and scrubber water flow rate. The monitoring approach is summarized in the table below:

Bryant Boiler No. 5	Indicator No. 1	Indicator No. 2				
Indicator	Pressure drop across each scrubber.	Total water flow rate to the scrubbers.				
Measurement Approach	Pressure drop is monitored with a manometer or equivalent.	The scrubber water flow rate is measured using a flow meter.				
Indicator Range	An excursion is defined as any pressure drop below 6.3 inches H ₂ O. Excursions trigger an inspection, corrective action, and a recordkeeping and reporting requirement.	An excursion is defined as any total water flow rate below 765 gpm. Excursions trigger an inspection, corrective action, and a recordkeeping and reporting requirement.				
Data Representativeness	The monitoring system consists of a manometer which measures the pressure drop across the scrubber. The minimum accuracy of the device is ±0.5 inches H ₂ O gauge pressure.	The scrubber water flow meter is located on the scrubber liquid supply line. The minimum accuracy of the device is ±5 percent of total water flow.				
Verification of Operational Status	NA	NA				
QA/QC Practices and Criteria	The manometer is maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations.	The flow meter is maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations.				
Monitoring Frequency	Pressure drop is monitored continuously.	Scrubber water flow rate is monitored continuously.				
Data Collection Procedures	Reading taken once every 8 hours and recorded in log.	Reading taken once every 8 hours and recorded in log.				
Averaging Period	NA	NA				

12.5 Justification

Both pressure drop across the scrubbers and water flow rate to the scrubbers are recognized parameters for controlling PM emissions with wet scrubbers. The pressure drop is a measure of the energy imparted to the gas stream and, therefore, the efficiency of the scrubbing process. The water flow rate is a measure of sufficient fresh scrubbing liquid being supplied to the scrubbers.

Water delivery pressure is currently monitored, which provides an indication of plugging of the spray nozzles in the scrubber. However, scrubber water flow rate provides a more direct indicator of

adequate water supply to the scrubber. Therefore, water delivery pressure is not proposed as a parameter for CAM purposes.

U.S. Sugar has historic test data to establish indicator values for pressure drop and total water flow rate to the Boiler No. 5 wet scrubbers. The test data correlating the parameters to the PM emission levels are presented in Figures 12-1 through 12-3. Supporting information is contained in Appendix B.

The proposed parameter minimum values are based on 90 percent of the minimum parameter values recorded during the test runs, using the historic test data, when compliance was demonstrated with the PM limit. The calculations of the minimum parameter values are provided below:

Pressure Drop: Minimum test run value = 7 inches H_2O

Minimum parameter value = $7 \times 0.9 = 6.3$ inches H_2O

Water Flow Rate: Minimum test run value = 850 gpm

Minimum parameter value = $850 \times 0.9 = 765 \text{ gpm}$

Wet scrubber operating parameter values below these minimum parameter values are indicative of abnormal operation of the wet scrubbers. This methodology is consistent with the establishment of wet scrubber operating limits under 40 CFR 63, Subpart DDDDD, which are the Industrial Boiler/Process Heater MACT standards. Boiler No. 5 will be subject to these standards beginning in September 2007.

The CAM regulations generally require that pollutant-specific emissions units with the potential to emit greater than 100 TPY collect monitoring data at least four times per hour. However, 40 CFR 64.3(b)(4)(ii) allows the permitting authority to approve a reduced data collection frequency, if appropriate, based on the data collection mechanisms available for a particular parameter.

U.S. Sugar has been recording scrubber parameters once every 8-hour shift, according to the current Title V permit conditions. Although U.S. Sugar has continuous pressure drop and water flow rate monitors in place, the mechanisms are not in place to continuously record the data and create hourly averages. It is, therefore, requested that the current recording frequency of once per 8-hour shift be retained.

13.4 Monitoring Approach

The monitoring approach is based on monitoring the two scrubbers' pressure drop. The monitoring approach is summarized in the table below:

Granular Carbon	I. P. A. DI. 1	Indicator No. 2				
Furnace Indicator	Indicator No. 1 Pressure drop across the venturi scrubber.	Pressure drop across the wet tray scrubber.				
Measurement Approach	Pressure drop is monitored with a manometer or equivalent.	Pressure drop is monitored with a manometer or equilvalent.				
Indicator Range	An excursion is defined as any pressure drop below 18 inches H ₂ O. Excursions trigger an inspection, corrective action, and a recordkeeping and reporting requirement.	An excursion is defined as any pressure drop below 5.6 inches H ₂ O. Excursions trigger an inspection, corrective action, and a recordkeeping and reporting requirement.				
Data Representativeness	The monitoring system consists of a manometer which measures the pressure drop across the scrubber. The minimum accuracy of the device is ±0.5 inches H ₂ O gauge pressure.	The monitoring system consists of a manometer which measures the pressure drop across the scrubber. The minimum accuracy of the device is ±0.5 inches H ₂ O gauge pressure.				
Verification of Operational Status	NA	NA				
QA/QC Practices and Criteria	The nanometer is maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations.	The nanometer is maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations.				
Monitoring Frequency	Pressure drop is monitored continuously.	Pressure drop is monitored continuously.				
Data Collection Procedures	Reading taken once every 8 hours and recorded in log.	Reading taken once every 8 hours and recorded in log.				
Averaging Period	NA	NA				

13.5 Justification

Pressure drop across the wet scrubber is a recognized parameter for controlling PM emissions with wet scrubbers. The pressure drop is a measure of the energy imparted to the gas stream and, therefore, the efficiency of the scrubbing process. The afterburner temperature is related to VOC destruction and not PM emissions. Therefore, this parameter is not proposed as a CAM indicator.

TABLE B-1

	BOILER PM EMISSIONS TESTS, CLEWISTON														
	Run	,	Test	Stack Gas	Stack Gas		Heat Input	Bagasse		vable nissions	Actua PM Emis	1	Avg.	Avg. Water	Avg. Pressure
Unit	Number	Boiler Type	Date	Flow Rate	Flow Rate	Steam Rate	Rate	Burning Rate 1	(EPA M	1	(EPA Met		Liquid Pressure	Flow	Drop
				(dscfm)	(acfm)	(lb/hr)	(MMBtu/hr)	(TPH)	tb/hr	lb/MMBtu	lb/hr	lb/MMBtu	(psig)	(gpm)	(in. H ₂ O)
Boiler 1	ı	Vibrating Grate	01/16/96	113,127	183,707	194,211	410.0	56.94	102.49	0.250	99.14	0.242			9.5
Boiler I	2	Vibrating Grate	01/16/96	117,058	187,835	202,025	426.0	. 59.17	106.50	0.250	64.43	0.151	,		9.3
Boiler I	3	Vibrating Grate	01/16/96	118,730	191,603	219,200	461.0	64.02	115.24	0.250	67.68	0.147			
Boiler I	1	Vibrating Grate	01/07/97	125,679	200,419	203,284	426.5	59.24	106.63	0.250	57.91	0.136			9.5
Boiler 1	2	Vibrating Grate	01/07/97	123,272	198,803	210,000	440.8	61.22	110.21	0.250	62.38	0.142			9.5
Boiler 1	3	Vibrating Grate	01/07/97	122,608	200,926	211,765	443.9	61.65	110.97	0.250	56.04	0.126			9.5
Boiler I	1	Vibrating Grate	01/08/98	148,591	223,239	193,433	404.9	56.24	101.24	0.250	39.25	0.097			9.8
Boiler I	2	Vibrating Grate	01/08/98	139,359	211,566	209,630	440.0	61.11	103.59	0.240	42.80	0.097			10.8
Boiler I	3	Vibrating Grate	01/08/98	141,780	215,994	204,507	430.3	59.76	103.60	0.240	54.89	0.128			10.0
Boiler 1	1	Vibrating Grate	12/08/00	116,457	185,495	193,151	406.5	56.46	99.11	0.244	78.60	0.193	67		9.0
Boiler 1	2	Vibrating Grate	12/08/00	117,435	189,657	198,261	419.3	58.23	101.82	0.243	69.20	0.165	62		7.0
Boiler I	3	Vibrating Grate	12/08/00	114,205	187,798	195,833	414.0	57.50	100.68	0.243	80.96	0.196	65		7.0
Boiler 1	i	Vibrating Grate	12/05/01	122,015	182,934	198,000	403.3	56.01	96.73	0.240	58.44	0.145			8.8
Boiler 1	2	Vibrating Grate	12/05/01	118,508	179,141	201,127	406.5	56.46	96.79	0.238	47.69	0.117			8.0
Boiler I	3	Vibrating Grate	12/05/01	118,063	177,096	205,588	416.0	57.78	99.18	0.238	51.10	0.123			7.5
Boiler 1	1	Vibrating Grate	11/20/02	139,322	201,193	192,329	386.2	53.64	92.96	0.241	63.82	0.165	91.6		10.5
Boiler 1	2	Vibrating Grate	11/20/02	132,473	194,240	197,391	398.7	55.37	95.88	0.240	81.67	0.205	94		10.2
Boiler 1	3	Vibrating Grate	11/20/02	139,170	200,673	193,333	412.8	57.33	98.68	0.239	70.70	0.171	94.8		10.3
Boiler I	ι	Vibrating Grate	11/14/03	147,286	202,987	196,709	409.0	56.81	102.26	0.250	49.17	0.120	75	56*	9.0
Boiler I	2	Vibrating Grate	11/14/03	152,860	210,916	197,813	414.8	57.61	103.69	0.250	84.77	0.204	75	57*	9.0
Boiler I	3	Vibrating Grate	11/14/03	155,202	215,710	204,000	412.2	57.24	103.04	0.250	83.72	0.203	75	56*	9.0
Boiler I	ı	Vibrating Grate	01/13/05	161,467	245,339	197,391	429.2	59.60	107.29	0.250	77.96	0.182	120	370	11.6
Boiler I	2	Vibrating Grate	01/13/05	164,310	250,264	186,835	402.0	55.83	100.50	0.250	76.50	0.190	120	364	11.5
Boiler I	3	Vibrating Grate	01/13/05	162,661	244,548	195,652	425.0	59.02	106.24	0.250	81.49	0.192	125	364	11.6
Boiler I	1	Vibrating Grate	12/16/05	135,375	215,916	174,000	362.1	50.28	90.51	0.250	120.04	0.332	140	372	12.0
Boiler 1	2	Vibrating Grate	12/16/05	136,281	216,285	179,143	376.3	52.26	94.07	0.250	61.55	0.164	140	387	12.0
Boiler 1	3	Vibrating Grate	12/16/05	137,233	212,492	177,568	370.9	51.51	92.71	0.250	48.20	0.130	140	367	12.0
* Not con	ridered to b	e representative of nor													

^{*} Not considered to be representative of normal operation.

TABLE B-1
BOILER PM EMISSIONS TESTS, CLEWISTON

	Run		Test	Stack Gas	Stack Gas		Heat Input	AISSIONS TESTS, CLE Bagasse	Allov	wable nissions	Actua PM Emis		Avg.	Avg. Water	Avg. Pressure
Unit	Number	Boiler Type	Date	Flow Rate (dscfm)	Flow Rate (acfm)	Steam Rate (lb/hr)	Rate (MMBtu/hr)	Burning Rate ^t (TPH)	(EPA M lb/hr	lethod 5) lb/MMBtu	(EPA Met lb/hr	hod 5) fb/MMBtu	Liquid Pressure (psig)	Flow (gpm)	Drop (in. H₂O)
Boiler 2	ì	Vibrating Grate	01/22/96	105,831	163,718	177,188	371.7	51.63	92.93	0.250	73.62	0.198			6.0
Boiler 2	2	Vibrating Grate	01/22/96	94,417	150,521	177,188	371.7	51.63	92.93	0.250	66.10	0.178	,		6.0
Boiler 2	3	Vibrating Grate	01/22/96	93,727	154,170	181,184	379.7	52.74	94.93	0.250	52.37	0.138			6.0
Boiler 2	1	Vibrating Grate	01/12/98	107,485	165,905	172,286	363.3	50.45	90.82	0.250	45.54	0.125			3.0*
Boiler 2	2	Vibrating Grate	01/12/98	106,311	165,445	173,824	366.9	50.96	91.72	0.250	48.70	0.133			3.0*
Boiler 2	3	Vibrating Grate	01/12/98	104,790	166,166	175,522	370.3	51.43	92.57	0.250	69.51	0.188			
Boiler 2	1	Vibrating Grate	01/13/98	126,475	198,634	201,739	425.1	59.03	101.08	0.240	71.72	0.169			8.5
Boiler 2	2	Vibrating Grate	01/13/98	122,422	195,643	202,059	426.2	59.19	106.55	0.250	71.59	0.168			8.5
Boiler 2	3	Vibrating Grate	01/13/98	125,162	197,964	202,388	427.0	59.31	101.42	0.240	98.31	0.230			8.5
Boiler 2	1	Vibrating Grate	12/12/00	113,638	186,994	169,459	364.4	. 50.61	87.57	0.240	47.53	0.130	67		8.5
Boiler 2	2	Vibrating Grate	12/12/00	108,878	181,681	174,167	373.3	51.84	88.14	0.236	60.87	0.163	61		8.2
Boiler 2	3	Vibrating Grate	12/12/00	107,998	181,348	163,714	350.3	48.65	81.96	0.234	77.50	0.221	68		8.7
Boiler 2	1	Vibrating Grate	12/12/01	141,555	214,981	212,055	435.1	60.43	103.50	0.238	112.59	0.259			9.3
Boiler 2	2	Vibrating Grate	12/12/01	125,108	187,343	182,535	374.2	51.97	93.55	0.250	73.38	0.196			
Boiler 2	3	Vibrating Grate	12/12/01	127,585	200,931	195,211	403.0	55.97	100.75	0.250	108.53	0.269			
Boiler 2	1	Vibrating Grate	12/17/02	135,626	203,449	173,239	354.6	49.25	88.64	0.250	64.49	0.182	91.8		7.1
Boiler 2	2	Vibrating Grate	12/17/02	133,618	201,955	174,167	356.6	49.53	89.16	0.250	65.36	0.183	. 90		7.1
Boiler 2	3	Vibrating Grate	12/17/02	134,529	201,199	189,851	389.0	54.03	97.26	0.250	67.82	0.174	80.6		6.3
Boiler 2	1	Vibrating Grate	11/18/03	125,842	196,117	183,478	387.5	53.82	96.88	0.250	88.89	0.229	51.2	75*	10.0
Boiler 2	2	Vibrating Grate	11/18/03	132,395	205,353	190,746	405.7	56.35	101.42	0.250	76.69	0.189	50.38	70*	9.0
Boiler 2	3	Vibrating Grate	11/18/03	123,840	199,614	192,537	407.4	56.58	101.84	0.250	72.78	0.179	45	65*	9.0
Boiler 2	ı	Vibrating Grate	11/12/04	153,146	235,990	189,565	399.1	55.43	95.26	0.239	88.69	0.222	123.6	113*	9.5
Boiler 2	2	Vibrating Grate	11/12/04	150,689	235,118	198,000	417.9	58.05	102.27	0.245	72.18	0.173	130	123*	9.1
Boiler 2	3	Vibrating Grate	11/17/04	174,817	260,767	197,838	424.1	58.91	101.25	0.239	26.34	-0.062			
Boiler 2	1	Vibrating Grate	12/14/05	116,370	174,405	183,478	383.2	53.22	85.21	0.222	77.93	0.203	115	354	12.0
Boiler 2	2	Vibrating Grate	12/14/05	140,607	219,765	170,000	354.5	49.24	88.62	0.250	63.04	0.178	115	354	12.0
Boiler 2	3	Vibrating Grate	12/14/05	137,722	214,970	177,500	371.4	51.58	92.84	0.241	64.10	0.173	115	353	12.0

^{*} Not considered to be representative of normal operation.

TABLE B-1
BOILER PM EMISSIONS TESTS, CLEWISTON

Unit	Run Number	Boiler Type	Test Date	Stack Gas Flow Rate	Stack Gas Flow Rate	Steam Rate	Heat Input Rate	Bagasse Burning Rate ¹	PM En	wable nissions lethod 5)	Actu: PM Emis (EPA Met	ssions	Avg. Liquid Pressure	Avg. Water Flow	Avg. Pressure Drop
				(dscfm)	(acfm)	(lb/hr)	(MMBtu/hr)	(ТРН)	lb/hr	lb/MMBtu	łb/hr	lb/MMBtu	(psig)	(gpm)	(in. H ₂ O)
Boiler 4	1	Traveling Grate	02/23/94	134,590	215,068	283,043	616.9	85.68	92.54	0.150	81.72	0.132	40.5	428	
Boiler 4	2	Traveling Grate	02/23/94	136,057	218,507	290,769	633.1	87.94	94.97	0.150	73.42	0.116	40.6	430	ļ
Boiler 4	3	Traveling Grate	02/23/94	132,839	216,547	284,308	618.0	85.83	92.70	0.150	93.94	0.152	41.2	433	
Boiler 4	1	Traveling Grate	12/30/94	152,950	222,172	288,750	626.8	87.06	94.02	0.150	88.74	0.142	50	492	10.0
Boiler 4	2	Traveling Grate	12/30/94	142,730	220,121	280,986	609.4	84.64	91.41	0.150	70.23	0.115	50	492	10.0
Boiler 4	3	Traveling Grate	12/30/94	144,948	225,530	281,918	614.3	85.32	92.15	0.150	73.08	0.119	50	492	10.0
Boiler 4	1	Traveling Grate	12/22/95	147,476	227,747	290,548	617.5	85.76	92.62	0.150	59.28	0.096	53	300	9.5
Boiler 4	2	Traveling Grate	12/22/95	143,821	222,383	280,946	597.7	83.01	89.65	0.150	63.06	0.106	54	300	9.5
Boiler 4	3	Traveling Grate	12/22/95	145,645	221,056	291,200	617.4	85.75	92.61	0.150	52.29	0.085	55	300	9.5
Boiler 4	1	Traveling Grate	12/17/96	154,554	236,304	289,909	608.8	84.56	91.32	0.150	67.58	0.111	48	245	9.5
Boiler 4	2	Traveling Grate	12/17/96	159,316	241,659	291,818	610.9	84.85	91.64	0.150	70.56	0.116	48	245	9.5
Boiler 4	3	Traveling Grate	12/17/96	156,697	239,434	286,462	601.1	83.49	90.17	0.150	61.82	0.103	48	245	9.5
Boiler 4	1	Traveling Grate	01/05/00	136,759	210,179	238,378	509.0	70.69	73.93	0.145	66.45	0.131		380	8.5
Boiler 4	2	Traveling Grate	01/05/00	136,322	209,218	241,644	514.5	71.46	75.28	0.146	64.16	0.125		390	9.0 .
Boiler 4	3	Traveling Grate	01/05/00	135,432	208,934	236,800	504.8	70.11	73.99	0.147	55.95	0.111		420	8.5
Boiler 4	1	Traveling Grate	11/17/00	161,372	248,028	258,400	558.2	77.53	83.72	0.150	50.40	0.090	66.4	384	10.2
Boiler 4	2	Traveling Grate	11/17/00	160,074	248,560	256,667	554.7	77.04	83.21	0.150	60.47	0.109	66.4	385	9.6
Boiler 4	3	Traveling Grate	11/17/00	161,936	249,043	262,192	566.9	78.74	85.03	0.150	51.23	0.090			9.3
Boiler 4	1	Traveling Grate	01/23/02	158,108	238,305	255,882	549.8	76.37	82.48	0.150	48.91	0.089	52	477	12.7
Boiler 4	2	Traveling Grate	01/23/02	151,705	231,241	257,647	555.6	77.17	83.34	0.150	32.17	0.058	53	482	10.7
Boiler 4	3	Traveling Grate	01/23/02	155,993	236,906	260,294	561.3	77.96	84.20	0.150	34.81	0.062	67	544	9.5
Boiler 4	i	Traveling Grate	12/18/02	167,367	250,551	272,000	600.4	83.39	90.06	0.150	66.32	0.110	64	533	15.5
Boiler 4	2	Traveling Grate	12/18/02	164,949	247,408	272,000	599.9	83.32	89.98	0.150	57.41	0.096	62.2	534	14.2
Boiler 4	3	Traveling Grate	12/18/02	161,294	241,460	274,783	601.7	83.57	90.26	0.150	54.65	0.091	62.8	537	16.5
Boiler 4	4	Traveling Grate	12/19/02	163,340	245,494	284,250	627.4	87.13					64.5	491	13.2
Boiler 4	ı	Traveling Grate	11/21/03	184,631	280,071	265,479	579.9	80.54	86.98	0.150	84.74	0.146	51.02	359	22.5
Boiler 4	2	Traveling Grate	11/21/03	187,732	272,428	264,167	576.9	80.12	86.53	0.150	72.85	0.126	45.84	406	22.4
Boiler 4	3	Traveling Grate	11/21/03	179,768	261,129	260,000	567.1	78.77	85.07	0.150	61.34	0.108	55.38	409	22.4
Boiler 4	ì	Traveling Grate	11/24/04	164,581	254,686	267,115	588.5	81.73	88.27	0.150	71.68	0.122	72.86	493	11.0
Boiler 4	2	Traveling Grate	11/24/04	165,619	262,011	259,737	572.2	79.47	85.83	0.150	74.10	0.130	71.67	492	11.0
Boiler 4	3	Traveling Grate	11/24/04	165,111	263,455	246,923	542.8	75.39	81.42	0.150	79.60	0.147	72.4	490	11.0
Boiler 4	4	Traveling Grate	11/24/04	166,378	265,717	254,526	558.2	77.53	83.73	0.150	74.71	0.134	70.67	419	11.0
Boiler 4	1	Traveling Grate	02/10/05	156,977	228,241	237,600	515.1	71.54	77.26	0.150	58.57	0.114	78.6	611	11.0
Boiler 4	2	Traveling Grate	02/10/05	158,258	233,152	239,178	516.5	71.73	77.47	0.150	59.15	0.115	80.2	623	10.9
Boiler 4	3	Traveling Grate	02/10/05	161,994	235,662	230,649	500.5	69.52	75.08	0.150	53.51	0.107	78.6	623	11.0
Boiler 4	1	Traveling Grate	01/13/06	127,859	203,260	229,014	478.3	66.43	71.75	0.150	53.96	0.113	50	356	9.9
Boiler 4		Traveling Grate	01/13/06	123,326	198,482	244,225	510.4	70.88	76.55	0.150	34.27	0.067	51	360	10.0
Boiler 4	 	Traveling Grate	01/13/06	122,129	196,063	236,522	498.0	69.16	74.70	0.150	48.24	0.097	51.4	361	10.0

TABLE B-1 BOILER PM EMISSIONS TESTS, CLEWISTON

Unit	Run Number	Boiler Type	Test Date	Stack Gas Flow Rate	Stack Gas Flow Rate	Steam Rate	Heat Input Rate	Bagasse Burning Rate ¹	Allowable PM Emissions (EPA Method 5)		Actual PM Emissions (EPA Method 5)		Total Power Input
				(dscfm)	(acfm)	(lb/hr)	(MMBtu/hr)	(TPH)	lb/hr	lb/MMBtu	lb/ħr	lb/MMBtu	(kW)
Boiler 7	1	Spreader-Stoker Vibrating Grate	02/04/05	165,392	296,331	232,174	494.28	68.65	14.83	0.030	11.57	0.023	49.32
Boiler 7	2	Spreader-Stoker Vibrating Grate	02/04/05	161,579	296,174	228,000	487.84	67.76	14.64	0.030	6.84	0.014	55.14
Boiler 7	3	Spreader-Stoker Vibrating Grate	02/04/05	159,426	285,860	223,099	475.52	66.04	14.27	0.030	13.03	0.027	70.01
Boiler 7	1	Spreader-Stoker Vibrating Grate	01/05/06	184,525	318,378	318,300	659:85	91.65	19.80	0.030	13.47	0.020	60.1
Boiler 7	2	Spreader-Stoker Vibrating Grate	01/05/06	178,105	315,125	348,674	721.46	100.20	21.64	0.030	9.96	0.014	63.9
Boiler 7	3	Spreader-Stoker Vibrating Grate	01/05/06	173,265	306,013	349,209	720.61	100.08	21.62	0.030	8.77	0.012	67.9

Notes:

lb/hr = pounds per hour.

lb/MMBtu = pounds per million British thermal units.

lb/ton = pounds per ton.

MMBtu/hr = million British thermal units per hour.

TPH = tons per hour.

Footnotes:

Assumed 3,600 Btu/lb average heat content for wet bagasse, except where noted.

REVISED BOILER NO. 8 PAGES

EMISSIONS UNIT INFORMATION Section [5] Boiler No. 8

POLLUTANT DETAIL INFORMATION

Page [4] of [9]

Nitrogen Oxides - NO_x

F1. EMISSIONS UNIT POLLUTANT DETAIL INFORMATION – POTENTIAL/ESTIMATED FUGITIVE EMISSIONS

(Optional for unregulated emissions units.)

Potential/Estimated Fugitive Emissions

Complete for each pollutant identified in Subsection E if applying for an air construction permit or concurrent processing of an air construction permit and a revised or renewal Title V permit. Complete for each emissions-limited pollutant identified in Subsection E if applying for an air operation permit.

Pollutant Emitted: NO _x	2. Total Percent Efficiency of Control:							
3. Potential Emissions:	4. Synthetically Limited?							
309.0 lb/hour 473.7	tons/year	⊠ Ye	es 🗌 No					
5. Range of Estimated Fugitive Emissions (as applicable): to tons/year								
6. Emission Factor: 0.14 lb/MMBtu, 30-day roll Reference: Permit No. 0510003-030-A	-,	7. Emissions Method Code: 0						
8.a. Baseline Actual Emissions (if required):	8.b. Baseline		Period:					
tons/year		24-monur fo:	i chod.					
9.a. Projected Actual Emissions (if required): tons/year	9.b. Projected Monitoring Period: ☐ 5 years ☐ 10 years							
10. Calculation of Emissions: Maximum hourly rate: 1,030 MMBtu/hr x 0. Annual: 6,767,100 MMBtu/yr x 0.14 lb/MME								
11. Potential Fugitive and Actual Emissions Co. Maximum hourly rate represents worst-cas average is 30-day rolling average limit, bas 333B.	e uncontrolled							

EMISSIONS UNIT INFORMATION Section [5] Boiler No. 8

POLLUTANT DETAIL INFORMATION
Page [5] of [9]
Carbon Monoxide - CO

F1. EMISSIONS UNIT POLLUTANT DETAIL INFORMATION – POTENTIAL/ESTIMATED FUGITIVE EMISSIONS

(Optional for unregulated emissions units.)

Potential/Estimated Fugitive Emissions

Complete for each pollutant identified in Subsection E if applying for an air construction permit or concurrent processing of an air construction permit and a revised or renewal Title V permit. Complete for each emissions-limited pollutant identified in Subsection E if applying for an air operation permit.

applying for an air operation permit.			·				
1. Pollutant Emitted:	2. Total Percent Efficiency of Control:						
СО							
3. Potential Emissions:	4		etically Limited?				
6,695 lb/hour 1,285	tons/year	⊠ Ye	s No				
5. Range of Estimated Fugitive Emissions (as	applicable):		·				
to tons/year							
6. Emission Factor: 400 ppmvd @ 7% O ₂ , 30-c	lay rolling.		7. Emissions				
•			Method Code:				
Reference: 40 CFR 63, Subpart DDDI	DD		0				
8.a. Baseline Actual Emissions (if required):	8.b. Baseline 24	4-month	Period:				
tons/year	From: To) :					
9.a. Projected Actual Emissions (if required):	9.b. Projected N	Monitorir	ng Period:				
tons/year	☐ 5 years ☐ 10 years						
10. Calculation of Emissions:							
Maximum hourly rate: 1,030 MMBtu/hr x 6.5	lb/MMBtu = 6.695	lb/hr					
30-day rolling average based on 40 CFR 63,	Subpart DDDDD:						
400 ppmvd @ 7-percent O ₂ x 225,000 dsc) ₂ x 60 mi	in/hr x 2,116.8 lb _f /ft ²				
÷ (1,545.6/28) ft-lb _t /lb _m -°R ÷ 528°R = 392.2 Annual based on 30-day rolling average: 39		r/vr ÷ 2 0	00 lb/ton =				
1,717.8 TPY		., ,, .	00,10,10,11				
Annual limit based on PSD-FL-333B: 0.38 lb			average)				
6,767,100 MMBtu/yr x 0.38 lb/MMBtu ÷ 2,000	lb/ton = 1,285 IPY	ſ	<i>:</i>				
11. Potential Fugitive and Actual Emissions Co	mment:						
	Annual limit based on 12-month rolling average, based on						
Permit No. 0510003-030-AC/PSD-FL-333B.	Permit No. 0510003-030-AC/PSD-FL-333B.						