



FPL

**Lauderdale
Repowering
Project**

**Site
Certification
Application**

Volume 2

**Chapter 10
Appendices**

Submitted By:

FPL
an FPL Group Company

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Page 1 of 1)

10.0 APPENDICES

10.1 FEDERAL PERMIT APPLICATIONS OR APPROVALS

- 10.1.1 316 Demonstrations
- 10.1.2 NPDES Permit Application
- 10.1.3 Hazardous Waste Disposal Application/Permit
- 10.1.4 Section 404 Application/Permit
- 10.1.5 Air Permit Application
- 10.1.6 Coastal Zone Management Certification
- 10.1.7 Notice of Proposed Construction or Alteration
(FAA Form 7460-1)

10.2 ZONING DESCRIPTIONS

10.3 LAND USE PLAN DESCRIPTIONS

10.4 EXISTING PERMITS

10.5 MONITORING PROGRAMS

- 10.5.1 Air Quality
- 10.5.2 Surface Water
- 10.5.3 Geotechnical
- 10.5.4 Aquatic Ecology
- 10.5.5 Socioeconomics
- 10.5.6 Noise

10.6 NEED PETITION

10.7 DRAINAGE AND RUNOFF CALCULATIONS

APPENDIX 10.1
FEDERAL PERMIT APPLICATIONS
OR APPROVALS

APPENDIX 10.1.1
316 DEMONSTRATIONS

10.1.1 316 A AND B DEMONSTRATIONS (EPA NPDES PERMIT INCLUDED)

Biological studies were performed to support the original permit. These studies are discussed in Section 2.3.6 under Aquatic Ecology. From these studies and EPA field inspection, it was concluded that a 316(a) thermal variance was not required because the Florida Water Quality Standards were not violated and the intake structure reflects best available technology to minimize impacts. Additional aquatic ecology studies were performed to supplement the previous studies. The results of these investigations support the conclusion of the original EPA permit (see Sections 2.3.6 and 5.1.2). The EPA NPDES permit is included in the appendix.



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION IV

345 COURTLAND STREET
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30365

MAR 31 1987

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

RECEIVED

APR 06 1987

REF: 4WM-FP

Mr. T.R. Fair
Environmental Permitting and Programs
Florida Power and Light Company
P.O. Box 14000
Juno Beach, Florida 33408

MANAGER
PERMITTING & PROGRAMS

RE: Florida Power and Light Company
Lauderdale Power Plant
NPDES No. FL0001503

Dear Mr. Fair:

Enclosed is the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit for the above referenced facility. This action constitutes the Environmental Protection Agency's final permit decision in accordance with 40 CFR 124.15(a). Any person may contest this decision by submitting a timely request for a hearing to the Regional Administrator under 40 CFR 124.74 or 124.114.


The permit will be effective as specified in the permit, provided that no request for a hearing is granted by the Agency under 40 C.F.R. 124.75 or 124.114. In the event that such a request is granted:

- o For discharge(s) authorized by an NPDES permit, at the time this permit application was filed, the force and effect of the contested provision(s) of this permit will be stayed, and all provision(s) of the previous NPDES permit as well as all uncontested provision(s) of this permit shall be fully enforceable and effective until the administrative review process is completed, as provided by 40 CFR 124.16 and 124.60.
- o For discharge(s) not authorized by an NPDES permit, at the time this permit application was filed, the Agency's granting of a hearing (requested by you or any other person) will result in a withdrawal of your authorization to commence such discharge(s) until the administrative review process is completed. In other words, there will not be an NPDES permit authorizing the discharge(s) and if such a discharge(s) occurs, the discharge(s) will constitute a violation of Section 301 of the Clean Water Act, (33 U.S.C. 1311) for which there is civil and/or criminal liability.

If you wish to request a hearing under 40 CFR 124.74 or 124.114 you must submit a request (an original and two copies) to the Regional Hearing Clerk within thirty (30) days from the receipt of this letter. The request will be timely if mailed by certified mail within the thirty (30) day time period. For the request to be valid, it must conform to the requirements of 40 CFR 124.74. A copy of the requirements of 40 CFR 124.74 is attached.

Information on procedures pertaining to the filing of a hearing request or other legal matters may be obtained by contacting Ms. Jacqueline Colson, Assistant Regional Counsel, at (404) 347-3506.

Sincerely yours,


Bruce R. Barrett, Director
Water Management Division

Enclosures (3): Hearing Request Requirements
Final NPDES Permit
Amendment to Fact Sheet or Statement of Basis

cc: Florida Department of Environmental Regulation (with Final Permit & Amendment)



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION IV

345 COURTLAND STREET
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30365

AUTHORIZATION TO DISCHARGE UNDER THE
NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM

In compliance with the provisions of the Clean Water Act, as amended (33 U.S.C. 1251 et. seq; the "Act"),

Florida Power and Light Company
P.O. Box 14000
Juno Beach, Florida 33408

is authorized to discharge from a facility located at

Lauderdale Plant
SW 42 St., 2 miles West off Ravenswood
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33314

to receiving waters named


Dania Cutoff Canal & South Fork New River (arter cooling canal/pond residence)

in accordance with effluent limitations, monitoring requirements and other conditions set forth herein. The permit consists of this cover sheet, Part I 2 page(s), Part II 15 page(s), Part III 1 page(s), Attachments 1 and 2.

This permit shall become effective on May 1, 1987.

This permit and the authorization to discharge shall expire at midnight April 30, 1992.

March 31, 1987
Date Signed


Bruce R. Barrett, Director
Water Management Division

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

1. During the period beginning on the effective date of this permit and lasting through expiration of this permit, the permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) serial number(s) 001 - Combined discharge of once through cooling water from Unit No. 4 and 5 and auxiliary equipment cooling water to South Fork of New River (after cooling canal/pond residence).

Such discharges shall be limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

<u>Effluent Characteristic</u>	<u>Discharge Limitations</u>		<u>Monitoring Requirements</u>	
	<u>30 Day Average</u>	<u>Instantaneous Maximum</u>	<u>Measurement Frequency</u>	<u>Sample Type</u>
Flow, m ³ /day (MGD)	-	-	Daily	Recorder or pump logs
Discharge Temperature, °C(°F)	-	N/A	Daily	Plant records
Intake Temperature, °C(°F)	-	N/A	Daily	Plant records
Temperature Rise, °C(°F)	7.3(13.2)	N/A	6/Day	Recorders or Indicators

There shall be no discharge of free available chlorine nor total residual chlorine.

There shall be no discharge of floating solids or visible foam in other than trace amounts.

Samples taken in compliance with the monitoring requirements specified above shall be taken at the outlet corresponding to an individual unit prior to mixing with other waste streams.

2. During the period beginning on the effective date of this permit and lasting through expiration of this permit, the permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall serial number 004 - intake screen wash water to Dania Cut-off Canal.

Discharge of intake screen wash water is permitted without limitations or monitoring requirements.

There shall be no discharge of floating solids or visible foam in other than trace amounts.

10.1.1-5

3. During the period beginning on the effective date of this permit and lasting through expiration of this permit, the permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) serial number(s) 005 - 1 thru 8 - Non-equipment area stormwater runoff to Dania Cut-off Canal and South Fork of New River (after cooling canal/pond residence).

Discharge of stormwater runoff is permitted without limitations or monitoring requirements.

There shall be no discharge of floating solids or visible foam in other than trace amounts.

B. SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE

1. The permittee shall achieve compliance with the effluent limitations specified for discharges in accordance with the following schedule:

Operational Level Attained.....Effective Date of Permit

2. No later than 14 calendar days following a date identified in the above schedule of compliance, the permittee shall submit either a report of progress or, in the case of specific actions being required by identified dates, a written notice of compliance or noncompliance. In the latter case, the notice shall include the cause of noncompliance, any remedial actions taken, and the probability of meeting the next scheduled requirement.

PART II

STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR NPDES PERMITS

SECTION A. GENERAL CONDITIONS

1. Duty to Comply

The permittee must comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Clean Water Act and is grounds for enforcement action; for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or for denial of a permit renewal application.

2. Penalties for Violations of Permit Conditions

Any person who violates a permit condition is subject to a civil penalty not to exceed \$10,000 per day of such violation. Any person who willfully or negligently violates permit conditions is subject to a fine of not less than \$2,500 nor more than \$25,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than 1 year, or both.

3. Duty to Mitigate

The permittee shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge in violation of this permit which has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment.

4. Permit Modification

After notice and opportunity for a hearing, this permit may be modified, terminated or revoked for cause (as described in 40 CFR 122.62 et seq) including, but not limited to, the following:

- a. Violation of any terms or conditions of this permit;
- b. Obtaining this permit by misrepresentation or failure to disclose fully all relevant facts;
- c. A change in any conditions that requires either temporary interruption or elimination of the permitted discharge; or
- d. Information newly acquired by the Agency indicating the discharge poses a threat to human health or welfare.

If the permittee believes that any past or planned activity would be cause for modification or revocation and reissuance under 40 CFR 122.62, the permittee must report such information to the Permit Issuing Authority. The submittal of a new application may be required of the permittee. The filing of a request by the permittee for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance, does not stay any permit condition.

5. Toxic Pollutants

Notwithstanding Paragraph A-4, above, if a toxic effluent standard or prohibition (including any schedule of compliance specified in such effluent standard or prohibition) is established under Section 307(a) of the Act for a toxic pollutant which is present in the discharge and such standard or prohibition is more stringent than any limitation for such pollutant in this permit, this permit shall be modified or revoked and reissued to conform to the toxic effluent standard or prohibition and the permittee so notified.

The permittee shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under Section 307(a) of the Clean Water Act for toxic pollutants within the time provided in the regulations that establish those standards or prohibitions, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.

6. Civil and Criminal Liability

Except as provided in permit conditions on "Bypassing" Section B, Paragraph B-3, nothing in this permit shall be construed to relieve the permittee from civil or criminal penalties for noncompliance.

7. Oil and Hazardous Substance Liability

Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the institution of any legal action or relieve the permittee from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties to which the permittee is or may be subject under Section 311 of the Act.

8. State Laws

Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the institution of any legal action or relieve the permittee from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties established pursuant to any applicable State law or regulation under authority preserved by Section 510 of the Act.

9. Property Rights

The issuance of this permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privileges, nor does it authorize any injury to private property or any invasion of personal rights, nor any infringement of Federal, State or local laws or regulations.

10. Onshore or Offshore Construction

This permit does not authorize or approve the construction of any onshore or offshore physical structures or facilities or the undertaking of any work in any waters of the United States.

11. Severability

The provisions of this permit are severable, and if any provision of this permit, or the application of any provision of this permit to any circumstance, is held invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances, and the remainder of this permit, shall not be affected thereby.

12. Duty to Provide Information

The permittee shall furnish to the Permit Issuing Authority, within a reasonable time, any information which the Permit Issuing Authority may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit or to determine compliance with this permit. The permittee shall also furnish to the Permit Issuing Authority upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit.

SECTION B. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF POLLUTION CONTROLS

1. Proper Operation and Maintenance

The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of back-up or auxiliary facilities or similar systems which are installed by a permittee only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit.

2. Need to Halt or Reduce not a Defense

It shall not be a defense for a permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the condition of this permit.

3. Bypass of Treatment Facilities

a. Definitions

- (1) "Bypass" means the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility, which is not a designed or established operating mode for the facility.

- (2) "Severe property damage" means substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources which can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in production.

b. Bypass not exceeding limitations.

The permittee may allow any bypass to occur which does not cause effluent limitations to be exceeded, but only if it also is for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation. These bypasses are not subject to the provisions of Paragraphs c. and d. of this section.

c. Notice

- (1) Anticipated bypass. If the permittee knows in advance of the need for a bypass, it shall submit prior notice, if possible at least ten days before the date of the bypass; including an evaluation of the anticipated quality and effect of the bypass.
- (2) Unanticipated bypass. The permittee shall submit notice of an unanticipated bypass as required in Section D, Paragraph D-8 (24-hour notice).

d. Prohibition of bypass.

- (1) Bypass is prohibited and the Permit Issuing Authority may take enforcement action against a permittee for bypass, unless:
 - (a) Bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage;
 - (b) There were no feasible alternatives to the bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if adequate back-up equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgment to prevent a bypass which occurred during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance; and
 - (c) The permittee submitted notices as required under Paragraph c. of this section.
- (2) The Permit Issuing Authority may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects, if the Permit Issuing Authority determines that it will meet the three conditions listed above in Paragraph d.(1) of this section.

4. Upsets

"Upset" means an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the permittee. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless or improper operation. An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for non-compliance with such technology based permit limitation if the requirements of 40 CFR 122.41(n)(3) are met.

5. Removed Substances

This permit does not authorize discharge of solids, sludge, filter backwash, or other pollutants removed in the course of treatment or control of wastewaters to waters of the United States unless specifically limited in Part 1.

SECTION C. MONITORING AND RECORDS

1. Representative Sampling

Samples and measurements taken as required herein shall be representative of the volume and nature of the monitored discharge. All samples shall be taken at the monitoring points specified in this permit and, unless otherwise specified, before the effluent joins or is diluted by any other wastestream, body of water, or substance. Monitoring points shall not be changed without notification to and the approval of the Permit Issuing Authority.

2. Flow Measurements

Appropriate flow measurement devices and methods consistent with accepted scientific practices shall be selected and used to insure the accuracy and reliability of measurements of the volume of monitored discharges. The devices shall be installed, calibrated and maintained to insure that the accuracy of the measurements are consistent with the accepted capability of that type of device. Devices selected shall be capable of measuring flows with a maximum deviation of less than $\pm 10\%$ from the true discharge rates throughout the range of expected discharge volumes. Once-through condenser cooling water flow which is monitored by pump logs, or pump hour meters as specified in Part I of this permit and based on the manufacturer's pump curves shall not be subject to this requirement. Guidance in selection, installation, calibration and operation of acceptable flow measurement devices can be obtained from the following references:

1. "A Guide of Methods and Standards for the Measurement of Water Flow", U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, NBS Special Publication 421, May 1975, 97 pp. (Available from the U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402. Order by SD catalog No. C13.10:421.)
2. "Water Measurement Manual", U.S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Second Edition, Revised Reprint, 1974, 327 pp. (Available from the U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402. Order by catalog No. 127.19/2:W29/2, Stock No. S/N 24003-0027.)

- (3) "Flow Measurement in Open Channels and Closed Conduits", U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, NBS Special Publication 484, October 1977, 982 pp. (Available in paper copy or microfiche from National Technical Information Service (NTIS), Springfield, VA 22151. Order by NTIS No. PB-273 535/5ST.)
- (4) "NPDES Compliance Flow Measurement Manual", U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water Enforcement, Publication MCD-77, September 1981, 135 pp. (Available from the General Services Administration (SBRC), Centralized Mailing Lists Services, Building 41, Denver Federal Center, Denver, CO 80225.)

3. Monitoring Procedures

Monitoring must be conducted according to test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136, unless other test procedures have been specified in this permit.

4. Penalties for Tampering

The Clean Water Act provides that any person who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate, any monitoring device or method required to be maintained under this permit shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000 per violation, or by imprisonment for not more than 6 months per violation, or by both.

5. Retention of Records

The permittee shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by this permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for this permit, for a period of at least 3 years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application. This period may be extended by the Permit Issuing Authority at any time.

6. Record Contents

Records of monitoring information shall include:

- a. The date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements;
- b. The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;
- c. The date(s) analyses were performed;
- d. The individual(s) who performed the analyses;
- e. The analytical techniques or methods used; and
- f. The results of such analyses.

7. Inspection and Entry

The permittee shall allow the Permit Issuing Authority, or an authorized representative, upon the presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, to:

- a. Enter upon the permittee's premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
- b. Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
- c. Inspect at reasonable time any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit; and
- d. Sample or monitor at reasonable times, for the purposes of assuring permit compliance or as otherwise authorized by the Clean Water Act, any substances or parameters at any location.

SECTION D. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

1. Change in Discharge

The permittee shall give notice to the Permit Issuing Authority as soon as possible of any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility. Notice is required only when:

- a. The alteration or addition to a permitted facility may meet one of the criteria for determining whether a facility is a new source; or
- b. The alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutants discharged. This notification applies to pollutants which are subject neither to effluent limitations in the permit, nor to notification requirements under Section D, Paragraph D-10(a).

2. Anticipated Noncompliance

The permittee shall give advance notice to the Permit Issuing Authority of any planned change in the permitted facility or activity which may result in noncompliance with permit requirements. Any maintenance of facilities, which might necessitate unavoidable interruption of operation and degradation of effluent quality, shall be scheduled during noncritical water quality periods and carried out in a manner approved by the Permit Issuing Authority.

3. Transfer of Ownership or Control

A permit may be automatically transferred to another party if:

- a. The permittee notifies the Permit Issuing Authority of the proposed transfer at least 30 days in advance of the proposed transfer date;
- b. The notice includes a written agreement between the existing and new permittees containing a specific date for transfer of permit responsibility, coverage, and liability between them; and
- c. The Permit Issuing Authority does not notify the existing permittee of his or her intent to modify or revoke and reissue the permit. If this notice is not received, the transfer is effective on the date specified in the agreement mentioned in paragraph b.

4. Monitoring Reports

See Part III of this permit.

5. Additional Monitoring by the Permittee

If the permittee monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by this permit, using test procedures approved under 40 CFR 136 or as specified in this permit, the results of this monitoring shall be included in the calculation and reporting of the data submitted in the Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR). Such increased frequency shall also be indicated.

6. Averaging of Measurements

Calculations for limitations which require averaging of measurements shall utilize an arithmetic mean unless otherwise specified by the Permit Issuing Authority in the permit.

7. Compliance Schedules

Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any compliance schedule of this permit shall be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date. Any reports of noncompliance shall include the cause of noncompliance, any remedial actions taken, and the probability of meeting the next scheduled requirement.

8. Twenty-Four Hour Reporting

The permittee shall orally report any noncompliance which may endanger health or the environment, within 24 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. A written submission shall also be provided within 5 days of the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. The written submission shall contain a description of the noncompliance and its cause, the period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times; and if the noncompliance has not been corrected, the anticipated time it is expected to continue, and steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance. The Permit Issuing Authority may verbally waive the written report, on a case-by-case basis, when the oral report is made.

The following violations shall be included in the 24 hour report when they might endanger health or the environment:

- a. An unanticipated bypass which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit.
- b. Any upset which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit.

9. Other Noncompliance

The permittee shall report in narrative form, all instances of noncompliance not previously reported under Section D, Paragraphs D-2, D-4, D-7, and D-8 at the time monitoring reports are submitted. The reports shall contain the information listed in Paragraph D-8.

10. Changes in Discharges of Toxic Substances

The permittee shall notify the Permit Issuing Authority as soon as it knows or has reason to believe:

- a. That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in the discharge, on a routine or frequent basis, of any toxic substance(s) (listed at 40 CFR 122, Appendix D, Table II and III) which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels":
 - (1) One hundred micrograms per liter (100 ug/l);
 - (2) Two hundred micrograms per liter (200 ug/l) for acrolein and acrylonitrile; five hundred micrograms per liter (500 ug/l) for 2,4-dinitrophenol and for 2-methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol; and one milligram per liter (1 mg/l) for antimony; or
 - (3) Five (5) times the maximum concentration value reported for that pollutant(s) in the permit application.

- b. That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in any discharge, on a non-routine or infrequent basis, of a toxic pollutant (listed at 40 CFR 122, Appendix D. Table II and III) which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels":
- (1) Five hundred micrograms per liter (500 ug/l);
 - (2) One milligram per liter (1 mg/l) for antimony; or
 - (3) Ten (10) times the maximum concentration value reported for that pollutant(s) in the permit application.

11. Duty to Reapply

If the permittee wishes to continue an activity regulated by this permit after the expiration date of this permit, the permittee must apply for and obtain a new permit. The application should be submitted at least 180 days before the expiration date of this permit. The Permit Issuing Authority may grant permission to submit an application less than 180 days in advance but not later than the permit expiration date.

Where EPA is the Permit Issuing Authority, the terms and conditions of this permit are automatically continued in accordance with 40 CFR 122.6, only where the permittee has submitted a timely and sufficient application for a renewal permit and the Permit Issuing Authority is unable through no fault of the permittee to issue a new permit before the expiration date.

12. Signatory Requirements

All applications, reports, or information submitted to the Permit Issuing Authority shall be signed and certified.

- a. All permit applications shall be signed as follows:
- (1) For a corporation: by a responsible corporate officer. For the purpose of this Section, a responsible corporate officer means: (1) a president, secretary, treasurer or vice president of the corporation in charge of a principal business function, or any other person who performs similar policy - or decision-making functions for the corporation, or (2) the manager of one or more manufacturing production or operating facilities employing more than 250 persons or having gross annual sales or expenditures exceeding 25 million (in second quarter 1980 dollars), if authority to sign documents has been assigned or delegated to the manager in accordance with corporate procedures.
 - (2) For a partnership or sole proprietorship: by a general partner or the proprietor, respectively; or
 - (3) For a municipality, State, Federal, or other public agency: by either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official.
- b. All reports required by the permit and other information requested by the Permit Issuing Authority shall be signed by a person described above or by a duly authorized representative of that person. A person is a duly authorized representative only if:

- (1) The authorization is made in writing by a person described above;
 - (2) The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity, such as the position of plant manager, operator of a well or a well field, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters for the company. (A duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position.); and
 - (3) The written authorization is submitted to the Permit Issuing Authority.
- c. Certification. Any person signing a document under paragraphs (a) or (b) of this section shall make the following certification:

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under the direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

13. Availability of Reports

Except for data determined to be confidential under 40 CFR Part 2, all reports prepared in accordance with the terms of this permit shall be available for public inspection at the offices of the Permit Issuing Authority. As required by the Act, permit applications, permits and effluent data shall not be considered confidential.

14. Penalties for Falsification of Reports

The Clean Water Act provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation, or certification in any record or other document submitted or required to be maintained under this permit, including monitoring reports or reports of compliance or noncompliance shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000 per violation, or by imprisonment for not more than 6 months per violation, or by both.

SECTION E. DEFINITIONS

1. Permit Issuing Authority

The Regional Administrator of EPA Region IV or his designee, unless at some time in the future the State receives authority to administer the NPDES program and assumes jurisdiction over the permit; at which time, the Director of the State program receiving authorization becomes the issuing authority.

2. Act

"Act" means the Clean Water Act (formerly referred to as the Federal Water Pollution Control Act) Public Law 92-500, as amended by Public Law 95-217 and Public Law 95-576, 33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.

3. Mass/Day Measurements

- a. The "average monthly discharge" is defined as the total mass of all daily discharges sampled and/or measured during a calendar month on which daily discharges are sampled and measured, divided by the number of daily discharges sampled and/or measured during such month. It is therefore, an arithmetic mean found by adding the weights of the pollutant found each day of the month and then dividing this sum by the number of days the tests were reported. The limitation is identified as "Daily Average" or "Monthly Average" in Part I of the permit and the average monthly discharge value is reported in the "Average" column under "Quantity" on the Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR).
- b. The "average weekly discharge" is defined as the total mass of all daily discharges sampled and/or measured during the calendar week on which daily discharges are sampled and measured, divided by the number of daily discharges sampled and/or measured during such week. It is, therefore, an arithmetic mean found by adding the weights of pollutants found each day of the week and then dividing this sum by the number of days the tests were reported. This limitation is identified as "Weekly Average" in Part I of the permit and the average weekly discharge value is reported in the "Maximum" column under "Quantity" on the DMR.
- c. The "maximum daily discharge" is the total mass (weight) of a pollutant discharged during a calendar day. If only one sample is taken during any calendar day the weight of pollutant calculated from it is the "maximum daily discharge". This limitation is identified as "Daily Maximum", in Part I of the permit and the highest such value recorded during the reporting period is reported in the "Maximum" column under "Quantity" on the DMR.
- d. The "average annual discharge" is defined as the total mass of all daily discharges sampled and/or measured during the calendar year on which daily discharges are sampled and measured, divided by the number of daily discharges sampled and/or measured during such year. It is, therefore, an arithmetic mean found by adding the weights of pollutants found each day of the year and then dividing this sum by the number of days the tests were reported. This limitation is defined as "Annual Average" in Part I of the permit and the average annual discharge value is reported in the "Average" column under "Quantity" on the DMR. The DMR for this report shall be submitted in January for the previous reporting calendar year.

4. Concentration Measurements

- a. The "average monthly concentration", other than for fecal coliform bacteria, is the sum of the concentrations of all daily discharges sampled and/or measured during a calendar month on which daily discharges are sampled and measured, divided by the number of daily discharges sampled and/or measured during such month (arithmetic mean of the daily concentration values). The daily concentration value is equal to the concentration of a composite sample or in the case of grab samples is the arithmetic mean (weighted by flow value) of all the samples collected during that calendar day. The average monthly count for fecal coliform bacteria is the geometric mean of the counts for samples collected during a calendar month. This limitation is identified as "Monthly Average" or "Daily Average" under "Other Limits" in Part I of the permit and the average monthly concentration value is reported under the "Average" column under "Quality" on the DMR.
- b. The "average weekly concentration", other than for fecal coliform bacteria, is the sum of the concentrations of all daily discharges sampled and/or measured during a calendar week on which daily discharges are sampled and measured divided by the number of daily discharges sampled and/or measured during such week (arithmetic mean of the daily concentration values). The daily concentration value is equal to the concentration of a composite sample or in the case of grab samples is the arithmetic mean (weighted by flow value) of all the samples collected during that calendar day. The average weekly count for fecal coliform bacteria is the geometric mean of the counts for samples collected during a calendar week. This limitation is identified as "Weekly Average" under "Other Limits" in Part I of the permit and the average weekly concentration value is reported under the "Maximum" column under "Quality" on the DMR.
- c. The "maximum daily concentration" is the concentration of a pollutant discharge during a calendar day. It is identified as "Daily Maximum" under "Other Limits" in Part I of the permit and the highest such value recorded during the reporting period is reported under the "Maximum" column under "Quality" on the DMR.
- d. The "average annual concentration", other than for fecal coliform bacteria, is the sum of the concentrations of all daily discharges sampled and/or measured during a calendar year on which daily discharges are sampled and measured divided by the number of daily discharges sampled and/or measured during such year (arithmetic mean of the daily concentration values). The daily concentration value is equal to the concentration of a composite sample or in the case of grab samples is the arithmetic mean (weighted by flow value) of all the samples collected during that calendar day. The average yearly count for fecal coliform bacteria is the geometric mean of the counts

for samples collected during a calendar year. This limitation is identified as "Annual Average" under "Other Limits" in Part I of the permit and the average annual concentration value is reported under the "Average" column under "Quality" on the DMR. The DMR for this report shall be submitted in January for the previous reporting year.

5. Other Measurements

- a. The effluent flow expressed as M³/day (MGD) is the 24 hour average flow averaged monthly. It is the arithmetic mean of the total daily flows recorded during the calendar month. Where monitoring requirements for flow are specified in Part I of the permit the flow rate values are reported in the "Average" column under "Quantity" on the DMR.
- b. An "instantaneous flow measurement" is a measure of flow taken at the time of sampling, when both the sample and flow will be representative of the total discharge.
- c. Where monitoring requirements for pH, dissolved oxygen or fecal coliform bacteria are specified in Part I of the permit, the values are generally reported in the "Quality or Concentration" column on the DMR.

6. Types of Samples

- a. Composite Sample: A "composite sample" is a combination of not less than 8 influent or effluent portions, of at least 100 ml, collected over the full time period specified in Part I.A. The composite sample must be flow proportioned by either time interval between each aliquot or by volume as it relates to effluent flow at the time of sampling or total flow since collection of the previous aliquot. Aliquots may be collected manually or automatically.
- b. Grab Sample: A "grab sample" is a single influent or effluent portion which is not a composite sample. The sample(s) shall be collected at the period(s) most representative of the total discharge.

7. Calculation of Means

- a. Arithmetic Mean: The arithmetic mean of any set of values is the summation of the individual values divided by the number of individual values.
- b. Geometric Mean: The geometric mean of any set of values is the Nth root of the product of the individual values where N is equal to the number of individual values. The geometric mean is equivalent to the antilog of the arithmetic mean of the logarithms of the individual values. For purposes of calculating the geometric mean, values of zero (0) shall be considered to be one (1).

- c. **Weighted by Flow Value:** Weighted by flow value means the summation of each concentration times its respective flow divided by the summation of the respective flows.

8. Calendar Day

A calendar day is defined as the period from midnight of one day until midnight of the next day. However, for purposes of this permit, any consecutive 24-hour period that reasonably represents the calendar day may be used for sampling.

9. Hazardous Substance

A hazardous substance means any substance designated under 40 CFR Part 116 pursuant to Section 311 of the Clean Water Act.

10. Toxic Pollutant

A toxic pollutant is any pollutant listed as toxic under Section 307(a)(1) of the Clean Water Act.

PART III

OTHER REQUIREMENTS

A. Reporting of Monitoring Results

Monitoring results obtained each calendar month must be summarized for that month and reported on a Discharge Monitoring Report Form (EPA No. 3320-1), postmarked no later than the 28th day of the month following the completed calendar month. (For example, data for January shall be submitted by February 28.) Duplicate signed copies of these, and all other reports required by Section D of Part II, Reporting Requirements, shall be submitted to the Permit Issuing Authority and the State at the following addresses:

Environmental Protection Agency
Region IV
Facilities Performance Branch
Water Management Division
345 Courtland Street, N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30365

Florida Dept. of Environmental Regulation
Southeast District
3301 Gun Club Road
P.O. Box 3858
W. Palm Beach, Florida 33402

B. There shall be no discharge of polychlorinated biphenyl compounds such as those commonly used for transformer fluid.

C. The company shall notify the Regional Administrator in writing not later than ninety (90) days prior to instituting use of any cooling water biocide or chemical which may be toxic to aquatic life. Such notification shall include:

1. Name and general composition of biocide or chemical.
2. Frequency of use.
3. Quantities used.
4. Proposed effluent concentration.
5. EPA registration number if applicable.

D. Discharge of any product registered under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act to any wastestream which may ultimately be released to lakes, rivers, streams, or other waters of the United States is prohibited unless specifically authorized elsewhere in this permit.

E. Reopener Clause


This permit shall be modified, or alternatively revoked and reissued, to comply with any applicable effluent standard or limitation issued or approved under Sections 301(b)(2)(C), and (D), 304(b)(2), and 307(a)(2) of the Clean Water Act, if the effluent standard or limitation so issued or approved:

1. Contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any effluent limitation in the permit; or
2. Controls any pollutant not limited in the permit.

The permit as modified or reissued under this paragraph shall also contain any other requirements of the Act then applicable.


10.1.1-23

ATTACHMENT 1



FORT LAUDERDALE SOUTH, F
26080-A2

10.1.1-24



ATTACHMENT 2

CONSTRUCTION OF
CANNALS AND
STORMWATER DISCHARGE



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION IV

345 COURTLAND STREET
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30365

AMENDMENT TO THE FACT SHEET

Date: March 30, 1987

Facility: Florida Power & Light - Lauderdale Plant

Permit No: FL0001503

I. Comments received during the public comment period.

Comments were received from the applicant by letter dated March 20, 1987.

Item 1 - OSN 001 is identified as the combined discharge of once through cooling water and auxiliary cooling water; however, samples taken in compliance with the permit monitoring requirements shall be at the outlet corresponding to an individual unit. Thus no change was made to the permit.

Item 2 - The outfall number for non-equipment area stormwater was changed to a single serial number as requested.

Item 3 - Item I-B is a description of the facility taken directly from the renewal application. The application identifies only one stormwater outfall 005. OSN 005 is actually eight individual discharge pipes. Item III B and Attachment 2 has been changed to one outfall with eight individual pipe numbers.

Item 4 - The zip codes were corrected.

II. Changes in the final permit from the draft permit.

A. The zip codes for the facility were corrected.

B. Non-equipment area stormwater was assigned one serial number with eight (8) individual pipe numbers. Permit and Fact Sheet were changed appropriately.

III. Results of State Certification

State certification was requested on January 29, 1987. State certification is hereby deemed waived.



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION IV

345 COURTLAND STREET
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30365

FACT SHEET

Permit No. FL0001503
Permit Writer: Darlene Vincent

Date: December 12, 1986
Revised: March 30, 1987

I. SYNOPSIS OF APPLICATION

A. Name of Address of Applicant

Florida Power & Light Company (FP&L)	Lauderdale Plant
P. O. Box 14000	for its P. O. Box 8248
Juno Beach, Florida 33408	Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33314

B. Description of Facility from Application

FP&L, Lauderdale Plant is a two unit oil/gas-fired steam electric plant with a total nameplate rating of 312 MW (net 274 MW). The first unit (Unit 4) was placed in service in 1957 and the second (Unit 5), 1958. Each unit utilizes once through cooling water which is withdrawn from the Dania Cut-off Canal at a total rate of 232,000 gpm. The application describes three discharge points: Outfall Serial Number (OSN) 001 consists of once through condenser cooling water and auxiliary equipment cooling water, OSN 004 is intake screen wash water, and OSN 005 is non-equipment area stormwater. OSN 001 is discharged to South Fork of New River after cooling canal/pond residence. OSN 004 is discharged to the intake. And OSN 005 is discharged to Dania Cut-off Canal and South Fork of New River.

Boiler blowdown, water treatment plant wastes, floor drain discharges, and metal cleaning wastes are collected and routed to an evaporation/percolation pond system from which there is no discharge to waters of the United States. Sanitary wastes are routed to septic tanks and their associated drain fields.

II. SYNOPSIS OF PERMITTING ACTIVITIES (to date)

The permit was first issued February 31, 1974. It was reissued February 20, 1976 and again November 30, 1981. The permit expired November 30, 1986. On May 29, 1986, FP&L applied for permit renewal. Public Notice on the draft permit is scheduled for February 26, 1987. State Certification was requested on January 29, 1987.

III. RECEIVING WATER

A. Name of Receiving Waters

001 -	South Fork New River (after cooling canal/pond residence)
004 -	Facility Intake from Dania Cutoff Canal
005 -	1 thru 4 South Fork of New River after cooling canal/pond residence
	5 thru 8 Intake on Dania Cut-off Canal

B. Location - See Permit Attachments

SW 42 Street, 2 miles West off Ravenswood
Broward Co., Ft. Lauderdale, Florida

Latitude 26° 04' 06'
Longitude 80° 11' 58"

C. Florida Water Quality Classification

Class III waters suitable for recreation, and the propagation and maintenance of fish and wildlife.

IV. DESCRIPTION OF OUTFALLS (As reported in Application)

- A. OSN 001 - Once through cooling water Units 4 and 5 and auxiliary equipment cooling water.

<u>Effluent Characteristic</u>	<u>Maximum 30 day Value</u>
Total Suspended Solids, mg/l	1.5
Flow, MGD	269
Temperature (winter), °C	28.9
Temperature (summer), °C	35.3
pH Range, standard unit	7.58 - 7.75

- B. OSN 004 - Screen wash water

Screen wash water is the same as once - through cooling water and thus has the same chemical and physical characteristics.

- C. Analytical data for non-equipment area stormwater are not required.

V. APPLICABLE REGULATIONS

- A. Best Practicable Control Technology Currently Available (BPT): 40 CFR 423.12 (47 FR 52290), November 9, 1982.
- B. Best Available Technology Economically Achievable (BAT): 40 CFR 423.13 (47 FR 52290), November 9, 1982.
- C. Best Conventional Pollutant Control Technology (BCT): 40 CFR 423.14 (47 FR 52290), November 9, 1982. A best professional judgement has been made by the permit writer that BCT = BPT + BAT.
- D. Florida Water Quality Standards: The receiving water is classified as Class III waters - Recreation, propagation and maintenance of fish and wildlife (Florida Administrative Code Chapters 17-3, 17-4, and 17-6).

- E. 316(a) and 316(b) of the Clean Water Act. The original permit required biological studies to address 316(a)&(b) concerns. The results of these studies were submitted by letter dated November 15, 1976. These results are not in the administrative file. Subsequent permits did not require further testing; thus, the following is assumed:
- (1) A 316(a) variance was not requested. Thermal discharges do not violate Florida Water Quality Standards for thermal pollutants and
 - (2) The intake structure reflects best technology available to minimize adverse environmental impact.

VI. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS

A. OSN 001

1. This outfall is identified as the combined discharge of once through cooling water from Unit No. 4 and 5 and auxiliary equipment cooling water Fork of New River (after cooling canal/pond residence).
2. Limitations and monitoring requirements for this outfall are included in accordance with the previous NPDES permit. Samples taken in compliance with these monitoring requirements shall be taken at the outlet corresponding to each individual unit.
3. The discharge of free available chlorine or total residual chlorine is not permitted. Should the permittee desire to reinstitute chlorination, the permittee should request a permit modification not later than four (4) months prior to the reinstitution of chlorination.

B. OSN 004

1. This outfall is identified as the discharge of intake screen wash water to Dania Cut-off Canal.
2. The discharge of intake screen wash water is permitted without limitations or monitoring.

C. OSN 005 - 1 thru 8

1. These outfalls are identified as the discharges of non-equipment area stormwater runoff. The locations of these outfalls are shown in Attachment 2 of the permit. It is the permit writer's best professional judgement that these discharges of stormwater runoff are uncontaminated. The outfalls are enumerated only for identification purposes. No monitoring is required at this time.
2. Discharge of stormwater runoff is permitted without limitations or monitoring requirements.

D. Part III

1. FP&L, Lauderdale Plant is a major industrial discharger and as such discharge monitoring reports must be submitted monthly.
2. Special Condition B is included in accordance with 40 CFR 423.13(a).
3. Special Condition C, D, and E are included in accordance with regional policy.

VII. ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

An administrative record including application, fact sheet, draft permit, comments received, and additional information on hearing procedures is available by writing the EPA address above, or for review and copying at 345 Courtland Street, N.E., 3rd floor, Atlanta, Georgia, 30365, between the hours of 8:15a.m. and 4:30p.m. Monday through Friday. Copies will be provided at a cost of 20 cents per page.

VIII. RESULTS OF STATE CERTIFICATION

State certification was requested on January 29, 1987.

IX. REFERENCES AND CITED DOCUMENTS

All materials and documents referenced or cited in this fact sheet are either part of the Administrative Record as described in item VII above or are readily available at EPA, Region IV. Information regarding these materials may be obtained from the person listed below.

X. EPA CONTACT

Additional information concerning the permit may be obtained at the address and during hours noted in item VII from:

Ms. Suzanne D. Potter
Public Notice Coordinator
404/347-3004

XI. PROCEDURES FOR THE FORMULATION OF FINAL DETERMINATIONS

A. Comment Period

The Environmental Protection Agency proposes to issue and NPDES permit to this applicant subject to the aforementioned effluent limitations and special conditions. These determinations are tentative and open to comment from the public.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding permit issuance or the proposed permit limitations and conditions to the following address:

Environmental Protection Agency
345 Courtland Street, NE
Atlanta, Georgia 30365
ATTN: Ms. Suzanne D. Potter, Public Notice Coordinator

All comments received within thirty (30) days following the date of public notice will be considered in the formulation of final determinations with regard to proposed permit issuance.

B. Public Hearing

The EPA Regional Administrator will hold a public hearing if there is a significant degree of public interest in a proposed permit or group of permits, or if he determines that useful information and data may be obtained thereby. Public Notice of such a hearing will be circulated at least thirty days prior to the hearing.

C. Issuance of the Permit

After consideration of all written comments and of the requirements and policies in the Act and appropriate regulations, and, if a public hearing is held, after consideration of all comments, statements and data presented at the hearing, the EPA Regional Administrator will make determinations regarding the permit issuance. If the determinations are substantially unchanged from the tentative determinations outlined above, the Regional Administrator will so notify all persons submitting written comments, and, if a public hearing was held, all persons participating in the hearing. If the determinations are substantially changed, the EPA Regional Administrator will issue a public notice indicating the revised determinations.

Unless a request for an evidentiary hearing is granted, the proposed permit contained in the Regional Administrator's determinations shall become issued and effective and will be the final action of the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency.

D. Evidentiary Hearing

If the determination are substantially unchanged, any interested person may submit a request for an evidentiary hearing on the permit and its conditions within thirty (30) days of the receipt of the notice described in Section C. If the determinations are substantially changed, any interested person may submit a request for an evidentiary hearing within thirty days of the date of the public notice or of the date of becoming aware of the determinations, which ever comes first. Such requests will be within the time period if mailed by Certified Mail within the thirty day period to the Regional Hearing Clerk, Environmental Protection Agency, 345 Courtland Street, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30365. All requests must contain:

- (1) The name, mailing address and telephone number of the person making such request;
- (2) A clear and concise factual statement of the nature and scope of the interest of the requester;
- (3) The names and addresses of all persons whom the requester represents; and
- (4) A statement by the requester that, upon motion of any party, or sua sponte by the Presiding Officer and without cost or expense to any other party, the requester shall make available to appear and testify, the following:
 - (i) The requester;
 - (ii) All persons represented by the requester, and
 - (iii) All officers, directors, employees, consultants and agents of the requester and the persons represented by the requester.
- (5) Specific references to the contested permit terms and conditions, as well as suggested revised or alternative permit terms and conditions (not excluding permit denial) which, in the judgement of the requester, would be required to implement the purposes and policies of the Act.
- (6) In the case of challenges to the application of control or treatment technologies identified in the statement of basis or fact sheet, identification of the basis for the objection, and the alternative technologies or combination of technologies which the requester believes are necessary to meet the requirements of the Act.
- (7) Specific identification of each of the discharger's obligations which should be stayed if the request is granted. If the request contests more than one permit term or condition then each obligation which is proposed to be stayed must be referenced to the particular contested term warranting the stay.
- (8) Each legal or factual question alleged to be at issue and its relevance to the permit decision.
- (9) An estimate of the hearing time necessary for adjudication.
- (10) Information supporting the request or relied upon which is not already a part of the administrative record required by 40 CFR 124.18 (48 Fed. Reg. 14272, April 1, 1983).

The granting of a request will stay only the contested portions of the permit. Uncontested provisions of the permit shall be considered issued and effective and the permittee must comply with such provisions. Except, if the permit is for a new source or new discharge, the applicant will be without a permit for the proposed new source or new discharge, pending final Agency action. The final Agency decision on the permit provisions contested at an evidentiary hearing will be made in accordance with Title 40, Code of Federal Regulation, Subpart E, found at 48 Federal Register 14278, et seq.

APPENDIX 10.1.2
NPDES PERMIT APPLICATION

FORM 1 GENERAL	EPA U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY GENERAL INFORMATION <i>Consolidated Permits Program</i> (Read the "General Instructions" before starting.)	I. EPA I.D. NUMBER F FLD000807453
LABEL ITEMS I. EPA I.D. NUMBER III. FACILITY NAME V. FACILITY MAILING ADDRESS VI. FACILITY LOCATION	PLEASE PLACE LABEL IN THIS SPACE	GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS If a preprinted label has been provided, affix it in the designated space. Review the information carefully; if any of it is incorrect, cross through it and enter the correct data in the appropriate fill-in area below. Also, if any of the preprinted data is absent (the area to the left of the label space lists the information that should appear), please provide it in the proper fill-in area(s) below. If the label is complete and correct, you need not complete items I, III, V, and VI (except VI-B which must be completed regardless). Complete all items if no label has been provided. Refer to the instructions for detailed item descriptions and for the legal authorizations under which this data is collected.

II. POLLUTANT CHARACTERISTICS

INSTRUCTIONS: Complete A through J to determine whether you need to submit any permit application forms to the EPA. If you answer "yes" to any questions, you must submit this form and the supplemental form listed in the parenthesis following the question. Mark "X" in the box in the third column if the supplemental form is attached. If you answer "no" to each question, you need not submit any of these forms. You may answer "no" if your activity is excluded from permit requirements; see Section C of the instructions. See also, Section D of the instructions for definitions of bold-faced terms.

SPECIFIC QUESTIONS	MARK 'X'			SPECIFIC QUESTIONS	MARK 'X'		
	YES	NO	FORM ATTACHED		YES	NO	FORM ATTACHED
A. Is this facility a publicly owned treatment works which results in a discharge to waters of the U.S.? (FORM 2A)		X		B. Does or will this facility (either existing or proposed) include a concentrated animal feeding operation or aquatic animal production facility which results in a discharge to waters of the U.S.? (FORM 2B)		X	
C. Is this a facility which currently results in discharges to waters of the U.S. other than those described in A or B above? (FORM 2C)	X			D. Is this a proposed facility (other than those described in A or B above) which will result in a discharge to waters of the U.S.? (FORM 2D)		X	
E. Does or will this facility treat, store, or dispose of hazardous wastes? (FORM 3)		X		F. Do you or will you inject at this facility industrial or municipal effluent below the lowermost stratum containing, within one quarter mile of the well bore, underground sources of drinking water? (FORM 4)		X	
G. Do you or will you inject at this facility any produced water or other fluids which are brought to the surface in connection with conventional oil or natural gas production, inject fluids used for enhanced recovery of oil or natural gas, or inject fluids for storage of liquid hydrocarbons? (FORM 4)		X		H. Do you or will you inject at this facility fluids for special processes such as mining of sulfur by the Frasch process, solution mining of minerals, in situ combustion of fossil fuel, or recovery of geothermal energy? (FORM 4)		X	
I. Is this facility a proposed stationary source which is one of the 28 industrial categories listed in the instructions and which will potentially emit 100 tons per year of any air pollutant regulated under the Clean Air Act and may affect or be located in an attainment area? (FORM 5)	X			J. Is this facility a proposed stationary source which is NOT one of the 28 industrial categories listed in the instructions and which will potentially emit 250 tons per year of any air pollutant regulated under the Clean Air Act and may affect or be located in an attainment area? (FORM 5)		X	

III. NAME OF FACILITY

1	SKIP	FPL LAUDERDALE STEAM ELECTRIC POWER PLANT
---	------	---

IV. FACILITY CONTACT

A. NAME & TITLE (last, first, & title)		B. PHONE (area code & no.)		
2	ARNOTT, D.H. PLANT MANAGER	305	797	1502

V. FACILITY MAILING ADDRESS

A. STREET OR P.O. BOX			
3	P.O. BOX 115		
B. CITY OR TOWN		C. STATE	D. ZIP CODE
4	DANIA	FL	33004

VI. FACILITY LOCATION

A. STREET, ROUTE NO. OR OTHER SPECIFIC IDENTIFIER					
5	SW 42nd Street, 2 miles west off Ravenswood Road				
B. COUNTY NAME					
BROWARD					
C. CITY OR TOWN		D. STATE	E. ZIP CODE	F. COUNTY CODE (if known)	
6	FORT LAUDERDALE	FL	33314		

Please print or type in the unshaded areas only.

FORM 20 NPDES  **U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**
APPLICATION FOR PERMIT TO DISCHARGE WASTEWATER
EXISTING MANUFACTURING, COMMERCIAL, MINING AND SILVICULTURAL OPERATIONS
Consolidated Permits Program

I. OUTFALL LOCATION

For each outfall, list the latitude and longitude of its location to the nearest 15 seconds and the name of the receiving water.

A. OUTFALL NUMBER (list)	B. LATITUDE			C. LONGITUDE			D. RECEIVING WATER (name)
	1. DEG.	2. MIN.	3. SEC.	1. DEG.	2. MIN.	3. SEC.	
001	26	05	08	80	10	55	South Fork of New River
004	26	04	01	80	11	58	Facility Intake from Dania Cut-Off Canal
005	26	04	01	80	11	58	Dania Cut-Off Canal(5 Release Points)
005	26	05	08	80	10	55	South Fork of New River Through Cooling Canal/Pond (4 Release Points)

II. FLOWS, SOURCES OF POLLUTION, AND TREATMENT TECHNOLOGIES

A. Attach a line drawing showing the water flow through the facility. Indicate sources of intake water, operations contributing wastewater to the effluent, and treatment units labeled to correspond to the more detailed descriptions in Item B. Construct a water balance on the line drawing by showing average flows between intakes, operations, treatment units, and outfalls. If a water balance cannot be determined (e.g., for certain mining activities), provide a pictorial description of the nature and amount of any sources of water and any collection or treatment measures.

B. For each outfall, provide a description of: (1) All operations contributing wastewater to the effluent, including process wastewater, sanitary wastewater, cooling water, and storm water runoff; (2) The average flow contributed by each operation; and (3) The treatment received by the wastewater. Continue on additional sheets if necessary.

1. OUTFALL NO (list)	2. OPERATION(S) CONTRIBUTING FLOW		3. TREATMENT	
	a. OPERATION (list)	b. AVERAGE FLOW (include units)	a. DESCRIPTION	b. LIST CODES FROM TABLE 2C-1
001	Once through condenser cooling water for Unit #4, Unit #5 and auxiliary equipment	256,781 GPM	Screening and treatment	1-T
	water ; Effluent from central treatment facility ⁺		Discharge to surface water (after cooling canal/pond residence.)	4-A
004	Intake screen wash water for Unit #4 and Unit #5	600 GPM	Discharge to surface water	4-A
005*	Non-equipment area Stormwater runoff (consists of 9 release points)	Variable	Discharge to surface water	4-A
*Analytical data for non-equipment area storm water was not required for the existing NPDES permit. There are no limitations or monitoring requirements for the discharge of stormwater runoff.				
⁺ Analytical data for the proposed central wastewater treatment facility effluent will be collected and analyzed at the start-up of the wastewater treatment facility. The estimated water quality for various parameters are given in Table IV-1.				

OFFICIAL USE ONLY (effluent guidelines sub-categories)

CONTINUED FROM THE FRONT

C. Except for storm runoff, leaks, or spills, are any of the discharges described in Items II-A or B intermittent or seasonal?
 YES (complete the following table) NO (go to Section III)

1. OUTFALL NUMBER (list)	2. OPERATION(S)/ CONTRIBUTING FLOW (list)	3. FREQUENCY		4. FLOW				c. DUR- ATION (in days)
		a. DAYS PER WEEK (specify average)	b. MONTHS PER YEAR (specify average)	a. FLOW RATE (in mgd)		b. TOTAL VOLUME (specify with units)		
				1. LONG TERM AVERAGE	2. MAXIMUM DAILY	1. LONG TERM AVERAGE	2. MAXIMUM DAILY	

III. PRODUCTION

A. Does an effluent guideline limitation promulgated by EPA under Section 304 of the Clean Water Act apply to your facility?

YES (complete Item III-B) NO (to Section IV)

B. Are the limitations in the applicable effluent guideline expressed in terms of production (or other measure of operation)?

YES (complete Item III-C) NO (go to Section IV)

C. If you answered "yes" to Item III-B, list the quantity which represents an actual measurement of your level of production, expressed in the terms and units used in the applicable effluent guideline, and indicate the affected outfalls.

1. AVERAGE DAILY PRODUCTION			2. AFFECTED OUTFALLS (list outfall numbers)
a. QUANTITY PER DAY	b. UNITS OF MEASURE	c. OPERATION, PRODUCT, MATERIAL, ETC. (specify)	

IV. IMPROVEMENTS

A. Are you now required by any Federal, State or local authority to meet any implementation schedule for the construction, upgrading or operation of waste-water treatment equipment or practices or any other environmental programs which may affect the discharges described in this application? This includes, but is not limited to, permit conditions, administrative or enforcement orders, enforcement compliance schedule letters, stipulations, court orders, and grant or loan conditions.
 YES (complete the following table) NO (go to Item IV-B)

1. IDENTIFICATION OF CONDITION, AGREEMENT, ETC.	2. AFFECTED OUTFALLS		3. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT	4. FINAL COMPLIANCE DATE	
	a. NO.	b. SOURCE OF DISCHARGE		a. RE-REQUIRED	b. PRO-JECTED

B. OPTIONAL: You may attach additional sheets describing any additional water pollution control programs (or other environmental projects which may affect your discharges) you now have underway or which you plan. Indicate whether each program is now underway or planned, and indicate your actual or planned schedules for construction. MARK "X" IF DESCRIPTION OF ADDITIONAL CONTROL PROGRAMS IS ATTACHED

EPA I.D. NUMBER (copy from Item 1 of Form 1)
FLD 0 0 0 8 0 7 4 5 3

Form Approved
OMB No. 2040-0086
Approval expires 7-31-88

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 2

V. INTAKE AND EFFLUENT CHARACTERISTICS

A, B, & C: See instructions before proceeding — Complete one set of tables for each outfall — Annotate the outfall number in the space provided.
NOTE: Tables V-A, V-B, and V-C are included on separate sheets numbered V-1 through V-9.

D. Use the space below to list any of the pollutants listed in Table 2c-3 of the instructions, which you know or have reason to believe is discharged or may be discharged from any outfall. For every pollutant you list, briefly describe the reasons you believe it to be present and report any analytical data in your possession.

1. POLLUTANT	2. SOURCE	1. POLLUTANT	2. SOURCE
N/A			

VI. POTENTIAL DISCHARGES NOT COVERED BY ANALYSIS

Is any pollutant listed in Item V-C a substance or a component of a substance which you currently use or manufacture as an intermediate or final product or byproduct?

YES (list all such pollutants below)

NO (go to Item VI-B)

N/A

PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE IN THE UNSHADED AREAS ONLY. You may report some or all of this information on separate sheets (use the same format) instead of completing these pages. SEE INSTRUCTIONS.

EPA I.D. NUMBER (copy from Item 1 of Form 1)

FLD 0 0 0 8 0 7 4 5 3

Form Approved
OMB No. 2040-0086
Approval expires 7-31-88

V. INTAKE AND EFFLUENT CHARACTERISTICS (continued from page 3 of Form 2-C)

OUTFALL NO
001

PART A - You must provide the results of at least one analysis for every pollutant in this table. Complete one table for each outfall. See instructions for additional details.

1. POLLUTANT	2. EFFLUENT						d. NO. OF ANALYSES	3. UNITS (specify if blank)		4. INTAKE (optional)		
	a. MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE		b. MAXIMUM 30 DAY VALUE (if available)		c. LONG TERM AVRG. VALUE (if available)			a. CONCENTRATION	b. MASS	a. LONG TERM AVERAGE VALUE		b. NO. OF ANALYSES
	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS				(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	
a. Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)	4.2	1.3x10 ⁴	4.2	1.3x10 ⁴	1.5	4.6x10 ³	12	mg/L	1b	1.5	4.6x10 ³	12
b. Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	216	6.6x10 ⁵	216	6.6x10 ⁵	76.5	2.4x10 ⁵	12	mg/L	1b	118.8	3.7x10 ⁵	12
c. Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	47	1.5x10 ⁵	47	1.5x10 ⁵	34	1.1x10 ⁵	*	mg/L	1b	14	4.3x10 ⁴	*
d. Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	2	6.2x10 ³	2	6.2x10 ³	1.5	4.6x10 ³	*	mg/L	1b	5.5	1.7x10 ⁴	*
e. Ammonia (as N)	0.214	6.6x10 ²	0.214	6.6x10 ²	0.082	2.5x10 ²	12	mg/L	1b	0.144	4.4x10 ²	12
f. Flow	VALUE 369		VALUE 369		VALUE 369		Design X	MGD	Design X	VALUE 369		Design X
g. Temperature (winter)	VALUE 23.8		VALUE 23.8		VALUE 23.8		3	°C		VALUE 23.5		2
h. Temperature (summer)	VALUE 31.1		VALUE 31.1		VALUE 30.1		6	°C		VALUE 29.8		4
i. pH	MINIMUM 7.2	MAXIMUM 8.1	MINIMUM 7.2	MAXIMUM 8.1	X		12	STANDARD UNITS		X		

PART B - Mark "X" in column 2-a for each pollutant you know or have reason to believe is present. Mark "X" in column 2-b for each pollutant you believe to be absent. If you mark column 2a for any pollutant which is limited either directly, or indirectly but expressly, in an effluent limitations guideline, you must provide the results of at least one analysis for that pollutant. For other pollutants for which you mark column 2a, you must provide quantitative data or an explanation of their presence in your discharge. Complete one table for each outfall. See the instructions for additional details and requirements.

1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NO. (if available)	2. MARK 'X'		3. EFFLUENT						d. NO. OF ANALYSES	4. UNITS		5. INTAKE (optional)		
	a. BELIEVED PRESENT	b. BELIEVED ABSENT	a. MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE		b. MAXIMUM 30 DAY VALUE (if available)		c. LONG TERM AVRG. VALUE (if available)			a. CONCENTRATION	b. MASS	a. LONG TERM AVERAGE VALUE		b. NO. OF ANALYSES
			(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS				(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	
a. Bromide (24959-67-9)	X		<1.0	-	<1.0	-	-	-	*	mg/L	1b	<1.0	-	*
b. Chlorine, Total Residual		X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
c. Color	X		100	-	90	-	-	-	*	PCU	-	90	-	*
d. Fecal Coliform	X		130	1.8x10 ²	130	1.8x10 ¹²	29.2	4.1x10 ¹¹	12	No. / 100mL	No.	85.0	1.2x10 ²	12
e. Fluoride (16984-48-8)	X		0.71	2.2x10 ³	0.71	2.2x10 ³	0.42	1.3x10 ³	12	mg/L	1b	0.45	1.4x10 ³	12
f. Nitrate-Nitrite (as N)	X		0.521	1.6x10 ³	0.521	1.6x10 ³	0.168	5.2x10 ²	12	mg/L	1b	0.119	3.7x10 ²	12

*based on historical data from previous permit

'below detection limits

10.1.2-6

ITEM V-B CONTINUED FROM FRONT

1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NO. (if available)	2. MARK 'X'		3. EFFLUENT						4. UNITS		5. INTAKE (optional)			
	A. RECEIVED PERMIT	B. RECEIVED ASSENT	A. MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE		B. MAXIMUM 30 DAY VALUE (if available)		C. LONG TERM AVG. VALUE (if available)		D. NO. OF ANALYSES	E. CONCENTRATION	F. MASS	A. LONG TERM AVERAGE VALUE		B. NO. OF ANALYSES
			(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS				(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	
g. Nitrogen, Total Organic (as N)	X		2.20	6.8x10 ²	2.20	6.8x10 ²	1.18	3.6x10 ³	12	mg/L	1b	1.21	3.7x10 ³	12
h. Oil and Grease	X		3.3	1.0x10 ⁴	3.3	1.0x10 ⁴	0.8	2.5x10 ³	12	mg/L	1b	0.5	1.5x10 ³	12
i. Phosphorus (as P), Total (7723-14-0)	X		0.069	2.1x10 ²	0.069	2.1x10 ²	0.036	1.1x10 ²	12	mg/L	1b	0.043	1.3x10 ²	12
j. Radioactivity														
(1) Alpha, Total		X												
(2) Beta, Total		X												
(3) Radium, Total		X												
(4) Radium 226, Total		X												
k. Sulfate (as SO ₄) (14808-79-8)	X		1410	4.3x10 ⁶	1410	4.3x10 ⁶	690.3	2.1x10 ⁶	12	mg/L	1b	695.3	2.1x10 ⁶	12
l. Sulfide (as S)		X												
m. Sulfite (as SO ₃) (14265-45-3)		X												
n. Surfactants	X		<0.10	-	<0.10	-	-	-	*	mg/L	-	<0.10	-	*
o. Aluminum, Total (7429-90-5)	X		151	4.6x10 ²	151	4.6x10 ²	75.1	2.3x10 ²	12	ug/L	1b	83.6	2.6x10 ²	12
p. Barium, Total (7440-39-3)		X												
q. Boron, Total (7440-42-8)		X												
r. Cobalt, Total (7440-48-4)		X												
s. Iron, Total (7439-89-6)	X		1550	4.8x10 ³	1550	4.8x10 ³	530	1.6x10 ³	12	ug/L	1b	693	2.1x10 ³	12
t. Magnesium, Total (7439-95-4)	X		0.019	59	0.019	59	0.018	55	*	mg/L	1b	0.016	49	*
u. Molybdenum, Total (7439-98-7)	X		<0.10	-	<0.10	-	-	-	*	mg/L	-	<0.10	-	*
v. Manganese, Total (7439-96-5)	X		<0.05	-	<0.05	-	-	-	*	mg/L	-	<0.05	-	*
w. Tin, Total (7440-31-5)		X												
x. Titanium, Total (7440-32-6)		X												

10.1.2-7

*based on historical data from previous permit

'below detection limits

EPA I.D. NUMBER (copy from Item 1 of Form 1)	OUTFALL NUMBER
FLD 0 0 0 8 0 7 4 5 3	001

Form Approved
OMB No. 2040-0086
Approval expires 7-31-88

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 3 OF FORM 2-C

PART C - If you are a primary industry and this outfall contains process wastewater, refer to Table 2c-2 in the instructions to determine which of the GC/MS fractions you must test for. Mark "X" in column 2-a for all such GC/MS fractions that apply to your industry and for ALL toxic metals, cyanides, and total phenols. If you are not required to mark column 2-a (secondary industries, nonprocess wastewater outfalls, and nonrequired GC/MS fractions), mark "X" in column 2-b for each pollutant you know or have reason to believe is present. Mark "X" in column 2-c for each pollutant you believe is absent. If you mark column 2a for any pollutant, you must provide the results of at least one analysis for that pollutant. If you mark column 2b for any pollutant, you must provide the results of at least one analysis for that pollutant if you know or have reason to believe it will be discharged in concentrations of 10 ppb or greater. If you mark column 2b for acrolein, acrylonitrile, 2,4 dinitrophenol, or 2-methyl-4, 6 dinitrophenol, you must provide the results of at least one analysis for each of these pollutants which you know or have reason to believe that you discharge in concentrations of 100 ppb or greater. Otherwise, for pollutants for which you mark column 2b, you must either submit at least one analysis or briefly describe the reasons the pollutant is expected to be discharged. Note that there are 7 pages to this part; please review each carefully. Complete one table (all 7 pages) for each outfall. See instructions for additional details and requirements.

1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NUMBER (If available)	2. MARK 'X'			3. EFFLUENT						4. UNITS		5. INTAKE (optional)			
	A. TESTING REQUIRED	B. BELIEVED PRESENT	C. BELIEVED ABSENT	a. MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE		b. MAXIMUM 30 DAY VALUE (if available)		c. LONG TERM AVG. VALUE (if available)		d. NO. OF ANALYSES	a. CONCENTRATION	b. MASS	a. LONG TERM AVERAGE VALUE		b. NO. OF ANALYSES
				(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS				(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	
METALS, CYANIDE, AND TOTAL PHENOLS															
1M. Antimony, Total (7440-36-0)	X			25.0	77	25.0	77	18.3	56	12	ug/L	1b	18.3	56	12
2M. Arsenic, Total (7440-38-2)	X			24.0	74	24.0	74	7.2	22	12	ug/L	1b	6.1	19	12
3M. Beryllium, Total, 7440-41-7)	X			3.3	10	3.3	10	2.0	6	12	ug/L	1b	2.0	6	12
4M. Cadmium, Total (7440-43-9)	X			<0.005	-	<0.005	-	-	-	*	ug/L	-	<0.005	-	*'
5M. Chromium, Total (7440-47-3)	X			10.0	31	10.0	31	6.8	21	12	ug/L	1b	6.8	21	12
6M. Copper, Total (7440-50-8)	X			32.8	101	32.8	101	8.9	27	12	ug/L	1b	8.7	27	12
7M. Lead, Total (7439-92-1)	X			7.0	22	7.0	22	2.5	8	12	ug/L	1b	2.8	9	12
8M. Mercury, Total (7439-97-6)	X			2.7	8	2.7	8	0.6	2	12**	ug/L	1b	0.6	2	12**
9M. Nickel, Total (7440-02-0)	X			23.0	71	23.0	71	19.8	61	12	ug/L	1b	19.8	61	12
10M. Selenium, Total (7782-49-2)	X			31.5	97	31.5	97	12.6	39	12	ug/L	1b	12.7	39	12
11M. Silver, Total (7440-22-4)	X			0.5	2	0.5	2	0.5	2	12	ug/L	1b	0.5	2	12
12M. Thallium, Total (7440-28-0)	X			<150	-	<150	-	<150	-	1'	ug/L	-	<150	-	1'
13M. Zinc, Total (7440-66-6)	X			40.4	124	40.4	124	13.0	40	12	ug/L	1b	16.5	51	12
14M. Cyanide, Total (57-12-5)	X			<0.003	-	<0.003	-	<0.003	-	1'	mg/L	-	<0.003	-	1'
15M. Phenols, Total	X			<2.0	-	<2.0	-	<2.0	-	1'	ug/L	-	<2.0	-	1'

DIOXIN															
2,3,7,8-Tetra-chlorodibenzo-P-Dioxin (1764-01-6)				DESCRIBE RESULTS											
				Testing not required.											

*based on historical value from previous permit. below detection limits. **contaminated sample holders.

10.1.2-8

CONTINUED FROM THE FRONT

1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NUMBER (if available)	2. MARK 'X'			3. EFFLUENT						4. UNITS		5. INTAKE (optional)			
	A. LISTED TOXIC SUBSTANCE	B. REGULATED PEST	C. SEVERELY ABSENT	a. MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE		b. MAXIMUM 30 DAY VALUE (if available)		c. LONG TERM AVG. VALUE (if available)		d. NO. OF ANALYSES	B. CONCENTRATION	D. MASS	A. LONG TERM AVERAGE VALUE		D. NO. OF ANALYSES
				(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS				(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	
GC/MS FRACTION - VOLATILE COMPOUNDS															
1V. Acrolein (107-02-8)	X			< 100	-	< 100	-	< 100	-	1	ug/L	-	< 100	-	1
2V. Acrylonitrile (107-13-1)	X			< 100	-	< 100	-	< 100	-	1	ug/L	-	< 100	-	1
3V. Benzene (71-43-2)	X			< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.0	-	1
4V. Bis (Chloromethyl) Ether (542-88-1)															
5V. Bromoform (75-25-2)	X			< 4.7	-	< 4.7	-	< 4.7	-	1	ug/L	-	< 4.7	-	1
6V. Carbon Tetrachloride (56-23-5)	X			< 2.8	-	< 2.8	-	< 2.8	-	1	ug/L	-	< 2.8	-	1
7V. Chlorobenzene (108-90-7)	X			< 6.0	-	< 6.0	-	< 6.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 6.0	-	1
8V. Chlorodibromomethane (124-48-1)	X			< 2.2	-	< 2.2	-	< 2.2	-	1	ug/L	-	< 2.2	-	1
9V. Chloroethane (75-00-3)	X			< 8.2	-	< 8.2	-	< 8.2	-	1	ug/L	-	< 8.2	-	1
10V. 2-Chloroethylvinyl Ether (110-75-8)	X			< 15	-	< 15	-	< 15	-	1	ug/L	-	< 15	-	1
11V. Chloroform (67-66-3)	X			< 1.6	-	< 1.6	-	< 1.6	-	1	ug/l	-	< 1.6	-	1
12V. Dichlorobromomethane (75-27-4)	X			< 3.1	-	< 3.1	-	< 3.1	-	1	ug/L	-	< 3.1	-	1
13V. Dichlorodifluoromethane (75-71-8)	X			< 10	-	< 10	-	< 10	-	1	ug/L	-	< 10	-	1
14V. 1,1-Dichloroethane (75-34-3)	X			< 4.7	-	< 4.7	-	< 4.7	-	1	ug/L	-	< 4.7	-	1
15V. 1,2-Dichloroethane (107-06-2)	X			< 2.8	-	< 2.8	-	< 2.8	-	1	ug/L	-	< 2.8	-	1
16V. 1,1-Dichloroethylene (75-35-4)	X			< 2.8	-	< 2.8	-	< 2.8	-	1	ug/L	-	< 2.8	-	1
17V. 1,2-Dichloropropane (78-87-5)	X			< 6.0	-	< 6.0	-	< 6.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 6.0	-	1
18V. 1,3-Dichloropropylene (542-75-6)	X			< 5.0	-	< 5.0	-	< 5.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 5.0	-	1
19V. Ethylbenzene (100-41-4)	X			< 6.2	-	< 6.2	-	< 6.2	-	1	ug/L	-	< 6.2	-	1
20V. Methyl Bromide (74-83-9)	X			< 5.8	-	< 5.8	-	< 5.8	-	1	ug/L	-	< 5.8	-	1
21V. Methyl Chloride (74-87-3)	X			< 4.3	-	< 4.3	-	< 4.3	-	1	ug/L	-	< 4.3	-	1

10.1.2-9

CONTINUED FROM PAGE V-4

1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NUMBER (if available)	2. MARK 'X'			3. EFFLUENT						4. UNITS		5. INTAKE (optional)			
	A. TESTING REQUIRED	B. UNLIM. RECEIVED	C. RELIEVED ABSENT	B. MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE		D. MAXIMUM 30 DAY VALUE (if available)		C. LONG TERM AVG. VALUE (if available)		D. NO. OF ANALYSES	B. CONCENTRATION	D. MASS	E. LONG TERM AVERAGE VALUE		D. NO. OF ANALYSES
				(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS				(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	
GC/MS FRACTION - VOLATILE COMPOUNDS (continued)															
22V. Methylene Chloride (75-09-2)	X			< 5.0	-	< 5.0	-	< 5.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 5.0	-	1
23V. 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane (79-34-5)	X			< 4.1	-	< 4.1	-	< 4.1	-	1	ug/L	-	< 4.1	-	1
24V. Tetrachloroethylene (127-18-4)	X			< 3.0	-	< 3.0	-	< 3.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 3.0	-	1
25V. Toluene (108-88-3)	X			< 6.0	-	< 6.0	-	< 6.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 6.0	-	1
26V. 1,2-Trans-Dichloroethylene (156-60-5)	X			< 1.6	-	< 1.6	-	< 1.6	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.6	-	1
27V. 1,1,1-Trichloroethane (71-55-6)	X			< 3.8	-	< 3.8	-	< 3.8	-	1	ug/l	-	< 3.8	-	1
28V. 1,1,2-Trichloroethane (79-00-5)	X			< 5.0	-	< 5.0	-	< 5.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 5.0	-	1
29V. Trichloroethylene (79-01-6)	X			< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.0	-	1
30V. Trichlorofluoromethane (75-69-4)	X			< 3.2	-	< 3.2	-	< 3.2	-	1	ug/L	-	< 3.2	-	1
31V. Vinyl Chloride (75-01-4)	X			< 1.0	-	< 4.0	-	< 1.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.0	-	1
GC/MS FRACTION - ACID COMPOUNDS															
1A. 2-Chlorophenol (95-57-8)	X			< 2.0	-	< 2.0	-	< 2.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 2.0	-	1
2A. 2,4-Dichlorophenol (120-83-2)	X			< 2.0	-	< 2.0	-	< 2.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 2.0	-	1
3A. 2,4-Dimethylphenol (105-67-9)	X			< 2.0	-	< 2.0	-	< 2.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 2.0	-	1
4A. 4,6-Dinitro-O-Cresol (534-52-1)	X														
5A. 2,4-Dinitrophenol (51-28-5)	X			< 30	-	< 30	-	< 30	-	1	ug/L	-	< 30	-	1
6A. 2-Nitrophenol (88-75-5)	X			< 2.0	-	< 2.0	-	< 2.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 2.0	-	1
7A. 4-Nitrophenol (100-02-7)	X			< 10	-	< 10	-	< 10	-	1	ug/L	-	< 10	-	1
8A. P-Chloro M-Cresol (59-50-7)	X														
9A. Pentachlorophenol (87-86-5)	X			< 10	-	< 10	-	< 10	-	1	ug/L	-	< 10	-	1
10A. Phenol (108-95-2)	X			< 2.0	-	< 2.0	-	< 2.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 2.0	-	1
11A. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol (88-06-2)	X			< 3.0	-	< 3.0	-	< 3.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 3.0	-	1

10.1.2-10

CONTINUED FROM THE FRONT

1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NUMBER (if available)	2. MARK 'X'			3. EFFLUENT						4. UNITS		5. INTAKE (optional)			
	A. TESTING REQUIRMENTS	B. RECEIVED PRESENT	C. RECEIVED ABSENT	B. MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE		D. MAXIMUM 30 DAY VALUE (if available)		E. LONG TERM AVG. VALUE (if available)		G. NO. OF ANALYSES	B. CONCENTRATION	D. MASS	F. LONG TERM AVERAGE VALUE		H. NO. OF ANALYSES
				(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS				(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	
GC/MS FRACTION - BASE/NEUTRAL COMPOUNDS															
1B. Acenaphthone (83-32-9)	X			< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.0	-	1
2B. Aconaphthylene (208-96-8)	X			< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.0	-	1
3B. Anthracene (120-12-7)	X			< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.0	-	1
4B. Benzidine (92-87-5)	X			< 5.0	-	< 5.0	-	< 5.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 5.0	-	1
5B. Benzo (a) Anthracene (56-55-3)	X			< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.0	-	1
6B. Benzo (a) Pyrene (50-32-8)	X			< 2.0	-	< 2.0	-	< 2.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 2.0	-	1
7B. 3,4-Benzo-fluoranthene (205-99-2)	X			< 1.5	-	< 1.5	-	< 1.5	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.5	-	1
8B. Benzo (ghi) Perylene (191-24-2)	X			< 2.5	-	< 2.5	-	< 2.5	-	1	ug/L	-	< 2.5	-	1
9B. Benzo (h) Fluoranthene (207-08-9)	X			< 1.5	-	< 1.5	-	< 1.5	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.5	-	1
10B. Bis (2-Chloro-ethoxy) Methane (111-91-1)	X			< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.0	-	1
11B. Bis (2-Chloro-ethyl) Ether (111-44-4)	X			< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.0	-	1
12B. Bis (2-Chloroisopropyl) Ether (102-60-1)	X			< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.0	-	1
13B. Bis (2-Ethylhexyl) Phthalate (117-81-7)	X			< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.0	-	1
14B. 4-Bromophenyl Phenyl Ether (101-55-3)	X			< 2.0	-	< 2.0	-	< 2.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 2.0	-	1
15B. Butyl Benzyl Phthalate (85-68-7)	X			< 1.5	-	< 1.5	-	< 1.5	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.5	-	1
16B. 2-Chloronaphthalene (91-58-7)	X			< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.0	-	1
17B. 4-Chlorophenyl Phenyl Ether (7005-72-3)	X			< 1.5	-	< 1.5	-	< 1.5	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.5	-	1
18B. Chrysene (218-01-9)	X			< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.0	-	1
19B. Dibenzo (a,h) Anthracene (53-70-3)	X			< 2.5	-	< 2.5	-	< 2.5	-	1	ug/L	-	< 2.5	-	1
20B. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene (95-50-1)	X			< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.0	-	1
21B. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene (541-73-1)	X			< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.0	-	1

10.1.2-11

CONTINUED FROM PAGE V-6

1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NUMBER (if available)	2. MARK 'X'			3. EFFLUENT						4. UNITS		5. INTAKE (optional)			
	A. TESTING NUMBER (QUIN-KU)	B. BELIEVED PRESENT	C. BELIEVED ABSENT	a. MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE:		b. MAXIMUM 30 DAY VALUE (if available):		c. LONG TERM AVG. VALUE (if available):		d. NO. OF ANALYSES	e. CONCENTRATION	f. MASS	g. LONG TERM AVERAGE VALUE		h. NO. OF ANALYSES
				(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS				(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	
GC/MS FRACTION - BASE/NEUTRAL COMPOUNDS (continued)															
22B. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene (106-46-7)	X			< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.0	-	1
23B. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine (91-94-1)	X			< 3.0	-	< 3.0	-	< 3.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 3.0	-	1
24B. Diethyl Phthalate (84-66-2)	X			< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.0	-	1
25B. Dimethyl Phthalate (131-11-3)	X			< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.0	-	1
26B. Di-N-Butyl Phthalate (84-74-2)	X			< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.0	-	1
27B. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene (121-14-2)	X			< 2.0	-	< 2.0	-	< 2.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 2.0	-	1
28B. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene (606-20-2)	X			< 2.0	-	< 2.0	-	< 2.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 2.0	-	1
29B. Di-N-Octyl Phthalate (117-84-0)	X			< 2.0	-	< 2.0	-	< 2.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 2.0	-	1
30B. 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine (as Azobenzene) (122-66-7)	X			< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.0	-	1
31B. Fluoranthene (206-44-0)	X			< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.0	-	1
32B. Fluorene (86-73-7)	X			< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.0	-	1
33B. Hexachlorobenzene (118-74-1)	X			< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.0	-	1
34B. Hexachlorobutadiene (87-68-3)	X			< 2.0	-	< 2.0	-	< 2.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 2.0	-	1
35B. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene (77-47-4)	X			< 2.0	-	< 2.0	-	< 2.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 2.0	-	1
36B. Hexachloroethane (67-72-1)	X			< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.0	-	1
37B. Indeno (1,2,3-cd) Pyrene (193-39-5)	X			< 2.5	-	< 2.5	-	< 2.5	-	1	ug/L	-	< 2.5	-	1
38B. Isophorone (78-59-1)	X			< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.0	-	1
39B. Naphthalene (91-20-3)	X			< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.0	-	1
40B. Nitrobenzene (98-95-3)	X			< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.0	-	1
41B. N-Nitrosodimethylamine (62-75-9)	X			< 1.5	-	< 1.5	-	< 1.5	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.5	-	1
42B. N-Nitrosodi-N-Propylamine (621-64-7)	X			< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	-	1	ug/L	-	< 1.0	-	1

10.1.2-12

CONTINUED FROM THE FRONT

1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NUMBER (if available)	2. MARK 'X'		3. EFFLUENT						4. UNITS		5. INTAKE (optional)			
	a. NO. OF ANAL. YSES	b. CONCENTRATION	a. MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE		b. MAXIMUM 30 DAY VALUE (if available)		c. LONG TERM AVG. VALUE (if available)		d. NO. OF ANAL. YSES	e. CONCENTRATION	f. MASS	g. LONG TERM AVERAGE VALUE		h. NO. OF ANAL. YSES
			(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS				(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	
GC/MS FRACTION -- BASE/NEUTRAL COMPOUNDS (continued)														
43B. N-Nitro diphenylamine (86 30-6)	X		<1.0	-	<1.0	-	<1.0	-	-	ug/L	-	<1.0	-	1
44B. Phenanthrene (85 01-8)	X		<1.0	-	<1.0	-	<1.0	-	-	ug/L	-	<1.0	-	1
46B. Pyrene (129 00-0)	X		<1.0	-	<1.0	-	<1.0	-	-	ug/L	-	<1.0	-	1
46B. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene (120 82-1)	X		<1.0	-	<1.0	-	<1.0	-	-	ug/L	-	<1.0	-	1
GC/MS FRACTION -- PESTICIDES														
1P. Aldrin (309 00-2)	X		<0.006	-	<0.006	-	<0.006	-	-	ug/L	-	<0.006	-	1
2P. α -BHC (319 84-6)	X		<0.006	-	<0.006	-	<0.006	-	-	ug/L	-	<0.006	-	1
3P. β -BHC (319 85-7)	X		<0.006	-	<0.006	-	<0.006	-	-	ug/L	-	<0.006	-	1
4P. γ -BHC (319 89-9)	X		<0.006	-	<0.006	-	<0.006	-	-	ug/L	-	<0.006	-	1
5P. δ -BHC (319 86-8)	X		<0.006	-	<0.006	-	<0.006	-	-	ug/L	-	<0.006	-	1
6P. Chlordane (57 74-9)	X		<0.029	-	<0.029	-	<0.029	-	-	ug/L	-	<0.029	-	1
7P. 4,4'-DDT (50 29-3)	X		<0.006	-	<0.006	-	<0.006	-	-	ug/L	-	<0.006	-	1
8P. 4,4'-DDE (72 55-9)	X		<0.006	-	<0.006	-	<0.006	-	-	ug/L	-	<0.006	-	1
9P. 4,4'-DDD (72 54-8)	X		<0.029	-	<0.029	-	<0.029	-	-	ug/L	-	<0.029	-	1
10P. Dieldrin (60 57-1)	X		<0.006	-	<0.006	-	<0.006	-	-	ug/L	-	<0.006	-	1
11P. α -Endosulfan (115 29-7)	X		<0.006	-	<0.006	-	<0.006	-	-	ug/L	-	<0.006	-	1
12P. β -Endosulfan (115 29-7)	X		<0.006	-	<0.006	-	<0.006	-	-	ug/L	-	<0.006	-	1
13P. Endosulfan Sulfate (1031 07 0)	X		<0.029	-	<0.029	-	<0.029	-	-	ug/L	-	<0.029	-	1
14P. Endrin (72 20-8)	X		<0.006	-	<0.006	-	<0.006	-	-	ug/L	-	<0.006	-	1
15P. Endrin Aldehyde (7421 93-4)	X		<0.006	-	<0.006	-	<0.006	-	-	ug/L	-	<0.006	-	1
16P. Heptachlor (76 44-8)	X		<0.006	-	<0.006	-	<0.006	-	-	ug/L	-	<0.006	-	1

10.1.2-13

CONTINUED FROM PAGE V-8

EPA I.D. NUMBER (copy from Item 1 of Form 1) **FLD 0 0 0 8 0 7 4 5 3** OUTFALL NUMBER **001**

Form Approved
OMB No. 2040-0086
Approval expires 7/31/88

1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NUMBER (if available)	2. MARK 'X'			3. EFFLUENT						4. UNITS		5. INTAKE (optional)			
	A. TESTING METHOD	B. BELIEVED PRESENT	C. BELIEVED ABSENT	B. MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE		b. MAXIMUM 30 DAY VALUE (if available)		c. LONG TERM AVG. VALUE (if available)		D. NO. OF ANALYSES	B. CONCENTRATION	D. MASS	B. LONG TERM AVERAGE VALUE		D. NO. OF ANALYSES
				(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS				(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	
GC/MS FRACTION - PESTICIDES (continued)															
17P. Heptachlor Epoxide (1024-57-3)	X			< 0.006	-	< 0.006	-	< 0.006	-	1	ug/L	-	< 0.006	-	1
18P. PCB-1242 (53469-21-9)	X			< 0.118	-	< 0.118	-	< 0.118	-	1	ug/L	-	< 0.118	-	1
19P. PCB-1254 (11097-69-1)	X			< 0.118	-	< 0.118	-	< 0.118	-	1	ug/L	-	< 0.118	-	1
20P. PCB-1221 (11104-28-2)	X			< 0.118	-	< 0.118	-	< 0.118	-	1	ug/L	-	< 0.118	-	1
21P. PCB-1232 (11141-16-5)	X			< 0.118	-	< 0.118	-	< 0.118	-	1	ug/L	-	< 0.118	-	1
22P. PCB-1248 (12672-29-6)	X			< 0.118	-	< 0.118	-	< 0.118	-	1	ug/L	-	< 0.118	-	1
23P. PCB-1260 (11096-82-5)	X			< 0.118	-	< 0.118	-	< 0.118	-	1	ug/L	-	< 0.118	-	1
24P. PCB-1016 (12674-11-2)	X			< 0.118	-	< 0.118	-	< 0.118	-	1	ug/L	-	< 0.118	-	1
25P. Toxaphene (8001-35-2)	X			< 0.588	-	< 0.588	-	< 0.588	-	1	ug/L	-	< 0.588	-	1

10.1.2-14

PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE IN THE UNSHADED AREAS ONLY. You may report some or all of this information on separate sheets (use the same format) instead of completing these pages. SEE INSTRUCTIONS.

EPA I.D. NUMBER (copy from Item 1 of Form 1)

Form Approved.
OMB No 2040-0086
Approval expires 7-31-88

V. INTAKE AND EFFLUENT CHARACTERISTICS (continued from page 3 of Form 2-C)

OUTFALL NO
001

PART A - You must provide the results of at least one analysis for every pollutant in this table. Complete one table for each outfall. See instructions for additional details.

1. POLLUTANT	2. EFFLUENT						3. UNITS (specify if blank)		4. INTAKE (optional)				
	a. MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE		b. MAXIMUM 30 DAY VALUE (if available)		c. LONG TERM AVRG. VALUE (if available)		d. NO. OF ANALYSES	a. CONCENTRATION	b. MASS	a. LONG TERM AVERAGE VALUE		b. NO. OF ANALYSES	
	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS				(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS		
a. Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)													
b. Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)			ANALYTICAL DATA FOR THE CENTRAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT EFFLUENT WILL BE COLLECTED										
c. Total Organic Carbon (TOC)			AND ANALYZED AT THE STARTUP OF THE WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY.										
d. Total Suspended Solids (TSS)			(Table IV-1 in Attachment 4 lists the estimated water quality data)										
e. Ammonia (as N)													
f. Flow	VALUE		VALUE		VALUE					VALUE			
g. Temperature (winter)	VALUE		VALUE		VALUE			°C		VALUE			
h. Temperature (summer)	VALUE		VALUE		VALUE			°C		VALUE			
i. pH	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	X			STANDARD UNITS		X			

PART B - Mark "X" in column 2-a for each pollutant you know or have reason to believe is present. Mark "X" in column 2-b for each pollutant you believe to be absent. If you mark column 2a for any pollutant which is limited either directly, or indirectly but expressly, in an effluent limitations guideline, you must provide the results of at least one analysis for that pollutant. For other pollutants for which you mark column 2a, you must provide quantitative data or an explanation of their presence in your discharge. Complete one table for each outfall. See the instructions for additional details and requirements.

1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NO. (if available)	2. MARK 'X'		3. EFFLUENT						4. UNITS		5. INTAKE (optional)			
	a. BELIEVED PRESENT	b. BELIEVED ABSENT	a. MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE		b. MAXIMUM 30 DAY VALUE (if available)		c. LONG TERM AVRG. VALUE (if available)		d. NO. OF ANALYSES	a. CONCENTRATION	b. MASS	a. LONG TERM AVERAGE VALUE		b. NO. OF ANALYSES
			(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS				(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	
a. Bromide (24959-67-9)														
b. Chlorine, Total Residual														
c. Color														
d. Fecal Coliform														
e. Fluoride (16984-48-8)														
f. Nitrate-Nitrite (as N)														

10.1-2-15

PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE IN THE UNSHADED AREAS ONLY. You may report some or all of this information on separate sheets (use the same format) instead of completing these pages. SEE INSTRUCTIONS.

EPA I.D. NUMBER (copy from Item 1 of Form 1)

FLD 0 0 0 8 0 7 4 5 3

Form Approved
OMB No. 2040-0086
Approval expires 7-31-88

V. INTAKE AND EFFLUENT CHARACTERISTICS (continued from page 3 of Form 2-C)

OUTFALL NO

004

PART A - You must provide the results of at least one analysis for every pollutant in this table. Complete one table for each outfall. See instructions for additional details.

1. POLLUTANT	2. EFFLUENT						d. NO. OF ANALYSES	3. UNITS (specify if blank)		4. INTAKE (optional)			
	b. MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE		d. MAXIMUM 30 DAY VALUE (if available)		c. LONG TERM AVRG. VALUE (if available)			b. CONCENTRATION	b. MASS	a. LONG TERM AVERAGE VALUE		b. NO. OF ANALYSES	
	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS				(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS		
a. Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)													
b. Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)			SCREEN WASH WATER IS THE SAME AS THE ONCE-THROUGH COOLING WATER (INTAKE)										
c. Total Organic Carbon (TOC)			AND THUS HAS THE SAME CHEMICAL AND PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS.										
d. Total Suspended Solids (TSS)													
e. Ammonia (as N)													
f. Flow	VALUE		VALUE		VALUE					VALUE			
g. Temperature (winter)	VALUE		VALUE		VALUE			°C		VALUE			
h. Temperature (summer)	VALUE		VALUE		VALUE			°C		VALUE			
i. pH	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	X			STANDARD UNITS		X			

PART B - Mark "X" in column 2-a for each pollutant you know or have reason to believe is present. Mark "X" in column 2-b for each pollutant you believe to be absent. If you mark column 2a for any pollutant which is limited either directly, or indirectly but expressly, in an effluent limitations guideline, you must provide the results of at least one analysis for that pollutant. For other pollutants for which you mark column 2a, you must provide quantitative data or an explanation of their presence in your discharge. Complete one table for each outfall. See the instructions for additional details and requirements.

1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NO. (if available)	2. MARK 'X'		3. EFFLUENT						d. NO. OF ANALYSES	4. UNITS		5. INTAKE (optional)		
	a. BELIEVED PRESENT	b. BELIEVED ABSENT	b. MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE		d. MAXIMUM 30 DAY VALUE (if available)		c. LONG TERM AVRG. VALUE (if available)			b. CONCENTRATION	b. MASS	a. LONG TERM AVERAGE VALUE		b. NO. OF ANALYSES
			(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS				(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	
a. Bromide (24959-67-9)														
b. Chlorine, Total Residual														
c. Color														
d. Fecal Coliform														
e. Fluoride (16984-48-8)														
f. Nitrate-Nitrite (as N)														

10.1.2-16

PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE IN THE UNSHADED AREAS ONLY. You may report some or all of this information on separate sheets (use the same format) instead of completing these pages. SEE INSTRUCTIONS.

EPA I.D. NUMBER (copy from Item 1 of Form 1)

FLD 0 0 0 8 0 7 4 5 3

Form Approved
OMB No 2040-0086
Approval expires 7-31-88

V. INTAKE AND EFFLUENT CHARACTERISTICS (continued from page 3 of Form 2-C)

OUTFALL NO
005

PART A - You must provide the results of at least one analysis for every pollutant in this table. Complete one table for each outfall. See instructions for additional details.

1. POLLUTANT	2. EFFLUENT							3. UNITS (specify if blank)		4. INTAKE (optional)		
	a. MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE		b. MAXIMUM 30 DAY VALUE (if available)		c. LONG TERM AVG. VALUE (if available)		d. NO. OF ANALYSES	a. CONCENTRATION	b. MASS	a. LONG TERM AVERAGE VALUE		b. NO. OF ANALYSES
	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS				(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	
a. Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)												
b. Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	ANALYTICAL DATA FOR NON-EQUIPMENT AREA STORMWATER WAS NOT REQUIRED											
c. Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	FOR THE EXISTING NPDES PERMIT, THERE ARE NO LIMITATIONS OR MONITORING											
d. Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DISCHARGE OF STORMWATER RUNOFF.											
e. Ammonia (as N)												
f. Flow	VALUE		VALUE		VALUE					VALUE		
g. Temperature (winter)	VALUE		VALUE		VALUE			°C		VALUE		
h. Temperature (summer)	VALUE		VALUE		VALUE			°C		VALUE		
i. pH	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	X			STANDARD UNITS		X		

PART B - Mark "X" in column 2-a for each pollutant you know or have reason to believe is present. Mark "X" in column 2-b for each pollutant you believe to be absent. If you mark column 2a for any pollutant which is limited either directly, or indirectly but expressly, in an effluent limitations guideline, you must provide the results of at least one analysis for that pollutant. For other pollutants for which you mark column 2a, you must provide quantitative data or an explanation of their presence in your discharge. Complete one table for each outfall. See the instructions for additional details and requirements.

1. POLLUTANT AND CAS NO. (if available)	2. MARK 'X'		3. EFFLUENT						4. UNITS		5. INTAKE (optional)			
	a. BELIEVED PRESENT	b. BELIEVED ABSENT	a. MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE		b. MAXIMUM 30 DAY VALUE (if available)		c. LONG TERM AVG. VALUE (if available)		d. NO. OF ANALYSES	a. CONCENTRATION	b. MASS	a. LONG TERM AVERAGE VALUE		b. NO. OF ANALYSES
			(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS				(1) CONCENTRATION	(2) MASS	
a. Bromide (24959-67-9)														
b. Chlorine, Total Residual														
c. Color														
d. Fecal Coliform														
e. Fluoride (16984-48-8)														
f. Nitrate-Nitrite (as N)														

10.1.2-17

VII. BIOLOGICAL TOXICITY TESTING DATA

Do you have any knowledge or reason to believe that any biological test for acute or chronic toxicity has been made on any of your discharges or on a receiving water in relation to your discharge within the last 3 years?

YES (identify the test(s) and describe their purposes below)

NO (go to Section VIII)

VIII. CONTRACT ANALYSIS INFORMATION

Were any of the analyses reported in Item V performed by a contract laboratory or consulting firm?


YES (list the name, address, and telephone number of, and pollutants analyzed by, each such laboratory or firm below)


NO (go to Section IX)

A. NAME	B. ADDRESS	C. TELEPHONE (area code & no.)	D. POLLUTANTS ANALYZED (list)
Hunter/ESE Hunter Services, Inc.	P.O. Box 1703 Gainesville, FL 32602	(904) 332-3318	as listed on pages V-1 to V-9

IX. CERTIFICATION

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

A. NAME & OFFICIAL TITLE (Type or print) Martin A. Smith, Ph.D., Manager Environmental Permitting and Programs	B. PHONE NO. (area code & no.) (407) 640-2030
C. SIGNATURE 	D. DATE SIGNED 11/27/89



Attachment 1 OUTLINE OF THE FPL FACILITY AND ITS EXISTING AND PROPOSED INTAKE AND OUTFALL LOCATIONS




Lauderdale Repowering Project

FPL


10.1.2-20

KEY

- RELEASE POINTS FOR NONEQUIPMENT STORMWATER
- ★ RELEASE POINT FOR CENTRAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY EFFLUENT
- + SATELLITE HAZARDOUS WASTE ACCUMULATION AREAS



0 100 200 300 400 500 Feet
0 50 100 Meters



Attachment 2 DETAIL OF PROPOSED INTAKE AND OUTFALL LOCATIONS




**Lauderdale
Repowering
Project**

FPL

ERRATA-1/2

10.1.2-21



Attachment 3 LOCATION OF BROWARD COUNTY UTILITIES DIVISION DISTRICT 3A WELLFIELD PROTECTION ZONES AND LAUDERDALE PLANT ON-SITE AND OFF-SITE PRODUCTION WELLS



**Lauderdale
Repowering
Project**

FPL

Attachment 3. Off-Site Well Inventory of Permitted Wells Within a
1-Mile Radius of the Lauderdale Plant Site (Page 2)

General Use Permit (Non-Community System)

<u>Location</u>	<u>Description</u>
Oakridge Country Club 3490 Griffin Road	One well, 75 ft deep, 4-inch-diameter, pump capacity 180 to 240 gallons per minute (gpm)
Marino's Italian Restaurant 5191 S. SR 7	One well, depth unknown
Charge Service Station 4700 S. SR 7	One well, depth unknown
Oasis Truck Stop 5470 S. SR 7	One well, depth unknown
Woods Nursery 3400 S. SR 7	One well, depth unknown
Amoco Service Station 3612 S. SR 7	One well, depth unknown
Powell Brothers North of Dania Cut-Off Canal 9th East of 441 (4400 S. SR 7)	One well, depth unknown

Consumptive Use Permit (Community System)

Broward County Utility 3A Wellfield SW 40 Ave. and Griffin Rd.	Four wells, 100 ft deep, casing diameter 10 inches, cased section 0 to 100 ft, open internal 100 to 110 ft, permitted pumpage 4.288 mgd
Ferncrest Utilities, Inc. 3015 SW 54th Avenue Average Pumpage: 2.1 mgd	Two wells; well No. 1 89 ft deep, 6 inches diameter; well No.2 87 ft deep, 4 inches diameter; cased and open intervals not available, average pumpage 2.1 mgd

ATTACHMENT 4

RESPONSE TO ITEM IVB--IMPROVEMENTS

The discharge sources at the repowered plant will include the heat dissipation system, domestic/sanitary wastewater, equipment area stormwater, and wastewaters from the process water systems. The changes from the existing to the repowered plant in these wastewater flows, quality, and treatment processes is summarized as follows:

1. Heat Dissipation System--The heat dissipation system consists of two components, the condenser cooling water system and the auxiliary cooling (open cooling) system. These systems include:
 - a. Condenser cooling water system--This system is the largest discharge from the plant (at 232,000 gpm) and will not be changed in terms of flow rate or temperature rise.
 - b. Open cooling water system--The flow rate for this system will be increased from 6,000 gpm to 20,000 gpm. The water source will be changed from groundwater to surface water (i.e., the Dania Cut-Off Canal) but the location of the discharge will remain the same.
2. Domestic/sanitary wastewater--This is the smallest water use at the plant (9 gpm) and the quality is not expected to change with repowering. The existing system discharges to various septic tanks located on the plant property. After repowering, the system will discharge primarily to a POTW; however, some small contributors (i.e., remote restrooms) will continue to use the septic tanks.
3. Process water system--The source of water for this system will be changed from exclusively potable groundwater to predominately nonpotable groundwater. As such, the wastestreams generated during the water treatment processes will change (in quantity and quality) and a new treatment facility will be constructed.
4. Equipment area stormwater and floor washings will be collected and routed to the new central wastewater treatment facility before being released to the existing cooling canal/pond system.

A quantitative water use diagram for the above systems is presented in Figure IV-1. Discussions of these systems are presented in detail in the following sections.

HEAT DISSIPATION SYSTEM

SYSTEM DESIGN

The repowered plant will continue to utilize the existing open cycle cooling canal/pond system for heat dissipation. The heat will be dissipated via water used for condenser cooling (circulating water) and for open cooling (steam turbine generator hydrogen coolers, steam turbine lube oil coolers, steam turbine hydrogen seal oil coolers, CT generator hydrogen coolers, CT lube oil coolers, HRSG feed pump lube oil coolers, HRSG feed pump mechanical seal water heat exchangers, instrument air compressors and aftercoolers, service air compressors and aftercoolers, steam and water analysis sample cooler, condensate pump motor bearing coolers, and mechanical vacuum pump heat exchangers).

Water from the Dania Cut-Off Canal passes directly through the intake channel into the intake structure. The intake structure is divided in the center by a concrete wall which forms two separate pump bays, each furnished with one vertical circulating water pump (CWP) and one vertical open cooling water pump (OCWP). The dividing wall prevents any possible vortex interference between the two CWPs and reduces the size of the intake screens and stop logs upstream of the pumps. The dividing wall, in conjunction with the stop logs, also permits isolation of each bay to facilitate maintenance. The intake screens, consisting of bar or coarse screens, and traveling screens, and the floating trash boom, minimize the carryover of objectionable debris. The bar screens are furnished with a trash rake to clean off collected debris. Screen wash water and screen debris flow together through intake structure troughs which discharge into a trash bucket. The trash bucket traps the debris and allows the water to return to the channel. Debris is disposed to an off-site landfill.




Figure IV-1 PROPOSED WATER USES SIMPLIFIED FLOW DIAGRAM



Lauderdale Repowering Project

FPL

Each circulating water pump discharges into a separate 4-1/2-ft diameter reinforced concrete pressure pipe which conveys the cooling water to a cast iron pipe and waterbox at the condenser inlet. The water flows through the Sea-cure stainless steel tubes, through a cast iron waterbox and pipe at the condenser outlet, and through both a reinforced concrete pressure pipe and a corrugated pipe encased in concrete, out into the discharge canal. The condenser is of a single shell, single pressure, divided waterbox design. The circulating water picks up heat in the condenser, causing the steam from the steam turbine to condense.

The two open cooling water pumps discharge into a common header which conveys cooling water to two 100-percent self-cleaning strainers which further strain objectionable debris from the water. The strainers are located adjacent to the intake structure and discharge the backwash flow into the Dania Cut-Off Canal. Water from the strainers passes on to and through three 50-percent capacity closed cooling water heat exchangers (HX) and is released to the discharge canal. Isolation valves are furnished for each HX, along with valving to facilitate backwashing the HX.

This water from the "open" side of the system is used to cool water from the closed side of the same system. That "closed" cooling water, in turn, has picked up heat from all those items listed above (e.g., hydrogen coolers, lube oil coolers, etc.).

DOMESTIC/SANITARY WASTEWATER

Domestic/sanitary wastewater, as shown in Figure IV-1, is now and will continue to be generated from the potable water system. The expected flow rate is 45 gpm average (about 65,000 gallons a day) and about 115 gpm maximum. The use within showers, sinks, and toilets is only expected to change the water quality from that of the city water in classical sanitary ways by increasing BOD, COD, suspended solids, nitrogen, phosphorous, and fecal coliform. The treatment and disposal system for the project will include an entirely new collection system discharging to the municipal sewer system. The system includes an underground gravity collection

system, pumping stations, and a force main connecting to the City of Hollywood sewer system at Edgewater Road. Septic tanks north of the existing discharge canal and east of the existing evaporation/percolation pond will remain in service.

PROCESS WATER SYSTEMS

Figure IV-2 is a flow diagram of the proposed process water treatment system. These uses include CT steam injection, HRSG makeup, pump seal water, evaporative coolers, and HRSG chemical cleaning. Wastewater flows will be recycled or sent to the proposed new central wastewater treatment system.

The proposed process water treatment system includes clarification and filtration to remove suspended solids and color (preceded by flocculation). Because the primary water source is non-potable water from on-site wells, further treatment is provided by reverse osmosis (RO) to remove dissolved solids. After undergoing the RO treatment, the water is passed through a degasifier to remove CO₂ and, finally, a mixed-bed demineralizer for final polishing. The demineralized water is thus of very high quality (e.g., TDS less than 1 mg/L). Service water, for fire protection, HRSG blowdown quench, and evaporative coolers, is drawn off after the RO stage (i.e., before demineralization).

Figure IV-2 shows the various reuses of water within the water treatment system which will be utilized to minimize source water requirements. Clarifier underflow water will be recycled (averaging 55 gpm) by the use of a filter press to remove that water from the clarifier sludge. Similarly, dual media filter backwash water (averaging 58 gpm) will also be recycled. Evaporative cooler water will be recycled (averaging 43 gpm) as will the rinse waters from demineralizer regeneration (averaging 25 gpm). Blowdown from the HRSGs and blowdown quench water (combined average of 32 gpm) will also be recycled. The total average flow of these recycled streams, about 213 gpm, will be returned to the raw water storage tank, which will be the source of water to the treatment system.




Figure IV-2 PROPOSED PROCESS WATER TREATMENT SYSTEM



Lauderdale
 Repowering
 Project

FPL

Wastewaters from the proposed process water treatment system will be treated within a new central wastewater treatment facility, as shown on Figure IV-3. This facility is described in detail in the following section. Table IV-1 presents the estimated quality and quantity of the wastewaters which are influent to this facility.

CHEMICAL WASTESTREAM TREATMENT

Operation of the repowered Units 4 and 5 will generate various chemical-containing liquid wastestreams. Certain of these wastestreams will be treated before discharge. The wastewater treatment system design (see Figure IV-4) consists of the following processes:

1. Pretreatment of oily waste (to remove oils) through the use of a physical/chemical separation process,
2. Neutralization and filtration of the wastewater before discharge, and
3. Off-site disposal for separated oil and dewatered sludge.

Following are brief descriptions of each of the treatment processes involved.

OILY WASTE

Physical Separation Process

A physical separator will be used to remove free oils and grease from the oily wastestream. This separator is capable of effectively separating free oils, grease and settleable solids from water. The slant rib coalescer pack (SRCP) in the separator provides greater coalescing and solids separation area than any other media currently available. The ribs of the SRCP are slanted toward the surface in the direction of flow, encouraging separated oil to float to the surface along the plates before breaking free. The separator consists of the following:

1. Inlet and diffusion chamber where larger solids will drop out into the sludge chamber before entering the pack.




Figure IV-3 WASTEWATER FLOW CHARACTERIZATION



Lauderdale
Repowering
Project

FPL

Table IV-1. Wastewater Characterization (Page 1 of 3)

Parameter	Concentration*			
	Reverse Osmosis Concentrate	Demineralizer Regeneration Waste	Battery Room Waste	Sampling System Effluent
Flow, gpm (average)	295	36	0	2
Flow, gpm (maximum)	667	100	35	3
Calcium (Ca)	476	11	0.3	<1
Magnesium (Mg)	437	10	0.3	<1
Sodium (Na)	3,660	7,063	27	7
Potassium (K)	0	0	0	0
Ammonia (NH ₃)	0	0	0	<1 -2
Carbonate (CO ₃)	0	0	0	0
Bicarbonate (HCO ₃)	674	107	3	0
Chloride (Cl)	6,672	1,443	41	<1
Sulfate (SO ₄)	1,137	12,367	0.2	<1
Phosphate (PO ₄)	0	0	0	<10
Silica (SiO ₂)	44	42	1.2	<1.5
Iron (Fe)	0	0	0	0.01
Copper (Cu)	0	0	0	0.005
Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂)	112	0	10	0
Oil and Grease	0	0	0	0
Organics (TOC)	0	0	0	<10
TDS	13,100	21,043	83	<1.0
TSS	0	0	10	0
pH (standard units)	7.0	7.0	7.0	9.4 - 9.8

Table IV-1. Wastewater Characterization (Page 2 of 3)

Parameter	Concentration*			
	Oily Waste	Combustion Turbine Washwater	HRSG Chemical Rinse Waters	Chemical Laboratory ⁺
Flow, gpm (average)	71	0	1	5
Flow, gpm (maximum)	529	81	400	25
Calcium (Ca)	0.3	0	<1	1,566
Magnesium (Mg)	0.3	0	<1	214
Sodium (Na)	26	0.2	7	740
Potassium (K)	0	0	0	1
Ammonia (NH ₃)	0	0	<1 - 2	0
Carbonate (CO ₃)	0	0	0	620
Bicarbonate (HCO ₃)	3	0	0	ND**
Chloride (Cl)	41	0.2	<1	331
Sulfate (SO ₄)	0.2	0	<1	16
Phosphate (PO ₄)	0	0	<10	96
Silica (SiO ₂)	1.2	0.1	<1.5	19
Iron (Fe)	0	0.005	0.01	1
Copper (Cu)	0	0.004	0.005	0
Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂)	0	0	0	0
Oil and Grease	1,640	0.1	0	0
Organics (TOC)	0	0.1	<10	ND**
TDS	72	0.509	<24.5	2,421
TSS	25	100	50	0
pH (standard units)	7.9	ND**	9.4 - 9.8	10.8

Table IV-1. Wastewater Characterization (Page 3 of 3)

Parameter	Concentration*	
	Wastewater Treatment Facility Effluent	Combined Release to Discharge Canal**
Flow, gpm (average)	114	409
Flow, gpm (maximum)	781	781
Calcium (Ca)	3	395
Magnesium (Mg)	3	363
Sodium (Na)	2,000	3,547
Potassium (K)	0	0
Ammonia (NH ₃)	0	0
Carbonate (CO ₃)	<10	<1.7
Bicarbonate (HCO ₃)	<50	567
Chloride (Cl)	<400	5,601
Sulfate (SO ₄)	3,000	1,455
Phosphate (PO ₄)	<1	<.17
Silica (SiO ₂)	<10	38
Iron (Fe)	<0.005	<0.001
Copper (Cu)	<0.005	<0.001
Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂)	0	93
Oil and Grease	5	0.85
Organics (TOC)	1	0.17
TDS	7,000	12,058
TSS	5	0.9
pH (standard units)	7	7

*All units are in mg/L unless otherwise noted.

*Also: NH₄ = 6.1, MoO₄ = 18.3, NO₃ = 6.4, N₂H₄ = 32, and citric acid = 11.

**Not determined.

**Combined total of wastewater treatment system effluent and RO concentrate.

DESIGN PHILOSOPHY:

PRETREAT OILY WASTE TO REMOVE OILS THROUGH THE USE OF PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL SEPARATION PROCESS AND NEUTRALIZE AND FILTER THE COMBINED WASTEWATER BEFORE DISCHARGE AND OFF-SITE DISPOSAL FOR SEPARATED OIL AND DEWATERED SLUDGE




Figure IV-4 WASTEWATER TREATMENT SYSTEM

NOTE: FOR FLOW RATES, SEE FIGURE IV-3.



Lauderdale Repowering Project

FPL

2. Separation chamber filled with the SRCP. The ribbed plates are arranged perpendicular to the direction of flow. The ribbed plates will increase the resistance to flow, enlarge the oil droplets, and separate the oil from the water.
3. Sludge chamber providing adequate volume for the settled sludge.
4. Oil reservoir to accumulate the separated oil before it is removed to a remote storage tank.

Chemical Separation Process

A chemical separation process will be used to break the emulsification of oils in the water and enhance their separation. The first step is to lower the pH to 4 by adding sulfuric acid. At this pH level the chemical compound emulsions will be broken and oils will be freed from the wastewater. To ensure completion of the desired reactions and an increase in oil droplet sizes, aluminum sulfate (alum) will be added simultaneously with the acid. A physical separator will be provided to separate the oils and grease from the water.

The chemical process requires a rapid mixing tank for pH adjustment, a flocculation tank to enhance the particle size growth, two chemical preparation tanks, and two feed tanks with mixers as well as two feed pumps and two solution pumps.

Design Basis:

Flow (gpm)	200*
Oil influent concentration (ppm)	229 average, 1,600 maximum
Oil separation rate (gpm/ft ²)	0.2

*An equalization basin is provided to allow the design flow to be less than the maximum.

Effluent Disposition

The effluent from the chemical separation process is recombined with the other wastewater flows to receive filtration and pH adjustment before discharge.

NON-OILY WASTESTREAMS

Chemical Precipitation Process

The chemical precipitation process is very effective in removing total suspended solids, inorganic contaminants, and for making pH adjustments. The chemical precipitation process may consist of coagulation/flocculation/precipitation/ filtration depending on test results of actual wastewater testing. Chemical additions such as alum, iron salts, H_2SO_4 , NaOH, or polymer, may be needed to carry out the treatment function. The following unit processes will be provided and utilized as necessary.

Slow Mix Flocculation Tanks--Two tanks will be provided for flocculation. The minute particles will be brought into contact with each other and with other particles under prolonged agitation in this slow mix flocculation tank, during which time the particles coalesce, increase in size, and will be packed to a greater density for settlement.

Sedimentation Basin--One inclined up flow clarifier will be provided for precipitation. The inclined plate settler unit contains a series of stacked plates, approximately 2 inches apart, inclined at an angle of 55 to 60 degrees from the horizontal.

Filters--Two dual media pressure filters are provided for filtration. The objective of filtration is to produce an effluent with very low total suspended solids.

Design Basis:

Flow (gpm)	650
Slow mix (minutes detention time)	20
Precipitation overflow rate (gpm/ft ²)	0.5

Filtration rate (gpm/ft ²)	5
Backwash rate (gpm/ft ²)	15

SLUDGE HANDLING

Sludge Dewatering

The removal of pollutants or impurities from the wastestreams will result in the production of water insoluble compounds called sludge. It is desirable to minimize the volume of this waste product through sludge dewatering. The sludge volume reduction will be achieved by means of a thickener and mechanical dewatering device.

A thickener is comparable to a conventional settling tank except that the tank is deeper to accommodate a greater volume for sludge and has a heavier raking mechanism.

The mechanical dewatering device that will be used is a pressure filter because of the expected sludge dewaterability and desired cake dryness.

Design Basis:

Flow (gpm)	60
Thickener overflow rate (lb/ft ² /day)	8
Pressure filter cycle (hr)	2.5
Solid content (%)	40

BIOCIDES WASTESTREAMS

Present design of the Lauderdale Repowering Project does not include the installation of a dispensing system for injecting biocide into the circulating water system. Likewise, the existing plant does not use such biocides.

In the future, should biofouling become a serious problem in the circulating water system, FPL would evaluate the cause and all potential solutions to such a problem. Chlorination on an intermittent basis is the present preferred conventional method to control biofouling. Potential

alternatives include but are not limited to bromine chloride, chlorine dioxide, sodium bromide, ozonation, ultraviolet, and mechanical cleaning.

Should future conditions warrant the use of a biocide, FPL would request a modification to the Site Certification to allow such use, consistent with 40 CFR 423.13 (federal BAT); Chapter 17-3.121, F.A.C. (state Class III Water Quality Criteria); and BEQCB 27-5.081 (Broward County effluent limits).

Two biocides which are presently in use at the site will be used after repowering. They are both added to the fuel oil to prevent microbial growth. Biobor JF is an anti-microbe additive reported (MSDS, U.S. Borax and Chemical Corporation) to be an organoboron compound (mixed dioxaborinanes). It includes 5 percent naphtha. It is added to the oil at 1 part to between 55 and 4,500 parts oil when testing indicates microbial growth. Its toxicity is as follows:

Acute oral in albino rates--3.16 mL/kg.

Acute dermal LD₅₀ in albino rates--4.64 mL/kg.

No known human experience.

Long-term stability additive (LTSA) is a mixture of amines, polyamines, and alkyl ammonium alkyl phosphate (MSDS, Fuel Quality Services, Inc.) with kerosene (58 percent) as the solvent. It is a combustible liquid. It is added to the oil at 1 part to 5,000 parts of oil.

CHEMICAL ADDITIVES

A list of the chemical additives presently used for various processes and systems at the plant is as follows:

1. Lime [calcium hydroxide, Ca(OH)₂] is a basic compound used to adjust pH levels. It is used in raw water treatment (softening).
2. Polymer is a substance with a high molecular weight composed of repeating chemical units linked together into chain or network

structures. Used as a flocculent to enhance sedimentation and sludge dewatering.

3. Sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4) is an acidic compound used to reduce pH levels, is used in demineralizer regeneration and in pH adjustment before discharge to break oil emulsification and to aid in chemical precipitation.
4. Sodium Hydroxide (NaOH) is a basic compound used to raise pH levels, used in demineralizer regeneration, pH adjustment, and to aid in chemical precipitation.
5. Hydrazine (H_2NNH_2) is a strong reducing agent used as an oxygen scavenger to inhibit corrosion in steam generator condensate and feedwater.
6. Ammonia (NH_3) is a basic compound used to adjust pH levels in steam generator condensate and feedwater.
7. Tri-, di-, and mono-sodium phosphate are inorganic salts used in steam generator water to prevent scaling (deposition).
8. Molybdate is a substance used as a corrosion inhibitor in the closed side of an open cooling water system.
9. Tolyltriazole ($CH_2C_6H_3N_3H$) is a substance used as a corrosion inhibitor in the closed side of an open cooling water system.
10. Aluminum sulfate [alum, $Al_2(SO_4)_3$] is an inorganic salt used as a coagulant in separating emulsified oil from wastewater and for chemical precipitation.
11. Iron salts are inorganic salts used as a coagulant.
12. Diatomaceous earth is a natural material used in sludge dewatering.

APPENDIX 10.1.3

HAZARDOUS WASTE DISPOSAL APPLICATION/PERMIT

10.1.3 HAZARDOUS WASTE DISPOSAL APPLICATION/PERMIT

The Lauderdale Repowering project does not require a federal hazardous waste storage or treatment permit under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. A discussion of the project's hazardous waste management practice is contained in Section 3.7.2.

APPENDIX 10.1.4
SECTION 404 APPLICATION/PERMIT

JOINT APPLICATION
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY/FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION
For Activities in the Waters of the State of Florida

CORPS APPLICATION NUMBER (official use only)

DER APPLICATION NUMBER (official use only)

1. APPLICANT'S NAME AND ADDRESS

F L O R I D A P O W E R & L I G H T C O M P A N Y
 NAME

P O B O X 0 7 8 7 6 8
 STREET

W E S T P A L M B E A C H F L 3 3 4 0 7 0 7 6 8
 CITY STATE ZIP

TELEPHONE NUMBER (Day) (407) 640-2040 (Night) ()

2. Name, address, zip code and title of applicant's authorized agent for permit application coordination

June M. Small, Manager
 Environmental Resources and Planning
 P.O. Box 078768
 West Palm Beach, FL 33407-0768
 Telephone Number (407) 640-2040

3. NAME OF WATERWAY AT LOCATION OF THE ACTIVITY. Unnamed isolated wetland; not connected to Waters of Nation or State.

DER Code _____
 W/W Code _____

4. LOCATION WHERE PROPOSED ACTIVITY EXISTS OR WILL OCCUR.

S.W. 42nd Street, 2 miles west on Ravenswood Rd. 30 50 S 42 E
 Street, road or other descriptive location Section Township Range

City of Hollywood 26° 04' 10"N 80° 11' 45"W
 Incorporated city or town Latitude Longitude

Broward Tax Assessors Description: (if known)
 County

Map No. Subdiv. No. Lot No.

5. NAME AND ADDRESS INCLUDING ZIP CODE OF ADJOINING PROPERTY OWNERS WHOSE PROPERTY ALSO ADJOINS THE WATERWAY.

Not applicable--All adjoining property owned by FPL.

6. PROPOSED USE

Private Single Dwelling [] Private Multi-dwelling [] Public []
 Commercial [] Other [X] (Explain in remarks) Public Electrical Utility

7. DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT (Use additional sheets, if necessary) See Attachment 7.

A. Structures: 1. New work [X] Maintenance of existing structure []

2. Piers, docks and use: Commercial [] Private [] Public []

a. Single pier [] length _____ width _____

b. Number of piers [] length _____ width _____

c. Number of boat slips [] length _____ width _____

d. Number of finger piers [] length _____ width _____

e. Other (please describe) _____

3. Seawalls, revetments, bulkheads: length _____

a. Type: Vertical [] Riprap [] Slope: _____ Horizontal: _____ Vertical

b. Material to be used _____

4. Other type of structure Equipment parking/laydown area, equalization basin, and wastewater treatment

B. Excavation or Dredging: New Work [X] Maintenance work [] Total acreage involved _____

1. Access Channel [] or Canal [] Length _____ ft. Width _____ ft. Depth _____ ft.

2. Boat Basin [] or Boat Slip [] Length _____ ft. Width _____ ft. Depth _____ ft.

3. Other Wastewater treatment Length a. 300 ft. Width 150 ft. Depth 0.9* ft.
b. 110 ft. Width 200 ft. Depth _____ ft.

4. Cubic yards: Total for project _____ * average over both areas

a. 0 cyd. waterward/ 2,200 cyd. landward of ordinary/mean high water

b. Type of material to be excavated/dredged Clean sand, peat, and silty sand

C. Fill:

1. Amount of material

a. Cubic yards placed waterward of ordinary/mean high water -0-

b. Cubic yards placed landward of ordinary/mean high water 20,600



c. Total acreage to be filled 2.5 Total acreage of wetlands involved 2.5

2. Containment for fill

a. Dikes [] b. Seawall, etc. [] c. Other (please explain) _____ Elevation _____
of surrounding berms would contain fill

3. Type of fill material to be used Sand, shell, or rock (limestone)

4. Source of fill material to be used Local contractor



8. Date activity is proposed to commence March 1, 1991 ; to be completed March 1, 1996

9. Previous permits for this project have been _____ DER # _____ Corps # _____
A. Denied (date) _____
B. Issued (date) _____ N/A _____
C. Other (please explain) _____

Differentiate between existing work and proposed work on the drawings.

10. Remarks (See Instruction Pamphlet for additional information required for all applications and certain activities. Use additional sheets if necessary.)

See Attachment 10

11. AFFIDAVIT OF OWNERSHIP OR CONTROL of the property on which the proposed project is to be undertaken

I CERTIFY THAT: (please check appropriate space)

I am the record owner, lessee, or record easement holder of the property described below.

I am not the record owner, lessee, or record easement holder of the property described below, but I will have before undertaking the proposed work the requisite property interest. (Please explain what the interest will be and how it will be acquired.)

LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY SITUATED IN Broward COUNTY, FLORIDA
(Use additional sheets if necessary)

See Attachment 11

J. M. Small, Manager
Environmental Resources & Planning
Florida Power & Light Company

J. M. Small
Signature

Sworn and subscribed before me at Palm Beach County, Florida, this 24th day of November, 1989

[Signature]
NOTARY PUBLIC

My commission expires:

NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF FLORIDA AT LARGE
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES FEB. 17, 1993
BONDED THROUGH AGENT'S NOTARY BROKERAGE

12. Application is made for a permit(s) to authorize the activities described herein.

- A. I authorize the agent listed in Item #2 to negotiate modifications or revisions, when necessary, and accept or assent to any stipulations on my behalf.
- B. I understand I may have to provide any additional information/data that may be necessary to provide reasonable assurance or evidence to show that the proposed project will comply with the applicable State Water Quality Standards or other environmental standards both before construction and after the project is completed.
- C. In addition, I agree to provide entry to the project site for inspectors with proper identification or documents as required by law from the environmental agencies for the purpose of making preliminary analyses of the site. Further, I agree to provide entry to the project site for such inspectors to monitor permitted work if a permit is granted.
- D. Further, I hereby acknowledge the obligation and responsibility for obtaining all of the required state, federal or local permits before commencement of construction activities. I also understand that before commencement of this proposed project I must be granted separate permits or authorizations from the U.S. Corps of Engineers, the U.S. Coast Guard, the Department of Environmental Regulation, and the Department of Natural Resources, as necessary.

I CERTIFY that I am familiar with the information contained in this application, and that to the best of my knowledge and belief such information is true, complete and accurate. I further certify that I possess the authority to undertake the proposed activities.


Signature of Applicant

11-24-89
Date

NOTE: THIS APPLICATION MUST BE SIGNED by the person who desires to undertake the proposed activity or by an authorized agent. If an agent is applying on behalf of the applicant, attach proof of authority for the agent to sign and bind the applicant.

18 U.S.C. Section 1001 provides that: Whoever in any manner within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States knowingly and willfully falsifies, conceals, or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact or makes any false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or representations or makes or uses any false writing or document knowing same to contain any false, fictitious or fraudulent statement or entry, shall be fined not more than \$10,000 or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.

NOTICE TO PERMIT APPLICANTS

This is a Joint Application; it is NOT a Joint Permit!

You Must Obtain All Required Local, State, and Federal

Authorizations or Permits Before Commencing Work!!

For your information: Section 370.034, Florida Statutes, requires that all dredge and fill equipment owned, used, leased, rented or operated in the state shall be registered with the Department of Natural Resources. Before selecting your contractor or equipment you may wish to determine if this requirement has been met. For further information, contact the Chief of the Bureau of Licenses and Motorboat Registration, Department of Natural Resources, 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32303. Telephone Number 904/488-1195. THIS IS NOT A REQUIREMENT FOR A PERMIT FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION.

5-4.1.01
10.1.4-5




Figure 1 SITE LOCATION MAP



Lauderdale
Repowering
Project

FPL

CERTIFIED BY: *Kennard F. Kcsky*
KENNARD F. KCSKY, P.E.
FLA. REG. NO. 14996
DATE: 11/24/89





Figure 2 AERIAL OF LAUDERDALE PLANT SITE BOUNDARY SHOWING AREA FOR DREDGE AND FILL



Lauderdale Repowering Project

FPL





Figure 3 PLAN VIEW OF PERMIT AREA



Lauderdale
Repowering
Project

FPL



CERTIFIED BY: Kennard F. Kosky
 KENNARD F. KOSKY, P.E.
 FLA. REG. NO. 14996
 DATE: 11/24/89

Figure 4 PLAN VIEW SHOWING CROSS SECTIONS




Lauderdale
 Repowering
 Project

FPL

6-7-1.4-9

CERTIFIED BY: Kennard F. Kosky
KENNARD F. KOSKY, P.E.
FLA. REG. NO. 14996
DATE: 11/24/89



NOTE: MEAN HIGH WATER (MHW) IS ABOUT 2 FEET ABOVE MEAN SEA LEVEL (MSL) BASED ON TIDAL MEASUREMENTS TAKEN IN DANIA CUT-OFF CANAL.

Figure 5 CROSS SECTION 1




Lauderdale
Repowering
Project

FPL

10.1.4-10

CERTIFIED BY: Kennard F. Kosky
KENNARD F. KOSKY, P.E.
FLA. REG. NO. 14996
DATE: 11/24/89




NOTE: MEAN HIGH WATER (MHW) IS ABOUT 2 FEET ABOVE MEAN SEA LEVEL (MSL) BASED ON TIDAL MEASUREMENTS TAKEN IN DANIA CUT-OFF CANAL.

Figure 6 CROSS SECTION 2




CERTIFIED BY: Kennard F. Kosky
 KENNARD F. KOSKY, P.E.
 FLA. REG. NO. 14996
 DATE: 11/24/99

← WETLAND AREA →



NOTE: MEAN HIGH WATER (MHW) IS ABOUT 2 FEET ABOVE MEAN SEA LEVEL (MSL) BASED ON TIDAL MEASUREMENTS TAKEN IN DANIA CUT-OFF CANAL.



11-4-T-01

Figure 7 CROSS SECTION 3



ATTACHMENT 7
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

#7 Description of Project:

Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) proposes to construct a Wastewater Treatment Area, an Equalization Basin and a construction parking/laydown area on approximately 2.5 acres of isolated wetland as shown on the attached drawings. The project is needed in order to allow for the "Repowering" of the existing Fort Lauderdale Power Plant. (See attached Chapter 1 of the Site Certification Application (SCA).)

The project involves first the removal of existing hardwood tree species (e.g. Acer rubrum, Annona glabra). Approximately 2,200 yd³ of existing soils will then be removed to "demuck" the area to prepare the site for approximately 20,600 yd³ of clean fill (sand and shellrock) which will be added, compacted and graded to the elevations shown on figures 5, 6 & 7.

ATTACHMENT 10

REMARKS

#10 Remarks:

The proposed project is in an isolated wetland approximately 2.5 acres in size. A biological assessment indicated a mixture of a deciduous hardwood canopy and a herbaceous understory. The canopy is primarily red maple (Acer rubrum), pond apples (Annona glabra), red bay (Persea borbonia) and hackberry (Celtis laevigata). The understory includes both species of wild coffee (Psychotria nervosa and P. sulzneri) and several ferns including Osmunda regalis, Thelypteris dentata, Blechnum serrulatum, Achrostichum danaefolium, and Nephrolepis exaltata. The western side of the isolated wetland slopes up gradually with an associated transitional area of typical transition/disturbed plant species, including Saltbush (Baccharis sp.), Castor Bean (Ricinus communis), Madagascar periwinkle (Catharanthus roseus), Cesar's weed, (Urena lobata) and Royal Palm (Roystonea sp.).

There has been some invasion by exotics, with a number of scattered Melaleuca quinquenervia.

No endangered species were identified at the site, and thus the project is not expected to have any effect on any endangered plant or animal species.

Additionally, since the entire wetland is being filled in, with a berm around it, there will be no run-off or other turbidity related problems in any nearby wetland or waterway.

Clean fill will be used. The project is not designed to introduce any toxic, hazardous or otherwise deleterious materials into the environment.

ATTACHMENT 11
LEGAL DESCRIPTION



TICOR TITLE INSURANCE

October 13, 1989

RE: Florida Power & Light Company Lauderdale Plant
Our File Number G-4848PG

This is to certify that we have examined the Public Records of Broward County, Florida, from Beginning through June 9, 1989 at 12:01 A.M. with regard to the following described property:


SEE ATTACHED EXHIBIT A

We find that apparent record to the above title is vested : in Florida Power & Light Company, a Florida Corporation.

We find the above described property is subject to the following:

SEE ATTACHED EXHIBIT B

This certificate is given in accordance with Section 177.041 Florida Statutes


TICOR TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY

TICOR TITLE INSURANCE

LAUDERDALE PLANT BOUNDARY LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

A Portion of the Section 25, Township 50 South, Range 41 East and a portion of Sections 19, 20 and 30, Township 50 South, Range 42 East of Broward County, Florida; being more particularly described as follows:

Commence at the center of said Section 30-50-42, thence South 1 41' 18" East, along East line of the Southwest 1/4 of said Section 30, for 814.58 feet to the approximate centerline of the Dania Cut-Off Canal, being the Point of beginning of hereinafter described boundary:

From said Point of beginning, thence South 88 44' 30" West, along said approximate centerline, for 3247.52 feet to the intersection with the Easterly Right-of-Way line of the South New River Canal (C-11, 260" Wide R/W), as shown on "Route 441 Resource Recovery Site" Plat recorded in Plat Book 132, at Page 41 P.R.B.C.F.; thence North 35 44' 42" East, along said Easterly Right-of-Way Line, for 450.45 feet; thence North 20 05' 06" East, continuing along said Easterly Right-of-Way Line, for 1200.99 feet to a Point on the common section line between Section 25-50-41 and Section 30-50-42; thence North 22 11' 30" East for 847.25 feet; thence North 22 17' 02" East, along Easterly line of Parcel 2-A, as described in Official Records Book 14269, at Page 344 P.R.B.C.F.; thence North 33 08' 26" East, continuing along Easterly line of said Parcel 2-a, for 321.26 feet; thence North 38 52' 20" E, Along last described line, for 390.68 feet; thence North 61 13' 50" East, continuing along Easterly line of said Parcel 2-A, for 667.79 feet to a point on the North line of the Northwest 1/4 of Section 30-50-42; thence South 88 56' 29" East, along said North line, also being the South line of Parcel 1, as described in Official Records Book 16063, at Page 576 P.R.B.C.F., for 974.55 feet to the North 1/4 corner; thence South 1 20' 31" East, along the East line of the Northwest 1/4 of Sec. 30-50-42, for 125.00 feet; thence North 66 27' 10" East, continuing along the Southerly line of last described Parcel 1, for 2444.55 feet; thence North 3 32' 19" West, along line 275 feet West of and Parallel to the East line of Section 19-50-42, for 180.00 feet; thence North 26 21' 15" East for 551.79 feet to a point on the common section line between Sec. 19-50-42 and Sec. 20-50-42; thence North 3 32' 19" West, along West line of said Section 20, for 460.00 feet to the Northwest corner of the South 1/2 of the North 1/2 of the Southwest 1/4 of said Section 20; thence North 89 20' 02" East, along North line of said South 1/2 of North 1/2 of Southwest 1/4 of Section 20, for 1276.06 feet; thence South 2 43' 26" East, along the West line of parcel described in Official Records Book 15765, at Page 565 P.R.B.C.F.; for 568.16 feet; thence South 3 59' 55" West, continuing along last described deed line, for 796.52 feet to a Point on the North line of the South 1/4 of the Southwest 1/4 of said Section 20; thence South 89 38' 50" West, along the North line of last described South 1/4, also being the North line of Parcel described in Official Records Book 13396, at Page 92 P.R.B.C.F., for 515.16 feet to the Northwest corner of the Southeast 1/4, of the Southwest 1/4, of the Southwest 1/4 of said Section 20; thence South 3 07' 49" East, along the West line of the Southeast 1/4, of Southwest 1/4, of Southwest 1/4 of Section 20, also being the West line of last described recorded parcel for 680.05 feet to the Southwest corner of said Southeast 1/4, Southwest 1/4, Southwest 1/4; thence South 89 48' 27" West, along the South line of the Southwest 1/4 of Section 20, for 643.77 feet to the Section corner common to Sections 19, 20, 29 and 30; thence South 1 41' 35" East, along the East

TICOR TITLE INSURANCE

line of the Northeast 1/4 of said Section 30-50-42, for 678.23 feet; thence South 89 34' 28" West for 100.02 feet; thence South 1 41' 35" East, along a line 100 feet West of and parallel to the East line of said Northeast 1/4, for 900.00 feet; thence South 58 55' 51" West for 513.52 feet; thence South 0 59' 09" East for 150.00 feet; thence South 89 00' 51" West for 150.00 feet to the point of curvature of a circular to the left; thence Westerly and Southwesterly along the arc of said curve to the left, having for its elements, a central angle of 24 35' 20", a radius of 300.00 feet, for an arc distance of 128.75 feet to the point of tangent; thence South 64 25' 31" West for 250.00 feet; thence South 86 37' 11" West for 144.67 feet to the Northeast corner of parcel described in Official Records book 15188, at Page 433 P.R.B.C.F.; thence South 86 20' 16" West, along the North line of last described recorded parcel for 976.32 feet; thence South 1 41' 18" East along the West line of last described recorded parcel, for 400.00 feet; thence North 88 12' 52" West, along a line 40 feet North and parallel to the South line of the Northeast 1/4 of said Section 30, for 161.61 feet; thence South 89 09' 16" West for 138.70 feet; thence South 1 41' 18" East for 50.01 feet; thence South 89 09' 16" West for 100.01 feet to a point on the West line of the Southeast 1/4 of said Section 30; thence South 1 41' 18" East, along the West line of said Southeast 1/4, for 793.69 feet to the Point of Beginning; less that portion of the Dania cut-off canal which lies below the mean high waterline.

TICOR TITLE INSURANCE

EXHIBIT B

- a. Taxes for the year 1989 and subsequent years.
- b. Meter Site Lease from Florida Power & Light Company and Houston Texas Gas and Oil Corporation filed July 27, 1959 in Official Records Book 1619, at Page 400.
- c. Easement in favor of Houston Texas Gas Oil Corporation filed July 27, 1959 in Official Records Book 1619, at Page 406.
- d. Resolution Designating Area of Operation of Broward County Water and Sewer System No. 3 filed January 23, 1969 in Official Records Book 3843, at Page 410. Corrective Resolution filed March 10, 1971 in Official Records Book 4442, at Page 847.
- e. Resolution Assessing Lien filed March 22, 1983 in Official Records Book 10740, at Page 992.
- f. Rockpit Agreement in favor of Robert Elmore filed June 25, 1954 in Official Records Book 169, at Page 464. Modified by instrument filed February 1, 1957 in Official Records Book 836, at Page 591.
- g. Mortgage in favor of Bankers Trust Company and The Florida National Bank of Jacksonville as set out below:

Instrument	Date as of	Mortgage or Official Records	
		Book	Page
Mortgage & Deed of Trust	Jan. 1, 1944	165	1
First Supplemental Indenture	Jul. 1, 1947	227	48
Second Supplemental Indenture	Jun. 1, 1948	250	357
Third Supplemental Indenture	Jun. 1, 1949	279	244
Fourth Supplemental Indenture	Nov. 1, 1951	370	584
Fifth Supplemental Indenture	Apr. 1, 1953	450	428
Sixth Supplemental Indenture	Nov. 1, 1954	236	1
Seventh Supplemental Indenture	Apr. 1, 1956	609	214
Eighth Supplemental Indenture	Dec. 1, 1956	794	164
Ninth Supplemental Indenture	May. 1, 1957	930	410
Tenth Supplemental Indenture	Apr. 1, 1958	1189	289
Eleventh Supplemental Indenture	Jun. 1, 1959	1574	427
Twelfth Supplemental Indenture	Nov. 1, 1959	1720	550
Thirteenth Supplemental Indenture	Aug. 1, 1962	2438	345
Fourteenth Supplemental Indenture	Apr. 1, 1964	2782	900
Fifteenth Supplemental Indenture	Mar. 1, 1965	2977	406
Sixteenth Supplemental Indenture	Dec. 1, 1965	3130	106
Seventeenth Supplemental Indenture	Dec. 1, 1966	3335	460
Eighteenth Supplemental Indenture	Dec. 1, 1967	3550	972
Nineteenth Supplemental Indenture	Jun. 1, 1968	3684	698
Twentieth Supplemental Indenture	Dec. 1, 1968	3804	19
Twenty-first Supplemental Indenture	Jun. 1, 1969	3958	352
and re-recorded		3968	663



TICOR TITLE INSURANCE

Instrument	Date as of	Mortgage Official Record	
		Book	Page
Twenty-second Supplemental Indenture	Jul. 1, 1970	4261	695
Twenty-third Supplemental Indenture	Jan. 1, 1971	4401	198
Twenty-fourth Supplemental Indenture	Sep. 1, 1971	4604	690
Twenty-fifth Supplemental Indenture	Jun. 1, 1972	4907	307
Twenty-sixth Supplemental Indenture	Jan. 1, 1973	5128	841
Twenty-seventh Supplemental Indenture	Aug. 1, 1973	5425	726
Twenty-eighth Supplemental Indenture	Jan. 1, 1974	5614	379
Twenty-ninth Supplemental Indenture	May. 1, 1974	5782	771
Thirtieth Supplemental Indenture	May. 1, 1975	6142	790
Thirty-first Supplemental Indenture	May. 1, 1975	6217	424
Thirty-second Supplemental Indenture	Nov. 1, 1975	6395	980
Thirty-third Supplemental Indenture	Jun. 1, 1976	6615	918
Thirty-fourth Supplemental Indenture	Jan. 1, 1978	7381	894
Thirty-fifth Supplemental Indenture	Jan. 1, 1978	7383	919
Thirty-sixth Supplemental Indenture	Mar. 13, 1979	8107	329
Thirty-seventh Supplemental Indenture	Nov. 1, 1979	8558	734
Thirty-eighth Supplemental Indenture	Nov. 1, 1980	8769	399
Thirty-ninth Supplemental Indenture	May. 1, 1980	8908	97
Fortieth Supplemental Indenture	Oct. 1, 1980	9198	510
Forty-first Supplemental Indenture	Mar. 1, 1981	9458	156
Forty-second Supplemental Indenture	May. 1, 1981	9576	554
Forty-third Supplemental Indenture	Nov. 1, 1981	9906	255
Forty-fourth Supplemental Indenture	Dec. 1, 1981	9928	838
Forty-fifth Supplemental Indenture	Dec. 1, 1981	9928	854
Forty-sixth Supplemental Indenture	Mar. 1, 1982	10059	80
Forty-seventh Supplemental Indenture	Jun. 1, 1982	10246	13
Forty-eighth Supplemental Indenture	Oct. 1, 1982	10465	489
Forty-ninth Supplemental Indenture	Mar. 1, 1983	10712	415
Fiftieth Supplemental Indenture	Sep. 1, 1983	11148	889
Fifty-first Supplemental Indenture	Jan. 1, 1984	11408	378
Fifty-second Supplemental Indenture	May. 1, 1984	11743	13
Fifty-third Supplemental Indenture	Oct. 1, 1984	12068	736
Fifty-fourth Supplemental Indenture	Apr. 1, 1985	12466	728
Fifty-fifth Supplemental Indenture	Apr. 1, 1985	12466	745
Fifty-sixth Supplemental Indenture	Sep. 1, 1985	12791	534
Fifty-seventh Supplemental Indenture	Sep. 1, 1985	12791	554
Fifty-eighth Supplemental Indenture	Oct. 1, 1985	12883	682
Fifty-ninth Supplemental Indenture	Feb. 1, 1986	13211	289
Sixtieth Supplemental Indenture	May. 1, 1986	13365	189
Sixty-first Supplemental Indenture	Oct. 1, 1986	13800	526
Sixty-second Supplemental Indenture	Nov. 1, 1986	13879	661
Sixth-third Supplemental Indenture	Nov. 1, 1986	13879	678
Sixth-fourth Supplemental Indenture	Apr. 1, 1987	14371	55
Sixty-fifth Supplemental Indenture	Aug. 1, 1987	14745	856
Sixty-sixth Supplemental Indenture	Feb. 1, 1988	15210	755

TICOR TITLE INSURANCE

- h. Easement in favor of Florida Gas Transmission Company filed August 17, 1967 in Official Records Book 3628, at Page 304.
- i. Easement in favor of Sunniland Pipe Line Co. Inc., filed April 17, 1972 in Official Records Book 4835, at Page 647. Assigned to Fedelity National Bank of Baton Rouge by instrument filed August 20, 1971 in Official Records Book 4587, at Page 469.
- j. Easement in favor of Sunniland Pipe Line Company, Inc., filed December 21, 1988 in Official Records Book 16051, at Page 62.
- k. Declaration of Agreement, Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions filed May 14, 1986 in Official Records Book 13396, at Page 944.
- l. Declaration filed May 14, 1986 in Official Records Book 13398, at Page 58 In re Lake owner.
- m. Easement in favor of Robert Elmore filed May 14, 1986 in Official Records Book 13398, at Page 66.
- n. Boundary Line Connection Agreement amongst Robert Elmore, Florida Power & Light Company and Alandeo Inc., filed February 12, 1988 in Official Records Book 15188, at Page 433.
- o. Easement in favor of Florida Gas Transmission Company filed December 6, 1968 in Official Records Book 3807, at Page 843.
- p. Easement in favor of Sunniland Pipe Line Co. Inc., filed in Official Records Book 4613, at Page 348.
- q. Declaration of Location of Easements and Disclaimer of Interest in other properties filed by Sunniland Pipe Line Company filed July 11, 1974 in Official Records Book 5846, at Page 423.
- r. Supplemental Rockpit Agreement in favor of Robert Elmore filed July 14, 1955 in Official Records Book 413, at Page 285.
- s. Easement in favor of Robert Elmore filed June 12, 1984 in Official Records Book 11773, at Page 331.
- t. Resolution establishing a Bulkhead Line in Section 19, Township 50 South Range 42 East filed April 8, 1969 in Official Records Book 3900, at Page 569.
- u. Easement in favor of Sunniland Pipe Line Co. Inc., filed January 28, 1974 in Official Records Book 5619, at Page 437.

TICOR TITLE INSURANCE

- v. Easement in favor of Florida Gas Transmission Company filed September 17, 1970 in Official Records Book 4304, at Page 975.
- w. Drainage Easement in favor of Alandco Inc., filed November 18, 1988 in Official Records Book 15968, at Page 1.
- x. Easement and Boundary Line Connection Agreement filed November 18, 1988 in Official Records Book 15968, at Page 7.
- y. Easement in favor of Sunniland Pipe Line Company, Inc. filed December 21, 1988 in Official Records Book 16051, at Page 53.
- z. Canal Reservation in favor of the Trustee's of the Internal Improvement Fund of the State of Florida contained in Deed filed April 22, 1908 in Deed Book 43, at Page 346 as to North 1/2 of Lot 32 in Section 23 Plat Book 2, Page 26 (Dade County).
- aa. Canal, mineral and petroleum reservations in favor of the Trustees of th Internal Improvement Fund of the State of Florida contained in Deed filed September 24, 1917 in Deed Book 7, at Page 576 as to Lot 17 in Section 25 Plat Book 2, Page 26 (Dade County).
- bb. Canal Reservations in favor of the Trustees of the Internal Improvement Fund of the State of Florida contained in Deed filed March 12, 1908 in Deed Book 43, at Page 270 as to Lots 18 and 31 in Section 25 Plat Book 2, Page 20 (Dade County).

JOINT APPLICATION
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY/FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION
For Activities in the Waters of the State of Florida

CORPS APPLICATION NUMBER (official use only)

DER APPLICATION NUMBER (official use only)

1. APPLICANT'S NAME AND ADDRESS

F L O R I D A P O W E R & L I G H T C O M P A N Y

NAME

P O B O X 0 7 8 7 6 8

STREET

W E S T P A L M B E A C H F L 3 3 4 0 7 0 7 6 8

CITY

STATE ZIP

TELEPHONE NUMBER (Day) (407) 640-2040 (Night) ()

2. Name, address, zip code and title of applicant's authorized agent for permit application coordination

June M. Small, Manager
 Environmental Resources and Planning
 P.O. Box 078768
 West Palm Beach, FL 33407-0768
 Telephone Number (407) 640-2040

3. NAME OF WATERWAY AT LOCATION OF THE ACTIVITY. Unnamed finger connected to Dania Cut-Off Canal and South New River Canal

DER Code: _____
 W/W Code: _____

4. LOCATION WHERE PROPOSED ACTIVITY EXISTS OR WILL OCCUR.

S.W. 42nd Street; 4/10 mile north of Griffin Road 25	50 S	41 E
Street, road or other descriptive location	Section	Township Range
N/A	26° 04' 03"N	80° 12' 07"W
Incorporated city or town	Latitude	Longitude
Broward	Tax Assessors Description: (if known)	
County	Map No.	Subdiv. No. Lot No.

5. NAME AND ADDRESS INCLUDING ZIP CODE OF ADJOINING PROPERTY OWNERS WHOSE PROPERTY ALSO ADJOINS THE WATERWAY.

South Florida Water Management District
 P.O. Box 24680
 West Palm Beach, FL 33416-4680

6. PROPOSED USE

Private Single Dwelling [] Private Multi-dwelling [] Public []
 Commercial [] Other [X] (Explain in remarks) Public Electrical Utility

7. DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT (Use additional sheets, if necessary) See Attachment 7

A. Structures: 1. New work [] Maintenance of existing structure []

2. Piers, docks and use: Commercial [] Private [] Public []

a. Single pier [] length _____ width _____

b. Number of piers [] length _____ width _____

c. Number of boat slips [] length _____ width _____

d. Number of finger piers [] length _____ width _____

e. Other (please describe) _____

3. Seawalls, revetments, bulkheads: length _____

a. Type: Vertical [] Riprap [] Slope: _____ Horizontal: _____ Vertical

b. Material to be used _____

4. Other type of structure Coffer Dam; fill culvert with concrete

B. Excavation or Dredging: ~~New Work~~ [] ~~Maintenance work~~ [] ~~Total acreage involved~~ _____
None

1. Access Channel [] or Canal [] Length _____ ft. Width _____ ft. Depth _____ ft.

2. Boat Basin [] or Boat Slip [] Length _____ ft. Width _____ ft. Depth _____ ft.

3. Other _____ Length _____ ft. Width _____ ft. Depth _____ ft.

4. Cubic yards: Total for project _____

a. _____ cyd. waterward/_____ cyd. landward of ordinary/mean high water

b. Type of material to be excavated/dredged _____

C. Fill:

1. Amount of material

a. Cubic yards placed waterward of ordinary/mean high water 20,800

b. Cubic yards placed landward of ordinary/mean high water -0-



c. Total acreage to be filled 1.24 Total acreage of wetlands involved <0.1

2. Containment for fill

a. Dikes [] b. Seawall, etc. [] c. Other (please explain) Coffer dam/sheet pile at connection to Dania Cut-Off Canal and blocked culvert (concrete) at connection to South Fork New River.

3. Type of fill material to be used Clean sand, peat, and limestone fragments
Excavation from proposed power block construction

4. Source of fill material to be used area



8. Date activity is proposed to commence March 1, 1991 ; to be completed March 1, 1996

9. Previous permits for this project have been DER # _____ Corps # _____

A. Denied (date) _____ N/A _____

B. Issued (date) _____ _____

C. Other (please explain) _____

Differentiate between existing work and proposed work on the drawings.

10. Remarks (See Instruction Pamphlet for additional information required for all applications and certain activities. Use additional sheets if necessary.)

See Attachment 10

11. AFFIDAVIT OF OWNERSHIP OR CONTROL of the property on which the proposed project is to be undertaken

I CERTIFY THAT: (please check appropriate space)

[] I am the record owner, lessee, or record easement holder of the property described below.

[] I am not the record owner, lessee, or record easement holder of the property described below, but I will have before undertaking the proposed work the requisite property interest. (Please explain what the interest will be and how it will be acquired.)

LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY SITUATED IN Broward COUNTY, FLORIDA
(Use additional sheets if necessary)

See Attachment 11

J. M. Small, Manager
Environmental Resources & Planning
Florida Power & Light Company

J. M. Small
Signature

Sworn and subscribed before me at Palm Beach County,

Florida, this 24th day of November, 1991.

Magno Pin Rodriguez

NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF FLORIDA AT LARGE NOTARY PUBLIC
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES FEB. 17, 1993
BONDED THROUGH AGENT'S NOTARY BROKERAGE

My commission expires:

12. Application is made for a permit(s) to authorize the activities described herein.

- A. I authorize the agent listed in Item #2 to negotiate modifications or revisions, when necessary, and accept or assent to any stipulations on my behalf.
- B. I understand I may have to provide any additional information/data that may be necessary to provide reasonable assurance or evidence to show that the proposed project will comply with the applicable State Water Quality Standards or other environmental standards both before construction and after the project is completed.
- C. In addition, I agree to provide entry to the project site for inspectors with proper identification or documents as required by law from the environmental agencies for the purpose of making preliminary analyses of the site. Further, I agree to provide entry to the project site for such inspectors to monitor permitted work if a permit is granted.
- D. Further, I hereby acknowledge the obligation and responsibility for obtaining all of the required state, federal or local permits before commencement of construction activities. I also understand that before commencement of this proposed project I must be granted separate permits or authorizations from the U.S. Corps of Engineers, the U.S. Coast Guard, the Department of Environmental Regulation, and the Department of Natural Resources, as necessary.

I CERTIFY that I am familiar with the information contained in this application, and that to the best of my knowledge and belief such information is true, complete and accurate. I further certify that I possess the authority to undertake the proposed activities.


Signature of Applicant

11-24-89
Date

NOTE: THIS APPLICATION MUST BE SIGNED by the person who desires to undertake the proposed activity or by an authorized agent. If an agent is applying on behalf of the applicant, attach proof of authority for the agent to sign and bind the applicant.

18 U.S.C. Section 1001 provides that: Whoever in any manner within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States knowingly and willfully falsifies, conceals, or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact or makes any false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or representations or makes or uses any false writing or document knowing same to contain any false, fictitious or fraudulent statement or entry, shall be fined not more than \$10,000 or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.


NOTICE TO PERMIT APPLICANTS

This is a Joint Application; it is NOT a Joint Permit!

You Must Obtain All Required Local, State, and Federal

Authorizations or Permits Before Commencing Work!!

For your information: Section 370.034, Florida Statutes, requires that all dredge and fill equipment owned, used, leased, rented or operated in the state shall be registered with the Department of Natural Resources. Before selecting your contractor or equipment you may wish to determine if this requirement has been met. For further information, contact the Chief of the Bureau of Licenses and Motorboat Registration, Department of Natural Resources, 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32303. Telephone Number 904/488-1195. THIS IS NOT A REQUIREMENT FOR A PERMIT FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION.



CERTIFIED BY: *Kennard F. Kosky*
 KENNARD F. KOSKY, P.E.
 FLA. REG. NO. 14996
 DATE: 11/24/99

10.1.4-28

Figure 1 SITE LOCATION MAP



Lauderdale
 Repowering
 Project

FPL

CERTIFIED BY: *Kennard F. Kosky*
KENNARD F. KOSKY, P.E.
FLA. REG. NO. 14996
DATE: *4/24/89*





Figure 2 AERIAL OF LAUDERDALE PLANT SITE BOUNDARY
SHOWING AREA TO BE FILLED



Lauderdale
Repowering
Project

FPL




CERTIFIED BY: *Kennard F. Koski*
 KENNARD F. KOSKI, P.E.
 FLA. REG. NO. 14996
 DATE: 11/24/99

Figure 3 PLAN VIEW OF PERMIT AREA



Lauderdale
 Repowering
 Project

FPL



CERTIFIED BY: *Kennard F. Kosky*
 KENNARD F. KOSKY, P.E.
 FLA. REG. NO. 14996
 DATE: 11/24/97


Figure 4 PLAN VIEW SHOWING CROSS SECTIONS



Lauderdale
 Repowering
 Project

FPL

CERTIFIED BY: Kennard F. Kosky
 KENNARD F. KOSKY, P.E.
 FLA. REG. NO. 14996
 DATE: 1/24/89




10.1.4-32

Figure 5 CROSS SECTION 1



10.1.4-33



CERTIFIED BY: Kennard F. Kosky
KENNARD F. KOSKY, P.E.
FLA. REG. NO. 14996
DATE: 11/24/89

Figure 6 CROSS SECTION 2



10.1.4-34

CERTIFIED BY: *Kennard F. Kosky*
KENNARD F. KOSKY, P.E.
FLA. REG. NO. 14996
DATE: 11/24/07




Figure 7 CROSS SECTION 3



ATTACHMENT 7
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

#7 Description of Project:

Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) proposes to fill in a previously excavated canal on its property at the Fort Lauderdale Power Plant Site in Broward County. The project site is shown on the attached drawings (figures 1-7). The canal was constructed over 40 years ago for use as an intake/discharge canal for the old power plant. The canal is now obsolete and the area is needed for the current plant expansion/repowering project (see attached chapter 1, Site Certification Application (SCA).)

The profiles of the existing canal are shown on figures 5, 6 & 7. FPL proposes to use fill material from on-site to fill in the 1.24 acres of open water and the <0.1 acres of wetland area. The canal will be filled in to an elevation of +4' MSL.

In order to prevent any violation of water quality standards in the adjacent Dania cut-off canal and South New River Canal, FPL proposes to seal the canal off from those waters prior to any filling work. Where the canal adjoins the Dania cut-off Canal, FPL will install a coffer dam or sheet-pile barrier at a location consistent with shoreline on each side. At the junction of the canal and the South New River Canal, FPL proposes to install a sheetpile barrier which will again isolate the area to be filled from the adjacent waters of the State. Once these barriers are in place the canal will be filled, graded and compacted to a consistent elevation of +4' MSL.

ATTACHMENT 10

REMARKS

#10 Remarks:

FPL requires the use of the project area as part of the "repowering" project to provide reliable cost efficient electrical power to existing and future Florida residents. Because this man-made canal is no longer required, this option is more favorable than impacting existing forested wetland areas. There is a narrow fringe of existing wetland (<0.1 acres) which will be removed, but the contribution of this wetland to the ecosystem is insignificant. There are no submerged seagrasses or mangroves that will be removed by this project. Additionally, since there is a connection between the Dania cut-off Canal and the South New River Canal, the proposed project will have no significant effect on the marine fisheries or the movement of aquatic organisms from one canal to the other.

The work will be performed when there are no manatees in the area, so the proposed project is not expected to have any effect on manatees or any other endangered or threatened animal or plant species.

No toxic, hazardous or otherwise deleterious agents will be introduced into the aquatic environment and therefore the project is expected to have an insignificant effect on the environment.

ATTACHMENT 11
LEGAL DESCRIPTION



TICOR TITLE INSURANCE

October 13, 1989

RE: Florida Power & Light Company Lauderdale Plant
Our File Number G-4848PG

This is to certify that we have examined the Public Records of Broward County, Florida, from Beginning through June 9, 1989 at 12:01 A.M. with regard to the following described property:


SEE ATTACHED EXHIBIT A

We find that apparent record to the above title is vested : in Florida Power & Light Company, a Florida Corporation.

We find the above described property is subject to the following:

SEE ATTACHED EXHIBIT B

This certificate is given in accordance with Section 177.041 Florida Statutes


TICOR TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY

TICOR TITLE INSURANCE

LAUDERDALE PLANT BOUNDARY LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

A Portion of the Section 25, Township 50 South, Range 41 East and a portion of Sections 19, 20 and 30, Township 50 South, Range 42 East of Broward County, Florida; being more particularly described as follows:

Commence at the center of said Section 30-50-42, thence South 1 41' 18" East, along East line of the Southwest 1/4 of said Section 30, for 814.58 feet to the approximate centerline of the Dania Cut-Off Canal, being the Point of beginning of hereinafter described boundary:

From said Point of beginning, thence South 88 44' 30" West, along said approximate centerline, for 3247.52 feet to the intersection with the Easterly Right-of-Way line of the South New River Canal (C-11, 260" Wide R/W), as shown on "Route 441 Resource Recovery Site" Plat recorded in Plat Book 132, at Page 41 P.R.B.C.F.; thence North 35 44' 42" East, along said Easterly Right-of-Way Line, for 450.45 feet; thence North 20 05' 06" East, continuing along said Easterly Right-of-Way Line, for 1200.99 feet to a Point on the common section line between Section 25-50-41 and Section 30-50-42; thence North 22 11' 30" East for 847.25 feet; thence North 22 17' 02" East, along Easterly line of Parcel 2-A, as described in Official Records Book 14269, at Page 344 P.R.B.C.F.; thence North 33 08' 26" East, continuing along Easterly line of said Parcel 2-a, for 321.26 feet; thence North 38 52' 20" E, Along last described line, for 390.68 feet; thence North 61 13' 50" East, continuing along Easterly line of said Parcel 2-A, for 667.79 feet to a point on the North line of the Northwest 1/4 of Section 30-50-42; thence South 88 56' 29" East, along said North line, also being the South line of Parcel 1, as described in Official Records Book 16063, at Page 576 P.R.B.C.F., for 974.55 feet to the North 1/4 corner; thence South 1 20' 31" East, along the East line of the Northwest 1/4 of Sec. 30-50-42, for 125.00 feet; thence North 66 27' 10" East, continuing along the Southerly line of last described Parcel 1, for 2444.55 feet; thence North 3 32' 19" West, along line 275 feet West of and Parallel to the East line of Section 19-50-42, for 180.00 feet; thence North 26 21' 15" East for 551.79 feet to a point on the common section line between Sec. 19-50-42 and Sec. 20-50-42; thence North 3 32' 19" West, along West line of said Section 20, for 460.00 feet to the Northwest corner of the South 1/2 of the North 1/2 of the Southwest 1/4 of said Section 20; thence North 89 20' 02" East, along North line of said South 1/2 of North 1/2 of Southwest 1/4 of Section 20, for 1276.06 feet; thence South 2 43' 26" East, along the West line of parcel described in Official Records Book 15765, at Page 565 P.R.B.C.F.; for 568.16 feet; thence South 3 59' 55" West, continuing along last described deed line, for 796.52 feet to a Point on the North line of the South 1/4 of the Southwest 1/4 of said Section 20; thence South 89 38' 50" West, along the North line of last described South 1/4, also being the North line of Parcel described in Official Records Book 13396, at Page 92 P.R.B.C.F., for 515.16 feet to the Northwest corner of the Southeast 1/4, of the Southwest 1/4, of the Southwest 1/4 of said Section 20; thence South 3 07' 49" East, along the West line of the Southeast 1/4, of Southwest 1/4, of Southwest 1/4 of Section 20, also being the West line of last described recorded parcel for 680.05 feet to the Southwest corner of said Southeast 1/4, Southwest 1/4, Southwest 1/4; thence South 89 48' 27" West, along the South line of the Southwest 1/4 of Section 20, for 643.77 feet to the Section corner common to Sections 19, 20, 29 and 30; thence South 1 41' 35" East, along the East

TICOR TITLE INSURANCE

line of the Northeast 1/4 of said Section 30-50-42, for 678.23 feet; thence South 89 34' 28" West for 100.02 feet; thence South 1 41' 35" East, along a line 100 feet West of and parallel to the East line of said Northeast 1/4, for 900.00 feet; thence South 58 55' 51" West for 513.52 feet; thence South 0 59' 09" East for 150.00 feet; thence South 89 00' 51" West for 150.00 feet to the point of curvature of a circular to the left; thence Westerly and Southwesterly along the arc of said curve to the left, having for its elements, a central angle of 24 35' 20", a radius of 300.00 feet, for an arc distance of 128.75 feet to the point of tangent; thence South 64 25' 31" West for 250.00 feet; thence South 86 37' 11" West for 144.67 feet to the Northeast corner of parcel described in Official Records book 15188, at Page 433 P.R.B.C.F.; thence South 86 20' 16" West, along the North line of last described recorded parcel for 976.32 feet; thence South 1 41' 18" East along the West line of last described recorded parcel, for 400.00 feet; thence North 88 12' 52" West, along a line 40 feet North and parallel to the South line of the Northeast 1/4 of said Section 30, for 161.61 feet; thence South 89 09' 16" West for 138.70 feet; thence South 1 41' 18" East for 50.01 feet; thence South 89 09' 16" West for 100.01 feet to a point on the West line of the Southeast 1/4 of said Section 30; thence South 1 41' 18" East, along the West line of said Southeast 1/4, for 793.69 feet to the Point of Beginning; less that portion of the Dania cut-off canal which lies below the mean high waterline.

TICOR TITLE INSURANCE

EXHIBIT B

- a. Taxes for the year 1989 and subsequent years.
- b. Meter Site Lease from Florida Power & Light Company and Houston Texas Gas and Oil Corporation filed July 27, 1959 in Official Records Book 1619, at Page 400.
- c. Easement in favor of Houston Texas Gas Oil Corporation filed July 27, 1959 in Official Records Book 1619, at Page 406.
- d. Resolution Designating Area of Operation of Broward County Water and Sewer System No. 3 filed January 23, 1969 in Official Records Book 3843, at Page 410. Corrective Resolution filed March 10, 1971 in Official Records Book 4442, at Page 847.
- e. Resolution Assessing Lien filed March 22, 1983 in Official Records Book 10740, at Page 992.
- f. Rockpit Agreement in favor of Robert Elmore filed June 25, 1954 in Official Records Book 169, at Page 464. Modified by instrument filed February 1, 1957 in Official Records Book 836, at Page 591.
- g. Mortgage in favor of Bankers Trust Company and The Florida National Bank of Jacksonville as set out below:

Instrument	Date as of	Mortgage or Official Records	
		Book	Page
Mortgage & Deed of Trust	Jan. 1, 1944	165	1
First Supplemental Indenture	Jul. 1, 1947	227	48
Second Supplemental Indenture	Jun. 1, 1948	250	357
Third Supplemental Indenture	Jun. 1, 1949	279	244
Fourth Supplemental Indenture	Nov. 1, 1951	370	584
Fifth Supplemental Indenture	Apr. 1, 1953	450	428
Sixth Supplemental Indenture	Nov. 1, 1954	236	1
Seventh Supplemental Indenture	Apr. 1, 1956	609	214
Eighth Supplemental Indenture	Dec. 1, 1956	794	164
Ninth Supplemental Indenture	May. 1, 1957	930	410
Tenth Supplemental Indenture	Apr. 1, 1958	1189	289
Eleventh Supplemental Indenture	Jun. 1, 1959	1574	427
Twelfth Supplemental Indenture	Nov. 1, 1959	1720	550
Thirteenth Supplemental Indenture	Aug. 1, 1962	2438	345
Fourteenth Supplemental Indenture	Apr. 1, 1964	2782	900
Fifteenth Supplemental Indenture	Mar. 1, 1965	2977	406
Sixteenth Supplemental Indenture	Dec. 1, 1965	3130	106
Seventeenth Supplemental Indenture	Dec. 1, 1966	3335	460
Eighteenth Supplemental Indenture	Dec. 1, 1967	3550	972
Nineteenth Supplemental Indenture	Jun. 1, 1968	3684	698
Twentieth Supplemental Indenture	Dec. 1, 1968	3804	19
Twenty-first Supplemental Indenture	Jun. 1, 1969	3958	352
and re-recorded		3968	663

TICOR TITLE INSURANCE

Instrument	Date as of	Mortgage Official Record	
		Book	Page
Twenty-second Supplemental Indenture	Jul. 1, 1970	4261	695
Twenty-third Supplemental Indenture	Jan. 1, 1971	4401	198
Twenty-fourth Supplemental Indenture	Sep. 1, 1971	4604	690
Twenty-fifth Supplemental Indenture	Jun. 1, 1972	4907	307
Twenty-sixth Supplemental Indenture	Jan. 1, 1973	5128	841
Twenty-seventh Supplemental Indenture	Aug. 1, 1973	5425	726
Twenty-eighth Supplemental Indenture	Jan. 1, 1974	5614	379
Twenty-ninth Supplemental Indenture	May. 1, 1974	5782	771
Thirtieth Supplemental Indenture	May. 1, 1975	6142	790
Thirty-first Supplemental Indenture	May. 1, 1975	6217	424
Thirty-second Supplemental Indenture	Nov. 1, 1975	6395	980
Thirty-third Supplemental Indenture	Jun. 1, 1976	6615	918
Thirty-fourth Supplemental Indenture	Jan. 1, 1978	7381	894
Thirty-fifth Supplemental Indenture	Jan. 1, 1978	7383	919
Thirty-sixth Supplemental Indenture	Mar. 13, 1979	8107	329
Thirty-seventh Supplemental Indenture	Nov. 1, 1979	8558	734
Thirty-eighth Supplemental Indenture	Nov. 1, 1980	8769	399
Thirty-ninth Supplemental Indenture	May. 1, 1980	8908	97
Fortieth Supplemental Indenture	Oct. 1, 1980	9198	510
Forty-first Supplemental Indenture	Mar. 1, 1981	9458	156
Forty-second Supplemental Indenture	May. 1, 1981	9576	554
Forty-third Supplemental Indenture	Nov. 1, 1981	9906	255
Forty-fourth Supplemental Indenture	Dec. 1, 1981	9928	838
Forty-fifth Supplemental Indenture	Dec. 1, 1981	9928	854
Forty-sixth Supplemental Indenture	Mar. 1, 1982	10059	80
Forty-seventh Supplemental Indenture	Jun. 1, 1982	10246	13
Forty-eighth Supplemental Indenture	Oct. 1, 1982	10465	489
Forty-ninth Supplemental Indenture	Mar. 1, 1983	10712	415
Fiftieth Supplemental Indenture	Sep. 1, 1983	11148	889
Fifty-first Supplemental Indenture	Jan. 1, 1984	11408	378
Fifty-second Supplemental Indenture	May. 1, 1984	11743	13
Fifty-third Supplemental Indenture	Oct. 1, 1984	12068	736
Fifty-fourth Supplemental Indenture	Apr. 1, 1985	12466	728
Fifty-fifth Supplemental Indenture	Apr. 1, 1985	12466	745
Fifty-sixth Supplemental Indenture	Sep. 1, 1985	12791	534
Fifty-seventh Supplemental Indenture	Sep. 1, 1985	12791	554
Fifty-eighth Supplemental Indenture	Oct. 1, 1985	12883	682
Fifty-ninth Supplemental Indenture	Feb. 1, 1986	13211	289
Sixtieth Supplemental Indenture	May. 1, 1986	13365	189
Sixty-first Supplemental Indenture	Oct. 1, 1986	13800	526
Sixty-second Supplemental Indenture	Nov. 1, 1986	13879	661
Sixth-third Supplemental Indenture	Nov. 1, 1986	13879	678
Sixth-fourth Supplemental Indenture	Apr. 1, 1987	14371	55
Sixty-fifth Supplemental Indenture	Aug. 1, 1987	14745	856
Sixty-sixth Supplemental Indenture	Feb. 1, 1988	15210	755

TICOR TITLE INSURANCE

- h. Easement in favor of Florida Gas Transmission Company filed August 17, 1967 in Official Records Book 3628, at Page 304.
- i. Easement in favor of Sunniland Pipe Line Co. Inc., filed April 17, 1972 in Official Records Book 4835, at Page 647. Assigned to Fedelity National Bank of Baton Rouge by instrument filed August 20, 1971 in Official Records Book 4587, at Page 469.
- j. Easement in favor of Sunniland Pipe Line Company, Inc., filed December 21, 1988 in Official Records Book 16051, at Page 62.
- k. Declaration of Agreement, Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions filed May 14, 1986 in Official Records Book 13396, at Page 944.
- l. Declaration filed May 14, 1986 in Official Records Book 13398, at Page 58 In re Lake owner.
- m. Easement in favor of Robert Elmore filed May 14, 1986 in Official Records Book 13398, at Page 66.
- n. Boundary Line Connection Agreement amongst Robert Elmore, Florida Power & Light Company and Alandeo Inc., filed February 12, 1988 in Official Records Book 15188, at Page 433.
- o. Easement in favor of Florida Gas Transmission Company filed December 6, 1968 in Official Records Book 3807, at Page 843.
- p. Easement in favor of Sunniland Pipe Line Co. Inc., filed in Official Records Book 4613, at Page 348.
- q. Declaration of Location of Easements and Disclaimer of Interest in other properties filed by Sunniland Pipe Line Company filed July 11, 1974 in Official Records Book 5846, at Page 423.
- r. Supplemental Rockpit Agreement in favor of Robert Elmore filed July 14, 1955 in Official Records Book 413, at Page 285.
- s. Easement in favor of Robert Elmore filed June 12, 1984 in Official Records Book 11773, at Page 331.
- t. Resolution establishing a Bulkhead Line in Section 19, Township 50 South Range 42 East filed April 8, 1969 in Official Records Book 3900, at Page 569.
- u. Easement in favor of Sunniland Pipe Line Co. Inc., filed January 28, 1974 in Official Records Book 5619, at Page 437.



TICOR TITLE INSURANCE

- v. Easement in favor of Florida Gas Transmission Company filed September 17, 1970 in Official Records Book 4304, at Page 975.
- w. Drainage Easement in favor of Alandco Inc., filed November 18, 1988 in Official Records Book 15968, at Page 1.
- x. Easement and Boundary Line Connection Agreement filed November 18, 1988 in Official Records Book 15968, at Page 7.
- y. Easement in favor of Sunniland Pipe Line Company, Inc. filed December 21, 1988 in Official Records Book 16051, at Page 53.
- z. Canal Reservation in favor of the Trustee's of the Internal Improvement Fund of the State of Florida contained in Deed filed April 22, 1908 in Deed Book 43, at Page 346 as to North 1/2 of Lot 32 in Section 23 Plat Book 2, Page 26 (Dade County).
- aa. Canal, mineral and petroleum reservations in favor of the Trustees of th Internal Improvement Fund of the State of Florida contained in Deed filed September 24, 1917 in Deed Book 7, at Page 576 as to Lot 17 in Section 25 Plat Book 2, Page 26 (Dade County).
- bb. Canal Reservations in favor of the Trustees of the Internal Improvement Fund of the State of Florida contained in Deed filed March 12, 1908 in Deed Book 43, at Page 270 as to Lots 18 and 31 in Section 25 Plat Book 2, Page 20 (Dade County).

Appendix 10.1.5
Air Permit Application

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
LIST OF TABLES	v
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
1.0 <u>INTRODUCTION</u>	1-1
2.0 <u>PROJECT DESCRIPTION</u>	2-1
2.1 GENERAL DESCRIPTION	2-1
2.2 FACILITY EMISSIONS AND STACK OPERATING PARAMETERS	2-3
2.3 EXISTING UNITS 4 AND 5	2-11
2.4 EXISTING GAS TURBINE UNITS 1 THROUGH 24	2-16
2.5 SITE LAYOUT AND STRUCTURES	2-20
3.0 <u>AIR QUALITY REVIEW REQUIREMENTS AND APPLICABILITY</u>	3-1
3.1 NATIONAL AND STATE AAQS	3-1
3.2 PSD REQUIREMENTS	3-1
3.2.1 <u>General Requirements</u>	3-1
3.2.2 <u>Increments/Classifications</u>	3-3
3.2.3 <u>Control Technology Review</u>	3-6
3.2.4 <u>Air Quality Monitoring Requirements</u>	3-8
3.2.5 <u>Source Impact Analysis</u>	3-9
3.2.6 <u>Additional Impact Analysis</u>	3-9
3.2.7 <u>Good Engineering Practice Stack Height</u>	3-10
3.3 NONATTAINMENT RULES	3-11
3.4 SOURCE APPLICABILITY	3-11
3.4.1 <u>PSD Review</u>	3-11
3.4.1.1 Pollutant Applicability	3-11
3.4.1.2 Ambient Monitoring	3-12
3.4.1.3 GEP Stack Height Impact Analysis	3-15
3.4.2 <u>Nonattainment Review</u>	3-15

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)

4.0	<u>CONTROL TECHNOLOGY REVIEW</u>	4-1
4.1	APPLICABILITY	4-1
4.2	NEW SOURCE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS	4-1
4.3	BEST AVAILABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY	4-3
4.3.1	<u>Nitrogen Oxides</u>	4-3
4.3.1.1	Emission Control Hierarchy	4-3
4.3.1.2	Technology Description and Feasibility	4-9
4.3.1.3	Impact Analysis	4-14
4.3.1.4	Proposed BACT and Rationale	4-17
4.3.2	<u>Carbon Monoxide</u>	4-18
4.3.2.1	Emission Control Hierarchy	4-18
4.3.2.2	Technology Description	4-19
4.3.2.3	Impact Analysis	4-20
4.3.2.4	Proposed BACT and Rationale	4-22
4.3.3	<u>Sulfur Dioxide</u>	4-22
4.3.3.1	Emission Control Hierarchy	4-22
4.3.3.2	Technology Description	4-23
4.3.3.3	Impact Analysis	4-24
4.3.3.4	Proposed BACT and Rationale	4-26
4.3.4	<u>Particulate Emissions/PM10</u>	4-26
4.3.5	<u>Other Regulated and Nonregulated Pollutant Emissions</u>	4-27
5.0	<u>AIR QUALITY MONITORING DATA</u>	5-1
5.1	PSD PRECONSTRUCTION MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	5-1
5.2	SULFUR DIOXIDE	5-2
5.3	NITROGEN DIOXIDE	5-5
5.4	METEOROLOGICAL DATA	5-5

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)

6.0	<u>AIR QUALITY MODELING APPROACH</u>	6-1
6.1	GENERAL MODELING APPROACH	6-1
6.2	MODEL SELECTION	6-2
6.3	METEOROLOGICAL DATA	6-5
6.4	EMISSION INVENTORY	6-6
6.4.1	<u>Proposed Repowered Units</u>	6-6
6.4.2	<u>Existing Lauderdale Plant Sources</u>	6-6
6.4.3	<u>Other Air Emission Sources</u>	6-7
6.5	RECEPTOR LOCATIONS	6-12
6.6	BACKGROUND CONCENTRATIONS	6-20
6.7	BUILDING DOWNWASH EFFECTS	6-20
7.0	<u>AIR QUALITY MODELING RESULTS</u>	7-1
7.1	PROPOSED UNITS ONLY	7-1
7.2	PSD CLASS II INCREMENT ANALYSIS	7-3
7.3	AAQS ANALYSIS	7-7
7.4	CLASS I AREA ANALYSIS	7-11
8.0	<u>EFFECTS TO AIR QUALITY RELATED VALUES AND VEGETATION, SOILS, VISIBILITY, AND GROWTH</u>	8-1
8.1	AIR QUALITY RELATED VALUES	8-1
8.1.1	<u>General Description</u>	8-1
8.1.2	<u>Impacts to Vegetation</u>	8-4
8.1.3	<u>Impacts to Soils</u>	8-12
8.1.4	<u>Impacts to Wildlife</u>	8-13
8.2	IMPACTS TO VISIBILITY	8-15
8.3	IMPACTS DUE TO ASSOCIATED GROWTH	8-22
9.0	<u>NONATTAINMENT</u>	9-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)

APPENDICES

- APPENDIX A--PERFORMANCE INFORMATION AND STACK PARAMETERS FOR
NATURAL GAS AND NO. 2 FUEL OIL
- APPENDIX B--EMISSION FACTORS
- APPENDIX C--VOC EMISSION CALCULATIONS
- APPENDIX D--APPLICATION TO OPERATE/CONSTRUCT AIR POLLUTION SOURCES

LIST OF TABLES

2-1	Design Information and Stack Parameters for Lauderdale Repowering Project--for Each Combustion Turbine (CT)	2-4
2-2	Maximum Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Lauderdale Repowering Project	2-5
2-3	Maximum Emissions of Other Regulated Pollutants, Lauderdale Repowering Project	2-6
2-4	Maximum Emissions of Nonregulated Pollutants, Lauderdale Repowering Project	2-7
2-5	Maximum Emissions of VOCs From Storage Tanks	2-9
2-6	Summary of Maximum Average Regulated Air Emissions From the Lauderdale Repowering Project	2-10
2-7	Annual Heat Input Limitations for Repowered Units	2-12
2-8	Representative Natural Gas Composition for Lauderdale Repowering Project	2-13
2-9	Representative Fuel Oil Composition for Lauderdale Repowering Project	2-14
2-10	Hours of Operation and Fuel Usage for Lauderdale Units 4 and 5	2-15
2-11	Actual Representative Emissions of Regulated Pollutants, Lauderdale Units 4 and 5	2-17
2-12	Summary of Existing Air Emission Sources at the FPL Lauderdale Plant	2-18
2-13	Annual Heat Input Limitations for Gas Turbines	2-19
3-1	National and State AAQS, Allowable PSD Increments, and Significance Levels ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	3-2
3-2	PSD Significant Emission Rates and <u>De Minimis</u> Monitoring Concentrations	3-4
3-3	Net Increase in Emissions Due to the Lauderdale Repowering Project Compared to the PSD Significant Emission Rates	3-13

LIST OF TABLES
(Continued)

3-4	Predicted Net Increase in Impacts Due to the Repowering Project Compared to PSD <u>De Minimis</u> Monitoring Concentrations	3-14
4-1	Federal NSPS for Electric Utility Stationary Gas Turbines	4-2
4-2	LAER/BACT Decisions For Gas Turbines	4-4
4-3	Cost, Technical, and Environmental Considerations of SCR Utilized on Combustion Turbines	4-11
4-4	Capital and Annual Costs for Alternative NO _x Control Technology	4-16
4-5	Capital and Annual Costs for Alternative CO Control Technology	4-21
4-6	Capital and Annual Costs for Alternative SO ₂ Control Technology	4-25
5-1	Summary of Ambient Sulfur Dioxide Data, Broward County--1986-1988	5-4
5-2	Summary of Ambient Nitrogen Dioxide Data, Broward County--1986-1988	5-6
6-1	Major Features of the ISCST Model	6-4
6-2	SO ₂ Sources (>25 TPY) Within 15 km of the FPL-Lauderdale Plant	6-8
6-3	NO _x Sources (>25 TPY) Within 15 km of the FPL-Lauderdale Plant	6-9
6-4	SO ₂ Sources (>100 TPY) Within 15 to 50 km of the FPL-Lauderdale Plant	6-10
6-5	NO _x Sources (>100 TPY) Within 15 to 50 km of the FPL-Lauderdale Plant	6-11
6-6	Summary of SO ₂ Facilities Eliminated From Modeling Using the "Screening Threshold" Technique	6-13
6-7	Summary of NO _x Facilities Used or Eliminated From Modeling Using the Screening Threshold Technique	6-14

LIST OF TABLES
(Continued)

6-8	Summary of SO ₂ Emission Sources to be Used in the Modeling Analysis	6-15
6-9	Summary of NO _x Emission Sources to be Used in the Modeling Analysis	6-16
6-10	Plant Property Receptors Used in the Screening Analysis	6-18
6-11	Receptors Used in the PSD Class I Modeling Analysis to Address Impacts on the Everglades National Park	6-19
6-12	Building Dimensions Used in ISCST Modeling Analysis to Address Potential Building Wake Effects	6-22
7-1	Results of Modeling Analysis of the Lauderdale Repowering Project	7-2
7-2	Maximum Predicted SO ₂ Concentrations from the Screening Analysis for Comparison to PSD Class II Increments	7-4
7-3	Maximum Predicted NO ₂ Concentrations from the Screening Analysis for Comparison to PSD Class II Increments	7-5
7-4	Maximum Predicted SO ₂ and NO ₂ Concentrations from the Refined Analysis for Comparison to PSD Class II Increments	7-6
7-5	Maximum Predicted Total SO ₂ Concentrations from the Screening Analysis for Comparison to AAQS	7-8
7-6	Maximum Predicted Total NO ₂ Concentrations in the Screening Analysis for Comparison to AAQS	7-9
7-7	Maximum Predicted SO ₂ and NO ₂ Concentrations from the Refined Analysis for Comparison to AAQS	7-10
7-8	Maximum Predicted SO ₂ Concentrations for Comparison to PSD Class I Increments	7-12
7-9	Maximum Predicted NO ₂ Concentrations for Comparison to PSD Class I Increments	7-13
8-1	Rare Plants Occurrence Records for Region	8-5
8-2	Summary of Characteristics of Major Soil Associations Found Within Everglades National Park	8-6

LIST OF TABLES
(Continued)

8-3	Federal and State Listed Endangered and Threatened Animals in the Everglades National Park	8-7
8-4	Habitat of Federal and State Listed Endangered and Threatened Animals in the Everglades National Park	8-8
8-5	Lowest Doses of SO ₂ Reported to Affect Plant Species Common in Site Region	8-10
8-6	Examples of Reported Effects of Air Pollutants at Concentrations Below National Secondary Ambient Air Quality Standards	8-14
8-7	Screening Level 2 Plume Visual Impact Analysis Identification of Worst-Case Meteorological Conditions	8-21

LIST OF FIGURES

1-1	Location of Lauderdale Plant Site	1-2
1-2	Lauderdale Site Map	1-3
2-1	Simplified Flow Diagram of Repowered Unit	2-2
2-2	Existing Lauderdale Site Plan	2-21
2-3	Site Layout of FPL Lauderdale Plant After Repowering	2-22
2-4	Profile of HRSG Area	2-23
2-5	Profile of Inlet Air Filter Area	2-24
5-1	Location of Ambient Monitoring Sites in the Vicinity of FPL Lauderdale Plant	5-3
8-1	Level 1 Visual Effects Screening Analysis for the Lauderdale Repowering Project on the Class I Area of the Everglades National Park	8-18
8-2	Level 2 Visual Effects Screening Analysis for the Lauderdale Repowering Project on the Class I Area of the Everglades National Park	8-23

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) Lauderdale Plant is located in eastern Broward County, about 1 mile east of the Florida Turnpike and 1 mile west of Interstate Highway 95 (Figure 1-1). Currently, the FPL Lauderdale Plant consists of two fossil-fuel steam generating units (Units 4 and 5) and 24 gas turbines (GT 1 through GT 24) with a total plant net summer electric generating capability of 1,126 megawatts (MW) (1,248 MW net, winter). All of these units are designed to burn either natural gas or oil.

FPL is proposing a Lauderdale Repowering Project at the Lauderdale Plant site, which will consist of replacing the existing steam generators for Units 4 and 5 with combustion turbines (CTs) and heat recovery steam generators (HRSGs) operating as a combined cycle plant. Each repowered unit will consist of two CTs, each with its own HRSG. The CTs directly drive electric generators to produce electric power. The exhaust gases from the CTs exhaust through the HRSGs, producing steam in the HRSGs. This steam will essentially replace the steam presently generated by Units 4 and 5 steam generators and will be used in the existing steam turbines. The existing Units 4 and 5 electric generators and condenser cooling system will also remain in service as part of the repowered units. A map of the Lauderdale Plant site, indicating both the existing units and the proposed units, is presented in Figure 1-2.

The combined cycle power plant will burn natural gas as the primary fuel and No. 2 fuel oil as an alternate fuel. Each repowered unit will have a nominal generating capacity of 480 MW. Each CT/HRSG train will be served by an individual stack.

The Lauderdale Repowering Project will result in increases in air emissions over current emissions from the Lauderdale Plant. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has promulgated regulations which require a prevention of significant deterioration (PSD) review for new or modified sources which increase emissions of regulated air pollutants





Figure 1-1 LOCATION OF LAUDERDALE PLANT SITE



Lauderdale
Repowering
Project

FPL



1-3

Figure1-2 LAUDERDALE SITE MAP



Lauderdale
Repowering
Project

FPL

above certain threshold amounts. Because the threshold amounts will be exceeded for certain emissions by the project, the project is subject to PSD review. Federal PSD regulations are promulgated under 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 52.21 and implemented through Florida Department of Environmental Regulation (FDER) air quality regulations. FDER's PSD regulations are codified in Chapter 17-2.500, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.).

Broward County is part of a three county area, which includes Dade and Palm Beach Counties, that have been designated as nonattainment for ozone. Any emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOC), the pollutant regulated in ozone nonattainment areas, must be addressed according to FDER Rule 17-2.510 F.A.C. Operational limitations are requested in this air permit application that would restrict existing and new VOC emissions from the Lauderdale Plant and repowered units. These limitations would bring the VOC emissions from the plant and repowered units under the threshold amounts requiring nonattainment review.

The technical information and analysis required by the federal and state PSD regulations are contained in this application. Although this document is an appendix to the Site Certification Application (SCA) for the facility, it has been prepared as a stand-alone application since EPA and other agencies may review the PSD application separately. The application is divided into eight major sections. Presented in Section 2.0 is a description of the facility, including air emissions and stack parameters. Air quality review requirements and applicability are presented in Section 3.0. The control technology review, including the Best Available Control Technology (BACT) evaluation, is presented in Section 4.0. Air quality monitoring information is presented in Section 5.0, and the methodology and results of the impact analyses performed for the project are presented in Sections 6.0, 7.0, and 8.0. Section 9.0 addresses nonattainment. Appendix D contains FDER Form 17-1.202(1).

2.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

2.1 GENERAL DESCRIPTION

The repowered Units 4 and 5 will each consist of two CTs and two HRSGs (i.e., a total of four CTs and four HRSGs for the two units). Each CT will be served by a single HRSG, exhausting to an individual stack. There will be no bypass stacks on the CTs for simple cycle operation; simple cycle operation will be accomplished by passing the exhaust gases through the HRSGs and diverting steam from the HRSGs directly to the condenser. A flow diagram of the project is presented in Figure 2-1.

The CTs will be capable of firing either natural gas or No. 2 distillate fuel oil. There will be supplementary firing of natural gas only in the HRSGs.

Steam injection will be used to control emissions of nitrogen oxides (NO_x) from the CTs. The use of natural gas or low-sulfur (0.5-percent sulfur or less) No. 2 fuel oil will minimize the emissions of sulfur dioxide (SO_2) from the units.

Air emission sources associated with the repowering project consist of the CTs, HRSGs, and fuel oil storage tanks. Storage of No. 2 fuel oil on-site will result in very minor emissions of volatile organic compounds. No. 6 fuel oil is currently stored on-site for Units 4 and 5.

The existing fossil-fuel steam generators for Units 4 and 5 will be retired upon completion of the repowering project. These units presently burn natural gas and/or No. 6 fuel oil. Shutdown of these existing units will result in creditable emission decreases.




Figure 2-1 SIMPLIFIED FLOW DIAGRAM OF REPOWERED UNIT



Lauderdale
Repowering
Project

FPL

2.2 FACILITY EMISSIONS AND STACK OPERATING PARAMETERS

The performance information and stack parameters that envelope the CT manufacturers' designs currently being considered for the project are presented in Table 2-1. The volumetric flow rates that will be encountered over the range of ambient operating conditions and for the worst-case fuel (i.e., No. 2 fuel oil) are presented in this table.

Maximum emission rates for regulated criteria pollutants, regulated non-criteria pollutants, and nonregulated pollutants from each CT are presented in Tables 2-2, 2-3, and 2-4, respectively. Detailed performance information and stack parameters for each fuel (natural gas or No. 2 fuel oil) are presented in Appendix A. These design data include operation over the expected range of ambient conditions encountered at the site (40°F to 95°F).

Supplemental firing with natural gas will take place in the duct between each CT and its associated HRSG. The supplemental firing, at a maximum rate of 90.62 million British thermal units per hour ($\times 10^6$ Btu/hr), will allow the HRSG to produce additional steam and therefore allow greater electrical power generation in the steam turbine/generator. The firing of natural gas will produce additional air emissions, as shown in Tables 2-2 through 2-4 for the maximum firing rate. These emissions will combine with the CT exhaust gases only during natural gas firing and exhaust through the HRSG stack.

Maximum air quality impacts due to operation of the repowered units will be a function of emission rate and plume rise. Review of the available design information shows that maximum emissions occur when burning No. 2 fuel oil, except in the case of mercury and polycyclic organic matter (POM). Both natural gas burning and No. 2 fuel oil burning result in similar exhaust gas flow rates (which are directly related to plume rise). As a result, worst-case operating parameters were based upon burning No. 2 fuel oil. Worst-case emissions also occur when operating at 40°F ambient temperature.

Table 2-1. Design Information and Stack Parameters for Lauderdale Repowering Project--for Each Combustion Turbine (CT)

Parameter	No.2 Oil at 40°F	No.2 Oil at 95°F
General		
Power (kW)	172,290	151,480
Heat Rate (Btu/kWh)	9,830	10,335
Heat Input (10 ⁶ Btu/hr)	1,693.6	1,565.5
Fuel Oil (lb/hr)	88,531.7	81,837.2
Fuel		
Oil--HHV (Btu/lb)	19,130	19,130
Sulfur (%)	0.5	0.5
Gas Turbine Exhaust Conditions		
Volume Flow (acfm)	2,422,969	2,283,495
Volume Flow (scfm)	852,885	759,726
Mass Flow (lb/hr)	3,753,920	3,311,950
Temperature (°F)	1,040	1,127
Moisture (%)	11.23	83
Oxygen (%)	12.14	15
Molecular Weight	28.27	27.89
Steam Injected (lb/hr)	155,080	143,630
Stack Exhaust Conditions		
Temperature (°F)	280	280
Volume Flow (acfm)	1,195,331	1,064,768
Diameter (ft)	18	18
Velocity (ft/sec)	78.3	69.7
Height (ft)	150	150

Note: Based on one CT/HRSG operating at full load.

- acfm = actual cubic feet per minute.
- 10⁶ Btu/hr = million British thermal units per hour.
- Btu/kWh = British thermal unit per kilowatt hour.
- ft/sec = feet per second.
- HHV = high heating value.
- kW = kilowatt.
- lb/hr = pounds per hour.
- scfm = standard cubic feet per minute.

Table 2-2. Maximum Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Lauderdale Repowering Project

Pollutant	CT Maximum Emissions ^a	Supplemental Firing Emissions
Particulate^b		
Basis	Design	Design
lb/hr	60.6	0.2
TPY	265.4	0.9
Sulfur Dioxide		
Basis	0.5% sulfur	2 gr/1,000 ft ^{3c}
lb/hr	895.67	0.05
TPY	3,923.1	0.22
Nitrogen Oxides		
Basis	65 ppm ^d	0.2 lb/10 ⁶ Btu
lb/hr	440.0	18.1
TPY	1,972.1	79.4
Carbon Monoxide		
Basis	33 ppm ^e	0.055 lb/10 ⁶ Btu
lb/hr	108.9	5.5
TPY	477.1	24.1
VOCs		
Basis	6 ppm ^e	0.018 lb/10 ⁶ Btu
lb/hr	8.49	1.6
TPY	37.2	7.1
Lead		
Basis (lb/10 ¹² Btu)	8.9	neg.
lb/hr	0.015	neg.
TPY	0.066	neg.

^aMaximum emissions with oil firing at ISO conditions except for particulate, CO, and VOC; maximum emissions for these pollutants are 40°F (see Table A-2).

^bDoes not include sulfate or sulfite aerosols; those emissions are included in sulfuric acid emissions.

^cMaximum pipeline sulfur is 200 gr/1,000 ft³.

^dCorrected to 15 percent O₂ dry conditions.

^eCorrected to dry conditions.

Note: gr/1,000 ft³ - grains per 1,000 cubic feet.

lb/10⁶ Btu - pounds per million British thermal units.

lb/10¹² Btu - pounds per 10¹² British thermal units

lb/hr - pounds per hour.

ppm - parts per million.

TPY - tons per year.

Table 2-3. Maximum Emissions of Other Regulated Pollutants, Lauderdale Repowering Project

Pollutant	CT Maximum Emissions ^a	Supplemental Firing Emissions
Arsenic		
Basis (lb/10 ¹² Btu)	4.2	neg.
lb/hr	0.0072	neg.
TPY	0.031	neg.
Beryllium		
Basis (lb/10 ¹² Btu)	2.5	neg.
lb/hr	0.0043	neg.
TPY	0.019	neg.
Mercury^b		
Basis (pg/J)	3.0	4.9
lb/hr	0.020	0.001
TPY	0.089	0.004
Fluorides		
Basis (pg/J)	14	neg.
lb/hr	0.055	neg.
TPY	0.24	neg.
Sulfuric Acid Mist		
Basis	8% of sulfur	3% of sulfur
lb/hr	111.5	0.0002
TPY	489	0.001

^aMaximum emissions at ISO conditions and oil firing unless otherwise noted.

^bMaximum emissions are based upon natural gas firing.

Note: lb/10¹² Btu = pounds per 10¹² British thermal units.
 lb/hr = pounds per hour.
 pg/J = picograms per Joule.
 TPY = tons per year.

Table 2-4. Maximum Emissions of Nonregulated Pollutants, Lauderdale Repowering Project

Pollutant	CT Maximum Emissions ^a	Supplemental Firing Emissions
Manganese		
Basis (lb/10 ¹² Btu)	6.44	neg.
lb/hr	0.011	neg.
TPY	0.048	neg.
Nickel		
Basis (lb/10 ¹² Btu)	170	neg.
lb/hr	0.29	neg.
TPY	1.28	neg.
Cadmium		
Basis (lb/10 ¹² Btu)	10.5	neg.
lb/hr	0.018	neg.
TPY	0.079	neg.
Chromium		
Basis (lb/10 ¹² Btu)	47.5	neg.
lb/hr	0.081	neg.
TPY	0.36	neg.
Copper		
Basis (lb/10 ¹² Btu)	280	neg.
lb/hr	0.48	neg.
TPY	2.1	neg.
Vanadium		
Basis (pg/J)	30	neg.
lb/hr	0.12	neg.
TPY	0.52	neg.
Selenium		
Basis (pg/J)	10.1	neg.
lb/hr	0.040	neg.
TPY	0.18	neg.
POM^b		
Basis (pg/J)	0.28	0.28
lb/hr	0.0012	0.00006
TPY	0.0051	0.00026
Formaldehyde		
Basis (lb/10 ¹² Btu)	405	0.038 ng/J
lb/hr	0.69	0.008
TPY	3.04	0.035

^aMaximum emissions at ISO conditions and oil firing unless otherwise noted.^bMaximum emissions are based upon natural gas firing.

Note: neg. = negligible.

pg/J = picograms per Joule.

POM = polycyclic organic matter.

Lowest exhaust gas volumetric flow from the repowered units occurs under 95°F operation. Since this condition results in the lowest plume rise of the exhaust gases and potentially higher impacts than the maximum emission rate case (40°F), stack data for this case were used in the air quality impact analysis.

The CTs will be subject to federal New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) for gas turbines. The NSPS, described in Section 4.2, limit emissions of sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides.

The existing 150,000-barrel (bbl) tank, currently used for No. 6 fuel oil storage, will be converted for storage of No. 2 fuel oil. A 55,000-bbl tank, currently used to store No. 2 fuel oil for the GTs will be removed. Two other existing tanks with capacities of 80,000 and 75,000 bbls will be used for No. 2 fuel oil storage. Table 2-5 presents the maximum estimated emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) from these storage tanks; VOCs are the only pollutant emitted by working and breathing vapor losses.

Table 2-6 presents a summary of the maximum potential air emissions from the repowering project. Emission rates in tons per year (TPY) are shown for four CTs, four HRSGs, the storage tank, and the total for both repowered Units 4 and 5. The total CT emissions for all pollutants except VOCs are based on full load operating conditions at 75°F ambient temperature, the average annual temperature for the area. With the exception of mercury (Hg) emissions, maximum annual emissions of regulated pollutants will result from No. 2 fuel oil burning. Emissions from the HRSGs are not additive to the CT emissions in this table, except for mercury, since the duct burners will only be fired with natural gas.

Table 2-5. Maximum Emissions of VOCs From Storage Tanks

Description	No. 3 Tank New	No. 3 Tank Old	No. 2 Tank Potential	No. 4 Tank Removed	No. 5 Tank Potential
Type of Liquid Stored	No. 2 Fuel Oil	No. 6 Fuel Oil	No. 2 Fuel Oil	No. 2 Fuel Oil	No. 2 Fuel Oil
Tank Volume (gallons)	6,300,000	6,300,000	3,360,000	2,310,000	3,150,000
Total Annual Throughput (gallons)	418,965,980	29,429,000	515,968,586	806,260	515,968,586
Turnovers Per Year	66.5	4.7	153.6	0.35	163.80
Molecular Weight of Vapor	130.0	190.0	130.0	130.0	130.0
Storage Temperature (°F)	75.0	75.0	75.0	75.0	75.0
Vapor Pressure at Storage Temperature (PSIA)	0.0105	0.0001	0.0105	0.0105	0.0105
Tank Diameter (ft)	150.0	150.0	120.0	100.0	120.0
Average Vapor Space Height (ft)	24.0	24.0	20.0	20.0	19.0
Average Diurnal Temperature Change (°F)	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0
Paint Factor	1.33	1.33	1.33	1.33	1.33
Product Factor	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Turnover Factor	0.5	1.0	0.4	1.0	0.4
Breathing Losses (lb/yr)	3730.9	189.3	2310.8	1685.7	2251.2
(tons/yr)	1.9	0.09	1.2	0.8	1.1
Working Losses (lb/yr)	6862.7	10.1	6761.3	26.4	6761.3
(tons/yr)	3.4	0.0050	3.4	0.0	3.4
Total Emissions (tons/yr)	5.3	0.1	4.5	0.9	4.5

Table 2-6. Summary of Maximum Average Regulated Air Emissions From the Lauderdale Repowering Project

Pollutant	4 CTs/HRSGs ^a (TPY)	Storage Tank (TPY)	Total ^b (TPY)
Particulate Matter ^c	824.6	NA	824.6
Sulfur Dioxide ^c	12,232.2	NA	12,232.2
Nitrogen Oxides ^c	5,998.8	NA	5,998.8
Carbon Monoxide ^d	1,658.8	NA	1,658.8
Volatile Organic Compounds ^c	111.0	4.3 ^e	115.3
Lead ^c	0.21	NA	0.21
Arsenic ^c	0.098	NA	0.098
Beryllium ^c	0.058	NA	0.058
Mercury ^d	0.352	NA	0.352
Fluorides ^c	0.759	NA	0.766
Sulfuric Acid Mist ^c	1,525	NA	1,525

^aHRSGs only fired with natural gas and when natural gas is fired in CT.

^bDoes not include creditable emission decreases for Units 4 and 5.

^cBased on oil firing at 75°F ambient temperature and 81.1 percent capacity factor (operation at full load).

^dBased on natural gas firing at 75°F ambient temperature and 100 percent capacity factor; includes emissions from HRSGs, i.e., 96.4 TPY for CO and 0.014 TPY for mercury.

^eNet emissions increase from storage tanks (Tank No. 3 with No. 2 fuel oil minus Tank No. 3 on No. 6 oil minus Tank No. 4 on No. 2 fuel oil: 5.3 - 0.1 - 0.9 = 4.3).

Note: NA = not applicable.

neg. = negligible.

TPY = tons per year.

The net increase in VOC emissions from storage tanks is based on: increase in VOCs from No. 2 fuel oil assuming all oil for the repowered units is handled from the large No. 3 storage tank, decrease in VOCs from No. 6 fuel oil handled in the No. 3 storage tank and the decrease in VOCs from removing the No. 4 storage tank.

VOC emissions from the repowered units will be limited by annual average heat input restrictions on firing No. 2 fuel oil and natural gas. Natural gas will only be used for supplemental firing. In addition, supplemental firing will only occur concurrent with natural gas firing in the CTs. The CT/HRSG heat input would be restricted based on the limitations presented in Table 2-7. These operating restrictions would limit the CTs to emit no more than 111.0 tons/year.

Fuel specifications for natural gas and distillate oil for the Lauderdale Repowering Project are presented in Tables 2-8 and 2-9.

2.3 EXISTING UNITS 4 AND 5

The existing Units 4 and 5 fossil-fuel-fired steam generating units will be taken out of service prior to completion of the Repowering Project. Operation of these units has varied over their history to respond to electric demand. Without the repowering project, these units would be expected to be operated at levels higher than in recent years. These units have burned both natural gas and No. 6 fuel oil and do not have annual operating restrictions for either fuel. Because of the varied nature of operation, the last 2 years of fuel usage information is not representative of actual emissions for which the facility has previously emitted or has the potential to emit. Therefore, the last 20 years were considered as representative for calculating actual emissions. Presented in Table 2-10 are the hours of operation and quantities of fuel burned in each unit from 1969 to 1988. Actual representative air emissions from Units 4 and 5 were calculated on the basis of the average fuel usage. Emission factors were obtained from EPA publication AP-42 and other publications (see Appendix B for references).

Table 2-7. Annual Heat Input Limitations for Repowered Units Turbine

	Natural Gas ^a				No. 2 Fuel Oil			Total	
	Capacity Factor ^b (%)	Heat Input (10 ⁹ Btu)	VOCs (TPY)		Capacity Factor ^b (%)	Heat Input (10 ¹² Btu)	VOCs (TPY)	Capacity Factor ^b (%)	VOCs (TPY)
If the Capacity Factor and Associated Heat	0.0	0.0	0.0	Then the Capacity Factor and Associated Heat	81.1	46,834.2	111.0	81.1	111.0
	5.0	2,970.4	2.5		79.3	45,760.4	108.5	84.3	111.0
	10.0	5,940.8	5.1		77.4	44,686.6	105.9	87.4	111.0
Input on Natural Gas is equal to:	15.0	8,911.1	7.6	Input on No. 2 Fuel Oil can be equal to or less than:	75.6	43,612.8	103.4	90.6	111.0
	20.0	11,881.5	10.2		73.7	42,539.0	100.8	93.7	111.0
	25.0	14,851.9	12.7		71.8	41,465.2	98.3	96.8	111.0
	30.0	17,822.3	15.3		70.0	40,391.3	95.7	100.0	111.0
	35.0	20,792.6	17.8		65.0	37,518.0	88.9	100.0	106.7
	40.0	23,763.0	20.4		60.0	34,632.0	82.1	100.0	102.4
	45.0	26,733.4	22.9		55.0	31,746.0	75.2	100.0	98.1
	50.0	29,703.8	25.5		50.0	28,860.0	68.4	100.0	93.9
	55.0	32,674.1	28.0		45.0	25,974.0	61.6	100.0	89.6
	60.0	35,644.5	30.5		40.0	23,088.0	54.7	100.0	85.3
	65.0	38,614.9	33.1		35.0	20,202.0	47.9	100.0	81.0
	70.0	41,585.3	35.6		30.0	17,316.0	41.0	100.0	76.7
	75.0	44,555.6	38.2		25.0	14,430.0	34.2	100.0	72.4
	80.0	47,526.0	40.7		20.0	11,544.0	27.4	100.0	68.1
	85.0	50,496.4	43.3		15.0	8,658.0	20.5	100.0	63.8
	90.0	53,466.8	45.8		10.0	5,772.0	13.7	100.0	59.5
	95.0	56,437.1	48.4		5.0	2,886.0	6.8	100.0	55.2
	100.0	59,407.5	50.9		0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	50.9

^aNatural gas firing includes both CT and supplemental firing; the amount of supplemental firing is proportional to CT capacity factor.

^bCapacity Factor in this context is the percent of full load operation at 75°F ambient conditions.

Table 2-8. Representative Natural Gas Composition for Lauderdale Repowering Project

Parameter	Specification
<u>Constituents</u>	
Hydrogen (H ₂)	--
Methane (CH ₄)	83.40% by volume
Ethylene (C ₂ H ₄)	--
Ethane (C ₂ H ₆)	15.80% by volume
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	--
Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂), maximum	2.0% by volume
Nitrogen (N ₂)	0.80% by volume
Oxygen (O ₂), maximum	0.40% by volume
Hydrogen Sulfide (H ₂ S), maximum	1 grain/100 scf
Water (H ₂ O) Vapor, maximum	4 lb/10 ⁶ scf
Synthetic Lubricants (Phosphate-Ester Based)	Trace
Specific Gravity (relative to air)	0.636
<u>Ultimate Analysis</u>	
Sulfur (S)	0.0007 % by weight ^a
Hydrogen (H ₂)	24.034% by weight
Carbon (C)	73.900% by weight
Nitrogen (N ₂)	0.76% by weight
Oxygen (O ₂)	1.236% by weight
<u>Heat Content</u>	
Btu/ft ³ (HHV)	950 (min) - 1,124
Btu/lb of Fuel (HHV)	23,170
(LHV)	20,870

^a2 grains/1,000 scf, the maximum permissible pipeline sulfur content is 200 gr/1,000 ft³.

Note: Btu/ft³ = British thermal units per cubic feet.
HHV = high heating value.
LHV = low heating value.

Table 2-9. Representative Fuel Oil Composition for Lauderdale Repowering Project

Parameter	Specification
Specific gravity, 60°F	.82 - 0.86
Heat of Combustion	19,130 Btu/lb
Carbon	87% by weight
Oxygen	0% by weight
Sulfur	0.5% (maximum) by weight
Nitrogen	0.02% by weight
Hydrogen	12.5% by weight
Ash (fuel as delivered)	0.05% by weight
Trace metal contaminants (untreated)	
Sodium plus potassium	1 ppm (maximum)
Vanadium	0.5 ppm (maximum)
Lead	1 ppm (maximum)
Calcium	2 ppm (maximum)

Note: Btu/lb = British thermal units per pound.
ppm = parts per million.

Table 2-10. Hours of Operation and Fuel Usage for Lauderdale Units 4 and 5

Year	Unit 4			Unit 5		
	Operation (hours)	Natural Gas (10 ⁶ ft ³)	No. 6 Fuel Oil (10 ³ gal)	Operation (hours)	Natural Gas (10 ⁶ ft ³)	No. 6 Fuel Oil (10 ³ gal)
1988	1,623	1,279	3,460	2,317	1,937	3,948
1987	2,086	2,110	993	2,173	2,089	1,785
1986	1,615	1,857	0	2,113	2,356	468
1985	1,876	2,103	983	1,289	1,309	1,343
1984	1,724	938	6,268	1,574	818	5,498
1983	1,943	1,049	7,208	1,677	792	6,871
1982	1,899	1,611	3,397	2,587	1,957	5,481
1981	2,895	402	16,884	3,100	259	20,803
1980	4,376	2,161	20,301	4,208	1,788	21,098
1979	5,341	2,796	22,605	4,925	1,870	25,203
1978	4,871	1,937	20,983	6,461	4,046	20,849
1977	4,273	2,220	15,103	5,342	3,900	11,147
1976	5,821	2,958	18,766	7,360	4,991	18,472
1975	6,593	3,160	23,507	6,126	3,609	19,736
1974	6,669	2,756	29,413	6,576	2,367	31,794
1973	8,151	2,281	43,285	8,295	1,799	48,808
1972	8,764	5,979	36,036	7,311	4,434	32,928
1971	6,671	4,525	403	8,414	5,610	22,384
1970	8,449	6,015	18,358	8,681	6,769	328
1969	7,030	3,753	13,440	6,984	3,811	11,970
Average	4,634	2,595	15,070	4,876	2,803	15,546

Note: 10⁶ ft³ = million cubic feet.
10³ gal = thousand gallons.

The emission factors and resulting emissions for all regulated pollutants are shown in Table 2-11. Sulfur dioxide emissions were determined using the actual sulfur content in No. 6 fuel oil for the last 2 years, which is representative of the quality of fuel used in these units. The average sulfur content during 1987 and 1988 was 0.964 percent.

Maximum operating parameters and maximum sulfur dioxide, particulate matter, and nitrogen oxides emissions associated with the existing Units 4 and 5 are presented in Table 2-12.

2.4 EXISTING GAS TURBINE UNITS 1 THROUGH 24

There are 24 identical GT peaking units currently operating at the Lauderdale Plant. These units are used for peaking purposes to meet load demands and operate intermittently. The units can operate on either natural gas or No. 2 fuel oil. Maximum operating parameters and air emission rates from these existing units are presented in Table 2-12.

The operation GTs will also be limited so that the total potential VOC emissions plus that of their associated storage tanks (i.e., assuming maximum throughput from GTs 1 through 12 using No. 2 storage tank and from GTs 13 through 24 using No. 5 storage tank) will be less than 100 TPY. The maximum storage tank emissions are 9 TPY which results in a VOC limitation on the GTs of 90.9 TPY. The annual heat input limitations for the GTs are presented in Table 2-13. These limitations more accurately reflect the actual operating conditions of the GTs. Since they began operation in the early 1970s, the actual average VOC emissions were 5.77 TPY.

All VOC emission calculations for the existing GTs were based on data developed from stack testing. This stack testing consisted of performing Method 25A analyses and excluding all methane and ethane in the gas stream as allowed under Rule 17-2.100(223) F.A.C. The description of testing and the VOC calculations are presented in Appendix C.

Table 2-11. Actual Representative Emissions of Regulated Pollutants, Lauderdale Units 4 and 5

Parameter	Unit No. 4		Unit No. 5		TOTAL
	Natural Gas	No. 6 Fuel Oil	Natural Gas	No. 6 Fuel Oil	
Natural Gas Burned (10 ⁶ ft ³ /yr)	2,595		2,803		5,398
No. 6 Fuel Oil (gal/yr)		15,070,000		15,546,000	30,616,000
(% sulfur)		0.964		0.964	
Emission Factor	lb/10 ⁶ scf	lb/1,000 gal	lb/10 ⁶ scf	lb/1,000 gal	
PM	3	12.64 ^a	3	12.64 ^a	
PM10	3	8.97 ^a	3	8.97 ^a	
Sulfur Dioxide	0.6	151.3 ^b	0.6	151.3 ^b	
Nitrogen Oxides	550	67	550	67	
Carbon Monoxide	40	5	40	5	
Volatile Organic Compounds (methane)	0.3	0.28	0.3	0.28	
(non-methane)	1.4	0.76	1.4	0.76	
Lead	Neg.	0.0042	Neg.	0.0042	
Fluoride	Neg.	0.052	Neg.	0.052	
Mercury	0.011	0.00048	0.011	0.00048	
Beryllium	Neg.	0.00063	Neg.	0.00063	
Arsenic	Neg.	0.0029	Neg.	0.0029	
Sulfuric Acid	Neg.	5.8	Neg.	5.8	
Emission Rate (TPY)					
PM	3.89	95.24	4.20	98.25	201.59
PM10	3.89	67.62	4.20	69.76	145.48
Sulfur Dioxide	0.78	1,140.41	0.84	1,176.42	2,318.48
Nitrogen Oxides	713.63	504.85	770.83	520.79	2,510.09
Carbon Monoxide	51.90	37.68	56.06	38.87	184.50
Volatile Organic Compounds (methane)	0.39	2.11	0.42	2.18	5.10
(non-methane)	1.82	5.73	1.92	5.91	15.41
Lead	Neg.	0.0316	Neg.	0.326	0.0643
Fluoride	Neg.	0.392	Neg.	0.404	0.7960
Mercury	0.0143	0.00362	0.0154	0.00373	0.0370
Beryllium	Neg.	0.00475	Neg.	0.00490	0.00964
Arsenic	Neg.	0.0219	Neg.	0.02254	0.0444
Sulfuric Acid	Neg.	43.70	Neg.	45.08	88.79

^aBased on equation: 10 S + 3, where S = sulfur content. PM10 is 71 percent of PM emissions.

^bBased on equation: 157 S, where S = sulfur content

Note: 10⁶ ft³/yr = million cubic feet per year.

gal/yr = gallons per year.

lb/10⁶ scf = pounds per million standard cubic feet.

Neg. = negligible.

TPY = tons per year.

Table 2-12. Summary of Existing Air Emission Sources at the FPL Lauderdale Plant

Source	Location (m) Relative To Proposed Units		Stack Data (ft)		Operating Data		Maximum Emissions ^a (lb/hr)			
	X	Y	Height	Diameter	Temperature	Velocity	SO ₂	NO _x	PM	CO
					(°F)	(ft/sec)				
Units 4 and 5 ^b	-50	0.0	151.0	14.0	300	58	3,630	1,892	330	138
Gas Turbines 1 - 12	0.0	110.0	43.5	18.0 ^c	860	70	4,164	4,032	297	966
Gas Turbines 13 - 24	0.0	540.0	43.5	18.0 ^c	860	70	4,164	4,032	297	966

^aTotal emissions from identified units.

^bUnits 4 and 5 will be shut down when the proposed units become operational.

^cEffective stack diameter based on actual stack area.

Note: All operational and emissions data based on FDER permitted rates. The following permits are relevant:
Unit 4-AO-06-146594; Unit 5-AO-06-143213; GT Units 1-2 AO-06-148760 and GT Units 13-24 AO-06-148761.

Table 2-13. Annual Heat Input Limitations for Gas Turbines

	Natural Gas				No.2 Fuel Oil			Total	
	Capacity Factor ^a (%)	Heat Input (10 ⁹ Btu)	VOCs (TPY)		Capacity Factor ^a (%)	Heat Input (10 ⁹ Btu)	VOCs (TPY)	Capacity Factor ^a (%)	VOCs (TPY)
If the Capacity Factor and Associated Heat Input on Natural Gas is equal to:	0.0	0.0	0.0	Then the Capacity Factor and Associated Heat Input on No. 2 Fuel Oil can be equal to or less than:	98.6	139,911.1	90.9	98.59	90.9
	5.0	7,379.4	12.5		85.0	120,602.1	78.4	89.98	90.9
	10.0	14,758.8	25.1		71.4	101,293.2	65.8	81.38	90.9
	15.0	22,138.3	37.6		57.8	81,984.2	53.3	72.77	90.9
	20.0	29,517.7	50.2		44.2	62,875.2	40.7	64.16	90.9
	25.0	38,897.1	62.7		30.6	43,366.3	28.2	55.56	90.9
	30.0	44,276.5	75.3		17.0	24,057.3	15.8	48.95	90.9
	35.0	51,656.0	87.8		3.3	4,748.4	3.1	38.35	90.9
	36.2	53,470.7	90.9		0.0	(0.0)	0.0	36.23	90.9

^aCapacity factor in this context is the percent of full load operation at 75°F ambient conditions.

2.5 SITE LAYOUT AND STRUCTURES

Site plans of the Lauderdale Plant, both before and after the repowering project is completed, are shown in Figures 2-2 and 2-3. The four new CTs and HRSGs will be located just east of the existing Units 4 and 5 steam generator buildings and steam turbine building. The Units 4 and 5 steam generator buildings and stacks will be dismantled upon retirement of the units. The locations of the existing gas turbine units (GT1-GT24) are also shown on the site plan. These locations will not change with the implementation of the repowering project.

A profile view of the environmental enclosure for the CTs, air inlet filters, HRSGs, and discharge stacks is presented in Figures 2-4 and 2-5. The principal structures associated with the facility are the environmental enclosure for the CTs (74.5 ft high), the HRSGs (61 ft high), and the stacks (150 ft high).




Figure 2-2 EXISTING LAUDERDALE SITE PLAN



Lauderdale
Repowering
Project

FPL




Figure 2-4 PROFILE OF HRSG AREA



Lauderdale
Repowering
Project

FPL




Figure 2-5 PROFILE OF INLET AIR FILTER AREA



Lauderdale
Repowering
Project

FPL

3.0 AIR QUALITY REVIEW REQUIREMENTS AND APPLICABILITY

The following discussion pertains to the federal and state air regulatory requirements and their applicability to the Lauderdale Repowering Project. These regulations must be satisfied before the proposed facility can begin operation.

3.1 NATIONAL AND STATE AAQS

The existing applicable national and Florida ambient air quality standards (AAQS) are presented in Table 3-1. Primary national AAQS were promulgated to protect the public health, and secondary national AAQS were promulgated to protect the public welfare from any known or anticipated adverse effects associated with the presence of pollutants in the ambient air. Areas of the country in violation of AAQS are designated as nonattainment areas, and new sources to be located in or near these areas may be subject to more stringent air permitting requirements.

3.2 PSD REQUIREMENTS

3.2.1 General Requirements

Under federal and State of Florida PSD review requirements, all major new or modified sources of air pollutants regulated under the Clean Air Act (CAA) must be reviewed and a preconstruction permit issued. Florida's State Implementation Plan (SIP), which contains PSD regulations, has been approved by EPA, and therefore PSD approval authority has been granted to FDER.

A "major facility" is defined as any one of 28 named source categories which has the potential to emit 100 tons per year (TPY) or more, or any other stationary facility which has the potential to emit 250 TPY or more of any pollutant regulated under CAA. "Potential to emit" means the capability, at maximum design capacity, to emit a pollutant after the application of control equipment.

Table 3-1. National and State AAQS, Allowable PSD Increments, and Significance Levels ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)

Pollutant	Averaging Time	AAQS			PSD Increments		Significant Impact Levels
		National		State of Florida	Class I	Class II	
		Primary Standard	Secondary Standard				
Particulate Matter (TSP)	Annual Geometric Mean	NA	NA	NA	5	19	1
	24-Hour Maximum ^a	NA	NA	NA	10	37	5
Particulate Matter (PM10)	Annual Arithmetic Mean	50	50	50	4 ^c	17 ^c	1
	24-Hour Maximum ^b	150	150	150	8 ^c	30 ^c	5
Sulfur Dioxide	Annual Arithmetic Mean	80	NA	60	2	20	1
	24-Hour Maximum ^b	365	NA	260	5	91	5
	3-Hour Maximum ^b	NA	1,300	1,300	25	512	25
Carbon Monoxide	8-Hour Maximum ^b	10,000	10,000	10,000	NA	NA	500
	1-Hour Maximum ^b	40,000	40,000	40,000	NA	NA	2,000
Nitrogen Dioxide	Annual Arithmetic Mean	100	100	100	2.5 ^d	25 ^d	1
Ozone	1-Hour Maximum ^e	235	235	235	NA	NA	NA
Lead	Calendar Quarter Arithmetic Mean	1.5	1.5	15	NA	NA	NA

^aMaximum concentration not to be exceeded more than once per year.

^bAchieved when the expected number of exceedances per year is less than 1.

^cProposed October 5, 1989.

^dThe State of Florida has not yet adopted the PSD increments for NO₂ concentrations.

^eAchieved when the expected number of days per year with concentrations above the standard is less than 1.

Note: Particulate matter (TSP) = total suspended particulate matter.

Particulate matter (PM10) = particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to 10 micrometers.

NA = Not applicable, i.e., no standard exists.

Sources: Federal Register, Vol. 43, No. 118, June 19, 1978.

40 CFR 50.

40 CFR 52.21.

Chapter 17-2.400, F.A.C.

A "major modification" is defined under PSD regulations as a change at an existing major facility which increases emissions by greater than significant amounts. PSD significant emission rates are shown in Table 3-2.

PSD review is used to determine whether significant air quality deterioration will result from the new or modified facility. Federal PSD requirements are contained in 40 CFR 52.21, Prevention of Significant Deterioration of Air Quality. The State of Florida has adopted PSD regulations which are essentially identical to federal regulations (Chapter 17-2.510⁵⁰⁰, F.A.C.). Major facilities and major modifications are required to undergo the following analysis related to PSD for each pollutant emitted in significant amounts:

1. Control technology review,
2. Source impact analysis,
3. Air quality analysis (monitoring),
4. Source information, and
5. Additional impact analyses.

In addition to these analyses, a new facility must also be reviewed with respect to Good Engineering Practice (GEP) stack height regulations. Discussions concerning each of these requirements are presented in the following sections.

3.2.2 Increments/Classifications

In promulgating the 1977 CAA Amendments, Congress specified that certain increases above an air quality baseline concentration level of sulfur dioxide and total suspended particulate matter [PM(TSP)] concentrations would constitute significant deterioration. The magnitude of the allowable increment depends on the classification of the area in which a new source (or modification) will be located or have an impact. Three classifications were designated based on criteria established in the CAA Amendments. Initially, Congress promulgated areas as Class I (international parks, national wilderness areas, and memorial parks larger than 5,000 acres,

Table 3-2. PSD Significant Emission Rates and De Minimis Monitoring Concentrations

Pollutant	Regulated Under	Significant Emission Rate (TPY)	<u>De Minimis</u> Monitoring Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
Sulfur Dioxide	NAAQS, NSPS	40	13, 24-hour
Particulate Matter (TSP)	NAAQS, NSPS	25	10, 24-hour
Particulate Matter (PM10)	NAAQS	15	10, 24-hour
Nitrogen Oxides	NAAQS, NSPS	40	14, annual
Carbon Monoxide	NAAQS, NSPS	100	575, 8-hour
Volatile Organic Compounds (Ozone)	NAAQS, NSPS	40	100 TPY ^a
Lead	NAAQS	0.6	0.1, 3-month
Sulfuric Acid Mist	NSPS	.7	NM
Total Fluorides	NSPS	3	0.25, 24-hour
Total Reduced Sulfur	NSPS	10	10, 1-hour
Reduced Sulfur Compounds	NSPS	10	10, 1-hour
Hydrogen Sulfide	NSPS	10	0.2, 1-hour
Asbestos	NESHAP	0.007	NM
Beryllium	NESHAP	0.0004	0.001, 24-hour
Mercury	NESHAP	0.1	0.25, 24-hour
Vinyl Chloride	NESHAP	1	15, 24-hour
Benzene	NESHAP	b	NM
Radionuclides	NESHAP	b	NM
Inorganic Arsenic	NESHAP	b	NM

^aNo de minimis concentration; an increase in VOC emissions of 100 TPY or more will require monitoring analysis for ozone.

^bAny emission rate of these pollutants.

Note: Ambient monitoring requirements for any pollutant may be exempted if the impact of the increase in emissions is below de minimis monitoring concentrations.

NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standards.

NM = No ambient measurement method.

NSPS = New Source Performance Standards.

NESHAP = National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants.

$\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ = micrograms per cubic meter.

Sources: 40 CFR 52.21.

Chapter 17-2, F.A.C.

and national parks larger than 6,000 acres) or as Class II (all areas not designated as Class I). No Class III areas, which would be allowed greater deterioration than Class II areas, were designated. EPA then promulgated as regulations the requirements for classifications and area designations.

On October 17, 1988, the EPA promulgated regulations to prevent significant deterioration due to emissions of nitrogen oxides (NO_x) and established PSD increments for nitrogen dioxide (NO_2) concentrations. The EPA class designations and allowable PSD increments are presented in Table 3-1. FDER has adopted the EPA class designations and allowable PSD increments for SO_2 and PM(TSP), but has not yet adopted the NO_2 increments.

The term "baseline concentration" evolves from federal and state PSD regulations and refers to a concentration level corresponding to a specified baseline date and certain additional baseline sources. By definition in the PSD regulations, as amended August 7, 1980, baseline concentration means the ambient concentration level which exists in the baseline area at the time of the applicable baseline date. A baseline concentration is determined for each pollutant for which a baseline date is established and includes:

1. The actual emissions representative of facilities in existence on the applicable baseline date; and
2. The allowable emissions of major stationary facilities which commenced construction before January 6, 1975, for SO_2 and PM(TSP) concentrations, or February 8, 1988, for NO_2 concentrations, but which were not in operation by the applicable baseline date.

The following emissions are not included in the baseline concentration and therefore affect PSD increment consumption:

1. Actual emissions from any major stationary facility on which construction commenced after January 6, 1975 for SO_2 and PM(TSP) concentrations, and after February 8, 1988, for NO_2 concentrations; and

2. Actual emission increases and decreases at any stationary facility occurring after the baseline date.

In reference to the baseline concentration, the term "baseline date" actually includes three different dates:

1. The major facility baseline date, which is January 6, 1975, in the cases of SO₂ and PM(TSP), and February 8, 1988, in the case of NO₂.
2. The minor facility baseline date, which is the earliest date after the trigger date on which a major stationary facility or major modification subject to PSD regulations submits a complete PSD application.
3. The trigger date, which is August 7, 1977, for SO₂ and PM(TSP), and February 8, 1988, for NO₂.

The minor source baseline date for SO₂ and PM(TSP) has been set as December 27, 1977, for the entire State of Florida (Chapter 17-2.450, F.A.C.).

3.2.3 Control Technology Review

The control technology review requirements of the federal and state PSD regulations require that all applicable federal and state emission limiting standards be met and that Best Available Control Technology (BACT) be applied to control emissions from the source [Chapter 17-2.500(5)(c), F.A.C.]. The BACT requirements are applicable to all regulated pollutants for which the increase in emissions from the facility or modification exceeds the significant emission rate (see Table 3-2).

BACT is defined in Chapter 17-2.100(25), F.A.C. as:

An emissions limitation, including a visible emission standard, based on the maximum degree of reduction of each pollutant emitted which the department, on a case by case basis, taking into account energy, environmental, and economic impacts, and other costs, determines is achievable through application of production processes and available methods, systems, and techniques (including fuel cleaning or treatment or innovative fuel combustion techniques) for control of

such pollutant. If the Department determines that technological or economic limitations on the application of measurement methodology to a particular part of a source or facility would make the imposition of an emission standard infeasible, a design, equipment, work practice, operational standard or combination thereof, may be prescribed instead to satisfy the requirement for the application of BACT. Such standard shall, to the degree possible, set forth the emissions reductions achievable by implementation of such design, equipment, work practice, or operation.

The requirements for BACT were promulgated within the framework of PSD in the 1977 amendments of the CAA [Public Law 95-95; Part C, Section 165(a)(4)]. The primary purpose of BACT is to optimize consumption of PSD air quality increments and thereby enlarge the potential for future economic growth without significantly degrading air quality (EPA, 1978; 1980). Guidelines for the evaluation of BACT can be found in EPA's Guidelines for Determining Best Available Control Technology (BACT), (EPA, 1978) and in the PSD Workshop Manual (EPA, 1980). These guidelines were promulgated by EPA to provide a consistent approach to BACT and to ensure that the impacts of alternative emission control systems are measured by the same set of parameters. In addition, through implementation of these guidelines, BACT in one area may not be identical to BACT in another area. According to EPA (1980), "BACT analyses for the same types of emissions unit and the same pollutants in different locations or situations may determine that different control strategies should be applied to the different sites, depending on site-specific factors. Therefore, BACT analyses must be conducted on a case-by-case basis."

The BACT requirements are intended to ensure that the control systems incorporated in the design of a proposed facility reflect the latest in control technologies used in a particular industry and take into consideration existing and future air quality in the vicinity of the proposed facility. BACT must, as a minimum, demonstrate compliance with NSPS for a source (if applicable). An evaluation of the air pollution control techniques and systems, including a cost-benefit analysis of alternative control technologies capable of achieving a higher degree of

emission reduction than the proposed control technology, is required. The cost-benefit analysis requires the documentation of the materials, energy, and economic penalties associated with the proposed and alternative control systems, as well as the environmental benefits derived from these systems. A decision on BACT is to be based on sound judgment, balancing environmental benefits with energy, economic, and other impacts (EPA, 1978).

3.2.4 Air Quality Monitoring Requirements

In accordance with requirements of 40 CFR 52.21(m) and Chapter 17-2.500(f), F.A.C, any application for a PSD permit must contain an analysis of continuous ambient air quality data in the area affected by the proposed major stationary facility or major modification. For a new major facility, the affected pollutants are those that the facility would potentially emit in significant amounts. For a major modification, the pollutants are those for which the net emissions increase exceeds the significant emission rate (see Table 3-2).

Ambient air monitoring for a period of up to 1 year is generally appropriate to satisfy the PSD monitoring requirements. A minimum of 4 months of data is required. Existing data from the vicinity of the proposed source may be utilized if the data meet certain quality assurance requirements; otherwise, additional data may need to be gathered. Guidance in designing a PSD monitoring network is provided in EPA's Ambient Monitoring Guidelines for Prevention of Significant Deterioration (EPA, 1987a).

The regulations include an exemption which excludes or limits the pollutants for which an air quality analysis must be conducted. This exemption states that the Department may exempt a proposed major stationary facility or major modification from the monitoring requirements with respect to a particular pollutant if the emissions increase of the pollutant from the facility or modification would cause, in any area, air

quality impacts less than the de minimis levels presented in Table 3-2 [Chapter 17-2.500(3)(e), F.A.C.].

3.2.5 Source Impact Analysis

A source impact analysis must be performed for a proposed major source subject to PSD for each pollutant for which the increase in emissions exceeds the significant emission rate (Table 3-2). The PSD regulations specifically provide for the use of atmospheric dispersion models in performing impact analysis, estimating baseline and future air quality levels, and determining compliance with AAQS and allowable PSD increments. Designated EPA models must normally be used in performing the impact analysis. Specific applications for other than EPA-approved models require EPA's consultation and prior approval. Guidance for the use and application of dispersion models is presented in the EPA publication Guideline on Air Quality Models (Revised) (EPA, 1987b). The source impact analysis for criteria pollutants may be limited to only the new or modified source if the net increase in impacts due to the new or modified source is below significance levels, as presented in Table 3-1.

Various lengths of record for meteorological data can be utilized for impact analysis. A 5-year period can be used with corresponding evaluation of highest, second-highest short-term concentrations for comparison to AAQS or PSD increments. The term "highest, second-highest" (HSH) refers to the highest of the second-highest concentrations at all receptors (i.e., the highest concentration at each receptor is discarded). The second-highest concentration is significant because short-term AAQS specify that the standard should not be exceeded at any location more than once a year. If less than 5 years of meteorological data are used in the modeling analysis, the highest concentration at each receptor must normally be used for comparison to air quality standards.

3.2.6 Additional Impact Analysis

In addition to air quality impact analyses, federal and State of Florida PSD regulations require analyses of the impairment to visibility and the

impacts on soils and vegetation that would occur as a result of the proposed source [40 CFR 52.21; Chapter 17-2.500(5)(e), F.A.C.]. These analyses are to be conducted primarily for PSD Class I areas. Impacts due to general commercial, residential, industrial, and other growth associated with the source must also be addressed. These analyses are required for each pollutant emitted in significant amounts (Table 3-2).

3.2.7 Good Engineering Practice Stack Height

The 1977 CAA Amendments require that the degree of emission limitation required for control of any pollutant not be affected by a stack height that exceeds GEP, or any other dispersion technique. On July 8, 1985, EPA promulgated final stack height regulations (EPA, 1985a). Identical regulations have been adopted by FDER [Chapter 17-2.270, F.A.C.]. GEP stack height is defined as the highest of:

1. 65 meters (m), or
2. A height established by applying the formula:

$$H_g = H + 1.5L$$

where: H_g = GEP stack height,

H = Height of the structure or nearby structure, and

L = Lesser dimension (height or projected width) of nearby structure(s), or

3. A height demonstrated by a fluid model or field study.

"Nearby" is defined as a distance up to five times the lesser of the height or width dimensions of a structure or terrain feature, but not greater than 0.8 km. Although GEP stack height regulations require that the stack height used in modeling for determining compliance with AAQS and PSD increments not exceed the GEP stack height, the actual stack height may be greater.

The stack height regulations also allow increased GEP stack height beyond that resulting from the above formula in cases where plume impaction occurs. Plume impaction is defined as concentrations measured or predicted to occur when the plume interacts with elevated terrain. Elevated terrain

is defined as terrain which exceeds the height calculated by the GEP stack height formula. Because the terrain in the vicinity of the Lauderdale Plant is flat, plume impaction was not considered in determining the GEP stack height.

3.3 NONATTAINMENT RULES

Based on the current nonattainment provisions (Chapter 17-2.510, F.A.C.), all major new facilities and modifications to existing major facilities located in a nonattainment area must undergo nonattainment review if the proposed pieces of equipment have the potential to emit 100 TPY or more of the nonattainment pollutant, or if the major modification results in a significant net emission increase of the nonattainment pollutant.

For major facilities or major modifications which locate in an attainment or unclassifiable area, the nonattainment review procedures apply if the source or modification is located within the area of influence of a nonattainment area. The area of influence is defined as an area which is outside the boundary of a nonattainment area but within the locus of all points that are 50 km outside the boundary of the nonattainment area. Based on Chapter 17-2.510(2)(a)2.a, F.A.C., all VOC sources which are located within an area of influence are exempt from the provisions of new source review for nonattainment areas. Sources which emit other nonattainment pollutants and are located within the area of influence are subject to nonattainment review unless the maximum allowable emissions from the proposed source do not have a significant impact within the nonattainment area.

3.4 SOURCE APPLICABILITY

3.4.1 PSD Review

3.4.1.1 Pollutant Applicability

The Lauderdale Plant is located in Broward County, which has been designated by EPA and FDER as an attainment area for all criteria pollutants except ozone. Because of the ozone nonattainment designation, emissions of volatile organic compounds from the Lauderdale Plant will not

be subject to PSD review. Broward County and surrounding counties are designated as PSD Class II areas for SO₂, PM(TSP), and NO_x. The Lauderdale site is located approximately 60 km northeast of the Everglades National Park, the nearest PSD Class I area.

The existing Lauderdale Plant is considered to be an existing major facility because emissions of regulated pollutants exceed 100 TPY (refer to Table 2-9); therefore, PSD review is required for any pollutant for which the net increase in emissions exceeds the PSD significant emission rates presented in Table 3-2 (i.e., major modification).

Table 3-3 presents the maximum emissions from the proposed repowered Units 4 and 5, the reduction in emissions associated with the shutdown of the existing Units 4 and 5 steam generators, and the resulting net change in emissions. As shown, potential emissions from the repowering project will exceed the PSD significant emission rates for the following regulated pollutants: SO₂, PM(TSP), PM10, NO_x, carbon monoxide (CO), sulfuric acid mist, beryllium (Be), mercury (Hg) and inorganic arsenic (As). The project is subject to PSD review for these pollutants.

3.4.1.2 Ambient Monitoring

Based upon the net increase in emissions from the Lauderdale Repowering Project, presented in Table 3-3, a PSD preconstruction ambient monitoring analysis is required for SO₂, PM(TSP), PM10, NO_x, CO, sulfuric acid mist, Be, Hg, and As. However, if the net increase in impact of a pollutant is less than the de minimis monitoring concentration, then an exemption from the preconstruction ambient monitoring requirement may be granted for that pollutant. In addition, if an acceptable ambient monitoring method for the pollutant has not been established by EPA, monitoring is not required.

Maximum predicted impacts due to the net increase associated with repowering Lauderdale Units 4 and 5 are presented in Table 3-4 for pollutants requiring PSD review. The methodology used to predict maximum impacts and the impact analysis results are presented in Sections 6.0 and

Table 3-3. Net Increase in Emissions Due to the Lauderdale Repowering Project Compared to the PSD Significant Emission Rates

Pollutant	Emissions (TPY)			Significant Emission Rate	PSD Review
	Potential Emissions From Proposed Units 4 & 5 ^a	Reductions From Existing Units 4 & 5	Net Increase in Emissions		
Sulfur Dioxide	12,232	2,318	9,914	40	Yes
Particulate Matter (TSP)	825	202	623	25	Yes
Particulate Matter (PM10)	825	145	680	15	Yes
Nitrogen Dioxide	5,998.8	2,510	3,489	40	Yes
Carbon Monoxide	1,659	185	1,474	100	Yes
Volatile Organic Compounds	115.3	15.4	99.9	NA	No ^b
Lead	0.21	0.064	0.15	0.6	No
Sulfuric Acid Mist	1,525	88.8	1,436	7	Yes
Total Fluorides	0.76	0.796	<0.1	3	No
Total Reduced Sulfur ^c	NEG	NEG	NEG	10	No
Reduced Sulfur Compounds ^c	NEG	NEG	NEG	10	No
Hydrogen Sulfide ^c	NEG	NEG	NEG	10	No
Asbestos ^c	NEG	NEG	NEG	0.007	No
Beryllium	0.058	0.0096	0.05	0.0004	Yes
Mercury	0.35	0.037	0.31	0.1	Yes
Vinyl Chloride ^c	NEG	NEG	NEG	1	No
Benzene ^c	NEG	NEG	NEG	0	No
Radionuclides ^c	NEG	NEG	NEG	0	No
Inorganic Arsenic	0.10	0.0444	0.06	0	Yes

^aSee Table 2-6.^bNonattainment pollutant; PSD review does not apply.^cEmissions of these pollutants considered not to have any emission rate increase.

Note: NEG = Negligible.

Table 3-4. Predicted Net Increase In Impacts Due To The Repowering Project Compared To PSD De Minimis Monitoring Concentrations

Pollutant	Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	
	Predicted Net Increase In Impacts ^a	<u>De Minimis</u> Monitoring Concentration
√ Sulfur Dioxide	36	13, 24-hour
Particulate Matter (TSP)	3.0	10, 24-hour
Particulate Matter (PM10)	3.0	10, 24-hour
Nitrogen Dioxide	0.86	14, annual
Carbon Monoxide	13	575, 8-hour
Sulfuric Acid Mist	NM	NM
Beryllium	0.0002	0.001, 24-hour
Mercury	0.0003	0.25, 24-hour
Inorganic Arsenic	NM	NM

^aBased on maximum emissions at 100-percent load and 100-percent capacity factor.

Note : NA = Not applicable.

NM = No acceptable ambient measurement method has been developed and, therefore, de minimis levels have not been established by EPA.

7.0. As shown in Table 3-4, the maximum net increase in impact is below the respective de minimis monitoring concentration for all pollutants except SO₂. There is no acceptable ambient monitoring method for sulfuric acid mist and As, and therefore monitoring is not required for these pollutants. As a result, the proposed repowering project is subject to preconstruction ambient monitoring analysis for SO₂ only.

In response to the SO₂ monitoring requirement, FPL developed an ambient air monitoring plan of study in July 1988 (KBN, 1988). The plan described the monitoring network and monitoring methods and was subsequently approved by FDER (May 25, 1989). Monitoring was initiated in October 1988. The air quality analysis for SO₂ is presented in Section 5.0.

3.4.1.3 GEP Stack Height Impact Analysis

The GEP stack height regulations allow any stack to be at least 65 meters high. The proposed stacks for the repowered Units 4 and 5 will be 150 feet in height (45.7 meters) and, therefore, do not exceed the GEP stack height. The potential for downwash of the units' emissions due to nearby structures is discussed in Section 6.0, Air Quality Modeling Approach.

3.4.2 Nonattainment Review

A condition in the site certification and accompanying FDER permit is requested that would limit VOC emissions from the Lauderdale Plant as of the permanent shutdown of Units 4 and 5:

Storage Tanks No. 2 and No. 5	- 9.0 TPY
GTs 1 through 24	- 90.9 TPY
Units 4 and 5	- 0 TPY

With these permit conditions, the potential emissions from the plant would be reduced by about 160 TPY, a 65 percent reduction. In addition, the facility would be a minor source of VOCs. Note that the actual emissions from the plant are substantially less than 100 TPY (see also Table 2-11 and Section 2.4).

For minor sources, nonattainment review is required for ozone if the net increase in VOC emissions due to the proposed modification exceeds the

significant emission rate of 100 TPY. As shown in Table 3-3, the maximum potential increase in VOC emissions due to the Repowering Project and contemporaneous emission decreases will be 99.9 TPY. Nonattainment requirements are, therefore, not applicable.

4.0 CONTROL TECHNOLOGY REVIEW

4.1 APPLICABILITY

The Control Technology review requirements of the PSD regulations are applicable to emissions of SO₂, PM(TSP), PM₁₀, NO_x, CO, H₂SO₄ mist, beryllium, mercury, and inorganic arsenic (see Section 3.0). This section presents the applicable New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) and the proposed BACT for these pollutants. The approach to BACT analyses is based on the regulatory definitions of BACT as well as EPA's current policy guidance requiring the top down approach.

4.2 NEW SOURCE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

The applicable NSPS for gas turbines are codified in 40 CFR 60, Subpart GG. These regulations apply to:

1. "Electric utility stationary gas turbine" with a heat input at peak load of greater than 100 million Btu/hr [40 CFR 60.332 (b)];
2. "Stationary gas turbines" with a heat input at peak load between 10 and 100 million Btu/hr [40 CFR 60.332 (c)]; or
3. "Stationary gas turbines" with a manufacturer's rate based load at ISO conditions of 30 MW or less [40 CFR 60.332 (d)].

The electric utility stationary gas turbine provisions apply to stationary gas turbines constructed for the purpose of supplying more than one-third of its potential electric output capacity to any utility power distribution system for sale [40 CFR 60.331 (q)]. The requirements for electric utility stationary gas turbines are applicable to the project and are the most stringent provision of the NSPS. These requirements are summarized in Table 4-1 and were considered in the BACT analysis.

As noted from Table 4-1, the NSPS NO_x emission limit can be adjusted upward to allow for fuel bound nitrogen. For a fuel bound nitrogen concentration of 0.015 percent or less, no increase in the NSPS is

Table 4-1. Federal NSPS For Electric Utility Stationary Gas Turbines

Pollutant	Emission Limitation ^a
Sulfur Dioxide	Maximum of 0.015 percent by volume at 15 percent oxygen on a dry basis <u>or</u> sulfur in fuel no greater than 0.8 percent by weight
Nitrogen Oxides ^b	0.0075 percent by volume (75 ppm) at 15 percent O ₂ on a dry basis adjusted for heat rate and fuel nitrogen

^a Applicable to electric utility gas turbines with a heat input at peak load of greater than 100 x 10⁶ Btu/hr.

^b Standard is multiplied by 14.4/Y; where Y is the manufacturer's rated heat rate in kilojoules per watt at rated load or actual measured heat rate based on the lower heating value of fuel measured at actual peak load; Y cannot be greater than 14.4. Standard is adjusted upward (additive) by the percent of nitrogen in the fuel:

Fuel-bound nitrogen (percent by weight)	Allowed Increase NO _x percent by volume
N ≤ 0.015.....	0
0.015 < N ≤ 0.1.....	0.04(N)
0.1 < N ≤ 0.25.....	0.004 + 0.0067(N - 0.1)
N > 0.25.....	0.005

where:

N = the nitrogen content of the fuel (percent by weight).

Source: 40 CFR 60 Subpart GG.

provided; for a fuel bound nitrogen concentration of 0.06 percent the NSPS is increased by 0.0024 percent or 24 ppm.

NSPS also apply to the burning of fuel in the duct burner of a combined cycle power plant (i.e., a power plant which recovers the waste heat from a gas turbine in a heat recovery steam generator). The NSPS, contained in 40 CFR 60, Subpart Db (Industrial-Commercial Institutional Fossil Fuel Steam Generating Units), apply to duct burners with a heat input greater than 100×10^6 Btu/hr. These NSPS do not apply to the repowering project since the maximum design heat input capacity for the duct burners will be 90.62×10^6 Btu/hr.

4.3 BEST AVAILABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY

4.3.1 Nitrogen Oxides

4.3.1.1 Emission Control Hierarchy

NO_x emissions from combustion of fossil fuels consist of thermal NO_x and fuel bound NO_x . Thermal NO_x is formed from the reaction of oxygen and nitrogen in the combustion air at combustion temperatures. Formation of thermal NO_x depends on the flame temperature, residence time, combustion pressure, and air to fuel ratios in the primary combustion zone. The design and operation of the combustion chamber dictates these conditions. Fuel bound NO_x is created by the oxidation of volatilized nitrogen in the fuel. Nitrogen content in the fuel is the primary factor in its formation.

Table 4-2 presents a listing of the LAER/BACT decisions for gas turbines made by state environmental agencies and EPA regional offices. This table was developed from the information contained in the LAER/BACT clearinghouse documents (EPA, 1985b, 1986, 1987c, 1988c) and by contacting state agencies such as the California Air Control Board, the South Coast Air Quality Management District, New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, and Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management.

Table 4-2. LAER/BACT Decisions For Gas Turbines

Company Name	State	Unit Description	Capacity (Size)	Date of Permit	Emission Limit	Emission Control
Virginia Power	VA	GE Turbine	1,875 MMBTU/hr	Apr-88	NOx 42ppmvd @15% O2 (gas) NOx 77ppmvd @18% O2 (fuel oil)	Steam Injection W/Maximization NSPS subpart GG
Trunkline LNG	LA	Gas Turbine	147,102 SCF/hr	May-87	NOx 59 lb/hr	
Wichita Falls E. I., I.	TX	Gas Turbine	20 MW	Jun-86	NOx 684 TPY CO 420 TPY	Steam Injection
Merck Sharp & Pohme	PA	Turbine	310 MMBTU/hr	May-88	NOx 42 ppm @ 15% O2	Steam Injection
California Dept. of Corr.	CA	Gas Turbine	5.1 MW	Dec-86	NOx 38 ppmv @ 15% O2	1 to 1 H2O injection
City of Santa Clara	CA	Gas Turbine		Jan-87	NOx 42 ppmvd @ 15% O2	Water Injection
Combined Energy Resources	CA	Cogeneration Fac.	27 MW	Mar-87	NOx 199 lb/D	SCR Unit, Duct Burner H2O Injection, Low NOx Design
Double 'C' Limited	CA	Gas Turbine	25 MW	Nov-86	NOx 194 lb/D	H2O Inj. & Selected Catalytic Red. 95.80 Efficiency
Kern Front Limited	CA	Gas Turbine	25 MW	Nov-86	NOx 194 lb/D 4.5 ppmvd @ 15% O2	H2O Inj. & Selected Catalytic Red. 95.80 Efficiency
Midway - Sunset Project	CA	Gas Turbine	973 MMBTU/hr	Jan-87	NOx 113.4 lb/hr 16.31 ppmv	H2O Injection, 73% Efficiency
O'Brien Energy Systems	CA	Gas Turbine	359.5 MMBTU/hr	Dec-86	NOx 30.3 lb/hr 15 ppmvd @ 15% O2	Duct Burner, H2O Injection and Scrubber
PG & E, Station T	CA	GE Gas Turbine	396 MMBTU/hr	Aug-86	NOx 25 ppm @ 15% O2 63 lb/hr	Steam Injection @ Steam/Fuel Ratio of 1.7/1, 75% Efficiency
Sierra LTD.	CA	GE Gas Turbine	11.34 MMCF/D		NOx 4.04 lb/hr	Scrubber & CO Catalytic Converter
Sycamore Cogeneration Co.	CA	Gas Turbine	75 MW	Mar-87	CO 10 ppmv @ 15% O2 3 hr Avg	CO Oxidizing Catalyst Combustion Control
U.S. Borax & Chemical Corp.	CA	Gas Turbine	45 MW	Feb-87	NOx 40 lb/hr 25 ppm @ 15% O2 Dry CO 23 lb/hr	Scrubber Proper Combust. Techniques
Western Power System, Inc	CA	GE Gas Turbine	26.5 MW	Mar-86	NOx 9 ppmvd @ 15% O2	H2O Injection, Selective Cat. Red. 80% Efficiency
CalcoGen, Cal Polytechic	CA	Gas Turbine	21.4 MW	Apr-84	NOx 42 ppm @ 15% O2	H2O Injection, 70% Efficiency
Greenleaf Power Co.	CA	GE Gas Turbine	35.62 MW	Apr-85	NOx 42 ppm @ 15% O2 91 lb/hr CO 20.4 lb/hr 0.016 lb/MMBTU	H2O Injection Good Eng. Practices Steam Injection 95.86 Efficiency

Table 4-2. LAER/BACT Decisions For Gas Turbines (Page 2 of 4)

Company Name	State	Unit Description	Capacity (Size)	Date of Permit	Emission Limit	Emission Control
Greenleaf Power Co.	CA	Duct Burner	63.7 MMBTU/hr	Apr-85	NOx 0.1 lb/MMBTU 6.4 lb/hr CO 0.12 lb/MMBTU 7.6 lb/hr	Low NOx Design
OLS Energy	CA	GE Gas Turbine	256 MMBTU/hr	Jan-86	NOx 9 PPMVD @ 15% O2	H2O Injection & Scrubber 80% Eff. for Scrubber
Ciba Giegy Corp.	NJ	Gas Turbine	3 MW	Jan-85	NOx 11.06 lb/hr CO 9.4 lb/hr	SIP, H2O Injection, 55% Eff.
Energy Reserve, Inc.	CA	Gas Turbine	322.5 MMBTU/hr	Oct-85	NOx 185.4 lb/D	H2O Injection, Select. Cat. Red. 92.5% Efficiency
Gilroy Energy Co.	CA	Gas Turbine	60 MW	Aug-85	NOx 25 PPMVD @ 15% O2	Steam Inj., Quiet Combustor
		Auxiliary Boiler	90 MMBTU/hr		NOx 40 PPMVD @ 3% O2	Low NOx Burners
Kern Energy Corp.	CA	Gas Turbine	8.8 MCF/D	Apr-86	NOx 8.29 lb/hr 0.023 lb/MMBTU	Scrubber w/ NH3 Red. Agent Steam Inj. & Low NOx Config. Exh. Duct Burner 87% Efficiency
Moran Power, Inc.	CA	Gas Turbine	8.0 MCF/D	Apr-86	NOx 8.29 lb/hr 0.023 lb/MMBTU	Scrubber w/ NH3 Red. Agent Steam Inj. & Low NOx Config. Exh. Duct Burner 87% Efficiency
Northern California Power	CA	GE Gas Turbine	25.8 MW	Apr-85	NOx 75 ppm	H2O Injection
Shell California Production	CA	Gas Turbine	22 MW	Apr-85	NOx 42 ppm @ 15% O2 35 lb/hr	H2O Inj.
					CO 10 PPMV @ 15% O2 22 lb/hr	Proper Combustion
Southeast Energy, Inc.	CA	Gas Turbine	8.0 MCF/D	Apr-86	NOx 8.29 lb/hr 0.023 lb/MMBTU	Scrubber w/ NH3 Red. Agent Steam Inj. & Low NOx Config. Exh. Duct Burner 87% Efficiency
Sunlaw/Industrial Park	CA	Gas Turbine	412.3 MMBTU/hr	Jun-85	NOx 9 PPMVD @ 15% O2	Scr. & Steam Inj., 80% Eff.
Union Cogeneration	CA	Gas Turbine w/ Duct Burner	16 MW	Jan-86	NOx 25 PPMV @ 15% O2	H2O Injection & Scrubber

Table 4-2. LAER/BACT Decisions For Gas Turbines (Page 3 of 4)

Company Name	State	Unit Description	Capacity (Size)	Date of Permit	Emission Limit	Emission Control
Willamette Industries	CA	GE Gas Turbine	230 MMBTU/hr	Apr-85	NOx 15 PPMVD @ 15% O2	H2O Inj. w/ Selective Cat. Red. 92% Efficiency
Witco Chemical Corp.	CA	Gas Turbine	350 MMBTU/hr	Dec-84	NOx 0.18 lb/MMBTU Oil 0.20 lb/MMBTU Gas	
		Duct Burner	111.6 MMBTU/hr		NOx 0.12 lb/MMBTU	Gas Firing Only
AES Placerita, Inc.	CA	Turbine & Recovery Boiler	519 MMBTU/hr	Mar-86	NOx 629 lb/d 7 PPMVD @ 15% O2 CO 103 lb/d 2 PPMVD @ 15% O2	H2O Inj, Select. Cat. Red. 80% Efficiency
AES Placerita, Inc.	CA	Turbine & Recovery Boiler	530 MMBTU/hr	Jul-87	NOx 340 lb/D 9 PPMVD @ 15% O2	Steam Inj, Select. Cat. Red.
AES Placerita, Inc.	CA	Gas Turbine	530 MMBTU/hr	Jul-87	NOx 289 lb/D 9 PPMVD @ 15% O2	Steam Inj, Select. Cat. Red.
Alaska Electrical Generation	AK	Gas Turbine	80 MW	Mar-87	NOx 75 PPMVD @ 15% O2 CO 109 lb/SCF Fuel	H2O Injection
Alaska Electrical Generation	AK	Gas Turbine	38 MW	Mar-85	NOx 75 PPM @ 15% O2	H2O Injection
BAF Energy	CA	Turbine, Generator	887.2 MMBTU/hr	Jul-87	NOx 9 PPM @ 15% O2 30.1 lb/hr	Steam Injection, Scrubber 80% Efficiency
BAF Energy	CA	Auxiliary Boiler	150 MMBTU/hr	Oct-87	NOx 17.4 lb/D 40 PPMVD @ 3% O2 CO 63.6 lb/D 0.018 lb/MMBTU	Flue Gas Recirculation Low NOx Burners Oxidation Catalyst
Champion International Corp.	TX	Gas Turbine	30.6 MW (1342 MMBTU/hr)	Mar-85	NOx 720.34 TPY CO 70.08 TPY	Low NOx Burners
Cogen Technologies	NJ	GE Gas Turbines	40 MW	Jun-87	NOx 9.6 PPMVD @ 15% O2 CO 50 PPMVD @ 15% O2	H2O Inject. & SCR, 95% Efficiency
Combined Energy Resources	CA	Gas Turbine	2 MW	Feb-88	NOx 199 lb/hr	H2O Inj. & Scrubber, 81% Efficiency

Table 4-2. LAER/BACT Decisions For Gas Turbines (Page 4 of 4)

Company Name	State	Unit Description	Capacity (Size)	Date of Permit	Emission Limit	Emission Control
Formosa Plastic Corp.	TX	GE Gas Turbine	38.4 MW	May-86	NOx 640 TPY CO 32.4 TPY	Steam Injection
Midland Cogeneration Venture	MI	Turbine	984.2 MMBTU/hr	Feb-88	NOx 42 PPMV @ 15% O2 CO 26 lb/hr	Steam Injection Turbine Design
		Duct Burner	249 MMBTU/hr		NOx 0.1 lb/MMBTU	Burner Design
Pacific Gas Transmission	OR	Gas Turbine	14000 HP	May-87	NOx 154 PPM 50 lb/hr CO 6 lb/hr 25 TPY	Combustion Control
Power Development Co.	CA	Gas Turbine	49 MMBTU/H	Jun-87	NOx 36 lb/D 9 PPMVD @ 15% O2	Scrubber & H2O Injection
San Joaquin Cogen Limited	CA	Gas Turbine	48.6 MW	Jun-87	NOx 250 lb/D 6 PPMVD @ 15% O2 CO 1326 lb/d 55 PPMVD @ 15% O2	Scrubber & H2O Injection 76% Efficiency Combustion Controls
United Airlines	CA	Gas Turbine-Cogeneration	21 MW	Dec-85	NOx 15 ppmvd @ 15% O2	SCR & Steam Injection Oil Limited to 500 hours operation
TBG/Grumman	NY	Gas Turbine	16 MW	Mar-88	NOx 75 PPM + NSPS Corr. 0.2 lb/MMBTU CO 0.181 lb/MMBTU	H2O Inj. & Combustion Controls CO Catalyst
Texas Gas Transmission Corp.	KY	Gas Turbine	14300 HP	Feb-88	NOx 0.015 % by Volume	
Orlando Utilities Commission	FL	Gas Turbine	4 x 445 MMBTU/H	Sept-88	NOx 42 PPMVD Gas 65 PPMVD Oil CO 10 PPMVD	Steam Injection Good Combustion
Anheuser-Busch	FL	Gas Turbine	95.7 MMBTU/hr	Apr-87	NOx 0.1 lb/MMBTU	
Ocean State Power	RI	Combined Cycle	500 MW	Jan-89	NOx 9 ppmvd @ 15% O2 (Natural Gas) NOx 42 ppmvd @ 15% O2 (Fuel Oil) CO 25 ppmvd @ 15% O2	SCR & Steam Injection
Pawtucket Power	RI	Cogeneration-Gas Turbine	58 MW	Feb-89	NOx 9 ppmvd @ 15% O2 (Natural Gas) NOx 18 ppmvd @ 15% O2 (Fuel Oil) CO 23 ppmvd @ 15% O2	SCR & Steam Injection
Cogen Technologies	NJ	Gas Turbine	55 MW	Mar-87	NOx 9 ppmvd @ 15% O2 (Natural Gas) NOx 14 ppmvd @ 15% O2 (Fuel Oil) CO-8ppm; 20 ppm NH3	SCR and Wet Injection

The most stringent NO_x controls for combustion turbines established as LAER/BACT by state agencies are selective catalytic reduction (SCR) and wet injection. Presently, there are about 35 operating and permitted facilities with SCR in the United States. However, none of these installations employ advanced combustion turbines, as will the Lauderdale Repowering Project. Almost all of these facilities were required to have SCR due to nonattainment status of the area where the facility was located. The requirement for SCR in these cases was to meet the Lowest Achievable Emission Rate (LAER). LAER is defined as follows:

Lowest achievable emission rate means, for any source, the more stringent rate of emissions based on the following: (i) The most stringent emissions limitation which is contained in the implementation plan of any State of such class or category of stationary source, unless the owner or operator of the proposed stationary source demonstrates that such limitations are not achievable; or (ii) The most stringent emissions limitation which is achieved in practice by such class or category of stationary source. This limitation, when applied to a modification, means the lowest achievable emissions rate for the new or modified emissions units within the stationary source. In no event shall the application of this term permit a proposed new modified stationary source to emit any pollutant in excess of the amount allowable under applicable new source standards of performance (40 CFR 51 Appendix S. II, A.18).

As noted from the discussion contained in Subsection 3.2.3, there are distinct regulatory and policy differences between LAER and BACT.

In Florida, the most recent PSD permits and BACT determination for gas turbines have required wet injection for NO_x control. The emission

limits included in these permits were 42 ppm and 65 ppm (corrected to 15 percent O₂, dry conditions), respectively, for natural gas and fuel oil firing.

The hierarchy for NO_x control suggested by the existing and permitted facilities is as follows:



1. SCR with wet injection.
2. Wet Injection.

The selected control level of SCR used for the BACT analysis for the Lauderdale Repowering Project was 9 ppm NO_x corrected to 15 percent dry conditions for natural gas burning. This level of control assumes 79 percent removal of NO_x by the SCR equipment with an uncontrolled NO_x concentration of 42 ppm. For fuel oil firing, a control level of 13 ppm was used to account for fuel bound nitrogen. These levels of control are the most stringent currently being established as LAER. For wet injection, the combustor design available for the advanced combustion turbines can limit NO_x to 42 ppm when firing natural gas and 65 ppm when firing fuel oil.

4.3.1.2 Technology Description and Feasibility

SELECTIVE CATALYTIC REDUCTION (SCR)

SCR uses ammonia (NH₃) to react with NO_x in the gas stream under the presence of a catalyst. NH₃, which is diluted with air to about 5 percent by volume, is introduced into the gas stream at reaction temperatures between 570°F and 750°F. The reactions are as follows:



SCR has mainly been installed at facilities located in nonattainment areas for NO₂, i.e., in California. While the operating experience has

not been extensive, certain cost, technical and environmental considerations have surfaced. These considerations are summarized in Table 4-3.

SCR operating experience, as applied to gas turbines, consists primarily of baseload natural gas fired installations either of cogeneration or combined cycle configuration; no simple cycle facilities have SCR. Exhaust gas temperatures of simple cycle CTs are generally in the range of 1000°F, which exceeds the optimum range for SCR. All current SCR applications have the catalyst placed in the HRSG to achieve proper reaction conditions. This allows a relatively constant temperature for the reaction of NH_3 and NO_x on the catalyst surface. Cycling the CTs, as is expected for the repowered units, will cause variable exhaust conditions. Such conditions will potentially result in catalyst damage due to temperature induced stresses.

The use of SCR has been limited to facilities which burn natural gas or small amounts of fuel oil since SCR catalysts are contaminated by sulfur-containing fuels (i.e., fuel oil). For most fuel oil burning facilities, catalyst operation is discontinued, or the exhaust bypasses the SCR system. Experience at the United Airlines cogeneration facility using Jet A fuel oil found catalyst contamination after 2,500 hours of operation. For this facility, the catalyst has been replaced three times and the recommended duration of operation by the manufacturer is now 500 hours.

As presented in Table 4-3, ammonium bisulfate is formed by the reaction of NH_3 and SO_3 . Ammonium bisulfate can be corrosive and could cause damage to the HRSG surfaces that follow the catalyst, as well as the stack. Corrosion protection for these areas would be required.

Reported and permitted NO_x removal efficiencies of SCR range from 40 to 80 percent. The most stringent emission limiting standards associated with SCR are approximately 9 ppm for natural gas firing. However, two

Table 4-3. Cost, Technical and Environmental Considerations of SCR Utilized on Combustion Turbines (Page 1 of 2)

Consideration	Description
COST:	
Catalyst Replacement	Catalyst life varies depending on the application. Cost ranges from 20% to 40% of total capital cost and is the dominant annual cost factor.
Ammonia	Ratio of at least 1:1 NH ₃ to NO _x generally needed to obtain high removal efficiencies. Special storage and handling equipment required.
Space Requirements	For new installations, space in the catalyst is needed for replacement layers. Additional space is also required for catalyst maintenance and replacement.
Backup Equipment	Reliability requirements necessitate redundant systems such as ammonia control and vaporization equipment.
Catalyst Back Pressure Heat Rate Reduction	Addition of catalyst creates back pressure on the turbine which reduces overall heat rate.
TECHNICAL:	
Ammonia Flow Distribution	NH ₃ must be uniformly distributed in the exhaust stream to assure optimum mixing with NO _x prior to reaching the catalyst.
Temperature	The narrow temperature range that SCR systems operate within, i.e., about 100°F, must be maintained even during load changes. Operational problems could occur if this range is not maintained. HRSG duct firing requires careful monitoring.
Ammonia Control System	Quantity of NH ₃ introduced must be carefully controlled. With too little NH ₃ , the desired control efficiency is not reached; with too much NH ₃ , NH ₃ emissions (referred to as slip) occur.

Table 4-3. Cost, Technical and Environmental Considerations of SCR Utilized on Combustion Turbines (Page 2 of 2)

Consideration	Description
Flow Control	The velocity through the catalyst must be within a range to assure satisfactory residence time.
ENVIRONMENTAL:	
Ammonia Slip	NH ₃ slip, or NH ₃ that passes unreacted through the catalyst and into the atmosphere, can occur if: 1) too much ammonia is added, 2) the flow distribution is not uniform, 3) the velocity is not within the optimum range, or the proper temperature is not maintained.
Ammonia Bisulfate	Ammonium bisulfate salts can lead to increased corrosion. These salts usually occur when firing fuel oil. These compounds are emitted as particulates.
N ₂ O and Nitro- soamines formation	The mechanism under which these compounds form is not totally understood. Secondary impacts can occur.

facilities have reported emission limits of about 4.5 ppm. These emission limits were clearly determined to be LAER on CTs using water injection with uncontrolled NO_x levels below 42 ppm. For fuel oil firing, permitted NO_x emission limits with SCR have ranged from 14 ppm to 42 ppm.

The available information suggests that SCR is a technically feasible alternative for natural gas firing. However, the following significant technical limitations exist:

1. SCR catalysts are potentially damaged by temperature variability of the CT exhaust gases due to cycling operation, and
2. Continuous operation on SCR or CTs using distillate oil has not been demonstrated; technical, economic, and environmental uncertainties would result.

WET INJECTION

The injection of water or steam in the combustion zone of CTs reduces the flame temperature with a corresponding decrease of NO_x emissions. The amount of NO_x reduction possible depends on the combustor design and the water-to-fuel ratio employed. An increase in the water to fuel ratio will cause a concomitant decrease in NO_x emissions until flame instability occurs. At this point, operation of the CT becomes inefficient and unreliable, and significant increases in products of incomplete combustion will occur, i.e., CO and VOC emissions.

The advanced CTs are new designs which are substantially higher in power output (i.e., about 50 percent greater) and combustion temperatures (i.e., 2,300°F vs 2,000°F) than previous designs. As a result, the maximum degree of wet injection NO_x control on advanced CTs is lower than that of smaller CT designs.

For the advanced combustion turbines being considered for the Lauderdale Repowering Project, the combustion chamber design includes steam

injection. The lowest NO_x emission levels guaranteed by CT manufacturers are 42 ppmvd and 65 ppmvd (both corrected to 15 percent O₂) for natural gas and fuel oil firing, respectively. The first U.S. facility to propose advanced combustion turbines is the Virginia Electric and Power Company combined cycle plant located at Chesterfield, Virginia. This facility was permitted at 42 ppmvd at 15 percent O₂ for natural gas firing and 77 ppmvd at 15 percent O₂ for fuel oil firing; the fuel-bound nitrogen content was based on 0.05 percent nitrogen in the fuel.

Wet injection is a technically feasible alternative for the Lauderdale Repowering Project. The application of this technology has the following limitations:

1. Wet injection can be accomplished until a condition of maximum moisturization occurs; this design condition occurs at 42 ppm on natural gas and 65 ppm on fuel oil for the advanced CTs,
2. Wet injection will not substantially reduce NO_x formation due to fuel-bound nitrogen. Any emission limiting requirements must account for this effect.
3. Wet injection will increase the emissions of CO and VOC. Emissions are dependent on the water-to-fuel ratio.

For the BACT analysis, wet injection was assumed to be capable of achieving NO_x emission levels of 42 ppm when firing natural gas, and 65 ppm when firing fuel oil (corrected to 15 percent O₂ dry conditions). These emission levels are the most stringent being established as BACT.

4.3.1.3 Impact Analysis

A BACT determination requires an analysis of the economic, environmental, and energy impacts of the proposed and alternative control technologies [see 40 CFR 52.21(b)(12), Chapter 17-2.100(25),

F.A.C., and Chapter 17-2.500(5)(c), F.A.C.]. The analysis must, by definition, be specific to the project, i.e., case-by-case. The BACT analyses was performed for the following alternatives:

1. SCR and wet injection at an emission rate of approximately 9 ppmvd corrected to 15 percent O₂; maximum NO_x emissions are 991 TPY.
2. Wet injection at an emission rate of 42 ppmvd corrected to 15 percent O₂; maximum NO_x emissions are 4,624 TPY (emissions from Table A-6 75°F times 4 CTs).

ECONOMIC

The total annualized cost for the alternative NO_x control technologies is \$16,805,851 (Table 4-4). The cost effectiveness for SCR was estimated to be greater than \$4,600/ton of NO_x removed for natural gas firing. Cost estimates for SCR for oil firing were not performed since the application of SCR when firing oil is considered infeasible for the project (See Section 4.3.1.4).

ENVIRONMENTAL

The maximum predicted impacts of the alternative technologies are all considerably below the PSD increment for NO_x of 25 µg/m³, annual average and the AAQS for NO_x of 100 µg/m³. Additional controls beyond steam injection alone (i.e., SCR and SCR with water injection) reduce predicted impacts by less than about 3 percent of the PSD increment and less than about 1 percent of the AAQS for the repowering project.

Use of SCR on the proposed project will cause emissions of ammonia, ammonium sulfates, such as ammonium bisulfate. Ammonia emissions associated with SCR are approximately 10 ppm and higher based on previous experience; previous permit conditions have specified this level. Minimum ammonia emissions would be about 365 TPY. However, ammonia emissions could be five times this level (i.e., about 1,830) since actual operating experience has found ammonia slippage rates as high as 50 ppm.

Table 4-4. Capital and Annual Costs for Alternative NO_x Control Technology

Item	SCR	
	Cost	Basis
CAPITAL COSTS COMPONENTS:		
Catalyst and Associated Equipment	\$12,400,000	Note 1
NH ₃ Storage Tank	\$200,000	Note 1
System Installation	\$1,725,200	Note 1
HRS _G Modification	\$1,600,000	Note 1
Engineering, Erection Supervision and Start-up	\$1,800,000	Note 1
Tax (material only)	\$846,000	
Escalation	\$2,878,600	5%
Interest During Construction	\$1,836,200	
Contingency	\$4,656,900	
Total Capital Cost	\$27,942,900	
Annualized Capital Cost	\$3,467,713	Note 2
OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE:		
Catalyst Replacement	\$2,400,000	Note 3
Operating Personnel	\$40,000	
Catalyst Change-out	\$200,000	
Ammonia	\$350,400	Note 4
Electrical Cost	\$245,280	Note 5
Escalation	\$808,920	
Contingency	\$485,352	
Total Annual Cost	\$4,529,952	
Levelized Annual Cost	\$7,218,479	Note 6
ENERGY PENALTIES:		
Start-up Costs	\$1,800,000	Note 7
Pressure Drop	\$1,085,106	Note 8
Heat Rate	\$955,282	Note 9
Total	\$3,840,389	
Levelized Annual Cost	\$6,119,659	
TOTAL ANNUALIZED COSTS:	\$16,805,851	

- Notes:
1. Based on engineering estimate.
 2. Capital recovery factor based on 12 percent over 30 years.
 3. Two years replacement.
 4. \$200/ton.
 5. 500 kW/hr required.
 6. Levelizing factor based 12 percent interest, 30 years and 5 percent escalation rate.
 7. Loss of 50 kW for 15 starts/CT.
 8. 4" pressure drop across SCR.
 9. 0.58 percent heat rate penalty.

The replacement of the SCR catalyst will create additional economic and environmental impacts since available catalysts, e.g. vanadium pentoxide, are listed as hazardous chemical wastes under RCRA regulations (40 CFR 261).

Ammonia delivery and storage must be handled with caution because of its hazardous nature. Special precautions would be required to assure no environmental discharge occurs.

ENERGY

Energy penalties will occur with all control alternatives evaluated. However, significant energy penalties occur with SCR. With SCR the output of the CT is reduced by about 0.58 percent over that of wet injection. This penalty is due to the SCR pressure drop, which would be about 4 inches of water, and would amount to about 32,009,004 kWh in potential lost generation per year. The energy required by the SCR equipment would be about 4,380,000 kWh/year. Taken together, the lost generation and energy requirements of SCR could supply the electrical needs of 3,200 residential customers. The pressure drop would also reduce the units heat rate by about 62 Btu/kWh. This would result in increased natural gas usage amounting to 325.9 million cubic ft.

4.3.1.4 Proposed BACT and Rationale

The proposed BACT for the Lauderdale Repowering Project is wet injection. The proposed NO_x emissions levels using wet injection are 42 ppm when firing natural gas and 65 ppm when firing fuel oil. This control technology is proposed for the following reasons:

1. SCR was rejected based on technical, economic and environmental grounds. SCR has not been demonstrated on oil-fired CT/HRSG especially the size being proposed for the project, i.e. > 150 MW/CT. Operation of SCR on fuel-oil-firing CTs will cause operating problems and also result in catalyst poisoning; therefore, SCR on oil firing is not considered feasible. Operation of SCR on CT units experiencing cycling load

conditions has not been demonstrated; temperature variability of the exhaust gases potentially would damage the catalyst. The estimated incremental cost of SCR for natural gas firing exceeds \$4,600 per ton of NO_x removed. These costs are about 6 times more costly per ton of NO_x removed than the proposed BACT of wet injection (estimated to be about \$1,200 per ton of pollutant removed.). Additional environmental impacts would result from SCR operation including emissions of ammonia and ammonium bisulfates, and the generation of hazardous waste, i.e., spent catalyst replacement.

2. The proposed BACT of wet injection provides the least costly control alternative and results in low environmental impacts (less than 3 percent of the allowable PSD increments and 1 percent of the AAQS for NO_x). Wet injection at the proposed emissions levels has been adopted previously in BACT determinations. In addition, CT manufacturers have been willing to guarantee this level of NO_x emissions.
3. The proposed CTs are the largest model in production and have not been installed as fully operational anywhere in the world. As a result, there is no demonstrated experience with installing and operating SCR with CTs this large.

4.3.2 Carbon Monoxide (CO)

4.3.2.1 Emission Control Hierarchy

CO emissions are a result of incomplete or partial combustion of fossil fuel. Combustion design and catalytic oxidation are the control alternatives that are viable for the project.

Combustion design is the more common control technique used in CTs. Sufficient time, temperature and turbulence is required within the combustion zone to maximize combustion efficiency and minimize the

emissions of CO. Combustion efficiency is dependent upon combustor design. When wet NO_x control systems are employed, the amount of water or steam injected in the combustion zone also affects combustion efficiency. For the CTs being evaluated and with wet injection NO_x control, CO emissions range from 25 ppm to 33 ppm, corrected to dry conditions.

Catalytic oxidation is a post-combustion control that has been employed in CO nonattainment areas where regulations have required CO emission levels to be less than those associated with wet injection. These installations have been required to utilize LAER technology, and typically have CO limits in the 10 ppm range (corrected to dry conditions).

4.3.2.2 Technology Description

In an oxidation catalyst control system, CO emissions are reduced by allowing unburned CO to react with oxygen at the surface of a precious metal catalyst such as platinum. Combustion of CO starts at about 300°F, with efficiencies above 90 percent occurring at temperatures above 600°F. Catalytic oxidation occurs at temperatures 50 percent lower than that of thermal oxidation, which reduces the amount of thermal energy required. For CT/HRSG combinations, the oxidation catalyst can be located directly after the CT or in the HRSG. Catalyst size depends upon the exhaust flow, temperature and desired efficiency. The existing gas turbine applications have been limited to smaller cogeneration facilities burning natural gas.

Oxidation catalysts have not been used on fuel-oil-fired CTs or combined cycle facilities. The use of sulfur-containing fuels in an oxidation catalyst system would result in an increase of SO₃ emissions and concomitant corrosive effects to the back end of the HRSG and stack. In addition, trace metals in the fuel would result in catalyst poisoning during prolonged periods of operation.

Since the repowered units will likely require numerous startups, variations in exhaust conditions will influence catalyst life and performance. Very little technical data exist to demonstrate the effect of such cycling.

The lack of demonstrated operation with oil firing suggests rejection of catalytic oxidation as a technically feasible alternative. However, continuous operation using natural gas is technically feasible and therefore was evaluated as an alternative BACT technology.

Combustion design is dependent upon the manufacturer's operating specifications, which include air to fuel ratio and the amount of water injected. The advanced CTs presently being considered have designs to optimize combustion efficiency and minimize CO emissions. Installations with oxidation catalyst and combustion controls generally have controlled CO levels of 10 ppm as LAER.

For the Lauderdale Repowering Project the following alternatives were evaluated for natural gas firing or BACT:

1. Oxidation catalyst at 10 ppmvd; maximum CO emissions are 521 TPY.
2. Combustion controls at 30 ppmvd; maximum emissions are 1,562 TPY (see Table A-6, 75°F times 4 CTs).

4.3.2.3 Impact Analysis

ECONOMIC

The estimated annualized cost of a CO oxidation catalyst is \$9,947,387 (Table 4-5) with a cost effectiveness of greater than \$9,556/ton of CO removed. The cost effectiveness is based on advanced CT emissions of 30 ppmvd. Catalytic oxidation has not been applied to fuel oil firing CTs and is considered infeasible. No costs are associated with combustion techniques since they are inherent in the design.

Table 4-5. Capital and Annual Costs for Alternative CO Control Technology

Item	Oxidation	
	Cost	Basis
CAPITAL COSTS COMPONENTS:		
Catalyst and Associated Equipment	\$6,520,000	Note 1
System Installation	\$1,408,600	Note 1
HRSG Modification	\$1,000,000	Note 1
Engineering, Erection Supervision and Start-up	\$1,200,000	Note 1
Tax (material only)	\$451,200	
Escalation	\$1,639,800	5%
Interest During Construction	\$1,046,000	
Contingency	\$2,885,500	
Total Capital Cost	\$16,151,100	
Annualized Capital Cost	\$2,004,435	Note 2
OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE:		
Catalyst Replacement	\$2,750,000	Note 3
Operating Personnel	\$0	
Catalyst Change-out	\$80,000	
Escalation	\$709,800	
Contingency	\$424,600	
Total Annual Cost	\$3,964,400	
Levelized Annual Cost	\$6,317,271	Note 5
ENERGY PENALTIES:		
Start-up Costs	\$0	
Pressure Drop	\$542,553	Note 5
Heat Rate	\$477,641	Note 6
Total	\$1,020,194	
Levelized Annual Cost	\$1,625,680	Note 4
TOTAL ANNUALIZED COSTS:	\$9,947,387	

- Notes:
1. Based on engineering estimate.
 2. Capital recovery factor based on 12 percent over 30 years.
 3. Two years replacement.
 4. \$200/ton.
 5. Levelizing factor based on 12 percent interest, 30 years and 5 percent escalation rate.
 6. 2" pressure drop across catalyst.
 7. 0.29 percent heat rate penalty.

ENVIRONMENTAL

The air quality impacts of both oxidation catalyst control and combustion design control techniques are below the significant impact levels for CO. Therefore, no significant environmental benefit would be realized by the installation of a CO catalyst.

ENERGY

An energy penalty would result from the pressure drop across the catalyst bed. A pressure drop of about 2 inches water gauge would be expected. At a catalyst back pressure of about 2 inches, an energy penalty of about 16,004,500 kWh/yr would result. This energy penalty is sufficient to supply the electrical needs of about 1,400 residential customers over a year. Natural gas usage would increase by about 163 million cubic ft.

4.3.2.4 Proposed BACT and Rationale

Combustion design is proposed as BACT due to the technical and economic consequences of utilizing catalytic oxidation on advanced CTs.

Catalytic oxidation is not considered feasible for the following reasons:

1. Catalytic oxidation has not been demonstrated on cycling CTs or those using fuel oil; and
2. The economic impacts are significant, i.e., annualized cost of almost \$10,000,000 with a cost effectiveness of over \$9,556/ton of CO removed.

4.3.3 Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂)

4.3.2.1 Emission Control Hierarchy

Sulfur dioxide (SO₂) emissions are a result of the oxidation of sulfur in fossil fuel and can be minimized by reducing the sulfur content in fuel or through applying post combustion removal techniques. For CTs, the use of low sulfur fuels is the only demonstrated control technology determined to be technically feasible. Post combustion techniques, such as flue gas desulfurization (FGD), have not been applied to CTs.

FGD systems have been applied to oil- and coal-fired steam electric power plants. However, the relative gas volume for such facilities is significantly less than that for CTs (i.e., about 2 to 3 times) and the resultant SO₂ concentration is considerably higher. While the former factor will influence the cost of FGD, the latter poses significant technological constraints to removing SO₂. As a result, FGD is not feasible for application to CTs.

The BACT/LAER clearinghouse documents (EPA, 1985b, 1986, 1987c, and 1988c) show fuel sulfur contents from 0.8 percent to less than 0.2 percent have been specified as BACT for CTs. The lowest sulfur containing fuels were required in California and New Jersey, where LAER decisions dictated more stringent standards. Furthermore, such requirements generally limited fuel oil use for backup or emergency purposes only.

For the repowered units, the only technically feasible control technology for SO₂ is low sulfur fuel use. The use of natural gas will clearly minimize SO₂ emissions. SO₂ emissions from distillate fuel can be minimized by specification of a lower sulfur content fuel, or blending of a lower sulfur content fuel, such as No. 1 fuel oil or kerosene, with No. 2 fuel oil. To reduce the uncertainties of supplier reliability, the blending of kerosene, a commonly available low sulfur fuel, was selected as an alternative control technology considered for the project. A sulfur content of 0.2 percent was selected as the top down BACT level since it is near the lowest of sulfur contents contained in the BACT clearinghouse documents.

4.3.3.2 Technology Description

The No. 2 fuel oil used in the repowered units will have a maximum sulfur content of 0.5 percent with a nominal average of 0.3 percent. For the purposes of this analysis, the maximum sulfur content of 0.5 percent was assumed. Kerosene has a maximum sulfur content of approximately 0.05 percent.

To obtain an average sulfur content of 0.2 percent, No. 2 fuel oil and kerosene would have to be blended in a ratio of about 1 to 2. Blending would require a separate storage tank, transfer pumps, mixing tank and mixing equipment.

The maximum emissions on No. 2 fuel oil would be 15,082.8 TPY (see Table 2-6) and would be 6,033.1 TPY on a blended fuel with a sulfur content of 0.2 percent.

4.3.3.3 Impact Analysis

ECONOMIC

The differential capital and annualized cost of utilizing 0.2 percent sulfur oil in place of 0.5 percent sulfur fuel oil is presented in Table 4-6. The total differential annualized cost for utilizing a maximum 0.2 percent sulfur fuel was estimated to be \$46,479,242. The resulting cost effectiveness is \$5,136/ton of SO₂ removed. Based on a more typical sulfur content of 0.3 percent for No. 2 fuel oil, the cost effectiveness would be \$15,408/ton of SO₂ removed.

ENVIRONMENTAL

Based upon use of 0.5 percent sulfur fuel oil, the maximum SO₂ impacts of the repowered units alone will be less than 22 percent of the AAQS for SO₂, and less than 54 percent of the allowable PSD Class II increments. As a result, significant air quality benefits will not occur by reducing fuel sulfur content below that in No. 2 fuel oil, particularly considering the primary fuel will be natural gas, and existing Units 4 and 5, which use No. 6 fuel oil containing 1 percent sulfur, will be shut down.

Table 4-6. Capital and Annual Costs for Alternative SO₂ Control Technology

Item	Cost	Basis
CAPITAL COSTS COMPONENTS:		
Fuel Tank and Associated Equipment	\$5,000,000	Note 1
System Installation	\$750,000	Note 1
Engineering, Construction Supervision, and Startup	\$575,000	Note 1
Tax (material only)	\$379,500	
Escalation	\$1,039,198	5%
Interest During Construction	\$662,894	
Contingency	\$1,681,318	
Total Capital Cost	\$10,087,910	
Annualized Capital Cost	\$1,251,910	Note 2
OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE:		
Fuel Costs	\$28,646,967	Note 3
Operating Personnel	\$40,000	
Miscellaneous Parts	\$50,000	
Escalation	\$287,370	
Contingency	\$143,685	
Total Annual Cost	\$29,168,021	
Levelized Annual Cost	\$46,479,242	Note 4
TOTAL ANNUALIZED COSTS:	\$47,731,151	

- Notes: 1. Based on engineering estimate.
 2. Capital recovery factor based on 12 percent interest over 30 years.
 3. \$0.07 per gallon average price differential.
 4. Levelizing factor based on 12 percent interest, 30 years and 5 percent escalation rate.

ENERGY

No substantial energy penalties are expected to result from the blending of kerosene with No. 2 fuel oil.

4.3.3.4 Proposed BACT and Rationale

The proposed BACT for the repowered units is the use of natural gas and No. 2 fuel oil with a maximum sulfur content of 0.5 percent. The selection of this control alternative is based upon the following:

1. The blending of kerosene is not cost effective or economically feasible. In addition, it is uncertain if the quantities of kerosene required to be blended with No. 2 fuel oil could be obtained.
2. There is no significant environmental benefit in using fuel oil with less than 0.5 percent sulfur content.

4.3.4 Particulate Emissions/PM10

The emission of particulates from the CTs is a result of incomplete combustion and trace solids in the fuel (particularly fuel oil) and in the injected water or steam used for NO_x control. The design of the CTs ensures that particulate emissions will be minimized by combustion controls and the use of clean fuels. A review of EPA's BACT/LAER Clearinghouse Documents did not reveal any post-combustion particulate control technologies being used on gas/oil fueled CTs. The natural gas and No. 2, i.e. distillate, fuel oil to be used in the CTs will only contain trace quantities of particulate (0.05 percent ash, maximum in fuel oil). Therefore, the use of clean fuel and combustion design is the proposed BACT for total suspended particulate matter [PM(TSP)] and particulate matter smaller than 10 microns (PM10).

The maximum particulate emissions from the CTs when burning fuel oil will be of less concentration than that normally specified for fabric filter designs; i.e., the grain loading associated with the maximum particulate emissions (about 60 lb/hr) is less than 0.01 grains per standard cubic foot (gr/scf), which is a typical design specification

for a baghouse. This further demonstrates that no further particulate controls are necessary for the proposed project.

4.3.5 Other Regulated and Nonregulated Pollutant Emissions

The PSD source applicability analysis shows that PSD significance emission levels are exceeded for sulfuric acid mist, mercury, beryllium, and arsenic, requiring PSD review (including BACT) for these pollutants.

There are no technically feasible methods for controlling the emissions of these pollutants from CTs, other than the inherent quality of the fuel (see Section 4.3.3 and 4.3.4). In addition, the inherent efficiency of the combined cycle configuration of the repowered units minimizes the quality of fuel used relative to steam cycle plants, i.e., by about 20 percent. Sulfuric acid mist emissions are a direct function of the sulfur content of the fuel. Levels of trace metals in No. 2 distillate oil are limited by fuel oil specifications for the advanced CTs. Low sulfur No. 2 distillate oil represents BACT for these pollutants.

For the nonregulated pollutants, most of which are trace metals, none of the control technologies evaluated for other pollutants (i.e., SCR and oxidation catalyst) would reduce such emissions and low sulfur distillate oil represents BACT because of its inherent low metals content.

5.0 AIR QUALITY MONITORING DATA

5.1 PSD PRECONSTRUCTION MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

As determined by the source applicability analysis described in Section 3.4, an ambient monitoring analysis is required by PSD regulations for SO₂ only. In order to satisfy these requirements, FPL developed a PSD air quality monitoring plan for the Lauderdale Repowering Project (KBN, 1988). The plan was submitted to FDER in August 1988 and was subsequently approved. Descriptions of the ambient air quality monitoring network design, rationale for monitoring locations and parameters, description of monitoring sites and sampling equipment are presented in the air monitoring plan. The attachment to the plan presents the Quality Assurance and Standard Operating Procedures used to perform the monitoring. These procedures, as well as the ambient air quality and meteorological data collected as part of this program, are included in Appendix 10.5.1 of the SCA.

The SO₂ monitoring data collected for PSD purposes are described in Section 5.2. Although pollutants other than SO₂ are not required to be monitored under PSD regulations, background concentrations for other criteria pollutants are important for assessing compliance of the proposed project with AAQS. As described in Section 6.0, emissions of NO_x and SO₂ will require full modeling analysis under PSD regulations.

Background concentrations are air quality concentrations due to air emission sources not explicitly accounted for in the air dispersion modeling analysis (see Section 6.0). To estimate existing background concentrations, existing air monitoring data are used. Existing ambient data and background concentration estimates are presented in Sections 5.2 and 5.3 for SO₂ and NO₂, respectively. Meteorological data were also collected by the FPL PSD monitoring network. Collected data are summarized in Section 5.4.

5.2 SULFUR DIOXIDE

The PSD approved air monitoring network for the Lauderdale Repowering Project contained two continuous SO₂ monitoring stations: FPL Davie Substation site and FPL Pinehurst Substation site (see Figure 5-1). In addition to these data, ambient monitoring data from Broward County were available from FDER and other FPL-operated air monitoring stations. Locations of the monitoring sites in the vicinity of the site are shown in Figure 5-1.

Ambient SO₂ data collected at these air monitoring sites for the period 1986 through 1988 are presented in Table 5-1. Data are available from four FDER sites (one collocated monitor) and five FPL sites. All data are collected by the 24-hour bubbler method, except for the FPL Pinehurst and Davie sites, which have continuous monitors. All of the bubbler data are gathered utilizing required quality assurance (QA) procedures for bubbler data, while the continuous monitors meet PSD QA criteria.

As indicated in Table 5-1, all recorded SO₂ concentrations are low and well below the AAQS. The highest measured 3-hour concentration at any monitor was 189 µg/m³ at the FPL Pinehurst site, and the highest measured 24-hour concentration was 42 µg/m³, measured at the FPL Pinehurst site. These values are well below the AAQS of 1,300 µg/m³, 3-hour average, and 260 µg/m³, 24-hour average.

The highest recorded annual mean SO₂ concentration at any site was 5 µg/m³, recorded at the FPL Pinehurst site. This concentration is well below the AAQS of 60 µg/m³ for the annual averaging period.

Background SO₂ concentrations for use in the impact analysis are based upon the second maximum 3-hour and 24-hour concentration and the maximum annual average concentration measured at any monitoring location in the vicinity of the Lauderdale Plant site. This conservative assumption was employed since the observed values were low compared to AAQS. The resulting background concentrations are: 138 µg/m³, 3-hour; 42 µg/m³,




Figure 5-1 LOCATION OF AMBIENT MONITORING SITES IN THE VICINITY OF FPL LAUDERDALE PLANT



Lauderdale Repowering Project

FPL

Table 5-1. Summary of Ambient Sulfur Dioxide Data, Broward County--1986-1988

Site Number	Site Name/Location	Time Period	No. obs.	Sulfur Dioxide Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)				Arithmetic Mean
				Max. 3-hr	2nd Max. 3-hr	Max. 24-hr	2nd Max. 24-hr	
0910-002	Davie UF Ag Research Station	1986	58	--	--	5	4	3
		1987	--	--	--	--	--	--
		1988	--	--	--	--	--	--
1260-003	Fort Lauderdale 2101 NW 6th St.	1986	55	--	--	9	8	3
		1987	61	--	--	13	13	3
		1988	54	--	--	23	22	4
1260-004-1	Fort Lauderdale 500 SW 14 Ct.	1986	61	--	--	21	8	3
		1987	61	--	--	21	19	3
		1988	60	--	--	23	20	4
1260-004-9	Fort Lauderdale 500 SW 14 Ct.	1986	61	--	--	25	12	3
		1987	60	--	--	21	17	3
		1988	60	--	--	24	20	4
3530-002-1	Fembroke 11251 Taft St.	1986	60	--	--	3	3	2
		1987	--	--	--	--	--	--
		1988	--	--	--	--	--	--
FPL FL-1	Fort Lauderdale SW 39 St.	1987	57	--	--	13	3	3
		1988	56	--	--	7	7	3
FPL FL-2	Davie US 441	1987	51	--	--	5	4	4
		1988	47	--	--	12	7	4
FPL PE-1	Port Everglades Ocean Dr.	1987	53	--	--	10	10	4
		1988	47	--	--	10	5	4
FPL Pinehurst	Fort Lauderdale SW 9th Ave. & 22nd St. N.	1988 ^a	8,339	189	133	42	42	5
		1989						
FPL Davie SR84	Davie SR84	1988 ^a	8,337	152	138	39	38	4
		1989						

Federal Primary AAQS				--	--	--	365	80
Federal Secondary AAQS				--	1,300	--	--	--
Florida AAQS				--	1,300	--	260	60

^aData cover period October 1988 through September 1989.

11/18/89

24-hour; and $5 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, annual average. These background levels are also considered conservative since they reflect current operation of Units 4 and 5 at Lauderdale, which will be shut down after startup of the repowered units.

5.3 NITROGEN DIOXIDE

Available NO_2 data from monitoring sites located in the vicinity of the Lauderdale Plant site are presented in Table 5-2. Data are available from four FDER sites and four FPL sites, and cover the period 1986 through 1988. All sites are 24-hour bubbler sites, except for the FPL Pinehurst site that has a continuous monitor. All data have been gathered utilizing recommended quality assurance techniques for bubbler and continuous monitors.

The highest measured annual mean NO_x concentration was measured at Site 1260-003 in 1986, and was $47 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. This level is roughly one-half the AAQS of $100 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, annual average concentration. An annual average concentration of $26 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ was measured at the FPL Pinehurst site.

The annual average concentration used in the analysis was obtained from the continuous monitor located at the FPL Pinehurst Substation since the federal reference is continuous. A value of $26 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ measured at Site 1260-003 was used to represent the background NO_2 concentration in the air quality impact analysis. As in the case of the background NO_2 concentration, this background level is considered conservative since it is representative of impacts from the existing Lauderdale Units 4 and 5, as well as other sources explicitly included in the modeling analysis.

5.4 METEOROLOGICAL DATA

Wind speed and direction, temperature and precipitation were collected at the FPL Pinehurst site. A 10-m meteorological tower was installed with the windspeed and direction, and temperature measurements; the precipitation-measuring gauge was installed on the ground. The output of

Table 5-2. Summary of Ambient Nitrogen Dioxide Data, Broward County--1986-1988

Site Number	Site Name/Location	Time Period	No. obs.	Nitrogen Dioxide Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)		
				Max. 24-hr	2nd Max. 24-hr	Arithmetic Mean
0910-002	Davie UF Ag Research Station	1986	56	57	51	27
		1987	--	--	--	--
		1988	--	--	--	--
1260-003	Fort Lauderdale 2101 NW 6th St.	1986	56	108	104	47
		1987	61	109	108	46
		1988	56	92	91	43
1260-004-1	Fort Lauderdale 500 SW 14 Ct.	1986	61	76	63	31
		1987	61	81	56	30
		1988	60	72	65	30
1260-004-9	Fort Lauderdale 500 SW 14 Ct.	1986	60	75	63	30
		1987	60	77	66	30
		1988	60	71	70	31
3530-002-1	Pembroke 11251 Taft St.	1986	60	60	60	28
		1987	--	--	--	--
		1988	--	--	--	--
FPL FL-1	Fort Lauderdale SW 39 St.	1987	57	68	67	36
		1988	56	157	157	42
FPL FL-2	Davie US 441	1987	53	83	81	38
		1988	54	78	72	35
FPL PE-1	Port Everglades Ocean Dr.	1987	55	91	58	27
		1988	53	77	72	28
FPL Pine- hurst	Fort Lauderdale SW 9th Ave. & 22 St. N.	1988 ^a 1989	7,107	101	100	26
Federal Primary/Secondary AAQS				--	--	100
Florida AAQS				--	--	100

^aData cover period October 1988 through September 1989.

these sensors was connected to a data-acquisition system housed in a shelter. The data from this monitoring are contained in Appendix 10.5.1 of the SCA.

6.0 AIR QUALITY MODELING APPROACH

6.1 GENERAL MODELING APPROACH

The general modeling approach followed EPA and FDER modeling guidelines for determining compliance with AAQS and PSD increments. In general, when model predictions are used to determine compliance with AAQS and PSD increments, current policies stipulate that the highest annual average and highest, second-highest (HSH) short-term (i.e., 24 hours or less) concentrations be compared to the applicable standard when 5 years of meteorological data are used. The HSH concentration is calculated for a receptor field by:

1. Eliminating the highest concentration predicted at each receptor,
2. Identifying the second-highest concentration at each receptor,
and
3. Selecting the highest concentration among these second-highest concentrations.

This approach is consistent with the air quality standards, which permit a short-term average concentration to be exceeded once per year at each receptor.

To develop the maximum short-term concentrations for the facility, the general modeling approach was divided into screening and refined phases to reduce the computation time required to perform the modeling analysis. The basic difference between the two phases is the receptor grid used when predicting concentrations, and the number of meteorological periods evaluated. In general, concentrations for the screening phase were predicted using a coarse receptor grid and a 5-year meteorological record.

After a final list of HSH short-term concentrations was developed, the refined phase of the analysis was conducted by predicting concentrations for a refined receptor grid centered on the receptor at which the HSH concentration from the screening phase was produced. The air dispersion model was then executed for the meteorological periods during which both

the highest and second-highest concentrations were predicted to occur at that receptor, based on the screening phase results. This approach was used to ensure that valid HSH concentrations were obtained. More detailed descriptions of the emission inventory and receptor grids used in the screening and refined phases of the analysis are presented in the following sections.

6.2 MODEL SELECTION

The selection of the appropriate air dispersion model was based on its applicability to simulate impacts in areas surrounding the Lauderdale Plant site. Within 50 km of the site, the terrain can be described as simple, i.e., flat to gently rolling. As defined in the EPA modeling guidelines, simple terrain is considered to be an area where the terrain features are all lower in elevation than the top of the stack(s) under evaluation. Therefore, a simple terrain model was selected to predict maximum ground-level concentrations.

The Industrial Source Complex (ISC) dispersion model (EPA, 1988a) was selected to evaluate the pollutant emissions from the repowered units and other modeled sources. This model is contained in EPA's User's Network for Applied Modeling of Air Pollution (UNAMAP), Version 6 (EPA, 1988b). The ISC model is applicable to sources located in either flat or rolling terrain where terrain heights do not exceed stack heights.

The ISC model consists of two sets of computer codes which are used to calculate short- and long-term ground level concentrations. The main differences between the two codes are the input format of the meteorological data and the method of estimating the plume's horizontal dispersion.

The first model code, the ISCST model, is an extended version of the single-source (CRSTER) model (EPA, 1977). The ISCST model is designed to calculate hourly concentrations based on hourly meteorological parameters (i.e., wind direction, wind speed, atmospheric stability, ambient

temperature, and mixing heights). The hourly concentrations are processed into non-overlapping, short-term and annual averaging periods. For example, a 24-hour average concentration is based on 24 1-hour averages calculated from midnight to midnight of each day. For each short-term averaging period selected, the highest and second-highest average concentrations are calculated for each receptor. As an option, a table of the 50 highest concentrations over the entire field of receptors can be produced.

The second model code within the ISC model is the ISC long-term (ISCLT) model. The ISCLT model uses joint frequencies of wind direction, wind speed, and atmospheric stability to calculate seasonal and/or annual average ground-level concentrations. Because the input wind directions are for 16 sectors, with each sector defined as 22.5 degrees, the model calculates concentrations by assuming that the pollutant is uniformly distributed in the horizontal plane within a 22.5 degree sector.

In this analysis, the ISCST model was used to calculate both short-term and annual average concentrations because these concentrations are readily obtainable from the model output. In general, experience has demonstrated that the ISCST model will produce higher annual average concentrations as compared to the ISCLT model.

Major features of the ISCST model are presented in Table 6-1. Concentrations due to stack and volume sources are calculated by the ISCST model using the steady-state Gaussian plume equation for a continuous source. The area source equation in the ISCST model is based on the equation for a continuous and finite crosswind line source. The ISC model has rural and urban options which affect the wind speed profile exponent law, dispersion rates, and mixing-height formulations used in calculating ground level concentrations. The criteria used to determine when the rural or urban mode is appropriate are based on land use near the proposed plant's surroundings (Auer, 1978). If the land use is classified as heavy industrial, light-moderate industrial, commercial, or compact residential

Table 6-1. Major Features of the ISCST Model

ISCST Model Features
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Polar or Cartesian coordinate systems for receptor locations• Rural or one of three urban options which affect wind speed profile exponent, dispersion rates, and mixing height calculations• Plume rise due to momentum and buoyancy as a function of downwind distance for stack emissions (Briggs, 1969, 1971, 1972, and 1975)• Procedures suggested by Huber and Snyder (1976); Huber (1977); and Schulmann and Hanna (1986) and Schulmann and Scire (1980) for evaluating building wake effects• Procedures suggested by Briggs (1974) for evaluating stack-tip downwash• Separation of multiple point sources• Consideration of the effects of gravitational settling and dry deposition on ambient particulate concentrations• Capability of simulating point, line, volume and area sources• Capability to calculate dry deposition• Variation with height of wind speed (wind speed-profile exponent law)• Concentration estimates for 1-hour to annual average• Terrain-adjustment procedures for elevated terrain including a terrain truncation algorithm• Receptors located above local terrain, i.e., "flagpole" receptors• Consideration of time-dependent exponential decay of pollutants• The method of Pasquill (1976) to account for buoyancy-induced dispersion• A regulatory default option to set various model options and parameters to EPA recommended values (see text for regulatory options used)• Procedure for calm-wind processing

Source: EPA, 1988a.

for more than 50 percent of the area within a 3 km radius circle centered on the proposed source, the urban option should be selected. Otherwise, the rural option is more appropriate.

For modeling analyses that will undergo regulatory review, such as PSD permit applications, the following model features are recommended by EPA (1987a) and are referred to as the regulatory options in the ISCST model:

1. Final plume rise at all receptor locations,
2. Stack-tip downwash,
3. Buoyancy-induced dispersion,
4. Default wind speed profile coefficients for rural or urban option,
5. Default vertical potential temperature gradients,
6. Calm wind processing, and
7. Reducing calculated SO₂ concentrations in urban areas by using a decay half-life of 4 hours (i.e., reduce the SO₂ concentration emitted by 50 percent for every 4 hours of plume travel time).

In this analysis, the EPA regulatory options were used to address maximum impacts. Based on a review of the land use around the facility and discussions with the FDER, the rural mode was selected based on the degree of residential, industrial and commercial development within 3 km of the Lauderdale Plant site.

6.3 METEOROLOGICAL DATA

Meteorological data used in the ISCST model to determine air quality impacts consisted of a concurrent 5-year period of hourly surface weather observations and twice-daily upper air soundings from the National Weather Service (NWS) stations at Miami International Airport and West Palm Beach, respectively. The 5-year period of meteorological data was from 1982 through 1986. The NWS station in Miami, located approximately 30 km to the south-southwest of the site, was selected for use in the study because it is the closest primary weather station to the study area with similar surrounding topographical features. This station also has the most

readily available and complete database which is representative of the Lauderdale Plant site.

The surface observations included wind direction, wind speed, temperature, cloud cover, and cloud ceiling height. The wind speed, cloud cover, and cloud ceiling values were used in the ISCST meteorological preprocessor program to determine atmospheric stability using the Turner stability scheme. Based on the temperature measurements at morning and afternoon, mixing heights were calculated from the radiosonde data at West Palm Beach International Airport using the Holzworth approach (Holzworth, 1972). The West Palm Beach International Airport is located about 70 km north of the site. Hourly mixing heights were derived from the morning and afternoon mixing heights using the interpolation method developed by EPA (Holzworth, 1972). The hourly surface data and mixing heights were used to develop a sequential series of hourly meteorological data (i.e., wind direction, wind speed, temperature, stability, and mixing heights). Because the observed hourly wind directions at the NWS stations are classified into one of thirty-six 10-degree sectors, the wind directions were randomized within each sector to account for the expected variability in air flow. These calculations were performed using the EPA RAMMET meteorological preprocessor program.

6.4 EMISSION INVENTORY

6.4.1 Proposed Repowered Units

Stack operating parameters and air emission rates for the repowered Units 4 and 5 were presented in Section 2.0, Tables 2-1 through 2-4. As described in Section 2.0, the highest emissions and lowest flow rate for the CT/HRSG units were used in the modeling analysis.

6.4.2 Existing Lauderdale Plant Sources

The existing sources consist of Units 4 and 5 stacks and gas turbines GT 1 through GT 24. Stack parameters and maximum air emission rates for these sources were presented in Table 2-10. Units 4 and 5 were modeled to determine the net change in air quality impacts due to the shutdown of

Units 4 and 5 and the startup of the repowered Units 4 and 5. Data for the existing gas turbines (GT 1 through GT 24) were used in assessing future compliance with AAQS.

6.4.3 Other Air Emission Sources

Modeling of the repowered units demonstrated that the facility's impacts are above the significant impact levels for SO₂ and NO₂ at distances of approximately 70 and 30 km, respectively, from the Lauderdale Plant site. Therefore, the emission inventories for these pollutants were developed from available databases.

FDER supplied KBN with QLP printouts of the facilities within a 100 km square centered on the site (UTM coordinates: East 580.3 km, North 2883.3). FDER also provided KBN with AIR 10 reports for Broward, Dade, and Palm Beach counties. Using this information, supplemented with data from permits, PSD applications, and previous modeling analyses, the SO₂ and NO₂ emitting facilities within 50 km of the location of the site were identified.

Facilities located within 15 km of the Lauderdale Plant site, with SO₂ or NO₂ emissions greater than 25 TPY, are presented in Tables 6-2 and 6-3, respectively. These facilities were included explicitly in the modeling analysis. Facilities located 15 to 50 km from the site, with SO₂ or NO₂ emissions greater than 100 TPY, are presented in Tables 6-4 and 6-5. These facilities were subject to further screening to determine the potential of significant interaction with the repowered units. An additional source, FPL-Turkey Point, was also included in the modeling analysis due to its relative emissions and potential impacts, although this facility is more than 70 km from the Lauderdale Plant.

As described above, each facility between 15 and 50 km from the site was further screened to determine the probability of source interaction. The recommended screening technique is the Screening Threshold method developed by the North Carolina Department of Natural Resources and Community

Table 6-2. SO₂ Sources (>25 TPY) Within 15 km of the FPL-Lauderdale Plant

APIS Facility Identification Number	Facility	County	Relative Location (km)				Distance From Proposed Site (km)	Direction From Proposed Site (degrees)	Maximum Allowable SO ₂ Emissions ^b (TPY)
			UTM Coordinates (km)		To Proposed Site ^a				
			East	North	X	Y			
50BRO060036	FPL-Port Everglades	Broward	587.4	2,885.3	7.1	2.0	7.4	74	76,239
50BRO06????	South Broward County Res. Rec.	Broward	579.6	2,883.3	-0.7	0.0	0.7	270	1,318
<i>shut-down</i> 50BRO060046	Weekley Asphalt Paving	Broward	576.9	2,886.1	-3.4	2.8	4.4	310	39
TOTAL									77,596

^aThe UTM coordinates of the proposed combined cycle unit are 580.3 km East and 2883.3 km North.

^bMaximum facility emissions are based on information on emissions found in APIS, or specific operation permits and PSD applications.

Note: ???? indicates no APIS was provided.

Source: FDER, 1989.

8-9

*1 or 2 asphalt plants
1 a.c. certain incin.*

Table 6-3. NO_x Sources (>25 TPY) Within 15 km of the FPL-Lauderdale Plant

APIS Facility Identification Number	Facility	County	UTM Coordinates (km)		Relative Location (km) To Proposed Site ^a		Distance From Proposed Site (km)	Direction From Proposed Site (degrees)	Maximum Allowable NO _x Emissions ^b (TPY)
			East	North	X	Y			
			-----		-----				
50BRO060036	FPL-Port Everglades	Broward	587.4	2,885.3	7.1	2.0	7.4	74	45,570
50BRO06????	South Broward County Res. Rec.	Broward	579.6	2,883.3	-0.7	0.0	0.7	270	2,383
50BRO060046	Weekley Asphalt Paving	Broward	576.9	2,886.1	-3.4	2.8	4.4	310	313
TOTAL									48,266

short-down

^aThe UTM coordinates of the proposed combined cycle unit are 580.3 km East and 2883.3 km North.

^bMaximum facility emissions are based on information on emissions found in APIS, or specific operation permits and PSD applications.

Note: ???? indicates no APIS was provided.

Source: FDER, 1989.

asphalt. pths.

Table 6-4. SO₂ Sources (>100 TPY) Within 15 to 50 km of the FPL-Lauderdale Plant

APIS Facility Identification Number	Facility	County	Relative Location (km)				Distance From Proposed Site (km)	Direction From Proposed Site (degrees)	Maximum Allowable SO ₂ Emissions ^a (TPY)
			UTM Coordinates (km)		To Proposed Site				
			East	North	X	Y			
50DAD130003	FPL-Turkey Point ^b	Dade	567.2	2,813.2	-13.1	-70.1	71.3	191	36,192
50DAD130004	General Portland	Dade	551.7	2,843.4	-28.6	-39.9	49.1	216	10,546
50DAD130348	Metro Dade Resource Recovery	Dade	564.3	2,857.4	-16.0	-25.9	30.4	212	1,831 ^c
50DAD130020	Tarmac Florida	Dade	562.9	2,861.7	-17.4	-21.6	27.7	219	2,792
50BRO06????	North Broward County Res. Rec.	Broward	583.6	2,907.6	3.3	24.3	24.5	8	896
50DAD130001	FPL-Cutler	Dade	570.4	2,834.9	-9.9	-48.4	49.4	192	488
50BRO060015	East Coast Asphalt	Broward	584.9	2,902.2	4.6	18.9	19.5	14	230
50DAD130015	Rinker Materials	Dade	558.2	2,851.3	-22.1	-32.0	38.9	215	218 A
50PMB500015	Boca Raton Hotel and Club, LTD	Palm Beach	592.0	2,913.7	11.7	30.4	32.6	21	208 E
50BRO062094	Waste Management	Broward	583.2	2,908.0	2.9	24.7	24.9	7	187
50DAD130483	General Asphalt Portable Plant	Dade	561.5	2,853.2	-18.8	-30.1	35.5	212	103
TOTAL									53,691

^aMaximum facility emissions are based on emissions found in APIS, or specific operation permits and PSD applications.

^bFPL-Turkey Point will be included in the modeling due to its relative emissions and potential impact.

^cBased on SO₂ source test conducted in January 1983.

Note: A = Emission rate based on ACTUAL emission information in APIS, because no information was available on allowable emissions.

E = Emissions rate based on ESTIMATED emission information in APIS, because no information was available on allowable or actual emissions.

???? indicates no APIS was provided.

Source: FDER, 1989.

Table 6-5. NO_x Sources (>100 TPY) Within 15 to 50 km of the FPL-Lauderdale Plant

APIS Facility Identification Number	Facility	County	Relative Location (km)				Distance From Proposed Site (km)	Direction From Proposed Site (degrees)	Maximum Allowable NO _x Emissions ^a (TPY)
			UTM Coordinates (km)		To Proposed Site				
			East	North	X	Y			
50DAD130003	FPL-Turkey Point ^b	Dade	567.2	2,813.2	-13.1	-70.1	71.3	191	16,521
50DAD130001	FPL-Cutler	Dade	570.4	2,834.9	-9.9	-48.4	49.4	192	4,796
50DAD130020	Tarmac Florida	Dade	562.9	2,861.7	-17.4	-21.6	27.7	219	4,191 A
50BRO06????	North Broward County Res. Rec.	Broward	583.6	2,907.6	3.3	24.3	24.5	8	2,225
50DAD130014	Rinker Portland	Dade	559.0	2,852.2	-21.3	-31.1	37.7	214	702 E
50DAD130004	General Portland	Dade	551.7	2,843.4	-28.6	-39.9	49.1	216	616
50DAD130348	Metro Dade Resource Recovery	Dade	564.3	2,857.4	-16.0	-25.9	30.4	212	511
50BRO062094	Waste Management	Broward	583.2	2,908.0	2.9	24.7	24.9	7	236
50DAD130470	South Florida Cogeneration	Dade	580.5	2,850.9	0.2	-32.4	32.4	180	217
50BRO062081	Ryan Sales and Service	Broward	560.7	2,876.5	-19.6	-6.8	20.7	251	200 E
TOTAL									30,215

^aMaximum facility emissions are based on information on emissions found in APIS, or specific operation permits and PSD applications.

^bFPL-Turkey Point will be included in the modeling due to its relative emissions and potential impacts.

Note: A = Emission rate based on ACTUAL emission information in APIS, because no information was available on allowable emissions.

E = Emission rate based on ESTIMATED emission information in APIS, because no information was available on allowable or actual emissions.

???? indicates no APIS was provided.

Source: FDER, 1989.

Development, approved by EPA and FDER. The method is designed to objectively eliminate from the emission inventory those facilities which are not likely to have significant interaction with the source undergoing evaluation. In general, facilities that should be considered in the modeling analyses are those with emissions greater than Q (in TPY), which is calculated by the following criterion:

$$Q = 20 \times D$$

where D is the distance (km) from the particular source to the source undergoing evaluation (i.e., from the site).

A listing of the SO₂ and NO₂ facilities in the inventory with associated maximum or allowable emissions, distance from the proposed site, and associated Q are presented in Tables 6-6 and 6-7, respectively. For the South Broward County Resource Recovery Project (SBCRRP), SO₂ and NO₂ emissions were increased to accommodate a fourth unit into the project. Those facilities with maximum allowable emissions which are below the calculated screening threshold were eliminated from further consideration in the modeling analysis. The remaining facilities, along with all facilities greater than 25 TPY emissions and located within 15 km of the site, comprise the facility list used in the modeling. A summary of SO₂ and NO_x sources used in the modeling is presented in Tables 6-8 and 6-9, respectively. PSD increment-affecting sources are noted and were used in the PSD modeling analysis.

6.5 RECEPTOR LOCATIONS

As discussed in Section 6.1, the general modeling approach considered screening and refined phases to address compliance with maximum allowable PSD Class I and Class II increments and AAQS. In the ISCST modeling, concentrations were predicted for the screening phase using several receptor grids. The locations of the receptors were based on identifying the areas in which maximum concentrations are predicted due to the repowered units.

Table 6-6. Summary of SO₂ Facilities Eliminated From Modeling Using the "Screening Threshold" Technique

APIS Facility Identification Number	Facility	Distance From Proposed Site (km)	Direction From Proposed Site (degrees)	Maximum SO ₂ ^a Emissions (TPY)	Q, Emission Threshold (TPY) (20 x Distance)	Included in Modeling
50DAD130003	FPL-Turkey Point	71.3	191	36,192	1,426	YES ^b
50DAD130004	General Portland	49.1	216	10,546	981	YES
50DAD130348	Metro Dade Resource Recovery	30.4	212	1,831	609	YES
50DAD130020	Tarmac Florida	27.7	219	2,792	554	YES
50BRO06????	North Broward County Res. Rec.	24.5	8	896	490	YES
50DAD130001	FPL-Cutler	49.4	192	488	988	YES ^b
50BRO060015	East Coast Asphalt	19.5	14	230	389	NO
50DAD130015	Rinker Materials	38.9	215	218	777	NO
50PMB500015	Boca Raton Hotel and Club, LTD	32.6	21	208	652	NO
50BRO062094	Waste Management	24.9	7	187	497	NO
50DAD130483	General Asphalt Portable Plant	35.5	212	103	709	NO

^aMaximum facility emissions determined from APIS or other available information on facility.

^bFPL-Turkey Point and FPL-Cutler will be included in the modeling due to their relative emissions and potential impacts.

Note: ???? indicates no APIS was provided.

Source: FDER, 1989.

Table 6-7. Summary of NO_x Facilities Used or Eliminated From Modeling Using the Screening Threshold Technique

APIS Facility Identification Number	Facility	Distance From Proposed Site (km)	Direction From Proposed Site (degrees)	Maximum NO _x ^a Emissions (TPY)	Q, Emission Threshold (TPY) (20 x Distance)	Included in Modeling
50BRO060003	FPL-Turkey Point ^b	71.3	191	16,521	1,426	YES
50BRO060001	FPL-Cutler	49.4	192	4,796	988	YES
50DAD130020	Tarmac Florida	27.7	219	4,191	554	YES
50BRO06????	North Broward County Res. Rec.	24.5	8	2,225	490	YES
50DAD130014	Rinker Portland	37.7	214	702	754	NO
50DAD130004	General Portland	49.1	216	616	981	NO
50DAD130348	Metro Dade Resource Recovery	30.4	212	511	609	NO
50BRO062094	Waste Management	24.9	7	236	497	NO
50DAD130470	South Florida Cogeneration	32.4	180	217	648	NO
50BRO062081	Ryan Sales and Service	20.7	251	200	414	NO

^aMaximum facility emissions from APIS, or other available information on facility.

^bFPL-Turkey Point will be included in the modeling due to its relative emissions and potential impacts.

Note: ???? indicates no APIS was provided.

Source: FDER, 1989.

A description of the receptor locations for determining compliance with PSD increments and AAQS is as follows:

1. 471 receptors located in a radial grid centered on the repowered units. These receptors were classified into two main groups: (1) plant boundary receptors and (2) near-field receptors.
2. The grid for the plant boundary receptors consisted of 36 receptors, presented in Table 6-10.
3. The grid for the near-field receptors consisted of 435 receptors located at distances of 600, 900, 1,200, 1,600, 2,000, 2,500, 3,000, 4,000, 5,000, 6,000, 7,000 and 8,000 m along 36 radials with each radial spaced at 10 degree increments. If receptors were on plant property, they were not included. For example, as shown in Table 6-10, the extent of plant property in a 50-degree direction from the proposed unit is 2,280 m. Therefore, receptors placed along that radial at 600, 900, 1,200, and 1,600 m were not included.
4. 22 receptors located along the north and east boundaries of the Everglades National Park for the PSD Class I analysis. The locations of these receptors are presented in Table 6-11.

After the screening modeling was completed, refined short-term modeling was conducted using a receptor grid centered on the receptor which had the HSH short-term concentrations from the screening analysis. The receptors were located at intervals of 100 m between the distances considered in the screening phase, along 9 radials spaced at 2 degree increments, centered on the radial along which the maximum concentration was produced. For example, if the maximum concentration was produced along the 90 degree radial at a distance of 1.6 km, the refined receptor grid would consist of receptors at the following locations:

<u>Directions (degrees)</u>	<u>Distance (km)</u>
82, 84, 86, 88, 90, 92, 94,	1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 1.6, 1.7,
96, 98	1.8, and 1.9 per direction

Table 6-10. Plant Property Receptors Used in the Screening Analysis

Direction (degrees)	Distance (m)	Direction (degrees)	Distance (m)
10	927	190	71
20	1,036	200	73
30	1,112	210	82
40	1,341	220	92
50	2,280	230	110
60	1,585	240	146
70	756	250	197
80	610	260	343
90	605	270	334
100	390	280	306
110	201	290	297
120	137	300	302
130	110	310	306
140	92	320	317
150	82	330	354
160	73	340	463
170	71	350	561
180	69	360	792

Note: Direction and distance are relative to center point of stacks for proposed units.

Table 6-11. Receptors Used in the PSD Class I Modeling Analysis to Address Impacts on the Everglades National Park.

Receptor No.	UTM Coordinates (km)		Relative Position to Proposed Site (km) ^a		
	East	North	East	North	Distance
1	515.0	2,848.0	-65.3	-35.3	74.2
2	525.0	2,848.0	-55.3	-35.3	65.6
3	533.5	2,848.0	-46.8	-35.3	58.6
4	533.5	2,843.0	-46.8	-40.3	61.8
5	533.5	2,838.0	-46.8	-45.3	65.1
6	533.5	2,833.0	-46.8	-50.3	68.7
7	533.5	2,828.0	-46.8	-55.3	72.4
8	533.5	2,823.0	-46.8	-60.3	76.3
9	533.5	2,818.0	-46.8	-65.3	80.3
10	533.5	2,815.3	-46.8	-68.1	82.6
11	538.0	2,815.3	-42.3	-68.1	80.1
12	541.3	2,814.0	-39.1	-69.3	79.6
13	542.0	2,811.0	-38.3	-72.3	81.8
14	543.0	2,810.0	-37.3	-73.3	82.2
15	543.0	2,805.0	-37.3	-78.3	86.7
16	543.0	2,800.0	-37.3	-83.3	91.3
17	543.0	2,796.5	-37.3	-86.8	94.5
18	548.0	2,796.5	-32.3	-86.8	92.6
19	553.0	2,796.5	-27.3	-86.8	91.0
20	556.0	2,796.0	-24.3	-87.3	90.6
21	556.6	2,792.0	-23.7	-91.3	94.3
22	557.0	2,789.0	-23.3	-94.3	97.1

^aThe UTM coordinates of the proposed units are 580.3 km east and 2,883.3 km north.

To ensure that a valid HSH concentration was calculated, concentrations were predicted using the refined grid for the periods that produced both the highest and HSH concentrations from the screening receptor grid.

Refined modeling analysis was not performed for the annual averaging period, because the spatial distribution of annual average concentrations are not expected to vary significantly from those produced from the screening analysis.

6.6 BACKGROUND CONCENTRATIONS

Background concentrations used in the air quality impact analysis are discussed in Section 5.0. The SO₂ background concentrations used in the AAQS analysis were 138 µg/m³, 42 µg/m³ and 5 µg/m³ for averaging times of 3-hour, 24-hour and annual, respectively. For NO₂, the background concentration used for comparison with AAQS was 26 µg/m³.

6.7 BUILDING DOWNWASH EFFECTS

Based on the building dimensions associated with buildings and structures planned at the Lauderdale Plant, the stacks for the CT/HRSG units will be less than GEP. In addition, the existing Units 4 and 5 stacks are below GEP height based upon the existing boiler buildings. Some of the existing GT 1 through GT 12 units also have the potential to be affected by building downwash. Therefore, the potential for building downwash to occur was considered in the modeling analysis.

The procedures used for addressing the effects of building downwash are those recommended in the ISC Dispersion Model User's Guide. The building height, length, and width are input to the model, which uses these parameters to modify the dispersion parameters. For short stacks (i.e., physical stack height is less than $H_b + 0.5 L_b$, where H_b is the building height and L_b is the lesser of the building height or projected width), the Schulman and Scire method is used. If this method is used, then direction-specific building dimensions are input for H_b and L_b for 36 radial directions, with each direction representing a 10 degree sector.

The features of the Schulman and Scire method are: 1) reduced plume rise due to initial plume dilution, 2) enhanced plume spread as a linear function of the effective plume height, and 3) specification of building dimensions as a function of wind direction.

For cases where the physical stack is greater than $H_b + 0.5 L_b$ but less than GEP, the Huber-Snyder method is used. For this method, the ISCST model calculates the area of the building using the length and width, assumes the area is representative of a circle, and then calculates a building width by determining the diameter of the circle. If a specific width is to be modeled, then the value input to the model must be adjusted according to the following formula:

$$M_w = \sqrt{\frac{\pi W^2}{4}}$$

$$M_w = 0.8886 W$$

where: M_w is input to the model to produce a building width of W used in the dispersion calculation. W is the actual building width.

The building dimensions considered in the modeling analysis are presented in Table 6-12. In the case of the existing Units 4 and 5, the Unit 4 and 5 stacks are located adjacent to the existing boiler buildings and are affected by downwash for all directions. Similarly, the proposed repowered Unit 4 and 5 stacks are located adjacent to the proposed gas turbine environmental enclosure building. Downwash effects, if any, would occur for essentially all wind directions. For the existing gas turbines (i.e., GT 1 through GT 12, several units will be affected by building downwash due to the proposed environmental enclosure building. However, the downwash potential is limited to certain wind directions and only affects two of the gas turbines, located closest to the CT environmental enclosure.

Investigations into the stack design of the GTs revealed that noise reduction baffles are located within all but the last stack section. These

Table 6-12. Building Dimensions Used in ISCST Modeling Analysis To Address Potential Building Wake Effects

Source	Associated Building	<u>Actual Building Dimensions</u>			Projected Width ^a (m)	<u>Modeled Building Dimensions</u>	
		Length (m)	Width (m)	Height (m)		Length, Width (m)	Height (m)
Proposed Repowered Units	CT Environmental Enclosure	121.7	71.0	22.9	140.9	124.8	22.9
Units 4 and 5	Units 4 and 5 Boiler Building	17.3	12.7	36.4	21.4	19.0	36.4
Gas Turbines 1-12	CT Environmental Enclosure	123.4	70.9	22.9	142.4	126.0	22.9
Gas Turbines 13-24	None	--	--	--	--	--	--

^aDiagonal of actual building dimensions.

baffles cause the actual stack gas velocity to be higher than what was previously determined from the exit diameter. Because of the potential from downwash from these units, the stack gas diameter will be adjusted by modifying the last stack section so that the exit velocity is the same as that going through the baffles. The stack velocity and diameter used in the modeling analysis was 54.3 m/s and 11.3 m, respectively.

7.0 AIR QUALITY MODELING RESULTS

7.1 PROPOSED UNITS ONLY

A summary of the maximum predicted impacts of regulated pollutants due to the proposed units only, based on the screening and refined analysis, is presented in Table 7-1. The operating conditions used in the analysis were based on maximum emissions (i.e., 40°F or ISO conditions) and minimum flow rate (i.e., 95°F) for the repowered units.

The maximum predicted 3-hour, 24-hour, and annual SO₂ concentrations are 275, 43.9 and 4.8 µg/m³, respectively. These maximum impacts are all above the significance levels established by EPA and FDER, and therefore further modeling analysis is required for SO₂ to demonstrate compliance with PSD increments and AAQS.

The maximum predicted 24-hour and annual average PM(TSP) concentrations due to the repowered units only are 3.0 and 0.32 µg/m³, respectively. Maximum PM10 impacts are identical to the PM(TSP) impacts. Since these maximum concentrations are below the significance levels for these pollutants, no further modeling analysis is necessary. Because of these very low impacts, the repowered units would not cause or significantly contribute to any exceedances of the PM10 AAQS or the PM(TSP) PSD increments.

The maximum predicted annual NO₂ concentration due to the repowered units only is 2.3 µg/m³. This level of impact is above the significance level. However, the impacts combined with the offset afforded by Units 4 and 5 bring the impacts below the significant impact levels. Nonetheless, further evaluation of NO_x was performed.

The maximum predicted 1- and 8-hour average CO concentrations due to the repowered units are 61 and 13 µg/m³, respectively. These maximum impacts are less than the CO significance impact levels. Because the maximum

Table 7-1. Results of Modeling Analysis of the Lauderdale Repowering Project

Pollutant	Averaging Period	Maximum Predicted Concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) ^a		Significance Impact Level
		Repowered Units Only	Repowered Units With Offsets	
Sulfur Dioxide	3-hour	275	259	25
	24-hour	44	36	5
	Annual	4.8	2.3	1
Total Suspended Particulate Matter	24-hour	3.0	b	5
	Annual	0.32	b	1
Particulate Matter 10 microns	24-hour	3.0	b	5
	Annual	0.32	b	1
Nitrogen Dioxide	Annual	2.3	0.86	1
Carbon Monoxide	1-hour	61	b	2,000
	8-hour	13	b	500
Lead	Calendar Quarter	0.00032	<0.00032	--

^aBased upon 4 CTs/HRSGs operating at maximum load.

^bNot modeled because predicted concentrations due to the proposed units only were less than significance level.

Note: $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ = micrograms per cubic meter.

predicted impacts due to the repowered units are less than the CO significance levels, additional modeling is not required for this pollutant.

The maximum predicted Pb concentration (calendar quarter average) is predicted to be $0.00032 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. No significance level has been established for Pb, but an AAQS has been set at $1.5 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, calendar quarter average. Since the predicted impacts due to the repowered units only are well below the AAQS, no further modeling analysis was conducted.

7.2 PSD CLASS II INCREMENT ANALYSIS

Maximum SO_2 and NO_2 concentrations predicted from the screening analysis for comparison to the PSD Class II increments are presented in Tables 7-2 and 7-3, respectively. Based upon these results, the refined analysis was based on modeling the meteorological periods during which the overall HSH and associated highest 3-hour and 24-hour SO_2 concentrations were predicted in the screening analysis. The refined analysis for the annual average SO_2 and NO_2 concentrations was based on modeling the receptor and year which produced the highest annual concentration using the refined emission inventory. A summary of the maximum SO_2 and NO_2 PSD Class II increment consumption concentrations predicted in the refined analysis is presented in Table 7-4.

The maximum 3-hour average SO_2 PSD increment consumption from the refined analysis is predicted to be $322 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, which is 63 percent of the maximum allowable PSD Class II increment of $512 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, not to be exceeded more than once per year.

The maximum 24-hour average SO_2 PSD Class II increment consumption is predicted to be $51 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, which is 56 percent of the maximum allowable PSD Class II increment of $91 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, not to be exceeded more than once per year.

Table 7-2. Maximum Predicted SO₂ Concentrations from the Screening Analysis for Comparison to PSD Class II Increments

FPL Landerdale only

Averaging Period	Maximum Concentration (µg/m ³)	Receptor Location ^a		Period		
		Direction (°)	Distance (km)	Julian Day	Hour Ending	Year
3-Hour ^b	111	320	0.317	173	3	1982
	106	250	0.197	57	24	1983
	65	300	1.2	182	15	1984
	259	230	0.3	322	12	1985
	141	130	0.11	60	15	1986
24-Hour ^b	14	320	0.317	173	--	1982
	14	250	0.197	57	--	1983
	14	300	1.6	213	--	1984
	36	230	0.30	323	--	1985
	14	190	1.2	355	--	1986
Annual	2.0	290	2.0	--	--	1982
	2.3	290	2.0	--	--	1983
	1.9	290	2.5	--	--	1984
	1.4	310	2.5	--	--	1985
	1.7	270	3.0	--	--	1986

^aRelative to the location of the repowered units.

^bHighest, second-highest concentrations predicted for this averaging period.

Note: Based on four CTs/HRSGs operating at maximum load and firing No. 2 fuel oil with 0.5 percent sulfur content.

-- = Not applicable.

µg/m³ = micrograms per cubic meter.

Table 7-3. Maximum Predicted NO₂ Concentrations from the Screening Analysis for Comparison to PSD Class II Increments

Averaging Period	Maximum Concentration (μg/m ³)	Receptor Location ^a		Year
		Direction (°)	Distance (km)	
Annual	5.5	300	2.0	1982
	5.9	290	2.0	1983
	4.9	290	2.5	1984
	3.5	310	2.5	1985
	4.0	270	3.0	1986

^aRelative to the location of the repowered units.

Note: Based on four CTs/HRSGs operating at maximum load firing No. 2 fuel oil.

μg/m³ - micrograms per cubic meter.

Table 7-4. Maximum Predicted SO₂ and NO₂ Concentrations from the Refined Analysis for Comparison to PSD Class II Increments

All Increment Consuming Sources.

Averaging Period	Maximum Concentration (µg/m ³)	Receptor Location ^a		Period			PSD Class II Increment
		Direction (°)	Distance (km)	Julian Day	Hour Ending	Year	
<u>SO₂ Concentrations</u>							
3-Hour ^b	332 334	232	0.20	322	12	1985	512
24-Hour ^b	51 52	228	0.20	323	--	1985	91
Annual	2.3	290	2.0	--	--	1983	20
<u>NO₂ Concentrations</u>							
Annual	5.9	290	2.0	--	--	1983	25

^aRelative to the location of the repowered units.

^bHighest, second-highest concentrations predicted for this averaging period.

Note: Based on four CTs/HRSGs operating at maximum load.

-- = not applicable.

µg/m³ = micrograms per cubic meter.

The maximum annual average SO₂ PSD increment consumption is predicted to be 2.3 μg/m³, which is 11.5 percent of the maximum allowable PSD Class II increment of 20 μg/m³.

The maximum annual average NO₂ PSD Class II increment consumption from the refined analysis is predicted to be 5.9 μg/m³, which is 24 percent of the maximum allowable PSD Class II increment of 25 μg/m³.

7.3 AAQS ANALYSIS

The maximum 3-hour, 24-hour, and annual average total SO₂ concentrations predicted from the screening analysis are presented in Table 7-5. The maximum annual NO₂ concentrations due to all sources are shown in Table 7-6. The total concentrations were determined from the impacts of the modeled sources added to the background concentration (refer to Section 5.0). These results show that the maximum SO₂ and NO₂ concentrations due to all sources are below the AAQS for all averaging periods.

Similar to the PSD Class II increment analysis, the refined AAQS analysis was based on modeling the meteorological periods during which the overall HSH and associated highest 3-hour and 24-hour concentrations were predicted in the screening analysis. The maximum SO₂ and NO₂ concentrations predicted in the refined analysis are presented in Table 7-7.

The maximum 3-hour average SO₂ concentration due to all sources from the refined analysis is predicted to be 1,032 μg/m³, which is 79 percent of the AAQS of 1,300 μg/m³, not to be exceeded more than once per year. The repowering project contributed less than 31 percent of this maximum 3-hour average concentration.

Table 7-5. Maximum Predicted Total SO₂ Concentrations from the Screening Analysis for Comparison to AAQS

FPL Lewisdale only

Averaging Period	Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)			Receptor Location ^a		Period		
	Total	Total Due To		Direction (°)	Distance (km)	Julian Day	Hour Ending	Year
		Modeled Sources	Background					
3-hour ^b	580	459- ¹⁴²	138	50	7.000	176	15	1982
	597	447- ⁴⁵⁹	138	60	6.000	203	12	1983
	585	410- ⁴⁷	138	50	6.000	135	15	1984
	939	801	138	230	0.110	322	12	1985
	556	418	138	50	7.000	241	12	1986
24-hour ^b	153	111	42	240	4.000	242	--	1982
	161	119	42	260	7.000	302	--	1983
	149	107	42	270	8.000	266	--	1984
	200	158	42	230	0.110	322	--	1985
	166	124	42	260	7.000	312	--	1986
Annual	29	24	5	310	5.000	--	--	1982
	29	24	5	300	4.000	--	--	1983
	29	24	5	300	4.000	--	--	1984
	23	18	5	310	4.000	--	--	1985
	26	21	5	310	7.000	--	--	1986

^aRelative to the location of the repowered units.

^bHighest, second-highest concentrations predicted for this averaging period.

Note: Based on four CTs/HRSGs operating at maximum load and firing No. 2 fuel oil with 0.5 percent sulfur content.

-- = not applicable.

$\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ = micrograms per cubic meter.

Table 7-6. Maximum Predicted Total NO₂ Concentrations in the Screening Analysis for Comparison to AAQS

Averaging Period	Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)			Receptor Location ^a		Year
	Total	Modeled Sources	Total Due To Background	Direction ($^{\circ}$)	Distance (km)	
Annual	81	55	26	310	5.0	1982
	81	55	26	310	5.0	1983
	77	51	26	310	5.0	1984
	65	39	26	310	4.0	1985
	69	43	26	310	5.0	1986

^aRelative to the location of the repowered units.

Note: Based on four CTs/HRSGs operating at maximum load and firing No. 2 fuel oil.

$\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ = micrograms per cubic feet.

42

Table 7-7. Maximum Predicted SO₂ and NO₂ Concentrations from the Refined Analysis for Comparison to AAQS

Averaging Period	Concentration (µg/m ³)			Receptor Location ^a		Period			AAQS
	Total	Total due to		Direction (°)	Distance (km)	Julian Day	Hour Ending	Year	
		Modeled Sources	Background						
<u>SO₂ Concentrations</u>									
3-Hour ^b	1,032	894 ✓	138	232	0.110	322	12	1985	1,300
24-Hour ^b	253	211 ✓	42	236	0.110	322	--	1985	250
Annual	29	24	5	310	5.000	--	--	1982	60
<u>NO₂ Concentration</u>									
Annual	81	55	(26) ✓	310	5.0	--	--	1983	100

^aRelative to the location of the repowered units.

^bHighest, second-highest concentrations predicted for this averaging period.

Note: Based on four CTs/HRSs operating at maximum load and firing No. 2 fuel oil with 5 percent sulfur content.

-- = not applicable.

µg/m³ = micrograms per cubic meter.

The maximum 24-hour average SO₂ concentration due to all sources is predicted to be 253 µg/m³, which is 97 percent of the AAQS of 260 µg/m³, not to be exceeded more than once per year. The repowering project contributed less than 20 percent of this maximum 24-hour average concentration.

The maximum annual average SO₂ concentration due to all sources is predicted to be 29 µg/m³, which is 48 percent of the AAQS of 60 µg/m³. The repowering project contributed less than 3 percent to the maximum concentration.

The maximum annual average NO₂ concentration of 81 µg/m³ due to all sources is below the AAQS of 100 µg/m³. The repowered units contributed less than 2 percent to the maximum concentration.

7.4 CLASS I AREA ANALYSIS

The results of the PSD Class I area modeling analysis for the Everglades National Park are presented in Tables 7-8 and 7-9 for SO₂ and NO₂, respectively. The modeling analysis evaluated a number of receptors along the boundary of the Class I area. Due to the large distance from the site to the Class I area boundary (approximately 60 km), no refined modeling analysis was conducted.

As shown in Table 7-8, total Class I PSD increment consumption concentrations for SO₂ are below the Class I increments for all averaging times. The maximum 3-hour increment consumption is predicted to be 15 µg/m³, compared to the Class I increment of 25 µg/m³. The maximum predicted 24-hour increment consumption for SO₂ is 4.4 µg/m³, which is below the allowable increment of 5 µg/m³. These maximum increment consumption values are due to the effects of two increment consuming sources located in Dade County: Tarmac Florida (cement plant) and Dade County Resource Recovery (MSW incinerator). The repowered units do not contribute to these maximum increment consumption values.

Table 7-8. Maximum Predicted SO₂ Concentrations for Comparison to PSD Class I Increments

Averaging Period	Maximum Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)		Period			PSD Class I Increment
	All Increment Consuming Sources	Contribution from Lauderdale Repowered Units ^a	Julian Day	Hour		
				Ending	Year	
3-Hour ^b	13	0.0	355	21	1982	25
	15	0.0	25	21	1983	
	13	0.0	185	21	1984	
	14	0.0	288	24	1985	
	13	0.0	260	24	1986	
24-Hour ^b	3.6	0.0	244	--	1982	5
	4.4	0.0	343	--	1983	
	3.9	0.0	153	--	1984	
	3.4	0.0	297	--	1985	
	3.2	0.0	348	--	1986	
Annual	0.68	0.08	--	--	1982	2
	0.60	0.06	--	--	1983	
	0.66	0.11	--	--	1984	
	0.61	0.10	--	--	1985	
	0.64	0.05	--	--	1986	

^aImpacts by existing Units 4 and 5 reduce (offset) impacts from repowered units.

^bHighest, second-highest concentrations predicted for this averaging period.

Note: Based on four CTs/HRSGs operating at maximum load and firing No. 2 fuel oil with 0.5 percent sulfur content.

-- = not applicable.

$\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ = micrograms per cubic meter.

Table 7-9. Maximum Predicted NO₂ Concentrations for Comparison to PSD Class I Increments

Averaging Period	Maximum Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)		Year
	All Increment Consuming Sources	Contribution From Lauderdale Repowering Project ^a	
Annual	0.29	0.12	1982
	0.29	0.11	1983
	0.29	0.13	1984
	0.28	0.12	1985
	0.23	0.09	1986

^aIncludes offsets from Units 4 and 5.

Note: Based on four CTs/HRSGs operating at maximum load and firing No. 2 fuel oil.

2.8 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$

The maximum predicted annual increment consumption concentrations in the Class I area are predicted to be $<0.7 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for SO_2 and $<0.3 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for NO_x (see Table 7-9). These values are well below the allowable Class I increments of $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for SO_2 and $2.5 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ of NO_2 .

8.0 EFFECTS TO AIR QUALITY RELATED VALUES AND VEGETATION, SOILS,
VISIBILITY, AND GROWTH

8.1 AIR QUALITY RELATED VALUES

This section focuses on the ecological effects of the proposed facility's impacts on Air Quality Related Values (AQRV), as defined under PSD regulations, in the Everglades National Park. The AQRVs are defined as being:

"All those values possessed by an area except those that are not affected by changes in air quality and include all those assets of an area whose vitality, significance, or integrity is dependent in some way upon the air environment. These values include visibility and those scenic, cultural, biological, and recreational resources of an area that are affected by air quality. Important attributes of an area are those values or assets that make an area significant as a monument, preserve, or primitive area. They are the assets that are to be preserved if the area is to achieve the purposes for which it was set aside" (Federal Register, 1978).

The AQRVs include freshwater and coastal wetlands, dominant plant communities, unique and rare plant communities, soils and associated periphyton, and the wildlife dependent upon these communities for habitat. Rare, endemic, threatened, and endangered species of the national park and bioindicators of air pollution (e.g., lichens) are also evaluated.

8.1.1 General Description

The Everglades National Park is a subtropical preserve located in the southern tip of Florida. The territorial area of the park, totaling 289,000 hectares (ha) (714,000 acres), is comprised of an estimated 133,000 ha (329,000 acres) of mangrove and saltmarsh, 148,000 ha (366,000 acres) of prairie, and 8,000 ha (20,000 acres) of pineland (Taylor and Herndon, 1981). Small islands of tropical hardwood hammock, evergreen temperate swamp (bayheads) and cypress swamp are interspersed among the larger vegetation communities.

Mangroves occupy most of the coastline. Species include red mangrove (Rhizophora mangle), black mangrove (Avicennia germinans), and white mangrove (Laguncularia racemosa).

The seasonally inundated prairie is the largest vegetation community in the national park. This wetland is dominated by sawgrass (Cladium jamaicense), muhlygrass (Muhlenbergia filipes), and/or little bluestem (Schizachyrium rhizomatum) growing on thin marl overlying oolitic limestone. Muhlygrass dominates the drier prairies; sawgrass occurs where the hydroperiod is longer than 5-months. Algal periphyton mats are usually present in these prairies. Marl is the predominant soil in the prairies. It is a calcareous substance precipitated by the blue-green algae of the periphyton mats. The algae comprising the periphyton are important primary producers and are dependent upon calcium-rich waters (Gleason and Spackman, 1973). Sawgrass sometimes occurs on pockets of peat within the marl-limestone substrate.

The pinelands occur on a rough limestone (Miami oolite) with many crevices and solution holes, but very little soil development (Loope, et al., 1979). The single canopy tree in this vegetation type is South Florida slash pine (Pinus elliottii var. densa). The understory is diverse and includes tropical hardwoods and herbaceous species endemic to South Florida. Although pinelands were once the dominant upland community in South Florida, very little of this community type remains outside of the national park boundaries.

The hardwood hammocks range up to a few hectares in size and number in the thousands. They occur on small areas of ground higher than the surrounding prairie. Dominant species include gumbo-limbo (Bursera simaruba), poisonwood (Metopium toxiferum), buckthorn (Bumelia salicifolia), strangler fig (Ficus aurea), and pigeon-plum (Coccoloba diversifolia). Other important trees and shrubs include myrsine (Myrsine floridana), wild tamarind (Lysiloma latisiliquum), white stopper (Eugenia axillaris), wild coffee (Psychotria nervosa), and marlberry (Ardisia escallonioides). The hardwood hammocks contain numerous tropical plant species not found anywhere else in the United States (Loope and Urban, 1980). Epiphytic orchids and bromeliads are frequent. The hammocks grow on eroded limestone covered with a shallow layer of organic soil (Olmsted, et al., 1980).

The temperate swamp hardwoods occupy seasonally inundated areas and are dominated by redbay (Persea borbonia), wax myrtle (Myrica cerifera), sweetbay (Magnolia virginiana), and dahoon (Ilex cassine). Pond apple (Annona glabra), cocoplum (Chrysobalanus icaco), and buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis) are in the shrub layer. Ferns are common in the ground layer and epiphytes include Tillandsia spp. and Encyclia tampensis. The substrate is peat varying in depth from 30 to 200 cm over limestone.

Both bald cypress (Taxodium distichum) and pond cypress (Taxodium ascendens) occur in the national park. The understory of cypress-dominated communities is typically open and contains many of the same species that are present in the temperate swamp hardwood communities. Ferns usually dominate the ground layer. Epiphytic vascular plants and lichens are abundant. The substrate is peat or peaty marls.

Bark-dwelling lichens are abundant in hardwood and cypress hammocks and on ornamental trees planted at visitor centers at the national park. Lichens are important both for their intrinsic functions in the park ecosystem and for their use as bioindicators based on their sensitivity to air pollution. They serve as food for invertebrates, provide a germination substrate for vascular epiphytes, and some species fix nitrogen. In addition, because lichens are sensitive to air pollution, impacts of air pollution on the national park vegetation can be evaluated by determining the threshold levels of pollutants known to be injurious to lichens. If projected pollutant levels are below amounts known to adversely impact lichens, then less sensitive vascular plants are very unlikely to be affected.

Vascular epiphytes, many of them threatened or endangered species, are common in tree hammocks. Most of these are orchids (Epidendrum spp., Oncidium spp.) and bromeliads (Catopsis beteroniana, Catopsis nutans, Tillandsia balbisiana eg.). These plants obtain water and essential elements from precipitation and much of their surface area is exposed to

airborne contaminants. Therefore, vascular epiphytes may potentially be sensitive to air pollutants.

No plant species in the park are listed by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service as threatened or endangered. There are species that could occur in the region that are either under review for listing by the Fish and Wildlife Service or protected by the State of Florida under the Preservation of Native Flora of Florida Act (Table 8-1).

Characteristics of the major soil associations found within the national park are summarized in Table 8-2. The soils consist primarily of histosols and shallow entisols over limestone substrate.

Threatened and endangered wildlife species found in the national park are listed in Table 8-3. The primary habitats for each of these species are shown in Table 8-4.

8.1.2 Impacts to Vegetation

Sulfur is an essential plant nutrient usually taken up as sulfate ions by the roots from the soil solution. When sulfur dioxide in the atmosphere enters the foliage through pores in the leaves, it reacts with water in the leaf interior to form sulfite ions. Sulfite ions are highly toxic. They interact with enzymes, compete with normal metabolites, and interfere with a variety of cellular functions (Horsman and Wellburn, 1976). However, within the leaf, sulfite is oxidized to sulfate ions which can then be used by the plant as a nutrient. Small amounts of sulfite may be oxidized before they prove harmful.

If a plant is subject to long-term exposure to sulfur dioxide, sulfate may accumulate in the leaves because more is produced than can be utilized by the plant. Reduced yield and other impacts on growth and vigor may result from these chronic long-term exposures. Frequency of exposure is important. Low doses of sulfur dioxide followed by long periods of very low or no exposure may be less damaging than the same total dose received

Table 8-1. Rare Plants Occurrence Records for Region

SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	USFWS STATUS	FDA STATUS
SPECIAL PLANT			
<u>Asclepias curtissii</u>	Curtiss' milkweed		T
<u>Conradina grandiflora</u>	large-flowered rosemary	UR2	
<u>Ernodea littoralis</u>	beach-creeper		T
<u>Jacquemontia reclinata</u>	beach jacquemontia	UR2	E
<u>Lechea cernua</u>	nodding pinweed	UR2	
<u>Myrcianthes fragrans var simponii</u>	twinberry	UR2	
<u>Okenia hypogaea</u>	burrowing four-o'clock		E
<u>Coccothrinax argentata</u>	silver palm		C
<u>Digitaria gracillima</u>	longleaf crabgrass	UR2	
<u>Epidendrum nocturnum</u>	night-scented orchid		T
<u>Hymenocallis latifolia</u>	broad-leaved spiderlily	UR5	
<u>Remirea maritima</u>	beach-star		E
<u>Tillandsia flexuosa</u>	banded wild-pine		T
<u>Acrostichum aureum</u>	golden leather fern		E
<u>Asplenium dentatum</u>	slender spleenwort		T
<u>Asplenium serratum</u>	bird's nest spleenwort		E
<u>Ophioglossum palmatum</u>	hand fern	UR5	E

Note: C - Commercially exploited.
T - Threatened.
E - Endangered.
UR2 - Under review for listing, but substantial evidence of biological vulnerability and/or threat is lacking.
UR5 - Formally under review for listing, but no longer considered for listing because recent information indicates species is more widespread or abundant than previously believed.

Source: Wood, 1989.

Table 8-2. Summary of Characteristics of Major Soil Associations Found Within Everglades National Park

Soil Type/Association	Characteristics
Broward-Parkwood-Keri Association	Derived from moderately thin beds of sand over marl or relatively hard limestone. Parkwood soils are underlain by soft marl at somewhat deeper depths; the Keri series is typically comprised of layers of sand and marl within 100 cm from the surface.
Perrine-Ochopee Association	The Perrine series are poorly drained from recent unconsolidated, finely divided calcareous sediments and are generally associated with tidal swamps and marshes. Depth to underlying limestone is 20 to 91 cm. The Ochopee soils are poorly drained and originated from calcareous sands and marl.
Everglades-Brighton-Pamlico Association	Highly organic muck or peat soils formed from decomposition of emergent vegetation that overlie nearly neutral or alkaline sands and sandy clays. Underlain by marl or limestone. Everglades soils are slightly acid to alkaline; Brighton and Pamlico soils tend to be more acidic.
Tidal Marsh-Coastal Beach-Coastal Dunes	Restricted to the periphery of the coast and consists of nearly level salt marshes, coastal beach, and coastal dunes. Tidal exchange and sea salt deposition dominate the ionic balance and pH regime of these systems.
Rockland	Porous limestone through which water flows freely.

Source: Smith *et al.*, 1973.

Table 8-3. Federal and State Listed Endangered and Threatened Animals
in the Everglades National Park

Animals	State	Federal
<u>Mammals</u>		
Florida Panther	End.	End.
Mangrove Fox Squirrel	End.	-
Florida Black Bear	Thr.	-
Everglades Mink	Thr.	-
Manatee	Thr.	End.
<u>Birds</u>		
Wood Stork	End.	-
Everglade Kite	End.	End.
Cape Sable Seaside Sparrow	End.	End.
Peregrine Falcon	End.	End.
Southern Bald Eagle	Thr.	End.
Osprey	Thr.	-
Florida Sandhill Crane	Thr.	-
Brown Pelican	Thr.	End.
Great White Heron	Thr.	-
Southeastern American Kestrel	Thr.	-
<u>Reptiles</u>		
American Crocodile	End.	End.
American Alligator	Thr.	Thr.
Eastern Indigo Snake	Thr.	Thr.

Note: End. = endangered.
Thr. = threatened.

Table 8-4. Habitat of Federal and State Listed Endangered and Threatened Animals in the Everglades National Park

Species	HABITAT							
	Pine Forest	Tropical Hardwood Forest	Cypress Forest	Evergreen Swamp Forest	Inland Marshes, Ponds, Sloughs	Wet Prairies	Mangrove Forest	Coastal Marshes
<u>Mammals</u>								
Florida Panther	X	X	X	X		X	X	X
Mangrove Fox Squirrel	X	X	X	X		X		
Florida Black Bear	X	X	X	X		X	X	X
Everglades Mink			X	X	X	X		
Manatee							X	
<u>Birds</u>								
Wood Stork			X	X	X	X	X	X
Everglade Kite					X	X		
Cape Sable Seaside Sparrow					X	X		X
Peregrine Falcon	X				X	X	X	X
Southern Bald Eagle	X		X		X		X	X
Osprey	X		X			X	X	X
Florida Sandhill Crane					X	X		X
Brown Pelican							X	X
Great White Heron				X			X	X
Southeastern American Kestrel	X				X	X		
<u>Reptiles</u>								
American Crocodile							X	
American Alligator			X	X	X	X	X	
Eastern Indigo Snake	X	X	X			X	X	

Source: Duever et al., 1979.

continuously, because plants can utilize the accumulated sulfate during the period of no exposure.

Plant species vary widely with regard to the threshold level of pollutants which cause injury or growth reduction. Plant response to sulfur dioxide emissions from the proposed Lauderdale facility will depend upon the concentration of the gas, the duration of each exposure, and the frequency of exposures. Near the facility (i.e., within 10 km), the pattern of exposure will consist of a few episodes of relatively high concentration for a short duration interspersed with long periods of extremely low concentrations. At longer distances from the facility, concentrations are generally low for long periods of time.

The proposed Lauderdale Repowering Project does not contribute to the maximum 1-hour, 3-hour, and 24-hour predicted concentrations due to the offsets afforded by Units 4 and 5. The proposed project contributes only $0.11 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ to the annual average concentrations.

The exposures to SO_2 that have been shown by laboratory tests or field observations to adversely affect plant species that occur or are similar to those that occur in the national park are presented in Table 8-5. The most sensitive species are two lichen species, Ramalina denticulata and Parmotrema tinctorum, that are common in the park, but less abundant in urban areas east of the national park. Ramalina denticulata showed increased biomass gain and photosynthetic rate when exposed to 6-hours weekly of $100 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3 \text{SO}_2$ for 10 weeks compared with exposure to ambient air. At similar exposures to $400 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3 \text{SO}_2$ this lichen showed decreased biomass gain and photosynthetic rate as well as increased membrane damage. Parmotrema tinctorum exhibited increased membrane damage (as indicated by increased electrolyte leakage into solution) at $200 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3 \text{SO}_2$ in comparison with lichens exposed only to ambient air. Exposures that impact these lichens are much higher than the concentrations and frequencies of SO_2 that will result from the proposed facility. No adverse impact to

Table 8-5. Lowest Doses of SO₂ Reported to Affect Plant Species Common in Site Region

Species	Lowest SO ₂ Concentration (µg/m ³) Known to Affect Species	Reference
<u>Parmotrema tinctorum</u>	200, for 6 hours/week for 10 weeks. Increased percent electrolyte leakage. (240, for 3 hours/week for 6 weeks showed no effect on leakage, biomass gain, or photosynthetic rate.	Hart et al., 1988
<u>Ramalina denticulata</u>	400, for 6 hours/week for 10 weeks. Reduced biomass gain, lowered photosynthetic rate, and increased percent electrolyte leakage in comparison to effects of lower SO ₂ concentrations.	Hart et al., 1988
<u>Taxodium distichum</u> (bald cypress)	1,300, for 48 hours did not affect dry weight gain.	Shanklin and Kozlowski, 1985
<u>Taxodium distichum</u>	1,300, for 8 hours caused no visible injury.	Woltz and Howe, 1981
<u>Pinus elliotii</u> (slash pine)	650, for 2 hours - Reduced needle growth.	Berry, 1974
<u>Lycopersicon</u> (tomato) <u>escoulentum</u>	1,258, for 5 hours on each of 57 days reduced growth.	Kohut et al., 1982
C ₄ species - <u>Amaranthus</u> <u>retroflexus</u> , <u>Setaria</u> <u>faberii</u> , <u>Setaria</u> <u>lutescens</u> (foxtail grasses)	650, for 8 hours/day for 5 days during 2 weeks. Increased weight at normal CO ₂ concentrations.	Carlson and Bazzaz, 1982

vegetative resources in the national park is expected to result from its operation.

The air quality effects to the vegetation in Pond Apple Slough Park located about 1,350 m (4,400 ft) northeast of the repowered units were also evaluated. The maximum 3-hour, 24-hour, and annual SO₂ concentrations due to the repowering project are predicted to be 71 µg/m³, 9.1 µg/m³, and 0.27 µg/m³, respectively. These levels are substantially below those likely to cause effects.

Similar to SO₂, the Lauderdale Repowering Project does not contribute to the maximum 1-hour, 3-hour, or 24-hour NO₂ concentrations in the Class I area. The annual impact due to repowering is 0.13 µg/m³.

Ground-level NO_x concentrations include both nitric oxide (NO) and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂). Uptake of NO₂ by vegetation occurs at a higher rate than uptake of NO; therefore, effects of NO₂ on plants have been studied more closely than effects of NO. However, since NO is more toxic to photosynthesis than NO₂ (Saxe, 1986), the exposure of vegetation to total NO_x concentrations was evaluated. Both nitrogen oxide forms can be utilized by the plant as a nutrient if absorbed by the leaves at low concentrations. High concentrations can cause acute damage to the leaves and reduce growth. Okano and Totsuka (1986) reported that 564 µg/m³ NO₂ supplied to sunflowers (Helianthus annuus) for 7 days had no adverse effect on weight, whereas 3,760 µg/m³ for 7 days was toxic.

No information on nitrogen oxide effects on species of the national park is available. However, oats, alfalfa, and tomato are reported to be especially sensitive to NO₂. Exposure of tomato to 470 µg/m³ of NO₂ throughout the growing season decreased yield 22 percent (Spierings, 1971). Net photosynthesis in oats and alfalfa is inhibited at a threshold concentration of 1,128 µg/m³ (Hill and Bennett, 1970). The expected NO_x concentration is much lower than any of these potentially adverse

concentrations and should, therefore, have no adverse impact on the national park vegetation.

Maximum NO₂ impacts due to the repowering project in the Pond Apple Slough Park are predicted to be 35 µg/m³, 4.5 µg/m³, and 0.13 µg/m³ for the 3-hour, 24-hour and annual averaging times. These predicted impacts are below the effect levels for NO₂.

High deposition of particulates on plant leaves can reduce photosynthesis due to reduced light intensity and impeded diffusion. However, 5 to 20 g/m² of particulates on the leaf surface are the levels required to cause these impacts (Thompson, et al., 1984). The impacts of the repowered units will be negligible in comparison with the levels required to cause adverse effects to vegetation, and no impacts from particulates are expected.

8.1.3 Impacts to Soils

For soils, potential and hypothesized effects of atmospheric deposition include:

1. increased soil acidification;
2. alteration in cation exchange;
3. loss of base cations; and
4. mobilization of trace metals.

The potential sensitivity of specific soils to atmospheric inputs is related to two factors. First, the physical ability of a soil to conduct water vertically through the soil profile is important in influencing the interaction with deposition. Second, the ability of the soil to resist chemical changes, as measured in terms of pH and soil cation exchange capacity (CEC) is important in determining how a soil responds to atmospheric inputs.

The soils of the national park are generally classified as histosols or entisols. Histosols or peat soils are organic and have extremely high

buffering capacities based on CEC, base saturation, and bulk density. Therefore, they would be relatively insensitive to atmospheric inputs. The entisols are shallow sandy soils overlying limestone, such as the soils found in the pinelands. The direct connection of these soils with subsurface limestone tends to neutralize any acidic inputs. Moreover, the groundwater table is highly buffered due to the interaction with subsurface limestone formations which results in high alkalinity (as CaCO_3).

The relatively low sensitivity of the soils to acid inputs coupled with the extremely low ground-level concentrations of contaminants projected for the national park from facility emissions preclude any significant impact on soils.

8.1.4 Impacts to Wildlife

A wide range of physiological and ecological effects to fauna has been reported for gaseous and particulate pollutants (Newman, 1981; Newman and Schreiber, 1988). The most severe of these effects have been observed at concentrations above the secondary ambient air quality standards. Physiological and behavioral effects have been observed in experimental animals at or below these standards. No observable effects to fauna are expected at concentrations below the values reported in Table 8-6.

The major air quality risk to wildlife in the United States is from continuous exposure to pollutants above the National Ambient Air Quality Standards. This occurs in non-attainment areas, e.g., Los Angeles Basin. Risks to wildlife also may occur for wildlife living in the vicinity of an emission source which experiences frequent upset or episodic conditions that occur because of malfunctioning of equipment, unique meteorological conditions or during start-up (Newman and Schreiber, 1988). Under these conditions, chronic effects, e.g., particulate contamination or acute effects, such as injury to health, have been observed (Newman, 1981).

For impacts on wildlife, the lowest threshold values of SO_2 reported to cause physiological changes are shown in Table 8-6. These values are

Table 8-6. Examples of Reported Effects of Air Pollutants at Concentrations Below National Secondary Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Reported Effect	Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Exposure
Sulfur Dioxide	respiratory stress in guinea pigs	427 to 854	1 hour
	respiratory stress in rats	267	7 hours/day; 5 day/week for 10 weeks
	decreased abundance in deer mice	13-157	continually for 5 months
Particulates	respiratory stress, reduced respiratory disease defenses	120 PbO_3	continually for 2 months
	decreased respiratory disease defenses in rats, same with hamsters	100 NiCl_2	2 hours

Source: Newman and Schreiber, 1988.

several orders of magnitude larger than predicted concentrations due to the repowering project, and below total SO₂ concentrations predicted for the Class I area. No significant effects on terrestrial wildlife AQRVs from SO₂ are expected.

Reported threshold effects in animals for NO_x for the 3- and 24-hour averaging periods are 1,917 and 95 to 950 µg/m³, respectively. These values are also several orders of magnitude higher than predicted impacts due to the planned facility. No effects on wildlife AQRVs from NO_x are expected due to the operation of the repowered units.

8.2 IMPACTS TO VISIBILITY

The Clean Air Act Amendments of 1977 provide for implementation of guidelines to prevent visibility impairment in mandatory Class I areas. The guidelines are intended to protect the aesthetic quality of these pristine areas from reduction in visual range and atmospheric discoloration due to various pollutants. Sources of air pollution can cause visible plumes if emissions of particulate matter (PM) and nitrogen oxides (NO_x) are sufficiently large. A plume will be visible if its constituents scatter or absorb sufficient light so that the plume is brighter or darker than its viewing background (e.g., the sky or a terrain feature, such as a mountain). PSD Class I areas, such as national parks and wilderness areas, are afforded special visibility protection designed to prevent plume visual impacts to observers within a Class I area.

The analysis to determine the potential adverse plume visibility effects was based on using the screening approach suggested in the Workbook for Plume Visual Impact Screening and Analysis (EPA, 1988c), which has been computerized by EPA in a program called the VISCREEN model. The VISCREEN model is currently recommended for use by the EPA to assess visual plume impacts in regulatory applications. The VISCREEN model can be used to calculate potential plume impact of specific pollutant emissions for specific transport and meteorological dispersion conditions. The model can be applied in two successive levels of screening (i.e., referred to as

Levels 1 and 2) without the need for extensive source, meteorological, or pollutant input. If the screening calculations using the VISCREEN model demonstrate that during worst-case meteorological conditions a plume is imperceptible or, if perceptible, is not likely to be considered objectionable (i.e., "adverse" or "significant" in the language of the EPA PSD and visibility regulations), further analysis of plume visual impact would not be required as part of the air quality review of the source. However, if the screening analyses demonstrate that the criteria are exceeded, plume visual impacts cannot be ruled out, and more detailed analyses to ascertain the magnitude, frequency, location, and timing of plume visual impacts would be required.

The Level 1 screening analysis is designed to provide a conservative estimate of plume visual impacts (i.e., impacts that would be larger than those calculated with more realistic input and modeling assumptions). This analysis assumes worst-case meteorological conditions of stable stability (Pasquill-Gifford stability class F) and a 1 m/s windspeed persisting for 12 hours in one direction towards a PSD Class I area. The input required for the Level 1 analysis is limited to the parameters:

1. Emission rates of PM and NO_x;
2. Distance between the emission source and (a) the observer; (b) the closest Class I area boundary; and (c) the most distant Class I area boundary;
3. Background visual range appropriate for the region in which the Class I area is located; and
4. If available, emission rates of NO₂, soot, and primary sulfate.

Visibility impacts are then determined for two parameters:

1. Contrast of a plume against a viewing background such as the sky or a terrain feature, and
2. Perceptibility of a plume on the basis of the color difference between the plume and the viewing background (Delta E).

Results are provided by the model for several scenarios based on the background view, the viewing angle, visibility improvement due to plumes located both inside and outside the Class I area, and the sun angle. The critical value for contrast is 0.05 while that for Delta E is 2.00. If these levels are not exceeded by the proposed source, the source passes the Level-1 visibility analysis, and the source will not have a significant impact on the Class I area.

Input parameters and results of the Level 1 analysis for the proposed Lauderdale units are presented in Figure 8-1. As shown, the Lauderdale units will limit particulates, NO_x , and primary SO_4 (sulfuric acid mist). Emission rates are the same as presented in Tables 2-2 and 3-3. Primary NO_2 and soot are not emitted in significant quantities by oil- and gas-fired combustion sources; therefore, these emissions were set to zero.

The background visual range as determined for southeast Florida from the Workbook manual is 40 km. Other parameters input to the model were based upon default values given in the Workbook and incorporated into the computer model.

As shown in Figure 8-1, based on the worst-case meteorological conditions designed to produce conservatively high impacts, the proposed emissions from the modification units are calculated to exceed the Level 1 visibility screening criteria at the Class I area. Because the Level 1 screening analysis exceed the visibility criteria, a Level 2 screening analysis was performed which uses more realistic input, representative of the source and Class I area. The most important potential difference in input between the Level 1 and Level 2 analyses is the meteorology assumed for plume transport and dispersion patterns. Although the Level 1 analysis assumes stable stability and a 1 m/s windspeed persisting for 12 hours towards a Class I area, the meteorological data in the Level 2 analysis may be different because it accounts for realistic persistence of stability and windspeed conditions towards the Class I area.

*** Level-1 Screening ***
 Input Emissions for

Particulates 60.60 LB /HR
 NOx (as NO2) 1927.10 LB /HR
 Primary NO2 .00 LB /HR
 Soot .00 LB /HR
 Primary SO4 111.50 LB /HR

**** Default Particle Characteristics Assumed

Transport Scenario Specifications:

Background Ozone: .04 ppm
 Background Visual Range: 40.00 km
 Source-Observer Distance: 59.00 km
 Min. Source-Class I Distance: 59.00 km
 Max. Source-Class I Distance: 90.00 km
 Plume-Source-Observer Angle: 11.25 degrees
 Stability: 6
 Wind Speed: 1.00 m/s

R E S U L T S

Asterisks (*) indicate plume impacts that exceed screening criteria

Maximum Visual Impacts INSIDE Class I Area
 Screening Criteria ARE Exceeded

Backgrnd	Theta	Azi	Distance	Alpha	Delta E		Contrast	
					Crit	Plume	Crit	Plume
SKY	10.	84.	59.0	84.	2.00	4.594*	.05	-.012
SKY	140.	84.	59.0	84.	2.00	2.177*	.05	-.061*
TERRAIN	10.	84.	59.0	84.	2.00	2.139*	.05	.030
TERRAIN	140.	84.	59.0	84.	2.00	.572	.05	.027

Maximum Visual Impacts OUTSIDE Class I Area
 Screening Criteria ARE Exceeded

Backgrnd	Theta	Azi	Distance	Alpha	Delta E		Contrast	
					Crit	Plume	Crit	Plume
SKY	10.	70.	56.1	99.	2.00	4.729*	.05	-.013
SKY	140.	70.	56.1	99.	2.00	2.236*	.05	-.064*
TERRAIN	10.	45.	50.2	124.	2.00	2.775*	.05	.038
TERRAIN	140.	45.	50.2	124.	2.00	.765	.05	.035

Figure 8-1 LEVEL 1 VISUAL EFFECTS SCREENING ANALYSIS FOR THE LAUDERDALE REPOWERING PROJECT ON THE CLASS I AREA OF THE EVERGLADES NATIONAL PARK



Lauderdale
 Repowering
 Project

FPL

The Level 2 screening analysis is designed to account for more realistic occurrences of meteorological conditions that would transport the proposed units' plumes towards the Class I area. In this analysis, an assessment of the frequency of the wind direction, windspeed, and stability classes are made to determine the frequency of conditions that are most likely to cause a potentially adverse plume visual impact. If the Level 1 default parameters are selected for addressing visual plume impacts, the VISCREEN model assigns an appropriate estimate of particle size and density for the emitted and background atmosphere particulate and worst-case plume dispersion conditions. For this analysis, the particle size and density for the emission sources were not changed.

The terrain between the project site and the PSD Class I area can be considered as generally flat. Therefore, the first step in the analysis is to construct a table that shows worst-case dispersion conditions ranked in order of decreasing severity and the frequency of occurrence of these conditions associated with the wind direction that could transport emissions toward the Class I area. Dispersion conditions are ranked by evaluating the product of sigma z times the windspeed, where sigma z is the vertical dispersion coefficient for the given stability class and downwind distance and the windspeed is the windspeed for the given windspeed category in the joint frequency table. The dispersion conditions are then ranked in ascending order of the value of sigma z times the windspeed.

For the Level 2 analysis, it is assumed that steady-state plume conditions are unlikely to persist for more than 12 hours. Thus, if a transit time of more than 12 hours is required to transport a plume parcel from the emission source to a Class I area for a given dispersion condition, it is assumed that the plume material is more dispersed than a standard Gaussian plume model would predict. This enhanced dilution would result from daytime convective mixing and wind direction and speed changes.

To obtain the worst-case meteorological conditions, it is necessary to determine the dispersion conditions (i.e., a given windspeed and stability

class associated with the wind direction that would transport emissions toward the Class I area) that has a sigma z times windspeed product with a cumulative probability of 1 percent. Thus, the dispersion condition is selected to address potential plume visual impacts such that the sum of all frequencies of occurrence worse than this condition totals 1 percent (i.e., about 4 days per year). The 1-percentile meteorology is assumed to be worst-case plume visual impacts when the probability of worst-case meteorology conditions is coupled with the probability of other factors being ideal for maximizing plume visual impacts. Dispersion conditions associated with transport times of more than 12 hours are not considered in this cumulative frequency.

For this study, the meteorological data from the National Weather Service (NWS) station in Miami were used to generate a frequency distribution of wind direction, windspeed, and stability occurrences based on the standardized stability array (STAR) program used for many air dispersion model applications. The STAR program generates frequencies using 16 wind direction classes with each class covering a 22.5 degree sector, 6 windspeed classes, and 6 stability classes.

The PSD Class I area of the Everglades National Park is located to the west-southwest through south-southwest of the Lauderdale facility at distances that vary from approximately 59 km to more than 75 km in directions from south-southwest through west-southwest. Therefore, the frequencies associated with 3 wind directions were included in the analysis (i.e., north-northeast, northeast, east-northeast) with the highest frequency from any of those directions used in the cumulative frequency to determine the worst-case meteorology. This analysis is presented in Table 8-2, which shows the dispersion condition (i.e., product of sigma z times the windspeed), transport time to the nearest part of the Class I area (i.e., distance of 59 km), and the frequency associated with each wind direction. As indicated in Table 8-7, the first two dispersion conditions would produce the maximum visual plume impacts because the dispersion conditions produce the lowest product of sigma z times the windspeed.

Table 8-7. Screening Level 2 Plume Visual Impact Analysis Identification of Worst-Case Meteorological Conditions

Stability ^a	Dispersion Condition			Transport Time to Class I Area ^b (hours)	Frequency of Occurrence of Dispersion Condition for Wind Directions			Frequency (f) and Cumulative Frequency (cf) Analysis	
	Windspeed (m/s)	Sigma Z (m)	Sigma Z x Windspeed (m ² /s)		NNE	NE	ENE	(cf)	(cf)
F	0.8	79.2	83.4	35 ^c	0.0034	0.0027	0.0030	0	0
E	0.8	152	122	35 ^c	0	0	0	0	0
F	2.6	79.2	206	11	.0052	0.0050	0.0075	0.0075	0.0075
F	4.4	79.2	348	6	0	0	0	0	0
E	2.6	152	395	11	0.0044	0.0039	0.0061	0.0061	0.0136

^aStability E and F correspond to slightly and moderately stable stabilities, respectively.

^bAssumed to be located approximately 59 km to the south-southwest clockwise through west-southwest.

^cTransport time to Class I area during this condition is longer than 12 hours so the frequency for this condition is not added to the cumulative frequency summation.

However, the transport time from the emission source to the Class I area associated with each of these conditions is greater than 12 hours. With the third condition (i.e., F stability and 2.6 m/s wind) and subsequent conditions, emissions could be transported to the Class I area in less than 12 hours. The frequencies of these conditions, using the maximum frequency from one of the 3 directions, are added to the cumulative frequency. This is appropriate since the concern is with the number of days during which, at any time, dispersion conditions are worse than or equal to a given value. For this study, two conditions produce a cumulative frequency of 1 percent or more: F stability with windspeed of 2.6 m/s and E stability with windspeed of 2.6 m/s (F stability and a 4.4 m/s windspeed did not occur). The result of this analysis indicates that the dispersion condition of E stability and windspeed of 2.6 m/s is associated with a cumulative frequency of 1 percent and used to assess the potential visual plume impacts from the proposed units.

The results of the visual plume impact analysis using a worst-case meteorological condition of E stability and 2.6 m/s windspeed are shown in Figure 8-2. All values of Delta E and contrast are less than the screening criteria of 2.00 and 0.05, respectively. As a result, it is highly unlikely that emissions from the proposed Lauderdale units will cause adverse visibility impairment in the Everglades National Park. Since this analysis was performed with worst case emissions (i.e., oil firing), visibility impairment using the preferred fuel (natural gas) will be even more unlikely. No significant impacts are predicted, and further analysis of visibility impairment is unnecessary.

8.3 IMPACTS DUE TO ASSOCIATED GROWTH

Air quality impacts due to general commercial, residential, industrial and other growth associated with the Lauderdale Repowering Project would potentially occur during the construction and operational phases. Construction activities and employment will generate relatively small quantities of air pollutants that can affect air quality. Fugitive particulate matter from construction activities will be controlled by water

*** User-selected Screening Scenario Results ***
 Input Emissions for

Particulates 60.60 LB /HR
 NOx (as NO2) 1927.10 LB /HR
 Primary NO2 .00 LB /HR
 Soot .00 LB /HR
 Primary SO4 111.50 LB /HR

**** Default Particle Characteristics Assumed

Transport Scenario Specifications:

Background Ozone: .04 ppm
 Background Visual Range: 40.00 km
 Source-Observer Distance: 59.00 km
 Min. Source-Class I Distance: 59.00 km
 Max. Source-Class I Distance: 90.00 km
 Plume-Source-Observer Angle: 11.25 degrees
 Stability: 5
 Wind Speed: 2.60 m/s

R E S U L T S

Asterisks (*) indicate plume impacts that exceed screening criteria

Maximum Visual Impacts INSIDE Class I Area
 Screening Criteria ARE NOT Exceeded

Backgrnd	Theta	Azi	Distance	Alpha	Delta E		Contrast	
					Crit	Plume	Crit	Plume
SKY	10.	84.	59.0	84.	2.00	1.695	.05	-.004
SKY	140.	84.	59.0	84.	2.00	.820	.05	-.019
TERRAIN	10.	84.	59.0	84.	2.00	.632	.05	.009
TERRAIN	140.	84.	59.0	84.	2.00	.170	.05	.008

Maximum Visual Impacts OUTSIDE Class I Area
 Screening Criteria ARE NOT Exceeded

Backgrnd	Theta	Azi	Distance	Alpha	Delta E		Contrast	
					Crit	Plume	Crit	Plume
SKY	10.	75.	57.1	94.	2.00	1.714	.05	-.004
SKY	140.	75.	57.1	94.	2.00	.829	.05	-.019
TERRAIN	10.	55.	52.8	114.	2.00	.741	.05	.011
TERRAIN	140.	55.	52.8	114.	2.00	.204	.05	.009

Figure 8-2 LEVEL 2 VISUAL EFFECTS SCREENING ANALYSIS
 FOR THE LAUDERDALE REPOWERING PROJECT
 ON THE CLASS I AREA OF THE EVERGLADES
 NATIONAL PARK



Lauderdale
 Repowering
 Project

FPL

11/18/89

spraying. The emissions from construction will be minor, since major earthworks are not planned. Construction employment is expected to be about 800 people over the construction period. Travel to and from the site would generate some automotive pollutants, i.e., CO and NO_x. Indirect employment, based on multiplier effects, could reach about 16,500, of which about a third would be in Broward County and half in southeast Florida. The remainder would be out-of-state due to the nature of manufacturing. This employment is expected to be filled by existing construction and manufacturing workers that would supply the materials necessary for the plant. The impact of this growth is insignificant relative to the existing population base in the area.

Operational employment would be about 28 personnel added to the current plant staff of 157. Indirect employment is estimated to be about 2,700, of which a majority would be located in southeast Florida. The additional employment is expected to originate primarily from the general population growth in the area, which would not be a direct result of the project. Indeed, the purpose of the project is to provide additional generation of electrical power in the FPL system's load center, i.e., southeast Florida. The repowered facility, which in part replaces a less efficient steam cycle plant, will emit less pollutants per MW generated than the existing facility. Overall, this efficiency, coupled with the use of existing infrastructure provided at the site, results in substantially lower air quality effects than other comparable projects.

9.0 NONATTAINMENT

Conditions of site certification and accompanying FDER permit are requested that would limit the potential VOC emissions from both the existing plant and the repowered units. The limitations on the existing plant would limit potential VOC emissions of the existing plant to 99.9 tons per year (TPY) and the plant would be a minor source of VOCs. The GTs would be limited to 90.9 TPY based on maximum allowable heat input rates presented in Table 2-13; the remaining VOC emissions, i.e., 9 TPY, would potentially be emitted from the storage tanks. The existing Units 4 and 5 would be limited by their permanent shutdown. The condition of certification and accompanying FDER permit for the existing plant is requested to take effect as of the permanent shutdown of Units 4 and 5. This action would establish the Lauderdale Plant as a minor source of VOCs.

A condition of certification is requested to limit the potential VOC emissions of the repowered units and the associated storage tank minus the creditable emission decreases to 99.9 TPY. The VOC emissions from the repowered units would be limited to 111.9 TPY based on the maximum allowable heat input rates presented in Table 2-7. VOC emissions from the storage tank would be limited to 4.3 TPY including creditable emission decreases for removing one tank and converting another to No. 2 fuel oil. The creditable emissions decreases for shutting down Units 4 and 5 are 16.3 TPY. By limiting the VOC emissions associated with the Lauderdale Repowering Project to 99.9 TPY, the nonattainment provisions in Rule 17-2.510, F.A.C. do not apply.

REFERENCES

- Auer, A.H. 1978. Correlation of Land Use and Cover with Meteorological Anomalies. *J. Applied Meteorology*, Vol. 17.
- Duever, M.J., J.E. Carlson, J.F. Meeder, L.C. Duever, L.H. Gunderson, L.A. Riopelle, T.R. Alexander, R.L. Myers, and D.P. Spangler, 1979. The Big Cypress National Preserve, Research Report No. 8 of the National Audubon Society, 2nd Printing.
- Federal Register. 1978. 43(69):15016.
- Gleason, P. J. and W. Spackman, Jr., 1974. Calcareous periphyton and water chemistry in the Everglades in Environments of South Florida: Present and Past. ed. Patrick J. Gleason. Miami Geological Society. Miami, Florida. pp.146-181.
- Hill, A.C. and J.H. Bennett. 1970. Inhibition of Apparent Photosynthesis by Nitrogen Oxides. *Atmospheric Environments* 4:341-348.
- Holzworth, G.C. 1972. Mixing Heights, Wind Speeds and Potential for Urban Air Pollution Throughout the Contiguous United States. Pub. No. AP-101. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- Horsman, D.C. and A.R. Wellburn. 1976. Appendix II, Guide to Metabolic and Biochemical Effects of Air Pollutants in Higher Plants. Editor T.A. Mansfield. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, England.
- KBN Engineering and Applied Sciences, Inc. 1988. Air Monitoring Plan of Study for Fort Lauderdale Repowering. Prepared for: Florida Power & Light Company.
- Loope, L. L., D. W. Black, S. Black, and G. N. Avery, 1979. Distribution and Abundance of Flora in Limestone Rockland Pine Forests of Southeastern Florida. Report T-547. South Florida Research Center. Everglades National Park, Homestead, Florida.
- Loope, L.L. and N.H. Urban. 1980. A Survey of Fire History and Impact in Tropical Hardwood Hammocks in the East Everglades and Adjacent Portions of Everglades National Park. Report T-592. U.S. National Park Service South Florida Research Center, Everglades National Park. Homestead, Florida.
- Newman, J.R. 1981. Effects of Air Pollution on Animals at Concentrations at or Below Ambient Air Standards. Performed for Denver Air Quality Office, National Park Service, U.S. Department of the Interior. Denver, Colorado.
- Newman, J.R. and R.K. Schreiber. 1988. Air Pollution and Wildlife Toxicology. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. 7:381-390.

REFERENCES
(Continued)

- Okano K. and T. Totsuka, 1986. Absorption of nitrogen dioxide by sunflower plants grown at various levels of nitrate. *New Phytologist* 102:551-562.
- Olmsted, I.C., L.L. Loope, and R.E. Rintz, 1980. A Survey and Baseline Analysis of Aspects of the Vegetation of Taylor Slough, Everglades National Park. Report T-586. South Florida Research Center. Everglades National Park. Homestead, Florida 33030.
- Smith, F.B., R.G. Leighty, R.E. Caldwell, V.W. Carlisle, L.G. Thompson, Jr., and T.C. Mathews. 1973. Principal Soil Areas of Florida. University of Florida. Agric. Exp. Stn. Bull. 717.
- Saxe, H, 1986. Stomatal-dependent and stomatal-independent uptake of NO_x . *New Phytologist* 103: 199-205.
- Spierings, F. H. F. G., 1971. Influence of fumigations with NO_2 on growth and yield of tomato plants. *Netherland Journal of Plant Pathology* 77:194-200.
- Taylor, D. L. and A. Herndon, 1981. Impact of 22 Years of Fire on Understory Hardwood Shrubs in Slash Pine Communities Within Everglades National Park. Report T-640. South Florida Research Center. Everglades National Park, Homestead, Florida.
- Thompson, J. R., P. W. Mueller, W. Fluckiger, and A.J. Rutter, 1984. The effect of dust on photosynthesis and its significance for roadside plants. *Environmental Pollution (Series A)* 34:171-1.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1977. User's Manual for Single Source (CRSTER) Model. EPA Report No. EPA-450/2-77-013, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1978. Guidelines for Determining Best Available Control Technology (BACT). Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1980. Prevention of Significant Deterioration Workshop Manual.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1985a. Stack Height Regulation. *Federal Register*, Vol. 50, No. 130, July 8, 1985. p. 27892.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1985b. BACT/LAER Clearinghouse. A Compilation of Control Technology Determinations.

REFERENCES
(Continued)

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1986. BACT/LAER Clearinghouse: A Compilation of Control Technology Determinations. First Supplement to 1985 Edition. PB 86-226974.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1987a. Ambient Monitoring Guidelines for Prevention of Significant Deterioration. EPA Report No. EPA 450/4-87-007.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1987b. Guideline on Air Quality Models (Revised). (Includes Supplement A). EPA Report No. EPA 450/2-78-027R.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1987c. BACT/LAER Clearinghouse: A Compilation of Control Technology Determinations. Second Supplement to 1985 Edition. PB 87-220596.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1988a. Industrial Source Complex (ISC) Dispersion Model User's Guide (Second Edition, Revised). EPA Report No. EPA 450/4-88-002a.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1988b. EPA's User's Network for Applied Modeling of Air Pollution (UNAMAP), Version 6, Change 3, January 4, 1988. Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1988c. BACT/LAER Clearinghouse: A Compilation of Control Technology Determinations. Third Supplement to 1985 Edition. PB 87-220596.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1988d. EPA User's Network for Applied Modeling of Air Pollution (UNAMAP), Version 6, Change 3, January 4, 1988. Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1988e. Toxic Air Pollutant Emission Factors: A Compilation for Selected Air Toxic Compounds and Sources. Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.
- Wood, D. 1988. Official List of Endangered and Potentially Endangered Fauna and Flora in Florida. Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission. Tallahassee, Florida.

APPENDIX A

**PERFORMANCE INFORMATION AND STACK PARAMETERS
FOR NATURAL GAS AND NO. 2 FUEL OIL**

Table A-1. Design Information and Stack Parameters for Lauderdale
Repowering Project - Fuel Oil

Data	Gas Turbine No.2 Oil @ 40°F	Gas Turbine No.2 Oil @ ISO	Gas Turbine No.2 Oil @ 75°F	Gas Turbine No.2 Oil @ 95°F
General:				
Power (kW)	172,290.0	172,290.0	163,300.0	151,480.0
Heat Rate (Btu/kwh)	9,830.0	9,945.0	10,085.0	10,335.0
Heat Input (mmBtu/hr)	1,693.6	1,713.4	1,646.9	1,565.5
Fuel Oil (lb/hr)	88,531.7	89,567.4	86,088.9	81,837.2
Fuel:				
Heat Content - Oil(HHV)	19,130 Btu/lb	19,130 Btu/lb	19,130 Btu/lb	19,130 Btu/lb
% Sulfur	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
CT Exhaust:				
Volume Flow (acfm)	2,422,969	2,450,119	2,380,384	2,283,495
Volume Flow (scfm)	852,885	830,869	800,537	759,726
Mass Flow (lb/hr)	3,753,920	3,622,090	3,489,860	3,311,950
Temperature (oF)	1,040	1,097	1,110	1,127
Moisture (%)	11.23	12.29	13.09	14.83
Oxygen (%)	12.14	11.62	11.48	11.15
Molecular Weight	28.27	28.18	28.08	27.89
Water Injected (lb/hr)	155,080	156,890	151,065	143,630
HRSG Stack:				
Volume Flow (acfm)	1,195,331	1,164,475	1,121,964	1,064,768
Temperature (oF)	280	280	280	280
Diameter (ft)	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0
Velocity (ft/sec)	78.3	76.3	73.5	69.7

Table A-2. Maximum Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Lauderdale Repowering Project - Fuel Oil

Pollutant	Gas Turbine No.2 Oil @ 40°F	Gas Turbine No.2 Oil @ ISO	Gas Turbine No.2 Oil @ 75°F	Gas Turbine No.2 Oil @ 95°F
Particulate^a:				
Basis				
lb/hr	60.6	60.4	58.0	54.9
TPY	265.3	264.5	254.2	240.5
Sulfur Dioxide:				
Basis	0.5 % Sulfur	0.5 % Sulfur	0.5 % Sulfur	0.5 % Sulfur
lb/hr	885.32	895.67	860.89	818.37
TPY	3,877.7	3,923.1	3,770.7	3,584.5
Nitrogen Oxides:				
Basis	65 ppm ^b	65 ppm ^b	65 ppm ^b	65 ppm ^b
lb/hr	431.6	440.0	422.2	398.7
TPY	1,890.2	1,927.1	1,849.2	1,746.1
ppm	65.0	65.0	65.0	65.0
Carbon Monoxide:				
Basis	33 ppm ^c	33 ppm ^c	33 ppm ^c	33 ppm ^c
lb/hr	108.9	104.8	100.1	93.1
TPY	477.1	459.2	438.4	407.7
ppm	33.0	33.0	33.0	33.0
VOCs^d:				
Basis	6 ppm ^c	6 ppm ^c	6 ppm ^c	6 ppm ^c
lb/hr	8.49	8.17	7.80	7.25
TPY	37.2	35.8	34.2	31.8
ppm	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0
Lead:				
Basis	EPA(1988)	EPA(1988)	EPA(1988)	EPA(1988)
lb/hr	1.51E-02	1.52E-02	1.47E-02	1.39E-02
TPY	6.60E-02	6.68E-02	6.42E-02	6.10E-02

^aDoes not include sulfate or sulfite aerosols.

^bCorrected to 15% O2 dry conditions.

^cCorrected to dry conditions.

^dAs carbon.

Note: Particulate does not include sulfate or sulfite aerosols.

Table A-3. Maximum Other Regulated Pollutant Emissions for Lauderdale
Repowering Project - Fuel Oil

Pollutant	Gas Turbine No. 2 Oil @ 40°F	Gas Turbine No. 2 Oil @ ISO	Gas Turbine No. 2 Oil @ 75°F	Gas Turbine No. 2 Oil @ 95°F
As (lb/hr) (TPY)	0.0071131649 3.12E-02	0.007196381 3.15E-02	0.0069168981 3.03E-02	0.0065752924 2.88E-02
Be (lb/hr) (TPY)	0.0042340268 1.85E-02	0.0042835601 1.88E-02	0.0041172013 1.80E-02	0.0039138645 1.71E-02
Hg (lb/hr) (TPY)	5.08E-03 2.23E-02	5.14E-03 2.25E-02	4.94E-03 2.16E-02	4.70E-03 2.06E-02
F (lb/hr) (TPY)	0.0550423478 2.41E-01	0.0556862816 2.44E-01	0.0535236163 2.34E-01	0.0508802385 2.23E-01
H2SO4 (lb/hr) (TPY)	110.2 483	111.5 489	107.2 470	101.9 446

Sources: EPA, 1988; EPA, 1980.

Table A-4. Maximum Non-Regulated Pollutant Emissions for Lauderdale
Repowering Project - Fuel Oil

Pollutant	Gas Turbine No.2 Oil @ 40°F	Gas Turbine No.2 Oil @ ISO	Gas Turbine No.2 Oil @ 75°F	Gas Turbine No.2 Oil @ 95°F
Manganese (lb/hr) (TPY)	1.09E-02 4.78E-02	1.10E-02 4.83E-02	1.06E-02 4.65E-02	1.01E-02 4.42E-02
Nickel (lb/hr) (TPY)	2.88E-01 1.26E+00	2.91E-01 1.28E+00	2.80E-01 1.23E+00	2.66E-01 1.17E+00
Cadmium (lb/hr) (TPY)	1.78E-02 7.79E-02	1.80E-02 7.88E-02	1.73E-02 7.57E-02	1.64E-02 7.20E-02
Chromium (lb/hr) (TPY)	8.04E-02 3.52E-01	8.14E-02 3.56E-01	7.82E-02 3.43E-01	7.44E-02 3.26E-01
Copper (lb/hr) (TPY)	4.74E-01 2.08E+00	4.80E-01 2.10E+00	4.61E-01 2.02E+00	4.38E-01 1.92E+00
Vanadium (lb/hr) (TPY)	1.18E-01 5.17E-01	1.19E-01 5.23E-01	1.15E-01 5.03E-01	1.09E-01 4.78E-01
Selenium (lb/hr) (TPY)	3.98E-02 1.74E-01	4.02E-02 1.76E-01	3.87E-02 1.69E-01	3.67E-02 1.61E-01
PCM (lb/hr) (TPY)	4.72E-04 2.07E-03	4.78E-04 2.09E-03	4.59E-04 2.01E-03	4.37E-04 1.91E-03
Formaldehyde (lb/hr) (TPY)	6.86E-01 3.00E+00	6.94E-01 3.04E+00	6.67E-01 2.92E+00	6.34E-01 2.78E+00

Source: EPA, 1988.

Table A-5. Design Information and Stack Parameters for Lauderdale
Repowering Project - Natural Gas Firing

Data	Gas Turbine Natural Gas @ 40°F	Gas Turbine Natural Gas @ ISO	Gas Turbine Natural Gas @ 75°F	Gas Turbine Natural Gas @ 95°F
General:				
Power - Net (kW)	172,470.0	167,240.0	157,700.0	146,250.0
Heat Rate -Net (Btu/kwh)	10,330.0	10,500.0	10,685.0	10,960.0
Heat Input (MMBtu/hr)	1,781.6	1,756.0	1,685.0	1,602.9
Natural Gas (Mcf/hr)	1,696.8	1,672.4	1,604.8	1,526.6
(lb/hr)	77,461.5	76,348.7	73,261.9	69,691.3
Fuel:				
Heat Content - Gas (HHV)	1,050 Btu/cf	1,050 Btu/cf	1,050 Btu/cf	1,050 Btu/cf
CT Exhaust:				
Volume Flow (acfm)	2,419,751	2,400,874	2,338,327	2,259,990
Volume Flow (scfm)	842,207	815,741	788,401	753,330
Mass Flow (lb/hr)	3,672,830	3,547,251	3,417,317	3,243,016
Temperature (°F)	1057	1094	1106	1124
Molecular Weight	28.01	27.93	27.84	27.65
Moisture (%)	11.87	12.73	13.51	15.29
Oxygen (%)	11.94	11.63	11.51	11.18
Steam Injected (lb/hr)	95,253	93,870	90,114	85,688
HRSG Stack:				
Volume Flow (acfm)	1,180,366	1,143,273	1,104,956	1,055,803
Temperature (oF)	280	280	280	280
Diameter (ft)	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0
Velocity (ft/sec)	77.3	74.9	72.4	69.2
Height (ft)	150.0	150.0	150.0	150.0

Table A-6. Maximum Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Lauderdale
Repowering Project - Natural Gas Firing

Pollutant	Gas Turbine Natural Gas @ 40°F	Gas Turbine Natural Gas @ ISO	Gas Turbine Natural Gas @ 75°F	Gas Turbine Natural Gas @ 95°F
Particulate:				
Basis				
lb/hr	16.1	15.3	14.7	13.8
TPY	70.5	67.0	64.4	60.4
Sulfur Dioxide:				
Basis	2 gr/Mscf	2 gr/Mscf	2 gr/Mscf	2 gr/Mscf
lb/hr	0.97	0.96	0.92	0.87
TPY	4.2	4.2	4.0	3.8
Nitrogen Oxides:				
Basis	42 ppm ^a	42 ppm ^a	42 ppm ^a	42 ppm ^a
lb/hr	278.2	274.9	263.9	250.6
TPY	1,218.5	1,204.0	1,156.0	1,097.5
ppm	42.0	42.0	42.0	42.0
Carbon Monoxide:				
Basis	30 ppm ^b	30 ppm ^b	30 ppm ^b	30 ppm ^b
lb/hr	97.1	93.1	89.2	83.5
TPY	425.2	407.8	390.6	365.5
ppm	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0
VOCs:				
Basis	1 ppm ^b	1 ppm ^b	1 ppm ^b	1 ppm ^b
lb/hr	1.39	1.33	1.27	1.19
TPY	6.07	5.83	5.58	5.22
ppm	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Lead:				
Basis				
lb/hr	neg.	neg.	neg.	neg.
TPY	neg.	neg.	neg.	neg.

^aCorrected to 15 percent O2 dry conditions.

^bCorrected to dry conditions.

Note: neg. = negligible

Table A-7. Maximum Other Regulated Pollutant Emissions for Lauderdale Repowering Project - Natural Gas Firing

Pollutant	Gas Turbine Natural Gas @ 40°F	Gas Turbine Natural Gas @ ISO	Gas Turbine Natural Gas @ 75°F	Gas Turbine Natural Gas @ 95°F
As (lb/hr) (TPY)	neg. neg.	neg. neg.	neg. neg.	neg. neg.
Be (lb/hr) (TPY)	neg. neg.	neg. neg.	neg. neg.	neg. neg.
Hg (lb/hr) (TPY)	2.03 x 10 ⁻² 8.89 x 10 ⁻²	2.00 x 10 ⁻² 8.76 x 10 ⁻²	1.92 x 10 ⁻² 8.40 x 10 ⁻²	1.83 x 10 ⁻² 7.99 x 10 ⁻²
F (lb/hr) (TPY)	neg. neg.	neg. neg.	neg. neg.	neg. neg.
H2SO4 (lb/hr) (TPY)	0.045 0.195	0.044 0.192	0.042 0.184	0.040 0.175

Note: neg. = negligible

Sources: EPA, 1988.
EPA, 1980.

Table A-8. Maximum Non-Regulated Pollutant Emissions for Lauderdale Repowering Project - Natural Gas Firing

Pollutant	Gas Turbine Natural Gas @ 40°F	Gas Turbine Natural Gas @ ISO	Gas Turbine Natural Gas @ 75°F	Gas Turbine Natural Gas @ 95°F
Manganese (lb/hr) (TPY)	neg. neg.	neg. neg.	neg. neg.	neg. neg.
Nickel (lb/hr) (TPY)	neg. neg.	neg. neg.	neg. neg.	neg. neg.
Cadmium (lb/hr) (TPY)	neg. neg.	neg. neg.	neg. neg.	neg. neg.
Chromium (lb/hr) (TPY)	neg. neg.	neg. neg.	neg. neg.	neg. neg.
Copper (lb/hr) (TPY)	neg. neg.	neg. neg.	neg. neg.	neg. neg.
Vanadium (lb/hr) (TPY)	neg. neg.	neg. neg.	neg. neg.	neg. neg.
Selenium (lb/hr) (TPY)	neg. neg.	neg. neg.	neg. neg.	neg. neg.
POM (lb/hr) (TPY)	1.16×10^{-3} 5.08×10^{-3}	1.14×10^{-3} 5.00×10^{-3}	1.10×10^{-3} 4.80×10^{-3}	1.04×10^{-3} 4.57×10^{-3}
Formaldehyde (lb/hr) (TPY)	1.57×10^{-1} 6.89×10^{-1}	1.55×10^{-1} 6.79×10^{-1}	1.49×10^{-1} 6.52×10^{-1}	1.42×10^{-1} 6.20×10^{-1}

Note: neg. = negligible

Source: EPA, 1988.

APPENDIX B
EMISSION FACTORS

AP-42
Supplement A
October 1986

SUPPLEMENT A
TO
COMPILATION
OF
AIR POLLUTANT
EMISSION FACTORS

Volume I:
Stationary Point
And Area Sources

TABLE 1.3-1. UNCONTROLLED EMISSION FACTORS FOR FUEL OIL COMBUSTION

EMISSION FACTOR RATING: A

Boiler Type ^a	Particulate Matter ^b		Sulfur Dioxide ^c		Sulfur Trioxide		Carbon Monoxide ^d		Nitrogen Oxide ^e		Volatile Organics ^f				
	kg/10 ³ l	lb/10 ³ gal	kg/10 ³ l	lb/10 ³ gal	kg/10 ³ l	lb/10 ³ gal	kg/10 ³ l	lb/10 ³ gal	kg/10 ³ l	lb/10 ³ gal	kg/10 ³ l	Monomethane	kg/10 ³ l	Methane	lb/10 ³ gal
Utility Boilers															
Residual Oil	g	g	19S	157S	0.34S ^h	2.9S ^h	0.6	5	8.0 (12.6)(5) ⁱ	67 (105)(42) ⁱ	0.09	0.76	0.03	0.28	
Industrial Boilers															
Residual Oil	g	g	19S	157S	0.24S	2S	0.6	5	6.6 ^j	55 ^j	0.034	0.28	0.12	1.0	
Distillate Oil	0.24	2	17S	142S	0.24S	2S	0.6	5	2.4	20	0.024	0.2	0.006	0.052	
Commercial Boilers															
Residual Oil	g	g	19S	157S	0.24S	2S	0.6	5	6.6	55	0.14	1.13	0.057	0.475	
Distillate Oil	0.24	2	17S	142S	0.24S	2S	0.6	5	2.4	20	0.04	0.34	0.026	0.216	
Residential Furnaces															
Distillate Oil	0.3	2.5	17S	142S	0.24S	2S	0.6	5	2.2	18	0.085	0.713	0.214	1.78	

^aBoilers can be approximately classified according to their gross (higher) heat rate as shown below:

Utility (power plant) boilers: $>106 \times 10^9$ J/hr ($>100 \times 10^6$ Btu/hr)
 Industrial boilers: 10.6×10^9 to 106×10^9 J/hr (10×10^6 to 100×10^6 Btu/hr)
 Commercial boilers: 0.5×10^9 to 10.6×10^9 J/hr (0.5×10^6 to 10×10^6 Btu/hr)
 Residential furnaces: $<0.5 \times 10^9$ J/hr ($<0.5 \times 10^6$ Btu/hr)

^bReferences 3-7 and 24-25. Particulate matter is defined in this section as that material collected by EPA Method 5 (front half catch).

^cReferences 1-5. S indicates that the weight % of sulfur in the oil should be multiplied by the value given.

^dReferences 3-5 and 8-10. Carbon monoxide emissions may increase by factors of 10 to 100 if the unit is improperly operated or not well maintained.

^eExpressed as NO₂. References 1-5, 8-11, 17 and 26. Test results indicate that at least 95% by weight of NO_x is NO for all boiler types except residential furnaces, where about 75% is NO.

^fReferences 18-21. Volatile organic compound emissions are generally negligible unless boiler is improperly operated or not well maintained, in which case emissions may increase by several orders of magnitude.

^gParticulate emission factors for residual oil combustion are, on average, a function of fuel oil grade and sulfur content:

Grade 6 oil: $1.25(S) + 0.38$ kg/10³ liter [$10(S) + 3$ lb/10³ gal] where S is the weight % of sulfur in the oil. This relationship is based on 81 individual tests and has a correlation coefficient of 0.65.

Grade 5 oil: 1.25 kg/10³ liter (10 lb/10³ gal)

Grade 4 oil: 0.88 kg/10³ liter (7 lb/10³ gal)

^hReference 25.

ⁱUse 5 kg/10³ liters (42 lb/10³ gal) for tangentially fired boilers, 12.6 kg/10³ liters (105 lb/10³ gal) for vertical fired boilers, and 8.0 kg/10³ liters (67 lb/10³ gal) for all others, at full load and normal (>15%) excess air. Several combustion modifications can be employed for NO_x reduction: (1) limited excess air can reduce NO_x emissions 5-20%, (2) staged combustion 20-40%, (3) using low NO_x burners 20-50%, and (4) ammonia injection can reduce NO_x emissions 40-70% but may increase emissions of ammonia. Combinations of these modifications have been employed for further reductions in certain boilers. See Reference 23 for a discussion of these and other NO_x reducing techniques and their operational and environmental impacts.

^jNitrogen oxide emissions from residual oil combustion in industrial and commercial boilers are strongly related to fuel nitrogen content, estimated more accurately by the empirical relationship:

kg NO₂/10³ liters = $2.75 + 50(N)^2$ [lb NO₂/10³ gal = $22 + 400(N)^2$] where N is the weight % of nitrogen in the oil. For residual oils having high (>0.5 weight %) nitrogen content, use 15 kg NO₂/10³ liter (120 lb NO₂/10³ gal) as an emission factor.

TABLE 1.3-2. CUMULATIVE PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION AND SIZE SPECIFIC EMISSION FACTORS FOR UTILITY BOILERS FIRING RESIDUAL OIL^a

EMISSION FACTOR RATING: C (uncontrolled)
 E (ESP controlled)
 D (scrubber controlled)

Particle size ^b (μm)	Cumulative mass % \leq stated size			Cumulative emission factor ^c [$\text{kg}/10^3 \text{ l}$ ($1\text{b}/10^3 \text{ gal}$)]		
	Uncontrolled	Controlled		Uncontrolled	Controlled ^d	
		ESP	Scrubber		ESP	Scrubber
15	80	75	100	0.80A (6.7A)	0.0060A (0.05A)	0.06A (0.50A)
10	71	63	100	0.71A (5.9A)	0.0050A (0.042A)	0.06A (0.50A)
6	58	52	100	0.58A (4.8A)	0.0042A (0.035A)	0.06A (0.50A)
2.5	52	41	97	0.52A (4.3A)	0.0033A (0.028A)	0.058A (0.48A)
1.25	43	31	91	0.43A (3.6A)	0.0025A (0.021A)	0.055A (0.46A)
1.00	39	28	84	0.39A (3.3A)	0.0022A (0.018A)	0.050A (0.42A)
0.625	20	10	64	0.20A (1.7A)	0.0008A (0.007A)	0.038A (0.32A)
TOTAL	100	100	100	1A (8.3A)	0.008A (0.067A)	0.06A (0.50A)

^aReference 29. ESP = electrostatic precipitator.

^bExpressed as aerodynamic equivalent diameter.

^cParticulate emission factors for residual oil combustion without emission controls are, on average, a function of fuel oil grade and sulfur content:

Grade 6 Oil: $A = 1.25(S) + 0.38$

Where S is the weight % of sulfur in the oil

Grade 5 Oil: $A = 1.25$

Grade 4 Oil: $A = 0.88$

^dEstimated control efficiency for scrubber, 94%; ESP, 99.2%.




Figure 1.3-1. Cumulative size specific emission factors for utility boilers firing residual oil.

TABLE 1.4-1. UNCONTROLLED EMISSION FACTORS FOR NATURAL GAS COMBUSTION^a

Furnace size & type (10 ⁶ Btu/hr heat input)	Particulate ^b		Sulfur dioxide ^c		Nitrogen oxides ^d		Carbon monoxide ^e		Volatile organics			
	kg/10 ⁶ m ³	lb/10 ⁶ ft ³	kg/10 ⁶ m ³	lb/10 ⁶ ft ³	kg/10 ⁶ m ³	lb/10 ⁶ ft ³	kg/10 ⁶ m ³	lb/10 ⁶ ft ³	Nonmethane		Methane	
									kg/10 ⁶ m ³	lb/10 ⁶ ft ³	kg/10 ⁶ m ³	lb/10 ⁶ ft ³
Utility boilers (> 100)	16 - 80	1 - 5	9.6	0.6	8800 ^h	550 ^h	640	40	23	1.4	4.8	0.3
Industrial boilers (10 - 100)	16 - 80	1 - 5	9.6	0.6	2240	140	560	35	44	2.8	48	3
Domestic and commercial boilers (< 10)	16 - 80	1 - 5	9.6	0.6	1600	100	320	20	84	5.3	43	2.7

^aExpressed as weight/volume fuel fired.

^bReferences 15-18.

^cReference 4. Based on avg. sulfur content of natural gas, 4600 g/10⁶ Nm³ (2000 gr/10⁶ scf).

^dReferences 4-5, 7-8, 11, 14, 18-19, 21.

^eExpressed as NO_x. Tests indicate about 95 weight % NO_x is NO₂.

^fReferences 4, 7-8, 16, 18, 22-25.

^gReferences 16, 18. May increase 10 - 100 times with improper operation or maintenance.

^hFor tangentially fired units, use 4400 kg/10⁶ m³ (275 lb/10⁶ ft³). At reduced loads, multiply factor by load reduction coefficient in Figure 1.4-1. For potential NO_x reductions by combustion modification, see text. Note that NO_x reduction from these modifications will also occur at reduced load conditions.

The combined loss from filling and emptying is called working loss. Filling loss comes with an increase of the liquid level in the tank, when the pressure inside the tank exceeds the relief pressure and vapors are expelled from the tank. Emptying loss occurs when air drawn into the tank during liquid removal becomes saturated with organic vapor and expands, thus exceeding the capacity of the vapor space.

The following equations, provided to estimate emissions, are applicable to tanks with vertical cylindrical shells and fixed roofs. These tanks must be substantially liquid and vapor tight and must operate approximately at atmospheric pressure. Fixed roof tank breathing losses can be estimated from²:

$$L_B = 2.26 \times 10^{-2} M_V \left(\frac{P}{P_A - P} \right)^{0.68} D^{1.73} H^{0.51} \Delta T^{0.50} F_P C K_C \quad (1)$$

where:

L_B = fixed roof breathing loss (lb/yr)

M_V = molecular weight of vapor in storage tank (lb/lb mole), see Note 1

P_A = average atmospheric pressure at tank location (psia)

P = true vapor pressure at bulk liquid conditions (psia), see Note 2

D = tank diameter (ft)

H = average vapor space height, including roof volume correction (ft), see Note 3

ΔT = average ambient diurnal temperature change (°F)

F_P = paint factor (dimensionless), see Table 4.3-1

C = adjustment factor for small diameter tanks (dimensionless), see Figure 4.3-4

K_C = product factor (dimensionless), see Note 4

Notes: (1) The molecular weight of the vapor, M_V , can be determined by Table 4.3-2 for selected petroleum liquids and volatile organic liquids or by analysis of vapor samples. Where mixtures of organic liquids are stored in a tank, M_V can be estimated from the liquid composition. As an example of the latter calculation, consider a liquid known to be composed of components A and B with mole fractions in the liquid X_a and X_b , respectively. Given the vapor pressures of the pure

TABLE 4.3-1. PAINT FACTORS FOR FIXED ROOF TANKS^a

Tank color		Paint factors (F_p)	
		Paint condition	
Roof	Shell	Good	Poor
White	White	1.00	1.15
Aluminum (specular)	White	1.04	1.18
White	Aluminum (specular)	1.16	1.24
Aluminum (specular)	Aluminum (specular)	1.20	1.29
White	Aluminum (diffuse)	1.30	1.38
Aluminum (diffuse)	Aluminum (diffuse)	1.39	1.46
White	Gray	1.30	1.38
Light gray	Light gray	1.33	1.44 ^b
Medium gray	Medium gray	1.40	1.58 ^b

^aReference 2.

^bEstimated from the ratios of the seven preceding paint factors.




Figure 4.3-4. Adjustment factor (C) for small diameter tanks.²

TABLE 4.3-2. PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF TYPICAL ORGANIC LIQUIDS^a

Organic liquid ^b	Vapor molecular weight @ 60°F	Product density (d), lb/gal @ 60°F	Condensed vapor density (w), lb/gal @ 60°F	True vapor pressure in psia at:						
				40°F	50°F	60°F	70°F	80°F	90°F	100°F
Petroleum Liquids^c										
Gasoline RVP 13	62	5.6	4.9	4.7	5.7	6.9	8.3	9.9	11.7	13.8
Gasoline RVP 10	66	5.6	5.1	3.4	4.2	5.2	6.2	7.4	8.8	10.5
Gasoline RVP 7	68	5.6	5.2	2.3	2.9	3.5	4.3	5.2	6.2	7.4
Crude oil RVP 5	50	7.1	4.5	1.8	2.3	2.8	3.4	4.0	4.8	5.7
Jet naphtha (JP-4)	80	6.4	5.4	0.8	1.0	1.3	1.6	1.9	2.4	2.7
Jet kerosene	130	7.0	6.1	0.0041	0.0060	0.0085	0.011	0.015	0.021	0.029
Distillate fuel no. 2	130	7.1	6.1	0.0031	0.0045	0.0074	0.0090	0.012	0.016	0.022
Residual oil no. 6	190	7.9	6.4	0.00002	0.00003	0.00004	0.00006	0.00009	0.00013	0.00019
Volatile Organic Liquids										
Acetone	58	6.6	6.6	1.7	2.2	2.9	3.7	4.7	5.9	7.3
Acrylonitrile	53	6.8	6.8	0.8	1.0	1.4	1.8	2.4	3.1	4.0
Benzene	78	7.4	7.4	0.6	0.9	1.2	1.5	2.0	2.6	3.3
Carbon disulfide	76	10.6	10.6	3.0	3.9	4.8	6.0	7.4	9.2	11.2
Carbon tetrachloride	154	13.4	13.4	0.8	1.1	1.4	1.8	2.3	3.0	3.8
Chloroform	119	12.5	12.5	1.5	1.9	2.5	3.2	4.1	5.2	6.3
Cyclohexane	84	6.5	6.5	0.7	0.9	1.2	1.6	2.1	2.6	3.2
1,2-Dichloroethane	99	10.5	10.5	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.4	1.7	2.2	2.8
Ethylacetate	88	7.6	7.6	0.6	0.8	1.1	1.5	1.9	2.5	3.2
Ethyl alcohol	46	6.6	6.6	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.9	1.2	1.7	2.3
Isopropyl alcohol	60	6.6	6.6	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.7	0.9	1.3	1.8
Methyl alcohol	32	6.6	6.6	0.7	1.0	1.4	2.0	2.6	3.5	4.5
Methylene chloride	85	11.1	11.1	3.1	4.3	5.4	6.8	8.7	10.3	13.3
Methylethyl ketone	72	6.7	6.7	0.7	0.9	1.2	1.5	2.1	2.7	3.3
Methylmethacrylate	100	7.9	7.9	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.8	1.1	1.4
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	133	11.2	11.2	0.9	1.2	1.6	2.0	2.6	3.3	4.2
Trichloroethylene	131	12.3	12.3	0.5	0.7	0.9	1.2	1.5	2.0	2.0
Toluene	92	7.3	7.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0
Vinylacetate	86	7.8	7.8	0.7	1.0	1.3	1.7	2.3	3.1	4.0

^aReferences 3-4.^bFor a more comprehensive listing of volatile organic liquids, see Reference 3.^cRVP = Reid vapor pressure in psia.

components, P_a and P_b , and the molecular weights of the pure components, M_a and M_b , M_V is calculated:

$$M_V = M_a \left(\frac{P_a X_a}{P_t} \right) + M_b \left(\frac{P_b X_b}{P_t} \right)$$

where: P_t , by Raoult's law, is:

$$P_t = P_a X_a + P_b X_b$$

- (2) True vapor pressures for organic liquids can be determined from Figures 4.3-5 or 4.3-6, or Table 4.3-2. In order to use Figures 4.3-5 or 4.3-6, the stored liquid temperature, T_S , must be determined in degrees Fahrenheit. T_S is determined from Table 4.3-3, given the average annual ambient temperature, T_A , in degrees Fahrenheit. True vapor pressure is the equilibrium partial pressure exerted by a volatile organic liquid, as defined by ASTM-D-2879 or as obtained from standard reference texts. Reid vapor pressure is the absolute vapor pressure of volatile crude oil and volatile nonviscous petroleum liquids, except liquified petroleum gases, as determined by ASTM-D-323.
- (3) The vapor space in a cone roof is equal in volume to a cylinder, which has the same base diameter as the cone and is one third the height of the cone. If information is not available, assume H equals one half tank height.
- (4) For crude oil, $K_C = 0.65$. For all other organic liquids, $K_C = 1.0$.

Fixed roof tank working losses can be estimated from²:

$$L_W = 2.40 \times 10^{-5} M_V P V N K_N K_C \quad (2)$$

where:

L_W = fixed roof working loss (lb/year)

M_V = molecular weight of vapor in storage tank (lb/lb mole), see Note 1 to Equation 1

P = true vapor pressure at bulk liquid temperature (psia), see Note 2 to Equation 1

V = tank capacity (gal)

N = number of turnovers per year (dimensionless)

$$N = \frac{\text{Total throughput per year (gal)}}{\text{Tank capacity, } V \text{ (gal)}}$$

K_N = turnover factor (dimensionless), see Figure 4.3-7

K_C = product factor (dimensionless), see Note 1


Note: (1) For crude oil, $K_C = 0.84$. For all other organic liquids, $K_C = 1.0$.

TABLE 4.3-3. AVERAGE STORAGE TEMPERATURE (T_S) AS A FUNCTION OF TANK PAINT COLOR^a

Tank color	Average storage temperature, T_S
White	$T_A^b + 0$
Aluminum	$T_A + 2.5$
Gray	$T_A + 3.5$
Black	$T_A + 5.0$

^aReference 5.

^b T_A is the average annual ambient temperature in degrees Fahrenheit.



$$\text{TURNOVERS PER YEAR} = \frac{\text{ANNUAL THROUGHPUT}}{\text{TANK CAPACITY}}$$

Note: For 36 turnovers per year or less, $K_N = 1.0$

Figure 4.3-7. Turnover factor (K_N) for fixed roof tanks.

Toxic Air Pollutant Emission Factors—A Compilation For Selected Air Toxic Compounds And Sources

By

Anne A. Pope

Air Quality Management Division
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711

Patricia A. Cruse

Claire C. Most

Radian Corporation
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
Office Of Air And Radiation
Office Of Air Quality Planning And Standards
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711

October 1988

INDUSTRIAL PROCESS	SIC CODE	EMISSION SOURCE	SCC	POLLUTANT	CAS NUMBER	EMISSION FACTOR	NOTES	REFERENCE
Municipal waste combustion	4953	Refuse derived fuel unit stack	50100121	Acetaldehyde	75070	1.5 x 10 ⁻⁹ g/kg waste burned	From test results. no data on controls	130
Municipal wastewater treatment	4961	Evaporation		Chloroform	67663	0.014 kg/10E6 liter mun wastewater diach		164
Naphthalene production		Process emissions		Naphthalene	91203	0.239 kg/Mg naphthalene produced	Based on POM emissions and 87% naphthalene	99
Naphthalene production		Storage		Naphthalene	91203	0.0227 kg/Mg produced	Based on data from State files and engineering judgement	99
Natural gas combustion		Double shell boilers, home heating		POM		0.48 pg/J heat input	Represents primarily particulate POM, uncontrolled	114
Natural gas combustion		Hot air furnace, home heating		POM		0.33 pg/J heat input	Represents primarily particulate POM, uncontrolled	114
Natural gas combustion		Wall space heater, home heating		POM		27.5 pg/J heat input	Represents primarily particulate POM, uncontrolled	114
Natural gas combustion		Domestic	1	Formaldehyde	50000	0.43 ng/J heat input	Control status unspecified, based on source tests	106
Natural gas combustion		Industrial	102006	Formaldehyde	50000	0.038 ng/J heat input	Control status unspecified, based on source tests	106
Natural gas combustion		Boilers, exhaust system	102006	Benzene	71432	1.18% by vol (or 4% by wt) benzene	South Coast study, California, engineering judgement	132
Natural gas combustion		Firetube boiler, process heater	10200601	POM		0.28 pg/J heat input	Represents primarily particulate POM, uncontrolled	114
Natural gas combustion		Scotch marine, hospital heating	10200601	POM		27.4 pg/J heat input	Represents primarily particulate POM, uncontrolled	114
Natural gas combustion		Commercial/institutional	103006	Formaldehyde	50000	0.095 ng/J heat input	Control status unspecified, based on source tests	106
Neoprene manufacture	2822	Dichlorobutene refining	301	Butadiene, 1,3-	106990	1.56 kg/Mg neoprene produced	Calculated from national emissions and national capacity, mostly controlled	78
Neoprene manufacture	2822	Dichlorobutene synthesis	301	Butadiene, 1,3-	106990	0.3 kg/Mg neoprene produced	Calculated from national emissions and national capacity, mostly controlled	78
Neoprene manufacture	2822	Equipment leaks	301	Butadiene, 1,3-	106990	1.1 kg/Mg neoprene produced	Uncontrolled, calculated from	78

INDUSTRIAL PROCESS	SIC CODE	EMISSION SOURCE	SCC	POLLUTANT	CAS NUMBER	EMISSION FACTOR	NOTES	REFERENCE
Bonylphenol production	2869	General	301	Phenol	108952	8.0 x 10E-4 lb/lb used	From engineering estimates	13
Bonylphenol production	2869	Fugitive	301	Phenol	108952	1.9 x 10E-4 lb/lb used	From engineering estimates	13
Bonylphenol production	2869	Storage	407094	Phenol	108952	1.0 x 10E-5 lb/lb used	From engineering estimates	13
Normal superphosphate production	2874	Curing building	30102806	Fluoride	16984488	3.8 lb/ton P205	Uncontrolled	97
Normal superphosphate production	2874	Rixer and den	30102805	Fluoride	16984488	0.2 lb/ton P205	Wet scrubber (97%)	97
Oil and coal combustion	49	Stack - particulate	102	Polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxine		68 ng/g	No penta homologue included, one location, TCDO detection = 20 ng/g	119
Oil and coal combustion	49	Stack - particulate	102	Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-diox in, 2,3,7,8-	1746016	Not detectable	One location, detection limit = 10 ng/g	119
Oil combustion		Oil-fired boiler or furnace, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Formaldehyde	50000	405 lb/10E12 Btu	Uncontrolled, based on emissions testing	36
Oil combustion		Industrial, commercial, and residential boilers	1	Lead	7439921	8.9 lb/10E12 Btu	Uncontrolled, calculated based on engineering judgement, assumed use distillate oil	36
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Manganese	7439965	26 lb/10E12 Btu	Uncontrolled, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Manganese	7439965	11.96 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with multiclone, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Manganese	7439965	5.72 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with ESP, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Manganese	7439965	2.86 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with scrubber, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Manganese	7439965	14 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with scrubber, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Manganese	7439965	6.44 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with multiclone, calculated based on engineering	36

INDUSTRIAL PROCESS	SIC CODE	EMISSION SOURCE	SCC	POLLUTANT	CAS NUMBER	EMISSION FACTOR	NOTES	REFERENCE
		al					judgement	
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/Industr/residential	1	Manganese	7439965	3.08 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with ESP, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/Industr/residential	1	Manganese	7439965	1.54 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with scrubber, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boiler, util/commerc/Industr/residential	1	Mercury	7439976	3.2 lb/10E12 Btu	Uncontrolled, based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boiler, UETI/commerc/Industr/residential	1	Mercury	7439976	3.2 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled by multiclone, based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boiler, util/commerc/Industr/residential	1	Mercury	7439976	2.4 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled by ESP, based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boiler, util/commerc/Industr/residential	1	Mercury	7439976	0.83 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled by scrubber, based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boiler, util/commerc/Industr/residential	1	Mercury	7439976	3.0 lb/10E12 Btu	Uncontrolled, based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boiler, util/commerc/Industr/residential	1	Mercury	7439976	3.0 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled by multiclone, based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boiler, util/commerc/Industr/residential	1	Mercury	7439976	2.25 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled by ESP, based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boiler, util/commerc/Industr/residential	1	Mercury	7439976	0.78 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled by scrubber, based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/Industr/residential	1	Nickel	7440020	1260 lb/10E12 Btu	Uncontrolled, based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/Industr/residential	1	Nickel	7440020	642.6 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled by multiclone, based on engineering judgement	36

INDUSTRIAL PROCESS	SIC CODE	EMISSION SOURCE	SCC	POLLUTANT	CAS NUMBER	EMISSION FACTOR	NOTES	REFERENCE
		al						
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Nickel	7440020	352.8 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled by ESP, based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Nickel	7440020	50.4 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled by scrubber, based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Nickel	7440020	170 lb/10E12 Btu	Uncontrolled, based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Nickel	7440020	86.7 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled by multiclone, based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Nickel	7440020	47.6 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled by ESP, based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Nickel	7440020	6.8 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled by scrubber, based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Arsenic	7440382	19 lb/10E12 Btu	Uncontrolled, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Arsenic	7440382	4.2 lb/10E12 Btu	Uncontrolled, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Arsenic	7440382	2.06 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with multiclone, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Arsenic	7440382	0.50 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with ESP, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Arsenic	7440382	0.42 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with scrubber, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Arsenic	7440382	9.31 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with multiclone, calculated based on engineering judgement	36

INDUSTRIAL PROCESS	SIC CODE	EMISSION SOURCE	SCC	POLLUTANT	CAS NUMBER	EMISSION FACTOR	NOTES	REFERENCE
		al					Judgement	
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residenti al	1	Arsenic	7440382	2.28 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with ESP, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residenti al	1	Arsenic	7440382	1.90 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with scrubber, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residenti al	1	Beryllium	7440417	4.2 lb/10E12 Btu	Uncontrolled, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residenti al	1	Beryllium	7440417	2.5 lb/10E12 Btu	Uncontrolled, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residenti al	1	Beryllium	7440417	1.56 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with multiclone, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residenti al	1	Beryllium	7440417	0.35 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with ESP, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residenti al	1	Beryllium	7440417	0.15 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with scrubber, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residenti al	1	Beryllium	7440417	2.65 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with multiclone, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residenti al	1	Beryllium	7440417	0.59 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with ESP, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residenti al	1	Beryllium	7440417	0.25 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with scrubber, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residenti al	1	Cadmium	7440439	15.7 lb/10E12 Btu	Uncontrolled, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residenti al	1	Cadmium	7440439	10.5 lb/10E12 Btu	Uncontrolled, calculated based on engineering judgement	36

INDUSTRIAL PROCESS	SIC CODE	EMISSION SOURCE	SCC	POLLUTANT	CAS NUMBER	EMISSION FACTOR	NOTES	REFERENCE
		al						
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Cadmium	7440439	7.45 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with multiclone, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Cadmium	7440439	1.58 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with ESP, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Cadmium	7440439	0.63 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with scrubber, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Cadmium	7440439	46.86 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with multiclone, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Cadmium	7440439	9.90 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with ESP, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Cadmium	7440439	3.96 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with scrubber, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Chromium	7440473	21 lb/10E12 Btu	Uncontrolled, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Chromium	7440473	47.5 lb/10E12 Btu	Uncontrolled, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Chromium	7440473	27.8 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with multiclone, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Chromium	7440473	13.92 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with ESP, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Chromium	7440473	3.84 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with scrubber, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Chromium	7440473	12.18 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with multiclone, calculated based on engineering	36

INDUSTRIAL PROCESS	SIC CODE	EMISSION SOURCE	SCC	POLLUTANT	CAS NUMBER	EMISSION FACTOR	NOTES	REFERENCE
		al					judgement	
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Chromium	7440473	6.09 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with ESP, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Chromium	7440473	1.68 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with scrubber, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Copper	7440508	278 lb/10E12 Btu	Uncontrolled, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Copper	7440508	280 lb/10E12 Btu	Uncontrolled, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Copper	7440508	165.2 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with multiclone, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Copper	7440508	42 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with ESP, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Distillate oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Copper	7440508	25.2 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with scrubber, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Copper	7440508	165.2 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with multiclone, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Copper	7440508	42.0 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with ESP, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Residual oil-fired boilers, util/commerc/industr/residential	1	Copper	7440508	25.2 lb/10E12 Btu	Controlled with scrubber, calculated based on engineering judgement	36
Oil combustion		Utility boilers	101004	Lead	7439921	28 lb/10E12 Btu	Uncontrolled, calculated based on engineering judgement, assumed use residual oil	36
Oil combustion		Distillate watertube boilers	10300501	PCN		<0.12 pg/J heat input	Uncontrolled	114

INDUSTRIAL PROCESS	SIC CODE	EMISSION SOURCE	SCC	POLLUTANT	CAS NUMBER	EMISSION FACTOR	NOTES	REFERENCE
Oil combustion		Scotch marine boilers, distillate oil	10300501	PCN		17.7 pg/J	Uncontrolled	114
Oil combustion		Cast iron sectional boilers, distillate oil	10300501	PCN		<14.9 pg/J	Uncontrolled, home heating application	114
Oil combustion		Hot air furnace, distillate oil	10300501	PCN		<0.14 pg/J	Uncontrolled, same reference also lists <15.4 for same boiler/fuel type	114
Oil combustion	49	Boiler flue gas	1	Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-diox in, 2,3,7,8-	1746016	Not detectable	Low ash, 2% sulfur oil, sampled after heat exch., before ESP, 2378-TCDD detec. limit=<4.2-<7.9 ng/m3	119
Oil combustion	49	Flue gas	1	Tetrachlorodibenzofuran, 2,3,7,8-	51207319	Not detectable	Low ash, 2% sulfur oil, sampled after heat exch., before ESP, 2378-TCDD detec. limit=<0.67-<1.3ng/m3	119
Oil combustion, commercial		Residual oil-fired tangential furnaces	103004	Vanadium	7440622	3660 pg/J	Uncontrolled, based on reported emissions and engineering judgement	54
Oil combustion, commercial		Residual oil-fired wall furnaces	103004	Vanadium	7440622	3660 pg/J	Uncontrolled, based on reported emissions and engineering judgement	54
Oil combustion, commercial		Tangential furnace, residual oil	103004	Selenium	7782492	10.1 pg/J	Uncontrolled, based on reported emissions data and engineering judgement	54
Oil combustion, commercial		Wall furnace, residual oil	103004	Selenium	7782492	10.1 pg/J	Uncontrolled, based on reported emissions data and engineering judgement	54
Oil combustion, commercial		Scotch marine boilers, residual oil	10300401	PCN		0.95 pg/J heat input	Uncontrolled, represents benzo(a)pyrene only	114
Oil combustion, commercial		Distillate oil-fired tangential furnaces	103005	Vanadium	7440622	30.0 pg/J	Uncontrolled, based on reported emissions data and engineering judgement	54
Oil combustion, commercial		Distillate oil-fired wall furnaces	103005	Vanadium	7440622	30.0 pg/J	Uncontrolled, based on reported emissions data and engineering judgement	54
Oil combustion, commercial		Tangential furnace, distillate oil	103005	Selenium	7782492	10.1 pg/J	Uncontrolled, based on reported emissions data and engineering judgement	54



Emissions Assessment of Conventional Stationary
Combustion Systems: Volume V: Industrial
Combustion Sources

TRW, Inc.
Redondo Beach, CA

Prepared for

Industrial Environmental Research Lab.
Research Triangle Park, NC

1981



U.S. Department of Commerce
National Technical Information Service

TABLE 61. COMPARISON OF EXISTING TRACE ELEMENT EMISSION FACTOR DATA WITH RESULTS OF CURRENT STUDY OF OIL-FIRED INDUSTRIAL COMBUSTION SOURCES, $\mu\text{g}/\text{J}$

Element	Distillate oil-fired boilers			Residual oil-fired boilers			
	Current study	Existing data		Current study	Existing data		
		Ref. 42	Ref. 43		Ref. 42	Ref. 21	Ref. 28
Aluminum (Al)	178	15	250	177	156	87	132
Arsenic (As)	3.5	1.3	1.5	1.2	9.1	18	12
Barium (Ba)	1.2	8.4	16	3.3	9.5	29	31
Calcium (Ca)	75	845	450	229	780	320	1428
Cadmium (Cd)	1.3	2.5	11	0.66	0.2	52	6.9
Cobalt (Co)	3.6	2.3	1.0	11	23	50	10
Chromium (Cr)	24	36	29	29	50	30	21
Copper (Cu)	37	205	160	10	93	64	350
Fluorine (F)	—	14	—	—	1.0	2.7	149
Iron (Fe)	363	545	140	83	379	411	453
Mercury (Hg)	—	1.7	1.2	—	1.9	0.9	1.5
Potassium (K)	85	60	230	261	213	777	392
Lithium (Li)	0.5	1.5	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.4	1.7
Magnesium (Mg)	42	40	210	24	111	297	2384
Nickel (Ni)	255	112	290	728	804	964	433
Lead (Pb)	24	48	42	2	7	80	34
Antimony (Sb)	—	1.7	5.7	—	21	10	25
Silicon (Si)	735	173	—	8655	1610	400	595
Vanadium (V)	195	30	2.9	366	250	3656	714
Zinc (Zn)	42	40	110	33	46	29	66

Air



Health Impacts, Emissions, and Emission Factors for Noncriteria Pollutants Subject to De Minimis Guidelines and Emitted from Stationary Conventional Combustion Processes

TABLE 4-3 TRACE ELEMENT EMISSION FACTORS FOR OIL-FIRED AND GAS-FIRED UTILITY AND INDUSTRIAL BOILERS

FURNACE TYPE	RESIDUAL OIL ^a			NATURAL GAS ^b		
	pg/J			pg/J		
	Hg	Be	F	Hg	Be	F
UNCONTROLLED^c						
Tangential firing	23C	24C	23C	4.9	Nil	Nil
Wall firing	23C	24C	23C	4.9	Nil	Nil

- (a) Emission factors for residual oil are calculated based on characterization of eleven residual oil samples and the assumption that all trace elements in the oil feed are emitted through the stack (Shih, et al, October 1979). C indicates the concentration of trace element in residual oil, in ppm.
- (b) Based on stack test measurements for gas-fired utility boilers (1.).
- (c) When boilers are equipped with wet scrubbers (used for flue gas desulfurization), the emission factor for Be may be assumed to be 0.01 times the uncontrolled factor given above, and emissions of Hg and F are .2 times the values given above (1.).

NOTE: To convert emission factor units to LB/10¹²BTU, multiply factors by 2.33.

APPENDIX C
VOC EMISSION CALCULATIONS

VOC EMISSION ESTIMATES FOR GAS TURBINES 1-24

Emission estimates for VOCs from gas turbines contained in EPA Air Pollutant Emission Factors ,i.e., AP-42 are for unburned hydrocarbons. Investigations into the possible VOC emissions for the type of gas turbine unit at the Lauderdale Plant were unsuccessful in determining the amount of unreactive hydrocarbons, i.e., methane and ethane, that may be in the amount of unburned hydrocarbons. As a result, source testing which excluded these nonreactive hydrocarbons was performed as allowed by FDER Rule 17-2.100(223) F.A.C. The results of these tests are presented in the following report.

The emissions from the tests were evaluated statistically to determine an upper limit that would be applicable to all 24 gas turbines. The results of this evaluation indicated an upper bound for the emissions as follows:

Natural Gas - 0.0034 lb VOC per million Btu heat input
No. 2 Fuel Oil - 0.0013 lb VOC per million Btu heat input

The natural gas emission factor reflects an upper confidence limit of 95 percent. This confidence limit was chosen to account the generally higher VOC emissions on natural gas relative to fuel oil and the greater operating usage on natural gas. In addition, natural gas can contain minute quantities of ethylene, propane, butane and, hexane and higher molecular weight gases that are considered VOCs. The fuel oil emission factor was based on a 90 percent confidence limit. All statistics were based on the t distribution.

SOURCE TEST REPORT
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND EMISSIONS
EXCLUDING METHANE AND ETHANE

FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY
LAUDERDALE POWER PLANT
GAS TURBINE PEAKING UNITS 8 AND 23

NOVEMBER 8 AND 10, 1989

Prepared for:

KBN ENGINEERING AND APPLIED SCIENCES, INC.
1034 N.W. 57th STREET
GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA 32605

Prepared by:

AIR CONSULTING AND ENGINEERING, INC.
2106 N.W. 67th PLACE, SUITE 4
GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA 32606
(904) 335-1889

163-89-05

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>SECTION</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
1.0	INTRODUCTION.....	1
2.0	SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION OF RESULTS.....	2
3.0	PROCESS DESCRIPTION AND OPERATION.....	4
4.0	SAMPLING POINT LOCATION.....	5
5.0	FIELD AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES.....	6


APPENDICES

APPENDIX A--COMPLETE EMISSION DATA

APPENDIX B--STRIP CHART RECORDS
CALIBRATION GAS CERTIFICATIONS

LIST OF TABLES

<u>TABLE</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
1	EMISSION SUMMARY.....	3



REPORT CERTIFICATION

To the best of my knowledge, all applicable field and analytical procedures comply with Florida Department of Environmental Regulation requirements and all test data and plant operating data are true and correct.

Stephen L. Neck

Stephen L. Neck, P.E.

State of Florida
Registration No. 20020

November 22, 1989

Date

SEAL

1.0 INTRODUCTION

A study of non-methane/ethane emissions of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) was performed at the Florida Power and Light's Lauderdale Power Plant. Testing was performed on November 8 and 10, 1989. This study of gas turbine emissions supplements a previous test report of August-September 1989, in which emissions of total VOC's and non-methane organics were quantified.

A Byron 301 non-methane VOC analyzer with a flame ionization detector (FID) was utilized for testing. The gas chromatograph column temperature and carrier flow were raised above the normal settings to enable separation of both methane and ethane from the remainder of the volatile organics. A more detailed description of the technique is provided in Section 5.0.

Emissions from the two units were monitored during both natural gas and distillate fuel firing.

2.0 SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Results of the testing are summarized in Table 1. Complete emission results and strip chart records are provided in Appendix B.

Table 1 Emission Summary
 Florida Power and Light Company
 Ft. Lauderdale Power Plant
 November 8 and 10, 1989

Date	Fuel	Load MW	C ₃ H ₈ ppm	O ₂ %	Fuel Factor	Emission Rate* lb/MMBTU Carbon
<u>Unit 8</u>						
11/8/89	Natural gas	32.5	0.41	16.82	8710	0.0017
11/8/89	Distillate	32.5	0.20	16.51	9190	0.0008
<u>Unit 23</u>						
11/10/89	Distillate	33.0	0.46	16.90	8710	0.0020
11/10/89	Oil	32.5	0.11	16.75	9190	0.0005

$$* E = (\text{ppm } C_3H_8) (2.595 \times 10^{-9}) (\text{Fuel Factor}) \left(\frac{20.9}{20.9 - \%O_2} \right) (36)$$

Where 36 = molecular weight of carbon in C₃H₈

3.0 PROCESS DESCRIPTION AND OPERATION

Each of the 24 gas turbine generators is fired with two Rolls-Royce engines. The exhaust from each engine is expanded through a common turbine generator.

4.0 SAMPLING POINT LOCATION

Because the exhaust stack is filled with baffling material for noise control, there is no place to measure volumetric flows.

All samples were taken from a tee to the "combustibles analyzer" sample line provided for each unit.

5.0 FIELD AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

The Byron 301 utilizes a Chromosorb 106 column to separate methane from the remainder of VOC compounds. Since the constituents of natural gas are mainly methane and ethane, it is desirable to modify the analyzer to allow for the additional separation of ethane, which, along with methane, is excluded from the VOC list by statute. During normal operation, the 301 column temperature is maintained at 50°C at a flame air pressure of 16 psi and a carrier pressure of 13 psi. To enable the column to separate ethane as well as methane from the total, it was necessary to raise the column temperature to 71°C and to raise the flame air and carrier pressures to 31 and 29 psi, respectively.

The 301 analyzer operates on three minute cycles. A sample is continuously withdrawn from the stack and passes through a sample loop. Every three minutes the contents of the sample loop are injected into the G/C column. After a few seconds the methane, and in this case ethane, are passed through the column and into the FID. After approximately one minute, the analysis "window" is closed and the column is back flushed to pass the remainder of the VOC contents into the FID. Approximately two minutes is allowed for this analysis. To demonstrate performance of the analyzer, calibration gases of ≈ 25 ppm CH_4 , ≈ 25 ppm C_2H_6 , and three protocol 1 gases of C_3H_8 (3, 5, and 8.3 ppm $\text{C}_3\text{H}_8/\text{air}$) were utilized. It was demonstrated that the C_2H_6 was totally evolved during the normal methane analysis "window" after changing column operating parameters as previously mentioned.

The only complication to the testing arose from the fact that the new column operating parameters allowed the evolution of some very heavy organics that had previously been trapped in the column over its lifetime. This "background" was very constant, however, and was demonstrated by use of zero air response. Calibrations were made over this 0.5 ppm C_3H_8 response and almost perfect linearity was demonstrated.

APPENDIX A
COMPLETE EMISSION DATA

FPL-LAUDERDALE
 UNIT 8
 FT. LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA

NOVEMBER 8, 1989

LOAD	TIME	PPH C3H8	% O2	lb C/mmBTU	"F" FACTOR
32.5 MM	1340	0.30	16.575	0.0012	9190
OIL FIRING	1343	0.30	16.525	0.0012	
	1346	0.30	16.525	0.0012	
	1349	0.25	16.525	0.0010	
	1352	0.25	16.525	0.0010	
	1355	0.20	16.525	0.0008	
	1358	0.20	16.525	0.0008	
	1401	0.15	16.500	0.0006	
	1404	0.20	16.500	0.0008	
	1407	0.20	16.500	0.0008	
	1410	0.20	16.500	0.0008	
	1413	0.20	16.500	0.0008	
	*	---	---	---	
	1422	0.30	16.500	0.0012	
	1425	0.10	16.500	0.0004	
	1428	0.20	16.500	0.0008	
	1431	0.15	16.500	0.0006	
	1434	0.15	16.500	0.0006	
	1437	0.15	16.500	0.0006	
	1440	0.15	16.500	0.0006	
	1443	0.15	16.500	0.0006	
AVERAGES:		0.20	16.508	0.0008	

* Wait for air supply

SOURCE: ACE, Inc., 1989

FPL-LAUDERDALE
 UNIT 23
 FT. LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA

NOVEMBER 10, 1989

LOAD	TIME	PPH C3H8	% O2	lb C/mmBTU	"F" FACTOR
33.0 MW	1220	.55	16.900	0.0023	8710
NATURAL GAS	1223	.50	16.900	0.0021	
	1226	.55	16.900	0.0023	
	1229	.45	16.900	0.0019	
	1232	.45	16.900	0.0019	
	1235	.45	16.900	0.0019	
	1238	.45	16.900	0.0019	
	1241	.45	16.900	0.0019	
	1244	.45	16.900	0.0019	
	1247	.55	16.900	0.0023	
	1250	.45	16.900	0.0019	
	1253	.45	16.900	0.0019	
	1256	.45	16.900	0.0019	
	1259	.45	16.900	0.0019	
	1302	.45	16.900	0.0019	
	1305	.45	16.900	0.0019	
	1308	.45	16.900	0.0019	
	1311	.45	16.900	0.0019	
	1314	.45	16.900	0.0019	
	1317	.45	16.900	0.0019	
	1320	.45	16.900	0.0019	
AVERAGES:		0.46	16.900	0.0020	

SOURCE: ACE, Inc., 1989


FPL-LAUDERDALE
 UNIT 23
 FT. LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA

NOVEMBER 10, 1989


LOAD	TIME	PPM C3H8	% O2	lb C/mmBTU	"F" FACTOR
32.5 MM OIL FIRING	1346	0.10	16.750	0.0004	9190
	1349	0.10	16.750	0.0004	
	1352	0.15	16.750	0.0006	
	1355	0.10	16.750	0.0004	
	1358	0.15	16.750	0.0006	
	1401	0.10	16.750	0.0004	
	1404	0.15	16.750	0.0006	
	1407	0.10	16.750	0.0004	
	1410	0.10	16.750	0.0004	
	1413	0.10	16.750	0.0004	
	1417	0.10	16.750	0.0004	
	1420	0.10	16.750	0.0004	
	1423	0.15	16.750	0.0006	
	1426	0.10	16.750	0.0004	
	1429	0.10	16.750	0.0004	
	1432	0.10	16.750	0.0004	
	1435	0.10	16.750	0.0004	
	1438	0.15	16.750	0.0006	
1441	0.10	16.750	0.0004		
1443	0.10	16.750	0.0004		
1446	0.10	16.750	0.0004		
AVERAGES:		0.11	16.750	0.0005	

SOURCE: ACE, Inc., 1989


APPENDIX B
STRIP CHART RECORDS
CALIBRATION GAS CERTIFICATIONS




AIR CONSULTING & ENGINEERING, INC.




AIR CONSULTING & ENGINEERING, INC.



AIR CONSULTING & ENGINEERING, INC.



AIR CONSULTING & ENGINEERING, INC.



Date: _____
 Name of Client: _____
 Address: _____
 City: _____
 State: _____
 Zip: _____
 Project Name: _____
 Purpose of Test: _____
 Summary of Results: _____
 Complete this report to _____

AIR SUPPLY PROBLEM AGAIN


OIL 1340

CHANGE TO OIL


RECORD RECORD FIRM O2

3.0 PPM C3H8


END



ⓐ




AIR CONSULTING & ENGINEERING, INC.



UNIT 23 OIL

AIR CONSULTING & ENGINEERING, INC.



20.902

12.9802

C₃H₈ correct

VOC correction = $N \cdot \left(\frac{50}{47}\right) = 1.064$

5.0 C₃H₈

ZERO AIR

adjust O₂

20.902

drift O₂ correction $20.9 \left(\frac{20.9}{20.5}\right) = 1.02 \times$

20

19

18

17

16

15

14

13

12

11


10

9

8

7


6



AIR CONSULTING & ENGINEERING, INC.

END

AIR CONSULTING & ENGINEERING, INC.



ANALYTICAL REPORT - cont'd

AIR CONSULTING
ATTN: STEVE NECK

Date: 3/27/87
Our Project No.: 338458
Your P.O. No.: 87102

Cyl. No. <u>AAL-14506</u>	Analytical Accuracy <u>±1%</u>
Component	Concentration
<u>PROPANE</u>	<u>4.998 PPM</u>
<u>AIR</u>	<u>BALANCE</u>
<u>NBS TRACEABLE</u>	

Cyl. No. _____	Analytical Accuracy _____
Component	Concentration
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____

Cyl. No. <u>AAL-11220 L</u>	Analytical Accuracy <u>±1%</u>
Component	Concentration
<u>PROPANE</u>	<u>3.001 PPM</u>
<u>AIR</u>	<u>BALANCE</u>
<u>NBS TRACEABLE</u>	

Cyl. No. _____	Analytical Accuracy _____
Component	Concentration
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____

Cyl. No. _____	Analytical Accuracy _____
Component	Concentration
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____

Cyl. No. _____	Analytical Accuracy _____
Component	Concentration
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____

Analyst John T. Sanson
JOHN SANSON

Approved By Francis E. Nevill
FRANCIS E. NEVILL

CERTIFIED REFERENCE MATERIALS EPA PROTOCOL GASES
ACUBLEND® CALIBRATION & SPECIALTY GAS MIXTURES PURE GASES
ACCESSORY PRODUCTS CUSTOM ANALYTICAL SERVICES

The only liability of this Company for gas which fails to comply with this analysis shall be replacement thereof by the Company without extra cost.



scott Specialty Gases

PLUMSTEADVILLE, PA. 18949

PHONE: 215-766-8861

TWX: 510-665-9344

Air Consulting & Engr
Attn Steve Neck
Suite #4
2106E NW 67th Place
Gainesville FL 32606

Date Shipped 7/26/88
Our Project No: 4082
Your P.O. No: 88-156
Page 1 of 3

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS - EPA PROTOCOL GASES*

(Concentrations are in mole % or ppm)

Cylinder Number AAL-17097 Certified Accuracy +1 % NBS Traceable Analysis Dates: First 7/22/88 Last NR

CP=2000 psig

COMPONENTS	CERTIFIED CONC	EXPIRATION DATE	ANALYTICAL PRINCIPLE	PRIMARY STANDARD NBS/SRM's	REPLICATE CONCENTRATIONS	
					FIRST	SECOND
Propane	8.30 ppm	1/22/90	F. I. D.	1665/1666	8.301 ppm	-
Air	Balance				8.291 ppm	-
					8.312 ppm	-

C-32

Cylinder Number AAL-4045 Certified Accuracy +1 % NBS Traceable Analysis Dates: First 7/5/88 Last NR

CP=2000 psig

COMPONENTS	CERTIFIED CONC	EXPIRATION DATE	ANALYTICAL PRINCIPLE	PRIMARY STANDARD NBS/SRM's	REPLICATE CONCENTRATIONS	
					FIRST	SECOND
Propane	29.8 ppm	1/5/90	F. I. D.	1667	29.72 ppm	-
Air	Balance				29.82 ppm	-
					29.85 ppm	-

*We hereby certify the cylinder gas has been analyzed according to EPA Protocol No: 1-Procedure G-1

Analyst Tom Sassaman

Approved By Mark S. Sirinides

Tom Sassaman

Mark S. Sirinides

The only liability of this Company for gas which fails to comply with this analysis shall be replacement thereof by the Company without extra cost.

CERTIFIED REFERENCE MATERIALS ■ EPA PROTOCOL GASES ■ ACUBLEND® ■ CALIBRATION & SPECIALTY GAS MIXTURES
PURE GASES ■ ACCESSORY PRODUCTS ■ CUSTOM ANALYTICAL SERVICES

TROY, MICHIGAN / SAN BERNARDINO, CALIFORNIA / HOUSTON, TEXAS / WHEELING, ILLINOIS
SOUTH PLAINFIELD, NEW JERSEY / FREMONT, CALIFORNIA / WAKEFIELD, MASSACHUSETTS / LONGMONT, COLORADO

APPENDIX D

**APPLICATION TO OPERATE/CONSTRUCT
AIR POLLUTION SOURCES**

STATE OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION



APPLICATION TO OPERATE/CONSTRUCT AIR POLLUTION SOURCES

4-Heat Recovery Steam Generators &

SOURCE TYPE: 4-Combustion Turbines [] New¹ [] Existing¹

APPLICATION TYPE: [] Construction [] Operation [] Modification

COMPANY NAME: Florida Power & Light Company COUNTY: Broward

Identify the specific emission point source(s) addressed in this application (i.e. Line
Kila No. 4 with Venturi Scrubber; Peaking Unit No. 2, Gas Fired) HRSG Stack

SOURCE LOCATION: Street S.W. 42nd St.; 2 miles west of Ravenswood Rd. City N.A.

UTM: East 580,200 North 2,883,300

Latitude 26 ° 4 ' 5 "N Longitude 80 ° 11 ' 54 "W

APPLICANT NAME AND TITLE: Charles D. Henderson, P.E.

APPLICANT ADDRESS: P.O. Box 078768, West Palm Beach, Florida 33407-0768

SECTION I: STATEMENTS BY APPLICANT AND ENGINEER

A. APPLICANT

I am the undersigned owner or authorized representative* of _____

I certify that the statements made in this application for a _____ permit are true, correct and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. Further, I agree to maintain and operate the pollution control source and pollution control facilities in such a manner as to comply with the provision of Chapter 403, Florida Statutes, and all the rules and regulations of the department and revisions thereof. I also understand that a permit, if granted by the department, will be non-transferable and I will promptly notify the department upon sale or legal transfer of the permitted establishment.

*Attach letter of authorization

Signed: _____

Name and Title (Please Type)

Date: _____ Telephone No. _____

B. PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER REGISTERED IN FLORIDA (where required by Chapter 471, F.S.)

This is to certify that the engineering features of this pollution control project have been designed/examined by me and found to be in conformity with modern engineering principles applicable to the treatment and disposal of pollutants characterized in the permit application. There is reasonable assurance, in my professional judgment, that

¹ See Florida Administrative Code Rule 17-2.100(57) and (104)

the pollution control facilities, when properly maintained and operated, will discharge an effluent that complies with all applicable statutes of the State of Florida and the rules and regulations of the department. It is also agreed that the undersigned will furnish, if authorized by the owner, the applicant a set of instructions for the proper maintenance and operation of the pollution control facilities and, if applicable, pollution sources.

Signed _____

Name (Please Type)

Company Name (Please Type)

Mailing Address (Please Type)

Florida Registration No. _____ Date: _____ Telephone No. _____

SECTION II: GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION

- A. Describe the nature and extent of the project. Refer to pollution control equipment, and expected improvements in source performance as a result of installation. State whether the project will result in full compliance. Attach additional sheet if necessary.

Refer to Sections 2.1 and 2.2

- B. Schedule of project covered in this application (Construction Permit Application Only)

Start of Construction March 1, 1991 Completion of Construction 1993

- C. Costs of pollution control system(s): (Note: Show breakdown of estimated costs only for individual components/units of the project serving pollution control purposes. Information on actual costs shall be furnished with the application for operation permit.)

Refer to Section 4.3.1

- D. Indicate any previous DER permits, orders and notices associated with the emission point, including permit issuance and expiration dates.

See Table 2.1-1 and Appendix 10.4 in SCA

E. Requested permitted equipment operating time: hrs/day _____; days/wk _____; wks/yr _____;
if power plant, hrs/yr _____; if seasonal, describe: _____
See Section 2.3 and Table 2-7

F. If this is a new source or major modification, answer the following questions.
(Yes or No)

1. Is this source in a non-attainment area for a particular pollutant? Yes
a. If yes, has "offset" been applied? No
b. If yes, has "Lowest Achievable Emission Rate" been applied? No
c. If yes, list non-attainment pollutants. Volatile Organic Compounds

2. Does best available control technology (BACT) apply to this source?
If yes, see Section VI. Yes

3. Does the State "Prevention of Significant Deterioration" (PSD)
requirement apply to this source? If yes, see Sections VI and VII. Yes

4. Do "Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources" (NSPS)
apply to this source? Yes

5. Do "National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants"
(NESHAP) apply to this source? No

H. Do "Reasonably Available Control Technology" (RACT) requirements apply
to this source? No

a. If yes, for what pollutants? _____

b. If yes, in addition to the information required in this form,
any information requested in Rule 17-2.650 must be submitted.

Attach all supportive information related to any answer of "Yes". Attach any justifi-
cation for any answer of "No" that might be considered questionable.

See Section 3.0

SECTION III: AIR POLLUTION SOURCES & CONTROL DEVICES (Other than Incinerators)

A. Raw Materials and Chemicals Used in your Process, if applicable: Not Applicable

Description	Contaminants		Utilization Rate - lbs/hr	Relate to Flow Diagram
	Type	% Wt		

B. Process Rate, if applicable: (See Section V, Item 1)

1. Total Process Input Rate (lbs/hr): _____

2. Product Weight (lbs/hr): _____

C. Airborne Contaminants Emitted: (Information in this table must be submitted for each emission point, use additional sheets as necessary)

See Table 2-6 and Figure 2-1

Name of Contaminant	Emission ¹		Allowed Emission Rate per Rule 17-2	Allowable ³ Emission lbs/hr	Potential ⁴ Emission		Relate to Flow Diagram
	Maximum lbs/hr	Actual T/yr			lbs/hr	T/yr	

¹See Section V, Item 2.

²Reference applicable emission standards and units (e.g. Rule 17-2.600(5)(b)2. Table II, E. (1) - 0.1 pounds per million BTU heat input)

³Calculated from operating rate and applicable standard.

⁴Emission, if source operated without control (See Section V, Item 3).

D. Control Devices: (See Section V, Item 4) See Section 4.0

Name and Type (Model & Serial No.)	Contaminant	Efficiency	Range of Particles Size Collected (in microns) (If applicable)	Basis for Efficiency (Section V Item 5)

E. Fuels See Table 2-1 and Tables A-1 through A-8

Type (Be Specific)	Consumption*		Maximum Heat Input (MMBTU/hr)
	avg/hr	max./hr	

*Units: Natural Gas--MMCF/hr; Fuel Oils--gallons/hr; Coal, wood, refuse, other--lbs/hr.

Fuel Analysis: See Tables 2-8 and 2-9

Percent Sulfur: _____ Percent Ash: _____

Density: _____ lbs/gal Typical Percent Nitrogen: _____

Heat Capacity: _____ BTU/lb _____ BTU/gal

Other Fuel Contaminants (which may cause air pollution): _____

F. If applicable, indicate the percent of fuel used for space heating.

Annual Average _____ Maximum _____

G. Indicate liquid or solid wastes generated and method of disposal.

H. Emission Stack Geometry and Flow Characteristics (Provide data for each stack):
See Table 2-1

Stack Height: _____ ft. Stack Diameter: _____ ft.
Gas Flow Rate: _____ ACFM _____ DSCFM Gas Exit Temperature: _____ °F.
Water Vapor Content: _____ % Velocity: _____ FPS

SECTION IV: INCINERATOR INFORMATION Not Applicable

Type of Waste	Type 0 (Plastics)	Type I (Rubbish)	Type II (Refuse)	Type III (Garbage)	Type IV (Pathological)	Type V (Liq. & Gas By-prod.)	Type VI (Solid By-prod.)
Actual lb/hr Incinerated							
Uncontrolled (lbs/hr)							

Description of Waste _____
Total Weight Incinerated (lbs/hr) _____ Design Capacity (lbs/hr) _____
Approximate Number of Hours of Operation per day _____ day/wk _____ wks/yr. _____
Manufacturer _____
Date Constructed _____ Model No. _____

	Volume (ft) ³	Heat Release (BTU/hr)	Fuel		Temperature (°F)
			Type	BTU/hr	
Primary Chamber					
Secondary Chamber					

Stack Height: _____ ft. Stack Diameter: _____ Stack Temp. _____
Gas Flow Rate: _____ ACFM _____ DSCFM* Velocity: _____ FPS

*If 50 or more tons per day design capacity, submit the emissions rate in grains per standard cubic foot dry gas corrected to 50% excess air.

Type of pollution control device: Cyclone Wet Scrubber Afterburner
 Other (specify) _____

Brief description of operating characteristics of control devices: _____

Ultimate disposal of any effluent other than that emitted from the stack (scrubber water, ash, etc.):

NOTE: Items 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, and 10 in Section V must be included where applicable.

SECTION V: SUPPLEMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

Please provide the following supplements where required for this application.

1. Total process input rate and product weight -- show derivation [Rule 17-2.100(127)]
2. To a construction application, attach basis of emission estimate (e.g., design calculations, design drawings, pertinent manufacturer's test data, etc.) and attach proposed methods (e.g., FR Part 60 Methods 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) to show proof of compliance with applicable standards. To an operation application, attach test results or methods used to show proof of compliance. Information provided when applying for an operation permit from a construction permit shall be indicative of the time at which the test was made. See Tables A-1 through A-8
3. Attach basis of potential discharge (e.g., emission factor, that is, AP42 test).
See Tables A-1 through A-8
4. With construction permit application, include design details for all air pollution control systems (e.g., for baghouse include cloth to air ratio; for scrubber include cross-section sketch, design pressure drop, etc.)
See Tables A-1 and A-4 for wet injection rates
5. With construction permit application, attach derivation of control device(s) efficiency. Include test or design data. Items 2, 3 and 5 should be consistent: actual emissions = potential (1-efficiency).
See Tables A-1, A-2, A-4 and A-5
6. An 8 1/2" x 11" flow diagram which will, without revealing trade secrets, identify the individual operations and/or processes. Indicate where raw materials enter, where solid and liquid waste exit, where gaseous emissions and/or airborne particles are evolved and where finished products are obtained.
See Figure 2-1
7. An 8 1/2" x 11" plot plan showing the location of the establishment, and points of airborne emissions, in relation to the surrounding area, residences and other permanent structures and roadways (Example: Copy of relevant portion of USGS topographic map).
See Figure 1-2
8. An 8 1/2" x 11" plot plan of facility showing the location of manufacturing processes and outlets for airborne emissions. Relate all flows to the flow diagram.
See Figure 2-3

9. The appropriate application fee in accordance with Rule 17-4.05. The check should be made payable to the Department of Environmental Regulation. Not Applicable
10. With an application for operation permit, attach a Certificate of Completion of Construction indicating that the source was constructed as shown in the construction permit.

SECTION VI: BEST AVAILABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY

A. Are standards of performance for new stationary sources pursuant to 40 C.F.R. Part 60 applicable to the source?

Yes No

Contaminant	Rate or Concentration
See Section 4.2 and Table 4-1	

B. Has EPA declared the best available control technology for this class of sources (If yes, attach copy)

Yes No

Contaminant	Rate or Concentration
See Section 4.3 and Table 4-2	

C. What emission levels do you propose as best available control technology?

Contaminant	Rate or Concentration
See Tables A-1 through A-8	

D. Describe the existing control and treatment technology (if any). Not Applicable

- | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Control Device/System: | 2. Operating Principles: |
| 3. Efficiency:* | 4. Capital Costs: |

*Explain method of determining

- j. Applicability to manufacturing processes:
- k. Ability to construct with control device, install in available space, and operate within proposed levels:

3.

- a. Control Device:
- b. Operating Principles:
- c. Efficiency:¹
- d. Capital Cost:
- e. Useful Life:
- f. Operating Cost:
- g. Energy:²
- h. Maintenance Cost:
- i. Availability of construction materials and process chemicals:

j. Applicability to manufacturing processes:

- k. Ability to construct with control device, install in available space, and operate within proposed levels:

4.

- a. Control Device:
- b. Operating Principles:
- c. Efficiency:¹
- d. Capital Costs:
- e. Useful Life:
- f. Operating Cost:
- g. Energy:²
- h. Maintenance Cost:
- i. Availability of construction materials and process chemicals:

j. Applicability to manufacturing processes:

- k. Ability to construct with control device, install in available space, and operate within proposed levels:

F. Describe the control technology selected: See Section 4.3

- 1. Control Device:
- 2. Efficiency:¹
- 3. Capital Cost:
- 4. Useful Life:
- 5. Operating Cost:
- 6. Energy:²
- 7. Maintenance Cost:
- 8. Manufacturer:
- 9. Other locations where employed on similar processes:
- a. (1) Company:
- (2) Mailing Address:
- (3) City:
- (4) State:

¹Explain method of determining efficiency.

²Energy to be reported in units of electrical power - KWH design rate.

- (5) Environmental Manager:
- (6) Telephone No.:
- (7) Emissions:¹

Contaminant	Rate or Concentration

(8) Process Rate:¹

b. (1) Company:

(2) Mailing Address:

(3) City:

(4) State:

(5) Environmental Manager:

(6) Telephone No.:

(7) Emissions:¹

Contaminant	Rate or Concentration

(8) Process Rate:¹

10. Reason for selection and description of systems:

¹Applicant must provide this information when available. Should this information not be available, applicant must state the reason(s) why.

SECTION VII - PREVENTION OF SIGNIFICANT DETERIORATION

A. Company Monitored Data See Section 5.0 and Appendix 10.5.1

1. _____ no. sites _____ TSP _____ () SO₂* _____ Wind spd/dir

Period of Monitoring _____ / _____ / _____ to _____ / _____ / _____
month day year month day year

Other data recorded _____

Attach all data or statistical summaries to this application.

*Specify bubbler (B) or continuous (C).

2. Instrumentation, Field and Laboratory

a. Was instrumentation EPA referenced or its equivalent? [] Yes [] No

b. Was instrumentation calibrated in accordance with Department procedures?

[] Yes [] No [] Unknown

B. Meteorological Data Used for Air Quality Modeling See Section 6.3

1. _____ Year(s) of data from _____ / _____ / _____ to _____ / _____ / _____
month day year month day year

2. Surface data obtained from (location) _____

3. Upper air (mixing height) data obtained from (location) _____

4. Stability wind rose (STAR) data obtained from (location) _____

C. Computer Models Used See Section 6.2

1. _____ Modified? If yes, attach description.

2. _____ Modified? If yes, attach description.

3. _____ Modified? If yes, attach description.

4. _____ Modified? If yes, attach description.

Attach copies of all final model runs showing input data, receptor locations, and principle output tables.

D. Applicants Maximum Allowable Emission Data See Section 6.4

Pollutant

Emission Rate

TSP _____ grams/sec

SO₂ _____ grams/sec

E. Emission Data Used in Modeling See Section 6.4

Attach list of emission sources. Emission data required is source name, description of point source (on NEDS point number), UTM coordinates, stack data, allowable emissions, and normal operating time.

F. Attach all other information supportive to the PSD review.

G. Discuss the social and economic impact of the selected technology versus other applicable technologies (i.e., jobs, payroll, production, taxes, energy, etc.). Include assessment of the environmental impact of the sources. See Section 4.3

H. Attach scientific, engineering, and technical material, reports, publications, journals, and other competent relevant information describing the theory and application of the requested best available control technology.

See Section 4.3

APPENDIX 10.1.6

COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT CERTIFICATION

10.1.6 COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT CERTIFICATION

The Lauderdale Repowering project will not impact the coastal zone in Broward County.

APPENDIX 10.1.7

**NOTICE OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION OR ALTERATION
(FAA FORM 7460-1)**

NOTICE OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION OR ALTERATION U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Aviation Administration	Aeronautical Study Number
--	---------------------------

1. Nature of Proposal A. Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> New Construction <input type="checkbox"/> Alteration B. Class <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Permanent <input type="checkbox"/> Temporary (Duration _____ months) C. Work Schedule Dates Beginning <u>March 1991</u> End <u>December 1994</u>	2. Complete Description of Structure A. Include effective radiated power and assigned frequency of all existing, proposed or modified AM, FM, or TV broadcast stations utilizing this structure. B. Include size and configuration of power transmission lines and their supporting towers in the vicinity of FAA facilities and public airports. C. Include information showing site orientation, dimensions, and construction materials of the proposed structure. <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 1.2em;">See Attachment A</div>
--	---

3A. Name and address of individual, company, corporation, etc. proposing the construction or alteration. (Number, Street, City, State and Zip Code)

(407) 640-2060
 area code Telephone Number

Charles D. Henderson
 Florida Power & Light Company
 P.O. Box 078768
 6001 Village Boulevard
 West Palm Beach, FL 33407-0768

B. Name, address and telephone number of proponent's representative if different than 3 above.

Not Applicable

(if more space is required, continue on a separate sheet.)

4. Location of Structure		5. Height and Elevation (Complete to the nearest foot)	
A. Coordinates (To nearest second)	B. Nearest City or Town, and State <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 1.2em;">Hollywood</div>	C. Name of nearest airport, heliport, flightpark, or seaplane base <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 1.2em;">Ft. Lauderdale/Hollywood</div>	A. Elevation of site above mean sea level <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 1.2em;">9 ft</div>
26° 04' 5" Latitude 80° 11' 55" Longitude	(1) Distance to 4B <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 1.2em;">Miles</div>	(1) Distance from structure to nearest point of nearest runway <u>10,600 ft</u> (2) Direction from structure to airport <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 1.2em;">West to East</div>	B. Height of Structure including all appurtenances and lighting (if any) above ground, or water if so situated <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 1.2em;">150 ft</div>
		C. Overall height above mean sea level (A + B) <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 1.2em;">159 ft</div>	

Description of location of site with respect to highways, streets, airports, prominent terrain features, existing structures, etc. Attach a U.S. Geological Survey quadrangle map or equivalent showing the relationship of construction site to nearest airport(s). (if more space is required, continue on a separate sheet of paper and attach to this notice.)

See Attachment A, and Figures 1 and 2

Notice is required by Part 77 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 C.F.R. Part 77) pursuant to Section 1101 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended (49 U.S.C. 1101). Persons who knowingly and willingly violate the Notice requirements of Part 77 are subject to a fine (criminal penalty) of not more than \$500 for the first offense and not more than \$2,000 for subsequent offenses, pursuant to Section 902(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended (49 U.S.C. 1472(a)).

I HEREBY CERTIFY that all of the above statements made by me are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge. In addition, I agree to obstruction mark and/or light the structure in accordance with established marking & lighting standards if necessary.

Date <u>11/28/89</u>	Typed Name/Title of Person Filing Notice Charles D. Henderson/Manager	Signature <i>C. D. Henderson</i>
-------------------------	--	-------------------------------------

FOR FAA USE ONLY FAA will either return this form or issue a separate acknowledgement.

The Proposal <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Does not require a notice to FAA. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Is not identified as an obstruction under any standard of FAR, Part 77, Subpart C, and would not be a hazard to air navigation. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Is identified as an obstruction under the standards of FAR, Part 77, Subpart C, but would not be a hazard to air navigation. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Should be obstruction marked. <input type="checkbox"/> Lighted per FAA Advisory Circular 70/7460-1, Chapter(s) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Obstruction marking and lighting are not necessary.	Supplemental Notice of Construction FAA Form 7460-2 is required any time the project is abandoned, or <input type="checkbox"/> At least 48 hours before the start of construction. <input type="checkbox"/> Within five days after the construction reaches its greatest height. This determination expires on _____ unless: (a) extended, revised or terminated by the issuing office. (b) the construction is subject to the licensing authority of the Federal Communications Commission and an application for a construction permit is made to the FCC on or before the above expiration date. In such case the determination expires on the date prescribed by the FCC for completion of construction, or on the date the FCC denies the application. NOTE: Request for extension of the effective period of this determination must be postmarked or delivered to the issuing office at least 15 days prior to the expiration date. If the structure is subject to the licensing authority of the FCC, a copy of this determination will be sent to that Agency.
Remarks: 	

Issued In _____	Signature _____	Date _____
-----------------	-----------------	------------

Attachment A

The proposed structures are four steel stacks which will be constructed for the Lauderdale Repowering Project. The stacks are required to exhaust gases from four combustion turbines (CTs). The CTs are connected to heat recovery steam generators (HRSGs) which will produce steam. The steam will be used in the existing steam electric generators at the site. Figures 1 and 2 show the site. Figure 3 shows a plot plan of the stack locations. Figures 4 and 5 show profiles of the plant. Figure 6 shows the stacks relative to the imaginary surfaces. After this facility is constructed, the existing two boilers, which have an elevation of about 120 ft, and the two associated 150-ft stacks will be removed (elevations are above grade, which is 7 ft above msl).

10.1.7-3





Figure 1 SITE LOCATION MAP



Lauderdale
Repowering
Project

FPL



10.1.7-4

Figure 2 LAUDERDALE SITE VICINITY AND ADJACENT PROPERTIES



Lauderdale
Repowering
Project

FPL

10.1.7-6




Figure 4 PROFILE - INLET AIR FILTER AREA



Lauderdale
Repowering
Project

FPL

10.1.7-7




Figure 5 PROFILE - HEAT RECOVERY STEAM GENERATOR AREA



Lauderdale
Repowering
Project

FPL

APPENDIX 10.2
ZONING DESCRIPTIONS

ZONING

BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA

This pamphlet is a reprint of the Zoning Ordinance of the Code of Broward County, Florida, published by order of the Board of County Commissioners.



MUNICIPAL CODE CORPORATION

Tallahassee, Florida

Reprint
1984

**ARTICLE LXII. LIGHT INDUSTRIAL M-1
DISTRICT**

Sec. 39-1039. Purpose of district.

The M-1 Light Industrial District is intended primarily for the manufacture of small articles and nonobjectionable products not involving the use of any materials, processes or machinery likely to cause undesirable effects upon nearby or adjacent residential or business property. The M-1 district may directly abut a residential or business district, and the activities permitted in an M-1 district are intended to be such as may be compatible with such neighboring districts under the yard and separation regulations provided. In order to minimize conflict and preserve the M-1 districts for their primary purposes, residential and institutional uses are not permitted therein.

Sec. 39-1040. Uses permitted.

No building or structure, or part thereof, shall be erected, altered or used, or land or water used, in whole or in part, for other than one or more of the following uses:

- (1) Any use permitted in a B-3 district, except as prohibited in section 39-1041.

Supp. No. 12

(2) Manufacture of the following:

Brooms and brushes.
Candles.
Candy.
Ceramic products, electrically fired.
Cigars, cigarettes or snuff.
Clothing and hats.
Cosmetics and toiletries, except soap.
Dairy products.
Ice cream.
Jewelry.
Leather goods and luggage.
Optical equipment.
Orthopedic and medical supplies.
Paper products, and cardboard products.
Perfume.
Pharmaceutical products.
Plastic products, except pyroxylin.
Pottery, electrically fired.
Precision instruments.
Shoes.
Silverware.
Spices and spice packing.
Stationery.
Television, radio and phonograph.

(3) Manufacture of products from aluminum, brass, bronze, copper, steel or other metal, or from bone, cloth, hair, leather, paper, rubber, shell, plastic, wood or other materials, provided power not in excess of 20 horsepower on any 1 motor is utilized in the operation of any 1 machine, such as:

Artificial flowers, feathers or plumes.
Bags.
Buttons or novelties.
Canvas products.
Electrical fixtures.
Electronic devices.
Food products, chewing gum, syrups, fruit juices, extracts, drugs or medicines.

Supp. No. 12

Hardware and cutlery.
Musical instruments.
Small parts and devices.

(4) Any of the following:

Bakery.
Cutting or blending of liquor.
Cheese making.
Carpenter and cabinet shop.
Electroplating.
Egg storage, candling or processing.
Hydroponic garden.
Hatchery, fish or fowl.
Motion picture studio.
Pattern making.
Printing, publishing, lithography and engraving.
Stamping, dieing, shearing or punching of metal not over one-eighth inch in thickness.
Tool, die and gauge shop.
Transformer and electrical switching station.
Boat building and repair, up to 45-foot length, when located more than 500 feet from residentially zoned property.
Plumbing or electrical shop entirely within an enclosed building.
Slat house.
Manufacture of powder blends, potting compounds and plastisols.
Manufacture of water-based and/or epoxy-based coatings, adhesives, sealants and paints.

(5) Warehouse and storage buildings.

(6) Accessory uses and structures, including living quarters accessory to a permitted use.

Sec. 39-1041. Uses prohibited.

The permissible uses enumerated in section 39-1040 above shall not be construed to include, either as a principal or accessory use, any of the following, which are listed for emphasis:

Supp. No. 12

- (1) The manufacture of any product or the utilization of any process or operation expressly specified for an M-2, M-3 or M-4 district.
- (2) Foundry.
- (3) Drop forging.
- (4) Stamping, dieing, shearing or punching of metal exceeding one-eighth inch in thickness.
- (5) Paint or varnish manufacture.
- (6) Oil compounding or barreling.
- (7) Manufacture of asphalt, brick, tile, cement, lime, plaster, concrete or products thereof.
- (8) Open-air storage in bulk of asphalt, brick, building materials, butane, cement, clay products, concrete products, coal, contractors' equipment, cotton, fuel, gasoline, grain, gravel, grease, hay, ice, lead, lime, liquor, plaster, pipe, lumber, machinery, propane, roofing, rope, sand, stone, tar, tarred or creosoted products, terra cotta, timber, wine, wood or wool. This prohibition does not apply to storage of these materials in warehouses.
- (9) Use of automatic screw machines.
- (10) Institutions for the housing, care or treatment of sick, indigent, aged or adolescent persons.
- (11) Dwellings except as accessory to a permitted use.
- (12) Hotels, motels, apartment hotels, rooming, boarding or lodging houses, villas, bungalow courts.
- (13) Motor freight terminals.

Sec. 39-1042. Height.

No building or structure shall be erected or altered to a height exceeding 100 feet.

Sec. 39-1043. Plot size.

There shall be no minimum required size of plot.

Supp. No. 12

Sec. 39-1044. Yards.

Every plot shall be provided with the yards required under sections 39-163 through 39-169.

Secs. 39-1045—39-1054. Reserved.

**ARTICLE LXIV. GENERAL INDUSTRIAL
M-3 DISTRICT**

Sec. 39-1071. Purpose of district.

The M-3 General Industrial District is intended primarily for the larger and heavier types of manufacturing uses

Supp. No. 38

which normally have no serious effects upon contiguous nonresidential areas. In order to minimize conflict and to preserve the general industrial areas for the intended uses, certain residential and institutional uses are not permitted therein.

Sec. 39-1072. Uses permitted.

No building or structure, or part thereof, shall be erected, altered or used, or land or water used, in whole or in part, for other than one or more of the following uses:

- (1) Any use permitted in M-2 districts.
- (2) Any of the following uses:

- Brewing and distilling of malt beverages or liquors.
- Bulk storage of petroleum and petroleum products.
- Butane or propane manufacturing or storage.
- Can manufacturing from metal, such as tin cans or aluminum cans.
- Carpet manufacture, power looms.
- Celluloid or similar cellulose material manufacture or storage.
- Cleansing preparation manufacturing, such as soaps, detergents, cleansers, etc.
- Concrete batching or mixing plant.
- Concrete products manufacture.
- Cotton gin.
- Cotton oil plant.
- Cottonseed product.
- Dredging base.
- Dry ice manufacturing.
- Feed, flour and grist milling.
- Fertilizer compounding from dry, nonodororous materials.
- Fertilizer compounding from liquid, nonodororous materials.
- Furniture manufacturing, not elsewhere permitted.
- Glass products from previously manufactured glass.
- Magnetic wire manufacturing.

Supp. No. 12

Oil compounding involving flammable materials, such as oil, lacquer, shellac, etc., except as incidental to other business.

Pottery manufacturing, not elsewhere permitted.

Salt works.

Septic tank service.

Soap manufacturing.

Vegetable oil manufacturing or refining.

Veneer or plywood plant.

- (3) Any use not prohibited by section 39-1073, not prohibited by other resolutions or by law, and not listed as permissible only in an M-4 or an M-5 district.
- (4) Accessory uses and structures, including living quarters accessory to a permitted use.
- (5) Docks and docking of boats and ships for loading and unloading, storage, repair and building of boats not over 120 feet in length.

Sec. 39-1073. Uses prohibited.

- (a) Dwellings except as accessory to a permitted use.
- (b) Hotels, motels, apartment hotels, rooming, boarding or lodging houses, villas, bungalow courts.
- (c) Institutions for the housing, care or treatment of sick, indigent, aged, adolescent or other persons.
- (d) Any use which produces effects upon contiguous property in the form of noise, odor, vibration, smoke, particulate matter, glare, heat, or fire or explosive hazard to a degree equivalent to any use specified in this ordinance as first permitted in an M-4 or M-5 district.

Sec. 39-1074. Height.

No building or structure, or part thereof, shall be erected or altered to a height exceeding 150 feet.

Supp. No. 12

Sec. 39-1075. Plot size.

Every plot utilized for a use first permitted in an M-3 district shall not be less than 100 feet in width and 10,000 square feet in area.

Sec. 39-1076. Yards.

Every plot shall be provided with yards required under sections 39-163 and 39-169.


Secs. 39-1077—39-1086. Reserved.

APPENDIX 10.3
LAND USE PLAN DESCRIPTIONS

BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA



COMPREHENSIVE PLAN 1989



VOLUME 1 • 1989 BROWARD COUNTY LAND USE PLAN

BROWARD COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Scott I. Cowan Nicki Englander Grossman John P. Hart Ed Kennedy Lori Nance Parrish Sylvia Potter Gerald F. Thompson

Electric Energy

POLICY 08.01.19

Local government entities, Florida Power and Light Company, developers and landowners should coordinate and cooperate with one another with respect to the utilities route selection and location of electric facilities to ensure the future electrical energy needs of Broward County residents will be served. New electric transmission lines subject to the Florida Transmission Lines Siting Act should be sited in a manner that will consolidate such lines within existing corridors and that new corridors should be planned in coordination with land use plans of local governments.

OBJECTIVE 08.05.00 UTILITIES CATEGORY

Establish within the Future Broward County Land Use Plan Map (Series) a Utilities land use category to ensure the availability of land for a complete range of public and private utilities adequate to meet the current and future needs of Broward County's population.

POLICY 08.05.01 Permit those land uses in designated utilities areas which are identified within the Utilities Permitted Uses subsection of the Plan Implementation Requirements section of the Broward County Land Use Plan.

POLICY 08.05.02 Permit utilities uses within other specified land use categories as identified in the Permitted Uses subsection of the Plan Implementation Requirements section of the Broward County Land Use Plan, and as required to achieve Objective 08.05.00.

B. PERMITTED USES IN FUTURE LAND USE CATEGORIES

This section identifies those uses permitted in the future land use categories established within the Broward County Land Use Plan. Local government entity zoning as to permitted uses and densities must be in compliance with these permitted uses and the Future Broward County Land Use Plan Map (Series) designation.

Local government entity zoning as to permitted uses which is in compliance with a certified land use plan shall be in compliance with the Broward County Land Use Plan. A certified local land use plan and land development regulations adopted by a local government entity may prohibit or restrict any of the land uses permitted within any land use category in the Broward County Land Use Plan.

Areas designated on the Future Broward County Land Use Plan Map (Series) for particular uses are approximate. The exact boundaries for zoning will be determined by the local government entity within the reasonable limits of the designation on the map. Local government entities will determine those uses which will be permitted, allowed by special exceptions or not permitted from those uses listed below.

INDUSTRIAL USE

The purpose of reserving land for industrial uses, consistent with Objective 03.01.00, is to provide opportunity for the retention and expansion of Broward County's economic base activities. Although other uses are permitted in areas designated industrial, at least 80 percent of such land area must be devoted to industrial use, such as manufacturing, warehouse distribution, research and development, or other substantial employment based activities.

Uses permitted in areas designated industrial are as follows:

1. Light and heavy industrial uses.
2. Heavy commercial uses including new and used automobile, truck, motorcycle, boat and trailer display, sales, and service; newspaper, magazine, and printing plants; bakeries, carpentry, cabinet shops and other trade shops; motion picture studios; ice houses; propane gas sales and repair; and salvage yards.

3. Educational, scientific and industrial research facilities, research laboratories, and medical or dental laboratories.
4. Office uses.
5. Transportation and communication facilities.
6. Recreation and open space, cemeteries, and commercial recreation uses, as long as the location of these uses does not preclude or adversely affect the future use of surrounding areas for industry.
7. Community facilities and utilities.
8. Non-residential agricultural uses.
9. Ancillary commercial uses within buildings devoted to primary industrial uses.
10. Wholesaling uses.
11. The following uses may also be permitted if certified by the Broward County Planning Council in the local land use plan as long as the total area of these uses does not consume more than 20 percent of the industrial land designated on the Future Broward County Land Use Plan Map (Series) within a flexibility zone, and as long as the location of these uses do not preclude or adversely affect the future use of surrounding areas for industrial uses:
 - a. Commercial and retail business uses.
 - b. Hotel, motel and similar lodging.

UTILITIES USE

Utilities are designated on the Future Broward County Land Use Plan Map (Series), consistent with Objective 08.05.00, to ensure the availability of land necessary to provide an adequate level of utility service to meet the current and future needs of Broward County.

Utilities are also allowed in areas designated residential, commercial, office park, industrial, employment center, regional activity center and agricultural.

Uses permitted in areas designated utilities are as follows:

1. Utilities such as water and wastewater treatment plants, pumping stations, electrical power plants and substations, solid waste disposal and transfer stations.
2. Other uses determined to be ancillary to the primary uses described in (1).

The following uses may also be permitted in the areas designated utilities as long as the location of these uses does not preclude or adversely affect the future use of the surrounding areas for utility facilities:

3. Recreation and open space uses.
4. Non-residential agricultural uses.
5. Communication facilities.

APPENDIX 10.4
EXISTING PERMITS

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Page 1 of 1)

10.4 EXISTING PERMITS

BROWARD COUNTY PERMIT	10.4-1
FDER AIR PERMITS	10.4-11
FDER INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER PERMIT	10.4-44
SFWM CONSUMPTIVE USE PERMITS	10.4-57
SFWM RIGHTS-OF-WAY PERMITS	10.4-65
FDNR TERMINAL FACILITY PERMIT	10.4-70
BCEQCB AIR PERMITS	10.4-72

BROWARD COUNTY PERMIT

BROWARD COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL BOARD
500 S.W. 14th Court
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33315
(305) 765-4900

**License To Operate A Direct
Discharge Industrial Wastewater
Treatment Facility**

OWNER/AGENT:
H. E. Sanders, Plant Manager
Florida Power & Light Co.
Lauderdale Plant
P.O. Box 155
Dania, Florida 33004

LICENSE NO. IWH-102-89

FACILITY NAME/ADDRESS
Florida Power & Light Co.
Lauderdale Plant
S. W. 42nd Street
(2 miles west of Ravenswood Rd.)
Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33314
Phone: (305) 797-1502

This license is issued under the provisions of the Code of Regulations of Broward County Environmental Quality Control Board, hereinafter called the Code. The above-named applicant, hereinafter called licensee, is hereby authorized to perform the work or operate the facility shown on the approved drawing(s), plans, documents, and specifications submitted by applicant and made a part hereof and described specifically below. If no objection to this license is received within 14 days you will be deemed to have accepted it and all the attached terms and conditions.


TO OPERATE: A direct discharge industrial wastewater treatment and disposal system as described on description page 2.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH: BCEQCB license renewal application received 2/23/89 (none attached)

The licensee shall maintain his discharge within the limits for the parameters listed on page 3 in addition to all others required by applicable local, state or Federal Regulations. Licensee sampling is required at the frequency described on analysis requirements page 3.

Subject to General Conditions 1 thru 12 and Specific Conditions 1 thru 4 and attachment "A".

Expiration Date: 3/10/90
Renewal Application Due 1/9/90
Issued this 25th day of February, 1989
Broward County Environmental Quality Control Board
Prepared by Glenn Malastroa


Victor H. Howard, P.E.
Pollution Control Officer

Page 1 of 9

c:\wpdoc7\iwh10289.lic

DESCRIPTION

H. E. Sanders, Plant Manager

License No. IWH-102-89

This license allows Florida Power & Light Company - Lauderdale Plant to operate a direct discharge industrial wastewater treatment and disposal system discharging industrial wastewaters associated with the generation of electricity from steam to Class III Surface Waters and Class G-II Groundwaters and more particularly described as follows:

Surface Waters

- 1) A maximum of 344 MGD of once-through cooling water at a flow rate of 232,000 GPM is discharged from Units 4 & 5 to the discharge canal, and eventually to the South Fork of the New River, classified as Class III Surface Waters.
- 2) Up to 8.64 MGD of auxiliary cooling water at a flow rate of 6000 GPM is discharged from Units 4 & 5 to the discharge canal as described in (1) above.
- 3) Stormwaters from non-equipment area drains discharge at a flow rate of 24,029 GPM to the intake and discharge canals, and eventually to the South Fork of the New River, classified as Class III Surface Waters. Direct stormwater discharge is not covered by this license.

Groundwater

- 4) A total of 296,000 gallons per year of wastewater from air preheater wash (metal cleaning wastes), fireside boiler wash (soot leaching & metal cleaning wastes) and stack wash (wastewater may or may not be generated depending on the cleaning process used) is discharged to solids settling basins B-1A & B-1B from where it is discharged to the evaporation/percolation pond, classified as Class G-II Groundwaters (Batch Discharge).
- 5) Up to 2,885,250 gallons per year of wastewaters from neutralization tank discharge and coagulator blowdown are discharged to the stub canal evaporation/percolation pond, classified as Class G-II Groundwaters (Batch Discharge).
- 6) Up to 4,854,00 gallons per year of boiler blowdown wastewater is discharged to the stub canal evaporation/percolation pond, classified as Class G-II Groundwaters.
7. Oily waters and equipment cleaning washwaters as well as some storm water run off, totalling 2,085,000 gallons per year are collected by equipment area drains and routed via various sumps to an oil/water separator and then to either the stub canal evaporation/percolation pond or to diked areas of the fuel oil storage areas, classified as Class G-II Groundwaters.

The licensee shall maintain the quality and quantity of the effluents discharged such that the groundwater quality outside a defined Zone of Discharge is within the water quality standards expressed in Section 27-5.073 of the BCEQCB Code of Regulations. For the purposes of this license the defined Zone of Discharge is at the perimeter of the property line. The surface water quality after thorough mixing (500 to 1) shall be within the water quality standards expressed in Section 27-5.071 of the BCEQCB Code of Regulations. The licensee may be required to eliminate, reduce flow, or provide additional treatment to discharges should conditions of the waters within the Zone of Discharge, as monitored in the monitoring wells, warrant or should quality of the discharges to surface waters warrant.

ANALYSIS REQUIREMENTS

H. E. Sanders, Plant Manager

License No. IW1-102-89

GROUNDWATER

Sampling and analysis of groundwater monitoring wells U-1, OB-2, OB-3, M-2, M-3, and M-4 shall be conducted semi-annually for the following.

<u>PARAMETER</u>	<u>LIMITS</u> <u>WATER QUALITY STANDARDS (5.073)</u> <u>AT PERIMETER OF ZONE OF DISCHARGE</u>
pH	6.5-8.5
Phosphates (Total as P)	0.01 mg/l
Nitrogen-Nitrate	10 mg/l
Chlorides	-----
Oil & Grease	10 mg/l
Copper	1.0 mg/l
Chromium (Total)	0.05 mg/l
Selenium	0.01 mg/l
Vanadium	-----
Zinc	5.0 mg/l
Silver	0.05 ug/l
Nickel	0.1 mg/l
Lead	0.05 mg/l
Mercury	0.002 ug/l
PCB's	0.01 ug/l

SURFACE WATERS

Sampling and analysis of the intake water and the once through unit cooling water discharge (1) and auxiliary cooling waters discharge (2) to surface waters shall be conducted prior to thorough mixing with the receiving waters and reported semi-annually. The difference between intake water ambient quality and discharge water quality shall be within water quality standards (5.071) after thorough mixing.

<u>PARAMETERS</u>	<u>FREQUENCY</u>	<u>LIMITS</u> <u>WATER QUALITY STANDARDS(5.071)</u> <u>AFTER THOROUGH MIXING (500 TO 1)</u>
Flow (gallons per day)	Daily	-----
*Discharge Temperature	Daily	90 degrees F
*Temperature Rise	Every 4 hrs.	-----
Total Residual Chlorine	Twice/Month	0.01 mg/l
pH	Semi-Annually	6.5-8.5
Phosphorus(Total)	Semi-Annually	0.05 mg/l
Nitrogen-Total	Semi-Annually	1.50 mg/l
Oil & Grease	Semi-Annually	1.0 mg/l
Copper	Semi-Annually	0.015 mg/l
Chromium (Total)	Semi-Annually	0.05 mg/l
Selenium	Semi-Annually	0.025 mg/l
Vanadium	Semi-Annually	-----
Zinc	Semi-Annually	1.0 mg/l
Silver	Semi-Annually	0.05 ug/l
Nickel	Semi-Annually	0.1 mg/l
Lead	Semi-Annually	0.03 mg/l
Mercury	Semi-Annually	0.1 ug/l
PCB's	Semi-Annually	0.001 ug/l

*With respect to temperature measurements, the cooling water effluent shall have a Zone of Mixing in accordance with Section 27-4.244 F.A.C. and extending from the discharge point in the direction of normal flow a distance of 800 meters.

GENERAL CONDITIONS:

1. The terms, conditions, requirements, limitations and restrictions set forth herein are accepted by the licensee and enforceable by the EQCB pursuant to Chapter 27 of the Broward County Environmental Quality Control Board (EQCB) Code. The EQCB will review this licence periodically and may revoke the license, initiate administrative and/or judicial action for any violation of the conditions by the licensee, its agents, employees, servants or representatives.
2. This license is valid only for the specific uses set forth in the license application and any deviation from the approved uses may constitute grounds for revocation and enforcement action by the EQCB.
3. In the event the licensee is temporarily unable to comply with any of the conditions of the license, the licensee shall notify the PCO within 12 hours. Within 5 working days of the event, the licensee shall submit a written report to the PCO that describes the incident, its cause, the measures being taken to correct the problem and prevent its reoccurrence, the owner's intention toward repair, replacement, and reconstruction of destroyed facilities, and a schedule of events leading toward operation within the license conditions.
4. The issuance of this license does not convey any vested rights or exclusive privileges. Nor does it authorize any injury to public or private property or any invasion of personal rights, nor any violations of federal, state or local laws or regulations.
5. This license must be available for inspection on licensee's premises during the entire life of the license.
6. By accepting this license, the licensee understands and agrees that all records, notes, monitoring data and other information relating to the construction or operation of this permitted source, that are submitted to the EQCB, may be used by the EQCB as evidence in any enforcement proceeding arising under EQCB rules and regulations, except where such use is prohibited by Section 402.111, Florida Statutes.
7. The licensee agrees to comply with Chapter 27, Code of Regulations of the EQCB.
8. Any new owner of a licensed facility shall apply by letter for a transfer of license within thirty (30) days after sale or legal transfer. The transferor shall remain liable for performance in accord with the license until the transferee applies for and is granted transfer of license.
9. The licensee, by acceptance of this license, specifically agrees to allow access to the licensed source at reasonable times by EQCB personnel for the purposes of inspection and testing to determine compliance with this license and EQCB rules and regulations.
10. This license does not constitute a waiver of or approval of any other license that may be required for other aspects of the total project.
11. If the licensee wishes to renew the license or extend its term, he shall make application 60 days prior to its expiration. Expired licenses are not renewable.
12. In addition to the general conditions set forth above, each license issued by the EQCB shall contain specific conditions determined by site conditions and requirements pursuant to the regulations as determined by the PCO. Licensee agrees that specific conditions are enforceable by the EQCB for any violation thereof.

SPECIFIC CONDITIONS:

1. Any change in the licensed configuration and/or operation of the treatment or disposal system facility shall be submitted for approval prior to change.
2. Samples shall be taken at a point downstream of the treatment facilities just before effluent is discharged to the sanitary sewer. Location and configuration of the sampling point shall be set by BCEQCB. Samples shall consist of grab samples taken during a period of peak discharge and shall be sampled and analyzed by a lab certified by Florida Department of Environmental Regulation. Analysis reports shall be submitted to BCEQCB no later than the 15th day of the following month.
3. A facility with a periodic batch discharge shall maintain a discharge log book available for inspection by BCEQCB. Included in the log shall be the date, time, quantity of discharge, analysis performed, laboratory performing analysis, name of person doing sampling and signature of person recording the event in log book. Records shall be kept for a period of not less than five (5) years.
4. Any hazardous waste that is generated and leaves the facility shall be reported to BCEQCB on a monthly basis by the fifteenth (15) day of the following month and be disposed in accordance with EPA and DER requirements. A copy of the manifest must be included.

ATTACHMENT "A"

HAZARDOUS MATERIAL SECTION OF THE
DIRECT DISCHARGER INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER LICENSE

NATURE OF BUSINESS: Steam electric power plant

AREAS COVERED: Laboratory, warehouse, water treatment plant, storeroom building, 2 storage sheds, and satellite accumulation areas.

COMPLIANCE (FEATURES):

Appropriate building(s) act as secondary containment for chemicals within them.

No more than 55 gallons shall be accumulated in each satellite area and each drum is labeled as to type of waste and has appropriate locking device to prevent unauthorized addition to drum. Appropriate plant personnel coordinate drum usage, etc. A portable satellite storage containment system is utilized for each drum.

Facility is manned 24 hrs./day, 7 days/week, and drums are inspected daily or weekly as appropriate.

This license is subject to Attachment "A" Specific Conditions 1,2,3,5,7 & 9 shown below.

ATTACHMENT "A" SPECIFIC CONDITIONS

1. An up to date Inventory List of hazardous material stored shall be submitted with license renewal and with applications for operation or construction licenses.
2. Report any hazardous waste that leaves the facility to EQCB by legible copy of manifest on a monthly and annual basis, by the fifteenth day of the following month.
3. A Spill Contingency Plan shall be prepared and kept on site. Upon discharge of any hazardous material immediately implement the emergency clean-up plan. Discharges shall be reported to EQCB within 12 hours as provided in General Condition 3.
4. Monitor wells shall be tested weekly.
5. Employees shall be trained in the handling of hazardous materials and the Spill Contingency Plan.
6. EQCB shall be notified for purposes of inspection 24 hours prior to removal of a tank. If there is evidence of release of product, a clean-up will be ordered and a monitor well shall be installed.
7. Hazardous material facilities shall have hazardous materials or wastes only in areas that have no floor drains or other means of discharge to septic tank or ground and have adequate secondary containment. Reactive materials shall be kept in separate secondary containments. Secondary containment areas shall be under cover and allow no storm water within. Waste storage areas require secondary containment.
8. Disassembly shall be done within a secondary containment area. All containers of fluids (engines, transmissions, fuel tanks, radiators, overflow tanks and hydraulic and windshield washer systems); Their drained fluids; and undrained vehicles awaiting disassembly shall be stored within a secondary containment. Outside storage of the above parts is prohibited unless empty and stored with the fluid cavities open for inspection but not to storm water.

ATTACHMENT "A" CONTINUED

EQCB CODE	TRADE NAME OF HAZARDOUS MAT'LS	CHEMICAL OR GENERIC NAME OF HAZARDOUS MAT'LS	CONTAINER SIZE	TOT QUANT ON-SITE (GAL/LBS)	MONTHLY USE (GAL/LBS)
16	Amino Acid solution	Dimethyl Formamide sodium metabifulfite	1 gal.	5 gals.	1/2 gal.
16	Aluminum Sulfate	Aluminum Sulfate	50 lbs.	1300 lbs.	300 lbs.
16	Ammonium Hydroxide	Ammonium Hydroxide	55 gals.	110 gals.	5 gals.
16	Ammonium Molybdate	Ammonium Molybdate	1/8 gal.	1 gal.	1/2 gal.
16	Ammonium Vanadate	Ammonium Vanadate	1/4 lb.	1/4 lb.	1/8 lb.
16	Chemtreat Cl. 2971	Molybdate/Nitrate Salt Solution	55 gals.	55 gals.	5 gals.
12A	Chemtreat CL 4123	Triazole	5 gals.	1 gal.	1/4 gal.
16	Disodium Phosphate	Disodium Phosphate	50 lbs.	800 lbs.	50 lbs.
16	Drewfloc 4	Acrylamide	400 lbs.	200 lbs.	6 lbs.
16	Hydrated Lime	Calcium Oxide	50 lbs.	16050 lbs.	3000 lbs.
16	Hydrazine 35%	Hydrazine	175 gals.	260 gals.	20 gals.
16	Hydrazine Di-hydrochloride	Hydrazine Di-hydrochloride	1 lb.	1 lb.	1/8 lb.
16.	Hydrochloric Acid	Hydrochloric Acid	1 gal.	2 gals.	1/4 gal.
12	Isopropanol	Isopropanol	1 gal.	2 gals.	1/2 gal.
16	Magox	Magnesium Oxide	50 lbs.	10000 lbs.	650 lbs.
16	Molybdate 3 Reagent	Sulfuric Acid	1 gal.	2 gals.	1 gal.
16	Nessler's Solution	Mercuric Oxide Na/K Hydroxide	1/8 gal.	1/8 gal.	1/8 gal.
16	Nitric Acid	Nitric Acid	1 gal.	2 gals.	1/8 gals.
16	Oxalic Acid	Oxalic Acid	1/4 gal.	1 gal.	1/16 gal.

ATTACHMENT "A" CONTINUED

EQCB CODE	TRADE NAME OF HAZARDOUS MAT'LS	CHEMICAL OR GENERIC NAME OF HAZARDOUS MAT'LS	CONTAINER SIZE	TOT QUANT ON-SITE (GAL/LBS)	MONTHLY USE (GAL/LBS)
16	P-Dimethylamino Benzaldehyde	F-Dimethylamino Benzaldehyde	1/4 lb.	1/4 lb.	1/8 lb.
12B	Phenolphthalein Solution	2-Propanol Methanol	1/4 gal.	1/2 gal.	1/8 gal.
16	Potassium Chromate	Potassium Chromate	1/8 gal.	1/8 gal.	1/8 gal.
16	Potassium Hydroxide	Potassium Hydroxide	1 lb.	1 lb.	1/8 lb.
16	Silver Nitrate	Silver Nitrate	1/2 gal.	1/2 gal.	1/8 gal.
16	Sodium Tripolyphosphate	Sodium Tripolyphosphate	100 lbs.	3100 lbs.	70 lbs.
16	Sulfuric Acid Solution	Sulfuric Acid Solution	1 gal.	1 gal.	1/8 gal.
16	Sulfuric Acid	Sulfuric Acid	Outside AG 1500 gals.	997 gals.	453 gals.
12A	Halogenated Hydrocarbon	1,1,2 Trichloro 1,1,2 Trifluoroethane	1 gal.	1 gal.	1/8 gal.
16	Trisodium Phosphate	Trisodium Phosphate	50 lbs.	300 lbs.	40 lbs.
10	Paint	Aromatic Hydrocarbon	1 lb. can 1,5 gals.	100 gals.	35 gals.
12B	Paint Thinner No. 1	Xylene	55 gals.	55 gals.	7 gals.
12B	Paint Thinner No. 2	Mek, Toluene	55 gals.	275 gals.	7 gals.
12B	Paint Thinner No. 4	MEK	55 gals.	110 gals.	7 gals.
12B	Paint Thinner No. 5	MEK	55 gals.	330 gals.	7 gals.
12B	Mineral Spirits	Mineral Spirits	55 gals.	430 gals.	20 gals.
12A	M-2	Chlorinated Hydrocarbon	55 gals.	110 gals.	4 gals.
12A	Penetone 19	Aromatic Hydrocarbon	55 gals.	110 gals.	4 gals.

ATTACHMENT "A" CONTINUED

EQCB CODE	TRADE NAME OF HAZARDOUS MAT'LS	CHEMICAL OR GENERIC NAME OF HAZARDOUS MAT'LS	CONTAINER SIZE	TOT QUANT ON-SITE (GAL/LBS)	MONTHLY USE (GAL/LBS)
12A	Penetone 52B	Aromatic Hydrocarbon	55 gals.	110 gals.	4 gals.
12B	NSC 200	Ethylene Glycol Monobutyl Ether	55 gals.	55 gals.	4 gals.
25	Turbo 32, 68, 100, 220, 25	Petroleum Base	55 gals.	1925 gals.	10 gals.
25	Aeroshell 500, 600	Petroleum Base	55 gals.	165 gals.	8 gals.
25	Tellusb 32, 68, 100	Petroleum Base	55 gals.	605 gals.	8 gals.
25	Spirex SAE 85-140	Petroleum Base	55 gals.	220 gals.	8 gals.
25	Clavus 68	Petroleum Base	55 gals.	465 gals.	8 gals.
25	Valvata J-680	Petroleum Base	55 gals.	110 gals.	8 gals.
25	Rotella 30, 30T 40	Petroleum Base	55 gals.	880 gals.	8 gals.
25	Dextron II ATF	Petroleum Base	55 gals.	55 gals.	8 gals.
25	Type FATF	Petroleum Base	55 gals.	55 gals.	8 gals.
25	Calibration Fluid	Petroleum Base	55 gals.	110 gals.	8 gals.
25	Tonna 68	Petroleum Base	55 gals.	55 gals.	8 gals.
25	Rondo HD 150	Petroleum Base	55 gals.	55 gals.	8 gals.
25	Multitrak	Petroleum Base	55 gals.	55 gals.	8 gals.
25	Unilube Multi-trak Hyd. Fluid	Petroleum Base	55 gals.	110 gals.	8 gals.
25	Kendall R&O AW68	Petroleum Base	55 gals.	220 gals.	8 gals.
25	WD-40	Petroleum Base	55 gals.	55 gals.	8 gals.
25	Nox Rust.	Petroleum Base	55 gals.	165 gals.	8 gals.
25	Gulf Lubecoat 0,1,2,3	Petroleum Base	35 lbs.	35 lbs.	3 lbs.
25	Shell Alvania	Petroleum Base	35 lbs.	1920 lbs.	3 lbs.

ATTACHMENT "A" CONTINUED

EQCB CODE	TRADE NAME OF HAZARDOUS MAT'LS	CHEMICAL OR GENERIC NAME OF HAZARDOUS MAT'LS	CONTAINER SIZE	TOT QUANT ON-SITE (GAL/LBS)	MONTHLY USE (GAL/LBS)
12B	Biobor JF	Naptha	55 gals.	0 gals.	1 gal.
16	Oxsorbant	Chromium Chloride	1/8 gal.	5/8 gal.	1/16 gal.
16	Soda Ash	Sodium Carbonate	50 lbs.	7000 lbs.	2000 lbs.
16	Sodium Hydroxide	Sodium Hydroxide	1500 lbs.	960 lbs.	490 lbs.

TOTAL MONTHLY USE: 1821 gals.
HAZ MAT FEE PAID: \$ 325.00
STORAGE TANK FEE PAID: N/A
IND. WASTEWATER FEE PAID: \$1600.00
TOTAL \$1925.00

FDER AIR PERMITS



Florida Department of Environmental Regulation

Southeast District • 1900 S. Congress Ave., Suite A • West Palm Beach, Florida 33408 • 407-964-9668

Bob Martinez, Governor

Dale Ewachtmann, Secretary

RECEIVED
AUG 09 1988
MANAGER
PERMITTING & PROGRAMS

RECEIVED

NOTICE OF PERMIT

AUG 09 1988

AUG 09 1988

Broward County
AP - Florida Power & Light Co. -
Lauderdale Unit 4

ENV. PERMITTING

Mr. T. R. Fair, Manager
Environmental Permitting & Programs
Florida Power & Light
P. O. Box 14000
Juno Beach, FL 33408

Dear Mr. Fair:

Enclosed is Permit Number AO 06-146594 to operate an air pollution source issued pursuant to Section 403.087, Florida Statutes.

Persons whose substantial interests are affected by this permit have a right, pursuant to Section 120.57, Florida Statutes, to petition for an administrative determination (hearing) on it. The petition must conform to the requirements of Chapters 17-103 and 28-5.201, FAC, and must be filed (received) in the Department's Office of General Counsel, 2600 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, FL 32399-2400, within fourteen (14) days of receipt of this notice. Failure to file a petition within the fourteen (14) days constitutes a waiver of any right such person has to an administrative determination (hearing) pursuant to Section 120.57, Florida Statutes. This permit is final and effective on the date filed with the Clerk of the Department unless a petition is filed in accordance with this paragraph or unless a request for extension of time in which to file a petition is filed within the time specified for filing a petition and conforms to Rule 17-103.070, FAC. Upon timely filing of a petition or a request for an extension of time this permit will not be effective until further Order of the Department.

When the Order (Permit) is final, any party to the Order has the right to seek judicial review of the Order pursuant to Section 120.68, Florida Statutes, by the filing of a Notice of Appeal pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure, with the Clerk of the Department in the Office of General Counsel, 2600 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, FL 32399-2400; and by filing a copy of the Notice of Appeal accompanied by the applicable filing fees with the appropriate District Court of Appeal. The Notice of Appeal must be filed within 30 days from the Final Order is filed with the Clerk of the Department.

Executed in West Palm Beach, Florida

STATE OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION

Stephanie S. Brooks

Stephanie S. Brooks
Engineer
Air Permitting
1900 South Congress Ave., Suite A
West Palm Beach, FL 33406
407/964-9668

SB:s/272

Copies furnished to:
Broward County Environmental Quality Control Board

Mr. T. R. Fair, Manager
Environmental Permitting & Programs
Florida Power & Light
Page 2 of 2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that this NOTICE OF PERMIT and all copies were mailed before the close of business on AUG 07 1983 to the listed persons.

Clerk Stamp

FILING AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT FILED, on this date, pursuant to §120.52(10), Florida Statutes, with the designated Department Clerk, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged.

Maureen Smith
Clerk

AUG 08 1983
Date



Florida Department of Environmental Regulation

Southeast District • 1900 S. Congress Ave., Suite A • West Palm Beach, Florida 33406 • 407-964-9668

Bob Martinez, Governor

Chale Twachtmann, Secretary

John Shearer, Assistant Secretary
Scott Benyon, Deputy Assistant Secretary

PERMITTEE:
Mr. T. R. Fair, Manager
Environmental Permitting & Programs
Florida Power & Light
P. O. Box 14000
Juno Beach, FL 33408

I.D. NUMBER: 50/BRQ/06/0037/01
PERMIT/CERTIFICATION NUMBER: AO 06-146594 *
DATE OF ISSUE: AUG 03 1993
EXPIRATION DATE: May 15, 1993
COUNTY: Broward
LATITUDE/LONGITUDE: 26°04'06"N/80°12'00"W
UTM: Zone 17; 600.0 Km. E; 2883.2 Km. N
PROJECT: Florida Power & Light Co.
Lauderdale Unit 4

This permit is issued under the provisions of Chapter 403, Florida Statutes, and Florida Administrative Code Rule 17-2, and in conformance with all existing regulations of the Florida Department of Environmental Regulation. The above named permittee is hereby authorized to perform the work or operate the facility shown on the application and approved drawing(s), plans, and other documents attached hereto or on file with the Department and made a part hereof and specifically described as follows:

OPERATE: An air pollution source consisting of a 161 MW (gross capacity) steam generating unit (#4) burning a variable combination of natural gas, used oil fuel from FP & L operations, and No. 6 fuel oil with a maximum heat input rate of 1725 million BTU/hr., discharging pollutants through a stack 151 feet above ground level.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH: Application for Renewal of Permit to Operate Air Pollution Sources dated March 10, 1988, and Application to Operate Air Pollution Sources received September 23, 1977 (none are attached).

LOCATED AT: Griffin Road, Dania, Broward County, Florida.

TO SERVE: An electric service utility facility (SIC # 4911)

SUBJECT TO: General Conditions 1-15 and Specific Conditions 1-10.

* This permit is a renewal of AO 06-60682 issued May 26, 1983, and a modification of AO 06-146594 issued May 31, 1988.

PERMITTEE:
Mr. T. R. Fair, Manager
Environmental Permitting & Programs
Florida Power & Light

I.D. NUMBER: 50/BRO/06/0037/01
PERMIT/CERTIFICATION NUMBER: AO 06-146594 *
DATE OF ISSUE: AUG 06 1993
EXPIRATION DATE: May 15, 1995

GENERAL CONDITIONS:

1. The terms, conditions, requirements, limitations, and restrictions set forth herein are "Permit Conditions" and as such are binding upon the permittee and enforceable pursuant to the authority of Sections 403.161, 403.727, or 403.859 through 403.861, Florida Statutes. The permittee is hereby placed on notice that the Department will review this permit periodically and may initiate enforcement action for any violation of the "Permit Conditions" by the permittee, its agents, employees, servants or representatives.
2. This permit is valid only for the specific processes and operations applied for and indicated in the approved drawings or exhibits. Any unauthorized deviation from the approved drawings, exhibits, specifications, or conditions of this permit may constitute grounds for revocation and enforcement action by the Department.
3. As provided in Subsections 403.087(6) and 403.722(5), Florida Statutes, the issuance of this permit does not convey any vested rights or any exclusive privileges. Nor does it authorize any injury to public or private property or any invasion of personal rights, nor any infringement of federal, state, or local laws or regulations. This permit does not constitute a waiver of or approval of any other Department permit that may be required for other aspects of the total project which are not addressed in the permit.
4. This permit conveys no title to land or water, does not constitute state recognition or acknowledgement of title, and does not constitute authority for the use of submerged lands unless herein provided and the necessary title or leasehold interests have been obtained from the state. Only the Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund may express state opinion as to title.
5. This permit does not relieve the permittee from liability for harm or injury to human health or welfare, animal, plant or aquatic life or property and penalties therefore caused by the construction or operation of this permitted source, nor does it allow the permittee to cause pollution in contravention of Florida Statutes and Department rules, unless specifically authorized by an order from the Department.
6. The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain the facility and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) that are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit, as required by Department rules. This provision includes the operation of backup or auxiliary facilities or similar systems when necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit, and when required by Department rules.
7. The permittee, by accepting this permit, specifically agrees to allow authorized Department personnel, upon presentation of credentials or other documents as may be required by law, access to the premises, at reasonable times, where the permitted activity is located or conducted for the purpose of:
 - a. Having access to and copying any records that must be kept under the conditions of the permit;
 - b. Inspecting the facility, equipment, practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit; and
 - c. Sampling or monitoring any substances or parameters at any location reasonably necessary to assure compliance with this permit or Department rules.Reasonable time may depend on the nature of the concern being investigated.
8. If, for any reason, the permittee does not comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation specified in the permit, the permittee shall immediately notify and provide the Department with the following information:
 - a. a description of and cause of noncompliance; and
 - b. the period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times; or, if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance.

PERMITTEE:
Mr. T. R. Fair, Manager
Environmental Permitting & Programs
Florida Power & Light

I.D. NUMBER: 50/BRO/06/0037/01
PERMIT/CERTIFICATION NUMBER: AO 06-146594 *
DATE OF ISSUE: AUG 15, 1993
EXPIRATION DATE: May 15, 1993

GENERAL CONDITIONS:

The permittee shall be responsible for any and all damages which may result and may be subject to enforcement action by the Department for penalties or revocation of this permit.

9. In accepting this permit, the permittee understands and agrees that all records, notes, monitoring data and other information relating to the construction or operation of this permitted source, which are submitted to the Department, may be used by the Department as evidence in any enforcement case arising under the Florida Statutes or Department rules, except where such use is proscribed by Sections 403.73 and 403.111, Florida Statutes.
10. The permittee agrees to comply with changes in Department rules and Florida Statutes after a reasonable time for compliance, provided however, the permittee does not waive any other rights granted by Florida Statutes or Department rules.
11. This permit is transferable only upon Department approval in accordance with Florida Administrative Code Rules 17-4.12 and 17-30.30, as applicable. The permittee shall be liable for any noncompliance of the permitted activity until the transfer is approved by the Department.
12. This permit is required to be kept at the work site of the permitted activity during the entire period of construction or operation.
13. This permit also constitutes:
 - () Determination of Best Available Control Technology (BACT)
 - () Determination of Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD)
 - () Certification of Compliance with State Water Quality Standards (Section 401, PL 92-500)
 - () Compliance with New Source Performance Standards
14. The permittee shall comply with the following monitoring and record keeping requirements:
 - a. Upon request, the permittee shall furnish all records and plans required under Department rules. The retention period for all records will be extended automatically, unless otherwise stipulated by the Department, during the course of any unresolved enforcement action.
 - b. The permittee shall retain at the facility or other location designated by this permit records of all monitoring information (including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation), copies of all reports required by this permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for this permit. The time period of retention shall be at least three years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application unless otherwise specified by Department rule.
 - c. Records of monitoring information shall include:
 - the date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements;
 - the person responsible for performing the sampling or measurements;
 - the date(s) analyses were performed;
 - the person responsible for performing the analyses;
 - the analytical techniques or methods used; and
 - the results of such analyses.
15. When requested by the Department, the permittee shall within a reasonable time furnish any information required by law which is needed to determine compliance with the permit. If the permittee becomes aware that relevant facts were not submitted or were incorrect in the permit application or in any report to the Department, such facts or information shall be submitted or corrected promptly.

PERMITTEE:
Mr. T. R. Fair, Manager
Environmental Permitting & Programs
Florida Power & Light

I.D. NUMBER: 50/BRO/06/0037/01
PERMIT/CERTIFICATION NUMBER: AO 06-146594 *
DATE OF ISSUE: ~~Aug 15, 1993~~
EXPIRATION DATE: ~~Aug 15, 1993~~

SPECIFIC CONDITIONS:

1. Compliance testing shall be conducted for the sources covered by this permit once each federal fiscal year in accordance with the methods specified below.
2. Emission limiting standards are as follows:
In accordance with Florida Administrative Code Rules 17-2.600(5)(b) and 17-2.250(3):
 - SO₂ emissions shall not exceed 1.1 pounds per million BTU heat input.
 - For steady state operations
 - Visible emissions shall not exceed 20% opacity.
 - Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.1 pounds per million BTU heat input.
 - For soot blowing and load changes
 - Visible emissions shall not exceed 60% opacity during the 3 hour period of excess emissions allowed for soot blowing and load changes.
 - Particulate emissions shall not exceed an average of 0.3 pounds per million BTU heat input during the three hour period of excess emissions allowed for soot blowing and load changes.
3. The compliance test report shall include results of tests by the following method:

<u>Source/Emission Point</u>	<u>Pollutant</u>	<u>Test Method</u>
Unit No. 4	Particulate (Steady State & Soot Blowing)	EPA Method 17 * or EPA Method 5
	Visible Emissions (Steady State & Soot Blowing)	DER Method 9
	SO ₂	**
- * EPA Method 17 may be used only if the stack temperature is less than 375°F.
- ** Stack testing for SO₂ is required if the equivalent sulfur content of the fuel exceeds 2.5% . Sulfur content shall be verified by submittal of monthly fuel analyses reports on a quarterly basis.
4. Emissions compliance testing should be conducted with the source firing No. 6 fuel oil and/or natural gas and operating within ten percent (10%) of its rated capacity; provided, however, that such testing may be conducted with the source operating at less than ninety percent (90%) of its rated capacity, in which case the source may subsequently be operated at any capacity up to one hundred ten percent (110%) of the average load at which compliance was demonstrated, and at higher capacities for up to fifteen days for purposes of additional compliance testing. A particulate test to show compliance must be conducted within sixty (60) days of the monthly fuel analysis if the equivalent sulfur content of the fuel burned (fuel oil and/or natural gas) is increased by 0.5 percentage points or more from that used during the previous test.
5. The Department shall be notified of expected test dates at least fifteen (15) days prior to compliance testing.
6. On or before March 1 of each calendar year, a completed DER Form 17-1.202(6), Annual Operations Report Form for Air Emissions Sources shall be submitted to the Department.
7. Copies of all reports, tests, notifications or other submittals required by this permit shall be submitted to both the Department of Environmental Regulation, Southeast District Office and Broward County Environmental Quality Control Board.

PERMITTEE:
Mr. T. R. Fair, Manager
Environmental Permitting & Programs
Florida Power & Light

I.D. NUMBER: 50/BRO/06/0037/01
PERMIT/CERTIFICATION NUMBER: AO 06-146594 *
DATE OF ISSUE: AUG 15 1988
EXPIRATION DATE: May 15, 1993

SPECIFIC CONDITIONS CONT.:

see 8. In addition to the requirements of General Condition 8 of this permit, a written
- correct
- dev
T
8/15/88
NO
CEM
quarterly report shall be submitted to the Department of all opacity exceedances of
emission limitations specified in Florida Administrative Code Rules 17-2.250(1) through
(4) and 17-2.600(5)(b)1. The report shall state the cause, period of noncompliance, and
steps taken for corrective action and/or prevention of recurrence. If the opacity level
cannot be determined due to an opacity monitor malfunction or for any other reason, the
report shall state the cause, duration and action taken - all recorded data shall be
maintained on file by Florida Power & Light for no less than two years and made
available to the Department upon request.

9. All present and future variance orders or rule changes which are applicable to this
source take precedence over any affected condition of this permit.

10. Burning of used oil meeting EPA specifications (40 CFR S266.40) and generated from
FPL operations shall be permitted under the following conditions:

- (a) Each Batch of used oil to be burned shall be sampled and analyzed for:
Arsenic, Chromium, Cadmium, total Halogens, and Lead using EPA/DER or ASTM
approved methods. Split samples of the used oil shall be retained for three
(3) months after analysis for further testing if necessary.
- (b) Results of used oil sampling and analysis performed pursuant to Specific
Condition 10(a) shall be retained by permittee for at least three (3) years
and made available for inspection by DER upon request.
- (c) An estimate of the total quantity of used oil burned during the applicable
calendar year shall be included in the Annual Operations Report (AOR) for Air
Emissions Sources. The permittee will submit with the AOR a summary of the
range of values for each constituent analyzed pursuant to Specific Condition
10(a).

Issued this 5th day of August, 1988

STATE OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION

Donald B. White, P.E.
for J. Scott Benyon
District Manager

Page 5 of 5

DER Form 17-1.201(5)
Effective November 30, 1982



June 27, 1988

Ms. Stephanie Brooks
State of Florida
Department of Environmental Regulation
Southeast District
1900 South Congress Avenue, Suite A
West Palm Beach, Florida 33406

RE: Lauderdale Plant, Unit No. 5
DER Air Operating Permit No. AO-06-143213
Specific Condition No. 4 - Emissions Compliance Testing


Dear Ms. Brooks:

As we discussed by phone on Friday, May 27, 1988 and today, this letter is written in confirmation of the Department's agreement to allow emissions compliance testing of the above-captioned unit while firing a combination of fuel oil and natural gas with an equivalent sulfur content of one percent. All other testing conditions remain as written.

I am attaching a copy of this letter to our copy of the permit in question and hereby request that you attach this letter to your file copy so we have consistent records. This will prevent potential confusion.

If you have any questions, please call me at (407) 694-3648.

Sincerely,



for

Elsa A. Bishop
Environmental Coordinator

EAB:jm

Enclosure

- bcc: R. A. Acosta - PPE
- R. N. Allen - JEN
- P. C. Cunningham - HBG&S
- M. J. Martin - JEN
- R. F. Messer - PRS/GO
- W. M. Reichel - PRS/GO
- H. M. Rosen - JEN
- H. E. Sanders - PFL

cc: Broward County Environmental Quality Control Board



August 15, 1988

Ms. Stephanie Brooks
State of Florida
Department of Environmental Regulation
Southeast District
1900 South Congress Avenue, Suite A
West Palm Beach, Florida 33406

RE: Lauderdale Plant, Unit No. 4
DER Air Operating Permit No. AO-06-146594

Dear Ms. Brooks:

As we discussed by phone, this is confirmation that we will accept the above captioned permit. As we also discussed, this also clarifies that this unit is not equipped with a transmissometer. Thus, the reference to such in Specific Condition 8 of the permit does not apply to this unit.

I am attaching a copy of this letter to our copy of the permit in question and hereby request that you attach this letter to your file copy so we have consistent records. This will prevent potential confusion.

If you have any questions, please call me at 694-3648.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Elsa A. Bishop".

Elsa A. Bishop
Environmental Coordinator

EAB:jm

cc: Broward County Environmental Quality Control Board

bcc: R. A. Acosta - PPE
R. N. Allen - JEN
P. C. Cunningham - HBG&S
M. J. Martin - JEN
R. F. Messer - PRS/GO
W. M. Reichel - PRS/GO
H. E. Sanders - PFL
Control Document

STATE OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION

MAY 13 1988

SOUTHEAST FLORIDA DISTRICT
1900 SOUTH CONGRESS AVENUE, SUITE A
WEST PALM BEACH, FLORIDA 33406
305/964-9668



MANAGER
PERMITTING & PROGRAMS

BOB MARTINEZ
GOVERNOR
DALE TWACHTMANN
SECRETARY
SCOTT BENYON
DISTRICT MANAGER

RECEIVED

MAY 13 1988

MAY 13 1988

NOTICE OF PERMIT

ENV. PERMITTING

Broward County
AP - Florida Power & Light -
Lauderdale Unit 5

Mr. T. R. Fair, Manager
Environmental Permitting & Programs
Florida Power & Light
P. O. Box 14000
Juno Beach, FL 33408


Dear Mr. Fair:

Enclosed is Permit Number AO 06-143213 to operate an air pollution source issued pursuant to Section 403.087, Florida Statutes.

When the Order (Permit) is final, any party to the Order has the right to seek judicial review of the Order pursuant to Section 120.68, Florida Statutes, by the filing of a Notice of Appeal pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure, with the Clerk of the Department in the Office of General Counsel, 2600 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, FL 32399-2400; and by filing a copy of the Notice of Appeal accompanied by the applicable filing fees with the appropriate District Court of Appeal. The Notice of Appeal must be filed within 30 days from the Final Order is filed with the Clerk of the Department.

Executed in West Palm Beach, Florida

STATE OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION


Stephanie S. Brooks
Engineer
Air Permitting
1900 South Congress Ave., Suite A
West Palm Beach, FL 33406
305/964-9668

SB:s/262

Copies furnished to:

Broward County Environmental Quality Control Board

STATE OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION

SOUTHEAST FLORIDA DISTRICT

1900 SOUTH CONGRESS AVENUE, SUITE A
WEST PALM BEACH, FLORIDA 33406
(305) 964-9668



BOB MARTINEZ
GOVERNOR
DALE TWACHTMANN
SECRETARY
J. SCOTT BENYON
DISTRICT MANAGER

PERMITTEE:

Mr. T. R. Fair, Manager
Environmental Permitting & Programs
Florida Power & Light
P. O. Box 14000
Juno Beach, FL 33408

I.D. NUMBER: 50/BRO/06/0037/02
PERMIT/CERTIFICATION NUMBER: AO 06-143213 *
DATE OF ISSUE: MAY 6, 1993
EXPIRATION DATE: February 19, 1993
COUNTY: Broward
LATITUDE/LONGITUDE: 26°04'06"N/80°12'00"W
UTM: Zone 17; 600.0 Km. E; 2883.2 Km. N
PROJECT: Florida Power & Light
Lauderdale Unit 5

This permit is issued under the provisions of Chapter 403, Florida Statutes, and Florida Administrative Code Rule 17-2, and in conformance with all existing regulations of the Florida Department of Environmental Regulation. The above named permittee is hereby authorized to perform the work or operate the facility shown on the application and approved drawing(s), plans, and other documents attached hereto or on file with the Department and made a part hereof and specifically described as follows:

OPERATE: An air pollution source consisting of a 161 Megawatt (gross capacity) steam generating unit (#5) burning a variable combination of natural gas, used oil fuel from FP & L operations, and No. 6 fuel oil with a maximum heat input rate of 1725 million BTU/hr, discharging pollutants through a stack 151 feet above ground level.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH: Application for Renewal dated December 14, 1987, and Application to Operate Air Pollution Source dated October 7, 1977, (none are attached).

LOCATED AT: Griffin Road, Dania, Broward County, Florida.

TO SERVE: An electric service utility facility. (SIC # 4911)

SUBJECT TO: General Conditions 1-15 and Specific Conditions 1-10.

* This permit is a renewal of AO 06-60860 issued February 24, 1983, and a modification of AO 06-143213 issued March 9, 1988.

PERMITTEE:
Mr. T. R. Fair, Manager
Environmental Permitting & Programs
Florida Power & Light

I.D. NUMBER: 50/BRO/06/0037/02
PERMIT/CERTIFICATION NUMBER: 06-143213
DATE OF ISSUE: 1990
EXPIRATION DATE: February 15, 1993

GENERAL CONDITIONS:

1. The terms, conditions, requirements, limitations, and restrictions set forth herein are "Permit Conditions" and as such are binding upon the permittee and enforceable pursuant to the authority of Sections 403.161, 403.727, or 403.859 through 403.861, Florida Statutes. The permittee is hereby placed on notice that the Department will review this permit periodically and may initiate enforcement action for any violation of the "Permit Conditions" by the permittee, its agents, employees, servants or representatives.
2. This permit is valid only for the specific processes and operations applied for and indicated in the approved drawings or exhibits. Any unauthorized deviation from the approved drawings, exhibits, specifications, or conditions of this permit may constitute grounds for revocation and enforcement action by the Department.
3. As provided in Subsections 403.087(6) and 403.722(5), Florida Statutes, the issuance of this permit does not convey any vested rights or any exclusive privileges. Nor does it authorize any injury to public or private property or any invasion of personal rights, nor any infringement of federal, state, or local laws or regulations. This permit does not constitute a waiver of or approval of any other Department permit that may be required for other aspects of the total project which are not addressed in the permit.
4. This permit conveys no title to land or water, does not constitute state recognition or acknowledgement of title, and does not constitute authority for the use of submerged lands unless herein provided and the necessary title or leasehold interests have been obtained from the state. Only the Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund may express state opinion as to title.
5. This permit does not relieve the permittee from liability for harm or injury to human health or welfare, animal, plant or aquatic life or property and penalties therefore caused by the construction or operation of this permitted source, nor does it allow the permittee to cause pollution in contravention of Florida Statutes and Department rules, unless specifically authorized by an order from the Department.
6. The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain the facility and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) that are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit, as required by Department rules. This provision includes the operation of backup or auxiliary facilities or similar systems when necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit, and when required by Department rules.
7. The permittee, by accepting this permit, specifically agrees to allow authorized Department personnel, upon presentation of credentials or other documents as may be required by law, access to the premises, at reasonable times, where the permitted activity is located or conducted for the purpose of:
 - a. Having access to and copying any records that must be kept under the conditions of the permit;
 - b. Inspecting the facility, equipment, practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit; and
 - c. Sampling or monitoring any substances or parameters at any location reasonably necessary to assure compliance with this permit or Department rules.Reasonable time may depend on the nature of the concern being investigated.
8. If, for any reason, the permittee does not comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation specified in the permit, the permittee shall immediately notify and provide the Department with the following information:
 - a. a description of and cause of noncompliance; and
 - b. the period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times; or, if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance.

PERMITTEE:
Mr. T. R. Fair, Manager
Environmental Permitting & Programs
Florida Power & Light

I.D. NUMBER: 50/BRO/06/0037/02
PERMIT/CERTIFICATION NUMBER: AO 06-143213
DATE OF ISSUE: 12/15/92
EXPIRATION DATE: February 15, 1993

GENERAL CONDITIONS:

The permittee shall be responsible for any and all damages which may result and may be subject to enforcement action by the Department for penalties or revocation of this permit.

9. In accepting this permit, the permittee understands and agrees that all records, notes, monitoring data and other information relating to the construction or operation of this permitted source, which are submitted to the Department, may be used by the Department as evidence in any enforcement case arising under the Florida Statutes or Department rules, except where such use is proscribed by Sections 403.73 and 403.111, Florida Statutes.
10. The permittee agrees to comply with changes in Department rules and Florida Statutes after a reasonable time for compliance, provided however, the permittee does not waive any other rights granted by Florida Statutes or Department rules.
11. This permit is transferable only upon Department approval in accordance with Florida Administrative Code Rules 17-4.12 and 17-30.30, as applicable. The permittee shall be liable for any noncompliance of the permitted activity until the transfer is approved by the Department.
12. This permit is required to be kept at the work site of the permitted activity during the entire period of construction or operation.
13. This permit also constitutes:
 - () Determination of Best Available Control Technology (BACT)
 - () Determination of Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD)
 - () Certification of Compliance with State Water Quality Standards (Section 401, PL 92-500)
 - () Compliance with New Source Performance Standards
14. The permittee shall comply with the following monitoring and record keeping requirements:
 - a. Upon request, the permittee shall furnish all records and plans required under Department rules. The retention period for all records will be extended automatically, unless otherwise stipulated by the Department, during the course of any unresolved enforcement action.
 - b. The permittee shall retain at the facility or other location designated by this permit records of all monitoring information (including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation), copies of all reports required by this permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for this permit. The time period of retention shall be at least three years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application unless otherwise specified by Department rule.
 - c. Records of monitoring information shall include:
 - the date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements;
 - the person responsible for performing the sampling or measurements;
 - the date(s) analyses were performed;
 - the person responsible for performing the analyses;
 - the analytical techniques or methods used; and
 - the results of such analyses.
15. When requested by the Department, the permittee shall within a reasonable time furnish any information required by law which is needed to determine compliance with the permit. If the permittee becomes aware that relevant facts were not submitted or were incorrect in the permit application or in any report to the Department, such facts or information shall be submitted or corrected promptly.

PERMITTEE:
Mr. T. R. Fair, Manager
Environmental Permitting & Programs
Florida Power & Light

I.D. NUMBER: 50/BRO/06/0037/02
PERMIT/CERTIFICATION NUMBER: AO 06-143213
DATE OF ISSUE: *MVA 13*
EXPIRATION DATE: February 15, 1993

SPECIFIC CONDITIONS:

1. Compliance testing shall be conducted for the sources covered by this permit once each federal fiscal year in accordance with the methods specified below.

2. Emission limiting standards are as follows:

In accordance with Florida Administrative Code Rule 17-2.250(3) and 17-2.600(5)(b):

- SO₂ emissions shall not exceed 1.1 pounds per million BTU heat input.
- For steady state operations
 - Visible emissions shall not exceed 20% opacity.
 - Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.1 pounds per million BTU heat input.
- For soot blowing and load changes

Visible emissions shall not exceed 60% opacity during the 3 hour period of excess emissions allowed for soot blowing and load changes.

Particulate emissions shall not exceed an average of 0.3 pounds per million BTU heat input during the three hour period of excess emissions allowed for soot blowing and load changes.

3. The compliance test report shall include results of tests by the following method:

<u>Source/Emission Point</u>	<u>Pollutant</u>	<u>Test Method</u>
Unit No. 5	Particulate (Steady State & Soot Blowing)	EPA Method 17 * or EPA Method 5
	Visible Emissions (Steady State & Soot Blowing)	DER Method 9
	SO ₂	**

* EPA Method 17 may be used only if the stack temperature is less than 375°F.

** Stack testing for SO₂ is required if the equivalent sulfur content of the fuel exceeds 2.5%. Sulfur content shall be verified by submittal of monthly fuel analyses reports on a quarterly basis. The compliance test report shall be submitted to the Department in accordance with FAC Rule 17-2.700(7).

4. Emissions compliance testing should be conducted with the source firing No. 6 fuel oil and operating within ten percent (10%) of its rated capacity; provided, however, that such testing may be conducted with the source operating at less than ninety percent (90%) of its rated capacity, in which case the source may subsequently be operated at any capacity up to one hundred ten percent (110%) of the average load at which compliance was demonstrated, and at higher capacities for up to fifteen days for purposes of additional compliance testing. A particulate test to show compliance must be conducted within sixty (60) days of the monthly fuel analysis if the equivalent sulfur content of the fuel burned (fuel oil and / or natural gas) is increased by 0.5 percentage points or more from that used during the previous test.

5. The Department shall be notified of expected test dates at least fifteen (15) days prior to compliance testing.

6. On or before March 1 of each calendar year, a completed DER Form 17-1.202(6), Annual Operations Report Form for Air Emissions Sources shall be submitted to the Department. Show formulas with input and output data.

PERMITTEE:
Mr. T. R. Fair, Manager
Environmental Permitting & Programs
Florida Power & Light

I.D. NUMBER: 50/BRO/06/0037/02
PERMIT/CERTIFICATION NUMBER: AO 06-143213
DATE OF ISSUE: 1988
EXPIRATION DATE: February 15, 1993

SPECIFIC CONDITIONS:

7. Copies of all reports, tests, notifications or other submittals required by this permit shall be submitted to both the Department of Environmental Regulation, Southeast District Office and Broward County Environmental Quality Control Board.

see
rev
11/1/88
fastened
3. In addition to the requirements of General Condition 8 of this permit, a written quarterly report shall be submitted to the Department of all opacity exceedances of emission limitations specified in Florida Administrative Code Rules 17-2.250(1) through (4) and 17-2.600(5)(b)1. The report shall state the cause, period of noncompliance, and steps taken for corrective action and/or prevention of recurrence. If the opacity level cannot be determined due to an opacity monitor malfunction or for any other reason, the report shall state the cause, duration and action taken - all recorded data shall be maintained on file by Florida Power & Light for no less than two years and made available to the Department upon request.


9. All present and future variance orders or rule changes which are applicable to this source take precedence over any affected condition of this permit.

10. Burning of used oil meeting EPA specifications (40 CFR S266.40) and generated from FPL operations shall be permitted under the following conditions:

- (a) Each batch of used oil to be burned shall be sampled and analyzed for: Arsenic, Chromium, Cadmium, total Halogens, and Lead using EPA/DER or ASTM approved methods. Split samples of the used oil shall be retained for three (3) months after analysis for further testing if necessary.
- (b) Results of used oil sampling and analysis performed pursuant to Specific Condition 10 (a) shall be retained by permittee for at least three (3) years and made available for inspection by DER upon request.
- (c) An estimate of the total quantity of used oil burned during the applicable calendar year shall be included in the Annual Operation Report (AOR) for Air Emissions Sources. The permittee will submit with the AOR a summary of the range of values for each constituent analyzed pursuant to Specific Condition 10(a).

Issued this 9th day of May, 1988

STATE OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION


J. Scott Benyon
District Manager

Page 5 of 5

R Form 17-1.201(5)
Effective November 30, 1982

FPL

May 16, 1988

Ms. Stephanie Brooks
State of Florida
Department of Environmental Regulation
Southeast District
1900 South Congress Avenue, Suite A
West Palm Beach, Florida 33406

RE: **Lauderdale Plant, Unit No. 5**
DER Air Operating Permit No. AG-06-143213

Dear Ms. Brooks:

As we discussed by phone, this is confirmation that we will accept the above captioned permit. As we also discussed, this also clarifies that this unit is not equipped with a transmissometer. Thus, the reference to such in Specific Condition 8 of the permit does not apply to this unit.

I am attaching a copy of this letter to our copy of the permit in question and hereby request that you attach this letter to your file copy so we have consistent records. This will prevent potential confusion.

If you have any questions, please call me at 694-3648.

Sincerely,



Elsa A. Bishop
Environmental Coordinator

EAB:jm

cc: Broward County Environmental Quality Control Board

bcc: R. A. Acosta - PPE
R. N. Allen - JEN
P. C. Cunningham - HBG&S
M. J. Martin - JEN
R. F. Messer - PRS/GO
W. M. Reichel - PRS/GO
H. E. Sanders - PFL
Control Document



June 27, 1988

Ms. Stephanie Brooks
State of Florida
Department of Environmental Regulation
Southeast District
1900 South Congress Avenue, Suite A
West Palm Beach, Florida 33406

RE: Lauderdale Plant, Unit No. 5
DER Air Operating Permit No. AO-06-143213
Specific Condition No. 4 - Emissions Compliance Testing


Dear Ms. Brooks:

As we discussed by phone on Friday, May 27, 1988 and today, this letter is written in confirmation of the Department's agreement to allow emissions compliance testing of the above-captioned unit while firing a combination of fuel oil and natural gas with an equivalent sulfur content of one percent. All other testing conditions remain as written.

I am attaching a copy of this letter to our copy of the permit in question and hereby request that you attach this letter to your file copy so we have consistent records. This will prevent potential confusion.

If you have any questions, please call me at (407) 694-3648.

Sincerely,



FOR

Elsa A. Bishop
Environmental Coordinator

EAB:jm

Enclosure

bcc: R. A. Acosta - PPE
R. N. Allen - JEN
P. C. Cunningham - HBG&S
M. J. Martin - JEN
R. F. Messer - PRS/GO
W. M. Reichel - PRS/GO
H. M. Rosen - JEN
H. E. Sanders - PFL

cc: Broward County Environmental Quality Control Board



Florida Department of Environmental Regulation

Southeast District • 1901 S. Congress Ave., Suite A • West Palm Beach, Florida 33406 • 407-964-9668

Gov. Martinez, Governor • Mr. Eichenmann, Secretary • Mr. Speiser, Assistant Secretary • Mr. [unclear], County Assistant Secretary

RECEIVED

AUG 09 1988

MANAGER
PERMITTING & PROGRAMS

RECEIVED

NOTICE OF PERMIT

08 1988

AUG 09 1988

Broward County
AP - Florida Power & Light Co. -
Lauderdale Gas Turbines Nos. 1-12

ENV. PERMITTING

Mr. T. R. Fair, Manager
Environmental Permitting & Programs
Florida Power & Light
P. O. Box 14000
Palm Beach, FL 33408

Dear Mr. Fair:

Enclosed is Permit Number AO 06-148760 to operate an air pollution source issued pursuant to Section 403.087, Florida Statutes.

Persons whose substantial interests are affected by this permit have a right, pursuant to Section 120.57, Florida Statutes, to petition for an administrative determination (hearing) on it. The petition must conform to the requirements of Chapters 17-103 and 28-5.201, FAC, and must be filed (received) in the Department's Office of General Counsel, 2600 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, FL 32399-2400, within fourteen (14) days of receipt of this notice. Failure to file a petition within the fourteen (14) days constitutes a waiver of any right such person has to an administrative determination (hearing) pursuant to Section 120.57, Florida Statutes. This permit is final and effective on the date filed with the Clerk of the Department unless a petition is filed in accordance with this paragraph or unless a request for extension of time in which to file a petition is filed within the time specified for filing a petition and conforms to Rule 17-103.070, FAC. Upon timely filing of a petition or a request for an extension of time this permit will not be effective until further Order of the Department.

When the Order (Permit) is final, any party to the Order has the right to seek judicial review of the Order pursuant to Section 120.68, Florida Statutes, by the filing of a Notice of Appeal pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure, with the Clerk of the Department in the Office of General Counsel, 2600 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, FL 32399-2400; and by filing a copy of the Notice of Appeal accompanied by the applicable filing fees with the appropriate District Court of Appeal. The Notice of Appeal must be filed within 30 days from the Final Order is filed with the Clerk of the Department.

Executed in West Palm Beach, Florida

STATE OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION

Stephanie S. Brooks
Stephanie S. Brooks/
Engineer
Air Permitting
1900 South Congress Ave., Suite A
West Palm Beach, FL 33406
407/964-9668

SB:s/184

Copies furnished to:
Broward County Environmental Quality Control Board

Mr. T. R. Fair, Manager
Environmental Permitting & Programs
Florida Power & Light
Page 2 of 2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that this NOTICE OF PERMIT and all copies were mailed before the close of business on AUG 6 1983 to the listed persons.

Clerk Stamp

FILING AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT FILED, on this date, pursuant to §120.52(10), Florida Statutes, with the designated Department Clerk, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged.

James H. Smith
Clerk

AUG 08 1983
Date



Florida Department of Environmental Regulation

Southeast District • 1000 S. Congress Ave., Suite A • West Palm Beach, Florida 33406 • 407-964-9068

Bob Martinez, Governor

Mike Schwabmann, Secretary

Ed Spear, Assistant Secretary
J. Gordon, Deputy Assistant Secretary

PERMITTEE:
Mr. T. R. Fair, Manager
Environmental Permitting & Programs
Florida Power & Light
P. O. Box 14000
Juno Beach, FL 33408

I.D. NUMBER: 50/BRO/06/0037/03
PERMIT/CERTIFICATION NUMBER: AO 06-148760 *
DATE OF ISSUE: AUG 11 1988
EXPIRATION DATE: June 30, 1993
COUNTY: Broward
LATITUDE/LONGITUDE: 26°04'16"N/80°11'56"W
UTM: Zone 17; 580.4 Km. E; 2883.5 Km. N
PROJECT: Florida Power & Light Co.
Lauderdale Gas Turbines Nos. 1-12

This permit is issued under the provisions of Chapter 403, Florida Statutes, and Florida Administrative Code Rule 17-2, and in conformance with all existing regulations of the Florida Department of Environmental Regulation. The above named permittee is hereby authorized to perform the work or operate the facility shown on the application and approved drawing(s), plans, and other documents attached hereto or on file with the Department and made a part hereof and specifically described as follows:

OPERATE: An air pollution source consisting of gas turbine Units 1 through 12 with a ~~486~~ MW gross capacity burning natural gas and/or No. 2 distillate oil, exhausting through separate stacks 45 feet above ground level. The heat input rate per unit is 7020 mm BTU/hr.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH: Application for Renewal of Permit to Operate Air Pollution Sources received April 26, 1988 as modified by letter May 9, 1988, Application to Operate Air Pollution Sources dated October 7, 1977 and letter dated June 13, 1983 (none are attached).

LOCATED AT: Griffin Road, Dania, Broward County, Florida.

TO SERVE: An electric service utility facility (SIC # 4911)

SUBJECT TO: General Conditions 1-15 and Specific Conditions 1-5.

* This permit is a renewal of AO 06-62932 issued June 27, 1983.

Handwritten notes:
7020
ck
J
630 -
655

PERMITTEE:
Mr. T. R. Fair, Manager
Environmental Permitting & Programs
Florida Power & Light

I.D. NUMBER: 50/BRO/06/0037/03
PERMIT/CERTIFICATION NUMBER: AO 06-148760 *
DATE OF ISSUE: AUG 31 1993
EXPIRATION DATE: June 30, 1993

GENERAL CONDITIONS:

1. The terms, conditions, requirements, limitations, and restrictions set forth herein are "Permit Conditions" and as such are binding upon the permittee and enforceable pursuant to the authority of Sections 403.161, 403.727, or 403.859 through 403.861, Florida Statutes. The permittee is hereby placed on notice that the Department will review this permit periodically and may initiate enforcement action for any violation of the "Permit Conditions" by the permittee, its agents, employees, servants or representatives.
2. This permit is valid only for the specific processes and operations applied for and indicated in the approved drawings or exhibits. Any unauthorized deviation from the approved drawings, exhibits, specifications, or conditions of this permit may constitute grounds for revocation and enforcement action by the Department.
3. As provided in Subsections 403.087(6) and 403.722(5), Florida Statutes, the issuance of this permit does not convey any vested rights or any exclusive privileges. Nor does it authorize any injury to public or private property or any invasion of personal rights, nor any infringement of federal, state, or local laws or regulations. This permit does not constitute a waiver of or approval of any other Department permit that may be required for other aspects of the total project which are not addressed in the permit.
4. This permit conveys no title to land or water, does not constitute state recognition or acknowledgement of title, and does not constitute authority for the use of submerged lands unless herein provided and the necessary title or leasehold interests have been obtained from the state. Only the Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund may express state opinion as to title.
5. This permit does not relieve the permittee from liability for harm or injury to human health or welfare, animal, plant or aquatic life or property and penalties therefore caused by the construction or operation of this permitted source, nor does it allow the permittee to cause pollution in contravention of Florida Statutes and Department rules, unless specifically authorized by an order from the Department.
6. The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain the facility and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) that are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit, as required by Department rules. This provision includes the operation of backup or auxiliary facilities or similar systems when necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit, and when required by Department rules.
7. The permittee, by accepting this permit, specifically agrees to allow authorized Department personnel, upon presentation of credentials or other documents as may be required by law, access to the premises, at reasonable times, where the permitted activity is located or conducted for the purpose of:
 - a. Having access to and copying any records that must be kept under the conditions of the permit;
 - b. Inspecting the facility, equipment, practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit; and
 - c. Sampling or monitoring any substances or parameters at any location reasonably necessary to assure compliance with this permit or Department rules.Reasonable time may depend on the nature of the concern being investigated.
8. If, for any reason, the permittee does not comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation specified in the permit, the permittee shall immediately notify and provide the Department with the following information:
 - a. a description of and cause of noncompliance; and
 - b. the period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times; or, if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance.

PERMITTEE:
Mr. T. R. Fair, Manager
Environmental Permitting & Programs
Florida Power & Light

P.D. NUMBER: 50/BRO/06/0037/03
PERMIT/CERTIFICATION NUMBER: AD 06-148760 *
DATE OF ISSUE: AUG 11 1993
EXPIRATION DATE: June 30, 1993

GENERAL CONDITIONS:

- The permittee shall be responsible for any and all damages which may result and may be subject to enforcement action by the Department for penalties or revocation of this permit.
9. In accepting this permit, the permittee understands and agrees that all records, notes, monitoring data and other information relating to the construction or operation of this permitted source, which are submitted to the Department, may be used by the Department as evidence in any enforcement case arising under the Florida Statutes or Department rules, except where such use is proscribed by Sections 403.73 and 403.111, Florida Statutes.
 10. The permittee agrees to comply with changes in Department rules and Florida Statutes after a reasonable time for compliance, provided however, the permittee does not waive any other rights granted by Florida Statutes or Department rules.
 11. This permit is transferable only upon Department approval in accordance with Florida Administrative Code Rules 17-4.12 and 17-30.30, as applicable. The permittee shall be liable for any noncompliance of the permitted activity until the transfer is approved by the Department.
 12. This permit is required to be kept at the work site of the permitted activity during the entire period of construction or operation.
 13. This permit also constitutes:
 - () Determination of Best Available Control Technology (BACT)
 - () Determination of Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD)
 - () Certification of Compliance with State Water Quality Standards (Section 401, PL 92-500)
 - () Compliance with New Source Performance Standards
 14. The permittee shall comply with the following monitoring and record keeping requirements:
 - a. Upon request, the permittee shall furnish all records and plans required under Department rules. The retention period for all records will be extended automatically, unless otherwise stipulated by the Department, during the course of any unresolved enforcement action.
 - b. The permittee shall retain at the facility or other location designated by this permit records of all monitoring information (including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation), copies of all reports required by this permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for this permit. The time period of retention shall be at least three years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application unless otherwise specified by Department rule.
 - c. Records of monitoring information shall include:
 - the date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements;
 - the person responsible for performing the sampling or measurements;
 - the date(s) analyses were performed;
 - the person responsible for performing the analyses;
 - the analytical techniques or methods used; and
 - the results of such analyses.
 15. When requested by the Department, the permittee shall within a reasonable time furnish any information required by law which is needed to determine compliance with the permit. If the permittee becomes aware that relevant facts were not submitted or were incorrect in the permit application or in any report to the Department, such facts or information shall be submitted or corrected promptly.

PERMITTED:
 J. R. Fair, Manager
 Environmental Permitting & Programs
 Florida Power & Light

P.D. NUMBER: 80/BRO/06/0037 03
 PERMIT/CERTIFICATION NUMBER: 80-148760 *
 DATE OF ISSUE: JUNE 30, 1993
 EXPIRATION DATE: JUNE 30, 1993

SPECIFIC CONDITIONS:

(1) Permitted Fuels:

These sources shall be fired with No. 2 Distillate fuel oil and/or natural gas.

(2) Source Emission Limiting Standards and Compliance Testing Requirements:

POLLUTANT	EMISSION 1 LIMITING STDS.	TESTING FREQUENCY			TEST 2 METHOD
		ANNUAL	QUARTERLY	OTHER	
Visible Emissions	20% Opacity	-----	-----	✓ If fuel oil consumption in any unit reaches 23,810 bbis (1,000,000 gal.) in a fiscal year, within that fiscal year*	EPA Method 9

- 1. - FAC 17-2.610(2)
- 2. - FAC 17-2.700(1)(d), Table 700-1

* NOTE: Usage may be determined on the basis of proportionate time of operation versus total fuel consumption for the block of 12 units. If fuel consumption testing threshold is achieved in September, then visible emissions testing may be conducted prior to October 31 of the same calendar year.

(3) Compliance Testing Related Requirements:

(a) Notification - FAC 17-2.700(2)(a)5

Notification of scheduled test dates shall be given to the Department Southeast District Office and the Broward County Environmental Quality Control Board at least 15 days prior to testing unless otherwise agreed to by the Department.

(b) Conditions

Testing of emissions should be conducted with the source operating within 10% of its rated capacity. Testing may be conducted at less than 90% of rated capacity; however, if so, subsequent source operation is limited to up to 110% of the test load. Once the unit is so limited, then operation at higher capacities is allowed for purposes of additional compliance testing to regain rated capacity in permit, with prior notification to the Department.

PERMITTEE:
Mr. T. R. Fair, Manager
Environmental Permitting & Programs
Florida Power & Light

I.D. NUMBER: 50/BRO/06/0037/03
PERMIT/CERTIFICATION NUMBER: 50 06-148760 *
DATE OF ISSUE: AUG 07 1988
EXPIRATION DATE: June 30, 1993

SPECIFIC CONDITIONS:

(c) Report Submittal - FAC 17-2.700(7)

A copy of the test results shall be submitted to the Department Southeast District Office and the Broward County Environmental Quality Control Board, including formulas with input and output data.

(4) Annual Operations Report - FAC 17-4.14:

On or before March 1 of each calendar year, a completed DER Form 17-1.202(6), Annual Operations Report Form for Air Emissions Sources shall be submitted to the Department Southeast District Office and the Broward County Environmental Quality Control Board, including formulas with input and output data.

(5) Excess Emissions - FAC 17-2.250(1):

Excess emissions resulting from start-up, shut-down or malfunction shall be permitted providing (1) best operational practices to minimize emissions are adhered to and (2) the duration of excess emissions shall be minimized but in no case exceed two hours in any 24-hour period unless specifically authorized by the Department for longer duration.

Issued this 5th day of August, 1988

STATE OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION

for Donald B. White, P.E.
J. Scott Benyon
Deputy Assistant Secretary

Page 5 of 5



Florida Department of Environmental Regulation

Southeast District • 1900 S. Congress Ave., Suite A • West Palm Beach, Florida 33406 • 407-964-9668

Bob Martinez, Governor

Jim Thoenemann, Secretary

AUG 09 1988
Assistant Secretary
Deputy Assistant Secretary

RECEIVED

MANAGER
PERMITTING & PROGRAMS

AUG 09 1988

NOTICE OF PERMIT

AUG 09 1988

Broward County
AP - Florida Power & Light Co. -
Lauderdale Gas Turbines Nos. 13-24

ENV. PERMITTING

Mr. T. R. Fair, Manager
Environmental Permitting & Programs
Florida Power & Light
P. O. Box 14000
Duno Beach, FL 33408

Dear Mr. Fair:

Enclosed is Permit Number AO 06-148761 to operate an air pollution source issued pursuant to Section 403.087, Florida Statutes.

Persons whose substantial interests are affected by this permit have a right, pursuant to Section 120.57, Florida Statutes, to petition for an administrative determination (hearing) on it. The petition must conform to the requirements of Chapters 17-103 and 28-5.201, FAC, and must be filed (received) in the Department's Office of General Counsel, 2600 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, FL 32399-2400, within fourteen (14) days of receipt of this notice. Failure to file a petition within the fourteen (14) days constitutes a waiver of any right such person has to an administrative determination (hearing) pursuant to Section 120.57, Florida Statutes. This permit is final and effective on the date filed with the Clerk of the Department unless a petition is filed in accordance with this paragraph or unless a request for extension of time in which to file a petition is filed within the time specified for filing a petition and conforms to Rule 17-103.070, FAC. Upon timely filing of a petition or a request for an extension of time this permit will not be effective until further Order of the Department.

When the Order (Permit) is final, any party to the Order has the right to seek judicial review of the Order pursuant to Section 120.68, Florida Statutes, by the filing of a Notice of Appeal pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure, with the Clerk of the Department in the Office of General Counsel, 2600 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, FL 32399-2400; and by filing a copy of the Notice of Appeal accompanied by the applicable filing fees with the appropriate District Court of Appeal. The Notice of Appeal must be filed within 30 days from the Final Order is filed with the Clerk of the Department.

Executed in West Palm Beach, Florida

STATE OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION

Stephanie S. Brooks
Engineer
Air Permitting
1900 South Congress Ave., Suite A
West Palm Beach, FL 33406
407/964-9668

SB:s/184

Copies furnished to:
Broward County Environmental Quality Control Board

Mr. T. R. Fair, Manager
Environmental Permitting & Programs
Florida Power & Light
Page 2 of 2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that this NOTICE OF PERMIT and all copies were mailed before the close of business on AUG 08 1989 to the listed persons.

Clerk Stamp

FILING AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT FILED, on this date, pursuant to §120.52(10), Florida Statutes, with the designated Department Clerk, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged.

M. Smith
Clerk

AUG 08 1989
Date



Florida Department of Environmental Regulation

Southeast District • 1900 S. Congress Ave., Suite A • West Palm Beach, Florida 33406 • 407-964-9668

Bob Martinez, Governor

Dale Twentymann, Secretary

John Shearer, Assistant Secretary
Scott Benson, Deputy Assistant Secretary

PERMITTEE:

Mr. T. R. Fair, Manager
Environmental Permitting & Programs
Florida Power & Light
P. O. Box 14000
Juno Beach, FL 33408

I.D. NUMBER: 50/BRO/06/0037/04
PERMIT/CERTIFICATION NUMBER: AO 06-148761 *
DATE OF ISSUE: AUG 6 8 1983
EXPIRATION DATE: June 30, 1993
COUNTY: Broward
LATITUDE/LONGITUDE: 26°04'16"N/80°11'56"W
UTM: Zone 17; 580.4 Km. E; 2884.1 Km. N
PROJECT: Florida Power & Light Co.
Lauderdale Gas Turbines Nos. 13-24

This permit is issued under the provisions of Chapter 403, Florida Statutes, and Florida Administrative Code Rule 17-2, and in conformance with all existing regulations of the Florida Department of Environmental Regulation. The above named permittee is hereby authorized to perform the work or operate the facility shown on the application and approved drawing(s), plans, and other documents attached hereto or on file with the Department and made a part hereof and specifically described as follows:

OPERATE: An air pollution source consisting of gas turbine Units 13 through 24 with a ~~486~~ 486 MW gross capacity burning natural gas and/or No. 2 distillate oil, exhausting through separate stacks 45 feet above ground level. The heat input rate per unit is 702 mm BTU/hr.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH: Application for Renewal of Permit to Operate Air Pollution Sources received April 28, 1988 as modified by letter May 9, 1988, Application to Operate Air Pollution Sources dated March 1, 1978 and letter dated June 13, 1983 (none are attached).

LOCATED AT: Griffin Road, Dania, Broward County, Florida.

TO SERVE: An electric service utility facility (SIC # 4911)

SUBJECT TO: General Conditions 1-15 and Specific Conditions 1-5.

* This permit is a renewal of AO 06-62939 issued June 27, 1983.

PERMITTEE:
Mr. T. R. Fair, Manager
Environmental Permitting & Programs
Florida Power & Light

I.D. NUMBER: 50/BRO/06/0037/04
PERMIT/CERTIFICATION NUMBER: 06-148761 *
DATE OF ISSUE: AUG 1, 1993
EXPIRATION DATE: June 30, 1993

GENERAL CONDITIONS:

1. The terms, conditions, requirements, limitations, and restrictions set forth herein are "Permit Conditions" and as such are binding upon the permittee and enforceable pursuant to the authority of Sections 403.161, 403.727, or 403.859 through 403.861, Florida Statutes. The permittee is hereby placed on notice that the Department will review this permit periodically and may initiate enforcement action for any violation of the "Permit Conditions" by the permittee, its agents, employees, servants or representatives.
2. This permit is valid only for the specific processes and operations applied for and indicated in the approved drawings or exhibits. Any unauthorized deviation from the approved drawings, exhibits, specifications, or conditions of this permit may constitute grounds for revocation and enforcement action by the Department.
3. As provided in Subsections 403.087(6) and 403.722(5), Florida Statutes, the issuance of this permit does not convey any vested rights or any exclusive privileges. Nor does it authorize any injury to public or private property or any invasion of personal rights, nor any infringement of federal, state, or local laws or regulations. This permit does not constitute a waiver of or approval of any other Department permit that may be required for other aspects of the total project which are not addressed in the permit.
4. This permit conveys no title to land or water, does not constitute state recognition or acknowledgement of title, and does not constitute authority for the use of submerged lands unless herein provided and the necessary title or leasehold interests have been obtained from the state. Only the Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund may express state opinion as to title.
5. This permit does not relieve the permittee from liability for harm or injury to human health or welfare, animal, plant or aquatic life or property and penalties therefore caused by the construction or operation of this permitted source, nor does it allow the permittee to cause pollution in contravention of Florida Statutes and Department rules, unless specifically authorized by an order from the Department.
6. The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain the facility and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) that are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit, as required by Department rules. This provision includes the operation of backup or auxiliary facilities or similar systems when necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit, and when required by Department rules.
7. The permittee, by accepting this permit, specifically agrees to allow authorized Department personnel, upon presentation of credentials or other documents as may be required by law, access to the premises, at reasonable times, where the permitted activity is located or conducted for the purpose of:
 - a. Having access to and copying any records that must be kept under the conditions of the permit;
 - b. Inspecting the facility, equipment, practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit; and
 - c. Sampling or monitoring any substances or parameters at any location reasonably necessary to assure compliance with this permit or Department rules.Reasonable time may depend on the nature of the concern being investigated.
8. If, for any reason, the permittee does not comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation specified in the permit, the permittee shall immediately notify and provide the Department with the following information:
 - a. a description of and cause of noncompliance; and
 - b. the period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times; or, if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance.

PERMITTEE:
Mr. E. R. Fair, Manager
Environmental Permitting & Programs
Florida Power & Light

I.D. NUMBER: 50/BRO/06/0037/04
PERMIT/CERTIFICATION NUMBER: AO 06-148761 *
DATE OF ISSUE: AUG 10 1990
EXPIRATION DATE: June 30, 1993

GENERAL CONDITIONS:

The permittee shall be responsible for any and all damages which may result and may be subject to enforcement action by the Department for penalties or revocation of this permit.

9. In accepting this permit, the permittee understands and agrees that all records, notes, monitoring data and other information relating to the construction or operation of this permitted source, which are submitted to the Department, may be used by the Department as evidence in any enforcement case arising under the Florida Statutes or Department rules, except where such use is proscribed by Sections 403.73 and 403.111, Florida Statutes.
10. The permittee agrees to comply with changes in Department rules and Florida Statutes after a reasonable time for compliance, provided however, the permittee does not waive any other rights granted by Florida Statutes or Department rules.
11. This permit is transferable only upon Department approval in accordance with Florida Administrative Code Rules 17-4.12 and 17-30.30, as applicable. The permittee shall be liable for any noncompliance of the permitted activity until the transfer is approved by the Department.
12. This permit is required to be kept at the work site of the permitted activity during the entire period of construction or operation.
13. This permit also constitutes:
 - () Determination of Best Available Control Technology (BACT)
 - () Determination of Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD)
 - () Certification of Compliance with State Water Quality Standards (Section 401, PL 92-500)
 - () Compliance with New Source Performance Standards
14. The permittee shall comply with the following monitoring and record keeping requirements:
 - a. Upon request, the permittee shall furnish all records and plans required under Department rules. The retention period for all records will be extended automatically, unless otherwise stipulated by the Department, during the course of any unresolved enforcement action.
 - b. The permittee shall retain at the facility or other location designated by this permit records of all monitoring information (including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation), copies of all reports required by this permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for this permit. The time period of retention shall be at least three years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application unless otherwise specified by Department rule.
 - c. Records of monitoring information shall include:
 - the date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements;
 - the person responsible for performing the sampling or measurements;
 - the date(s) analyses were performed;
 - the person responsible for performing the analyses;
 - the analytical techniques or methods used; and
 - the results of such analyses.
15. When requested by the Department, the permittee shall within a reasonable time furnish any information required by law which is needed to determine compliance with the permit. If the permittee becomes aware that relevant facts were not submitted or were incorrect in the permit application or in any report to the Department, such facts or information shall be submitted or corrected promptly.

PERMITTEE:
 Mr. T. R. Fair, Manager
 Environmental Permitting & Programs
 Florida Power & Light

I.D. NUMBER: 80/BRO/06/0037/04
 PERMIT/CERTIFICATION NUMBER: AD 06-148761 *
 DATE OF ISSUE: AUG 03, 1993
 EXPIRATION DATE: June 30, 1993

SPECIFIC CONDITIONS:

(1) Permitted Fuels:

These sources shall be fired with No. 2 Distillate fuel Oil and/or natural gas.

(2) Source Emission Limiting Standards and Compliance Testing Requirements:

POLLUTANT	EMISSION 1 LIMITING STDS.	TESTING FREQUENCY			TEST 2 METHOD
		ANNUAL	QUARTERLY	OTHER	
Visible Emissions	20% Opacity	-----	-----	✓ If fuel oil consumption in any unit reaches 23,810 bbls (1,000,000 gal.) in a fiscal year, within that fiscal year*	EPA Method 9

- 1. - FAC 17-2.610(2)
- 2. - FAC 17-2.700(1)(d), Table 700-1

* NOTE: Usage may be determined on the basis of proportionate time of operation versus total fuel consumption for the block of 12 units. If fuel consumption testing threshold is achieved in September, then visible emissions testing may be conducted prior to October 31 of the same calendar year.

(3) Compliance Testing Related Requirements:

(a) Notification - FAC 17-2.700(2)(a)5

Notification of scheduled test dates shall be given to the Department Southeast District Office and the Broward County Environmental Quality Control Board at least 15 days prior to testing unless otherwise agreed to by the Department.

(b) Conditions

Testing of emissions should be conducted with the source operating within 10% of its rated capacity. Testing may be conducted at less than 90% of rated capacity; however, if so, subsequent source operation is limited to up to 110% of the test load. Once the unit is so limited, then operation at higher capacities is allowed for purposes of additional compliance testing to regain rated capacity in permit, with prior notification to the Department.

PERMITTEE:
Mr. T. R. Fair, Manager
Environmental Permitting & Programs
Florida Power & Light

I.D. NUMBER: 50/BRO/06/0037/04
PERMIT/CERTIFICATION NUMBER: AO 06-148761 *
DATE OF ISSUE: ~~AUG 05 1988~~
EXPIRATION DATE: June 30, 1993

SPECIFIC CONDITIONS:

(c) Report Submittal - FAC 17-2.700(7)

A copy of the test results shall be submitted to the Department Southeast District Office and the Broward County Environmental Quality Control Board, including formulas with input and output data.

(4) Annual Operations Report - FAC 17-4.14:

On or before March 1 of each calendar year, a completed DER Form 17-1.202(6), Annual Operations Report Form for Air Emissions Sources shall be submitted to the Department Southeast District Office and the Broward County Environmental Quality Control Board, including formulas with input and output data.

(5) Excess Emissions - FAC 17-2.250(1):

Excess emissions resulting from start-up, shut-down or malfunction shall be permitted providing (1) best operational practices to minimize emissions are adhered to and (2) the duration of excess emissions shall be minimized but in no case exceed two hours in any 24-hour period unless specifically authorized by the Department for longer duration.

Issued this 5th day of August, 1988

STATE OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION

for Donald B. White, P.E.
J. Scott Benyon
Deputy Assistant Secretary

FDER INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER PERMIT



Florida Department of Environmental Regulation

Southeast District • 1900 S. Congress Ave., Suite A • West Palm Beach, Florida 33406 • 407-964-9608

Bob Martinez, Governor

Dale Twachtmann, Secretary

John Shearer, Assistant Secretary
Scott Benyon, Deputy Assistant Secretary

RECEIVED

February 17, 1989

FEB 21 1989

Mr. T. R. Fair, Manager ENV. PERMITTING Broward County
Environmental Permitting & Programs IW-Lauderdale Plant
Florida Power & Light Company
P. O. Box 14000
Juno Beach, FL 33408

RE: Modification to Permit Number IO 06-158722

Dear Mr. Fair:

Your request to amend Specific Condition 4 of the referenced permit issued on January 30, 1989 is hereby approved. In order to clarify our water quality standards Permit Condition 4 shall now read as follows:

4. The permittee shall maintain the quality and quantity of effluent discharged such that the water quality standards of the State of Florida are not exceeded. These shall include the following parameters:

A. Surface Water Quality Standards

Parameter	Water Quality Standards
Discharge temperature	In accordance with FAC Rule 17-3.050
Temperature Rise	In accordance with FAC Rule 17-3.050

B. Groundwater Quality Standards

Parameter	Water Quality Standards
Chromium (total)	0.05 mg/l
Lead	0.05 mg/l
Mercury	0.002 mg/l
Nitrate (as N)	10.0 mg/l
Sodium	160 mg/l
Silver	0.05 mg/l
Arsenic	0.05 mg/l

Sampling and analysis of the effluent shall be conducted in accordance with FAC Rule 17-4.246, as per the attached schedule starting upon receipt of this permit and reports of the analysis shall be submitted to the Department by the 15th day of the succeeding month. Additional sampling and analyses may be required as conditions warrant in order to further assess the water quality.


Mr. T. R. Fair
Florida Power & Light Company
February 17, 1989
Page two

Please note that compliance with the following secondary groundwater standards is not required unless the Department has made a determination pursuant to FAC Rule 17-28.700(8):

Parameter	Water Quality Standards
Copper	1.0 mg/l
Iron	0.3 mg/l
Zinc	5.0 mg/l
pH	6.5 S.U. (minimum)
Chloride	250 mg/l
Manganese	0.05 mg/l
Fluoride	0.5 mg/l

All other conditions of the permit remain unchanged and this letter shall be attached to the original permit. Should you have any questions, please call Mr. Vivek Kamath at 407/964-9668.

Sincerely,



J. Scott Benyon
Deputy Assistant Secretary

JSB:VK

cc: BCEQCB
Mr. W. H. Rogers, Jr., P.E., FPL

Enclosure

STATE OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION

SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS SCHEDULE
FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT CO. - LAUDERDALE PLANT
Permit No. IO06-158722

1. Sampling and analyses of once through cooling water (all units) discharge to surface waters shall be conducted as follows prior to mixing with the receiving waters:

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Frequency</u>
(1) Flow	(1) Daily
(2) Discharge temperature	(2) Daily
(3) Temperature Rise	(3) Every four hours

2. The groundwater monitoring wells U1, OB-2, OB-3, M-2, M-3 and M-4 shall be sampled and analysed as stated below.

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Frequency</u>
(1) Copper	(1) Quarterly
(2) Iron	(2) Quarterly
(3) Oil and Grease	(3) Quarterly
(4) Chromium	(4) Semi-annually
(5) Sodium	(5) Quarterly
(6) Zinc	(6) Semi-annually
(7) Nickel	(7) Quarterly
(8) Fluoride	(8) Quarterly
(9) Silver	(9) Quarterly
(10) Sulfates	(10) Quarterly
(11) Manganese	(11) Semi-annually
(12) pH	(12) Quarterly
(13) Chlorides	(13) Quarterly
(14) Arsenic	(14) Quarterly



RECEIVED

Florida Department of Environmental Regulation

Southeast District • 1900 S. Congress Ave., Suite A • West Palm Beach, Florida 33406 • 407-964-9668

Bob Martinez, Governor

Dale Twachtman, Secretary

FEB 08 1989
MANAGER
PERMITTING & PROGRAMS
Scott Benson, Deputy Assistant Secretary

FEB 06 1989

NOTICE OF PERMIT

Broward County
IW - FP&L Lauderdale Plant

Mr. T.R. Fair, Manager
Environmental Permitting & Programs
Florida Power & Light Company
P.O. Box 14000
Juno Beach, Fl. 33408

Dear Mr. Fair:

Enclosed is Permit Number IO 06-158722 to operate an Industrial Wastewater treatment/disposal system at the Lauderdale Plant, issued pursuant to Section 403.087, Florida Statutes.

Persons whose substantial interests are affected by this permit have a right, pursuant to Section 120.57, Florida Statutes, to petition for an administrative determination (hearing) on it. The petition must conform to the requirements of Chapters 17-103, FAC, and must be filed (received) in the Department's Office of General Counsel, 2600 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, FL 32399-2400, within fourteen (14) days of receipt of this notice. Failure to file a petition within the fourteen (14) days constitutes a waiver of any right such person has to an administrative determination (hearing) pursuant to Section 120.57, Florida Statutes. This permit is final and effective on the date filed with the Clerk of the Department unless a petition is filed in accordance with this paragraph or unless a request for extension of time in which to file a petition is filed within the time specified for filing a petition and conforms to Rule 17-103.070, FAC. Upon timely filing of a petition or a request for an extension of time this permit will not be effective until further Order of the Department.

When the Order (Permit) is final, any party to the Order has the right to seek judicial review of the Order pursuant to Section 120.68, Florida Statutes, by the filing of a Notice of Appeal pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure, with the Clerk of the Department in the Office of General Counsel, 2600 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, FL 32399-2400; and by filing a copy of the Notice of Appeal accompanied by the applicable filing fees with the appropriate District Court of Appeal. The Notice of Appeal must be filed within 30 days from the Final Order is filed with the Clerk of the Department.

Executed in West Palm Beach, Florida

STATE OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION

Vivek Kamath
Vivek Kamath
Engineer
1900 South Congress Ave.
West Palm Beach, FL 33406
407/964-9668

VK:b/291

Copies furnished to:
BCEQCB
Mr. W.H. Rogers, Jr., P.E., FPL

Mr. T.R. Fair, Manager
Florida Power & Light.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that this NOTICE OF PERMIT and all copies were mailed before the close of business on FEB 05 1930 to the listed persons.

Clerk Stamp

FILING AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT FILED, on this date, pursuant to §120.52(10), Florida Statutes, with the designated Department Clerk, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged.

Mary B. Smith
Clerk

FEB 06 1930
Date



Florida Department of Environmental Regulation

Southeast District • 1900 S. Congress Ave., Suite A • West Palm Beach, Florida 33406 • 407-964-9668

Bob Martinez, Governor

Dale Twachtman, Secretary

John Shearer, Assistant Secretary
Scott Benvon, Deputy Assistant Secretary

PERMITTEE:

Mr. T.R. Fair, Manager
Environmental Permitting & Programs
Florida Power & Light Co.
Post Office Box 14000
Juno Beach, Florida 33408

I.D. NUMBER: 5006P00657

PERMIT/CERTIFICATION NUMBER: IO 06-158722

DATE OF ISSUE: JAN 30 1989

EXPIRATION DATE: JAN 30 1994

COUNTY: Broward

LATITUDE/LONGITUDE: 26°03'45"N/80°11'40"W

SECTION/TOWNSHIP/RANGE: 30/T50S/R42E

PROJECT: Lauderdale Steam Electric Plant

This permit is issued under the provisions of Chapter 403, Florida Statutes, and Florida Administrative Code Rule(s) 17-4 and 17-6. The above named permittee is hereby authorized to perform the work or operate the facility shown on the application and approved drawing(s), plans, and other documents attached hereto or on file with the Department and made a part hereof and specifically described as follows:

OPERATE: A liquid industrial waste treatment and disposal system for treating and disposing of industrial waste from the generation of electricity by steam. A volume of 343 MGD of once through cooling water is discharging to the south fork of New River Canal, classified as Class III surface waters, with a Zone of Mixing in accordance with Section 17-2.444, F.A.C. and as described in Specific Condition 3. A second volume of liquid waste consisting of wash waters from stacks, air preheater, economizer, boiler fireside and from boiler tube cleaning operations is discharging to two settling basins (B1A and B1B) each with a capacity of 0.259 MGAL and a 1.9 MGAL evaporation/percolation pond to Class G-II Groundwaters. Water treatment wastewater, floor and storm drains and boiler blowdown are discharged to the on-site stub canal (evaporation/percolation Pond). The Zone of Discharge for groundwater discharge shall be in accordance with Section 17-28.700, F.A.C. and as described in Specific Condition No. 2.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH: Your application to renew Permit No. IO06-78916, submitted on December 23, 1988 and additional information submitted on January 6, 1989.

LOCATED AT: S.W. 42 Street, 2 miles west of Ravenswood Road, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33314.

TO SERVE: A steam electric power plant (SIC 4911).

SUBJECT TO: General Conditions 1-15 and Specific Conditions 1-10.

GENERAL CONDITIONS:

1. The terms, conditions, requirements, limitations and restrictions set forth in this permit, are "permit conditions" and are binding and enforceable pursuant to Sections 403.141, 403.727, or 403.859 through 403.861, F.S. The permittee is placed on notice that the Department will review this permit periodically and may initiate enforcement action for any violation of these conditions.

2. This permit is valid only for the specific processes and operations applied for and indicated in the approved drawings or exhibits. Any unauthorized deviation from the approved drawings, exhibits, specifications, or conditions of this permit may constitute grounds for revocation and enforcement action by the Department.

3. As provided in subsections 403.087(6) and 403.722(5), F.S., the issuance of this permit does not convey any vested rights or any exclusive privileges. Neither does it authorize any injury to public or private property or any invasion of personal rights, nor any infringement of federal, state, or local laws or regulations. This permit is not a waiver of or approval of any other Department permit that may be required for other aspects of the total project which are not addressed in this permit.

4. This permit conveys no title to land or water, does not constitute State recognition or acknowledgement of title, and does not constitute authority for the use of submerged lands unless herein provided and the necessary title or leasehold interests have been obtained from the State. Only the Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund may express State opinion as to title.

5. This permit does not relieve the permittee from liability for harm or injury to human health or welfare, animal, or plant life, or property caused by the construction or operation of this permitted source, or from penalties therefore; nor does it allow the permittee to cause pollution in contravention of Florida Statutes and Department rules, unless specifically authorized by an order from the Department.

6. The permittee shall properly operate and maintain the facility and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) that are installed and used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit, are required by Department rules. This provision includes the operation of backup or auxiliary facilities or similar systems when necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit and when required by Department rules.

7. The permittee, by accepting this permit, specifically agrees to allow authorized Department personnel, upon presentation of credentials or other documents as may be required by law and at reasonable times, access to the premises where the permitted activity is located or conducted to:

- (a) Have access to and copy any records that must be kept under conditions of the permit;
- (b) Inspect the facility, equipment, practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit; and
- (c) Sample or monitor any substances or parameters at any location reasonable necessary to assure compliance with this permit or Department rules.

Reasonable time may depend on the nature of the concern being investigated.

8. If, for any reason, the permittee does not comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation specified in this permit, the permittee shall immediately provide the Department with the following information:

- (a) A description of and cause of noncompliance; and
- (b) The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or, if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance. The permittee shall be responsible for any and all damages which may result and may be subject to enforcement action by the Department for penalties or for revocation of this permit.

9. In accepting this permit, the permittee understands and agrees that all records, notes, monitoring data and other information relating to the construction or operation of this permitted source which are submitted to the Department may be used by the Department as evidence in any enforcement case involving the permitted source arising under the Florida Statutes or Department rules, except where such use is prescribed by Section 403.111 and 403.73, F.S. Such evidence shall only be used to the extent it is consistent with the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure and appropriate evidentiary rules.

GENERAL CONDITIONS:

10. The permittee agrees to comply with changes in Department rules and Florida Statutes after a reasonable time for compliance; provided, however, the permittee does not waive any other rights granted by Florida Statutes or Department rules.
11. This permit is transferable only upon Department approval in accordance with Rule 17-4.120 and 17-30.300, F.A.C., as applicable. The permittee shall be liable for any non-compliance of the permitted activity until the transfer is approved by the Department.
12. This permit or a copy thereof shall be kept at the work site of the permitted activity.
13. This permit also constitutes:
 - () Determination of Best Available Control Technology (BACT)
 - () Determination of Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD)
 - () Certification of compliance with state Water Quality Standards (Section 401, PL 92-500)
 - () Compliance with New Source Performance Standards
14. The permittee shall comply with the following:
 - (a) Upon request, the permittee shall furnish all records and plans required under Department rules. During enforcement actions, the retention period for all records will be extended automatically unless otherwise stipulated by the Department.
 - (b) The permittee shall hold at the facility or other location designated by this permit records of all monitoring information (including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation) required by the permit, copies of all reports required by this permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for this permit. These materials shall be retained at least three years from the date of the sample, measurement, report, or application unless otherwise specified by Department rule.
 - (c) Records of monitoring information shall include:
 1. the date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements;
 2. the person responsible for performing the sampling or measurements;
 3. the dates analyses were performed;
 4. the person responsible for performing the analyses;
 5. the analytical techniques or methods used;
 6. the results of such analyses.
15. When requested by the Department, the permittee shall within a reasonable time furnish any information required by law which is needed to determine compliance with the permit. If the permittee becomes aware the relevant facts were not submitted or were incorrect in the permit application or in any report to the Department, such facts or information shall be corrected promptly.
16. In the case of an underground injection control permit, the following permit conditions also shall apply:
 - (a) All reports or information required by the Department shall be certified as being true, accurate and complete.
 - (b) Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, requirements contained in any compliance schedule of this permit shall be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date.
 - (c) Notification of any noncompliance which may endanger health or the environment shall be reported verbally to the Department within 24 hours and again within 72 hours, and a final written report provided within two weeks.
 1. The verbal reports shall contain any monitoring or other information which indicate that any contaminant may endanger an underground source of drinking water and any noncompliance with a permit condition or malfunction of the injection system which may cause fluid migration into or between underground sources of drinking water.

GENERAL CONDITIONS:

2. The written submission shall contain a description of and a discussion of the cause of the noncompliance and, if it has not been corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, the steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance and all information required by Rule 17-28.230(4)(b), F.A.C.
 - (d) The Department shall be notified at least 180 days before conversion or abandonment of an injection well, unless abandonment within a lesser period of time is necessary to protect waters of the state.
17. The following conditions also shall apply to a hazardous waste facility permit.
- (a) The following reports shall be submitted to the Department:
 1. Manifest discrepancy report. If a significant discrepancy in a manifest is discovered, the permittee shall attempt to rectify the discrepancy. If not resolved within 15 days after the waste is received, the permittee shall immediately submit a letter report, including a copy of the manifest, to the Department.
 2. Unmanifested waste report. The permittee shall submit an unmanifested waste report to the Department within 15 days of receipt of unmanifested waste.
 3. Annual report. An annual report covering facility activities during the previous calendar year shall be submitted pursuant to Chapter 17-30, F.A.C.
 - (b) Notification of any noncompliance which may endanger health or the environment, including the release of any hazardous waste that may endanger public drinking water supplies or the occurrence of a fire or explosion from the facility which could threaten the environment or human health outside the facility, shall be reported verbally to the Department within 24 hours, and a written report shall be provided within 5 days. The verbal report shall include the name, address, I.D. number, and telephone number of the facility, its owner or operator, the name and quantity of materials involved, the extent of any injuries, an assessment of actual or potential hazards, and the estimated quantity and disposition of recovered material. The written submission shall contain:
 1. A description and cause of the noncompliance.
 2. If not corrected, the expected time of correction, and the steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance.
 - (c) Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, requirements in any compliance schedule shall be submitted no later than 14 days after each schedule date.
 - (d) All reports or information required by the Department by a hazardous waste permittee shall be signed by a person authorized to sign a permit application.

PERMITTEE:
Mr. T.R. Fair, Manager
Florida Power & Light

I.D. NUMBER: 5006P00657
PERMIT/CERTIFICATION NUMBER: IO 06-158722
DATE OF ISSUE:
EXPIRATION DATE: JAN 30 1989

SPECIFIC CONDITIONS:

JAN 30 1989

1. The water quality at the perimeter of the Zone of Discharge and the Mixing Zone shall be consistent at all times with the water quality standards set forth in Chapter 17-3, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). Should conditions of the waters within the Zone of Discharge or the Mixing Zone warrant, the permittee may be required by the Department to upgrade, reduce or cease the discharge of effluent into the Zone of Discharge and adopt an alternate method of disposal.
2. The Zone of Discharge shall be in accordance with Section 17-28.700, and extend to the property limits as described in drawing No. C-27338-5 (not attached).
3. The Mixing Zone shall be in accordance with Section 17-4.244 F.A.C. and will extend from the discharge point and be in the direction of normal flow (easterly) for a distance of 800 meters.
4. The permittee shall maintain the quality and quantity of the effluent discharged such that the water quality standards of the State of Florida are not exceeded. These shall include the following parameters:

A. Surface Water Quality Standards

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Water Quality Standards</u>
(1) Discharge temperature	(In accordance with Section 17-3.050, F.A.C.).
(2) Temperature Rise	(In accordance with Section 17-3.050, F.A.C.).

~~B. Groundwater Quality Standards~~

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Water Quality Standards</u>
(1) Copper	1.0 mg/l
(2) Iron	0.3 mg/l
(3) Chromium (total)	0.05 mg/l
(4) Zinc	5.0 mg/l
(5) Lead	0.05 mg/l
(6) Mercury	0.002 mg/l
(7) Nitrate	10.0 mg/l
(8) pH	6.5 S.U. (min.)
(9) Chloride	250 mg/l

*See Modification
Dated February 17, 1989
Specific Condition
Number 4*

Sampling and analysis of the effluents and monitoring wells shall be conducted in accordance with Section 17-4.246, F.A.C., as per the attached schedule starting upon receipt of this permit and reports on the analysis shall be submitted to the Department by the 15th of the succeeding month. Additional sampling and analyses may be required as conditions warrant in order to further assess the water quality.

5. There shall be no discharge of free available chlorine or total residual chlorine. Floating solids or visible foam shall not be discharged in any quantities that could create a nuisance.
6. No wastewater shall be allowed to deliberately bypass the treatment facility, except in cases of emergency, without the prior approval of the Department. The Department shall be promptly notified, in writing, of the emergency and all information as to the cause of the problem and the corrective measures to be taken to prevent its recurrence.
7. The treatment facilities are to be operated in such a manner that the maximum level of efficiency is maintained at all times.
8. Waste sludge or other solid waste shall not be discharged into the waters of the State either directly or indirectly. Records of the amount of solid waste generated and the method of disposal shall be maintained on-site and made available to the Department staff.

PERMITTEE:
Mr. T.R. Fair, Manager
Florida Power & Light

I.D. NUMBER: 5006P00657
PERMIT/CERTIFICATION NUMBER: IO 06-158722
DATE OF ISSUE: JAN 30 1989
EXPIRATION DATE: JAN 30 1994


SPECIFIC CONDITIONS:

9. All records and data relating to this permit shall be made available for inspection by the staff of the Department at the permitted facility. Copies of all reports, tests, notifications or other submittal required by this permit shall be submitted to both the Department of Environmental Regulation, Southeast Florida District Office and Broward County Environmental Quality Control Board.

10. The discharges from this facility shall comply with Broward County Code, Chapter 27, as applicable.

Issued this 30th day of January ~~1988~~ ¹⁹⁸⁹

STATE OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION



J. Scott Benyon
Deputy Assistant Secretary

Page 6 of 6

DER Form 17-1.201(5)
Effective November 30, 1982

STATE OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION

SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS SCHEDULE
FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT CO. - LAUDERDALE PLANT
Permit No. IO06-158722

1. Sampling and analyses of once through cooling water (all units) discharge to surface waters shall be conducted as follows prior to mixing with the receiving waters:

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Frequency</u>
(1) Flow	(1) Daily
(2) Discharge temperature	(2) Daily
(3) Temperature Rise	(3) Every four hours

2. The groundwater monitoring wells U1, OB-2, OB-3, M-2, M-3 and M-4 shall be sampled and analysed as stated below.

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Frequency</u>
(1) Copper	(1) Quarterly
(2) Iron	(2) Quarterly
(3) Oil and Grease	(3) Quarterly
(4) Chromium	(4) Semi-annually
(5) Sodium	(5) Quarterly
(6) Zinc	(6) Semi-annually
(7) Nickel	(7) Quarterly
(8) Fluoride	(8) Quarterly
(9) Silver	(9) Quarterly
(10) Sulfates	(10) Quarterly
(11) Manganese	(11) Semi-annually
(12) pH	(12) Quarterly
(13) Chlorides	(13) Quarterly
(14) Arsenic	(14) Quarterly

SFWMD CONSUMPTIVE USE PERMITS

**South Florida
Water Management District**
WATER USE PERMIT NO. 06-00503-W
(NON-ASSIGNABLE)

DATE ISSUED: December 15, 1983 EXPIRATION DATE December 15, 1993

AUTHORIZING: THE CONTINUATION OF AN EXISTING USE OF GROUNDWATER FROM THE BISCAYNE AQUIFER FOR INDUSTRIAL USE WITH AN ANNUAL ALLOCATION OF 135.16 MILLION GALLONS.

LOCATED IN: BROWARD COUNTY, SECTION 30 TWP. 50S RGE. 42E

ISSUED TO: Florida Power and Light Company
(Lauderdale Steam Electric Plant)
P. O. Box 14000
Juno Beach, Florida 33408

This Permit is issued pursuant to Application for Permit No. 09133-A dated September 7, 1983 for the Use of Water as specified above and subject to the Special Conditions set forth below. Said application, including all plans and specifications attached thereto, is by reference made a part hereof.

Upon written notice to the permittee, this permit may be temporarily modified, or restricted under a Declaration of Water Shortage or a Declaration of Emergency due to Water Shortage in accordance with provisions of Ch. 373, Fla. Statutes, 1973 and applicable rules and regulations of the South Florida Water Management District.

This Permit may be permanently or temporarily revoked, in whole or in part, for the violation of the conditions of the permit or for the violation of any provision of the Water Resources Act and regulations thereunder.

This Permit does not convey to permittee any property rights nor any privileges other than those specified herein, nor relieve the permittee from complying with any law, regulation, or requirement affecting the rights of other bodies or agencies.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS ARE AS FOLLOWS:

SEE SHEETS 2 AND 3 OF 3 - 12 GROUNDWATER SPECIAL CONDITIONS.

SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT
DISTRICT, BY ITS GOVERNING BOARD

By Thomas E. Heuser
Assistant Secretary

GROUNDWATER SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. APPLICATION FOR A PERMIT MODIFICATION MAY BE MADE AT ANY TIME.
2. PERMIT SHALL EXPIRE 10 YEARS FROM THE DATE OF ISSUANCE.
3. MAXIMUM DAY PUMPAGE SHALL NOT EXCEED 0.432 MG.
4. IN THE EVENT OF A DECLARED WATER SHORTAGE, WATER WITHDRAWAL REDUCTIONS SHALL BE MADE AS SPECIFIED BY THE DISTRICT.
5. USE CLASSIFICATION IS INDUSTRIAL.
6. SOURCE CLASSIFICATION IS GROUNDWATER FROM THE BISCAYNE AQUIFER.
7. PERMITTEE SHALL RECORD PUMPAGE ON A DAILY BASIS. THE RECORDED DATA SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO THE DISTRICT EVERY MONTH STARTING THE MONTH FOLLOWING BOTH PERMIT ISSUANCE AND INITIATION OF WATER USE.
8. THE DIRECTOR OF THE RESOURCE CONTROL DEPARTMENT OR HIS AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVES SHALL BE PERMITTED TO ENTER, INSPECT AND OBSERVE THE INDUSTRIAL WATER USE UPON DISTRICT STAFF IDENTIFICATION IN ORDER TO DETERMINE COMPLIANCE WITH SPECIAL CONDITIONS.
9. PERMITTEE SHALL MITIGATE ANY ADVERSE IMPACT CAUSED BY WITHDRAWALS ON LEGAL USES WHICH EXISTED AT THE TIME OF PERMIT APPLICATION. DISTRICT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO CURTAIL FUTURE PUMPAGE RATES IF PUMPAGE CAUSES AN ADVERSE IMPACT ON LEGAL USES OF WATER WHICH EXISTED AT THE TIME OF APPLICATION. ADVERSE IMPACTS ARE EXEMPLIFIED BY BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE FOLLOWING: 1) REDUCTION IN WELL WATER LEVELS RESULTING IN A REDUCTION OF 10% IN THE ABILITY OF AN ADJACENT WELL TO PRODUCE WATER (AN ADJACENT WELL MAY BE A DOMESTIC WELL, LAWN IRRIGATION WELL, PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY WELL, ETC.), 2) SIGNIFICANT REDUCTION IN WATER LEVELS IN AN ADJACENT WATER BODY SUCH AS A LAKE, POND, OR A CANAL SYSTEM, RESULTING IN A SIGNIFICANT IMPAIRMENT OF THE USE OF WATER IN THAT WATER BODY, 3) SALINE WATER INTRUSION OR INDUCTION OF POLLUTANTS INTO THE WATER SUPPLY OF AN ADJACENT WATER USE RESULTING IN A SIGNIFICANT REDUCTION IN WATER QUALITY.
10. PERMITTEE SHALL MITIGATE ANY ADVERSE IMPACT ON OFF-SITE LAND USE WHICH EXISTED AT THE TIME OF APPLICATION, AS A CONSEQUENCE OF WITHDRAWALS PERMITTED HEREIN TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE DISTRICT. THE DISTRICT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO CURTAIL FUTURE PUMPAGE RATES IF INCREASED WITHDRAWALS CAUSE AN ADVERSE IMPACT ON LAND USE WHICH EXISTED AT THE TIME OF APPLICATION. ADVERSE IMPACTS ARE EXEMPLIFIED BY BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE FOLLOWING: 1) SIGNIFICANT REDUCTION IN WATER LEVELS IN AN ADJACENT WATER BODY SUCH AS A LAKE, POND OR CANAL SYSTEM WHICH IS NOT BEING USED AS A SOURCE OF WATER; 2) LAND COLLAPSE OR SUBSIDENCE CAUSED BY REDUCTION IN WATER LEVELS; 3) DAMAGE TO CROPS AND OTHER TYPES OF VEGETATION,

THE ELIMINATION OF WHICH WOULD CAUSE FINANCIAL HARM TO THE LANDOWNER.

11. IF ANY CONDITIONS OF THIS PERMIT ARE VIOLATED, THE PERMIT SHALL BE SUBJECT TO REVIEW AND POSSIBLE REVOCATION AND MODIFICATION, OR ENFORCEMENT ACTION.
12. PERMITTEE SHALL NOT CAUSE SIGNIFICANT SALINE WATER INTRUSION. THE DISTRICT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO CURTAIL FUTURE PUMPAGE RATES IF INCREASED WITHDRAWALS CAUSE SIGNIFICANT SALINE WATER INTRUSION.



South Florida Water Management District

John R. Wodraska, Executive Director
Tilford C. Creel, Deputy Executive Director

Post Office Box 24680 3301 Gun Club Road
West Palm Beach, Florida 33416-4680
Telephone (407) 686-8800
Florida WATS Line 1-800-432-2045

RECEIVED

APR 22 1988

MANAGER
PERMITTING & PROGRAMS

IN REPLY REFER TO:

CERTIFIED MAIL NO. P 796 950 075
GP #88-137W

April 21, 1988

Florida Power & Light Company
P.O. Box 14000
Juno Beach, FL 33408

Dear Mr. Fair:

SUBJECT: Water Use General Permit: 88-137W
Project: Lauderdale Plant Pavillion
Type of Use: Irrigation
County: Broward; Sec. 30, Twp. 50S, Rge. 42E.
Permittee: Florida Power & Light Company

This letter is to notify you of the District's agency action concerning your Notice of Intent to Use Water. This action is taken pursuant to Rule 40E-20.042 and Chapter 40E-20, Florida Administrative Code. Based on the information provided, District rules have been adhered to and a General Water Use Permit is in effect for this project subject to:

1. Not receiving a filed request for a Chapter 120, Florida Statutes, administrative hearing and
2. The attached Limiting Conditions on Exhibit 1.

This permit is for use of 1200 gallons per day on the average or 4200 gallons per day on a maximum day. Groundwater withdrawals will be from one existing 6-inch X 53-foot Biscayne aquifer well cased to 45 feet and pumped at 250 GPM. Prior to exceeding these quantities, a modification to this permit will be necessary.

This project is for a total of 2 acres, of which 0.5 acres will be irrigated.

10.4-61

Nancy H. Roen
Chairman - Plantation

J.D. York
Vice Chairman - Palm City

Nathaniel P. Reed
Hobe Sound

Oscar M. Corbin, Jr.
Ft. Myers

Arsenio Milian
Miami

Fritz Stein
Belle Glade

James F. Garner
Ft. Myers

Mike Stout
Windermere

Doran A. Jason
Key Biscayne

EXHIBIT 1

LIMITING CONDITIONS

1. THE PERMITTEE SHALL OBTAIN ALL NECESSARY FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL AND SPECIAL DISTRICT AUTHORIZATIONS PRIOR TO THE USE OR WITHDRAWAL OF WATER.
2. IN THE EVENT OF A DECLARED WATER SHORTAGE, WATER WITHDRAWAL REDUCTIONS WILL BE ORDERED BY THE DISTRICT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE WATER SHORTAGE PLAN, CHAPTER 40E-21, FLORIDA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE.
3. PERMITTEE SHALL MITIGATE TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE DISTRICT ANY ADVERSE IMPACT ON EXISTING LEGAL USES CAUSED BY WITHDRAWALS. WHEN ADVERSE IMPACTS OCCUR, OR ARE IMMINENT, DISTRICT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO CURTAIL WITHDRAWAL RATES. ADVERSE IMPACTS ARE: A) REDUCTION IN WELL WATER LEVELS THAT IMPAIRS THE ABILITY OF AN ADJACENT WELL TO PRODUCE WATER (AN ADJACENT WELL MAY BE DOMESTIC WELL, LAWN IRRIGATION WELL, PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY WELL, ETC.), B) SIGNIFICANT REDUCTION IN LEVELS IN AN ADJACENT WATER BODY SUCH AS A LAKE, POND, WETLAND OR A CANAL SYSTEM, C) SALINE WATER INTRUSION OR INDUCTION OF POLLUTANTS INTO THE WATER SUPPLY OF AN ADJACENT WATER USE, RESULTING IN A SIGNIFICANT REDUCTION IN WATER QUALITY, AND D) CHANGE IN WATER QUALITY THAT CAUSES IMPAIRMENT OR LOSS OF USE OF A WELL OR WATER BODY.
4. PERMITTEE SHALL MITIGATE TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE DISTRICT ANY ADVERSE IMPACT ON EXISTING OFF-SITE LAND USE AS A CONSEQUENCE OF WITHDRAWALS PERMITTED HEREIN. IF INCREASED WITHDRAWALS CAUSE AN ADVERSE IMPACT ON EXISTING LAND USE THE DISTRICT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO CURTAIL FUTURE WITHDRAWAL RATES. ADVERSE IMPACTS ARE: A) SIGNIFICANT REDUCTION IN WATER LEVELS IN AN ADJACENT WATER BODY (SUCH AS A LAKE, POND, WETLAND OR A CANAL SYSTEM), B) LAND COLLAPSE OR SUBSIDENCE CAUSED BY REDUCTION IN WATER LEVELS, C) DAMAGE TO CROPS AND OTHER VEGETATION, CAUSING FINANCIAL HARM TO THE LANDOWNER, AND D) DAMAGE TO HABITAT OF RARE, ENDANGERED OR THREATENED SPECIES.
5. PERMITTEE SHALL NOT REFUSE IMMEDIATE ENTRY OR ACCESS TO ANY AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE OF THE DISTRICT WHO REQUESTS ENTRY FOR PURPOSES OF INSPECTION AND PRESENTS APPROPRIATE CREDENTIALS.
6. IF ANY CONDITION OF THE PERMIT IS VIOLATED, THE PERMIT SHALL BE SUBJECT TO REVIEW AND POSSIBLE MODIFICATION, ENFORCEMENT ACTION, OR REVOCATION.
7. APPLICATION FOR A PERMIT MODIFICATION MAY BE MADE AT ANY TIME.
8. THE PERMIT DOES NOT CONVEY ANY PROPERTY RIGHT TO THE PERMITTEE, NOR ANY RIGHTS AND PRIVILEGES OTHER THAN THOSE SPECIFIED IN THE PERMIT AND CHAPTER 40E-2, F.A.C.



South Florida Water Management District GENERAL PERMIT NOTICE OF RIGHTS

This Notice of Rights is intended to inform the recipient of the administrative and judicial review which may be available as mandated by section 120.60(3), Florida Statutes. Be advised that although this notice is intended to be comprehensive, the review procedures set forth herein have been the subject of judicial construction and interpretation which may affect the administrative or judicial review available. Recipients are therefore advised to become familiar with Chapters 120 and 373, Florida Statutes, and the judicial interpretation of the provisions of these chapters.

1. If a substantially affected person objects to the staff's recommendation, that person has the right to request an administrative hearing on the proposed agency action. The substantially affected person may request either a formal or an informal hearing, as set forth below. Failure to comply with the prescribed time periods shall constitute a waiver of the right to a hearing.
2. If a substantially affected person believes a genuine issue of material fact is in dispute, that person may request a formal hearing pursuant to section 120.57(1), Florida Statutes, by filing a petition not later than:
 - a. IF NOTICE OF THE APPLICATION WAS PUBLISHED BY THE APPLICANT, within fourteen (14) days after mailing of the proposed agency action or
 - b. IF NOTICE OF THE APPLICATION WAS NOT PUBLISHED, within fourteen days after receipt of actual notice.The request for a section 120.57(1), F.S., formal hearing must comply with the requirements of Rule 40E-1.521, Florida Administrative Code, a copy of which is attached. Petitions are deemed filed upon receipt by the District. Failure to substantially comply with the provisions of Rule 40E-1.521, Florida Administrative Code, shall constitute a waiver of the right to a 120.57(1) hearing. If a petition for administrative hearing is not timely filed, the staff's proposed agency will automatically mature into final agency action.
3. If a substantially affected person believes that no issues of material fact are in dispute, that person may request an informal hearing pursuant to section 120.57(2), F.S., by filing a petition for hearing not later than:
 - a. IF NOTICE OF THE APPLICATION WAS PUBLISHED BY THE APPLICANT, within fourteen (14) days after mailing of the proposed agency action or
 - b. IF NOTICE OF THE APPLICATION WAS NOT PUBLISHED, within fourteen days after receipt of actual notice.A request for informal hearing shall be considered as a waiver of the right to request a formal section 120.57(1), F.S., hearing. A request for a section 120.57(1), F.S., formal hearing not in substantial compliance with the provisions of rule 40E-1.521, F.A.C., may be considered by the District as a request for informal hearing. If a petition for administrative hearing is not timely filed, the staff's proposed agency action will automatically mature into final agency action.
4. Pursuant to section 373.114, Florida Statutes, a party to the proceeding below may seek review of a Final Order rendered on the permit application before the Land and Water Adjudicatory Commission, as provided therein. Review under this section is initiated by filing a request for review with the Land and Water Adjudicatory Commission and serving a copy on the Department of Environmental Regulation and any person named in the Order within 20 days after rendering of the District's Order. However, when the order to be reviewed has statewide or regional significance, as determined by the Land and Water Adjudicatory Commission within 60 days after receipt of a request for review, the commission may accept a request for review from any affected person within 30 days after the rendering of the order. Review under section 373.114, Florida Statutes, is limited solely to a determination of consistency with the provisions and purposes of Chapter 373, Florida Statutes. This review is appellate in nature and limited to the record below.
5. A party who is adversely affected by final agency action on the permit application is entitled to judicial review in the District Court of Appeal pursuant to section 120.68, Florida Statutes, as provided therein. Review under section 120.68, Florida Statutes in the District Court of Appeal is initiated by filing a petition in the appropriate District Court of Appeal in accordance with Florida rule of appellate Procedure 9.110. The Notice of Appeal must be filed within 30 days of the final agency action.
6. Section 373.617(2), Florida Statutes, provides:

Any person substantially affected by a final action of any agency with respect to a permit may seek review within 90 days of the rendering of such decision and request monetary damages and other relief in the circuit court in the judicial circuit in which the affected property is located; however, circuit court review shall be confined solely to determining whether final agency action is an unreasonable exercise of the state's police power constituting a taking without just compensation. Review of final agency action for the purpose of determining whether the action is in accordance with existing statutes or rules and based on component substantial evidence shall proceed in accordance with Chapter 120.
7. Please be advised that exhaustion of administrative remedies is generally a prerequisite to appeal to the District Court of Appeal or the seeking of Circuit Court review of final agency action by the District on the permit application. There are, however, exceptions to the exhaustion requirement. The applicant is advised to consult the case law as to the requirements of exhaustion exceptions.

40E-1.521 Initiation of Formal Proceedings.

(1) Initiation of formal proceedings shall be made by petition to the District. The term petition as used herein includes any application or other document which expresses a request for formal proceedings. Each petition should be printed, typewritten or otherwise duplicated in legible form on white paper or standard legal size. Unless printed, the impression shall be on one side of the paper only and lines shall be double-spaced and indented.

(2) All petitions filed under these rules shall contain:

- (a) The name and address of the District and the District's file or identification number, if known;
- (b) The name and address of the petitioner or petitioners;
- (c) An explanation of how each petitioner's substantial interests will be affected by the District's determination;
- (d) A statement of when and how petitioner received notice of the District's decision or intent to render a decision;
- (e) A statement of all disputed issues of material fact. If there are none, the petition must so indicate;
- (f) A concise statement of the ultimate facts which petitioner believes entitle petitioner to the relief sought as well as the rules and statutes which support petitioner's claim for relief;
- (g) A demand for the relief to which the petitioner deems himself entitled; and
- (h) Other information which the petitioner contends is material.

(3) Upon receipt of a petition for formal proceedings, the District shall review the petition for the degree of compliance with subsection (2) and shall accept those petitions in substantial compliance therewith which have been timely filed and which state a dispute which is within the jurisdiction of the District to resolve. If accepted, the District shall designate the presiding officer. The District shall promptly give written notice to all parties of the action taken on the petition, and shall state with particularity its reasons therefor.

(4) If the District designates a Hearing Officer assigned by the Division of Administrative Hearings as the presiding officer, the District Clerk shall forward the petition and all materials filed with the District to the Division of Administrative Hearings, and shall notify all parties of its action.

Specific Authority 373.044, 373.113 F.S.

Law Implemented 120.53(1), 120.57 F.S.

History — New 9-3-81.

Formerly 16K-1.09(1), 16K-1.112(1) through (3), 16K-1.12

SFWM D RIGHTS-OF-WAY PERMITS

PERMITTEE

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT CO

PERMIT NO. S.D.S. 1941-22
DATE ISSUED: 1/21/41
RECORD BOOK PAGE _____

LOCATION:

Project Works South Fork New River
Sec. 23 Twp. 50 Rge. 41
Position Mile 0.6

PERMIT FOR: Supplementary intake canal, bridge and trash screen

SPECIAL CONDITIONS: See permit

FCD
Form 99-Rev.
Jul-61

PERMITTEE

Florida Power & Light Company
P.O. Box 013100
Miami, Florida 33101

PERMIT NO. 6720
APPLICATION NO. 07197-D
DATE FILED 7/18/77

County Broward
Project Works SF RIVER

Sec. 25 Twp. 50S Rge. 41E

FCD
FORM 599
Rev. Sept. 1976

PERMIT FOR:

REPLACE WOODEN BRIDGE WITH 1-36" X 32"
ALCMP CULVERT THROUGH EAST RIGHT OF WAY
OF SOUTH FORK OF NEW RIVER 250' + NORTH
OF DANIA CUT-OFF CANAL.

PERMITTEE: **FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT CO.** PERMIT NO. 149
DATE ISSUED: 12/22/53
RECORD BOOK PAGE _____

LOCATION:
Project Works DADA CUT-OFF
Sec. 30 Twp. 30 Rge. 43
Position Mile: 0.4

PERMIT FOR: **Construction of docks 7 dolphins and portion of road on north side.**

SPECIAL CONDITIONS: **See Form 1 - Right of Way access granted to F.S.D.**

FCD
Form 99-Rev.
Jul-61

PERMITTEE: **FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT CO.** PERMIT NO. 302
DATE ISSUED: 1/13/54
RECORD BOOK PAGE _____

LOCATION:
Project Works Dams cut-off
Sec. 30 Twp. 30 Rge. 43
Position Mile: 1.1

PERMIT FOR: **Tide gate 1500' below previous structure**

SPECIAL CONDITIONS: **See Form 1**

FCD
Form 99-Rev.
Jul-61

PERMITTEE: **FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT CO.** PERMIT NO. 357
DATE ISSUED: 6/6/54
RECORD BOOK PAGE _____

LOCATION:
Project Works Dams Cut-off
Sec. 30 Twp. 30 Rge. 43
Position Mile: 1.0

PERMIT FOR: **Intake channel connections thru North I/W**

SPECIAL CONDITIONS: **SEE PERMIT**

FCD
Form 99-Rev.
Jul-61

PERMITTEE

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT CO.

PERMIT NO. EM 1927 - 45

DATE ISSUED: 7/12/77

RECORD BOOK PAGE _____

LOCATION:

Project Works DAKIA CUT-OFF
Sec. 23 Twp. 50 Rge. 41
Position Mile 0.7

PERMIT FOR: **Bridge**

SPECIAL CONDITIONS: **See Permit**

FCD
Form 99-Rev.
Jul-61

PERMITTEE

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT CO.

PERMIT NO. EM 1930 - 4

DATE ISSUED: 8-18-77

RECORD BOOK PAGE _____


LOCATION:

Project Works Dakia Cut-off
Sec. 30 Twp. 50 Rge. 41
Position Mile 0.8

PERMIT FOR: **Tide Gate**

SPECIAL CONDITIONS: **See Permit**

FCD
Form 99-Rev.
Jul-61



7-06(DC)46

PERMITTEE
Florida Power & Light Co.
P. O. Box 013100
Miami, Florida

PERMIT NO. 6564
APPLICATION NO. 20829
DATE FILED 7-22-76

County Broward
Project Works _____

FCD
FORM 599
Rev. Sept. 1975

Sec. 30 Twp. 50S Rge. 42E

PERMIT FOR: SEAWALL AROUND TIDE GATES AND ALONG FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT PROPERTY LINE ON DANIA CUT OFF CANAL NORTH RIGHT OF WAY AT FT. LAUDERDALE PLANT

PERMITTEE
Florida Power and Light Company
Post office Box 8248
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33310

PERMIT NO. 7055
APPLICATION NO. 04269-A
DATE ISSUED July 12, 1979

County Broward
Project Works Dania Cut-Off

Sec. 25 Twp. 50S Rge. 41E

FORM PA-5
Rev. 2-79

PERMIT FOR: AERIAL MESSENGER AND CONTROL CABLE OVER DCO APPROX. 700' EAST OF S.R. 7.

FDNR TERMINAL FACILITY PERMIT



DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

FLORIDA MARINE PATROL

TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA

REGISTRATION

0534

REGISTRATION CERTIFICATE
TO OPERATE A TERMINAL FACILITY

ISSUED TO TERMINAL NAME: F.P.L. LAUDERDALE POWER PLANT

LOCATION: 3801 S.W. 43RD. COURT

CITY: FT. LAUDERDALE, FLA. 33314

STORAGE CAPACITY 366548 BBL.S.

COUNTY BROWARD

MAILING ADDRESS:
F.P.L. LAUDERDALE POWER PLANT
FPL T.R. FAIR, MGR. BX14000
JUNG BEACH, FLORIDA 33408

1989

Date JANUARY 2, 1989

REGISTRATION CERTIFICATE APPLICATION FEE \$ 250.00

This registration certificate authorizes the holder to store, pump, handle, or transfer pollutants according to the provisions of Chapter 376, Florida Statutes, at the terminal facility location listed above.

A terminal facility failing to possess a current registration certificate or that fails to comply with the terms of such, shall be subject to a civil penalty of up to \$50,000 per day pursuant to Chapter 376, Florida Statutes, or to suspension or revocation of their license as provided in Chapter 120, Florida Statutes.

THIS REGISTRATION
CERTIFICATE EXPIRES:

DECEMBER 31, 1989

RECEIVED
JAN 1989

BCEQCB AIR PERMITS



BROWARD COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL BOARD

500 S.W. 14th Court
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33315
(305) 765-5881

December 4, 1987

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT
RECEIVED
DEC 11 1987
LAUDERDALE PLANT

Florida Power & Light Co.
P.O. Box 8248
Ft. Lauderdale, Fl. 33310

Gentlemen:

Enclosed is your Broward County Air Pollution Licenses.

Broward County Environmental Quality Control Board Air Pollution licenses must be posted at the work site and be available for inspection by Broward County personnel at all times.

Broward County Environmental Quality Control Board Air Pollution licenses are not transferable. The Board must be notified in writing within 30 days of any change of ownership or location.

The enclosed license is based upon the information supplied to the Board prior to initiation of the changes.

If no objection to this license is received within 14 days, you will be deemed to have accepted it and all the enclosed conditions.

If you have any questions concerning these matters, please contact Ms. Daniela Banu at 765-4442.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Gary D. Carlson".

Gary D. Carlson
Chief, Air Section

GDC/mm
encl.

RECEIVED
FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT CO.
DEC 08 1987

PORT EVERGLADES PLANT



BROWARD COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL BOARD

500 S.W. 14th Court
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33315
(305) 765-5881

AIR SECTION

621 S. Andrews Avenue
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301
(305) 765-4436

Air License

LICENSE TO OPERATE AN AIR POLLUTION SOURCE ISSUED UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF BROWARD COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL BOARD CODE OF REGULATIONS, CHAPTERS 27-3 AND 27-4, AND FLORIDA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE, CHAPTER 17-2.

LICENSE NO. A0-42503R-1 DATE OF ISSUE December 4, 1987

DATE OF EXPIRATION December 31, 1989

LICENSE ISSUED TO: NAME Florida Power & Light Co.

ADDRESS P.O. Box 8248

Ft. Lauderdale, Fl. 33310

TELEPHONE 765-3111

TO BE KNOWN AS Same as Above

TO BE LOCATED AT Griffin Road, Ft. Lauderdale

FOR THE Operation of Unit #4 Fossil Fuel Steam Generator

Maximum Heat Input: 1390 MBtu/hr - Unit Load: 156 MW

Fuel: Combination of natural gas and #5 fuel oil (ZSL1)

Stack height: 151 Feet above ground level

WORK SCHEDULE N/A

EMISSION LIMITING STANDARDS B.C.E.Q.C.B. Code of Regulations 27-4 Table II -

Emission Limiting Standards - E. Fossil Fuel Steam Generators and 27-4.03.13

FAC Rule 17-2.600 (5) (b) and 17-2.250 (3)

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LICENSE APPLICATION WHICH IS CONSIDERED PART OF THIS LICENSE. THIS LICENSE IS SUBJECT TO ALL FLORIDA STATE, BROWARD COUNTY AND LOCAL LAWS, REGULATIONS, RULES, AND THE CONDITIONS ATTACHED HERETO.

POST THIS LICENSE IN A PROMINENT PLACE ON THE PLANT PREMISES.


Victor N. Howard, P.E.
Pollution Control Officer

AIR LICENSE

LICENSEE: Florida Power & Light Co.

LICENSE NO. AO-42503R-1

DATE OF ISSUE: December 4, 1987

EXPIRATION DATE: December 31, 1989

GENERAL CONDITIONS:

1. The terms, conditions, requirements, limitations and restrictions set forth herein are accepted by the licensee and enforceable by the EQCB pursuant to Chapter 27 of the Broward County Environmental Quality Control Board (EQCB) Code. The EQCB will review this license periodically and may revoke the license, initiate administrative and/or judicial action for any violation of the conditions by the licensee, its agents, employees, servants or representatives.
2. This license is valid only for the specific uses set forth in the license application and any deviation from the approved uses may constitute grounds for revocation and enforcement action by the EQCB.
3. In the event the licensee is temporarily unable to comply with any of the conditions of the license, the licensee shall notify the PCO within 12 hours. Within 5 working days of the event, the licensee shall submit a written report to the PCO that describes the incident, its cause, the measures being taken to correct the problem and prevent its reoccurrence, the owner's intention toward repair, replacement, and reconstruction of destroyed facilities, and a schedule of events leading toward operation within the license condition.
4. The issuance of this license does not convey any vested rights or exclusive privileges, nor does it authorize any injury to public or private property or any invasion of personal rights, or any violations of federal, state or local laws or regulations.
5. This license must be available for inspection on licensee's premises during the entire life of the license.
6. By accepting this license, the licensee understands and agrees that all records, notes, monitoring data and other information relating to the construction or operation of this permitted source, that are submitted to the EQCB, may be used by the EQCB as evidence in any enforcement proceeding arising under EQCB rules and regulations, except where such use is prohibited by Section 403.111, Florida Statutes.
7. The licensee agrees to comply with Chapter 27, Code of Regulations of the EQCB.
8. Any new owner of a licensed facility shall apply by letter for a transfer of license within thirty (30) days after sale or legal transfer. The transferor shall remain liable for performance in accordance with the license until the transferee applies for, and is granted a transfer of license.
9. The licensee, by acceptance of this license, specifically agrees to allow access to the licensed source at reasonable times by EQCB personnel for the purpose of inspection and testing to determine compliance with this license and EQCB rules and regulations.
10. This license does not constitute a waiver or approval of any other license that may be required for other aspects of the total project.
11. If the licensee wishes to renew the license or extend its term, he shall make application 60 days prior to its expiration. Expired licenses are not renewable.
12. In addition to the general conditions set forth above, each license issued by the EQCB shall contain specific conditions determined by site conditions and requirements pursuant to the regulations as determined by the PCO. Licensee agrees that specific conditions are enforceable by the EQCB for any violation thereof.
3. When requested by the EQCB, the licensee shall within a reasonable time furnish any information required by law which is needed to determine compliance with the license. If the licensee becomes aware that relevant facts were not submitted or were incorrect in the license application or in any report to the EQCB, such facts or information shall be submitted or corrected promptly.

BROWARD COUNTY
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL BOARD

RECEIVED

DEC 6 1982

LICENSE TO Operate Air POLLUTION SOURCE, ACCORDING TO
TO CHAPTER 27-3, BROWARD COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL ACT.

LICENSE NO. AO-26609R-1 DATE November 30, 1982

LICENSE ISSUED TO: NAME Florida Power & Light Company

ADDRESS P. O. Box 013100

Miami, Florida 33101

TELEPHONE 552-4060

FOR THE Operation OF Fossil Fuel Steam Generator #4

WITH THE FOLLOWING AIR POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT:

Maximum Heat Input 1390 MBtu/hr

Exhaust Stack 151 Feet Above Ground Level

Burning Natural Gas and/or #6 Fuel Oil

TO BE KNOWN AS Same as above

AND TO BE LOCATED AT Griffin Road

Dania, Florida

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE State Operational APPLICATION,

DATED September 27, 1982, WHICH IS CONSIDERED PART OF THIS

LICENSE. THIS LICENSE EXPIRES November 30, 1987.

THIS LICENSE IS SUBJECT TO ALL FLORIDA STATE, BROWARD COUNTY, AND
LOCAL LAWS, REGULATIONS, RULES, AND THE CONDITIONS ATTACHED HERETO.

POST THIS LICENSE IN A PROMINENT PLACE ON THE PLANT PREMISES.

Victor N. Howard Pollution Control Officer
NAME: VICTOR N. HOWARD, P.E. TITLE



BROWARD COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL BOARD

500 S.W. 14th Court
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33315
(305) 765-5881

AIR SECTION

621 S. Andrews Avenue
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301
(305) 765-4436

Air License

LICENSE TO OPERATE AN AIR POLLUTION SOURCE ISSUED UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF BROWARD COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL BOARD CODE OF REGULATIONS, CHAPTERS 27-3 AND 27-4, AND FLORIDA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE, CHAPTER 17-2.

LICENSE NO. A0-42503R-2 DATE OF ISSUE December 4, 1987

DATE OF EXPIRATION December 31, 1989

LICENSE ISSUED TO: NAME Florida Power & Light Co.

ADDRESS P.O. Box 8248

Ft. Lauderdale, Fl. 33310

TELEPHONE 765-3111

TO BE KNOWN AS Same as Above

TO BE LOCATED AT Griffin Road, Ft. Lauderdale

FOR THE Operation of Unit #5 Fossil Fuel Steam Generator

Maximum Heat Input: 1390 MBtu/hr. - Unit Load: 156 MW

Fuel: combination of natural gas and #6 fuel oil (ZSL1)

Stack height: 151 feet above ground level

WORK SCHEDULE N/A

EMISSION LIMITING STANDARDS B.C.E.Q.C.B. Code of REgulations 27-4 Table II -

Emission Limiting Standards - E. Fossil Fuel Steam Generators and

27-4.03.13 FAC Rule 17-2.600 (5) (b) and 17-2.250 (3)

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LICENSE APPLICATION WHICH IS CONSIDERED PART OF THIS LICENSE. THIS LICENSE IS SUBJECT TO ALL FLORIDA STATE, BROWARD COUNTY AND LOCAL LAWS, REGULATIONS, RULES, AND THE CONDITIONS ATTACHED HERETO.

POST THIS LICENSE IN A PROMINENT PLACE ON THE PLANT PREMISES.


Victor N. Howard, P.E.
Pollution Control Officer

AIR LICENSE

LICENSEE: Florida Power & Light Co.

LICENSE NO. AO-42503R-2

DATE OF ISSUE: December 4, 1987

EXPIRATION DATE: December 31, 1989

GENERAL CONDITIONS:

1. The terms, conditions, requirements, limitations and restrictions set forth herein are accepted by the licensee and enforceable by the EQCB pursuant to Chapter 27 of the Broward County Environmental Quality Control Board (EQCB) Code. The EQCB will review this license periodically and may revoke the license, initiate administrative and/or judicial action for any violation of the conditions by the licensee, its agents, employees, servants or representatives.
2. This license is valid only for the specific uses set forth in the license application and any deviation from the approved uses may constitute grounds for revocation and enforcement action by the EQCB.
3. In the event the licensee is temporarily unable to comply with any of the conditions of the license, the licensee shall notify the PCO within 12 hours. Within 5 working days of the event, the licensee shall submit a written report to the PCO that describes the incident, its cause, the measures being taken to correct the problem and prevent its reoccurrence, the owner's intention toward repair, replacement, and reconstruction of destroyed facilities, and a schedule of events leading toward operation within the license condition.
4. The issuance of this license does not convey any vested rights or exclusive privileges, nor does it authorize any injury to public or private property or any invasion of personal rights, or any violations of federal, state or local laws or regulations.
5. This license must be available for inspection on licensee's premises during the entire life of the license.
6. By accepting this license, the licensee understands and agrees that all records, notes, monitoring data and other information relating to the construction or operation of this permitted source, that are submitted to the EQCB, may be used by the EQCB as evidence in any enforcement proceeding arising under EQCB rules and regulations, except where such use is prohibited by Section 403.111, Florida Statutes.
7. The licensee agrees to comply with Chapter 27, Code of Regulations of the EQCB.
8. Any new owner of a licensed facility shall apply by letter for a transfer of license within thirty (30) days after sale or legal transfer. The transferor shall remain liable for performance in accordance with the license until the transferee applies for, and is granted a transfer of license.
9. The licensee, by acceptance of this license, specifically agrees to allow access to the licensed source at reasonable times by EQCB personnel for the purpose of inspection and testing to determine compliance with this license and EQCB rules and regulations.
10. This license does not constitute a waiver or approval of any other license that may be required for other aspects of the total project.
11. If the licensee wishes to renew the license or extend its term, he shall make application 60 days prior to its expiration. Expired licenses are not renewable.
12. In addition to the general conditions set forth above, each license issued by the EQCB shall contain specific conditions determined by site conditions and requirements pursuant to the regulations as determined by the PCO. Licensee agrees that specific conditions are enforceable by the EQCB for any violation thereof.
3. When requested by the EQCB, the licensee shall within a reasonable time furnish any information required by law which is needed to determine compliance with the license. If the licensee becomes aware that relevant facts were not submitted or were incorrect in the license application or in any report to the EQCB, such facts or information shall be submitted or corrected promptly.

BROWARD COUNTY
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL BOARD

LICENSE TO Operate Air POLLUTION SOURCE, ACCORDING
TO CHAPTER 27-3, BROWARD COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL ACT.

LICENSE NO. AO-26609R-2 DATE November 30, 1982

LICENSE ISSUED TO: NAME Florida Power & Light Company

ADDRESS P. O. Box 013100

Miami, Florida 33101

TELEPHONE 552-4060

FOR THE Operation OF Fossil Fuel Steam Generator #5

WITH THE FOLLOWING AIR POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT:

Maximum Heat Input 1390 MBtu/hr

Exhaust Stack 151 Feet Above Ground Level

Burning Natural Gas and/or #6 Fuel Oil

TO BE KNOWN AS Same as above

AND TO BE LOCATED AT Griffin Road

Dania, Florida

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE State Operational APPLICATION,

DATED September 27, 1982, WHICH IS CONSIDERED PART OF THIS

LICENSE. THIS LICENSE EXPIRES November 30, 1987.

THIS LICENSE IS SUBJECT TO ALL FLORIDA STATE, BROWARD COUNTY, AND
LOCAL LAWS, REGULATIONS, RULES, AND THE CONDITIONS ATTACHED HERETO.

POST THIS LICENSE IN A PROMINENT PLACE ON THE PLANT PREMISES.

Victor N. Howard Pollution Control Officer
NAME: VICTOR N. HOWARD, P.E. TITLE



BROWARD COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL BOARD

500 S.W. 14th Court
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33315
(305) 765-5881

AIR SECTION

621 S. Andrews Avenue
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301
(305) 765-4436

Air License

LICENSE TO OPERATE AN AIR POLLUTION SOURCE ISSUED UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF BROWARD COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL BOARD CODE OF REGULATIONS, CHAPTERS 27-3 AND 27-4, AND FLORIDA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE, CHAPTER 17-2.

LICENSE NO. AO-42503R-3 thru 15 DATE OF ISSUE December 4, 1987

DATE OF EXPIRATION December 31, 1989

LICENSE ISSUED TO: NAME Florida Power & Light Co.

ADDRESS P.O. Box 8248

Ft. Lauderdale, Fl. 33310

TELEPHONE 765-3111

TO BE KNOWN AS Same as Above

TO BE LOCATED AT Griffin Road, Ft. Lauderdale

FOR THE Operation of Fossil Fuel Turbine Generator Units #1 thru #12.

Maximum Heat Input: 552 MBtu/hr.

Fuel: combination of natural gas and fuel oil #2

Stack height 43.5 foot above ground level

WORK SCHEDULE N/A

EMISSION LIMITING STANDARDS B.C.E.Q.C.B. Code of Regulations 27-4.03.1

FAC Rule 17-2.610 (2) (a)

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LICENSE APPLICATION WHICH IS CONSIDERED PART OF THIS LICENSE. THIS LICENSE IS SUBJECT TO ALL FLORIDA STATE, BROWARD COUNTY AND LOCAL LAWS, REGULATIONS, RULES, AND THE CONDITIONS ATTACHED HERETO.

POST THIS LICENSE IN A PROMINENT PLACE ON THE PLANT PREMISES.


Victor N. Howard, P.E.
Pollution Control Officer

AIR LICENSE

LICENSEE: Florida Power & Light Co.

LICENSE NO. AO-42503R-3 thru 15
DATE OF ISSUE: December 4, 1987
EXPIRATION DATE: December 31, 1989

GENERAL CONDITIONS:

1. The terms, conditions, requirements, limitations and restrictions set forth herein are accepted by the licensee and enforceable by the EQCB pursuant to Chapter 27 of the Broward County Environmental Quality Control Board (EQCB) Code. The EQCB will review this license periodically and may revoke the license, initiate administrative and/or judicial action for any violation of the conditions by the licensee, its agents, employees, servants or representatives.
2. This license is valid only for the specific uses set forth in the license application and any deviation from the approved uses may constitute grounds for revocation and enforcement action by the EQCB.
3. In the event the licensee is temporarily unable to comply with any of the conditions of the license, the licensee shall notify the PCO within 12 hours. Within 5 working days of the event, the licensee shall submit a written report to the PCO that describes the incident, its cause, the measures being taken to correct the problem and prevent its reoccurrence, the owner's intention toward repair, replacement, and reconstruction of destroyed facilities, and a schedule of events leading toward operation within the license condition.
4. The issuance of this license does not convey any vested rights or exclusive privileges, nor does it authorize any injury to public or private property or any invasion of personal rights, or any violations of federal, state or local laws or regulations.
5. This license must be available for inspection on licensee's premises during the entire life of the license.
6. By accepting this license, the licensee understands and agrees that all records, notes, monitoring data and other information relating to the construction or operation of this permitted source, that are submitted to the EQCB, may be used by the EQCB as evidence in any enforcement proceeding arising under EQCB rules and regulations, except where such use is prohibited by Section 403.111, Florida Statutes.
7. The licensee agrees to comply with Chapter 27, Code of Regulations of the EQCB.
8. Any new owner of a licensed facility shall apply by letter for a transfer of license within thirty (30) days after sale or legal transfer. The transferor shall remain liable for performance in accordance with the license until the transferee applies for, and is granted a transfer of license.
9. The licensee, by acceptance of this license, specifically agrees to allow access to the licensed source at reasonable times by EQCB personnel for the purpose of inspection and testing to determine compliance with this license and EQCB rules and regulations.
10. This license does not constitute a waiver or approval of any other license that may be required for other aspects of the total project.
11. If the licensee wishes to renew the license or extend its term, he shall make application 60 days prior to its expiration. Expired licenses are not renewable.
12. In addition to the general conditions set forth above, each license issued by the EQCB shall contain specific conditions determined by site conditions and requirements pursuant to the regulations as determined by the PCO. Licensee agrees that specific conditions are enforceable by the EQCB for any violation thereof.
3. When requested by the EQCB, the licensee shall within a reasonable time furnish any information required by law which is needed to determine compliance with the license. If the licensee becomes aware that relevant facts were not submitted or were incorrect in the license application or in any report to the EQCB, such facts or information shall be submitted or corrected promptly.

BROWARD COUNTY
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL BOARD

LICENSE TO Operate Air POLLUTION SOURCE, ACCORDING
TO CHAPTER 27-3, BROWARD COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL ACT.

LICENSE NO. AO-27368R-1 thru 12 DATE February 4, 1983

LICENSE ISSUED TO: NAME Florida Power & Light Company

ADDRESS P. O. Box 013100

Miami, Florida 33101

TELEPHONE 552-4060

FOR THE Operation OF Twelve Turbine Generators Nos. 1-12

Fossil Fuel Turbine Generator peaking units, burning natural gas
and/or No. 2 distillate oil, exhausting 50 feet above ground level.

Each unit when operating at maximum heat input of 552 MBtu/hr. emits
18 #/hr. of particulates, 24 #/hr. of SO₂ and 415 #/hr. of NO_x when

burning 100% oil. Units operate when required - about 10% of time.

The conditions of the State Permit also apply to this permit.

TO BE KNOWN AS Same as above

AND TO BE LOCATED AT Griffin Road

Dania, Florida

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE renewal letter and operational APPLICATION,

DATED January 26, 1983, WHICH IS CONSIDERED PART OF THIS

LICENSE. THIS LICENSE EXPIRES January 31, 1988.

THIS LICENSE IS SUBJECT TO ALL FLORIDA STATE, BROWARD COUNTY, AND
LOCAL LAWS, REGULATIONS, RULES, AND THE CONDITIONS ATTACHED HERETO.

POST THIS LICENSE IN A PROMINENT PLACE ON THE PLANT PREMISES.

Victor N. Howard Pollution Control Officer
NAME: VICTOR N. HOWARD, P.E. TITLE



BROWARD COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL BOARD

500 S.W. 14th Court
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33315
(305) 765-5881

AIR SECTION

621 S. Andrews Avenue
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301
(305) 765-4436

Air License

LICENSE TO OPERATE AN AIR POLLUTION SOURCE ISSUED UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF BROWARD COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL BOARD CODE OF REGULATIONS, CHAPTERS 27-3 AND 27-4, AND FLORIDA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE, CHAPTER 17-2.

LICENSE NO. A0-42503R-16 thru 28 DATE OF ISSUE December 4, 1987

DATE OF EXPIRATION December 31, 1989

LICENSE ISSUED TO: NAME Florida Power & Light Co.

ADDRESS P.O. Box 8248

Ft. Lauderdale, Fl. 33310

TELEPHONE 765-3111

TO BE KNOWN AS Same as Above

TO BE LOCATED AT Griffin Road, Fort Lauderdale

FOR THE Operation of Fossil Fuel Turbine Generator Units #13 thru #24.

Maximum Heat Input: 552 MBtu/hr.

Fuel: combination of natural gas and fuel oil #2

Stack height: 43.5 feet above ground level

WORK SCHEDULE N/A

EMISSION LIMITING STANDARDS B.C.E.Q.C.B. Code of Regulations 27-4.03.1

FAC Rule 17-2.610 (2) (a)

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LICENSE APPLICATION WHICH IS CONSIDERED PART OF THIS LICENSE. THIS LICENSE IS SUBJECT TO ALL FLORIDA STATE, BROWARD COUNTY AND LOCAL LAWS, REGULATIONS, RULES, AND THE CONDITIONS ATTACHED HERETO.

POST THIS LICENSE IN A PROMINENT PLACE ON THE PLANT PREMISES.


Victor N. Howard, P.E.
Pollution Control Officer

AIR LICENSE

LICENSEE: Florida Power & Light Co.

LICENSE NO. AO-42503R-16 thru 28

DATE OF ISSUE: December 4, 1987

EXPIRATION DATE: December 31, 1989

GENERAL CONDITIONS:

1. The terms, conditions, requirements, limitations and restrictions set forth herein are accepted by the licensee and enforceable by the EQCB pursuant to Chapter 27 of the Broward County Environmental Quality Control Board (EQCB) Code. The EQCB will review this license periodically and may revoke the license, initiate administrative and/or judicial action for any violation of the conditions by the licensee, its agents, employees, servants or representatives.
2. This license is valid only for the specific uses set forth in the license application and any deviation from the approved uses may constitute grounds for revocation and enforcement action by the EQCB.
3. In the event the licensee is temporarily unable to comply with any of the conditions of the license, the licensee shall notify the PCO within 12 hours. Within 5 working days of the event, the licensee shall submit a written report to the PCO that describes the incident, its cause, the measures being taken to correct the problem and prevent its reoccurrence, the owner's intention toward repair, replacement, and reconstruction of destroyed facilities, and a schedule of events leading toward operation within the license condition.
4. The issuance of this license does not convey any vested rights or exclusive privileges, nor does it authorize any injury to public or private property or any invasion of personal rights, or any violations of federal, state or local laws or regulations.
5. This license must be available for inspection on licensee's premises during the entire life of the license.
6. By accepting this license, the licensee understands and agrees that all records, notes, monitoring data and other information relating to the construction or operation of this permitted source, that are submitted to the EQCB, may be used by the EQCB as evidence in any enforcement proceeding arising under EQCB rules and regulations, except where such use is prohibited by Section 403.111, Florida Statutes.
7. The licensee agrees to comply with Chapter 27, Code of Regulations of the EQCB.
8. Any new owner of a licensed facility shall apply by letter for a transfer of license within thirty (30) days after sale or legal transfer. The transferor shall remain liable for performance in accordance with the license until the transferee applies for, and is granted a transfer of license.
9. The licensee, by acceptance of this license, specifically agrees to allow access to the licensed source at reasonable times by EQCB personnel for the purpose of inspection and testing to determine compliance with this license and EQCB rules and regulations.
0. This license does not constitute a waiver or approval of any other license that may be required for other aspects of the total project.
11. If the licensee wishes to renew the license or extend its term, he shall make application 60 days prior to its expiration. Expired licenses are not renewable.
12. In addition to the general conditions set forth above, each license issued by the EQCB shall contain specific conditions determined by site conditions and requirements pursuant to the regulations as determined by the PCO. Licensee agrees that specific conditions are enforceable by the EQCB for any violation thereof.
3. When requested by the EQCB, the licensee shall within a reasonable time furnish any information required by law which is needed to determine compliance with the license. If the licensee becomes aware that relevant facts were not submitted or were incorrect in the license application or in any report to the EQCB, such facts or information shall be submitted or corrected promptly.

BROWARD COUNTY
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL BOARD

LICENSE TO Operate Air POLLUTION SOURCE, ACCORDING
TO CHAPTER 27-3, BROWARD COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL ACT.

LICENSE NO. AO-28095R-13 thru 24 DATE March 23, 1983

LICENSE ISSUED TO: NAME Florida Power & Light Company

ADDRESS P. O. Box 013100

Miami, Florida 33101

TELEPHONE 552-4060

FOR THE Operation OF Twelve Turbine Generators Nos. 13-24

Fossil Fuel Turbine Generator peaking units, burning natural gas and/or No. 2
distillate oil, exhausting 50 feet above ground level. Each unit when operating
at maximum heat input of 552 MBtu/hr. emits 18 #/hr. of particulates, 24 #/hr.
of SO₂ and 415 #/hr. of NO_x when burning 100% oil. Units operate when required -
about 10% of time. Conditions of the State Permit also apply to this permit.

TO BE KNOWN AS Same as above


AND TO BE LOCATED AT Griffin Road

Dania, Florida

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE renewal letter and operational APPLICATION,
DATED March 14, 1983, WHICH IS CONSIDERED PART OF THIS
LICENSE. THIS LICENSE EXPIRES March 31, 1988.

THIS LICENSE IS SUBJECT TO ALL FLORIDA STATE, BROWARD COUNTY, AND
LOCAL LAWS, REGULATIONS, RULES, AND THE CONDITIONS ATTACHED HERETO.
NO CONDITIONS ATTACHED.

POST THIS LICENSE IN A PROMINENT PLACE ON THE PLANT PREMISES.


NAME: VICTOR N. HOWARD, P.E.

Pollution Control Officer
TITLE

APPENDIX 10.5
MONITORING PROGRAMS

APPENDIX 10.5.1

AIR QUALITY

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Page 1 of 1)

10.5.1	<u>Air Quality</u>	10.5.1-1
	Air and Meteorological Data October-December 1988	10.5.1-3
	Air and Meteorological Data January-March 1989	10.5.1-40
	Air and Meteorological Data April-September 1989	10.5.1-77
	Performance Audits January 1989	10.5.1-153

AIR MONITORING

This appendix presents the ambient air and meteorological monitoring data obtained from a two station network established as part of the Lauderdale Repowering Project. The design of the monitoring network is described in the Air Quality Monitoring Plan. This plan was submitted to the Florida Department of Environmental Regulation (FDER) in August, 1988 to fulfill the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) monitoring requirements codified in Rule 17-2.500(5)(f) Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). This monitoring plan was subsequently approved by FDER. The Quality Assurance Manual Including Standard Operating Procedures for Continuous Ambient Air/Meteorological Monitoring and Performance Audits have been submitted separately to FDER.

**Air and Meteorological Data
October - December 1988**

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR SO2 in PPB

DAY	OCTOBER 1988																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1																									
2																									
3																									
4																									
5																									
6	1	1	Cal	2	2	2	3	2	2	3	10	9	22	25	7	3	4	3	4	2	2	2	1	1	5
7	1	1	Cal	1	1	2	3	Cal	Cal	3	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
8	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
10	1	1	Cal	1	1	2	3	4	3	3	3	2	2	2	3	3	3	2	3	6	7	8	6	5	3
11	4	2	2	1	2	3	5	Cal	Cal	3	3	3	2	12	34	20	12	3	2	2	3	2	2	1	6
12	1	2	Cal	2	3	3	5	4	5	7	5	4	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	3	2	3
13	2	1	1	1	1	2	4	4	3	3	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	2
14	1	1	Cal	1	1	2	3	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
15	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
16	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
17	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	3	2	2	3	2	2	1	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	2
18	1	1	Cal	1	1	2	4	4	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
19	0	0	Cal	Cal	0	2	2	4	4	Down	Down	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	1	1	1	4	3	2
20	2	2	3	2	2	2	4	5	4	3	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	4	3	2	2	3	2
21	2	2	2	2	2	3	5	5	4	2	3	3	6	10	13	11	10	3	2	1	1	1	2	1	4
22	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	2
23	2	2	2	2	3	3	2	2	3	4	4	3	3	2	18	20	6	4	3	3	3	2	2	2	4
24	2	2	0	1	1	1	4	5	4	3	3	2	3	2	1	2	9	4	3	3	2	1	1	2	2
25	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	7	6	3	4	4	7	9	7	3	2	1	2	2	1	1	3
26	1	1	Cal	1	1	3	5	5	5	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
27	2	2	1	2	2	5	8	9	3	5	7	2	2	3	4	2	2	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	3
28	1	1	1	1	2	1	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
29	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	4	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
30	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1
31	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	3	3	2	4	8	14	11	4	5	14	10	5	2	1	0	0	4

TOTAL HOURS 624 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 607 DATA CAPTURE 97.3%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 34 10/11/88 14:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 25 10/06/88 13:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0 10/19/88 00:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 2 STANDARD DEV. 3
 NAAQS Comparison: MAX. 3 HR AVG 22 (500) 10/11/88 16:00:00
 MAX. 24 HR AVG 7 (140) 10/11/88 17:00:00

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-4

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR SO2 in PPB

DAY	NOVEMBER 1988																							DAILY AVG
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	1	2	1	2	2	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	1	1	Cal	8	31	59	68	48	25	15	10	8	7	6	5	6	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	4
3	4	3	2	2	2	3	9	5	12	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	1
4	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
6	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
7	1	1	1	1	1	2	4	8	8	4	6	6	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	2	2
8	2	2	2	2	2	2	4	5	5	5	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	2	3
9	2	1	Cal	1	1	1	3	5	6	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
10	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	5	4	4	2	2	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
11	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	3	3	3	2
12	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	3	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1
13	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	8	7	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
14	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	3	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1
15	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
16	2	2	Cal	2	2	3	4	4	4	3	2	17	29	22	15	6	4	5	3	2	2	2	2	6
17	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	5	5	3	3	16	10	6	18	3	9	14	3	4	2	2	2	5
18	2	2	2	3	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
19	5	2	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	2
20	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	4	7	8	11	8	8	5	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3
21	1	1	2	0	0	1	4	8	5	3	2	3	9	4	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	2
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	0	0	Cal	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	2	1
24	3	2	2	2	4	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	2
25	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1
26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
28	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	0	1	2	2	1	1	1	2	2	1
29	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	4	5	5	3	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	2
30	1	2	Cal	2	2	1	2	4	3	3	2	2	3	6	4	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	4	2

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 715 DATA CAPTURE 99.3%

MAX. 1HR AVG 68 11/02/88 06:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 59 11/02/88 05:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 0 11/22/88 04:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 2 STANDARD DEV. 5

NAAQS Comparison: MAX. 3 HR AVG 58 (500) 11/02/88 07:00:00
MAX. 24 HR AVG 15 (140) 11/03/88 01:00:00

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-5

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR SO2 in PPB

DAY	DECEMBER 1988																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
2	2	2	3	3	3	2	3	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	3
3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	3	3	3	4	4	4	3	4	3	3	2	2	2	2	3	
4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	
5	2	2	3	2	2	3	4	6	7	7	7	7	5	4	3	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	3	2	4
6	2	2	1	1	2	2	3	5	5	5	6	4	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	3	
7	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	2	8	4	3	2	2	2	2	3	5	2	2	8	2	2	2	3	3	
8	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	7	10	6	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	2	4	5	6	3	3	4	
9	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	5	6	6	6	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	
10	2	2	2	2	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	6	5	4	3	14	12	6	4	3	2	3	3	4	
11	3	2	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	2	1	1	1	1	
12	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	
13	0	0	1	2	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	4	2	
14	1	1	Cal	1	1	2	7	5	7	6	6	6	5	5	4	4	4	3	2	2	2	5	3	4	
15	3	2	5	0	7	3	4	7	11	9	6	5	5	3	2	1	1	1	2	4	3	3	1	4	
16	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4	3	3	2	1	1	2	1	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Bad<	
17	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	3	Cal	Cal	2	2	2	2	3	3	4	6	5	3	Bad<
18	2	1	1	4	3	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	2	2	1	1	2	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	
19	2	2	3	2	2	2	3	4	5	7	8	5	3	3	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	3	
20	2	4	1	0	0	0	0	3	2	2	2	5	2	2	2	2	6	2	2	1	2	0	0	2	
21	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	2	2	1	1	
22	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	3	2	1	1	1	1	2	3	2	2	3	2	1	1	1	1	
23	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	2	3	4	2	3	2	2	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	
24	0	0	3	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	
25	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	
26	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
27	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	7	7	7	6	4	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	
28	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	8	32	8	3	2	2	2	1	1	3	
29	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	5	18	16	3	8	2	2	2	1	2	1	1	1	3	
30	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	5	2	1	1	1	1	1	3	22	15	2	1	1	1	1	2	3	
31	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 719 DATA CAPTURE 96.6%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 32 12/28/88 15:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 22 12/30/88 15:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0 12/16/88 03:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 2 STANDARD DEV. 2
 NAAQS Comparison: MAX. 3 HR AVG 16 (500) 12/28/88 16:00:00
 MAX. 24 HR AVG 5 (140) 12/29/88 13:00:00

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

FPL-Pinehurst
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 003

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR SO2 in PPB

DAY	OCTOBER 1988																							DAILY AVG		
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23	
1																										
2																										
3																										
4																										
5																										
6	2	7	Cal	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	3	21	57	2	4	PwrF	2	1	1	3	3	2	1	1	5	
7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Cal	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	
8	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	
9	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	
10	0	0	Cal	0	1	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	4	4	2	1	
11	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	4	4	2	1	1	3	3	5	11	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	
12	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	2	2	3	4	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	
13	2	2	Cal	2	2	2	2	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	
14	2	2	Cal	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	
15	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	
16	2	2	Cal	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
17	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	
18	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	3	5	2	
19	2	1	5	2	1	2	3	4	2	2	2	1	1	0	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	1	0	0	4	9	2	2	
20	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	3	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	1	0	0	1	6	5	5	1	1	Bad<	
21	1	0	0	0	1	1	2	4	3	8	22	4	35	7	2	1	3	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	4	
22	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	Cal	Cal	11	2	2	2	3	3	3	2	2	2	
23	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	12	26	5	10	8	4	3	3	2	3	2	1	4	
24	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	4	5	3	7	25	3	Cal	Cal	12	4	3	4	4	4	3	2	1	4	
25	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	7	4	3	3	4	4	6	5	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	
26	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	Cal	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	6	2
27	3	2	Cal	4	3	3	4	3	5	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	6	2	2	
28	2	2	Cal	4	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
29	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
30	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	
31	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	3	4	2	3	3	4	2	3	2	1	0	1	0	0	1	

TOTAL HOURS 624 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 592 DATA CAPTURE 94.9%

MAX. 1HR AVG 57 10/06/88 12:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 35 10/21/88 12:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 0 10/31/88 22:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 2 STANDARD DEV. 4

NAAQS Comparison: MAX. 3 HR AVG 27 (500) 10/06/88 12:00:00
 MAX. 24 HR AVG 5 (140) 10/24/88 11:00:00

KEY FOR MISSING CODES
 Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-7

FPL-Pinehurst
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 003

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR SO2 in PPB

DAY	NOVEMBER 1988																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	1
2	2	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	3	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	2	3	2
3	2	2	2	1	1	2	3	5	8	7	2	2	2	1	3	5	1	1	1	2	2	5	3	1	3
4	1	1	Cal	1	1	3	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	1	2	2	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
8	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
11	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	37	18	9	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
14	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	1	1	Cal	0	0	0	0	3	4	10	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	6	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
18	7	2	Cal	7	7	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	
19	11	10	11	30	17	8	11	6	7	6	22	24	9	21	24	15	4	5	6	6	2	7	9	8	12
20	2	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	6	0
22	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	3	0
26	8	7	Cal	5	12	18	21	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
28	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0
29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 702 DATA CAPTURE 97.5%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 37 11/13/88 10:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 30 11/19/88 03:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0 11/05/88 02:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 0 STANDARD DEV. 4
 MAAQS Comparison: MAX. 3 HR AVG 21 (500) 11/13/88 12:00:00
 MAX. 24 HR AVG 12 (140) 11/19/88 23:00:00

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

FPL-Pinehurst
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 003

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR SO2 in PPB

DAY	DECEMBER 1988																							DAILY AVG
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	Cal	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
6	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4	1	3	46	15	7	7	2	1	2	3	6	1	6
7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	1	4	9	12	8	29	16	16	11	4	9	16	11	0	4	7	0
9	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	2	1
10	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	4	14	8	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	1	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	8	1
14	0	0	Cal	0	0	1	4	7	4	3	2	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	1	2	1	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	2
16	1	1	Cal	0	0	0	1	Cal	Cal	Cal	1	1	Cal	1	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	0	0	1
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	3	3	6	6	5	2	1
18	1	1	2	3	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2
19	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	6	6	5	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2
20	3	3	8	3	17	12	14	16	12	35	15	13	Cal	13	22	22	5	10	10	6	5	2	0	11
21	0	1	6	5	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	12	5	0	1
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	Cal	0	0	4	1	0	8	10	4	1	0	1
23	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24	0	1	3	4	4	13	3	4	1	0	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	2
25	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	3	3	4	1	1	6	4	2	1
28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1
29	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
30	1	1	Cal	2	1	1	1	1	1	3	13	18	Cal	4	4	4	6	5	5	1	1	1	0	3
31	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 708 DATA CAPTURE 95.2%

MAX. 1HR AVG 46 12/06/88 13:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 35 12/20/88 09:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 0 12/08/88 03:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 1 STANDARD DEV. 4

NAAQS Comparison: MAX. 3 HR AVG 23 (500) 12/06/88 15:00:00
 MAX. 24 HR AVG 11 (140) 12/20/88 22:00:00

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

ESE # 89 509 400
 FPL PINEHURST
 SO2 PRECISION CALCULATIONS
 1ST SAMPLING QUARTER
 OCTOBER 1988 - DECEMBER 1988

DATE	AIR QUALITY SAMPLER (X) PPB	DUPLICATE SAMPLER (Y) PPB	% DIFFERENCE (D)
OCT 7, 1988	94.00	104.00	+ 10.64
OCT 13, 1988	94.00	106.00	+ 12.77
OCT 19, 1988	94.00	106.00	+ 12.77
OCT 26, 1988	94.00	100.00	+ 6.38
OCT 28, 1988	94.00	102.00	+ 8.51
NOV 11, 1988	94.00	104.00	+ 10.64
NOV 25, 1988	94.00	106.00	+ 12.77
DEC 9, 1988	94.00	106.00	+ 12.77
DEC 16, 1988	94.00	108.00	+ 14.89
DEC 23, 1988	94.00	94.00	0.00
DEC 30, 1988	94.00	94.00	0.00

SUM OF D(I) = 102.13

MEAN OF D(I) = 9.28

STD. DEV. = 5.15

UPPER 95% PROBABILITY LIMIT = 16.42

LOWER 95% PROBABILITY LIMIT = 2.15

10.5.1-10

FPL-Pinehurst
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 003

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR NO2 in PPB

DAY	OCTOBER 1988																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1																									
2																									
3																									
4																									
5																									
6	8	6	6	10	Cal	19	21	21	21	13	13	22	25	9	9	PwrF	24	12	16	23	21	19	16	16	16
7	12	10	6	11	12	16	19	18	16	12	7	6	6	11	7	8	10	11	19	14	10	11	10	8	11
8	6	5	6	6	Cal	11	21	23	19	15	5	4	4	3	6	6	5	7	7	7	5	4	4	4	8
9	2	2	3	1	3	3	6	7	4	4	4	3	3	4	3	4	4	7	8	8	5	4	5	3	4
10	2	2	2	3	Cal	29	29	32	27	18	11	12	6	6	7	9	14	14	17	20	29	48	41	35	18
11	41	38	31	22	31	33	38	47	Cal	27	9	8	8	11	15	10	11	12	13	15	15	15	15	15	21
12	12	9	8	8	Cal	12	16	15	13	10	9	8	7	7	7	7	8	10	12	15	15	16	16	11	11
13	16	13	10	8	Cal	10	13	16	15	12	10	10	8	8	8	9	10	9	9	7	6	6	8	12	10
14	11	8	7	5	Cal	5	7	8	7	6	6	7	6	7	6	7	8	8	7	7	6	5	6	6	7
15	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	7	6	6	6	5	5	5
16	5	5	4	4	Cal	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5
17	4	4	4	5	6	7	10	12	13	10	8	8	6	6	6	7	8	9	9	8	8	7	9	9	8
18	7	5	6	5	Cal	7	9	10	10	7	5	5	4	4	5	6	7	6	6	5	5	5	5	5	6
19	5	4	4	5	4	6	9	10	11	9	6	5	5	7	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	10	6	6	6	6	6	6
20	5	5	5	5	7	9	10	22	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	7	7	8	8	8	8	9	10	9	Bad<
21	8	7	6	8	10	11	11	13	16	14	14	11	15	11	10	9	10	10	11	11	12	12	13	13	11
22	11	10	8	7	8	8	9	11	11	7	6	6	6	Cal	Cal	13	10	9	12	14	17	15	16	13	10
23	11	10	10	10	9	8	8	8	9	8	7	7	8	12	9	9	10	12	12	13	15	16	12	10	10
24	9	8	8	8	9	11	12	15	18	14	10	12	8	Cal	Cal	18	15	12	15	17	14	15	14	10	12
25	8	7	7	7	7	9	11	12	12	11	9	9	9	8	8	8	9	9	9	9	8	11	12	12	9
26	11	9	8	7	7	10	13	14	10	8	7	7	7	8	7	7	8	12	14	11	13	10	14	12	10
27	9	7	6	10	Cal	15	26	19	15	10	6	6	5	5	6	8	11	11	11	9	9	9	17	7	10
28	10	9	6	9	Cal	24	26	19	9	7	7	7	5	7	7	10	12	12	10	6	4	5	5	10	10
29	4	4	2	2	Cal	4	8	10	6	6	5	5	5	5	5	7	7	7	10	6	5	5	6	5	5
30	5	3	3	3	2	3	5	13	5	5	3	4	4	4	4	5	9	12	19	23	32	34	16	20	10
31	28	23	16	11	Cal	17	17	26	32	15	6	6	7	8	9	11	18	31	39	39	45	29	11	16	20

TOTAL HOURS 624 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 595 DATA CAPTURE 95.4%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 48 10/10/88 21:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 47 10/11/88 07:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 1 10/09/88 03:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 10 STANDARD DEV. 7

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-11

FPL-Pinehurst
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 003

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR NO2 in PPB

		NOVEMBER 1988																							DAILY AVG	
HOUR (EST)		00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
DAY																										
1	21	22	12	10	16	13	18	20	21	22	13	11	7	7	16	23	16	25	25	21	26	27	28	31	19	
2	26	11	9	7	Cal	10	14	18	18	19	18	16	15	11	6	9	12	22	27	23	21	42	25	10	17	
3	11	8	7	3	4	6	13	18	Cal	Cal	22	21	15	16	17	21	25	25	23	27	20	33	18	8	16	
4	4	2	6	3	Cal	24	21	23	Cal	Cal	9	10	13	8	7	8	Cal	16	18	21	23	30	26	24	15	
5	24	23	16	13	6	7	10	10	8	7	4	4	4	5	8	6	10	13	15	16	21	22	23	21	12	
6	19	18	17	16	Cal	9	12	12	7	3	5	4	4	4	4	5	8	15	13	14	14	15	14	18	11	
7	19	11	13	21	25	22	22	32	40	17	11	7	8	8	12	14	16	33	43	51	41	42	39	34	24	
8	31	32	31	31	Cal	30	35	38	43	32	7	7	7	7	7	8	15	26	31	22	19	12	12	10	21	
9	20	34	31	30	28	27	27	24	12	7	5	6	6	7	10	11	14	10	9	8	7	9	8	15	15	
10	8	8	7	8	Cal	24	25	31	38	5	4	4	5	6	6	7	10	19	17	14	13	13	12	24	13	
11	21	18	19	17	Cal	15	18	24	25	17	12	6	7	6	7	6	10	19	19	19	17	13	13	13	15	
12	10	8	9	9	Cal	24	22	27	17	8	7	5	4	6	5	6	9	12	14	14	13	13	15	16	12	
13	14	19	14	18	23	21	19	23	28	15	24	18	12	7	6	6	6	10	12	8	6	5	5	3	13	
14	3	2	3	19	Cal	19	19	22	28	21	5	5	3	4	5	5	10	9	7	5	4	3	3	3	9	
15	3	2	1	1	1	3	6	12	9	5	6	4	5	4	4	8	10	11	12	10	4	6	11	14	6	
16	10	10	9	10	Cal	12	16	20	17	13	9	5	6	6	6	10	14	13	10	4	5	11	17	14	11	
17	8	9	9	4	13	14	18	17	16	9	6	5	7	5	4	5	7	12	14	12	5	7	8	6	9	
18	14	9	13	11	Cal	11	16	15	10	7	4	4	5	5	6	6	10	13	9	6	4	6	6	8	9	
19	12	9	12	19	14	11	19	15	14	10	15	17	13	17	16	15	14	17	18	17	12	15	17	13	14	
20	8	4	2	2	Cal	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	5	7	6	12	18	20	19	15	15	9	8	
21	4	4	5	3	5	8	17	22	14	7	8	11	9	11	11	14	17	18	20	18	17	15	12	15	12	
22	6	5	4	4	Cal	7	7	11	12	8	9	8	8	7	8	10	11	10	10	8	6	5	4	5	7	
23	5	3	3	3	5	6	8	11	10	12	12	6	7	9	7	8	9	10	9	8	9	8	9	7	8	
24	7	5	4	4	Cal	5	4	6	6	6	6	5	6	5	5	7	15	20	22	28	27	23	25	11		
25	22	17	5	2	Cal	4	10	16	10	8	7	6	7	7	7	10	10	12	10	10	13	19	18	13	10	
26	16	13	7	6	Cal	19	19	17	6	7	6	8	7	7	8	9	10	10	8	7	7	4	4	4	9	
27	3	2	2	2	1	2	4	5	6	4	4	7	5	5	7	10	13	14	11	10	9	7	7	6	6	
28	6	5	4	4	Cal	15	15	23	26	24	26	25	10	16	17	17	12	20	18	12	13	17	19	12	15	
29	9	8	7	8	9	14	24	27	26	30	10	6	8	9	11	12	15	16	11	9	7	6	6	5	12	
30	3	3	2	1	Cal	4	12	22	26	9	8	10	12	13	10	12	16	24	24	26	26	25	25	26	15	

10.5.1-12

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 698 DATA CAPTURE 96.9%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 51 11/07/88 19:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 43 11/07/88 18:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 1 11/15/88 02:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 12 STANDARD DEV. 8

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

FPL-Pinehurst
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 003

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR NO2 in PPB

		DECEMBER 1988																								DAILY	
HOUR (EST)		00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	AVG	
DAY																											
1		21	21	19	14	12	14	18	19	18	13	12	8	9	15	15	24	26	33	35	23	28	24	15	10	18	
2		8	8	7	7	Cal	9	18	26	21	15	12	10	14	10	10	11	17	31	21	20	19	20	21	23	16	
3		25	23	14	12	13	15	19	25	25	26	25	24	27	26	21	10	16	13	31	29	25	24	24	30	22	
4		27	20	11	9	Cal	5	5	8	9	12	10	8	7	6	7	11	15	27	44	37	23	36	37	21	17	
5		19	17	14	27	16	26	29	36	39	35	26	20	20	14	14	17	32	55	52	50	49	46	43	35	30	
6		22	20	17	15	Cal	20	23	25	39	54	16	13	13	33	21	17	25	32	25	18	18	18	11	14	22	
7		13	4	3	5	8	10	19	24	18	12	9	9	11	9	10	13	17	21	18	11	10	12	13	10	12	
8		18	19	16	11	Cal	23	22	27	34	14	14	13	16	10	14	13	15	30	34	30	12	18	21	10	19	
9		10	7	13	13	Cal	17	23	19	30	24	15	9	10	10	11	15	18	30	38	38	34	34	36	35	21	
10		31	27	23	13	Cal	19	18	20	24	22	16	11	9	14	17	20	27	43	48	43	51	50	46	47	28	
11		39	35	30	27	25	20	17	23	36	20	16	9	9	10	10	12	8	14	22	25	26	26	26	26	21	
12		23	16	8	8	Cal	7	13	19	19	12	11	10	8	8	9	13	14	19	23	23	15	11	8	6	13	
13		5	5	5	5	4	5	10	14	13	9	9	7	8	7	8	9	14	24	29	32	32	30	35	34	15	
14		24	18	15	12	Cal	16	27	29	25	21	21	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	12	15	19	16	21	15	13	10	8	18	
15		8	5	5	5	8	11	23	38	42	17	9	11	9	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	34	Bad<
16		31	29	26	26	Cal	24	26	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	7	7	13	36	49	46	39	24	25	23	Bad<	
17		30	14	5	4	3	2	4	7	6	5	4	4	7	5	5	7	11	19	33	31	.26	20	19	16	12	
18		18	17	11	9	Cal	6	7	9	8	7	8	9	8	6	5	7	11	23	39	39	41	40	36	27	17	
19		22	12	10	7	8	12	28	34	39	40	34	11	7	7	6	8	12	17	13	7	6	7	5	9	15	
20		11	6	11	4	Cal	18	26	29	22	26	16	16	18	21	27	19	17	20	16	11	9	10	6	7	16	
21		6	11	13	10	7	7	18	22	16	14	11	11	10	11	11	11	16	19	18	21	27	30	21	15	15	
22		19	24	21	17	Cal	18	20	21	25	30	12	9	10	7	11	13	15	16	22	26	13	24	12	8	17	
23		4	3	3	2	Cal	10	12	12	11	9	8	6	7	6	7	8	11	14	16	20	9	11	8	7	9	
24		8	12	15	9	Cal	15	13	17	11	8	5	6	8	7	6	8	12	16	26	24	24	24	16	19	13	
25		16	20	15	14	10	15	12	12	21	22	15	8	5	3	3	4	4	8	12	10	7	9	8	9	11	
26		7	3	2	3	Cal	2	6	11	6	4	4	2	3	4	4	4	5	7	6	6	5	4	4	3	4	
27		2	2	1	1	1	2	4	7	7	8	8	7	7	6	9	12	11	17	12	9	17	13	11	9	8	
28		6	4	7	5	Cal	6	8	12	12	9	8	8	10	9	7	12	16	21	29	24	23	23	24	29	13	
29		27	22	20	17	17	15	15	15	25	29	25	9	9	6	5	9	11	18	28	14	13	12	17	10	16	
30		11	9	10	8	Cal	21	22	24	16	13	13	11	15	9	10	11	16	18	23	18	19	22	20	20	15	
31		19	20	14	11	14	18	23	25	13	7	6	6	5	5	6	8	9	15	24	28	26	24	25	17	15	

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 706 DATA CAPTURE 94.9%

MAX. 1HR AVG 55 12/05/88 17:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 54 12/06/88 09:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 1 12/27/88 03:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 16 STANDARD DEV. 10

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration

BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data

Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

ESE # 89 509 400

FPL PINEHURST

NO2 PRECISION CALCULATIONS

SAMPLING QUARTER

OCTOBER 1988 - DECEMBER 1988

DATE	AIR QUALITY SAMPLER (X) PPB	DUPLICATE SAMPLER (Y) PPB	% DIFFERENCE (D)
OCT 11, 1988	92.00	104.00	+ 13.04
OCT 13, 1988	92.00	107.00	+ 16.30
OCT 20, 1988	96.00	106.00	+ 10.42
OCT 28, 1988	92.00	96.00	+ 4.35
NOV 4, 1988	92.00	97.00	+ 5.43
NOV 11, 1988	92.00	94.00	+ 2.17
NOV 25, 1988	92.00	98.00	+ 6.52
DEC 9, 1988	92.00	93.00	+ 1.09
DEC 16, 1988	92.00	89.00	- 3.26
DEC 23, 1988	92.00	89.00	- 3.26

SUM OF D(I) = 52.81

MEAN OF D(I) = 5.28

STD. DEV. = 6.53

UPPER 95% PROBABILITY LIMIT = 14.33

LOWER 95% PROBABILITY LIMIT = -3.77

10.5,1-14

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR WD in DGS

		DECEMBER 1988																							DAILY	
HOURLY (EST)		00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	AVG
DAY																										
1		287	300	294	306	322	336	342	334	330	340	346	348	3	1	352	5	7	8	10	4	0	352	350	349	222
2		346	343	350	346	343	345	347	345	344	343	351	355	11	23	25	37	21	10	357	355	353	343	345	346	266
3		344	340	336	337	340	344	335	331	340	339	340	348	351	349	352	355	350	349	359	359	355	351	351	345	346
4		341	349	351	349	343	344	357	1	3	352	348	351	354	337	357	334	352	340	347	353	358	351	342	1	305
5		353	350	348	340	341	339	335	340	341	335	343	348	3	356	341	317	309	303	339	347	344	334	342	333	324
6		349	341	347	336	336	325	307	304	327	339	306	136	123	122	123	119	120	122	124	81	129	123	127	119	216
7		120	134	127	128	129	130	140	125	125	130	126	129	129	125	121	128	123	125	128	138	134	130	109	123	127
8		281	4	23	12	1	358	343	347	35	112	122	122	116	113	119	113	118	123	98	89	73	82	97	67	124
9		121	316	265	173	334	78	75	319	325	329	220	140	154	149	152	141	137	147	156	153	162	212	212	266	197
10		271	291	301	307	311	317	321	321	343	349	349	343	295	21	159	107	121	118	135	192	195	3	292	312	241
11		252	169	343	221	191	245	201	199	208	190	182	187	194	199	214	226	232	221	213	220	227	221	206	230	216
12		212	225	213	256	248	258	251	265	295	335	327	316	310	322	300	301	311	312	302	299	317	317	314	310	288
13		326	333	335	336	347	337	334	331	336	327	328	329	329	319	327	330	332	337	337	336	340	343	331	327	333
14		329	323	322	325	335	343	351	345	352	344	331	331	28	50	73	90	86	88	80	110	102	111	134	146	214
15		143	121	125	152	131	130	352	194	50	67	88	96	103	129	119	125	133	126	112	294	359	308	327	352	172
16		333	336	337	338	345	338	333	345	355	335	322	314	303	308	309	303	298	275	260	279	274	278	275	276	311
17		281	305	322	329	329	333	333	335	334	334	332	328	324	323	328	332	334	333	323	327	320	317	317	313	325
18		310	317	341	351	354	349	346	346	346	350	338	349	354	353	349	1	351	337	336	340	341	340	340	342	329
19		348	346	349	351	353	350	345	353	9	14	37	78	83	81	73	78	66	74	73	78	84	82	85	100	162
20		107	106	122	125	121	122	119	121	123	118	117	109	117	118	120	114	109	101	93	94	92	99	92	85	110
21		73	62	103	123	125	123	123	126	129	133	125	124	129	127	130	123	123	123	119	114	348	309	250	190	144
22		324	296	350	353	32	16	333	342	353	19	41	78	88	84	82	99	94	88	91	80	93	115	79	86	151
23		86	87	81	83	88	90	93	76	88	87	88	90	94	101	76	70	73	61	56	45	57	60	87	87	79
24		71	66	96	108	114	108	47	313	299	126	137	127	137	123	121	128	133	118	129	123	57	84	75	321	132
25		319	120	55	172	323	326	278	336	344	359	352	38	52	85	89	88	94	78	75	60	47	73	54	67	162
26		342	336	3	344	348	340	340	341	9	66	77	68	73	78	74	78	65	68	64	67	62	74	75	75	144
27		69	69	76	71	73	74	79	86	89	93	94	100	98	100	111	109	107	116	114	110	118	120	121	123	97
28		130	136	137	131	132	137	143	152	147	148	149	156	156	151	142	123	122	123	131	66	124	127	130	124	134
29		129	71	324	346	347	345	53	330	346	9	31	115	116	116	108	85	96	93	77	88	71	36	47	78	144
30		45	57	10	30	30	25	343	7	130	118	122	118	113	117	120	110	115	121	123	126	129	95	61	40	96
31		2	9	16	74	22	349	358	34	53	130	128	132	130	135	128	137	139	133	121	95	325	357	155	136	137

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 744 DATA CAPTURE 100.0X
 MAX. 1HR AVG 359 12/15/88 20:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 359 12/03/88 18:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0 12/01/88 20:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 202 STANDARD DEV. 120

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-15

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR WS in MPH

DAY	DECEMBER 1988																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	1.9	2.5	3.8	4.5	4.1	3.7	2.3	3.6	7.2	8.5	8.7	10.1	10.4	7.1	7.0	7.3	6.9	5.4	5.9	5.7	5.7	6.5	7.9	8.8	6.0
2	8.2	9.1	9.2	9.5	9.1	9.7	9.8	9.1	9.4	9.2	9.7	10.5	9.9	11.4	10.6	11.4	10.2	8.4	8.2	8.2	7.8	6.6	5.9	6.1	9.0
3	4.4	5.0	5.2	4.5	5.3	4.8	5.8	5.3	5.2	5.7	5.4	5.3	5.5	5.2	4.4	5.3	4.9	4.5	5.1	5.1	4.8	5.2	4.4	3.7	5.0
4	5.2	5.7	7.2	5.4	5.5	4.2	7.3	7.9	8.1	6.5	4.9	5.7	5.2	5.3	5.0	5.7	4.0	4.3	3.7	5.0	4.6	4.1	4.5	4.1	5.4
5	4.5	3.9	2.9	4.5	4.1	4.5	3.9	4.5	4.9	4.4	4.7	5.6	4.6	2.0	1.0	1.6	4.9	4.8	5.0	3.9	2.3	2.7	3.1	3.6	3.8
6	2.8	3.8	2.9	2.8	3.5	3.1	3.0	3.2	2.9	2.7	1.3	8.3	7.5	8.9	9.0	9.1	8.2	6.5	4.4	3.0	5.1	8.5	7.3	8.6	5.2
7	8.0	8.1	7.6	7.5	4.9	5.0	3.3	0.7	7.1	9.3	9.5	9.2	9.6	10.2	10.7	10.0	9.2	8.8	8.2	6.3	7.7	5.6	3.0	0.7	7.1
8	1.4	2.4	2.0	2.9	2.0	2.1	1.9	1.9	1.8	5.9	8.7	8.8	9.0	8.3	7.5	7.2	6.7	4.5	2.8	2.4	1.9	2.3	2.4	1.6	4.1
9	2.1	2.5	2.0	3.7	1.1	2.0	1.6	2.6	2.3	2.1	0.5	5.8	5.7	5.4	5.5	4.3	6.7	5.1	3.5	3.4	2.3	1.7	2.0	2.7	3.2
10	2.9	4.0	4.4	5.0	4.6	3.6	2.9	2.7	3.5	4.3	5.0	3.4	2.0	0.4	2.2	5.3	5.3	3.2	1.8	2.1	3.2	1.1	3.5	2.1	3.2
11	2.7	4.3	1.1	1.3	1.9	2.4	1.8	1.8	2.7	5.2	10.3	9.9	10.5	10.1	10.4	10.2	9.1	6.9	6.5	6.0	3.8	3.3	4.1	3.7	5.4
12	4.4	3.7	5.0	3.2	3.0	3.8	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.7	5.9	4.7	5.2	5.4	6.3	7.0	6.9	4.5	6.3	8.1	7.0	7.7	8.7	5.3
13	8.3	8.2	8.8	7.5	7.9	7.0	8.6	9.1	8.8	12.8	13.2	11.9	11.7	11.2	11.8	12.3	10.2	8.6	7.6	6.2	6.3	5.6	3.6	4.6	8.8
14	5.1	5.0	4.4	4.7	5.3	5.6	6.2	5.8	7.3	6.6	5.1	5.3	4.2	5.4	7.8	8.0	6.7	5.9	3.6	5.8	7.7	7.6	7.6	6.8	6.0
15	4.2	6.8	6.2	3.4	3.7	3.4	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.8	5.7	5.3	6.2	5.9	6.3	5.8	5.5	4.5	2.5	1.2	1.6	1.2	2.5	2.8	3.7
16	2.1	2.2	2.8	2.7	2.0	2.4	2.8	2.7	2.8	4.6	6.5	7.0	6.8	7.2	9.5	8.4	6.7	4.1	2.7	4.6	4.7	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.5
17	4.7	9.3	11.1	9.6	10.7	11.3	10.9	10.9	10.6	10.8	12.1	13.7	15.2	14.9	12.8	10.3	9.3	7.4	6.5	6.6	8.5	12.1	10.6	7.9	10.3
18	5.9	5.8	7.1	6.5	7.5	7.2	8.9	9.1	10.0	9.2	9.1	7.7	8.6	7.9	7.4	6.9	6.2	6.5	6.4	4.9	4.2	4.0	4.7	5.1	6.9
19	5.3	4.4	5.3	5.7	4.5	4.0	3.4	4.1	5.4	5.2	4.8	7.7	9.8	9.5	8.7	9.0	8.3	7.8	6.4	8.0	9.3	8.6	8.4	9.0	6.7
20	8.9	10.3	10.4	11.2	10.6	9.2	9.0	9.7	11.3	13.7	14.3	15.1	10.7	11.1	12.0	12.2	11.0	9.3	9.2	9.0	8.2	8.7	7.2	5.9	10.3
21	5.4	3.6	4.1	6.8	6.7	6.3	5.2	3.8	7.1	10.5	9.2	9.8	10.3	10.8	9.6	10.4	9.0	7.4	5.5	3.4	1.6	0.5	2.0	1.0	6.2
22	2.4	2.1	1.3	2.2	2.0	3.8	3.0	3.3	3.0	5.1	7.5	8.6	9.7	8.3	6.3	8.0	7.3	6.5	5.7	3.0	6.3	4.9	4.0	4.4	4.9
23	6.2	5.7	5.3	5.3	4.4	4.9	5.2	4.2	7.0	8.4	10.3	9.1	9.0	8.5	7.4	5.9	6.5	4.2	4.7	3.5	4.1	4.2	5.2	6.8	6.1
24	4.3	2.8	4.9	6.3	5.2	4.6	1.8	2.8	3.1	5.2	6.9	7.0	5.5	5.9	6.9	6.1	4.9	5.6	4.9	2.9	1.2	1.9	1.5	3.4	4.4
25	3.5	1.9	0.7	1.6	3.2	5.2	2.9	2.6	3.9	3.4	3.3	3.2	3.5	7.2	7.3	6.3	6.4	5.0	2.9	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.8	2.4	3.6
26	1.9	3.1	2.2	2.2	2.0	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.2	4.8	6.9	6.9	7.9	9.3	9.3	10.3	8.9	7.9	5.6	5.0	5.0	7.3	7.2	7.6	5.4
27	6.2	6.8	7.0	6.1	7.3	8.3	9.4	9.6	11.5	12.2	12.8	12.6	12.4	12.5	12.6	10.6	11.7	10.1	9.0	8.7	10.1	9.8	10.0	9.4	9.8
28	8.1	7.4	8.2	8.9	11.4	11.0	9.7	7.9	7.0	9.2	9.1	8.5	7.2	5.6	5.3	6.3	7.1	5.8	5.6	1.3	4.7	3.7	3.5	3.2	6.9
29	3.7	1.7	2.7	2.3	2.0	3.4	3.0	3.7	4.3	3.7	3.0	5.3	7.0	7.3	6.9	6.1	6.8	6.3	2.7	3.4	2.5	2.4	2.3	2.8	3.9
30	2.1	3.5	1.9	5.4	3.9	2.4	2.0	1.0	5.2	9.1	9.1	9.1	9.1	9.7	9.6	9.4	7.4	7.8	7.6	6.6	4.6	2.9	2.7	5.5	5.5
31	2.1	2.1	4.9	2.5	2.4	2.2	2.3	4.0	3.6	6.5	8.1	7.6	8.1	8.7	8.6	7.3	6.7	4.5	3.7	2.3	3.7	2.2	3.2	3.4	4.6

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 744 DATA CAPTURE 100.0%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 15.2 12/17/88 12:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 15.1 12/20/88 11:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0.4 12/10/88 13:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 5.8 STANDARD DEV. 2.9

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-16

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR TEMP in DGC

DECEMBER 1988

DAY	HOUR (EST)																								DAILY AVG
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	
1	20.8	20.3	20.2	20.3	20.1	20.0	20.0	20.1	19.9	19.4	19.9	21.2	20.8	21.4	21.4	21.3	21.0	20.5	20.0	19.4	18.6	17.9	16.9	15.9	19.9
2	15.0	14.1	13.1	11.7	11.0	10.6	10.4	10.7	12.0	14.2	16.6	18.5	20.1	20.5	20.5	20.6	19.5	18.2	17.0	16.4	16.2	15.7	15.2	14.8	15.5
3	14.8	14.6	14.6	14.6	14.7	14.6	14.5	14.4	14.7	15.7	15.9	16.8	17.7	18.6	19.7	20.4	20.0	19.3	18.7	18.1	17.7	17.4	17.2	17.0	16.7
4	16.8	16.7	16.3	16.3	16.3	16.6	16.7	16.8	17.4	18.5	20.2	21.3	22.3	22.8	23.1	22.6	22.3	20.6	18.9	18.3	18.0	17.2	16.5	16.1	18.7
5	15.5	15.0	14.2	14.1	13.6	12.9	12.4	12.7	15.4	18.0	20.2	22.0	23.1	23.6	24.0	24.1	23.8	22.2	20.6	19.3	18.2	17.1	16.8	16.2	18.1
6	15.4	15.0	15.0	15.2	15.5	15.5	14.8	14.8	17.1	20.5	22.5	24.4	24.8	24.7	24.6	24.1	23.5	22.5	21.6	21.5	21.5	22.0	21.9	21.8	20.0
7	21.7	21.6	21.6	21.5	20.9	20.9	20.2	19.6	22.2	23.7	24.2	24.6	24.6	24.7	24.8	24.3	23.7	22.9	22.5	22.1	21.8	21.6	21.1	19.2	22.3
8	17.9	17.4	17.2	16.8	16.9	16.8	17.0	17.7	20.5	24.2	25.2	25.7	25.9	25.9	25.7	25.3	24.3	23.2	22.7	22.1	21.5	22.0	21.7	21.3	21.4
9	22.2	21.5	20.8	21.0	20.9	20.4	20.3	20.0	20.8	22.0	23.5	25.1	25.8	26.1	26.3	25.8	24.8	23.2	21.9	21.0	20.4	19.8	19.4	18.9	22.1
10	18.2	17.8	17.8	17.9	17.7	17.4	16.9	17.0	18.4	21.1	23.2	24.5	25.4	25.7	25.6	25.3	24.2	23.7	23.0	22.5	21.7	20.6	19.9	19.5	21.0
11	18.8	18.2	17.8	17.3	17.1	16.8	16.5	17.1	20.0	23.2	24.9	25.8	26.2	26.3	26.6	26.3	25.7	24.3	23.0	22.3	21.6	21.0	20.8	20.9	21.6
12	20.8	20.8	20.9	20.9	20.7	20.6	20.8	20.9	21.1	21.8	22.9	23.2	23.2	23.6	23.1	23.2	22.7	21.8	21.0	20.1	19.5	19.2	19.0	18.7	21.2
13	18.2	17.5	17.0	16.4	15.6	14.3	13.1	12.6	13.6	14.2	13.1	13.2	13.8	15.7	17.5	17.3	16.7	15.2	13.9	12.8	12.1	11.4	10.3	9.3	14.3
14	8.9	8.5	7.5	7.2	7.6	7.8	8.0	8.5	10.3	12.4	14.8	16.8	18.8	19.6	20.3	20.4	20.2	19.9	19.7	19.7	20.0	20.1	20.0	20.0	14.9
15	19.5	20.1	20.2	19.7	20.1	20.1	19.5	19.3	20.7	22.0	23.1	23.3	24.0	23.5	23.3	23.1	22.6	21.8	20.9	19.9	19.8	18.7	17.8	17.3	20.8
16	16.5	16.3	16.3	16.3	16.3	16.2	16.1	16.3	18.1	20.5	22.1	23.3	24.0	24.4	24.4	24.6	24.1	22.7	21.3	20.4	19.5	18.4	17.7	17.2	19.7
17	17.1	17.7	16.8	16.0	15.1	14.3	13.8	13.3	13.1	13.7	14.2	15.2	16.0	16.2	16.1	15.7	14.9	13.6	12.5	11.5	10.6	9.7	8.8	8.2	13.9
18	7.6	7.1	6.7	6.1	6.0	5.9	6.0	6.0	7.1	9.1	11.0	12.9	14.5	15.3	16.0	16.2	15.8	14.0	11.9	10.5	9.6	9.2	9.2	9.0	10.1
19	9.1	9.1	8.9	8.6	8.4	8.2	8.4	9.1	11.5	15.1	17.9	20.1	21.1	21.0	20.8	20.9	20.2	19.4	19.0	19.4	19.7	19.5	19.8	20.2	15.6
20	20.1	20.5	20.7	20.9	20.7	20.8	20.7	21.2	22.3	23.1	23.8	24.1	23.6	23.2	23.8	23.7	23.2	22.9	22.8	22.8	22.8	22.1	21.8	21.6	22.2
21	21.3	21.1	21.2	22.1	22.1	22.0	21.8	21.9	23.2	24.2	24.9	25.0	25.0	25.2	25.5	24.3	24.2	23.3	22.8	22.5	21.7	21.2	21.1	20.8	22.8
22	20.5	20.4	19.8	19.4	19.3	19.2	18.8	18.7	19.3	21.7	23.5	24.1	23.8	23.5	24.6	24.3	23.8	23.3	22.3	21.9	22.1	21.4	21.4	20.7	21.6
23	21.3	21.5	21.7	21.7	21.1	21.1	21.1	21.5	22.6	22.9	23.9	24.4	24.5	24.8	24.8	24.1	23.8	23.0	22.3	21.4	21.0	20.5	21.1	20.6	22.3
24	20.4	20.5	21.4	21.7	21.6	21.4	20.8	19.8	21.0	23.8	24.7	25.0	25.9	26.5	26.4	25.8	25.4	24.1	22.8	22.2	21.2	20.7	20.1	19.9	22.6
25	19.7	19.3	18.7	18.6	18.3	18.5	18.0	18.2	19.4	22.2	24.2	25.5	25.5	25.9	26.1	26.0	25.2	24.3	23.3	22.6	22.4	22.0	20.9	20.3	21.9
26	19.8	20.3	20.6	20.1	19.4	18.7	18.3	18.2	20.0	23.5	25.0	25.6	25.9	25.6	25.3	25.0	24.2	23.4	22.8	22.5	22.3	22.3	22.1	22.0	22.2
27	21.9	22.0	21.9	21.9	22.2	22.1	22.0	22.2	23.0	23.6	24.2	24.9	24.8	24.9	24.7	24.1	24.2	23.5	23.0	22.8	22.9	22.9	22.9	22.7	23.1
28	22.4	22.1	22.2	22.3	22.4	22.4	22.6	22.5	23.3	24.2	25.3	25.9	26.6	27.2	27.2	26.6	25.3	23.9	22.9	22.2	22.1	21.7	21.0	20.8	23.5
29	20.7	19.6	18.8	18.3	17.8	17.4	16.9	17.5	18.4	21.3	23.6	25.4	25.5	26.0	26.0	26.1	25.5	24.1	23.1	22.5	22.1	20.9	20.6	20.9	21.6
30	20.1	19.2	18.9	18.5	18.4	18.6	18.7	19.4	21.8	23.9	24.7	25.1	25.2	25.2	25.3	25.3	24.6	23.4	22.6	22.0	21.2	21.0	20.2	19.8	21.8
31	19.4	19.1	18.8	18.4	18.6	18.5	18.7	18.9	21.3	23.9	25.2	26.0	26.4	26.3	25.8	25.9	25.1	23.8	22.8	21.9	21.0	20.1	19.4	19.7	21.9

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 744 DATA CAPTURE 100.0%

MAX. 1HR AVG 27.2 12/28/88 13:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 27.2 12/28/88 14:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 5.9 12/18/88 05:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 19.8 STANDARD DEV. 4.3

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-17

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR Sig01 in deg

DECEMBER 1988

DAY	HOUR (EST)																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	21.8	13.2	8.9	9.4	9.0	13.2	15.3	12.4	13.5	14.7	16.5	18.1	18.2	19.4	17.4	18.3	18.1	16.3	17.5	16.0	16.0	15.7	16.8	15.9	15.5
2	15.5	14.3	18.0	15.9	13.5	14.8	15.2	15.4	16.0	16.5	18.3	19.7	20.3	19.8	19.4	17.4	17.2	17.0	17.4	17.2	16.4	15.9	14.4	13.9	16.6
3	15.0	12.6	11.7	13.1	13.3	14.2	11.7	10.9	16.0	13.3	15.4	16.8	16.3	18.0	19.0	18.2	13.8	14.6	15.8	14.9	15.4	14.9	14.2	14.6	14.7
4	12.7	13.8	15.3	16.4	15.0	19.6	17.0	18.6	18.6	18.7	18.6	22.3	26.3	27.4	26.9	14.4	19.3	11.2	12.1	14.3	14.1	13.6	12.7	15.3	17.3
5	15.2	14.1	10.8	12.4	14.3	11.5	11.2	13.7	15.2	15.7	23.1	22.0	26.5	62.7	44.0	47.0	16.9	8.1	12.1	12.6	11.4	12.7	14.7	16.8	19.4
6	13.9	12.4	15.9	16.6	18.7	11.7	11.4	8.7	15.3	25.6	40.1	15.2	19.7	16.0	13.6	13.0	13.8	11.5	11.4	31.1	28.7	11.6	13.2	11.9	16.7
7	11.2	13.0	13.1	12.6	13.0	13.0	11.1	52.5	12.5	14.2	13.9	16.3	13.2	15.2	14.9	13.7	11.6	11.2	12.5	12.8	12.7	13.0	13.0	59.5	16.7
8	35.0	20.9	13.5	12.0	14.9	15.2	18.7	21.4	32.6	24.1	14.9	16.0	17.4	16.4	16.9	20.4	14.2	11.9	15.9	19.0	23.7	20.1	24.7	54.7	20.6
9	27.4	28.4	19.4	17.1	56.1	49.4	32.4	18.1	15.5	18.0	48.4	21.4	17.6	20.4	18.0	18.2	12.7	10.1	8.3	7.0	15.9	15.8	28.1	12.0	22.3
10	12.8	6.6	4.0	6.5	6.0	7.2	9.2	11.9	16.4	19.7	22.1	36.2	43.4	48.1	28.9	15.7	11.7	12.5	22.4	43.0	8.4	47.8	8.4	11.1	19.2
11	21.8	22.7	70.1	14.2	15.5	34.6	33.3	20.3	42.3	16.0	15.0	15.4	16.4	17.2	16.5	15.4	16.5	15.2	13.6	18.5	17.4	16.6	16.8	22.5	21.8
12	17.5	18.7	16.9	18.8	17.4	16.6	18.8	16.1	16.8	18.2	18.1	14.9	17.1	17.0	15.9	14.1	12.0	8.8	11.6	11.7	10.1	10.3	9.2	9.2	14.8
13	12.5	12.6	12.6	13.7	17.0	13.9	13.7	11.7	12.7	12.1	12.7	13.9	13.2	13.3	14.3	14.3	14.3	13.7	12.2	11.7	12.8	14.1	10.6	9.8	13.1
14	9.7	10.5	7.8	9.7	11.0	12.5	16.1	14.8	16.5	16.5	21.4	21.6	31.7	21.8	19.3	17.0	15.7	14.8	15.9	13.8	11.5	11.5	13.5	12.4	15.3
15	11.7	11.9	12.5	10.8	14.1	14.2	54.9	42.1	42.8	24.6	19.3	26.0	21.0	18.0	15.7	14.6	13.2	11.7	18.1	61.8	39.7	22.7	9.8	12.6	22.7
16	15.0	8.7	10.8	9.8	12.2	13.5	10.8	16.3	19.1	16.1	16.0	17.4	21.4	16.6	11.7	13.1	12.5	10.4	8.9	10.6	12.2	11.5	10.0	10.0	13.1
17	9.8	9.8	11.0	12.2	12.0	12.8	12.6	12.7	14.7	15.7	14.9	14.2	13.0	13.2	14.1	15.2	13.8	11.9	10.5	9.8	9.5	9.4	9.8	9.0	12.1
18	8.4	9.2	13.0	16.4	16.4	16.1	15.2	17.6	17.4	18.2	14.2	19.8	19.9	21.8	19.8	21.9	19.2	12.7	11.6	12.4	12.1	13.1	11.9	11.9	15.4
19	14.9	14.6	15.0	15.3	17.5	15.9	15.3	16.6	15.9	18.6	23.5	18.7	16.8	18.7	19.2	18.3	20.8	16.3	15.9	15.4	12.8	13.8	13.1	13.0	16.5
20	9.5	11.0	12.0	12.2	11.0	11.4	10.9	11.4	13.0	12.2	12.8	12.2	13.3	13.9	12.4	13.9	11.9	11.7	11.5	11.2	12.7	10.1	11.1	12.7	11.9
21	15.4	18.1	18.8	12.0	12.4	11.5	11.1	11.1	13.2	13.3	13.9	12.7	13.2	12.4	13.5	11.9	12.8	11.7	10.9	13.3	24.3	57.5	40.0	45.0	17.9
22	27.4	20.7	50.4	40.7	48.7	12.5	17.9	14.7	16.9	18.0	18.5	18.6	12.2	16.4	19.6	14.1	13.7	14.4	16.1	16.0	13.3	10.4	25.9	14.2	20.5
23	12.0	11.7	13.7	13.0	11.4	11.4	10.8	16.5	13.7	13.9	15.2	17.6	15.4	16.4	19.2	19.4	18.0	21.6	20.2	20.8	21.2	20.7	15.4	15.0	16.0
24	17.0	19.7	9.5	8.3	7.9	10.5	32.4	9.7	13.5	54.7	15.0	15.2	17.7	19.8	17.2	16.3	14.2	9.4	11.1	11.1	52.8	30.6	27.4	19.8	19.2
25	7.7	32.8	29.3	32.8	10.1	12.7	28.5	11.7	12.4	21.0	29.2	33.4	23.0	16.3	14.6	14.2	13.3	13.7	14.9	23.0	31.4	17.9	29.3	17.4	20.4
26	14.2	14.1	15.7	11.2	14.7	9.9	9.5	12.5	20.1	24.8	19.8	21.3	20.1	18.1	18.3	16.5	18.1	17.5	19.7	20.7	20.5	16.0	14.9	15.9	16.8
27	18.0	17.7	14.6	15.9	16.0	15.3	13.8	13.3	13.3	12.6	12.0	13.0	13.8	13.0	12.0	12.8	11.9	11.2	10.9	10.1	11.0	10.5	11.5	12.4	13.2
28	12.2	12.7	13.2	13.1	12.7	13.2	12.5	10.6	12.0	12.7	13.9	15.9	16.9	19.0	20.2	15.4	11.6	11.0	31.2	68.8	11.1	11.6	9.5	9.0	16.3
29	11.6	45.0	12.6	17.0	15.0	12.1	66.8	11.0	14.6	22.9	35.8	26.5	16.9	16.1	18.7	17.1	14.1	12.0	16.3	14.7	20.8	35.7	39.1	14.4	21.9
30	18.3	23.2	19.3	17.6	35.9	14.6	42.3	35.9	12.0	13.3	13.1	14.8	15.2	13.5	13.3	17.2	14.1	11.2	10.0	11.1	9.3	16.5	31.3	43.2	19.4
31	14.2	13.1	26.2	21.2	27.3	13.0	15.4	31.9	42.7	14.2	13.9	17.9	16.5	15.3	14.7	14.4	13.8	12.2	9.9	26.8	30.0	42.1	7.1	35.5	20.4

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 744 DATA CAPTURE 100.0%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 70.1 12/11/88 02:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 68.8 12/28/88 19:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 4.0 12/10/88 02:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 17.3 STANDARD DEV. 9.1

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-18

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR RNFL in INCH

DECEMBER 1988

DAY	HOURLY AVERAGES FOR RNFL in INCH																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.10	0.01
23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.05	0.00
24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 744 DATA CAPTURE 100.0%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 0.16 12/22/88 22:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 0.10 12/22/88 23:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0.00 12/01/88 00:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 0.00 STANDARD DEV. 0.01


KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, Pwrf - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-19

WIND ROSE ANALYSIS FOR 12/01/88 TO 12/31/88

10.5.1-21



Ft. Lauderdale, FL

Averaging Time: 3600 sec

WIND ROSE ANALYSIS FOR 12/01/88 TO 12/31/88

Wind Speed (mph)	D I R E C T I O N																
	N	NNE	NE	ENE	E	ESE	SE	SSE	S	SSW	SW	WSW	W	WNW	NW	NNW	
> 13.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1
13.4-11.2	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.8	0.7	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	1.2
11.2- 8.7	3.8	0.3	0.1	3.2	3.9	7.4	5.2	0.8	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.3	2.0	5.2	
6.7- 4.5	4.0	0.5	0.5	1.6	2.8	3.0	3.4	0.7	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.9	1.7	5.9	
4.5- 3.0	1.9	0.4	0.7	0.9	0.7	0.7	1.7	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.7	0.8	1.2	4.6	
3.0 <																	
All Speed	9.7	1.3	1.5	5.8	8.2	12.	10.	2.0	0.7	0.9	1.2	0.4	0.9	2.0	5.4	17.	

Ft. Lauderdale, FL

Averaging Time: 3600 sec

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR WD in DGS

		NOVEMBER 1988																							DAILY	
HOUR (EST)		00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	AVG
DAY	1	196	221	268	271	273	227	264	271	293	306	308	310	315	322	310	321	326	337	355	7	57	54	340	342	262
	2	337	346	343	351	349	352	357	351	347	350	13	349	358	55	66	64	62	51	49	27	6	5	26	8	193
	3	9	311	346	14	47	87	107	140	108	138	146	153	143	158	125	129	39	44	67	100	127	81	84	75	116
	4	135	144	156	135	78	99	139	199	233	239	260	275	236	260	253	241	239	253	245	229	205	213	203	204	203
	5	190	182	188	201	212	208	208	217	223	214	220	232	244	245	225	218	218	228	227	218	210	208	198	221	215
	6	218	231	200	209	197	197	245	232	239	305	316	317	317	288	282	283	285	305	306	307	314	320	328	332	274
	7	326	335	347	330	337	347	22	12	17	5	359	344	349	327	355	68	62	71	64	347	339	345	1	7	213
	8	3	2	343	345	346	344	351	358	0	16	55	75	72	68	70	72	69	54	48	21	13	3	356	340	143
	9	341	342	341	347	344	347	354	343	40	90	75	82	77	74	72	71	82	68	63	68	76	75	65	67	163
	10	59	1	347	1	8	11	2	8	19	48	92	95	100	97	109	78	79	81	89	80	53	90	104	349	83
	11	311	334	349	339	336	343	333	356	0	0	24	52	54	82	88	86	79	82	74	47	21	357	333	333	184
	12	7	13	354	324	336	349	333	349	7	95	107	99	101	105	90	85	78	73	64	66	67	74	69	10	136
	13	312	295	319	335	337	336	297	323	344	326	18	47	49	30	95	90	79	66	68	55	64	67	69	62	170
	14	347	343	337	346	352	327	334	348	3	28	55	56	55	49	62	53	52	48	53	63	71	71	74	74	150
	15	77	76	70	75	66	61	32	30	63	70	77	81	84	82	85	85	82	82	81	93	97	99	109	108	78
	16	116	107	98	118	76	89	112	117	118	117	118	105	94	96	99	117	114	99	92	87	104	108	113	104	104
	17	114	88	74	99	93	69	75	38	89	107	109	113	110	116	101	84	99	97	89	90	88	89	90	94	92
	18	103	74	67	83	79	104	101	87	88	92	96	91	92	92	93	82	84	81	84	90	94	95	96	96	89
	19	104	106	113	118	112	112	111	111	115	119	116	111	120	116	118	119	108	108	108	117	114	117	118	121	114
	20	122	126	127	129	126	130	127	136	137	137	141	137	139	138	136	126	120	117	116	115	100	105	118	121	126
	21	126	117	128	149	133	97	34	91	137	143	147	138	139	141	140	132	117	117	118	111	110	108	114	120	121
	22	124	129	130	133	139	141	140	143	144	143	143	151	154	152	155	155	149	152	151	155	155	155	156	167	146
	23	176	177	184	190	194	201	208	217	226	238	250	272	263	262	271	271	276	283	291	301	311	307	302	290	248
	24	286	294	298	306	317	314	311	326	351	351	324	330	336	333	334	339	336	322	324	310	335	338	342	338	325
	25	350	348	356	335	314	323	302	317	331	54	89	92	89	98	81	84	83	79	93	93	98	111	95	103	180
	26	126	112	117	123	120	116	120	122	124	127	130	132	128	129	133	139	127	126	131	134	135	141	144	147	128
	27	145	144	146	146	149	145	142	144	148	153	153	154	154	153	159	160	163	163	159	159	157	159	162	165	153
	28	167	166	168	169	185	191	204	270	165	183	198	239	250	247	247	314	332	335	333	345	3	18	7	352	212
	29	349	344	341	342	344	342	341	350	350	10	60	81	75	76	76	70	70	73	74	76	76	75	86	87	174
	30	85	78	76	68	80	68	33	5	274	159	348	28	29	70	112	120	121	123	133	121	327	294	281	270	138

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 720 DATA CAPTURE 100.0%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 359 11/07/88 10:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 358 11/08/88 07:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0 11/08/88 08:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 164 STANDARD DEV. 108

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-23

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR WS in MPH

DAY	NOVEMBER 1988																							DAILY AVG	
	HOUR (EST) 00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	1.9	1.9	2.8	2.7	2.7	1.8	2.7	3.4	4.1	5.1	4.6	4.0	6.2	5.6	8.6	8.4	9.1	8.3	7.4	5.0	2.6	1.4	2.4	2.6	4.4
2	3.7	4.4	3.7	4.4	4.6	5.1	4.2	5.9	5.8	5.6	4.6	5.6	5.6	5.7	8.5	9.1	8.1	5.9	2.9	2.2	3.7	3.6	2.2	2.2	4.9
3	2.9	1.6	2.5	2.9	2.9	4.0	6.1	2.3	7.8	6.3	7.0	6.3	9.0	7.9	8.4	4.9	1.1	1.5	3.1	3.0	4.7	2.8	3.3	3.0	4.4
4	4.3	9.3	7.5	7.0	1.2	3.6	3.1	4.2	5.2	8.4	9.3	6.5	10.9	10.1	10.1	11.4	11.3	7.3	5.2	3.9	4.9	5.2	5.1	3.9	6.6
5	4.6	5.3	5.4	6.0	6.3	7.2	7.7	9.0	10.1	11.7	12.1	13.5	10.1	9.4	12.1	10.9	8.7	7.8	5.9	5.0	5.7	5.9	4.5	3.6	7.8
6	4.6	4.7	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.8	2.9	3.7	5.1	6.9	7.7	9.8	7.9	7.6	7.7	7.9	7.0	7.1	7.7	8.7	7.3	5.9	4.9	4.7	6.1
7	5.0	3.7	2.3	2.2	2.3	1.8	2.0	2.6	4.6	7.1	6.2	6.1	5.6	5.5	4.8	6.1	4.8	4.0	2.2	2.2	2.7	2.9	2.1	2.4	3.8
8	3.6	3.1	2.8	3.1	3.3	3.8	3.8	4.5	5.1	6.2	7.2	7.8	7.3	7.8	8.0	7.3	7.0	4.6	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.0	2.3	2.7	4.6
9	2.9	2.5	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.5	2.5	2.1	2.6	9.9	9.5	10.0	9.1	8.7	8.4	8.8	9.0	7.0	4.7	4.8	5.6	5.4	3.8	2.8	5.4
10	2.5	2.5	2.3	2.9	3.1	3.5	3.5	3.3	3.6	4.6	5.7	6.8	6.0	7.2	8.1	6.8	7.3	5.1	4.3	3.3	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.1	4.2
11	1.9	2.6	2.5	1.9	2.3	2.4	2.8	3.7	4.4	5.2	4.8	4.5	4.5	6.4	8.1	8.4	6.7	5.8	3.1	1.7	2.0	2.3	1.8	1.9	3.8
12	2.3	2.4	2.4	3.1	2.1	2.4	2.1	1.9	2.1	6.2	7.3	6.7	6.8	7.1	7.0	6.7	5.7	4.3	2.9	2.1	2.1	2.5	2.2	2.2	3.8
13	1.9	4.1	2.2	2.2	2.9	2.4	3.0	2.0	3.4	2.6	1.3	2.8	2.2	4.0	4.9	6.7	6.2	5.1	4.1	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.4	2.9	3.4
14	2.5	2.3	2.2	2.4	2.3	2.7	3.6	3.9	4.6	5.9	8.1	9.6	10.2	10.3	10.4	10.9	9.4	10.8	8.7	7.8	9.8	9.8	8.8	8.0	6.9
15	7.4	7.3	5.5	6.0	4.8	4.6	3.1	3.0	6.7	8.7	10.3	10.5	9.9	10.1	10.5	10.3	7.9	7.9	6.3	6.1	5.9	6.0	6.3	5.7	7.1
16	6.3	5.1	3.6	4.7	2.4	2.4	5.8	9.0	10.4	11.1	10.2	8.7	9.6	10.1	9.8	9.4	9.0	6.3	5.5	5.3	3.7	6.2	5.7	5.6	6.9
17	4.4	2.4	2.1	2.6	2.8	2.1	2.5	1.7	4.7	7.5	8.3	7.9	9.2	9.1	9.0	8.9	8.7	7.4	6.7	5.3	5.4	6.4	6.1	7.2	5.7
18	6.7	4.1	2.9	4.0	3.0	6.8	6.3	5.6	8.1	10.2	11.2	12.0	11.9	11.6	11.4	10.1	9.1	8.6	7.6	8.2	9.2	11.1	11.5	11.2	8.4
19	12.2	12.2	12.4	12.4	10.9	10.8	10.6	9.9	10.9	13.9	15.3	14.5	13.6	13.6	13.7	13.4	11.8	11.0	10.2	10.5	10.0	11.2	10.9	12.0	12.0
20	11.5	10.4	9.4	8.2	7.6	7.0	7.0	6.9	10.1	10.9	11.1	10.4	10.4	9.5	7.8	7.5	7.4	5.3	4.4	4.0	3.2	4.9	6.2	6.4	7.8
21	6.3	3.5	3.4	4.1	2.7	1.8	2.4	2.5	5.7	8.1	8.7	8.3	8.0	7.3	7.5	7.0	8.4	7.0	6.4	5.4	6.6	7.4	9.6	10.7	6.2
22	10.3	9.7	9.4	9.0	9.3	10.9	11.7	12.3	14.6	14.9	15.7	17.4	17.5	17.4	14.8	15.3	14.5	15.1	14.2	15.7	15.7	17.2	16.6	17.3	14.0
23	17.9	18.5	18.0	18.9	18.4	15.2	16.6	14.8	16.3	14.8	14.6	15.2	12.2	11.8	12.3	13.5	12.4	11.0	10.7	10.8	11.6	10.3	12.2	11.4	14.1
24	10.3	10.0	12.0	11.8	10.0	12.0	9.5	8.5	7.4	7.4	7.4	9.2	9.1	7.9	8.2	7.1	6.7	6.9	6.1	6.3	5.2	5.2	5.0	5.1	8.1
25	3.5	3.1	3.1	3.3	3.6	3.4	3.7	3.9	4.1	3.2	8.5	10.7	10.4	9.3	8.7	9.4	10.2	7.0	8.1	6.1	6.5	7.9	4.6	5.8	6.1
26	6.4	7.8	9.2	9.3	8.1	7.7	9.7	11.6	13.6	14.4	15.4	14.3	14.1	13.3	12.9	11.5	11.4	10.4	9.8	10.7	10.4	10.0	9.6	10.8	10.9
27	9.6	9.9	10.2	8.6	8.9	7.1	6.7	7.9	9.8	12.9	12.9	13.5	12.9	13.2	13.5	12.2	12.0	10.5	7.6	8.3	8.8	8.4	8.3	6.3	10.0
28	4.0	6.5	7.6	5.8	6.5	3.9	3.3	3.0	3.3	5.1	4.8	6.4	8.3	7.6	8.2	9.7	9.4	7.7	10.2	9.0	8.5	9.3	7.4	7.8	6.8
29	7.4	7.2	7.8	6.5	7.2	6.6	6.1	5.8	4.9	4.8	6.4	10.5	9.9	9.0	9.1	7.6	6.4	5.8	6.7	6.2	5.8	5.7	7.0	6.8	6.9
30	7.0	6.0	5.2	4.5	4.2	4.3	3.5	2.5	0.2	4.0	0.7	6.0	6.2	5.4	6.3	7.5	6.8	5.2	4.4	4.0	2.6	4.7	1.6	2.6	4.4

10.5.1-24

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 720 DATA CAPTURE 100.0%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 18.9 11/23/88 03:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 18.5 11/23/88 01:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0.2 11/30/88 08:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 6.8 STANDARD DEV. 3.7

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR TEMP in DGC

NOVEMBER 1988

DAY	HOUR (EST)																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	20.7	20.4	20.2	20.2	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.4	21.9	23.5	24.4	25.3	27.0	27.5	27.6	26.7	25.4	24.2	23.1	22.2	22.0	21.8	21.7	21.4	22.8
2	21.0	20.5	19.8	19.3	18.8	18.1	17.8	18.2	19.4	21.0	23.5	24.8	25.8	26.6	26.3	25.3	24.3	23.3	22.5	21.5	20.6	19.9	19.3	18.9	21.5
3	18.6	18.3	18.7	19.5	20.3	22.4	23.3	22.0	23.3	23.3	22.3	23.4	25.4	24.4	24.6	22.9	22.5	22.6	22.4	22.2	22.0	21.5	21.5	22.4	22.1
4	22.9	22.2	22.0	21.1	20.9	21.1	21.9	23.0	23.9	25.5	26.9	26.1	28.3	28.3	28.9	29.0	28.4	26.8	25.6	25.1	24.5	24.3	24.1	23.8	24.8
5	23.5	23.6	23.5	23.5	23.7	23.8	23.8	24.6	26.1	27.4	28.6	28.7	26.2	26.2	27.2	27.8	27.7	26.9	26.1	25.4	25.1	25.0	24.7	24.5	25.5
6	24.5	24.1	23.9	23.7	23.2	23.0	22.8	23.3	24.7	25.2	25.6	25.9	26.5	27.2	27.8	27.9	27.1	26.2	24.6	23.2	21.7	20.7	20.1	19.4	24.2
7	19.1	18.5	17.5	16.6	16.3	16.2	16.6	17.7	20.0	21.5	22.3	23.1	23.8	24.1	24.4	24.1	23.4	22.2	20.9	19.7	18.8	18.2	17.4	16.7	19.9
8	16.6	16.2	15.4	16.0	16.0	16.2	16.3	17.5	19.9	22.5	24.4	25.1	25.4	25.1	25.0	24.8	24.1	23.0	21.8	20.7	19.7	18.6	18.3	17.9	20.2
9	17.6	17.2	16.9	16.7	16.4	16.5	16.7	17.5	20.9	24.2	24.6	25.4	25.7	25.8	25.8	25.2	24.7	23.8	23.3	23.1	22.9	22.7	22.4	21.8	21.5
10	20.7	19.4	19.0	18.9	18.9	18.9	19.0	19.9	22.9	25.2	26.6	27.1	27.8	27.7	27.4	26.8	26.1	25.2	24.4	24.0	23.2	22.5	22.2	21.3	23.1
11	20.5	20.1	20.0	19.4	19.3	19.2	19.1	20.1	22.7	24.8	26.0	26.7	27.6	27.4	27.2	26.8	26.1	25.0	24.2	23.5	22.6	21.5	21.3	20.9	23.0
12	20.7	20.3	19.5	19.0	18.8	18.9	18.7	19.8	22.4	24.9	26.2	26.7	27.0	26.9	26.6	26.3	25.6	24.7	24.0	23.4	22.9	22.5	21.7	20.9	22.8
13	20.0	19.4	18.9	18.8	18.8	18.2	18.0	19.4	21.8	23.8	25.3	26.0	27.0	27.4	27.5	27.2	26.2	25.1	24.3	23.8	23.8	23.5	23.1	22.6	22.9
14	20.7	19.7	19.0	18.7	18.9	18.6	18.7	19.4	21.7	25.0	26.6	27.0	26.7	27.2	26.9	26.5	25.8	25.4	25.1	24.9	25.0	24.9	24.7	24.4	23.4
15	24.2	24.1	23.9	24.1	24.1	23.8	23.0	23.7	25.0	25.8	26.6	26.9	26.8	27.0	27.5	26.5	25.1	25.1	24.7	24.5	24.4	24.4	24.3	24.1	25.0
16	24.1	23.6	23.4	23.3	22.9	22.0	23.7	24.7	25.7	26.1	27.0	27.9	28.0	28.0	28.0	27.1	26.7	26.1	25.2	25.0	25.1	24.9	24.5	24.5	25.3
17	24.3	23.6	23.1	23.4	23.5	23.0	23.3	23.6	25.8	26.6	27.3	28.1	28.5	28.4	28.6	27.8	26.8	26.0	25.6	25.3	25.4	25.6	25.5	25.4	25.6
18	24.3	23.1	23.1	23.8	23.6	24.1	23.4	24.2	25.5	26.1	27.0	27.3	27.5	27.5	27.4	26.7	26.4	25.9	25.6	25.5	25.3	25.3	25.2	25.2	25.4
19	25.1	25.0	24.9	24.9	24.7	24.7	24.8	24.5	25.5	26.4	26.8	27.2	27.4	27.4	27.0	26.7	26.2	25.4	25.2	25.0	24.9	25.0	25.0	25.1	25.6
20	25.1	25.0	24.7	24.7	24.7	24.7	24.5	24.7	25.9	26.5	27.0	27.1	27.4	27.5	27.3	26.7	26.1	25.6	25.0	24.7	24.5	24.5	24.7	24.8	25.5
21	24.7	24.4	23.7	23.2	22.8	22.6	22.3	23.4	25.8	26.7	27.2	27.5	27.3	26.4	26.4	25.9	25.5	25.2	25.0	24.9	24.8	24.9	25.1	25.2	25.0
22	25.1	25.0	24.9	25.1	24.6	24.8	25.0	25.3	25.8	26.3	26.6	27.3	27.5	27.7	26.9	26.4	26.0	25.9	25.8	25.8	25.7	25.5	25.0	25.5	25.8
23	25.8	25.5	25.3	25.2	24.8	24.5	24.1	23.9	24.7	24.3	25.6	25.2	24.7	24.5	24.3	23.9	23.3	22.7	22.4	22.2	21.8	21.2	20.9	20.1	23.8
24	19.5	19.7	19.1	19.0	18.2	17.5	17.2	16.8	16.7	18.3	19.5	20.2	21.4	22.0	22.4	22.3	21.2	20.5	19.5	19.1	18.4	18.0	17.9	19.3	
25	18.0	18.0	18.4	18.9	18.9	19.0	18.7	19.1	20.4	23.3	24.8	24.9	25.0	25.2	24.8	24.3	23.6	23.4	23.3	22.8	22.5	22.6	22.3	22.7	21.8
26	22.4	22.0	22.0	22.3	22.1	22.0	22.5	23.0	23.9	24.6	25.2	25.4	25.0	24.7	25.0	24.7	24.5	24.3	24.2	24.5	24.5	24.4	24.1	24.0	23.8
27	23.8	24.0	24.1	23.7	23.6	23.5	23.6	24.0	24.5	25.4	26.0	26.3	27.0	26.5	26.4	26.0	25.6	25.2	24.8	24.8	24.5	24.4	24.4	24.2	24.8
28	24.1	24.1	24.3	24.0	24.1	23.9	23.7	23.0	23.8	25.3	26.4	27.8	29.1	29.7	30.0	27.1	23.8	22.7	21.6	21.2	21.0	20.4	19.7	18.6	24.1
29	16.9	16.3	15.3	14.2	13.5	13.1	12.8	13.1	14.6	18.1	22.1	23.5	23.2	23.1	22.8	22.7	22.8	22.8	22.8	22.7	22.7	22.9	23.1	23.1	19.5
30	23.1	23.1	23.2	22.9	23.0	22.9	22.6	22.6	23.9	25.3	25.5	24.5	25.8	26.7	25.8	25.5	25.0	24.3	23.7	23.4	22.7	21.9	21.5	21.4	23.7

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 720 DATA CAPTURE 100.0%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 30.0 11/28/88 14:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 29.7 11/28/88 13:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 12.8 11/29/88 06:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 23.4 STANDARD DEV. 3.0

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR Sig01 in deg

NOVEMBER 1988

DAY	HOUR (EST)																								DAILY AVG
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	
1	23.8	21.9	15.2	19.4	13.0	23.7	17.7	15.7	17.1	15.5	18.5	26.9	20.2	25.8	12.2	12.7	12.1	13.6	17.6	17.6	25.4	43.3	32.0	16.6	19.9
2	12.2	13.2	14.1	14.1	13.6	14.2	16.6	15.9	15.8	19.6	25.6	26.9	26.7	30.1	21.0	19.6	20.1	19.8	29.3	24.8	13.3	12.0	35.0	35.0	20.3
3	13.7	40.0	22.0	13.7	20.7	14.1	11.9	47.3	12.0	14.8	17.7	13.8	13.9	14.2	13.6	40.6	55.3	54.0	24.1	23.4	12.6	24.6	30.2	15.8	23.5
4	11.2	13.0	10.6	13.5	37.9	16.9	15.2	13.5	14.6	15.5	19.7	17.9	15.4	17.6	19.4	17.9	16.8	17.5	16.0	16.0	12.5	13.9	12.7	13.8	16.2
5	10.3	10.8	12.0	12.4	14.1	14.7	13.8	15.2	15.8	15.4	16.3	20.3	19.4	18.3	15.3	16.3	14.4	15.5	15.5	14.4	14.3	13.9	11.0	22.3	15.1
6	15.7	18.5	16.6	14.7	18.1	13.1	20.1	15.3	16.0	15.3	13.5	12.6	16.4	17.2	20.5	17.0	12.1	9.7	7.6	7.7	8.2	9.2	10.9	10.9	14.0
7	8.8	9.3	17.2	10.4	18.7	11.4	21.2	19.8	18.8	18.3	26.0	22.1	28.7	27.1	26.7	23.2	27.1	19.3	26.9	21.0	9.5	9.7	13.6	12.0	18.6
8	10.9	12.0	9.4	9.3	9.5	11.1	14.8	14.8	17.1	18.7	21.9	22.0	22.3	22.5	21.6	20.7	19.4	20.9	23.7	17.2	25.8	28.7	13.6	9.4	17.4
9	9.0	10.1	11.0	11.1	10.6	12.6	14.8	18.3	24.5	15.5	16.5	19.6	21.9	20.8	22.9	19.8	16.0	18.3	19.9	19.0	14.8	14.3	18.0	17.4	16.5
10	16.3	10.5	9.5	11.0	11.6	10.9	13.5	15.5	19.9	21.6	23.6	18.2	24.9	18.8	14.7	18.6	16.8	16.3	13.7	16.3	35.0	21.5	23.1	29.1	18.0
11	14.4	9.0	11.0	14.1	8.6	10.3	11.4	14.7	17.9	20.7	25.7	27.1	28.1	20.3	17.4	14.4	17.0	13.9	22.1	29.1	23.8	34.8	11.5	10.1	17.8
12	11.2	11.7	13.0	22.4	10.5	12.4	18.0	15.5	25.2	14.6	17.4	19.0	16.9	18.6	18.0	19.0	19.3	19.1	24.1	25.8	25.6	16.1	17.9	28.5	18.3
13	25.2	17.5	9.5	9.0	11.1	12.6	17.7	10.6	10.9	23.8	52.5	42.2	50.5	34.4	32.5	18.0	17.7	20.1	19.6	22.1	21.2	20.7	16.1	19.3	22.3
14	10.9	16.4	9.3	12.1	12.6	9.7	9.5	14.3	17.1	19.8	20.9	20.5	18.7	22.4	19.8	18.2	19.2	17.2	19.1	20.8	16.1	15.3	16.3	16.9	16.4
15	14.6	15.0	17.0	15.0	19.7	20.1	20.7	22.5	19.3	17.7	17.4	16.4	16.3	14.4	15.0	14.8	15.7	14.9	14.3	11.1	10.0	9.8	10.9	9.5	15.5
16	10.3	9.9	12.7	9.2	16.1	31.5	11.9	10.9	12.6	14.7	15.5	17.2	12.7	12.6	14.4	16.3	13.5	15.0	12.0	11.6	14.4	9.5	9.3	10.4	13.5
17	10.9	19.2	19.0	18.8	25.2	22.9	25.7	40.2	18.7	14.7	15.2	16.6	14.8	14.4	15.5	14.7	13.6	12.1	12.7	12.8	12.6	12.5	11.9	11.4	16.9
18	17.9	15.9	17.5	15.5	18.3	11.0	13.0	13.3	13.2	13.7	13.8	13.5	14.4	14.2	12.7	15.2	14.6	14.9	13.8	12.8	11.6	11.7	11.0	11.5	14.0
19	10.0	10.8	10.5	11.0	11.2	10.8	10.8	10.6	11.6	13.3	11.7	13.5	14.8	13.8	12.7	12.6	12.4	10.4	11.6	11.0	10.6	10.4	10.5	11.4	11.6
20	11.2	12.5	12.2	12.6	12.1	12.5	12.6	13.3	13.3	13.8	13.7	14.3	13.7	13.7	12.7	12.4	11.9	10.5	8.4	9.7	11.2	10.6	10.6	12.0	12.2
21	11.6	17.9	9.7	7.8	7.6	25.6	39.1	19.0	14.8	13.5	12.5	13.9	13.6	14.4	13.5	12.6	11.6	10.9	10.6	9.7	9.3	10.4	10.5	11.7	13.8
22	12.2	12.6	12.8	13.0	13.5	13.0	13.1	13.1	13.2	13.5	13.3	11.7	12.1	12.2	12.6	11.4	12.0	11.5	11.5	11.0	10.8	10.6	10.6	11.0	12.2
23	12.1	13.0	12.2	12.5	13.3	14.2	14.8	16.0	15.9	16.4	18.1	16.0	18.6	18.7	16.3	15.9	14.4	12.6	11.5	11.1	9.9	11.2	10.6	11.9	14.1
24	11.6	10.9	10.0	9.2	9.8	10.0	8.7	12.6	16.4	15.5	15.5	14.9	14.2	18.2	18.1	18.3	13.0	9.8	11.1	8.6	11.2	11.9	13.7	11.4	12.7
25	17.4	15.9	13.9	13.3	22.4	14.8	8.7	8.8	12.4	26.3	16.1	14.4	16.9	17.0	16.8	16.0	15.2	15.0	12.0	11.4	10.1	10.5	12.2	16.8	14.8
26	12.4	9.3	9.0	11.4	10.8	9.8	10.8	11.5	12.2	13.2	13.8	14.6	13.1	13.1	13.7	13.5	12.7	12.8	13.0	13.1	13.9	13.2	13.1	11.9	12.3
27	12.5	12.7	12.2	12.4	10.8	11.7	12.2	12.1	11.5	11.1	11.0	11.9	13.6	12.0	10.9	11.4	10.6	10.3	10.6	10.1	9.5	10.5	9.4	9.0	11.3
28	8.6	9.0	10.4	9.5	12.6	24.7	14.4	34.7	28.0	17.5	23.2	18.0	21.5	20.9	20.8	20.3	13.9	12.7	13.0	15.3	18.1	16.9	17.9	16.3	17.4
29	15.4	14.7	14.4	15.2	14.3	12.8	13.9	17.1	17.6	19.6	24.0	16.8	17.6	18.3	17.0	17.9	19.2	18.0	15.3	16.4	16.4	15.9	12.1	12.5	16.3
30	13.9	14.9	14.9	16.9	15.8	18.3	20.1	23.1	28.7	28.1	45.0	17.6	18.6	22.0	17.2	11.5	12.2	10.8	13.0	11.6	33.1	20.1	33.1	12.7	19.7

10.5.1-26

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 720 DATA CAPTURE 100.0%

MAX. 1HR AVG 55.3 11/03/88 16:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 54.0 11/03/88 17:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 7.6 11/06/88 18:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 16.1 STANDARD DEV. 6.4

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR RNFL in INCH

DAY	NOVEMBER 1988																							DAILY AVG		
	HOUR (EST)	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21		22	23
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02
4	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.19	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
18	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.05	0.31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02
19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 720 DATA CAPTURE 100.0%

MAX. 1HR AVG 0.31 11/18/88 06:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 0.19 11/05/88 12:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 0.00 11/01/88 00:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 0.00 STANDARD DEV. 0.02

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge


10.5.1-27

FPL DAVIE PERCIPITATION

NOVEMBER 1988

DATE	TIME	AMOUNT
11/3/88	0700	.02
	1000	.11
	1100	.03
	1400	.01
	1500	.10
	1600	.06
	1700	.07
11/4/88	0200	.02
	0300	.11
11/5/88	1100	.02
	1200	.19
	1300	.03
11/15/88	1600	.02
11/16/88	1700	.01
11/18/88	0000	.09
	0300	.01
	0500	.05
	0600	.31
11/19/88	0700	.03
11/22/88	0400	.02
	1200	.01
TOTAL		1.32

WIND ROSE ANALYSIS FOR 11/01/88 TO 11/30/88



10.5.1-29

Ft. Lauderdale, FL

Averaging Time: 3600 sec

WIND ROSE ANALYSIS FOR 11/01/88 TO 11/30/88

Wind Speed (mph)	D I R E C T I O N															
	N	NNE	NE	ENE	E	ESE	SE	SSE	S	SSW	SW	WSW	W	WNW	NW	NNW
> 13.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.1	2.1	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
13.4-11.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	1.3	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.0
11.2- 8.7	1.1	0.1	1.3	4.2	6.8	6.0	6.1	1.8	0.0	0.3	0.8	1.1	0.3	1.3	2.4	1.9
6.7- 4.5	2.4	1.0	0.8	3.2	4.2	2.9	1.0	0.4	0.8	1.3	0.7	0.4	0.1	0.1	1.1	1.8
4.5- 3.0	2.1	0.7	0.3	1.8	1.7	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.1	1.0	0.4	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.7	1.8
3.0 <																
All Speed	5.6	1.8	2.4	9.2	13.	12.	9.6	5.7	1.5	2.9	2.8	2.1	1.5	2.2	4.6	5.6

Ft. Lauderdale, FL

Averaging Time: 3600 sec

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR WD in DGS

DAY	OCTOBER 1988																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1																									
2																									
3																									
4																									
5																									
6	26	314	331	328	334	332	333	348	8	43	111	152	132	122	61	16	35	41	25	10	7	358	340	340	173
7	332	344	354	334	317	320	317	PwrF	332	335	319	323	333	23	74	61	49	27	18	9	11	3	353	355	215
8	353	347	340	346	344	344	349	352	0	11	60	68	63	60	68	52	50	53	48	43	47	47	61	71	149
9	70	77	83	84	81	69	329	323	88	88	89	86	96	91	83	84	84	70	57	56	60	66	67	62	98
10	62	7	343	343	343	340	351	350	346	355	8	3	39	67	74	68	63	45	48	41	16	4	351	263	164
11	347	349	343	348	343	359	349	350	5	9	357	84	115	124	116	126	128	130	143	157	164	217	257	274	216
12	293	310	317	317	326	326	334	355	359	6	352	317	322	317	305	312	309	320	354	1	17	11	3	359	260
13	5	348	345	351	350	343	345	346	351	6	3	18	33	50	45	43	41	44	28	27	38	18	339	343	161
14	335	339	339	333	332	325	51	71	76	69	70	71	62	60	60	64	46	43	33	36	14	61	50	348	137
15	334	323	328	323	74	72	71	71	61	66	68	69	58	50	58	56	56	53	57	56	58	68	77	85	108
16	82	83	78	79	72	69	69	72	77	73	73	76	74	69	78	76	70	67	66	69	68	47	54	353	83
17	335	334	343	350	355	3	5	1	13	5	9	12	65	72	74	79	73	53	59	8	340	333	340	345	150
18	13	6	359	6	2	32	16	35	55	75	72	81	89	84	80	80	78	75	75	79	86	91	89	83	73
19	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	Down	Down	74	101	101	99	105	97	100	91	91	94	97	105	0	Bad<
20	217	98	301	315	328	336	342	16	26	38	70	81	81	97	92	100	97	93	95	105	88	118	122	54	138
21	95	14	313	301	307	314	330	347	330	161	149	232	100	129	122	123	123	139	158	186	192	197	218	277	202
22	296	306	309	315	317	312	289	306	334	335	324	319	321	291	296	283	139	120	135	151	144	186	208	233	261
23	264	272	289	314	321	317	324	315	317	324	323	305	251	221	227	156	133	155	172	184	202	222	247	257	255
24	236	243	253	276	267	280	311	276	253	279	290	283	300	301	282	286	268	141	146	164	191	258	290	309	258
25	300	303	304	307	314	314	317	336	356	351	346	358	4	61	86	79	84	72	53	38	0	359	1	0	198
26	357	353	359	1	19	13	1	359	28	55	65	65	63	63	72	75	80	83	74	62	16	18	62	37	99
27	118	14	72	75	28	345	74	100	119	113	106	97	92	94	100	88	91	94	90	83	94	84	358	78	109
28	103	103	87	87	80	20	32	55	87	87	85	79	82	79	81	69	69	65	56	62	58	64	72	75	72
29	84	82	80	76	72	69	44	14	69	77	77	78	78	57	63	61	58	57	66	63	64	69	76	75	67
30	79	73	51	64	61	357	334	1	43	65	66	86	72	59	69	72	59	54	37	2	341	338	28	353	115
31	315	15	347	318	338	355	354	345	34	47	105	100	103	104	110	97	95	93	101	113	212	250	257	270	187

TOTAL HOURS 624 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 612 DATA CAPTURE 98.1%

MAX. 1HR AVG 359 10/12/88 23:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 359 10/12/88 08:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 0 10/08/88 08:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 156 STANDARD DEV. 125

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-31

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR WS in MPH

		OCTOBER 1988																							DAILY	
HOUR (EST)		00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	AVG
DAY	1																									
	2																									
	3																									
	4																									
	5																									
	6	1.8	2.3	2.6	2.8	3.0	2.9	3.9	5.1	6.4	6.2	7.3	5.6	3.2	4.4	5.4	7.5	6.7	7.0	7.1	6.5	5.5	5.1	4.7	4.2	4.9
	7	4.6	4.3	3.9	3.9	4.7	4.3	5.1	PwrF	5.7	5.7	6.0	5.4	5.6	4.6	8.4	7.5	6.4	5.1	8.5	8.8	8.0	8.0	7.7	8.1	6.1
	8	7.9	7.0	6.9	6.4	6.3	5.7	6.2	6.2	5.4	5.2	7.1	8.3	10.1	8.5	8.8	8.5	8.6	7.6	6.4	6.8	6.2	5.0	4.7	6.1	6.9
	9	5.6	5.2	6.6	7.0	5.8	3.3	1.7	2.8	6.9	6.7	5.8	6.6	7.4	7.8	7.5	7.7	7.5	6.2	4.4	3.5	2.9	3.0	2.1	2.1	5.2
	10	2.5	2.0	2.9	2.9	3.1	3.5	3.9	4.4	5.6	5.2	5.1	5.4	4.1	6.3	6.3	6.9	6.0	6.7	5.0	3.2	3.1	3.9	3.0	1.3	4.2
	11	2.8	3.0	3.1	2.6	2.7	3.1	2.8	3.1	4.4	4.0	3.7	2.4	2.4	2.6	5.9	5.1	5.0	5.2	4.3	2.8	3.4	2.8	3.1	3.9	3.5
	12	4.9	5.8	5.0	3.6	2.8	3.3	4.3	6.0	6.6	6.6	4.9	7.1	7.7	7.8	7.7	7.0	7.4	6.5	3.9	3.4	2.9	2.5	3.2	3.4	5.2
	13	4.5	4.1	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.3	4.4	4.9	5.9	7.5	8.3	7.2	7.8	9.3	10.5	10.7	10.8	9.8	5.4	6.2	8.8	5.2	4.7	4.0	6.5
	14	4.5	5.5	4.8	4.4	4.7	4.4	4.4	9.3	11.6	11.4	12.4	13.1	12.9	12.3	13.2	11.7	13.5	12.6	10.3	8.5	5.1	8.8	6.7	3.7	8.7
	15	4.1	4.1	4.0	3.4	7.4	8.3	7.2	8.2	10.4	10.5	11.3	10.4	9.8	11.5	10.5	10.0	9.9	8.4	7.2	4.9	4.3	4.4	6.3	6.3	7.6
	16	6.2	6.6	6.2	6.4	5.5	4.7	4.4	6.6	9.3	9.9	9.5	10.6	10.1	9.6	9.5	10.0	9.2	8.2	6.6	6.4	5.7	4.4	2.8	2.8	7.1
	17	3.1	3.7	4.6	4.1	4.0	5.9	3.9	3.6	5.1	6.5	7.0	6.7	7.0	7.3	8.1	7.0	6.8	5.0	2.5	2.6	2.5	3.8	3.8	3.6	4.9
	18	3.8	4.3	4.4	4.3	3.4	2.8	2.5	4.0	4.7	8.1	7.9	7.9	8.6	8.0	8.1	7.6	8.0	7.9	6.2	5.1	6.2	5.7	4.1	3.4	5.7
	19	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	Down	Down	4.0	7.5	8.5	9.7	9.6	8.7	7.8	5.4	4.4	4.6	4.0	3.0	1.5	Bad<
	20	0.8	2.1	3.0	2.4	2.7	3.1	3.0	4.1	5.0	4.1	4.2	6.1	7.2	8.4	8.3	9.1	9.1	7.9	5.8	5.7	3.0	3.3	2.0	2.5	4.7
	21	2.7	0.6	2.2	2.3	2.6	3.3	2.2	2.4	2.1	1.8	0.9	2.0	2.5	4.6	6.0	5.8	7.1	5.9	5.6	4.5	3.5	3.6	4.0	3.9	3.4
	22	4.6	5.3	5.3	4.4	5.4	4.4	2.9	3.4	5.9	6.4	5.2	6.2	4.4	4.2	7.1	5.0	1.5	7.5	4.7	4.2	3.0	3.4	2.7	2.1	4.5
	23	2.4	2.7	3.4	4.4	4.8	4.9	4.4	5.1	5.5	5.0	4.6	3.6	2.3	5.0	3.9	3.7	7.3	5.7	6.2	4.9	4.6	4.7	3.6	2.7	4.4
	24	2.9	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.9	3.8	5.1	2.6	3.2	4.3	3.6	3.8	4.7	5.8	4.6	3.1	3.1	5.3	4.6	3.6	3.2	2.7	5.4	5.7	3.8
	25	4.1	4.0	4.6	5.1	4.8	4.7	5.2	4.3	4.6	6.5	5.7	4.8	4.5	4.0	7.9	7.6	7.9	5.9	4.4	3.4	3.1	3.1	3.9	3.9	4.9
	26	3.7	3.0	3.9	4.4	3.3	2.8	2.7	2.8	4.6	5.7	7.0	6.3	5.9	6.9	7.8	7.6	7.3	6.4	3.8	2.0	2.0	2.4	1.3	1.3	4.3
	27	0.8	1.8	2.5	2.4	2.0	1.7	2.9	5.2	9.5	11.0	11.6	10.2	9.6	9.1	8.7	8.6	8.9	7.9	5.0	3.9	4.5	2.8	1.6	0.9	5.5
	28	3.1	7.7	5.2	4.1	5.3	3.1	2.9	2.2	6.9	8.9	9.1	8.7	8.2	9.5	8.7	7.4	7.3	6.2	5.0	4.9	4.4	5.4	5.4	5.6	6.0
	29	6.5	5.0	5.4	4.5	3.9	3.6	2.1	2.1	6.6	8.8	9.0	9.3	10.2	9.3	9.0	9.5	9.0	7.7	6.2	5.5	5.4	6.1	5.9	6.2	6.5
	30	4.9	4.0	2.2	2.8	2.9	1.7	2.3	2.4	3.9	6.4	6.7	7.8	7.3	6.3	7.2	7.2	5.4	4.7	2.8	2.9	2.6	3.6	3.4	3.0	4.3
	31	4.1	1.9	2.7	4.3	3.1	3.4	2.8	3.2	4.3	3.4	7.3	7.5	7.1	7.3	6.6	5.9	4.8	3.4	2.9	2.2	3.3	4.9	3.3	2.6	4.2

TOTAL HOURS 624 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 612 DATA CAPTURE 98.1%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 13.5 10/14/88 16:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 13.2 10/14/88 14:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0.6 10/21/88 01:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 5.3 STANDARD DEV. 2.4

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-32

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR TEMP in DGC

DAY	OCTOBER 1988																							DAILY AVG		
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23	
1																										
2																										
3																										
4																										
5																										
6	25.5	24.8	24.4	24.0	23.9	23.9	23.7	23.7	25.7	27.4	27.7	26.7	29.1	30.6	29.1	26.7	27.0	27.4	26.5	25.7	25.2	24.8	24.3	23.9	25.9	
7	23.6	23.3	23.3	23.1	22.7	22.5	22.4	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	Bad<
8	22.9	22.4	21.2	20.8	20.7	20.3	20.2	20.7	22.5	24.9	26.7	27.9	28.2	28.2	28.1	27.6	26.9	26.2	25.8	25.4	25.2	25.0	24.7	25.1	24.5	
9	24.7	24.4	24.3	24.4	24.2	23.9	22.5	22.4	25.9	27.1	27.4	28.0	27.8	28.1	28.1	27.8	27.3	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	Bad<
10	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	Bad<
11	20.3	20.1	19.7	19.3	18.9	18.8	18.9	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	Bad<
12	21.1	20.6	20.4	19.9	19.7	19.9	20.0	20.4	21.9	23.5	24.9	26.0	26.7	27.2	27.6	27.9	27.7	26.8	25.0	23.7	22.8	22.0	21.3	20.8	23.2	
13	20.7	20.6	20.1	19.9	19.6	19.2	18.7	19.5	21.7	24.2	25.7	26.6	27.1	26.8	26.7	26.1	25.6	25.1	24.0	24.1	24.3	23.3	21.1	19.8	22.9	
14	19.1	18.6	18.9	19.2	19.0	18.5	21.5	23.5	24.6	25.4	25.3	25.6	25.6	25.5	25.1	24.8	24.4	23.5	22.6	22.5	21.8	22.6	22.7	21.0	22.5	
15	19.1	18.7	18.6	18.7	23.0	23.2	23.1	24.0	25.0	26.0	26.6	27.1	26.8	26.0	26.1	26.0	25.6	25.2	24.6	24.2	23.9	23.9	24.2	24.0	23.9	
16	24.0	24.0	23.9	23.9	23.8	23.7	23.6	24.7	25.9	27.1	27.4	27.8	28.1	28.4	28.5	27.7	27.0	26.1	25.4	25.2	25.0	24.4	23.9	22.6	25.5	
17	21.1	20.7	20.4	20.2	20.5	20.9	20.9	22.0	24.5	26.2	27.2	27.6	28.4	28.5	28.4	28.1	27.3	26.1	25.1	24.1	23.4	23.0	22.2	21.8	24.1	
18	22.0	22.4	22.4	22.6	22.5	22.1	21.7	23.1	25.5	27.3	27.7	28.6	29.0	29.1	29.0	28.6	27.9	26.8	26.1	25.7	25.5	25.3	25.1	25.0	25.4	
19	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	Down	Down	28.9	28.8	28.5	28.1	27.6	27.0	26.0	25.4	24.9	24.5	24.2	23.8	23.2	Bad<	
20	22.3	21.8	20.9	20.8	20.6	20.7	20.4	21.9	24.7	26.5	27.5	28.7	28.9	28.7	28.6	27.9	27.0	26.1	25.3	24.7	24.5	23.8	23.0	22.0	24.5	
21	21.6	21.3	20.8	20.4	20.3	20.5	20.7	21.8	24.2	26.4	27.3	28.7	28.6	28.7	28.2	27.5	27.1	25.9	25.0	24.6	24.5	24.4	23.8	22.9	24.4	
22	22.9	22.2	21.3	20.6	20.2	19.9	19.5	20.6	22.6	24.5	25.9	26.9	27.4	28.2	28.6	28.7	27.7	25.7	24.8	24.1	23.9	23.7	22.9	22.1	23.9	
23	21.3	20.7	20.3	20.7	20.1	19.4	19.1	19.7	21.8	24.0	25.6	27.0	28.1	29.5	29.7	29.0	27.7	26.5	25.5	24.7	24.3	23.8	22.8	22.1	23.9	
24	21.5	21.0	20.6	19.9	19.6	19.3	19.3	20.1	22.5	24.6	26.1	27.7	28.8	29.5	29.8	29.9	29.4	27.2	25.6	24.8	24.5	23.7	23.0	22.6	24.2	
25	21.7	21.2	20.9	20.8	20.7	20.6	20.5	21.0	22.2	24.7	26.3	27.6	28.3	28.3	27.3	27.4	26.8	26.0	25.6	24.8	23.8	23.0	22.3	21.8	23.9	
26	21.3	21.4	21.6	21.2	21.6	21.3	20.8	21.7	24.2	25.5	26.9	27.4	27.8	28.2	28.0	27.0	26.1	24.9	24.0	23.1	22.3	21.9	21.4	20.6	23.7	
27	20.3	20.1	20.9	21.3	20.6	20.3	22.0	24.2	25.7	26.6	27.5	28.1	28.5	28.1	28.0	27.7	27.2	26.2	25.6	25.1	24.8	24.4	22.9	22.7	24.5	
28	22.2	22.8	23.0	22.9	22.5	22.0	22.3	24.2	26.3	26.9	27.5	27.9	28.2	28.0	28.1	27.8	27.1	25.9	25.2	24.8	24.6	24.6	24.4	24.1	25.1	
29	23.9	23.6	23.5	23.3	23.0	22.8	22.2	22.5	25.7	26.4	26.9	27.4	28.0	27.4	27.6	27.2	26.5	25.6	25.2	24.9	24.7	24.6	24.4	24.2	25.0	
30	23.8	23.6	22.3	22.2	22.4	20.4	19.5	20.7	23.9	26.0	26.8	27.7	27.6	27.3	27.3	26.6	25.9	25.0	24.1	23.3	22.8	22.5	22.5	22.0	24.0	
31	21.9	21.6	21.3	20.7	20.2	20.1	19.9	20.6	23.1	25.4	26.7	27.4	28.0	27.8	27.2	26.7	25.9	25.4	25.0	24.5	23.9	22.8	21.7	21.2	23.7	

TOTAL HOURS 624 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 552 DATA CAPTURE 88.5%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 30.6 10/06/88 13:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 29.9 10/24/88 15:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 18.5 10/14/88 05:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 24.2 STANDARD DEV. 2.8

KEY FOR MISSING CODES
 Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-33

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR Sig01 in deg

DAY	OCTOBER 1988																							DAILY AVG		
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23	
1																										
2																										
3																										
4																										
5																										
6	31.3	15.5	13.7	16.5	11.0	16.6	12.6	14.1	17.2	20.1	15.0	12.0	31.2	32.4	23.6	18.6	17.5	19.2	18.0	16.6	16.5	16.5	12.4	13.1	18.0	
7	11.9	13.3	14.7	12.2	9.4	9.2	8.8	PwrF	19.9	15.8	17.1	22.5	21.5	24.1	17.9	19.3	21.9	21.2	16.5	16.9	18.3	18.2	16.5	15.5	16.6	
8	16.5	14.7	13.2	14.7	13.9	13.2	15.7	16.3	19.2	19.2	21.5	19.9	19.0	21.6	20.3	20.9	19.7	20.1	19.1	17.0	16.4	18.6	18.6	17.0	17.8	
9	17.4	14.3	14.3	14.6	14.2	21.2	24.3	13.7	30.1	19.6	20.2	23.1	22.6	21.5	21.8	19.1	17.7	17.6	19.8	22.3	24.3	23.1	26.0	24.5	20.3	
10	23.8	17.5	10.5	12.0	13.1	11.7	15.2	16.9	15.8	20.7	27.9	27.4	30.2	23.5	22.4	19.1	20.9	18.1	19.3	21.4	8.4	11.4	17.2	34.0	19.1	
11	9.2	9.5	10.6	10.5	10.0	13.5	15.9	15.4	17.4	25.9	29.3	54.9	44.6	62.2	23.2	22.4	16.4	13.6	9.7	15.3	6.7	11.7	12.8	11.2	19.7	
12	7.3	6.1	6.8	9.2	8.8	7.8	9.5	15.9	18.7	22.7	24.5	18.1	22.6	22.1	19.6	18.5	15.9	11.0	13.5	13.3	15.4	13.3	11.5	12.8	14.4	
13	13.8	13.2	13.6	14.2	14.4	12.2	13.9	15.0	15.8	20.1	19.4	21.3	22.7	19.7	19.3	17.9	17.2	15.9	15.2	17.5	18.1	15.5	10.6	11.6	16.2	
14	10.0	12.4	11.5	11.1	10.0	9.3	26.3	16.9	16.6	18.3	18.1	18.7	21.2	19.7	20.3	19.3	16.6	16.8	16.4	17.2	16.3	22.4	18.5	15.2	16.6	
15	11.9	8.8	9.0	31.2	15.9	14.9	16.1	17.0	18.8	20.8	19.8	20.4	21.6	18.6	17.9	21.0	19.2	19.3	19.7	21.5	19.6	22.0	15.4	13.1	18.1	
16	14.4	14.3	13.8	14.8	14.8	16.4	16.9	16.6	17.5	20.7	20.9	18.8	21.4	19.9	19.0	17.4	19.3	19.1	20.2	18.3	18.3	17.6	21.5	17.0	17.9	
17	9.8	9.5	12.4	12.7	14.1	16.4	15.7	17.4	19.3	21.5	21.5	22.9	24.8	20.1	20.7	21.9	18.0	21.0	26.4	17.5	10.3	9.8	10.5	13.7	17.0	
18	17.5	15.4	14.7	14.3	15.7	19.2	19.8	18.7	19.9	18.5	23.4	19.6	16.1	17.7	19.3	17.7	16.3	17.4	16.9	15.0	13.6	12.0	13.0	17.5	17.0	
19	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	Down	Down	32.8	18.6	19.8	14.7	15.0	14.3	11.7	13.2	12.6	10.5	11.2	12.5	27.1	Bad<	
20	52.1	35.9	15.5	7.6	9.8	12.5	15.3	17.2	19.9	29.7	24.0	24.5	21.3	19.3	20.4	16.4	14.7	13.7	12.1	9.8	17.6	10.3	25.2	31.4	19.8	
21	12.5	23.1	10.9	10.8	8.7	8.1	13.9	17.6	27.6	56.2	71.8	53.6	46.2	26.9	17.0	15.5	13.5	11.7	8.7	11.2	14.6	11.1	14.8	14.3	21.7	
22	10.3	6.6	6.4	7.3	7.7	8.8	13.5	13.0	14.1	15.9	28.5	20.8	44.3	34.6	19.7	19.7	37.4	11.4	11.6	8.6	8.1	11.6	14.2	17.9	16.3	
23	11.9	18.1	8.2	7.8	9.4	9.4	11.1	10.0	12.0	19.9	23.8	48.7	49.9	26.5	41.6	34.5	13.6	12.8	9.7	9.8	12.1	14.3	16.0	17.9	18.7	
24	14.3	18.1	14.9	10.8	16.1	14.2	7.3	19.1	20.8	24.3	30.7	41.2	33.5	23.1	28.6	41.3	33.3	19.6	10.0	9.0	17.0	15.4	8.1	6.6	19.9	
25	9.9	10.0	7.6	7.6	6.7	7.9	9.5	14.4	20.1	16.5	21.8	33.4	38.8	30.7	16.8	18.0	15.7	19.2	21.8	18.6	13.6	13.3	14.3	14.1	16.7	
26	15.0	14.1	13.8	13.8	15.4	17.1	17.1	19.2	19.9	23.0	23.6	24.7	29.0	25.4	19.6	19.9	18.8	14.8	20.2	27.6	21.3	17.6	44.0	24.2	20.8	
27	33.4	19.3	19.3	18.2	20.1	32.9	19.9	16.3	13.9	14.9	15.2	15.2	16.6	17.0	16.8	15.7	14.2	13.3	12.8	13.5	11.4	17.1	31.4	27.6	18.6	
28	56.5	12.6	15.3	13.9	18.2	21.4	20.2	31.9	14.9	15.7	16.8	18.5	18.8	19.1	17.2	21.8	20.2	20.4	21.3	21.3	21.2	19.0	17.7	14.8	20.4	
29	14.1	13.1	13.0	13.2	15.7	17.9	21.3	20.9	21.9	18.3	19.3	18.6	18.0	20.5	20.9	21.2	20.3	18.6	20.1	19.4	19.4	17.4	15.0	14.2	18.0	
30	13.6	17.4	21.9	19.4	20.1	21.9	9.9	15.5	18.5	20.7	21.0	18.2	22.6	23.7	22.5	18.1	21.5	20.3	21.3	11.5	10.0	9.7	20.8	18.5	18.3	
31	9.4	40.7	23.2	8.8	14.6	12.7	14.6	16.9	20.7	34.5	20.2	20.3	20.3	19.4	19.0	18.2	23.0	19.2	18.1	21.9	13.1	17.9	16.0	19.1	19.2	

TOTAL HOURS .624 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 612 DATA CAPTURE 98.1%

MAX. 1HR AVG 71.8 10/21/88 10:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 62.2 10/11/88 13:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 6.1 10/12/88 01:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 18.2 STANDARD DEV. 7.8

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration

BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data

Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-34

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR RNFL in INCH

DAY	OCTOBER 1988																							DAILY AVG			
	HOUR (EST)	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21		22	23	
1																											
2																											
3																											
4																											
5																											
6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	PwrF	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
19	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	Down	Down	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Bad<
20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.09
28	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

TOTAL HOURS 624 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 612 DATA CAPTURE 98.1%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 0.21 10/07/88 16:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 0.09 10/27/88 23:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0.00 10/06/88 00:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 0.00 STANDARD DEV. 0.01

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-35

ESE # 89 509 400
 FPL DAVIE
 SO2 PRECISION CALCULATIONS
 SAMPLING QUARTER
 OCTOBER 1988 - DECEMBER 1988

DATE	AIR QUALITY SAMPLER (X) PPB	DUPLICATE SAMPLER (Y) PPB	% DIFFERENCE (D)
OCT 7, 1988	90.00	96.00	+ 6.67
OCT 11, 1988	90.00	97.00	+ 7.78
OCT 19, 1988	90.00	95.00	+ 5.56
NOV 3, 1988	90.00	97.00	+ 7.78
NOV 16, 1988	90.00	96.00	+ 6.67
NOV 30, 1988	90.00	97.00	+ 7.78
DEC 14, 1988	90.00	94.00	+ 4.44
DEC 17, 1988	90.00	95.00	+ 5.56
DEC 28, 1988	90.00	94.00	+ 4.44


SUM OF D(I) = 56.67
 MEAN OF D(I) = 6.30
 STD. DEV. = 1.36

UPPER 95% PROBABILITY LIMIT = 8.18
 LOWER 95% PROBABILITY LIMIT = 4.41

10.5.1-37

10.5.1-38

WIND ROSE ANALYSIS FOR 10/01/88 TO 10/31/88



Ft. Lauderdale, FL

Averaging Time: 3600 sec

WIND ROSE ANALYSIS FOR 10/01/88 TO 10/31/88

Wind Speed (mph)	D I R E C T I O N															
	N	NNE	NE	ENE	E	ESE	SE	SSE	S	SSW	SW	WSW	W	WNW	NW	NNW
> 13.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13.4-11.2	0.0	0.0	0.3	1.5	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
11.2- 6.7	1.5	1.0	2.5	9.2	7.2	1.6	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	1.0	0.3
6.7- 4.5	3.8	1.6	2.5	6.0	4.4	0.8	1.3	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.0	1.1	4.1	3.1
4.5- 3.0	5.4	1.0	2.0	2.5	1.3	0.7	0.3	0.7	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.7	0.8	1.1	2.5	4.9
3.0 <																
All Speed	11.	3.6	7.4	19.	13.	3.3	1.8	1.1	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	2.5	7.5	8.3

EA-

Ft. Lauderdale, FL

Averaging Time: 3600 sec

**Air and Meteorological Data
January - March 1989**

FPL-Pinehurst
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 003

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR SO2 in PPB

DAY	JANUARY 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	25	4	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	6	Cal	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1
5	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	2	3	2	2	2	Cal	5	6	9	8	7	4	1	1	1	0	0	2
6	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	1	2	1	1	1
7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	1	2	7	14	1	1	1	1	3	0	1
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	5	1	1	Cal	23	11	6	1	1	4	2	0	0	0	0	3
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	11	16	14	6	2
11	1	0	0	1	0	0	4	2	1	3	2	4	Cal	0	0	1	1	4	3	1	3	2	3	1	1
12	2	3	2	0	0	0	0	2	7	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	1	1
13	1	1	Cal	0	0	0	0	2	3	9	21	12	Cal	4	2	10	24	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	4
14	8	14	16	10	10	15	13	13	19	19	13	8	8	14	10	11	14	5	2	2	3	3	2	1	10
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	11	Cal	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	2	1	1
18	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	6	13	29	19	35	33	3	0	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	6
19	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	10	9	9	Cal	2	0	0	0	1	12	7	13	3	4	2	3
20	3	5	Cal	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	23	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	1
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0
23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	0	0
25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
26	0	2	3	2	3	2	1	6	10	6	8	8	14	9	3	1	5	8	2	1	1	0	0	0	4
27	0	1	Cal	0	0	0	1	3	4	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	1	2	1	1	1	Bad<
28	1	1	2	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	2	3	6	3	3	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1
29	5	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	4	12	12	1	0	0	0	2
30	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1
31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	3	3	Cal	2	4	3	3	1	1	1	1	1	1

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 715 DATA CAPTURE 96.1%

MAX. 1HR AVG 35 01/18/89 13:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 33 01/18/89 14:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 0 01/01/89 00:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 2 STANDARD DEV. 4

NAAQS Comparison: MAX. 3 HR AVG 29 (500) 01/18/89 14:00:00
MAX. 24 HR AVG 10 (140) 01/14/89 15:00:00

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-41

FPL-Pinehurst
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 003

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR SO2 in PPB

DAY	FEBRUARY 1989																							DAILY AVG		
	HOUR (EST) 00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23	
1	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	3	4	4	3	8	91	53	72	10	2	2	2	3	3	3	2	2	11	
2	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	2	1	1	2	Cal	5	8	7	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	
3	2	3	Cal	4	4	1	2	3	2	2	3	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	
4	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	
5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	3	11	1	1	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	2	
7	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	
8	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	4	4	5	31	21	32	Cal	2	2	1	1	2	2	1	1	2	5	
9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	
10	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Cal	1	2	1	1	3	3	2	2	2	1	
11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	5	6	26	6	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	3
12	10	16	13	9	7	6	5	5	4	4	4	4	3	3	Cal	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	5	
13	2	2	2	1	2	2	4	18	15	12	8	2	2	4	4	7	16	6	3	5	5	4	3	4	5	
14	5	9	12	13	12	8	3	2	2	3	3	8	12	35	Cal	3	11	11	7	12	9	9	8	10	9	
15	2	4	2	11	5	2	2	8	10	6	3	2	4	4	4	7	7	9	6	2	1	2	2	1	4	
16	5	16	4	1	1	1	6	8	2	2	1	1	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	
17	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
18	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	2	3	3	5	4	4	4	Cal	2	3	4	6	2	1	1	1	1	2	
19	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	1
20	4	3	2	4	9	6	4	2	4	2	2	6	9	13	Cal	24	14	11	12	7	4	3	2	1	6	
21	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
22	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	1	
23	3	3	4	3	4	3	3	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	
24	2	3	Cal	3	3	4	4	6	7	7	4	4	4	4	Cal	3	4	4	5	6	7	3	2	3	4	
25	6	6	4	2	3	4	4	4	5	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	5	6	5	6	6	5	4	
26	4	3	3	4	4	3	3	3	4	5	6	6	5	5	Cal	8	7	6	5	5	5	5	4	3	5	
27	3	4	3	2	1	1	2	6	9	13	5	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	2	2	2	2	3	
28	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	4	3	3	2	2	2	2	Cal	1	1	2	1	13	4	2	2	2	2	

10.5.1-42

TOTAL HOURS 672 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 654 DATA CAPTURE 97.3%

MAX. 1HR AVG 91 02/01/89 12:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 72 02/01/89 14:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 1 02/17/89 00:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 3 STANDARD DEV. 6

NAAQS Comparison: MAX. 3 HR AVG 72 (500) 02/01/89 14:00:00
 MAX. 24 HR AVG 14 (140) 02/01/89 17:00:00

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qe - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

FPL-Pinehurst
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 003

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR SO2 in PPB

DAY	MARCH 1989																							DAILY AVG
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	
1	2	3	3	2	2	1	2	3	5	8	6	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	2	1	1	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1
3	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1
4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Cal	10	4	2	2	1	1	1	1	1
5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1
6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Cal	12	6	4	2	2	3	2	1	1
7	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	Cal	14	4	3	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
8	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Cal	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	1
9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
10	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	2	Cal	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1
11	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1
12	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	4	4	3	Cal	12	23	10	4	5	5	5	4	3
13	3	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	4	4	3	3	4	3	3	2	2	1
14	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	6	2	2	2	Cal	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2
15	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	2	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	2	2	1	1
16	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	1	1	4	Cal	3	6	2	2	3	2	2	1	2
17	3	3	Cal	1	1	3	4	3	6	5	3	8	5	1	3	2	2	3	2	2	3	2	4	3
18	3	3	2	3	1	5	5	4	2	3	2	2	2	3	Cal	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
19	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	1
20	6	9	13	24	20	14	13	10	4	3	6	19	16	1	Cal	7	3	2	2	1	2	1	1	8
21	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
22	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1
23	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	3	3	2	2	2	2	1
24	2	2	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	3	5	4	2	1	1
25	1	1	2	3	3	2	2	2	1	1	3	7	16	36	35	2	3	2	1	2	4	4	2	6
26	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	2	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
27	1	1	1	2	3	6	6	8	5	2	2	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	2
28	4	4	4	2	2	4	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	2	Cal	3	4	2	3	2	2	2	1	2
29	9	5	7	2	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
30	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Cal	1	2	2	1	1	1	2	1	1
31	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	3	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	3	4	3	2	1	1	1	2

10.5.1-43

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 723 DATA CAPTURE 97.2X
 MAX. 1HR AVG 36 03/25/89 13:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 35 03/25/89 14:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 1 03/03/89 04:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 2 STANDARD DEV. 3
 NAAQS Comparison: MAX. 3 HR AVG 29 (500) 03/25/89 14:00:00
 MAX. 24 HR AVG 8 (140) 03/20/89 20:00:00

KEY FOR MISSING CODES
 Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

ESE # 89 509 100

FPL PINEHURST

SO2 PRECISION CALCULATIONS

2ND SAMPLING QUARTER

JANUARY 1, 1989 - MARCH 31, 1989

DATE	EXPECTED PPB	ACTUAL PPB	% DIFFERENCE (D)
JAN 6, 1989	94.00	95.00	+ 1.06
JAN 13, 1989	94.00	95.00	+ 1.06
JAN 20, 1989	94.00	95.00	+ 1.06
FEB 3, 1989	94.00	96.00	+ 2.13
FEB 17, 1989	94.00	90.00	- 4.26
FEB 24, 1989	94.00	94.00	0.00
MAR 3, 1989	94.00	95.00	+ 1.06
MAR 7, 1989	94.00	95.00	+ 1.06
MAR 7, 1989	94.00	104.00	+ 10.64
MAR 10, 1989	94.00	95.00	+ 1.06
MAR 17, 1989	94.00	96.00	+ 2.13
MAR 23, 1989	94.00	95.00	+ 1.06
MAR 30, 1989	94.00	95.00	+ 1.06

SUM OF D(I) = 19.15

MEAN OF D(I) = 1.47

STD. DEV. = 3.18

UPPER 95% PROBABILITY LIMIT = 5.88

LOWER 95% PROBABILITY LIMIT = -2.93

10.S.1-44

FPL-Pinehurst
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 003

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR NO2 in PPB

DAY	JANUARY 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	18	18	16	16	Cal	17	12	13	10	5	5	6	6	5	5	5	7	13	18	22	24	27	23	20	13
2	20	17	14	14	12	11	16	19	23	14	9	6	5	15	30	24	23	27	51	54	51	47	47	46	25
3	40	31	19	18	Cal	13	12	23	32	39	22	12	7	6	6	9	11	15	28	13	11	11	11	6	17
4	6	3	3	3	4	5	9	16	15	8	6	8	12	14	16	15	21	23	34	45	47	40	41	37	18
5	17	18	22	17	Cal	20	34	35	37	31	26	15	10	8	8	13	18	25	34	28	22	21	14	22	21
6	10	7	17	18	Cal	15	32	35	26	13	12	11	14	12	10	15	19	28	38	35	35	35	35	30	22
7	28	28	24	26	Cal	17	22	24	23	10	8	8	7	8	8	12	13	14	17	17	14	10	11	11	16
8	5	6	5	3	7	6	11	16	9	5	6	6	8	9	10	8	13	15	14	15	8	10	10	6	9
9	5	3	5	5	Cal	10	29	31	25	19	11	10	9	16	12	12	16	16	23	24	27	31	30	30	17
10	10	16	20	18	16	16	19	23	23	15	8	12	9	9	9	10	14	16	19	15	14	14	15	11	14
11	10	6	8	9	Cal	11	19	22	19	12	7	6	7	5	7	10	11	15	13	14	9	9	14	10	11
12	13	8	8	4	8	7	14	21	20	14	13	11	10	8	9	11	16	20	27	24	21	17	18	17	14
13	18	17	19	15	Cal	22	24	24	33	22	25	15	10	8	10	15	17	19	21	20	17	13	10	12	18
14	16	18	16	12	13	17	16	21	17	14	12	9	13	14	12	14	20	16	17	11	11	11	10	12	14
15	6	5	3	4	Cal	5	5	10	10	6	4	5	6	4	6	6	9	15	31	32	18	11	14	16	10
16	15	20	18	16	10	16	21	18	31	43	14	9	9	9	10	12	15	23	25	31	32	28	28	27	20
17	23	20	17	13	Cal	11	10	14	30	34	24	38	20	15	13	12	14	21	29	26	28	32	33	32	22
18	29	25	20	18	13	13	17	21	32	44	22	22	18	22	22	11	13	23	26	30	29	30	27	26	23
19	24	25	20	17	Cal	17	17	20	23	13	8	11	10	7	6	11	10	10	18	18	13	13	14	8	14
20	12	12	12	6	Cal	8	13	16	13	10	8	7	8	13	16	13	16	29	29	28	24	23	20	21	15
21	19	18	17	15	Cal	16	16	17	18	21	12	6	19	26	17	22	30	35	36	30	16	16	26	33	21
22	30	25	20	23	26	16	9	13	14	7	11	8	4	4	5	4	6	10	8	9	6	9	9	6	12
23	3	3	3	3	Cal	4	6	11	12	10	7	8	6	7	8	10	11	19	31	30	25	19	23	20	12
24	13	8	7	6	7	13	30	29	26	20	17	16	16	7	8	9	15	17	24	28	37	37	31	23	18
25	18	13	11	15	Cal	2	5	11	15	10	8	9	7	6	5	5	8	12	8	6	5	5	6	6	8
26	7	8	9	7	7	10	11	21	19	14	12	13	13	12	13	10	14	16	19	21	17	14	11	14	13
27	13	12	11	11	Cal	22	22	25	35	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	32	31	28	27	Bad<
28	28	22	28	27	25	23	22	29	36	33	20	13	10	6	7	14	14	12	20	25	29	18	10	13	20
29	21	11	10	15	Cal	5	8	10	8	6	4	4	5	5	4	6	8	13	16	18	12	9	9	8	9
30	5	4	5	4	7	9	24	34	21	14	16	9	10	PwrF	Cal	Cal	15	22	21	21	29	30	27	23	17
31	20	20	14	13	Cal	12	12	19	25	29	23	14	16	17	12	13	22	27	35	47	42	40	36	36	24

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 712 DATA CAPTURE 95.7%

MAX. 1HR AVG 54 01/02/89 19:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 51 01/02/89 20:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 2 01/25/89 05:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 16 STANDARD DEV. 9

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-45

FPL-Pinehurst
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 003

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR NO2 in PPB

DAY	FEBRUARY 1989																							DAILY AVG		
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23	
1	32	29	33	28	24	22	25	26	44	57	23	14	42	35	42	21	14	19	28	30	27	31	31	27	29	
2	22	14	15	19	Cal	16	14	16	34	42	14	7	5	5	5	8	13	16	18	15	16	13	12	13	15	
3	14	9	7	8	Cal	11	19	25	16	11	9	7	8	6	6	10	9	13	20	21	21	24	23	17	14	
4	12	14	19	18	Cal	15	13	16	15	7	7	8	7	7	7	6	8	10	17	18	20	20	20	19	13	
5	13	7	6	3	4	7	11	9	7	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	6	11	16	19	17	18	22	23	9	
6	21	20	13	5	Cal	11	20	25	15	13	7	7	7	9	7	8	11	13	18	23	24	21	13	10	14	
7	6	11	4	6	9	15	28	29	16	9	8	8	8	7	10	9	10	15	19	23	23	24	20	14	14	
8	11	11	15	21	Cal	14	17	20	25	26	15	16	16	16	11	10	11	11	19	22	20	18	13	16	16	
9	15	16	14	13	11	13	16	24	23	19	19	16	16	15	16	16	21	27	28	16	12	13	14	14	17	
10	12	10	8	9	Cal	12	27	36	30	24	27	39	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Bad<	
11	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	25	17	24	26	14	14	17	19	24	22	34	31	9	12	Bad<
12	15	18	16	15	Cal	7	10	10	9	8	10	9	9	9	12	11	10	13	19	28	36	34	41	32	16	
13	7	6	5	6	7	7	19	30	22	13	12	11	9	14	12	17	23	19	19	18	16	13	9	12	14	
14	6	8	9	8	Cal	10	12	17	16	12	11	10	14	13	8	9	10	15	17	16	9	8	8	7	11	
15	4	4	3	8	5	4	12	18	16	11	8	6	10	8	7	11	13	13	13	10	6	7	8	6	9	
16	8	9	5	4	Cal	6	12	17	13	9	7	7	5	6	4	7	10	10	9	7	6	5	3	3	7	
17	1	1	2	2	Cal	2	5	9	8	6	6	5	6	5	5	6	8	14	10	9	9	7	9	9	6	
18	7	5	7	7	Cal	5	16	19	11	6	7	7	5	5	3	4	6	10	13	16	16	18	15	9	9	
19	8	9	10	17	15	15	12	13	12	7	2	2	3	3	2	4	4	6	8	8	6	6	7	12	8	
20	7	6	8	8	Cal	15	16	14	11	9	7	9	9	7	6	11	11	13	13	13	9	7	7	6	10	
21	3	1	3	2	2	4	10	14	10	6	4	8	10	9	10	9	10	12	13	13	15	12	9	6	8	
22	4	4	2	3	Cal	7	10	15	16	16	12	9	11	12	17	18	16	13	15	26	18	14	7	7	12	
23	7	6	6	5	6	8	15	19	12	8	6	5	6	7	6	6	7	8	10	10	8	7	7	6	8	
24	6	5	4	4	Cal	6	13	21	18	13	7	6	8	5	6	7	7	12	25	41	41	23	19	16	14	
25	15	17	15	9	7	10	16	19	14	10	8	8	7	7	7	8	8	14	26	42	46	43	47	46	19	
26	41	29	29	30	Cal	13	21	22	20	14	11	10	7	8	14	21	21	27	49	48	67	39	22	18	25	
27	23	31	31	21	25	32	29	45	54	52	16	11	12	13	13	13	14	14	24	29	23	22	16	13	24	
28	11	10	18	2	Cal	7	23	29	21	15	15	16	14	17	14	8	11	22	24	34	31	23	17	20	17	

TOTAL HOURS 672 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 634 DATA CAPTURE 94.3%

MAX. 1HR AVG 67 02/26/89 20:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 57 02/01/89 09:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 1 02/17/89 00:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 14 STANDARD DEV. 9

KEY FOR MISSING CODES
 Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qel - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-46

FPL-Pinehurst
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 003

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR NO2 in PPB

DAY	MARCH 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	HOUR (EST) 00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	21	20	19	20	20	17	14	20	31	54	34	15	12	12	12	11	14	18	17	16	16	16	17	18	19
2	11	15	12	12	Cal	11	13	15	21	12	7	10	7	8	12	14	21	24	21	28	19	19	16	16	15
3	16	9	7	3	Cal	8	16	21	20	13	13	11	16	14	13	14	15	20	19	23	25	23	22	16	15
4	12	9	10	12	Cal	13	15	17	12	6	6	5	4	5	5	4	8	13	16	11	6	7	6	9	9
5	4	4	3	3	2	3	5	4	4	3	4	3	2	3	4	3	6	11	14	16	13	8	9	5	5
6	6	4	2	3	Cal	7	14	18	11	9	8	12	19	22	21	22	18	17	18	25	20	18	13	9	14
7	5	4	14	16	12	13	25	25	22	14	13	14	12	9	14	15	16	16	16	15	16	14	11	8	14
8	8	6	5	4	Cal	7	14	19	18	15	13	15	16	14	11	10	15	15	16	19	14	11	12	9	12
9	8	6	6	6	6	7	10	13	13	11	10	9	8	8	9	12	14	17	18	16	13	12	10	10	10
10	9	8	7	6	Cal	6	10	14	16	11	8	8	8	6	8	13	13	15	14	15	13	12	11	11	10
11	9	7	6	6	6	7	12	14	13	9	11	10	8	8	9	9	10	12	21	21	15	21	18	13	11
12	18	18	12	14	Cal	12	20	13	9	8	7	6	7	5	9	13	23	24	46	68	76	77	76	37	26
13	21	16	11	9	10	18	28	39	36	23	11	9	10	15	28	25	27	36	42	43	51	25	32	36	25
14	23	22	16	18	Cal	22	27	37	41	54	26	11	11	11	9	11	11	16	21	30	33	28	25	20	23
15	8	7	5	5	8	10	29	27	15	8	8	9	10	8	8	9	10	13	19	16	14	11	9	10	11
16	9	7	7	5	Cal	8	21	22	16	8	10	9	6	9	9	10	10	13	14	20	12	10	14	9	11
17	18	11	8	6	Cal	19	28	22	15	10	11	12	10	10	11	7	13	16	17	10	13	10	10	12	13
18	12	9	6	7	Cal	15	21	13	10	10	6	7	6	7	6	5	5	7	9	10	11	9	10	11	9
19	14	14	25	23	20	18	18	17	13	6	5	8	6	5	4	5	5	5	8	10	9	8	8	13	11
20	15	23	23	20	Cal	17	21	15	11	9	9	12	11	6	7	9	10	11	11	11	11	11	6	7	12
21	6	5	7	6	7	16	28	25	11	9	7	6	8	8	7	7	6	9	10	14	11	10	11	9	10
22	11	9	9	7	Cal	11	24	26	13	8	6	6	7	7	8	8	13	12	15	22	20	19	20	16	13
23	9	5	4	4	5	5	11	16	11	6	6	8	10	9	9	13	16	21	23	26	29	28	28	28	14
24	26	27	25	23	Cal	19	17	17	16	15	8	9	14	9	11	11	12	19	23	32	31	29	25	26	19
25	14	13	13	11	13	13	15	16	12	16	15	14	29	32	28	13	14	12	21	25	25	28	27	11	18
26	10	20	20	19	Cal	16	19	25	25	12	3	3	11	9	6	3	4	5	10	10	7	8	6	6	11
27	5	4	8	6	12	18	20	17	10	4	4	3	3	3	3	4	4	5	7	8	5	6	6	13	7
28	13	14	13	11	Cal	7	17	15	9	6	4	4	5	7	8	7	9	11	13	12	12	9	9	6	9
29	11	6	8	3	5	5	12	14	9	7	6	8	6	5	6	5	8	8	12	13	13	8	7	8	8
30	5	1	1	1	Cal	3	10	9	9	5	8	9	11	10	6	10	11	13	13	17	20	19	12	8	9
31	7	3	4	4	Cal	18	21	25	17	13	14	12	12	15	14	16	22	26	31	30	12	16	19	19	16

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 726 DATA CAPTURE 97.6%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 77 03/12/89 21:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 76 03/12/89 22:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 1 03/30/89 03:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 13 STANDARD DEV. 9

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Badc - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-47

ESE # 89 509 100

FPL PINEHURST

NO2 PRECISION CALCULATIONS

2ND SAMPLING QUARTER

JANUARY 1, 1989 - MARCH 31, 1989

DATE	EXPECTED PPB	ACTUAL PPB	% DIFFERENCE (D)
JAN 6, 1989	92.00	94.00	+ 2.17
JAN 20, 1989	92.00	87.00	- 5.43
FEB 3, 1989	92.00	88.00	- 4.35
FEB 17, 1989	92.00	85.00	- 7.61
MAR 3, 1989	92.00	87.00	- 5.43
MAR 17, 1989	92.00	93.00	+ 1.09
MAR 30, 1989	92.00	92.00	0.00

SUM OF D(I) = -19.57

MEAN OF D(I) = -2.80

STD. DEV. = 3.81

UPPER 95% PROBABILITY LIMIT = 2.49

LOWER 95% PROBABILITY LIMIT = -8.08

10.5.1-48

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR SO2 in PPB

DAY	JANUARY 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
2	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	4	3	2	2	2	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
4	2	5	Cal	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	1	2
5	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	4	7	6	6	13	10	5	4	4	3	2	1	2	2	1	2	3
6	2	1	Cal	0	0	1	3	8	8	5	2	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
7	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	5	6	4	3	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	2
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	9	5	3	3	2	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	2
10	0	0	Cal	1	1	1	2	4	5	3	3	3	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	2
11	3	2	2	2	2	3	3	7	9	3	6	42	13	4	3	8	7	3	2	2	2	2	1	1	5
12	1	1	Cal	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
13	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	5	5	5	7	4	2	2	2	7	10	5	2	2	1	1	0	3
14	0	0	Cal	4	2	3	2	9	6	3	2	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
15	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	0
16	2	2	Cal	1	0	0	1	3	4	3	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
18	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	1	4	4	2	2	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	1
19	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4	4	5	13	13	4	2	1	2	1	2	3	4	2	1	0	3
20	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	0	1	1	1	1
21	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1
22	1	1	Cal	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	1	0
23	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
24	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	1	6	7	6	4	2	3	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
27	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4	4	3	2	2	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	2	1
28	2	1	1	1	0	0	1	2	2	2	2	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
29	0	1	Cal	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
30	0	0	Cal	1	0	1	3	9	9	4	3	3	2	3	3	2	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
31	0	0	1	1	0	1	2	2	2	2	2	4	5	4	3	3	6	28	9	3	3	3	3	2	4

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 724 DATA CAPTURE 97.3%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 42 01/11/89 11:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 28 01/31/89 17:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0 01/01/89 10:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 1 STANDARD DEV. 3
 NAAQS Comparison: MAX. 3 HR AVG 20 (500) 01/11/89 12:00:00
 MAX. 24 HR AVG 6 (140) 01/11/89 18:00:00

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-49

ESE # 89 509 100

FPL DAVIE

SO2 PRECISION CALCULATIONS

2ND SAMPLING QUARTER

JANUARY 1, 1989 - MARCH 31, 1989

DATE	EXPECTED PPB	ACTUAL PPB	ZDIFFERENCE (D)
JAN 15, 1989	90.00	95.00	+ 5.56
JAN 29, 1989	90.00	96.00	+ 6.67
FEB 12, 1989	90.00	95.00	+ 5.56
FEB 26, 1989	90.00	95.00	+ 5.56
MAR 12, 1989	90.00	96.00	+ 6.67
MAR 26, 1989	90.00	97.00	+ 7.78

SUM OF D(I) = 37.78

MEAN OF D(I) = 6.30

STD. DEV. = 0.91

UPPER 95% PROBABILITY LIMIT = 7.55

LOWER 95% PROBABILITY LIMIT = 5.04

10.5.1-50

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR WD in DGS

DAY	JANUARY 1989																							DAILY AVG		
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23	
1	10	345	346	89	113	9	357	31	13	160	153	146	152	136	137	134	137	145	150	155	154	233	286	293	162	
2	269	263	316	8	347	0	339	340	342	339	327	296	311	16	230	274	137	128	136	142	120	110	231	210	218	
3	237	263	261	265	268	301	330	322	292	309	295	278	288	272	278	292	286	280	286	285	276	269	262	260	282	
4	334	333	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Bad<	
5	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	351	6	59	96	117	119	113	115	121	122	141	67	143	161	255	Bad<
6	143	77	21	358	315	97	2	84	155	155	160	45	134	127	129	133	127	120	112	121	125	126	110	112	129	
7	115	121	119	129	132	134	141	113	113	91	78	133	131	132	122	111	125	115	117	115	111	120	112	116	119	
8	120	126	130	132	123	110	97	101	168	336	348	111	125	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Bad<	
9	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	126	124	121	119	118	116	102	112	100	351	357	338	318	322	Bad<	
10	10	344	359	35	36	18	28	43	54	72	73	82	74	58	41	51	71	77	70	84	82	95	84	69	84	
11	79	116	83	56	47	56	354	9	114	120	119	97	92	104	93	97	104	112	96	113	107	101	119	124	105	
12	122	113	114	118	120	124	126	120	120	119	114	127	126	134	132	135	128	124	117	101	111	115	111	114	120	
13	105	89	49	84	110	149	11	335	345	326	18	340	9	91	94	95	93	105	71	69	76	57	72	68	119	
14	83	92	94	96	94	92	98	82	102	106	109	110	122	116	116	118	119	120	118	113	112	108	119	113	106	
15	131	141	147	141	125	131	123	140	313	140	138	136	130	137	135	121	122	128	124	120	113	16	93	310	140	
16	331	19	58	79	326	334	340	36	357	10	97	119	106	136	120	117	123	131	140	147	136	148	235	271	163	
17	322	293	83	43	270	289	231	282	311	313	314	273	19	112	140	141	139	147	150	121	347	15	135	248	197	
18	271	233	155	27	33	47	336	346	326	359	118	114	127	118	118	128	122	123	120	129	30	17	17	341	156	
19	326	346	330	341	352	340	345	1	353	97	97	103	101	90	91	84	90	94	98	100	96	103	105	107	175	
20	115	110	115	123	143	134	136	139	145	150	153	159	149	151	125	148	155	156	255	270	234	202	294	307	170	
21	293	253	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Bad<	
22	54	141	328	224	163	188	206	203	206	217	200	224	243	250	308	255	241	235	309	308	307	307	304	308	239	
23	312	316	295	286	294	293	300	292	299	311	310	325	320	322	327	322	332	335	336	333	339	354	333	316	317	
24	327	334	337	342	336	323	334	312	312	327	326	349	12	38	60	72	59	47	36	18	12	6	10	8	181	
25	3	351	353	338	349	340	342	331	348	78	80	80	80	80	83	79	83	78	67	76	79	90	86	90	165	
26	94	100	106	103	108	109	94	101	106	112	115	119	118	118	120	125	121	117	118	121	120	115	119	125	113	
27	94	349	17	35	356	342	317	304	320	113	117	118	118	124	122	126	131	126	120	135	143	58	334	29	169	
28	333	334	358	339	339	11	322	326	334	334	285	Bad<	Bad<	Bad<	Bad<	Bad<	118	125	131	138	151	136	103	116	228	
29	63	60	333	280	289	340	327	323	130	135	133	129	126	124	125	137	125	117	100	117	98	104	114	116	164	
30	118	101	113	122	127	134	99	328	296	235	202	192	166	169	160	166	172	166	169	181	201	262	267	262	184	
31	282	300	308	315	285	279	299	274	301	331	323	324	345	23	39	102	103	104	82	78	272	226	159	143	221	

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 665 DATA CAPTURE 89.4%

MAX. 1HR AVG 359 01/18/89 09:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 359 01/10/89 02:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 0 01/02/89 05:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 170 STANDARD DEV. 102

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR WS in MPH

		JANUARY 1989																							DAILY		
HOUR (EST)		00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	AVG	
DAY	1	2.2	3.9	2.3	1.0	3.0	3.2	5.6	3.3	1.6	4.7	6.3	6.6	5.8	6.0	7.9	6.8	6.8	6.3	5.1	3.9	2.6	2.7	3.0	2.3	4.3	
	2	2.0	7.3	2.0	3.6	4.6	5.0	2.5	2.4	2.4	3.5	4.8	3.5	2.5	2.3	1.2	2.9	4.9	4.1	3.6	3.2	2.6	3.5	2.7	4.2	3.4	
	3	3.3	2.2	2.0	3.3	2.1	3.5	3.8	3.1	2.6	3.6	4.5	5.7	8.3	8.3	9.9	10.7	10.7	8.3	8.6	9.7	5.8	3.8	4.8	5.2	5.5	
	4	4.1	4.2	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Bad<
	5	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	4.9	4.4	4.5	7.4	8.9	8.8	8.9	8.3	6.2	5.2	3.4	1.3	1.3	2.7	4.2	Bad<	
	6	5.4	2.0	2.2	2.1	2.4	2.7	3.3	0.9	3.1	7.2	10.9	2.3	7.4	10.1	9.8	10.3	9.4	9.7	9.3	8.8	6.5	4.3	3.8	5.2	5.8	
	7	6.1	8.2	7.6	6.8	5.1	5.2	4.5	2.5	2.5	2.9	3.9	4.4	10.2	9.3	9.6	10.1	10.0	10.1	8.9	8.4	6.3	4.8	4.4	4.5	6.5	
	8	5.0	6.5	6.0	5.3	3.7	3.6	2.8	2.7	1.3	1.9	1.4	2.4	9.3	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Bad<	
	9	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	11.5	10.7	10.3	9.9	8.7	8.5	7.5	6.8	2.9	2.1	1.4	2.5	2.4	2.7	Bad<		
	10	2.5	2.4	3.1	3.1	2.6	4.1	3.0	2.1	2.4	4.7	5.8	5.3	5.8	5.1	4.8	5.0	6.1	5.0	3.4	2.8	2.7	3.9	2.5	2.1	3.7	
	11	2.2	3.6	2.5	2.2	3.2	3.2	2.0	2.3	4.4	8.7	9.7	8.3	8.5	7.2	7.6	8.0	7.3	8.1	5.7	5.4	4.8	8.2	9.6	7.5	5.8	
	12	6.2	4.9	5.2	5.6	4.7	5.3	5.6	6.9	9.4	12.3	12.5	12.0	13.4	11.8	10.8	9.2	9.8	8.3	4.8	3.1	4.4	4.9	5.4	5.8	7.6	
	13	4.0	2.5	3.0	2.9	4.5	2.8	3.3	2.0	2.0	1.2	1.1	2.7	2.3	7.3	7.4	8.2	7.0	5.3	2.7	2.6	2.7	3.0	2.4	2.6	3.5	
	14	3.5	4.5	5.8	6.0	5.4	4.5	4.1	3.0	8.4	12.1	13.1	13.4	12.3	11.9	12.0	13.3	12.2	10.2	9.8	8.9	8.6	8.2	9.0	5.2	8.5	
	15	2.7	6.2	5.5	4.7	3.0	4.6	3.5	2.3	1.4	6.6	8.0	8.2	8.6	8.9	8.5	8.4	7.3	6.2	4.9	4.5	4.4	1.7	2.6	3.6	5.2	
	16	5.1	6.3	2.4	4.0	2.1	3.6	2.1	1.5	1.2	1.1	1.9	3.0	3.2	4.7	6.7	8.4	7.9	5.7	4.1	3.4	2.9	2.4	2.5	2.9	3.7	
	17	5.6	4.0	5.4	6.0	3.4	5.0	0.9	4.2	4.8	4.1	3.1	1.7	0.4	3.3	7.1	8.2	7.9	7.0	4.9	0.9	4.0	1.9	1.8	2.2	4.0	
	18	2.0	3.5	3.4	3.9	3.6	3.0	2.1	2.1	2.4	1.7	2.9	4.5	6.2	7.1	6.8	7.9	7.2	6.5	3.9	3.2	2.0	2.3	1.4	1.3	3.8	
	19	2.3	2.2	3.1	2.6	2.7	2.2	2.1	2.8	2.1	7.1	10.5	10.5	11.8	11.7	11.6	10.5	11.6	11.5	8.6	8.9	7.8	9.4	9.3	8.8	7.1	
	20	7.7	8.7	9.5	7.4	3.3	6.4	6.4	4.8	6.7	11.1	10.3	11.3	10.5	8.6	7.7	7.6	7.3	4.4	2.7	2.9	1.9	2.3	4.8	4.6	6.6	
	21	2.0	2.1	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Bad<
	22	2.1	0.6	1.8	2.5	5.7	7.5	6.0	7.6	6.8	10.6	10.0	11.8	14.1	14.0	9.7	8.0	9.8	7.6	10.7	5.8	10.4	8.9	9.4	13.3	8.1	
	23	13.1	13.0	10.3	8.1	10.0	10.6	14.7	12.2	13.1	13.0	12.9	12.0	11.7	11.9	11.0	10.2	8.3	7.6	6.1	6.5	6.8	6.8	5.6	6.8	10.1	
	24	6.8	6.8	6.4	5.9	5.6	4.5	3.8	6.1	6.9	6.5	6.1	5.3	5.6	5.8	7.0	7.7	6.9	6.6	5.0	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.9	4.6	5.7	
	25	4.1	3.6	3.5	3.2	3.8	3.2	3.3	2.7	2.5	9.5	11.3	11.1	11.4	11.3	10.9	10.8	12.3	10.0	7.6	9.4	9.9	10.0	9.8	9.3	7.7	
	26	8.6	9.3	11.7	9.4	9.2	8.8	9.1	8.6	11.3	13.2	14.5	13.3	13.5	12.4	13.3	12.1	11.0	9.0	6.3	6.2	5.1	4.6	3.3	3.7	9.5	
	27	2.2	2.7	2.4	2.9	3.0	2.0	2.1	2.1	0.9	1.2	2.3	2.3	4.8	5.2	6.1	7.2	6.6	5.5	4.3	2.1	2.5	4.4	5.2	5.6	3.5	
	28	6.5	2.2	2.8	2.2	1.1	5.9	3.4	2.5	2.9	3.5	2.5	Bad<	Bad<	Bad<	Bad<	Bad<	7.5	6.7	3.7	2.4	2.8	5.0	4.4	2.9	3.7	
	29	5.3	7.1	5.0	2.7	4.3	2.1	3.5	4.7	5.8	8.0	9.7	8.7	9.6	9.5	9.9	9.3	7.9	7.2	5.0	4.1	2.9	3.9	3.6	2.9	5.9	
	30	4.5	3.1	5.2	5.9	5.5	3.6	3.1	2.6	2.1	4.2	5.2	6.6	8.0	8.7	10.8	10.2	8.9	8.9	6.7	4.3	3.4	3.2	3.4	3.2	5.4	
	31	3.9	3.5	3.8	3.7	3.5	4.4	2.3	2.4	3.7	4.3	4.7	6.1	4.1	1.9	1.9	6.2	6.1	5.7	3.5	2.0	1.9	1.0	2.4	4.5	3.6	

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 665 DATA CAPTURE 89.4%

MAX. 1HR AVG 14.7 01/23/89 06:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 14.5 01/26/89 10:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 0.4 01/17/89 12:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 5.7 STANDARD DEV. 3.2

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qe - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR Sig01 in deg

		JANUARY 1989																							DAILY			
HOUR (EST)		00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	AVG		
DAY	1	15.2	18.1	10.6	34.5	65.3	14.9	16.1	46.7	52.2	15.3	17.6	17.6	20.9	16.8	17.4	15.9	13.6	10.5	6.6	7.3	8.8	10.5	6.1	12.7	19.6		
	2	19.3	22.6	17.9	15.3	13.3	12.0	10.3	13.1	16.4	20.1	17.2	32.2	46.8	37.3	45.2	40.1	22.6	12.0	8.6	8.9	7.7	45.7	20.8	13.2	21.6		
	3	14.8	10.6	29.1	18.1	13.1	11.2	12.1	12.7	16.1	16.6	20.4	21.0	14.1	19.6	16.0	15.9	12.0	12.6	10.6	11.2	13.3	14.6	16.4	16.9	15.4		
	4	10.5	12.4	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Bad<	
	5	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	21.9	24.3	28.2	20.4	18.0	18.1	16.9	15.7	13.2	10.3	8.1	41.1	26.3	10.0	48.7	Bad<		
	6	21.0	20.5	19.6	22.5	12.8	42.7	52.0	60.4	10.6	12.5	12.5	38.2	13.1	14.2	15.4	14.3	15.3	16.4	15.5	12.7	10.9	12.0	11.9	10.3	20.3		
	7	9.0	10.8	10.3	12.7	12.2	12.2	9.7	11.5	24.6	25.1	26.9	13.2	13.7	13.9	15.0	13.5	14.7	14.1	14.2	13.6	11.4	11.1	9.3	9.3	13.8		
	8	10.1	11.6	12.6	12.4	10.8	7.6	14.8	16.6	47.1	17.2	52.9	44.9	13.5	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Bad<		
	9	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	9.0	16.0	14.6	17.4	16.0	17.4	15.9	13.3	40.6	29.6	50.9	12.0	8.4	11.2	Bad<		
	10	27.5	31.9	15.0	27.8	12.4	9.9	26.2	21.9	26.5	20.7	20.3	20.4	21.4	20.5	19.7	20.2	17.0	16.9	20.1	21.2	22.3	10.1	16.1	44.3	21.3		
	11	27.9	11.5	15.4	19.9	15.3	23.0	22.7	38.0	26.8	14.1	13.6	16.5	14.3	17.1	16.6	16.5	15.0	12.4	13.3	11.5	10.8	11.2	10.4	11.2	16.9		
	12	10.5	8.2	8.7	10.1	9.8	11.7	11.9	10.9	12.6	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.7	14.6	13.7	15.8	13.6	11.4	10.6	12.5	9.2	9.2	8.4	8.7	11.4		
	13	8.3	28.7	40.5	25.2	25.8	8.7	25.1	17.4	20.4	52.0	50.9	36.1	44.6	17.6	20.1	15.3	15.4	13.9	23.4	34.1	18.6	25.9	17.0	15.7	25.0		
	14	10.1	12.6	9.7	9.2	9.7	9.0	10.8	19.4	11.2	11.9	13.2	14.6	14.7	14.7	14.7	12.5	10.9	11.5	11.1	12.8	10.5	9.9	11.2	13.6	12.1		
	15	12.1	12.0	9.7	9.9	36.4	18.6	40.7	31.7	44.1	14.4	14.2	17.1	13.9	15.8	17.7	14.6	13.9	11.5	9.7	8.2	14.8	40.8	47.1	33.1	20.9		
	16	19.4	23.6	50.4	17.9	8.3	13.8	17.1	58.2	53.8	41.0	53.3	44.9	38.3	33.1	17.1	14.2	11.4	13.2	11.1	9.3	8.8	18.2	16.6	13.0	25.3		
	17	11.2	47.9	41.1	23.0	24.8	28.7	70.2	27.4	10.8	15.5	30.0	56.1	66.9	51.2	15.0	13.5	13.0	11.1	9.0	37.5	16.4	29.1	50.7	46.8	31.1		
	18	12.4	18.3	35.7	30.2	24.9	47.3	15.7	22.3	23.7	45.2	25.2	32.0	18.8	21.9	18.3	17.1	14.3	12.4	15.5	16.9	29.1	18.3	48.5	37.1	25.1		
	19	8.3	14.9	17.4	12.4	13.1	14.3	18.6	28.7	22.0	21.5	14.2	15.2	13.8	14.7	14.4	15.2	13.6	11.5	11.0	9.9	10.5	10.9	10.0	10.5	14.4		
	20	11.9	9.9	10.5	11.5	13.8	12.6	12.4	12.4	12.5	12.7	14.3	13.6	13.7	15.8	12.0	13.3	11.5	12.5	22.7	21.0	19.7	18.7	18.8	8.3	14.0		
	21	18.2	20.5	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Bad<	
	22	37.7	58.0	35.1	18.1	8.8	11.5	13.3	12.7	13.9	15.3	13.5	16.8	18.0	20.1	12.2	21.5	17.4	18.3	11.0	20.9	11.4	9.4	9.8	9.4	18.1		
	23	10.6	10.6	11.7	12.5	12.0	11.4	9.7	11.4	10.4	9.7	11.5	14.7	16.1	14.8	13.1	13.2	16.3	14.1	13.6	12.5	12.8	16.3	12.4	8.9	12.5		
	24	10.8	11.7	12.7	14.3	13.3	11.0	13.2	8.4	8.9	13.1	15.8	22.4	24.9	25.4	20.8	19.9	20.7	18.8	18.2	15.3	13.9	13.7	17.0	15.3	15.8		
	25	16.4	16.1	14.9	12.1	14.9	13.3	16.1	14.9	35.0	15.5	16.4	16.1	17.7	16.3	16.9	17.4	15.3	16.1	19.2	15.2	14.1	13.1	12.8	12.2	16.2		
	26	12.2	10.4	10.1	9.4	10.1	9.5	11.4	11.1	11.6	12.6	13.6	15.2	14.1	15.9	13.3	13.7	12.5	11.9	10.9	10.4	9.5	8.9	12.6	12.6	11.8		
	27	30.0	18.2	21.9	26.5	23.7	16.1	24.0	40.0	58.8	34.5	53.2	54.3	45.6	19.6	20.4	14.6	14.9	12.0	11.2	9.7	17.2	57.6	28.1	42.2	28.9		
	28	15.5	21.9	12.0	9.3	48.9	31.5	18.5	11.0	13.3	18.5	50.0	Bad<	Bad<	Bad<	Bad<	Bad<	Bad<	Bad<	13.6	12.4	12.0	5.7	10.8	14.9	52.9	14.3	20.4
	29	24.7	44.6	14.4	13.6	19.4	14.9	22.1	56.1	13.8	13.6	14.8	16.3	15.0	14.1	15.4	13.9	13.5	11.9	12.0	10.0	14.9	10.9	13.5	15.3	17.9		
	30	10.4	11.5	11.0	12.0	12.5	9.3	40.5	33.7	21.4	18.1	25.8	20.8	18.5	18.0	14.2	14.7	13.9	10.4	9.8	10.0	11.0	14.4	18.3	13.8	16.4		
	31	8.8	9.4	7.0	7.6	25.9	29.8	37.3	17.1	12.6	13.8	17.1	17.9	34.2	60.5	62.0	24.5	17.5	14.3	15.5	26.4	45.9	19.0	6.5	59.4	24.6		

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 665 DATA CAPTURE 89.4%

MAX. 1HR AVG 70.2 01/17/89 06:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 66.9 01/17/89 12:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 5.7 01/28/89 19:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 19.0 STANDARD DEV. 11.6

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-53

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR TEMP in DGC

DAY	JANUARY 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	HOUR (EST) 00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	19.5	19.0	19.0	18.6	18.5	18.3	17.9	18.2	21.0	24.0	25.5	26.1	26.5	26.1	26.4	26.1	25.3	23.8	22.4	21.6	20.8	20.5	19.7	19.6	21.8
2	19.6	18.9	18.5	18.5	17.9	17.7	17.9	18.1	19.7	22.1	23.6	24.7	24.8	25.9	26.7	27.1	26.0	24.3	22.9	22.1	21.5	20.8	20.4	20.5	21.6
3	19.4	18.6	17.9	17.4	17.2	16.8	17.2	17.8	18.8	21.3	23.4	24.9	25.4	26.1	26.4	27.0	26.4	25.0	23.4	21.7	20.6	19.6	19.1	18.8	21.2
4	11.4	12.0	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Bad<
5	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	16.9	19.2	21.4	22.5	22.4	22.6	22.3	21.7	20.7	19.8	18.8	18.5	18.2	17.3	16.6	Bad<
6	15.8	16.2	15.7	15.3	15.1	15.4	15.9	16.6	19.3	22.6	23.7	19.6	23.1	24.2	25.0	25.1	25.3	25.2	24.9	24.1	23.1	22.2	21.9	22.2	20.7
7	22.3	22.5	22.5	22.4	21.8	21.5	21.0	20.0	18.9	18.9	18.9	21.9	24.5	25.3	25.9	26.1	25.9	25.7	25.4	24.5	23.6	22.8	22.4	22.2	22.8
8	22.2	22.5	22.2	21.8	21.2	20.6	18.6	17.9	17.9	17.8	18.5	22.2	24.3	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Bad<
9	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	24.9	25.5	25.6	26.0	26.0	25.7	25.3	24.1	23.3	22.3	22.0	21.2	20.7	20.1	Bad<	
10	20.4	20.5	20.4	20.4	20.1	19.7	19.6	19.6	21.6	24.1	25.1	23.9	24.0	24.1	23.5	23.9	24.2	23.8	23.3	22.7	21.9	22.2	21.7	20.3	22.1
11	20.2	21.2	20.6	19.2	18.9	18.7	18.8	19.3	22.5	24.4	25.5	26.4	26.4	25.7	26.4	25.7	25.0	24.4	23.8	23.2	23.0	23.4	22.9	22.6	22.8
12	22.5	22.0	22.1	22.2	22.1	22.6	22.4	22.5	23.6	24.5	24.9	25.1	25.3	25.7	25.4	25.5	24.8	23.8	23.0	22.4	22.1	22.0	21.9	21.6	23.3
13	21.1	19.5	18.1	17.7	17.2	16.9	17.5	17.5	19.6	22.7	25.0	26.1	26.6	26.2	26.4	26.1	25.7	24.5	23.3	22.3	21.8	21.1	20.8	20.7	21.8
14	20.5	21.5	22.1	21.9	21.8	21.3	21.4	21.1	23.7	25.0	25.4	26.1	25.8	26.3	26.2	25.8	24.9	24.1	23.6	23.8	23.5	23.3	23.0	21.9	23.5
15	21.9	22.3	22.1	21.6	21.1	20.8	21.5	21.1	22.2	24.4	25.3	26.1	26.1	26.3	26.3	25.7	25.2	24.1	22.9	22.3	22.1	21.0	20.2	19.7	23.0
16	19.4	19.1	18.3	18.2	17.9	17.9	17.6	17.6	19.6	23.0	24.9	26.1	26.6	27.1	27.1	26.6	25.1	24.1	23.2	22.3	21.8	21.1	20.4	19.6	21.8
17	19.4	19.1	18.8	18.5	18.0	18.1	17.8	18.2	19.4	21.4	23.2	24.7	25.8	26.7	25.9	25.9	25.3	24.1	22.9	22.9	22.2	21.5	21.5	21.4	21.8
18	20.7	19.9	19.2	19.0	19.0	18.4	18.7	18.9	20.6	23.2	24.4	25.5	26.3	26.7	26.3	25.7	24.9	23.8	23.0	22.2	21.4	20.6	19.9	19.6	22.0
19	19.6	19.9	19.5	19.0	18.8	18.7	18.7	19.0	20.7	24.0	25.0	25.5	25.8	26.2	26.0	25.3	24.7	23.9	23.6	23.7	23.5	23.4	22.9	22.7	22.5
20	22.4	22.4	22.4	22.6	22.0	22.4	22.8	22.8	23.6	25.0	25.7	26.2	26.6	22.8	23.7	25.0	24.9	24.3	23.2	22.0	21.7	21.3	20.8	20.4	23.2
21	20.1	19.5	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Bad<
22	19.6	19.6	19.7	19.4	19.9	20.8	21.4	21.5	21.4	20.0	22.0	24.0	25.3	25.9	22.8	24.7	24.6	23.6	21.8	20.0	19.3	18.0	17.4	16.0	21.2
23	14.5	13.1	12.3	12.5	12.7	12.8	12.6	12.0	12.0	12.1	13.4	15.9	16.9	18.1	19.1	19.9	19.7	18.4	17.5	16.7	15.9	15.3	15.0	14.3	15.1
24	14.0	13.6	13.4	13.9	14.0	13.6	13.3	13.0	14.0	16.3	18.7	21.3	23.3	24.0	24.3	24.4	23.7	22.6	21.3	20.4	19.5	18.6	18.4	18.3	18.2
25	18.3	17.6	17.3	17.5	18.1	18.0	17.7	17.5	19.2	22.3	23.5	23.7	24.3	24.6	24.6	24.2	23.5	22.8	22.3	22.0	21.9	21.6	21.2	21.3	21.0
26	21.1	21.2	20.8	20.8	20.9	20.7	21.2	21.4	22.3	23.1	23.8	24.1	24.2	24.6	24.4	23.8	23.3	22.6	21.9	21.4	21.2	21.1	20.9	20.5	22.1
27	19.9	18.6	18.5	18.2	18.0	18.6	18.8	18.5	19.3	22.5	24.0	25.2	25.4	25.1	25.0	24.5	24.1	23.0	22.4	21.6	20.7	19.9	19.4	18.9	21.2
28	18.4	17.9	17.8	17.7	18.2	18.2	18.4	18.3	19.5	21.7	22.7	Bad<	Bad<	Bad<	Bad<	Bad<	23.8	23.1	22.0	21.1	20.8	20.9	21.0	20.6	20.1
29	19.5	18.6	18.1	18.4	18.8	18.9	19.1	19.2	21.5	23.3	24.0	24.7	24.9	24.8	24.5	24.6	23.9	23.0	22.3	21.5	21.2	21.1	20.8	19.8	21.5
30	20.7	20.5	21.0	21.4	21.1	20.0	19.2	19.6	21.1	22.8	24.5	25.6	26.7	26.6	26.5	26.2	25.6	24.2	22.7	21.7	21.1	20.3	19.7	19.3	22.4
31	18.6	18.1	17.6	17.1	16.6	15.9	15.9	15.9	16.1	16.9	18.9	21.2	22.0	23.6	25.1	25.2	24.6	23.6	22.5	21.4	20.3	19.2	18.7	19.1	19.7

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 665 DATA CAPTURE 89.4%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 27.1 01/16/89 13:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 27.1 01/16/89 14:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 11.4 01/04/89 00:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 21.4 STANDARD DEV. 3.2

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qext - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR RNFL in INCH

DAY	JANUARY 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	HOUR (EST) 00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	0.00	0.00	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss
5	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss
9	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00
15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
21	0.00	0.00	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss
22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.04	0.09	0.46	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03
23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Bad<	Bad<	Bad<	Bad<	Bad<	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 665 DATA CAPTURE 89.4%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 0.46 01/22/89 09:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 0.11 01/20/89 13:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0.00 01/01/89 00:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 0.00 STANDARD DEV. 0.02

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-55


WIND ROSE ANALYSIS FOR 01/01/89 TO 01/31/89

Wind Speed (mph)	D I R E C T I O N															
	N	NNE	NE	ENE	E	ESE	SE	SSE	S	SSW	SW	WSW	W	WNW	NW	NNW
> 31.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
31.0-24.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
24.0-17.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
17.0-10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	2.3	3.6	1.5	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.0	1.2	1.7	0.2
10.0- 3.0	2.9	1.4	1.5	2.9	5.9	17.	12.	2.9	0.8	1.2	0.5	0.6	2.3	2.6	3.3	5.3
3.0 <																
All Speed	2.9	1.4	1.5	3.0	8.1	20.	14.	3.9	0.8	1.2	0.8	0.9	2.3	3.8	5.0	5.4

EV-

Press Esc to exit analysis, or any other key to continue...

WIND ROSE ANALYSIS FOR 01/01/89 TO 01/31/89



Ft. Lauderdale, FL

Averaging Time: 3600 sec

10.5.1-58

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004
 HOURLY AVERAGES FOR SO2 in PPB

DAY	HOUR (EST)		FEBRUARY 1989																							DAILY AVG
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23		
1	2	1	Cal	1	1	1	2	5	5	5	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	
2	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	4	4	6	38	27	28	25	11	4	2	2	1	2	1	2	2	2	7	
3	2	2	Cal	1	1	2	4	7	7	3	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	
4	2	2	2	2	1	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	
5	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
7	1	1	Cal	0	0	0	1	5	3	1	0	0	0	0	2	10	4	6	3	2	2	0	0	1	2	
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	1	1	3	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	
9	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	4	2	1	2	1	1	
11	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	3	2	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	3	1	
12	8	11	Cal	8	5	3	3	4	4	3	3	3	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	3	
13	0	0	Cal	1	0	1	3	6	5	3	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	3	2	2	2	3	0	2	1	
15	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	4	6	3	1	5	6	4	4	10	5	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	
16	0	4	2	0	0	0	0	5	4	3	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
17	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
18	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	11	13	16	26	37	27	31	14	1	1	1	0	1	1	7	
19	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
20	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
21	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
23	1	2	Cal	2	2	2	2	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
24	1	1	2	2	2	2	4	5	7	6	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	6	6	5	3	1	2	4	
25	6	5	Cal	2	3	6	3	7	3	2	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	
26	3	3	Cal	5	3	2	2	3	5	5	6	6	6	4	3	2	2	6	4	4	4	4	3	2	4	
27	2	4	Cal	1	1	2	2	8	9	11	5	2	3	2	2	3	2	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	3	
28	2	2	1	2	0	0	1	4	3	2	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	

10.5.1-59

TOTAL HOURS 672 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 656 DATA CAPTURE 97.6%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 38 02/02/89 10:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 37 02/18/89 14:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0 02/22/89 02:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 2 STANDARD DEV. 4
 NAAQS Comparison: MAX. 3 HR AVG 32 (500) 02/18/89 16:00:00
 MAX. 24 HR AVG 8 (140) 02/19/89 02:00:00

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR WD in DGS

DAY	FEBRUARY 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	307	293	278	307	314	314	330	310	327	321	144	105	164	115	113	125	126	117	125	126	115	119	49	96	197
2	289	273	330	331	345	308	329	341	359	62	98	99	92	106	116	121	111	116	112	96	118	48	57	1	177
3	78	92	108	93	98	47	17	324	71	126	120	124	125	124	135	133	128	127	133	127	216	148	143	26	119
4	212	17	323	341	328	359	338	323	342	153	139	136	128	116	124	121	129	132	137	135	46	92	103	120	183
5	119	296	118	129	149	32	337	360	83	135	137	132	138	147	143	146	144	147	147	133	63	56	48	40	141
6	23	38	98	110	113	111	25	115	116	119	127	127	130	124	130	136	140	137	136	127	124	112	100	52	107
7	90	316	54	94	74	126	143	117	145	150	143	128	133	135	129	123	124	131	134	132	127	123	114	125	130
8	154	309	341	349	35	358	357	341	37	8	328	78	106	119	118	121	129	132	132	124	111	290	211	270	190
9	276	321	325	15	337	359	4	1	4	9	10	2	6	9	4	5	2	1	1	356	358	349	337	336	143
10	332	331	325	328	334	334	333	332	334	338	348	6	1	2	14	51	51	42	34	22	10	357	350	345	206
11	344	345	339	335	331	330	331	331	317	317	317	132	237	273	264	150	133	151	177	233	287	295	307	306	274
12	312	313	312	316	316	336	337	335	339	330	326	334	337	352	347	71	63	55	40	26	360	3	3	358	247
13	353	328	22	82	70	31	10	111	110	112	122	132	121	116	119	116	113	122	117	114	115	112	112	115	120
14	116	116	112	115	113	119	121	123	124	122	122	117	114	115	109	108	104	91	99	97	100	104	100	100	111
15	103	105	111	112	109	109	102	102	106	110	110	111	100	100	92	98	96	94	90	89	87	88	89	87	100
16	87	99	87	80	77	72	83	92	85	85	76	83	80	91	85	78	82	75	73	75	74	74	74	73	81
17	76	77	77	82	82	76	76	72	78	81	84	83	93	88	94	90	89	91	85	86	89	84	86	87	84
18	81	63	81	85	48	10	57	353	345	91	105	100	105	98	100	104	95	93	92	79	72	18	48	19	98
19	5	4	5	3	2	349	345	354	14	40	69	80	82	80	83	81	80	76	76	80	79	89	83	85	93
20	87	87	83	63	69	102	112	113	116	124	123	117	121	123	113	122	116	112	109	118	117	119	120	123	109
21	132	140	131	148	148	152	149	154	150	153	158	162	168	171	164	162	158	167	171	180	172	172	170	170	158
22	182	182	212	198	205	210	207	198	203	208	212	208	194	274	149	303	289	294	304	301	302	301	310	312	240
23	312	316	315	310	311	315	316	314	316	320	309	302	301	300	293	290	287	285	293	298	310	315	311	319	307
24	316	318	317	320	344	350	354	1	359	326	313	312	316	306	310	308	300	307	308	315	315	309	311	310	306
25	315	332	331	340	334	327	324	325	321	321	305	313	311	313	315	315	323	310	309	320	332	334	330	333	323
26	300	316	317	325	331	325	325	316	303	305	307	310	301	306	290	287	186	136	151	174	204	232	231	266	273
27	297	316	323	339	331	311	301	143	56	142	171	172	176	171	162	152	154	155	159	170	165	161	145	113	199
28	99	28	115	115	157	154	148	154	181	175	191	198	164	132	71	86	66	12	47	125	154	169	276	57	128

TOTAL HOURS 672 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 672 DATA CAPTURE 100.0%

MAX. 1HR AVG 360 02/12/89 20:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 360 02/05/89 07:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 1 02/02/89 23:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 173 STANDARD DEV. 108

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, Pwrf - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qef - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-60

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR WS in MPH

DAY	FEBRUARY 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	HOUR (EST) 00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	2.4	3.3	3.1	2.2	1.9	2.2	2.2	2.8	2.2	2.5	2.9	2.1	2.0	7.2	7.4	7.1	6.7	5.8	3.6	2.8	2.8	2.3	3.8	2.7	3.5
2	1.2	2.9	2.7	2.1	5.2	1.9	2.1	2.0	2.4	2.3	6.4	7.7	8.2	7.1	7.9	8.9	7.8	6.2	5.0	3.0	3.0	2.7	2.8	6.0	4.5
3	2.3	2.4	2.2	3.2	2.5	1.9	4.8	2.4	1.8	7.9	7.8	9.3	9.0	9.2	8.7	8.7	8.9	8.0	5.0	3.5	1.7	1.8	2.0	2.7	4.9
4	0.7	2.3	3.1	3.5	2.4	2.3	2.4	2.9	1.1	5.9	6.1	5.1	7.1	8.0	7.5	8.9	9.5	7.6	5.1	3.7	2.6	2.3	2.6	3.1	4.4
5	2.8	2.2	4.6	3.8	4.6	2.9	2.4	3.0	2.0	8.4	10.0	9.9	11.5	12.8	11.6	10.6	9.3	8.4	5.8	2.8	3.1	4.5	3.2	4.6	6.0
6	6.1	7.4	2.7	3.1	3.5	2.7	3.0	3.7	5.8	8.2	9.5	9.4	10.7	11.3	11.4	10.2	9.1	7.7	5.1	3.4	4.1	3.1	2.7	4.2	6.2
7	2.8	3.0	2.4	5.6	2.9	2.6	2.6	2.7	3.6	7.8	7.9	8.2	7.7	9.2	9.5	8.5	8.6	7.2	5.9	4.2	4.4	4.0	3.0	3.4	5.3
8	1.6	3.1	2.7	2.8	2.5	2.5	3.0	3.0	2.5	3.0	2.0	2.6	7.0	7.6	7.8	7.8	6.8	7.0	5.9	4.1	1.8	1.8	2.0	3.0	3.9
9	3.2	2.5	2.4	1.4	3.3	3.3	3.9	4.7	6.8	7.6	8.6	8.5	8.0	8.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	7.9	7.7	9.2	6.4	7.1	7.4	7.0	6.4
10	6.9	6.5	7.1	7.7	7.5	7.4	6.7	6.6	7.1	7.7	7.7	7.5	5.9	5.4	5.4	6.6	8.2	8.1	7.7	7.0	5.7	4.6	4.4	3.9	6.6
11	4.6	3.8	3.7	4.1	4.1	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.8	4.1	1.9	1.9	3.8	5.1	4.6	6.6	5.0	3.9	3.2	5.2	8.1	10.5	8.9	4.6
12	8.3	8.3	6.8	5.8	7.7	5.9	5.5	6.9	8.2	9.3	8.0	6.1	6.0	5.1	3.4	4.7	7.3	6.6	6.2	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.3	2.5	5.9
13	2.4	2.3	1.1	4.3	2.7	3.1	2.3	2.4	8.5	11.1	11.3	11.2	10.5	11.6	12.3	12.3	11.2	9.6	7.7	7.2	8.2	7.4	6.8	7.0	7.2
14	7.5	8.4	8.5	8.6	9.9	9.9	10.0	10.1	11.4	13.8	13.3	13.8	13.0	13.0	11.8	12.1	10.3	10.2	8.2	9.7	9.1	9.3	9.6	12.1	10.5
15	13.3	13.6	13.6	13.7	13.2	11.4	11.2	10.3	12.9	14.2	13.0	11.9	11.4	12.6	12.6	13.0	13.8	11.1	8.6	8.7	8.0	8.4	10.5	7.9	11.6
16	9.5	9.1	6.0	6.5	7.1	4.9	7.5	9.1	7.4	12.0	11.9	12.3	12.8	13.2	11.8	10.7	10.9	10.0	9.0	9.0	8.4	9.6	9.6	9.9	9.5
17	10.8	10.6	9.9	9.3	9.4	8.5	10.2	10.3	11.9	14.7	15.2	13.8	13.7	12.3	12.1	11.0	10.9	9.9	8.4	8.1	9.1	7.5	6.5	6.5	10.4
18	4.7	2.7	3.0	3.0	2.7	2.8	3.1	2.8	2.3	6.1	7.7	7.6	9.6	9.5	9.4	8.4	9.5	8.8	6.6	4.4	2.6	2.8	2.8	2.5	5.2
19	2.5	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.4	3.9	2.9	3.9	4.6	5.2	5.7	7.8	9.3	8.6	9.3	9.4	10.1	8.8	7.8	6.1	6.2	5.9	5.0	4.0	5.8
20	4.6	4.8	3.5	2.4	2.7	3.5	4.9	6.4	9.8	11.3	12.0	13.4	13.0	12.8	14.1	13.2	13.5	12.5	11.0	10.1	10.2	10.8	9.6	10.4	9.2
21	9.5	9.3	8.5	8.7	9.9	7.6	7.0	7.6	9.5	12.3	13.0	12.1	13.4	13.6	13.9	15.0	16.1	14.2	7.9	8.4	5.5	6.7	7.8	9.3	10.3
22	9.6	9.8	7.4	7.0	5.7	6.4	8.1	7.6	7.8	9.2	10.3	11.5	9.8	5.0	3.5	7.8	10.1	11.5	11.0	5.1	9.1	10.0	14.5	12.7	8.7
23	13.5	12.1	9.4	9.7	8.3	10.7	11.2	10.8	11.7	12.3	13.8	14.8	12.6	16.7	14.5	16.3	18.5	16.8	15.6	11.8	14.2	13.0	12.8	13.7	13.1
24	15.1	14.8	13.4	12.9	9.4	8.1	7.8	6.5	7.0	9.3	13.2	15.6	13.8	13.6	13.6	12.2	12.6	11.1	8.5	6.1	6.5	9.6	10.7	11.3	10.9
25	8.4	5.6	5.1	6.6	6.2	7.4	7.1	7.5	8.9	10.5	9.4	8.5	8.4	7.6	9.0	8.2	6.9	8.9	8.0	5.3	4.2	2.9	3.6	2.7	6.9
26	6.0	6.0	5.5	4.8	4.6	4.0	4.5	4.6	5.1	5.6	5.6	4.0	5.6	3.6	4.1	3.7	3.8	7.1	5.2	4.6	5.0	4.3	3.0	3.4	4.7
27	2.8	4.7	3.7	2.6	2.0	3.0	5.6	2.5	1.8	2.9	6.5	8.9	8.4	7.5	7.1	7.6	10.5	11.6	9.1	9.1	6.6	4.6	4.0	3.6	5.7
28	2.7	3.5	6.2	2.7	5.5	5.4	3.8	2.4	5.2	8.0	9.1	8.2	9.5	6.3	1.4	7.0	7.1	7.0	3.3	2.2	3.2	1.4	1.6	2.4	4.8

TOTAL HOURS 672 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 672 DATA CAPTURE 100.0%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 18.5 02/23/89 16:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 16.8 02/23/89 17:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0.7 02/04/89 00:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 7.0 STANDARD DEV. 3.6

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR Sig01 in deg

FEBRUARY 1989

DAY	HOUR (EST)																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	32.9	6.4	6.8	11.2	7.5	7.0	13.8	18.2	13.8	23.4	36.3	49.6	55.1	21.0	16.8	16.8	14.7	13.0	10.3	7.3	8.2	11.5	39.6	12.1	18.9
2	47.0	11.2	14.2	11.2	11.2	7.8	12.2	17.6	20.1	33.4	19.0	17.1	13.1	19.1	19.0	14.1	16.1	13.8	11.4	12.5	11.6	27.3	42.6	24.7	18.6
3	21.0	17.2	16.4	9.8	9.9	51.2	35.5	24.3	39.4	14.7	15.0	14.3	13.9	14.4	14.4	13.7	13.1	12.5	11.6	9.9	38.6	54.5	9.2	44.1	21.6
4	38.9	44.9	10.4	13.2	11.4	28.1	15.2	19.0	37.7	13.9	18.3	27.6	17.0	16.4	18.0	16.1	14.3	13.1	11.7	9.8	33.4	26.4	13.6	10.6	20.0
5	33.9	22.9	7.2	7.9	8.3	28.4	13.2	19.2	39.6	14.3	13.9	14.6	13.5	13.9	14.4	14.9	13.6	11.5	10.1	11.5	25.9	39.5	25.4	25.9	18.5
6	23.7	34.6	17.1	9.0	11.4	15.3	35.0	9.5	13.2	14.3	14.4	15.9	13.2	14.4	15.8	14.1	14.3	13.5	13.0	10.6	9.8	13.1	17.7	39.9	16.8
7	26.3	26.8	33.1	34.8	20.4	13.0	36.4	33.9	12.8	13.3	15.0	16.1	17.4	16.1	15.8	14.8	13.8	13.2	12.6	11.7	12.0	11.1	13.6	10.1	18.5
8	29.7	7.3	12.5	14.3	44.8	22.4	17.1	14.3	35.5	24.2	35.1	34.1	17.5	18.7	16.5	16.6	15.3	13.3	12.4	10.1	18.3	39.0	18.1	19.7	21.1
9	20.2	9.2	9.9	37.2	10.5	20.1	16.9	16.5	18.1	17.9	18.6	19.9	23.1	21.4	19.7	18.6	19.4	20.2	18.6	19.3	16.8	16.4	13.0	12.7	18.1
10	13.0	12.8	10.1	10.9	12.6	12.1	12.4	11.5	13.1	15.3	19.2	19.4	26.7	26.8	28.4	23.5	21.0	17.9	16.0	16.3	15.9	14.7	14.1	14.4	16.6
11	13.2	13.2	13.7	11.7	10.6	11.0	11.1	13.3	15.3	16.3	24.1	47.6	50.6	47.1	29.8	34.5	13.3	13.6	19.6	19.2	10.4	10.1	8.4	8.6	19.4
12	10.0	9.8	9.7	10.5	9.4	11.9	13.0	14.8	13.9	14.7	17.5	24.2	25.6	29.0	39.9	30.0	20.3	20.5	15.9	16.9	14.3	15.9	13.7	14.9	17.3
13	12.2	13.9	28.0	11.4	15.5	31.3	44.0	36.2	13.3	12.8	13.7	14.6	14.3	13.0	13.8	13.3	13.9	12.0	10.8	9.9	10.1	10.1	10.6	9.7	16.2
14	10.1	9.9	10.1	9.8	10.1	10.9	11.6	11.9	13.1	12.7	14.2	12.8	16.6	13.7	15.4	14.6	15.2	12.5	11.5	10.8	9.7	9.8	10.0	10.4	12.0
15	10.1	9.9	10.3	11.1	10.6	10.3	11.0	10.9	12.1	12.6	13.9	14.3	14.4	12.7	14.6	13.2	11.0	11.6	11.5	11.5	11.5	12.4	11.9	12.0	11.9
16	12.1	9.9	11.0	13.5	14.3	16.0	14.7	11.7	14.4	13.3	15.8	17.4	17.5	15.5	15.9	16.9	15.3	15.8	15.9	15.3	15.8	14.6	14.9	15.7	14.7
17	14.2	14.1	13.9	13.0	13.1	14.4	15.0	15.7	14.8	14.3	13.8	14.9	14.9	15.2	14.7	14.6	13.3	12.6	13.3	13.3	11.7	11.7	11.7	11.5	13.7
18	13.2	51.7	26.2	30.9	20.4	25.1	15.3	19.8	22.3	21.2	15.9	17.4	15.5	16.1	14.6	17.7	12.2	12.7	14.9	14.9	25.4	17.9	29.0	28.6	20.8
19	17.0	17.5	14.8	13.2	12.7	13.6	14.9	13.5	17.4	19.9	22.9	18.0	16.0	19.0	16.4	18.6	14.7	16.1	13.7	14.6	14.1	10.9	11.7	13.5	15.6
20	12.5	10.4	10.6	13.9	16.1	11.5	10.6	11.7	12.7	13.6	13.8	12.6	14.8	13.6	12.1	13.6	12.6	12.6	11.2	11.5	10.6	11.1	12.2	11.2	12.4
21	12.8	12.4	13.1	11.2	9.7	8.9	9.3	9.2	11.6	10.6	11.9	12.6	13.2	13.5	12.4	12.1	10.1	10.9	11.2	11.0	10.5	10.3	10.9	11.1	11.3
22	12.2	13.1	18.3	14.1	14.7	14.7	14.1	13.0	14.8	14.8	16.8	15.8	13.5	31.5	45.0	33.9	11.2	10.4	9.0	11.5	7.6	8.4	9.7	9.9	15.7
23	9.5	10.4	9.9	8.3	9.2	9.5	10.1	10.3	11.2	12.6	12.0	13.1	12.7	10.5	13.8	12.6	12.5	12.2	11.0	9.9	9.7	10.1	9.5	11.1	10.9
24	10.3	10.6	11.1	12.5	18.0	17.6	17.4	18.7	17.0	15.4	15.4	13.6	13.9	13.9	14.9	13.8	11.5	11.4	8.7	8.8	9.5	8.1	8.8	8.2	12.9
25	10.5	12.5	12.5	14.3	13.8	11.2	10.6	11.9	12.4	11.7	14.1	17.1	20.5	24.9	14.8	18.0	18.0	12.4	7.0	9.4	11.1	10.1	8.3	10.0	13.2
26	12.1	8.3	9.3	9.4	10.8	9.8	9.0	8.9	9.8	11.2	16.0	41.5	32.0	49.4	49.2	35.8	36.8	13.3	8.3	9.2	10.6	15.9	13.1	12.6	18.4
27	24.2	6.4	8.9	9.8	10.4	15.0	27.0	38.5	60.2	35.7	19.6	19.8	21.8	26.0	19.8	17.4	13.3	9.5	9.9	9.8	8.2	7.1	8.6	25.6	18.9
28	21.8	31.9	18.7	23.5	7.6	7.3	6.4	14.7	14.2	13.0	15.3	18.3	18.5	28.0	42.6	50.5	26.0	49.4	39.6	37.4	10.6	48.5	41.1	18.0	25.1

TOTAL HOURS 672 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 672 DATA CAPTURE 100.0%

MAX. 1HR AVG 60.2 02/27/89 08:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 55.1 02/01/89 12:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 6.4 02/01/89 01:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 16.8 STANDARD DEV. 9.1

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qad - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-62

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR TEMP in DGC

FEBRUARY 1989

DAY	HOURLY AVERAGES FOR TEMP in DGC																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	18.7	17.9	17.2	16.9	16.8	16.8	17.1	17.2	18.4	21.7	23.9	25.0	26.1	26.5	26.0	25.8	25.2	24.0	22.7	21.5	21.2	20.3	19.9	19.5	21.1
2	19.0	18.3	18.0	17.7	17.3	17.0	17.3	17.5	19.1	22.4	24.8	25.3	25.8	26.3	26.2	25.6	25.0	24.1	23.1	22.5	21.6	21.1	20.0	19.6	21.4
3	19.0	18.9	18.9	19.8	18.9	18.6	18.8	19.1	21.9	24.1	24.9	25.6	26.1	26.0	26.2	25.8	25.2	24.1	22.9	22.1	21.5	20.8	20.1	20.0	22.0
4	19.8	19.0	18.9	18.7	18.6	18.3	18.1	18.3	20.4	24.0	25.6	26.4	26.7	26.9	26.8	26.2	25.2	24.0	22.8	21.8	21.3	20.4	20.7	20.5	22.0
5	20.6	19.3	20.6	20.5	20.0	19.3	18.5	18.4	21.1	24.1	25.2	25.8	26.0	26.4	26.4	26.2	25.4	24.2	22.8	22.0	21.7	21.3	20.7	19.9	22.3
6	19.4	19.1	18.9	19.5	20.6	20.2	19.3	20.4	22.9	24.3	25.3	25.9	25.7	25.8	25.6	25.5	25.5	24.3	23.0	22.4	22.0	21.6	20.8	20.8	22.4
7	20.5	19.9	19.9	19.4	19.0	19.2	19.0	19.9	22.3	24.4	25.4	26.1	26.7	26.9	26.9	26.5	25.6	24.6	23.3	22.6	22.3	21.9	21.5	21.2	22.7
8	20.6	19.5	18.9	18.6	18.4	18.3	18.2	18.5	19.4	21.2	24.0	25.0	25.9	26.7	26.7	26.7	26.2	24.9	23.7	23.1	22.3	21.5	20.8	20.5	22.0
9	20.2	20.0	19.6	19.2	19.0	19.1	19.2	19.5	20.5	21.4	22.4	22.8	23.3	22.8	22.1	21.7	20.9	20.0	18.9	18.0	17.3	17.1	16.4	15.7	19.8
10	15.1	14.2	13.3	12.7	12.8	12.6	12.4	12.3	13.7	15.8	18.7	21.3	22.6	23.4	23.2	22.9	21.6	20.2	19.0	17.5	16.4	15.4	15.1	14.7	16.9
11	14.3	14.0	13.6	13.2	13.0	12.8	12.8	13.1	15.0	17.8	20.4	22.4	23.3	24.1	24.7	24.0	22.8	22.5	22.1	21.9	21.5	20.2	18.5	17.2	18.5
12	16.7	15.6	14.5	13.5	11.8	11.0	10.8	11.2	12.4	14.1	16.1	18.3	19.9	21.2	22.1	22.5	21.9	20.8	19.8	18.8	17.9	17.2	16.5	16.0	16.7
13	15.6	15.3	15.8	19.2	18.3	16.4	16.6	18.6	22.0	23.2	23.5	24.7	24.9	24.7	24.7	24.1	24.1	23.3	22.5	22.1	22.0	21.8	21.6	21.6	21.1
14	21.6	21.6	21.5	21.4	21.3	21.3	21.3	21.7	22.6	23.5	24.4	24.8	25.1	25.3	25.1	25.0	24.6	23.8	23.3	23.0	22.8	22.6	22.6	22.9	23.0
15	22.6	22.5	22.4	22.3	22.2	22.1	22.1	22.3	23.2	24.1	24.7	25.2	24.8	24.9	25.2	24.9	24.4	23.8	23.0	22.7	22.5	22.5	22.8	22.5	23.3
16	22.4	22.0	21.7	22.1	22.1	22.2	22.2	22.7	23.4	24.4	24.1	25.4	25.4	25.6	25.7	25.2	24.8	23.9	23.3	23.0	22.8	22.9	22.6	22.7	23.4
17	22.5	22.5	22.2	22.3	22.0	22.1	22.4	22.6	23.2	24.3	24.7	25.6	25.4	25.4	25.9	25.8	25.0	24.2	23.8	23.6	23.4	23.0	22.7	22.5	23.6
18	22.2	22.0	21.5	20.7	20.6	20.1	20.1	19.7	21.3	24.1	24.7	24.7	25.3	25.7	25.9	25.4	25.0	24.4	23.7	23.1	22.3	21.4	21.1	20.4	22.7
19	20.0	19.6	19.2	18.7	18.5	18.4	18.4	18.3	20.1	23.3	25.1	26.0	26.2	26.3	26.3	26.0	25.3	24.5	23.6	23.3	23.2	22.6	22.3	22.1	22.4
20	22.1	21.9	21.3	20.8	20.5	21.1	21.6	22.4	23.6	24.4	25.0	25.2	25.5	25.3	25.3	25.0	24.7	24.1	23.4	23.0	23.1	23.3	22.7	22.5	23.2
21	22.7	22.7	22.8	22.6	22.7	22.5	22.5	23.0	24.1	25.0	26.2	27.0	27.9	28.6	28.7	28.0	27.4	26.1	24.9	24.3	23.7	23.6	23.8	24.1	24.8
22	24.2	24.0	22.7	22.6	22.8	22.8	22.8	22.9	23.5	24.3	25.4	26.3	21.5	22.2	22.6	23.9	23.8	21.8	19.3	18.3	18.5	18.4	16.8	15.6	21.9
23	15.0	14.0	13.2	12.7	12.1	11.6	11.1	11.3	11.8	13.0	14.1	14.8	15.5	15.3	15.9	16.7	15.9	14.5	12.7	11.8	10.3	8.9	8.5	7.3	12.8
24	6.5	5.9	5.7	5.5	4.3	3.6	3.0	3.1	4.6	6.2	7.9	9.8	10.8	11.8	12.9	13.6	13.7	13.3	12.4	11.4	10.6	9.1	7.6	6.7	8.3
25	6.3	5.5	4.6	4.2	4.1	3.6	2.9	3.4	5.5	8.2	10.8	12.8	14.2	15.2	15.9	16.3	16.5	15.9	14.2	12.7	11.7	10.8	10.4	9.5	9.8
26	8.1	7.6	7.1	6.5	6.3	6.3	6.2	6.7	9.6	12.7	15.3	16.9	18.3	19.3	19.8	20.2	20.6	18.6	16.8	15.6	15.3	14.0	12.3	11.3	12.9
27	10.4	10.3	10.5	10.3	9.6	9.4	9.0	10.7	14.1	17.7	20.6	21.6	21.9	22.7	22.5	22.5	22.2	21.1	19.7	19.1	18.4	17.6	17.4	17.0	16.5
28	16.2	15.8	16.2	16.7	19.1	20.1	19.6	20.4	22.3	23.8	26.0	27.2	25.3	23.4	22.9	19.8	19.9	19.7	19.4	19.7	19.8	19.4	19.0	18.9	20.4

10.5.1-63

TOTAL HOURS 672 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 672 DATA CAPTURE 100.0X
 MAX. 1HR AVG 28.7 02/21/89 14:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 28.6 02/21/89 13:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 2.9 02/25/89 06:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 19.9 STANDARD DEV. 5.3

KEY FOR MISSING CODES
 Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR RNFL in INCH

DAY	FEBRUARY 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
18	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.21	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00
21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
22	0.00	0.03	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.24	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04
23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.31	0.60	2.68	0.20	1.13	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.21

10.5.1-64

TOTAL HOURS 672 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 672 DATA CAPTURE 100.0%

MAX. 1HR AVG 2.68 02/28/89 15:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 1.13 02/28/89 17:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 0.00 02/01/89 00:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 0.01 STANDARD DEV. 0.12

KEY FOR MISSING CODES
 Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qex - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

FPC DAVIE PRECIPITATION

DATE	TIME	AMOUNT
02-08-89	0800	.03
	0900	.01
02-18-89	0200	.07
	0300	.21
	0400	.02
	0500	.01
02-20-89	2200	.04
02-22-89	0100	.03
	0200	.47
	1100	.01
	1200	.24
	1300	.13
02-28-89	1200	.07
	1300	.31
	1400	.60
	1500	2.68
	1600	.20
	1700	1.13
	1800	.02
	TOTAL - -	6.28
	10.5.1-65	

WIND ROSE ANALYSIS FOR 02/01/89 TO 02/28/89


Wind Speed (mph)	D I R E C T I O N															
	N	NNE	NE	ENE	E	ESE	SE	SSE	S	SSW	SW	WSW	W	WNW	NW	NNW
> 31.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
31.0-24.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
24.0-17.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
17.0-10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	3.4	6.3	1.5	1.6	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	4.5	0.0
10.0- 3.0	5.8	1.5	2.1	2.8	8.2	7.9	8.3	3.7	2.8	1.6	0.4	0.0	1.0	1.9	7.0	6.3
3.0 <																
All Speed	5.8	1.5	2.1	3.9	12.	14.	9.8	5.4	3.0	1.9	0.4	0.0	1.0	3.7	11.	6.3

Ft. Lauderdale, FL

Averaging Time: . 3600 sec

EV-

WIND ROSE ANALYSIS FOR 02/01/89 TO 02/28/89



Ft. Lauderdale, FL

Averaging Time: 3600 sec

10.5.1-67

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR SO2 in PPB

DAY	MARCH 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	2	2	Cal	3	2	2	2	6	12	13	10	10	2	2	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
2	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0
3	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	1	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
5	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	3	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	0	1
7	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	1	2	6	10	4	2	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
8	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	2	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	2	0	1
9	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
11	0	0	Cal	1	1	0	1	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
12	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4	3	3	3	5	4	2	3	3	3	2	2	2
13	1	1	Cal	0	0	0	1	3	3	3	4	3	2	2	2	4	3	4	2	2	1	0	0	0	2
14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	7	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
15	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	2	8	3	1	2	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
16	0	0	0	0	1	2	5	10	4	4	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	28	5	2	1	1	1	1	3
17	1	1	Cal	1	1	2	3	6	4	2	3	2	1	0	1	2	3	2	2	1	1	0	1	1	2
18	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	2	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
19	1	1	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
20	0	1	3	3	2	3	4	6	3	2	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	2
21	0	0	Cal	0	1	3	4	6	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
22	0	1	0	1	1	1	2	4	3	2	1	1	0	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
23	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	0
24	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	2	1	1	1	1
25	0	0	Cal	2	2	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	4	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	0	0	1
26	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
27	0	0	Cal	0	0	1	2	7	4	23	45	32	29	32	27	16	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	10
28	1	0	1	1	0	1	3	6	11	11	20	12	9	2	2	5	2	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	4
29	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
31	0	0	Cal	0	0	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	3	0	1	1	1	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	1

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 726 DATA CAPTURE 97.6%

MAX. 1HR AVG 45 03/27/89 10:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 32 03/27/89 13:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 0 03/01/89 18:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 1 STANDARD DEV. 4

NAAQS Comparison: MAX. 3 HR AVG 35 (500) 03/27/89 12:00:00
 MAX. 24 HR AVG 10 (140) 03/28/89 01:00:00

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qei - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR WD in DGS

DAY	MARCH 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	43	88	81	56	43	342	18	131	161	325	326	108	134	116	117	121	146	147	143	135	130	159	142	192	142
2	136	267	284	294	295	349	67	149	162	164	162	160	171	151	146	156	217	276	142	142	162	162	186	124	188
3	140	135	134	156	144	163	163	158	163	166	233	184	200	173	163	179	164	177	175	165	140	150	129	136	162
4	151	134	135	132	126	146	130	139	138	147	141	137	142	137	129	126	128	141	141	130	119	118	119	116	133
5	114	120	125	126	130	135	136	133	142	147	149	150	149	148	148	154	136	134	136	136	126	123	124	145	136
6	150	142	146	149	153	155	142	158	166	173	168	179	PwrF	216	195	PwrF	169	145	155	129	139	141	145	145	157
7	147	140	117	135	150	172	173	194	186	195	195	205	270	268	235	247	309	311	313	338	342	332	339	344	236
8	334	321	327	334	337	335	329	335	337	334	336	332	328	333	332	337	341	340	332	330	324	319	313	331	331
9	325	322	322	326	323	318	318	321	325	323	317	321	313	321	309	310	313	317	318	320	322	320	311	307	319
10	309	309	306	307	307	306	306	309	309	315	322	324	329	328	329	323	0	3	358	350	343	341	336	331	296
11	331	331	334	336	332	331	327	325	334	341	349	17	18	12	15	18	16	22	7	1	353	344	343	343	216
12	342	337	341	335	346	349	321	331	330	313	337	340	324	345	323	313	1	120	126	175	190	192	241	279	277
13	268	262	253	257	263	258	224	258	290	303	308	284	218	231	216	132	133	153	165	187	229	231	233	236	233
14	230	246	264	221	243	295	323	327	246	347	176	156	157	159	140	143	143	146	138	135	131	126	127	132	198
15	124	121	124	120	130	137	131	127	136	135	129	122	127	129	125	132	140	128	121	118	118	117	116	119	126
16	125	82	217	104	135	121	308	336	133	124	122	127	124	123	113	116	121	99	99	96	81	74	77	79	131
17	75	31	21	294	42	13	287	334	112	113	112	110	110	133	110	114	106	99	101	103	104	107	99	110	118
18	83	108	120	119	102	22	349	33	96	109	96	98	99	97	89	78	82	79	73	70	57	76	77	49	94
19	334	302	301	299	326	327	320	336	332	353	1	27	73	75	69	56	65	75	77	73	83	84	88	89	174
20	90	92	84	88	102	100	89	98	109	111	118	117	119	122	121	116	119	119	114	121	125	131	130	127	111
21	130	120	126	116	97	16	121	142	146	143	149	148	150	141	143	140	136	142	146	145	145	143	144	137	132
22	108	4	74	304	331	325	305	355	147	146	137	147	151	142	134	140	141	141	143	140	127	111	83	119	165
23	119	126	127	136	145	149	157	158	168	160	168	157	157	169	168	167	174	180	188	181	177	187	182	192	162
24	220	206	202	187	199	264	309	250	253	282	267	259	241	165	160	170	171	102	49	168	64	315	240	305	210
25	315	321	327	325	318	329	310	326	327	308	304	268	277	149	121	127	131	142	161	179	174	196	234	258	247
26	266	187	250	308	312	292	331	2	206	149	127	126	117	120	129	126	122	125	128	120	121	123	119	119	168
27	128	73	322	338	338	346	0	14	98	98	94	95	94	100	102	101	86	84	81	78	80	86	86	96	126
28	100	102	100	98	106	104	92	92	94	106	99	107	103	107	109	109	108	106	113	117	111	106	103	115	104
29	116	118	116	118	121	125	123	135	132	129	131	128	123	129	128	129	126	132	133	135	130	135	134	125	127
30	131	142	147	150	153	148	155	155	163	159	160	152	157	158	153	166	166	174	172	177	166	165	162	156	158
31	162	170	181	185	170	244	168	196	200	207	219	254	180	173	176	191	199	193	201	254	241	218	210	223	201

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 742 DATA CAPTURE 99.7%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 358 03/10/89 18:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 355 03/22/89 07:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0 03/10/89 16:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 180 STANDARD DEV. 91

KEY FOR MISSING CODES
 Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-69

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR WS in MPH

DAY	MARCH 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	3.4	4.0	4.2	2.3	3.9	3.3	2.3	1.8	2.5	2.1	2.6	3.1	6.4	5.9	8.5	7.8	7.2	5.3	4.9	4.5	3.7	3.1	2.1	2.3	4.0
2	3.3	2.1	3.0	3.4	3.1	2.3	2.1	2.5	5.1	9.5	10.2	8.6	8.1	9.0	10.7	6.4	3.5	4.5	2.8	3.7	5.8	3.5	3.1	4.4	5.0
3	4.0	3.6	4.9	5.5	4.8	4.7	2.5	4.1	6.7	7.0	2.4	4.8	7.7	5.9	8.8	10.0	10.9	9.8	7.7	5.1	3.9	3.5	2.2	2.9	5.5
4	3.3	3.5	3.6	3.3	2.2	2.6	3.3	2.7	5.4	9.1	9.5	9.8	9.4	9.2	9.9	10.4	10.8	8.0	6.3	5.2	6.3	7.2	7.8	6.7	6.5
5	8.3	8.5	8.1	7.8	7.1	6.5	6.8	7.3	12.0	13.7	14.6	15.4	14.9	14.4	12.6	11.3	9.3	9.1	7.4	4.4	3.6	4.6	5.1	4.5	9.0
6	4.1	4.2	5.0	5.9	5.2	3.7	4.1	4.6	9.4	12.1	13.5	11.1	PwrF	7.2	5.9	PwrF	8.5	5.6	3.7	2.9	2.7	3.6	3.8	5.9	6.0
7	8.8	8.4	4.7	4.6	4.0	2.9	4.1	5.1	8.4	10.2	8.7	7.2	9.1	7.1	4.8	4.7	8.7	10.3	10.7	8.3	6.0	8.1	7.3	5.2	6.9
8	6.3	9.0	8.2	8.2	10.0	10.1	8.2	7.9	9.8	11.6	12.1	12.4	11.0	11.6	12.8	12.4	12.0	9.2	7.6	7.9	8.0	9.5	9.4	8.8	9.7
9	10.0	10.6	10.5	10.7	12.2	11.5	12.5	12.3	12.8	11.7	12.7	11.3	12.4	11.8	14.4	14.4	13.5	12.5	12.5	11.6	12.9	13.2	13.8	13.1	12.3
10	13.7	15.3	15.3	15.1	14.8	15.2	14.9	13.7	12.8	12.3	14.8	13.6	12.7	12.6	12.1	12.7	10.7	10.9	10.9	11.0	10.4	9.9	11.7	11.5	12.8
11	12.9	11.4	10.1	11.3	11.0	9.8	8.8	9.0	9.8	9.4	9.9	12.5	12.4	10.6	10.9	10.3	9.4	9.3	7.5	6.4	5.8	5.7	6.0	5.1	9.4
12	4.7	4.7	4.5	3.9	4.4	4.0	5.9	6.4	7.3	7.1	7.1	7.4	6.9	5.7	4.5	5.0	2.3	6.2	5.1	3.5	3.9	3.6	3.8	3.7	5.0
13	3.4	3.1	2.6	2.5	2.1	3.0	5.1	2.4	4.7	6.7	5.8	4.1	5.5	3.3	2.5	7.6	6.7	9.2	7.6	5.6	5.1	3.5	3.5	2.5	4.5
14	2.5	2.8	3.9	2.6	2.3	3.2	2.5	3.1	2.2	1.6	3.7	9.0	8.5	8.7	8.0	8.9	8.3	8.3	7.7	5.4	4.8	4.0	4.3	4.4	5.0
15	4.6	5.1	5.3	6.7	5.0	3.8	7.5	2.7	6.7	9.9	9.4	10.5	9.8	10.8	12.0	12.0	11.5	9.9	9.0	6.8	6.3	6.5	6.2	5.5	7.6
16	5.1	2.9	2.7	5.7	4.7	4.3	2.6	0.8	7.8	8.2	7.9	8.3	9.2	8.6	9.2	9.3	7.2	7.5	6.7	6.0	4.3	3.3	4.3	3.5	5.8
17	3.0	3.1	2.6	1.7	2.1	1.7	2.0	1.7	7.4	8.2	10.0	10.9	13.5	10.4	11.3	12.2	10.1	9.5	9.0	7.6	8.9	7.9	6.6	5.1	6.9
18	2.9	4.5	6.8	5.2	3.1	4.0	2.7	2.5	6.5	7.8	7.9	7.9	8.8	9.4	9.1	8.5	8.2	8.0	6.9	5.4	3.1	3.7	3.4	3.0	5.8
19	2.5	3.5	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.8	3.9	3.9	4.2	5.2	5.3	5.9	8.6	10.1	9.3	8.8	8.4	9.8	8.6	5.3	5.0	3.6	4.9	5.6	5.5
20	6.0	5.6	3.8	4.0	5.6	4.1	3.5	6.0	10.2	10.0	9.7	11.1	11.3	11.3	11.5	11.7	11.0	9.8	9.9	7.4	7.8	6.4	7.0	6.9	8.0
21	5.3	5.1	4.7	3.3	3.1	6.6	6.4	3.0	7.2	9.1	10.3	9.4	10.1	11.0	10.9	10.9	10.5	10.3	9.2	8.0	5.2	4.4	4.0	3.5	7.1
22	2.0	6.8	5.6	3.0	2.5	1.9	2.2	1.8	4.1	8.6	9.4	10.8	10.6	10.4	9.8	10.1	8.8	7.9	5.1	4.0	2.9	3.1	3.8	6.1	
23	6.1	6.7	6.8	6.1	8.1	8.5	8.1	8.3	11.0	13.2	10.6	11.7	12.9	12.4	13.9	13.8	13.1	10.7	7.7	5.4	3.3	4.0	4.1	3.8	8.7
24	2.9	3.8	3.7	2.6	3.9	3.0	4.1	2.4	2.7	4.6	5.2	4.6	6.0	6.9	10.1	9.8	9.5	4.3	4.8	3.1	2.7	3.1	2.2	8.3	4.7
25	8.8	6.4	6.5	5.8	5.0	3.9	5.7	6.7	6.1	6.2	5.5	0.3	1.3	1.4	8.8	8.6	8.1	7.8	7.1	5.7	5.6	4.8	4.6	3.9	5.6
26	3.6	3.4	2.5	3.0	4.1	3.2	2.5	1.9	1.7	3.7	5.0	6.8	8.5	8.7	8.7	8.9	10.0	9.7	7.0	7.3	6.3	3.3	4.0	4.7	5.3
27	3.7	1.2	2.1	2.9	3.9	2.3	2.9	3.0	7.2	9.1	9.5	10.1	9.7	10.0	9.5	9.2	9.1	8.6	8.5	7.3	6.5	6.6	6.8	8.6	6.6
28	8.9	8.6	7.6	8.2	6.8	5.9	5.4	7.1	9.6	10.9	10.5	10.9	12.2	13.4	12.6	11.9	10.8	11.9	11.1	9.9	8.4	8.5	9.4	9.0	9.5
29	9.3	9.2	9.2	8.0	8.7	8.7	7.7	9.9	11.8	11.8	12.2	11.3	11.8	10.6	10.4	10.2	9.4	9.3	9.6	8.0	6.5	6.3	6.5	7.7	9.3
30	7.0	8.3	10.3	10.3	9.0	7.9	8.3	9.7	12.7	12.0	11.3	12.5	14.6	13.6	14.0	14.1	13.5	11.9	9.3	7.0	6.0	6.0	5.9	4.5	10.0
31	5.0	6.4	5.4	3.6	2.4	2.9	6.1	3.7	8.7	8.4	6.9	5.1	8.7	14.3	14.5	13.8	11.7	10.4	5.7	5.4	4.7	3.2	3.7	3.1	6.8

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 742 DATA CAPTURE 99.7%

MAX. 1HR AVG 15.4 03/05/89 11:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 15.3 03/10/89 01:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 0.3 03/25/89 11:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 7.1 STANDARD DEV. 3.4

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qei - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-70

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR Sig01 in deg

DAY	MARCH 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	23.8	35.6	22.0	15.4	22.6	79.4	28.2	33.3	86.2	49.3	43.3	34.8	13.2	14.8	12.7	12.5	11.9	11.2	12.4	12.0	10.4	8.8	18.0	10.6	25.9
2	19.1	40.2	10.9	11.2	7.7	23.6	26.5	16.0	10.1	11.6	12.0	15.3	17.0	13.7	12.1	20.7	35.0	41.1	36.6	10.1	8.8	8.9	24.6	11.5	18.5
3	9.2	11.7	11.4	11.7	11.2	15.7	14.7	8.2	10.9	15.4	33.7	30.7	19.6	18.8	16.5	15.8	13.0	12.4	11.1	11.5	9.7	6.1	8.7	7.9	14.0
4	10.3	10.8	10.8	10.8	15.3	7.8	9.7	11.0	13.0	12.8	14.4	13.9	14.7	15.0	13.9	13.0	12.2	12.6	11.6	10.6	10.0	10.1	10.5	9.3	11.8
5	9.7	10.9	11.5	11.4	12.2	12.5	11.7	12.7	11.9	12.1	11.5	11.7	11.7	12.5	12.4	15.7	14.4	13.0	11.6	11.0	9.4	9.7	10.4	9.0	11.7
6	8.3	8.6	10.1	10.8	11.7	10.4	8.8	8.8	10.8	12.1	11.7	13.0	PwrF	23.2	14.7	PwrF	11.9	12.8	11.5	17.5	24.5	11.4	10.8	10.3	12.4
7	10.8	11.6	15.9	15.5	9.5	10.0	9.8	13.7	14.1	14.8	16.1	18.0	22.7	18.2	18.7	19.6	14.7	10.5	10.5	16.6	24.7	15.4	17.9	23.7	15.5
8	15.5	12.2	12.2	16.0	16.5	17.7	14.8	17.0	15.5	13.9	14.7	12.7	12.6	14.3	14.9	14.1	14.7	15.9	15.7	14.2	13.2	12.8	11.5	10.3	14.3
9	11.4	12.0	12.4	11.2	11.5	11.0	10.9	12.4	12.4	12.7	11.0	12.7	13.8	11.6	9.3	9.8	10.9	10.6	11.4	11.6	12.4	11.9	9.9	9.9	11.4
10	8.9	8.4	8.9	8.1	9.0	7.7	8.2	9.0	9.0	10.4	12.8	14.6	15.7	14.1	16.6	14.7	20.7	20.4	19.6	17.6	16.8	16.3	13.5	12.7	13.1
11	12.0	12.8	12.7	13.0	12.0	11.5	10.8	10.5	13.2	15.9	18.5	21.4	21.3	22.1	19.8	21.3	19.9	17.1	17.6	17.4	16.8	15.0	15.0	13.9	15.9
12	14.9	13.6	13.3	11.1	15.3	14.7	12.4	11.4	11.7	11.1	18.7	18.7	23.1	25.8	36.4	33.3	43.7	13.2	9.4	11.6	11.1	8.2	13.8	8.7	16.9
13	12.4	12.8	14.2	12.0	13.2	11.4	20.1	16.1	10.4	12.4	18.6	38.5	25.8	49.0	51.6	18.3	14.8	11.2	9.3	13.3	15.8	17.2	15.9	16.9	18.8
14	15.9	15.2	25.2	20.1	23.2	19.3	14.7	19.9	70.4	36.3	44.9	17.4	16.3	15.3	21.2	17.1	13.9	12.8	12.4	10.9	9.2	8.9	9.9	9.0	20.0
15	10.3	10.1	10.5	9.7	10.3	9.5	22.3	17.5	13.7	13.8	15.2	13.2	15.0	14.4	13.3	12.6	13.2	12.6	10.4	9.7	9.3	9.7	9.8	10.0	12.3
16	11.0	23.2	52.6	21.9	22.9	13.9	43.9	39.0	14.3	16.0	13.9	14.1	15.7	18.2	14.6	14.6	16.4	13.8	11.6	10.9	12.7	16.4	12.5	13.2	19.0
17	19.1	16.0	17.7	26.0	42.2	29.0	39.4	42.9	15.4	13.3	14.7	13.5	12.7	14.6	14.8	11.6	14.1	10.5	9.9	10.8	10.3	11.1	11.1	12.2	18.0
18	17.7	13.0	10.5	9.7	10.5	26.5	25.6	24.1	14.2	17.0	14.6	21.8	16.4	15.2	19.1	21.3	20.3	17.1	14.8	17.5	21.9	15.9	15.0	27.5	17.8
19	14.6	6.5	9.9	7.7	7.6	9.3	8.8	12.6	12.8	20.2	25.9	24.8	21.6	20.1	20.7	23.7	20.1	16.1	14.9	15.4	13.2	11.6	10.5	10.5	15.0
20	9.3	8.6	11.6	10.6	6.2	8.2	12.6	15.9	14.2	15.7	15.9	14.6	14.3	14.1	13.2	11.6	14.1	12.6	10.6	11.2	11.9	11.9	11.7	12.2	12.2
21	12.2	9.4	11.4	10.5	36.7	52.0	34.0	11.7	13.3	14.4	13.9	15.4	16.3	16.9	14.9	15.2	13.9	13.3	11.4	11.2	9.3	7.6	8.2	9.5	15.9
22	27.9	20.7	25.3	11.0	13.5	17.5	21.9	29.8	25.7	12.7	15.8	14.7	16.5	13.8	15.8	14.6	14.2	12.8	11.1	10.0	10.8	7.6	35.5	11.9	17.1
23	9.7	11.2	12.1	11.9	9.9	10.4	9.4	10.1	13.0	12.2	14.7	13.9	11.5	12.6	13.5	12.4	12.6	12.4	12.5	11.9	7.8	7.2	7.7	11.2	11.3
24	16.0	13.6	13.3	11.4	24.9	14.4	10.9	44.4	30.7	18.0	25.6	38.4	26.9	27.4	13.0	14.9	15.0	37.2	52.6	18.2	17.0	44.9	22.6	9.8	23.4
25	8.9	10.5	10.1	11.6	10.6	12.8	8.2	14.1	12.8	14.6	18.8	40.1	75.6	44.3	13.8	13.7	14.2	12.5	11.2	11.7	11.7	13.3	15.9	17.1	17.8
26	16.9	17.4	15.9	16.0	31.7	15.3	26.0	37.9	67.1	28.6	22.5	20.5	17.4	16.6	15.9	14.7	13.1	13.6	13.0	10.3	11.7	15.4	11.0	11.5	20.0
27	11.6	41.3	10.6	12.2	17.6	19.1	29.5	30.2	18.0	14.9	15.3	14.8	16.6	16.1	17.5	15.8	16.1	15.7	13.7	13.8	12.6	12.2	11.7	10.6	17.0
28	9.0	9.3	9.4	8.8	9.4	9.3	12.4	13.6	13.3	14.2	14.2	15.3	15.5	13.8	13.5	15.2	14.9	12.7	11.6	11.1	10.5	10.6	10.4	11.1	12.0
29	10.5	10.8	9.8	11.5	11.5	12.6	12.4	14.2	13.3	13.6	14.3	14.4	15.9	15.9	16.1	16.3	15.8	14.4	12.6	13.5	12.6	13.2	13.0	12.6	13.4
30	13.3	12.4	10.8	10.1	9.3	9.3	9.9	11.0	12.6	12.8	14.9	15.7	12.2	14.2	13.8	13.6	12.0	12.4	10.6	12.2	10.3	9.4	9.5	8.1	11.7
31	9.9	10.4	11.5	10.8	12.2	24.7	11.7	19.6	15.8	18.1	22.4	32.0	22.0	12.1	12.1	12.8	15.8	13.8	14.4	17.5	15.3	17.2	13.7	15.5	15.9

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 742 DATA CAPTURE 99.7%

MAX. 1HR AVG 86.2 03/01/89 08:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 79.4 03/01/89 05:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 6.1 03/03/89 21:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 15.8 STANDARD DEV. 8.9

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration

BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data

Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR TEMP in DGC

DAY	MARCH 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	18.8	19.0	19.1	19.0	19.0	18.6	18.7	19.1	20.3	21.4	22.6	24.0	24.7	25.2	25.5	25.1	24.2	23.9	23.4	22.8	22.4	21.6	21.3	21.1	21.7
2	20.6	20.2	20.2	20.7	20.5	20.0	20.2	20.4	21.6	23.5	25.9	27.4	27.7	28.1	25.6	24.1	22.0	20.2	19.7	19.7	21.2	21.6	21.6	21.5	22.2
3	21.2	21.1	21.3	21.5	21.5	21.7	21.7	21.9	23.5	24.8	25.2	26.9	28.0	28.4	28.4	28.6	28.4	27.1	25.2	23.9	23.0	22.2	22.1	21.7	24.1
4	21.9	22.0	22.0	22.1	22.0	21.5	21.5	22.1	24.3	25.9	26.7	27.3	27.7	28.0	27.4	26.9	26.2	25.1	24.1	23.6	23.6	23.5	23.3	23.1	24.2
5	23.3	23.2	23.1	22.9	22.8	22.7	22.6	23.3	24.7	25.4	26.1	27.0	27.4	27.4	27.1	27.1	26.7	25.5	24.2	23.4	22.9	22.8	22.8	22.4	24.4
6	22.2	22.1	22.3	22.8	22.5	22.3	22.2	23.1	24.9	26.0	26.5	24.1	PwrF	24.9	25.9	PwrF	25.3	24.7	23.5	23.2	22.8	22.8	22.9	23.2	23.6
7	22.1	21.1	22.4	22.0	21.5	21.0	21.3	22.4	24.4	26.2	27.0	26.9	25.2	21.6	22.4	22.9	21.1	19.2	17.5	16.6	16.3	15.8	15.6	15.3	21.1
8	15.2	14.7	14.5	14.1	13.7	13.4	13.2	12.6	12.5	12.4	12.9	13.2	13.6	13.8	14.2	14.8	14.4	14.5	14.5	14.3	13.9	13.4	12.9	12.5	13.7
9	12.4	12.2	11.6	11.0	11.0	11.2	11.0	11.0	11.1	11.4	11.7	12.7	13.7	13.3	12.8	12.7	11.9	11.6	11.2	10.9	10.8	10.3	10.0	9.7	11.5
10	8.8	8.5	8.5	8.7	8.8	8.7	8.8	9.4	10.4	12.1	15.0	17.3	18.9	20.0	20.6	20.6	20.2	19.5	17.8	16.5	15.4	14.2	13.0	12.2	13.9
11	11.2	11.3	11.6	11.5	11.2	11.1	10.9	11.7	14.1	17.3	19.6	21.3	22.0	21.8	22.3	22.4	21.9	21.0	19.7	18.6	17.6	16.8	15.9	15.2	16.6
12	14.7	14.0	13.5	13.3	13.3	13.3	12.5	12.7	14.9	17.5	20.0	21.9	23.0	23.9	24.4	24.7	24.6	22.7	20.9	19.1	18.7	17.7	17.0	15.6	18.1
13	14.8	14.3	13.8	13.1	12.7	12.3	12.2	13.3	15.9	18.8	20.9	22.6	24.7	25.6	26.2	26.0	25.4	24.0	22.1	20.8	19.8	18.3	17.5	16.8	18.8
14	16.1	15.5	15.0	14.2	13.9	13.5	13.3	13.9	15.0	16.3	21.9	24.8	25.3	25.7	25.9	25.5	25.0	23.9	22.1	20.9	20.1	19.7	19.4	19.1	19.4
15	19.4	19.7	19.7	19.8	19.4	18.3	17.7	19.8	22.5	23.9	24.4	23.8	24.2	25.0	24.6	24.4	24.5	23.5	22.5	22.0	21.8	21.7	21.6	21.5	21.9
16	21.3	20.8	19.8	19.7	19.5	19.2	19.2	20.6	22.8	24.2	24.2	24.1	25.3	26.1	25.9	25.3	24.5	23.8	23.0	22.6	22.5	22.1	22.1	21.6	22.5
17	21.0	20.1	19.9	19.1	19.3	18.9	18.8	20.9	23.6	24.1	24.5	25.2	25.2	25.5	25.5	25.0	24.2	23.8	23.3	22.9	22.8	22.7	22.7	22.3	22.5
18	21.9	21.4	21.6	21.3	20.9	19.8	19.4	20.8	23.6	25.0	25.3	25.8	26.2	26.4	26.2	26.0	25.5	24.7	23.5	22.8	22.4	22.0	21.8	21.4	23.1
19	20.1	19.0	18.3	18.0	17.7	17.3	17.0	18.0	20.8	23.1	24.8	26.3	27.2	27.5	26.9	26.3	25.8	24.8	23.8	23.2	22.7	22.1	21.8	21.9	22.2
20	21.6	21.2	21.0	20.5	20.5	20.4	20.8	22.6	23.8	24.9	25.3	25.6	25.8	26.2	25.8	25.3	24.7	24.0	23.3	22.8	22.5	22.2	22.1	22.0	23.1
21	21.7	21.4	21.1	20.7	19.6	18.8	18.5	20.7	23.6	25.0	26.4	26.7	27.3	27.4	27.4	26.8	26.0	25.0	23.6	22.8	22.3	21.8	21.4	21.2	23.2
22	20.9	20.4	19.9	19.5	19.5	19.2	19.1	20.5	23.6	25.3	26.4	27.1	27.5	27.3	27.2	27.0	26.3	25.0	23.5	22.5	22.2	21.9	21.5	21.4	23.1
23	21.8	21.8	21.9	21.7	22.0	22.2	22.1	23.5	24.9	25.8	27.0	27.6	26.0	27.2	27.7	27.2	26.7	26.8	25.9	24.5	23.1	22.5	21.9	21.8	24.3
24	22.0	21.9	21.7	21.4	21.4	21.3	21.1	21.0	22.0	23.5	26.0	27.6	29.2	29.2	28.1	27.8	25.6	24.9	22.2	22.8	22.6	21.3	21.0	20.6	23.6
25	20.0	18.9	18.0	17.6	16.8	16.5	16.3	16.3	17.2	19.2	22.2	25.1	26.8	27.7	26.5	26.5	26.4	25.7	24.6	23.8	23.3	22.9	22.2	20.8	21.7
26	19.9	18.7	18.3	17.7	17.4	17.1	16.9	18.3	21.3	25.0	26.6	27.6	27.6	27.8	27.6	27.4	26.6	25.5	24.2	23.7	23.5	23.2	22.9	23.1	22.8
27	22.6	22.3	21.3	20.6	20.2	20.0	20.1	21.4	24.4	25.7	26.2	26.7	27.2	27.0	27.0	26.9	26.5	25.6	24.6	24.0	23.7	23.5	23.4	23.4	23.9
28	23.2	23.0	22.8	22.7	22.4	22.2	22.6	23.6	24.5	25.2	25.8	26.4	26.6	26.5	26.2	25.4	25.6	24.9	23.9	23.5	23.4	23.3	23.3	23.1	24.1
29	22.9	22.8	22.8	22.7	22.7	22.8	22.8	23.3	24.5	25.5	26.4	26.8	27.1	27.4	27.3	26.9	26.3	25.4	24.2	23.6	23.3	23.2	23.3	23.5	24.5
30	23.4	23.2	23.1	23.0	22.8	22.5	22.8	24.2	25.4	26.5	26.8	26.9	27.9	28.3	29.0	28.6	27.5	26.5	25.5	24.8	24.0	23.5	23.3	22.7	25.1
31	22.8	23.0	22.9	22.5	21.9	21.5	21.5	23.5	25.6	27.3	28.4	28.9	28.4	24.8	27.0	28.4	28.6	27.3	26.0	25.6	24.4	23.5	22.9	22.4	24.9

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 742 DATA CAPTURE 99.7%

MAX. 1HR AVG 29.2 03/24/89 13:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 29.2 03/24/89 12:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 8.5 03/10/89 01:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 21.6 STANDARD DEV. 4.5

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, ? - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-72

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 004

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR RNFL in INCH

DAY	MARCH 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.17	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
3	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	PwrF	0.01	0.00	PwrF	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01
8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.14
9	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 742 DATA CAPTURE 99.7%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 0.17 03/02/89 17:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 0.14 03/08/89 23:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0.00 03/01/89 00:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 0.00 STANDARD DEV. 0.01

KEY FOR MISSING CODES
 Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qei - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

FPL DAVIE PRECIPITATION

DATE	TIME	AMOUNT
03-01-89	0500	.01
03-02-89	1600	.04
	1700	.17
	1800	.01
03-03-89	0000	.01
	0600	.01
03-06-89	1300	.01
03-07-89	1300	.09
	1600	.02
	1900	.01
03-08-89	0300	.02
	0500	.01
	0700	.01
	2200	.05
	2300	.14
03-09-89	0000	.09
03-24-89	1600	.12
	T O T A L - - -	.82
	10.5.1-74	


WIND ROSE ANALYSIS FOR 03/01/89 TO 03/31/89

Wind Speed (mph)	D I R E C T I O N															
	N	NNE	NE	ENE	E	ESE	SE	SSE	S	SSW	SW	WSW	W	WNW	NW	NNW
> 31.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
31.0-24.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
24.0-17.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
17.0-10.0	0.5	0.7	0.0	0.1	0.4	3.2	3.2	4.4	1.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.1	3.0
10.0- 3.0	1.1	0.9	0.7	2.2	6.6	10.	17.	7.0	3.9	2.2	1.8	1.1	1.5	1.3	3.6	5.5
3.0 <																
All Speed	1.6	1.6	0.7	2.3	7.0	13.	20.	11.	5.3	2.6	1.8	1.1	1.5	1.3	8.8	8.5

EV-

Press Esc to exit analysis, or any other key to continue...

WIND ROSE ANALYSIS FOR 03/01/89 TO 03/31/89



Wind Speed (mph)

3.0
10.0
17.0
24.0
31.0

40 %

20 %

10 %

0 %

11 % calm

Ft. Lauderdale, FL

Averaging Time: 3600 sec

10.5.1-76

AIR AND METEOROLOGICAL DATA
APRIL - SEPTEMBER 1989

HUNTER/ESE PROJECT NUMBER 89 101 100

FPL PINEHURST

NO2 PRECISION CALCULATIONS

3RD & 4TH SAMPLING QUARTERS

APRIL 1, 1989 - SEPTEMBER 30, 1989

DATE	DESIRED	ACTUAL	% DIFFERENCE (D(1))
	PPB	PPB	
APR 14, 1989	92.0	83.0	-9.8
APR 17, 1989	92.0	89.0	-3.3
MAY 5, 1989	92.0	85.0	-7.6
MAY 16, 1989	92.0	85.0	-7.6
MAY 30, 1989	92.0	85.0	-7.6
JUN 7, 1989	73.0	85.0	16.4
JUN 13, 1989	71.0	65.0	-8.5
JUN 27, 1989	71.0	70.0	-1.4
AUG 22, 1989	91.0	108.0	18.7
SEP 5, 1989	91.0	90.0	-1.1

SUM OF D(1) =	-11.7
MEAN OF D(1) =	-1.2
STD. DEV. =	10.3

UPPER 95% PROBABILITY LIMIT =	19.1
LOWER 95% PROBABILITY LIMIT =	-21.4

10.5.1-78

FPL-Pinehurst
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 001

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR NO2 in PPB

DAY	APRIL 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	HOUR (EST)	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21		22
1	16	15	14	9	Cal	6	13	10	10	13	13	12	11	10	10	9	9	12	12	15	14	10	11	9	11
2	7	6	6	5	5	7	9	10	8	8	7	7	7	7	6	8	10	13	15	16	22	16	13	9	9
3	7	8	7	5	Cal	10	15	12	12	14	10	10	5	15	15	12	11	12	12	10	9	9	8	7	10
4	7	8	8	7	7	7	7	12	12	14	13	12	13	9	9	8	8	9	12	14	12	10	8	7	10
5	6	6	7	7	Cal	7	9	12	20	19	16	12	12	13	13	12	15	15	20	25	28	30	35	35	16
6	32	37	41	31	21	23	27	30	54	63	38	17	17	16	16	15	12	8	7	9	15	22	15	14	24
7	11	13	13	21	27	27	27	30	33	20	18	20	41	16	14	13	13	17	20	25	36	48	41	47	25
8	38	30	27	23	20	18	16	25	52	30	11	10	10	8	6	7	5	9	9	11	10	12	9	10	17
9	12	7	11	10	11	12	16	21	12	9	8	8	8	8	7	7	7	7	8	9	7	8	7	7	9
10	10	7	8	8	9	10	12	21	20	15	7	6	7	Cal	8	10	10	8	11	14	14	9	8	6	10
11	7	14	17	23	Cal	19	20	130	165	69	62	56	115	130	154	135	66	27	16	14	13	17	16	11	56
12	11	8	7	7	13	22	28	26	26	20	8	9	7	7	8	10	10	8	9	11	9	9	7	7	12
13	8	13	11	13	Cal	26	32	22	14	17	12	18	19	10	15	10	11	12	11	10	9	10	10	8	14
14	5	8	8	19	15	23	23	13	12	14	15	Cal	9	8	8	10	13	10	11	11	10	11	9	9	12
15	6	5	4	4	Cal	7	10	8	7	8	7	7	7	7	9	9	8	7	19	22	20	18	22	21	10
16	17	16	13	13	12	11	12	18	21	12	9	13	20	25	38	36	34	29	16	26	31	20	11	12	19
17	10	8	4	8	Cal	21	18	14	11	10	12	10	10	13	16	14	18	10	15	10	13	9	10	13	12
18	10	11	22	15	Cal	20	21	15	8	7	9	7	8	7	5	6	12	9	9	13	11	11	9	13	11
19	9	12	9	10	Cal	17	23	28	8	6	6	5	6	6	5	5	6	7	10	10	10	8	15	21	10
20	25	19	21	21	19	24	26	33	43	34	20	16	12	26	31	43	48	33	17	29	22	25	34	35	27
21	29	28	24	23	Cal	22	21	29	30	12	8	7	7	6	6	8	14	15	15	20	23	27	14	11	17
22	13	12	9	9	11	15	19	21	19	15	13	6	8	7	6	7	8	8	13	12	10	10	13	9	11
23	5	7	10	9	Cal	14	24	27	20	12	11	12	8	7	6	8	10	13	19	17	16	13	8	12	
24	8	9	13	17	24	43	49	22	21	12	34	24	22	10	13	10	12	9	10	13	13	15	17	12	18
25	17	19	15	23	Cal	31	38	53	30	28	33	32	15	13	21	27	22	13	15	23	27	31	31	25	
26	31	29	27	26	24	27	29	40	28	26	23	25	19	24	19	18	19	18	25	35	30	42	47	36	28
27	19	9	8	11	Cal	21	25	24	21	21	13	12	24	24	19	22	17	18	24	25	32	35	39	32	21
28	15	12	12	15	16	24	28	26	20	12	10	11	18	23	19	15	15	13	16	17	20	19	26	27	18
29	24	21	20	18	Cal	14	17	29	23	11	8	7	8	7	7	7	8	9	11	16	23	21	24	25	15
30	17	13	5	10	17	18	15	7	11	9	17	17	10	9	13	11	11	10	10	18	19	13	9	5	12

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 704 DATA CAPTURE 97.8%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 165 04/11/89 08:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 154 04/11/89 14:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 4 04/15/89 02:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 17 STANDARD DEV. 15

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-79

FPL PINEHURST
 FT. LAUDERDALE, FL - Site 001

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR NO2 in PPB

DAY	HOUR (EST)		MAY 1989																							DAILY AVG
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23		
1	4	4	3	3	7	9	12	11	8	8	5	7	7	7	7	9	9	11	13	12	15	21	14	9	9	
2	7	5	5	7	Cal	14	21	21	12	5	5	5	5	7	8	8	10	10	15	12	7	11	14	13	10	
3	8	11	14	20	Cal	34	38	46	24	9	7	9	8	9	11	11	15	15	14	18	19	16	12	13	17	
4	9	10	10	8	10	15	18	15	10	7	8	9	6	7	8	10	13	11	18	12	12	12	8	10	11	
5	9	9	7	8	Cal	25	22	20	23	18	10	12	22	22	25	23	20	18	16	16	10	11	12	10	16	
6	20	19	16	15	12	11	20	19	15	9	8	7	5	5	5	6	7	10	12	16	21	20	21	22	13	
7	25	25	13	9	Cal	14	13	9	8	7	8	6	7	8	8	8	9	9	13	22	24	31	36	30	15	
8	35	25	12	10	12	20	25	35	35	25	24	23	18	15	10	10	7	9	9	8	9	10	15	10	17	
9	8	8	8	8	Cal	24	28	14	10	8	7	8	12	10	16	11	11	13	15	17	14	16	13	11	13	
10	10	10	17	31	31	38	41	41	26	26	16	15	12	12	9	10	14	16	16	18	12	12	14	9	19	
11	7	10	10	16	Cal	75	54	30	18	8	8	7	6	5	8	11	23	12	16	13	17	20	28	21	18	
12	10	9	10	11	15	23	29	30	33	28	30	40	27	14	16	13	13	11	11	12	14	17	24	23	19	
13	23	18	9	20	Cal	23	23	20	37	45	15	15	12	10	7	7	9	8	7	9	8	9	9	10	15	
14	20	20	19	17	17	13	18	20	6	5	5	5	5	5	4	6	8	8	9	12	13	13	11	11	11	
15	10	12	5	7	Cal	15	21	27	18	12	9	11	10	17	15	16	24	26	20	26	27	24	26	25	18	
16	23	19	15	16	Cal	15	20	24	23	21	13	8	26	20	15	16	17	23	22	24	25	25	20	11	19	
17	10	10	10	18	Cal	18	25	30	40	15	9	15	13	10	9	9	10	15	20	24	25	30	25	30	18	
18	20	10	9	13	14	12	16	23	31	20	13	16	9	8	8	10	9	8	7	5	4	4	3	12		
19	2	6	5	5	Cal	12	16	12	13	9	7	7	7	6	9	8	9	9	10	11	11	13	11	10	9	
20	12	15	15	13	21	35	41	41	9	10	8	8	9	14	15	15	10	9	7	7	8	8	9	19	15	
21	18	20	17	27	Cal	22	22	20	10	7	8	20	45	30	24	25	18	7	11	12	14	14	23	25	19	
22	28	21	15	10	10	23	28	23	9	7	9	8	8	7	9	8	11	16	17	11	17	16	14	9	14	
23	12	9	9	11	Cal	26	26	21	12	11	11	10	8	7	8	9	10	11	12	14	12	16	20	17	13	
24	20	16	8	10	12	17	23	26	15	10	11	13	15	10	11	20	34	24	31	32	34	32	32	31	20	
25	35	25	20	19	Cal	18	20	19	15	10	11	10	12	89	8	9	8	8	8	15	20	25	23	20	19	
26	30	30	25	25	20	20	18	20	25	40	15	10	7	8	5	7	8	9	10	12	15	15	13	13	17	
27	22	17	21	20	Cal	19	22	36	15	13	10	6	4	5	5	6	4	8	6	8	10	15	14	14	13	
28	14	13	13	5	4	13	8	15	10	5	6	6	4	4	2	5	4	4	8	10	9	10	10	8	8	
29	7	8	9	9	Cal	9	10	9	7	5	5	6	5	6	5	5	5	5	6	6	7	6	6	7	7	
30	7	8	9	6	Cal	11	13	13	10	5	8	5	4	6	4	6	5	5	7	7	6	6	7	6	7	
31	8	4	4	6	Cal	10	10	8	7	6	5	6	4	10	6	4	5	4	6	7	6	4	5	4	6	

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 726 DATA CAPTURE 97.6%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 89 05/25/89 13:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 75 05/11/89 05:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 2 05/19/89 00:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 14 STANDARD DEV. 9

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, Pwrf - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-80

FPL PINEHURST
 FT. LAUDERDALE, FL - Site 001

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR NO2 in PPB

DAY	JUNE 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	HOUR (EST) 00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	4	4	4	3	7	12	15	11	6	7	6	7	5	5	8	6	6	7	7	8	10	7	7	8	7
2	8	7	7	7	Cal	14	16	11	8	5	5	4	4	4	6	4	7	5	6	9	8	9	10	8	7
3	4	5	5	7	6	12	12	7	5	6	4	5	5	4	4	6	7	8	9	7	6	8	8	7	6
4	7	4	3	3	Cal	8	10	5	5	4	6	4	6	5	9	6	5	6	6	7	7	6	5	4	6
5	5	6	7	6	12	24	21	11	8	7	6	5	6	5	6	6	8	9	9	11	9	8	6	5	8
6	4	5	4	6	Cal	15	17	16	9	6	6	6	6	5	7	7	12	18	25	14	19	13	18	24	11
7	24	24	15	15	18	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	16	19	26	18	11	9	10	Bad<
8	12	14	16	9	Cal	19	24	16	10	8	11	11	10	11	11	10	10	8	10	10	10	9	8	7	11
9	6	4	3	2	4	8	11	10	7	5	5	7	5	5	5	6	7	8	8	12	15	19	21	18	8
10	14	16	13	11	Cal	12	9	7	4	5	5	5	3	4	3	4	5	6	11	14	11	6	6	8	8
11	4	3	4	6	3	9	9	5	3	4	4	4	3	8	7	9	5	5	7	7	7	8	8	6	6
12	5	5	7	8	Cal	12	13	8	7	6	5	5	5	5	6	4	6	6	6	6	7	6	8	10	7
13	12	11	12	11	Cal	14	20	13	9	6	6	6	5	6	4	4	6	4	7	9	7	10	7	11	9
14	8	10	14	13	Cal	12	15	23	8	5	7	6	5	4	6	5	6	5	9	7	9	11	13	11	9
15	13	7	6	2	4	7	10	10	8	6	6	6	5	6	6	6	6	6	5	10	9	8	9	5	7
16	5	3	4	4	Cal	8	11	8	6	5	6	7	5	4	6	6	6	6	6	7	9	7	7	5	6
17	6	4	4	6	7	8	8	6	5	6	4	6	5	8	6	6	6	7	8	9	11	11	8	8	7
18	12	7	9	12	Cal	8	7	6	3	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	4	3	5	5	6	9	7	11	6
19	6	4	8	10	12	16	15	8	7	8	9	9	9	9	7	11	11	14	13	13	13	9	8	5	10
20	5	6	5	6	Cal	18	20	14	9	7	8	6	6	6	8	8	10	7	10	11	13	11	11	9	9
21	9	10	7	8	11	13	15	9	6	6	6	7	5	7	9	6	10	8	10	12	14	7	21	18	10
22	22	20	16	13	Cal	14	16	10	14	15	18	22	25	12	13	11	10	10	25	20	11	8	7	9	15
23	12	11	8	9	12	17	18	14	8	7	9	21	12	8	12	13	12	11	13	16	22	18	21	17	13
24	14	13	12	10	Cal	22	20	13	15	18	18	19	15	13	9	12	9	11	12	19	25	27	25	23	16
25	23	21	25	23	22	19	20	22	25	24	17	16	10	8	11	10	13	19	19	21	17	31	33	20	19
26	12	11	7	6	Cal	24	27	23	13	10	9	22	30	22	40	37	35	37	41	39	33	26	27	28	24
27	26	21	21	24	Cal	26	28	30	25	16	13	24	20	23	27	23	24	27	22	34	34	31	31	30	25
28	28	16	17	17	Cal	16	21	30	21	29	24	16	18	24	20	24	28	29	30	27	26	28	27	23	23
29	19	20	18	14	14	12	20	27	32	23	19	16	16	15	10	22	24	24	24	27	27	24	21	23	20
30	17	16	15	14	Cal	14	22	40	38	22	13	49	28	23	28	30	44	23	22	36	33	28	20	16	26

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 691 DATA CAPTURE 96.0%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 49 06/30/89 11:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 44 06/30/89 16:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 2 06/15/89 03:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 12 STANDARD DEV. 8

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-81

FPL PINEHURST
 FT. LAUDERDALE, FL - Site 001
 HOURLY AVERAGES FOR NO2 In PPB

		JULY 1989																							DAILY		
HOUR (EST)		00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	AVG	
DAY																											
1	11	9	10	8	8	11	14	15	16	12	9	10	10	25	15	10	19	18	22	20	19	18	20	21	15		
2	18	18	18	11	Cal	6	6	9	11	11	10	20	16	9	8	11	14	14	9	12	19	13	20	24	13		
3	22	23	22	24	21	16	20	21	28	20	13	15	25	17	12	21	26	32	19	18	15	17	21	24	20		
4	22	23	21	17	Cal	11	13	11	5	5	5	7	15	11	10	10	19	24	31	43	43	40	37	36	20		
5	30	12	12	15	20	32	25	37	37	37	32	38	29	13	11	13	25	12	13	19	23	24	18	15	22		
6	12	17	21	17	Cal	20	32	31	15	19	12	8	7	5	7	9	9	8	7	11	16	18	12	13	14		
7	7	6	6	8	6	9	13	16	14	14	19	11	14	15	14	9	7	7	7	8	12	15	15	15	11		
8	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss
9	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss
10	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss
11	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss
12	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss
13	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss
14	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss
15	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss
16	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss
17	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss
18	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss
19	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss
20	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss
21	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss
22	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss
23	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss
24	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss
25	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss
26	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss
27	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss
28	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss
29	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss
30	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss
31	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 165 DATA CAPTURE 22.2%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 43 07/04/89 20:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 43 07/04/89 19:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 5 07/04/89 08:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 16 STANDARD DEV. 8

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, Pwrf - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-82

FPL PINEHURST
 FT. LAUDERDALE, FL - Site 001

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR NO2 in PPB

DAY	AUGUST 1989																							DAILY AVG		
	HOUR (EST) 00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23	
1	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss		
2	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss		
3	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss		
4	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss		
5	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss		
6	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss		
7	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss		
8	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss		
9	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss		
10	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss		
11	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss		
12	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss		
13	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss		
14	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	49	44	38	34	30	Bad<
15	24	31	29	32	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	17	13	11	10	16	24	24	22	26	26	28	33	31	31	Bad<	
16	27	25	23	21	19	20	23	26	24	22	17	24	26	21	19	24	54	59	42	19	13	21	19	16	25	
17	13	22	25	14	Cal	32	33	39	38	27	24	36	37	11	11	10	12	12	15	15	12	15	27	17	21	
18	9	10	14	11	13	22	26	31	26	17	12	11	9	12	9	17	18	13	16	11	11	12	10	15	15	
19	10	10	8	13	Cal	12	19	13	20	10	13	12	20	19	14	18	12	13	11	9	13	17	11	8	13	
20	10	9	7	9	9	10	13	9	15	20	12	8	7	7	6	6	7	7	10	12	11	10	10	10	10	
21	8	7	7	13	Cal	22	23	36	29	21	15	12	12	16	14	11	8	11	13	14	18	29	29	27	17	
22	27	24	11	14	Cal	21	30	18	11	12	10	12	17	8	8	11	10	9	9	11	11	9	9	9	13	
23	11	12	10	5	Cal	17	20	16	11	9	6	7	6	6	7	7	8	6	10	8	7	12	12	12	10	
24	12	10	8	10	9	15	19	14	9	11	6	7	8	8	13	11	7	7	11	10	12	10	9	12	10	
25	7	6	7	11	Cal	23	23	13	9	8	8	12	16	13	10	8	8	6	8	6	12	12	10	9	11	
26	7	9	10	14	12	18	20	20	19	17	14	9	11	24	11	14	9	9	16	15	14	14	18	15	14	
27	15	16	18	18	Cal	19	18	24	12	7	14	20	18	10	10	6	10	10	8	10	10	11	10	11	13	
28	11	9	11	19	16	19	20	16	9	7	5	6	7	4	6	4	7	5	8	7	7	8	13	12	10	
29	10	7	5	6	Cal	17	23	16	6	5	6	5	5	6	6	6	6	7	6	9	6	6	6	8	8	
30	8	8	10	9	16	19	24	16	10	11	12	10	12	13	10	10	16	17	11	10	18	13	17	16	13	
31	19	13	12	12	Cal	18	19	15	16	8	7	10	15	10	8	7	10	10	12	13	12	9	11	11	12	

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 398 DATA CAPTURE 53.5%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 59 08/16/89 17:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 54 08/16/89 16:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 4 08/28/89 15:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 14 STANDARD DEV. 8

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-83

FPL PINEHURST
 FT. LAUDERDALE, FL - Site 001

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR NO2 in PPB

DAY	SEPTEMBER 1989																							DAILY AVG		
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23	
1	8	9	4	5	10	19	16	19	17	7	7	10	9	14	10	10	8	8	11	11	12	11	11	8	10	
2	11	17	19	19	Cal	17	16	20	21	11	12	10	7	5	4	7	12	14	13	18	27	26	26	23	15	
3	18	20	21	19	13	10	15	17	23	13	6	5	3	3	4	5	4	4	7	10	14	12	14	17	11	
4	12	8	8	5	Cal	17	13	9	7	6	5	8	10	6	6	4	7	12	17	8	8	11	14	15	9	
5	12	7	19	12	Cal	24	22	18	16	13	12	8	8	8	13	9	8	11	11	16	10	8	6	7	12	
6	5	11	14	12	Cal	18	22	20	8	7	10	5	5	6	5	6	3	6	7	5	5	6	5	5	8	
7	5	4	3	4	7	9	17	19	5	4	3	3	4	5	5	6	5	6	7	8	9	7	5	4	6	
8	3	4	3	3	Cal	24	25	29	25	18	12	10	5	6	9	11	6	8	13	14	16	24	27	28	14	
9	25	21	14	8	10	11	19	17	5	5	4	4	6	6	6	5	5	8	6	6	22	24	23	11		
10	20	20	20	18	Cal	15	15	10	6	4	4	6	4	5	4	5	6	5	8	8	7	6	6	4	9	
11	7	8	6	6	9	16	30	22	7	6	5	4	4	6	5	5	7	6	8	8	7	8	6	6	8	
12	5	6	7	4	Cal	15	23	13	8	5	5	6	5	5	7	6	7	8	5	8	6	5	6	5	7	
13	3	4	4	4	10	19	31	18	7	6	5	5	5	6	5	4	7	6	8	7	9	13	10	7	8	
14	7	13	14	13	Cal	20	27	30	16	12	24	6	7	6	8	7	7	4	6	5	5	6	6	6	11	
15	5	4	2	3	8	8	10	6	4	3	4	3	4	5	7	6	6	6	8	6	7	8	7	5		
16	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss	
17	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss	
18	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss	
19	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss	
20	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss	
21	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss	
22	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss	
23	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss	
24	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss	
25	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss	
26	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss	
27	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss	
28	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss	
29	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss	
30	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Qai	Miss	

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 352 DATA CAPTURE 48.9%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 31 09/13/89 06:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 30 09/14/89 07:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 2 09/15/89 02:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 10 STANDARD DEV. 6

KEY FOR MISSING CODES
 Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-84

HUNTER/ESE PROJECT NUMBER 89 101 100

FPL PINEHURST

SO2 PRECISION CALCULATIONS

3RD & 4TH SAMPLING QUARTERS

APRIL 1, 1989 - AUGUST 31, 1989

DATE	DESIRED PPB	ACTUAL PPB	% DIFFERENCE (D(I))
APR 11, 1989	94.0	95.0	1.1
APR 13, 1989	94.0	97.0	3.2
APR 18, 1989	94.0	95.0	1.1
APR 21, 1989	94.0	95.0	1.1
APR 24, 1989	94.0	96.0	2.1
APR 25, 1989	94.0	96.0	2.1
APR 26, 1989	94.0	96.0	2.1
MAY 2, 1989	94.0	97.0	3.2
MAY 9, 1989	94.0	97.0	3.2
MAY 16, 1989	94.0	98.0	4.3
MAY 23, 1989	94.0	96.0	2.1
MAY 30, 1989	94.0	101.0	7.4
JUN 6, 1989	94.0	96.0	2.1
JUN 13, 1989	98.0	98.0	0.0
JUN 20, 1989	98.0	98.0	0.0
JUN 27, 1989	98.0	98.0	0.0
JUL 4, 1989	98.0	97.0	-1.0
JUL 11, 1989	98.0	98.0	0.0
JUL 18, 1989	98.0	81.0	-17.3
JUL 25, 1989	98.0	97.0	-1.0
AUG 1, 1989	98.0	98.0	0.0
AUG 8, 1989	98.0	97.0	-1.0

SUM OF D(I) = 14.7

MEAN OF D(I) = 0.7

STD. DEV. = 4.5

UPPER 95% PROBABILITY LIMIT = 9.5

LOWER 95% PROBABILITY LIMIT = -8.1

10.5.1-85

FPL-Pinehurst
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 001

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR SO2 in PPB

DAY	APRIL 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	HOUR (EST) 00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	1	2	2	2	4	3	8	10	6	4	8	1	1	1	2
3	4	7	10	10	8	5	5	2	5	5	2	2	2	12	Cal	10	6	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	6
4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	4	6	2	2	4	4	2	2	2
5	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1
6	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	5	5	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	3	6	46	6	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	4
8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
10	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	Cal	Cal	Cal	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
11	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
12	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	0	1	1	1	Cal	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
13	5	13	18	18	11	8	14	10	11	29	18	36	Cal	Cal	15	7	8	6	2	0	0	0	0	1	10
14	1	2	2	8	5	14	18	8	13	22	18	2	4	5	Cal	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	2	1	5
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	0
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	4	1
18	3	3	Cal	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0
19	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	16	8	6	7	2	Cal	0	17	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	3
21	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	Cal	2	1	0	2	3	1	1	1	0	0	1	3	4	4	1	0	1
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	0	2	2	1	0	0	0	1	12	8	7	3	1	0	2	1	2	2	4	3	2	2	1	1	2
24	1	2	3	3	4	6	21	6	17	3	53	26	26	Cal	Cal	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	8
25	1	0	Cal	0	1	2	4	5	12	11	43	40	12	6	22	43	12	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
26	1	1	0	0	1	1	2	Cal	Cal	3	5	4	3	7	Cal	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	2	2
27	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	8	6	4	9	21	11	14	2	2	3	4	3	7	5	4	
28	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	1	2	8	3	2	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
29	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
30	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 696 DATA CAPTURE 96.7%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 53 04/24/89 10:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 46 04/07/89 12:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0 04/01/89 00:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 2 STANDARD DEV. 5
 NAAQS Comparison: MAX. 3 HR AVG 35 (500) 04/24/89 12:00:00
 MAX. 24 HR AVG 10 (140) 04/13/89 18:00:00

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-86

FPL PINEHURST
 FT. LAUDERDALE, FL - Site 001

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR SO2 in PPB

DAY	MAY 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	HOUR (EST.) 00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	3	2	1
3	1	1	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	3	5	7	13	14	13	7	10	4	2
4	4	5	1	1	2	4	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	Cal	2	6	4	7	4	0	1	0	1	2
5	0	0	0	3	10	15	10	8	5	5	0	12	15	25	22	47	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
7	1	1	0	0	0	1	3	5	4	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	2	2	1	1
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0
9	4	2	Cal	8	8	5	8	2	3	1	1	1	2	1	4	2	2	6	6	2	1	1	1	0	3
10	1	0	0	0	1	1	4	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
11	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
12	0	1	3	4	4	4	3	4	3	5	13	14	14	5	Cal	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	4
13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	0	0	Cal	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	4	1	Cal	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	Cal	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
20	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	2	1	2	1	5	11	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1
21	3	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	16	66	46	32	45	29	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11
22	1	1	1	1	0	1	3	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	Cal	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
23	1	1	Cal	0	0	0	1	2	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
24	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0
25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	15	13	10	5	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
27	4	1	2	1	0	1	1	1	1	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	8	8	5	2
28	6	10	10	1	0	0	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	Cal	4	1	1	2	5	3	2	5	1	2
29	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	0	0	Cal	3	0	1	3	2	5	2	8	1	1	6	Cal	1	1	1	2	3	6	6	7	1	3
31	11	4	5	7	7	7	6	3	2	3	1	4	1	3	1	0	1	0	1	3	2	0	0	0	3

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 724 DATA CAPTURE 97.3%

MAX. 1HR AVG 66 05/21/89 12:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 47 05/05/89 15:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 0 05/01/89 00:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 2 STANDARD DEV. 5

NAAQS Comparison: MAX. 3 HR AVG 48 (500) 05/21/89 14:00:00
 MAX. 24 HR AVG 11 (140) 05/21/89 22:00:00

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-87

FPL PINEHURST
 FT. LAUDERDALE, FL - Site 001
 HOURLY AVERAGES FOR SO2 in PPB

DAY	JUNE 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	HOUR (EST) 00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	5	3	4	1	1	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	2	1
2	2	3	4	2	2	2	4	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Bad<
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	Cal	14	13	3	3	6	5	4	10	5	3
12	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	15	Cal	6	11	3	3	4	10	14	4	2	3	3
18	5	2	5	15	2	1	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	2
19	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	10	15	11	18	26	Cal	0	2	6	4	1	1	0	0	0	4
20	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	4	0	2	0	0	3	2	3	12	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
23	1	2	0	0	5	6	7	5	3	2	2	1	6	3	Cal	5	5	5	3	6	7	10	10	13	4
24	2	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
27	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
28	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
29	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	8	2	4	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 690 DATA CAPTURE 95.8%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 26 06/19/89 13:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 18 06/19/89 12:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0 06/01/89 00:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 1 STANDARD DEV. 2
 NAAQS Comparison: MAX. 3 HR AVG 18 (500) 06/19/89 13:00:00
 MAX. 24 HR AVG 5 (140) 06/18/89 07:00:00

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

FPL PINEHURST
 FT. LAUDERDALE, FL - Site 001

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR SO2 in PPB

DAY	JULY 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	9	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	Cal	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
5	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	0	Cal	1	11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
6	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	3	2	11	4	1	1	2	7	5	3	2	12	4	5	3	3	3
7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	8	21	12	13	20	Cal	4	1	1	3	1	6	18	18	20	7
8	3	2	1	1	2	2	2	5	4	10	6	5	20	7	3	2	4	3	6	2	5	5	2	2	4
9	5	2	6	5	3	9	8	5	4	6	23	12	3	1	Cal	1	1	2	3	1	2	2	1	1	4
10	1	1	1	1	1	4	6	2	1	1	2	5	5	1	2	4	9	3	3	1	2	2	3	4	3
11	4	10	Cal	1	1	1	3	4	3	7	19	22	1	2	Cal	16	61	9	2	1	2	1	1	1	8
12	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	6	35	45	46	50	36	22	34	17	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	13
13	1	1	1	0	0	1	3	3	2	2	1	3	2	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	3	2	1
14	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	3	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1
15	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	Cal	4	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0
20	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	1	2	0	1	1	0	1	1	2	3	2	2	2	1	2	1	1
23	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	3	1	1	Cal	1	3	3	1	1	1	2	1	1	1
24	1	0	0	1	2	5	6	11	8	8	2	4	2	3	8	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	3
25	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
26	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	5	7	3	5	3	3	5	3	4	13	4	4	1	1	1	1	1	3
27	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	12	18	PwrF	5	11	19	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4
28	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	4	13	36	22	11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4
29	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	1	7	Cal	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1
30	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
31	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	4	7	5	8	6	11	12	Cal	2	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	3

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 723 DATA CAPTURE 97.2%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 61 07/11/89 16:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 50 07/12/89 11:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0 07/01/89 03:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 2 STANDARD DEV. 6
 NAAQS Comparison: MAX. 3 HR AVG 47 (500) 07/12/89 11:00:00
 MAX. 24 HR AVG 16 (140) 07/12/89 15:00:00

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-89

FPL PINEHURST
 FT. LAUDERDALE, FL - Site 001
 HOURLY AVERAGES FOR SO2 in PPB

DAY	AUGUST 1989																							DAILY AVG		
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23	
1	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	2	3	5	6	9	2	7	4	1	2	3	3	2	2	1	15	2	2	3	
2	8	3	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	3	2	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
3	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	6	3	PwrF	2	14	14	8	4	4	3	4	2	3	2	3	3	1	4	
4	1	1	0	1	0	1	2	2	3	3	3	3	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	3	3	4	4	1	1	2	1	6	5	3	2	
6	6	3	2	3	2	1	1	2	8	4	3	5	8	11	Cal	3	44	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	
7	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	10	16	5	1	2	2	1	1	1	4	3	1	1	2	
8	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	2	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	Cal	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	
9	1	2	2	3	2	3	2	2	2	1	2	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
10	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	3	4	2	2	2	Cal	Bad<	Cal	1	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	
11	1	1	1	2	1	1	3	4	3	1	1	1	2	12	9	1	1	1	1	1	3	12	8	7	3	
12	5	3	3	1	1	1	2	3	4	2	10	4	5	3	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	2
13	0	0	0	0	3	2	9	7	6	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	2	
14	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	3	1	2	2	1	1	Cal	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
15	1	1	Cal	1	1	2	2	4	3	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
16	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	4	4	3	6	5	3	Cal	1	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	1	0	2
17	0	0	1	0	1	1	2	2	2	2	22	42	52	3	4	4	1	1	1	1	3	2	1	3	6	
18	1	1	2	4	2	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	Cal	3	7	5	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	
19	1	5	5	17	11	3	1	3	42	5	24	4	10	7	5	6	1	1	1	1	2	7	2	6	7	
20	6	2	8	10	7	2	1	1	3	2	1	1	0	0	Cal	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	2	
21	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	4	2	6	11	9	15	23	13	3	2	2	7	6	5	1	1	1	5	
22	1	1	Cal	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	26	6	Cal	8	5	1	2	2	3	2	3	4	3	
23	2	2	1	1	3	2	3	4	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	1	1	1	
24	3	6	2	2	1	1	2	1	1	6	2	1	1	1	Cal	17	1	3	2	1	5	5	4	2	3	
25	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	24	18	19	12	7	2	2	1	1	5	4	2	1	4	
26	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	4	15	36	Cal	20	2	5	5	4	7	1	2	1	5	
27	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	16	38	33	21	12	12	19	18	4	2	3	3	2	1	8	
28	3	4	1	1	1	1	2	2	3	2	1	1	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1
29	2	1	Cal	1	0	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	2	1
30	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	6	3	10	44	Cal	10	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4
31	1	1	1	0	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	27	32	2	1	4	10	1	3	2	3	1	1	1	4	

10.5.1-90

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 721 DATA CAPTURE 96.9%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 52 08/17/89 12:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 44 08/30/89 13:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0 08/13/89 03:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 3 STANDARD DEV. 6
 NAAQS Comparison: MAX. 3 HR AVG 39 (500) 08/17/89 12:00:00
 MAX. 24 HR AVG 8 (140) 08/28/89 08:00:00

KEY FOR MISSING CODES
 Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

FPL PINEHURST
 FT. LAUDERDALE, FL - Site 001

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR SO2 in PPB

DAY	SEPTEMBER 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	28	40	Cal	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4
2	0	1	1	1	0	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	4	1
3	4	2	2	2	1	0	1	3	5	2	1	1	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	4	5	2
4	11	3	1	1	1	4	13	2	4	2	4	16	9	13	4	2	1	1	1	1	7	2	2	5	4
5	3	4	Cal	2	8	13	15	7	5	9	5	7	8	6	Cal	4	2	4	3	2	6	2	1	1	5
6	1	7	3	1	1	1	2	2	1	2	9	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
7	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
8	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
9	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	2	4	1	3	2	1	1
10	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
11	2	1	1	3	4	5	3	3	2	2	1	1	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
12	1	1	Cal	1	1	2	2	3	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
13	0	0	1	1	1	2	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	2	3	3	2	2	1
14	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	6	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
15	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	Cal	4	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	1
16	1	2	1	1	9	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	2	1
17	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	4	3	20	43	13	Cal	7	7	5	5	2	1	1	1	1	5
18	1	2	2	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	5	5	1	8	4	5	1	1	1	1	1	2
19	1	1	Cal	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Cal	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
20	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	3	5	2	1	1	1
21	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Cal	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1
22	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	6	7	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	2	2	1	1	1	Bad<
23	1	1	1	1	1	4	5	4	2	1	3	1	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
24	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	4	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
25	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
26	0	0	Cal	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1
27	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	9	4	1	Cal	3	1	1	2	4	6	3	2	3	2
28	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	6	2
29	6	9	11	5	11	4	12	7	11	16	19	32	16	8	Cal	5	4	4	6	7	6	8	7	6	9
30	9	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	5	7	2	2

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 691 DATA CAPTURE 96.0%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 43 09/17/89 12:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 40 09/01/89 13:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0 09/02/89 00:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 2 STANDARD DEV. 4
 NAAQS Comparison: MAX. 3 HR AVG 25 (500) 09/17/89 13:00:00
 MAX. 24 HR AVG 10 (140) 09/30/89 00:00:00

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-91

FPL PINEHURST
FT. LAUDERDALE, FL - Site 001

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR SO2 in PPB

DAY	SEPTEMBER 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	HOUR (EST) 00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	28	40	Cal	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4
2	0	1	1	1	0	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	4	1
3	4	2	2	2	1	0	1	3	5	2	1	1	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	7	4	5	5	2
4	11	3	1	1	1	4	13	2	4	2	4	16	9	13	4	2	1	1	1	1	7	2	2	5	4
5	3	4	Cal	2	8	13	15	7	5	9	5	7	8	6	Cal	4	2	4	3	2	6	2	1	1	5
6	1	7	3	1	1	1	2	2	1	2	9	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
7	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
8	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
9	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	2	4	1	3	2	1	1
10	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
11	2	1	1	3	4	5	3	3	2	2	1	1	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
12	1	1	Cal	1	1	2	2	3	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
13	0	0	1	1	1	2	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	2	3	3	2	2	1
14	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	6	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
15	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	Cal	4	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	1
16	1	2	1	1	9	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	2	1
17	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	4	3	20	43	13	Cal	7	7	5	5	2	1	1	1	1	5
18	1	2	2	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	5	5	1	8	4	5	1	1	1	1	1	2
19	1	1	Cal	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Cal	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
20	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	3	5	2	1	1	1
21	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Cal	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1
22	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	6	7	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	2	2	1	1	1	Bad<
23	1	1	1	1	1	4	5	4	2	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
24	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	4	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
25	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
26	0	0	Cal	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1
27	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	9	4	1	Cal	3	1	1	2	4	6	3	2	3	2
28	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	6	2
29	6	9	11	5	11	4	12	7	11	16	19	32	16	8	Cal	5	4	4	6	7	6	8	7	6	9
30	9	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	5	7	2	2

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 691 DATA CAPTURE 96.0%

MAX. 1HR AVG 43 09/17/89 12:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 40 09/01/89 13:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 0 09/02/89 00:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 2 STANDARD DEV. 4

MAAQs Comparison: MAX. 3 HR AVG 25 (500) 09/17/89 13:00:00
MAX. 24 HR AVG 10 (140) 09/30/89 00:00:00

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-92

HUNTER/ESE PROJECT NUMBER 89 101 100

FPL DAVIE

SO2 PRECISION CALCULATIONS

3RD & 4TH SAMPLING QUARTERS

APRIL 1, 1989 - SEPTEMBER 30, 1989

DATE	DESIRED	ACTUAL	% DIFFERENCE (D(1))
	PPB	PPB	
APR 9, 1989	90.0	97.0	7.8
APR 23, 1989	90.0	97.0	7.8
MAY 21, 1989	90.0	100.0	11.1
JUN 4, 1989	90.0	100.0	11.1
JUN 18, 1989	90.0	95.0	5.6
JUL 2, 1989	91.0	106.0	16.5
JUL 30, 1989	91.0	94.0	3.3
AUG 13, 1989	91.0	97.0	6.6
AUG 27, 1989	91.0	97.0	6.6
SEP 10, 1989	91.0	97.0	6.6

SUM OF D(1) = 82.9

MEAN OF D(1) = 8.3

STD. DEV. = 3.7

UPPER 95% PROBABILITY LIMIT = 15.6

LOWER 95% PROBABILITY LIMIT = 1.0

10.5.1-93

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR RNFL in INCH

DAY	APRIL 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	HOUR (EST) 00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00
4	0.01	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00
15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.86	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05
16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.01	0.07	0.01	0.69	0.00	0.05	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04
21	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.67	0.13	0.10	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 720 DATA CAPTURE 100.0%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 0.86 04/15/89 17:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 0.69 04/20/89 17:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0.00 04/01/89 00:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 0.01 STANDARD DEV. 0.05

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Badc - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-94

FPL DAVIE PRECIPITATION

DATE	TIME	AMOUNT
4-1-89	0300	0.30
4-4-89	0000	0.01
	0100	0.04
	0800	0.03
	1800	0.01
4-15-89	1600	0.23
	1700	0.86
4-16-89	1400	0.32
4-17-89	1700	0.03
	1800	0.11
4-18-89	0500	0.03
	0900	0.04
	1000	0.01
	1900	0.01
4-20-89	1300	0.18
	1400	0.01
	1500	0.07
	1600	0.01
	1700	0.69
	1900	0.05
	2000	0.01
4-21-89	0000	0.01
4-30-89	0800	0.67
	0900	0.13
	1000	0.10
	1200	0.01
	TOTAL	3.97

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR WD in DGS

DAY	APRIL 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	HOUR (EST) 00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	249	225	227	284	283	303	313	340	349	8	8	5	28	58	57	60	60	43	44	31	23	7	7	7	126
2	11	349	353	1	7	9	58	87	90	87	92	99	91	102	95	109	99	111	102	85	69	68	344	32	106
3	84	115	117	118	116	119	123	117	120	123	123	123	122	115	121	115	118	112	103	107	106	115	124	137	116
4	145	155	123	127	132	132	125	129	128	127	125	128	128	125	124	129	127	121	116	119	118	120	126	133	128
5	123	127	139	147	148	146	145	143	139	148	151	149	140	140	145	160	209	179	164	193	211	223	229	277	166
6	300	262	260	206	211	228	285	318	341	330	339	339	333	329	326	65	62	72	70	70	72	65	347	293	230
7	322	307	311	317	300	280	299	344	323	160	231	230	241	220	222	248	263	268	283	281	283	298	303	303	277
8	310	308	250	200	203	316	298	301	349	350	156	107	128	140	134	132	142	154	158	169	187	215	257	236	217
9	238	167	97	129	344	353	13	95	152	153	130	126	128	140	145	144	143	140	149	148	141	112	18	148	148
10	60	42	45	86	102	145	145	149	158	161	155	153	138	139	131	131	135	139	140	139	143	147	149	153	129
11	215	215	257	256	274	285	282	300	327	20	359	3	15	73	116	70	66	64	64	39	42	5	347	2	154
12	3	359	352	357	344	343	343	347	351	25	54	106	107	116	99	95	86	91	87	82	77	81	76	84	169
13	90	95	95	76	30	2	353	38	115	113	123	119	128	118	115	116	113	98	87	87	77	72	52	46	98
14	48	72	92	108	72	9	98	92	116	115	118	121	134	130	120	126	128	122	120	118	117	117	117	103	105
15	136	130	153	156	150	148	151	157	156	163	169	166	163	163	182	197	295	273	218	101	146	142	248	250	176
16	251	145	70	133	337	296	90	265	296	299	293	315	125	141	117	56	31	38	49	21	28	64	63	159	159
17	21	45	58	106	82	48	58	80	89	89	113	119	119	158	115	99	95	89	56	74	77	84	62	52	83
18	348	324	322	336	337	1	27	77	82	53	85	85	80	75	70	71	77	73	68	85	68	47	40	336	132
19	24	63	36	343	316	335	356	27	56	65	76	76	81	69	80	86	83	82	84	91	79	84	31	324	123
20	281	319	32	325	331	328	302	196	342	183	167	141	125	104	352	60	317	327	18	68	85	134	175	253	207
21	295	280	270	288	293	316	313	308	309	314	314	323	308	302	320	341	358	353	34	41	25	8	3	352	253
22	332	334	338	336	332	334	342	347	330	336	21	77	91	90	89	73	76	80	68	64	52	47	16	354	190
23	55	102	57	253	284	305	344	24	129	114	113	98	101	99	98	94	95	95	87	90	87	83	66	60	122
24	66	356	57	63	87	50	37	109	120	114	121	127	126	119	92	96	95	93	92	93	94	108	129	102	106
25	315	306	340	355	16	344	4	5	166	48	10	337	98	114	114	117	120	126	123	132	160	177	175	188	162
26	188	175	162	195	169	264	294	270	238	192	293	31	356	116	124	122	122	133	147	158	176	186	203	208	188
27	228	269	278	284	307	310	304	308	344	349	327	339	296	90	108	128	141	147	167	180	191	208	219	233	240
28	251	255	274	306	327	340	317	297	288	284	274	253	209	168	138	145	155	155	163	167	169	187	243	238	234
29	246	333	62	283	332	293	1	15	92	147	137	139	137	141	128	123	133	145	147	143	100	39	71	105	145
30	158	107	53	174	125	138	150	135	141	210	118	108	137	159	153	151	130	132	133	124	132	133	133	136	136

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 720 DATA CAPTURE 100.0%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 359 04/11/89 10:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 359 04/12/89 01:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 1 04/02/89 03:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 161 STANDARD DEV. 100

KEY FOR MISSING CODES
 Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-96

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR WS in MPH

DAY	APRIL 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	3	4	5	6	6	8	7	7	8	7	7	7	8	10	10	9	9	8	7	4	4	4	4	7	
2	3	2	3	2	3	3	3	7	9	10	10	11	10	10	9	9	10	9	7	4	3	2	5	6	
3	3	7	7	7	5	6	6	9	11	12	12	12	12	13	12	13	11	12	11	13	13	11	10	10	
4	5	5	7	8	9	8	9	9	11	14	14	13	13	14	13	13	12	9	8	8	8	9	9	10	
5	9	10	9	10	7	7	7	9	10	12	13	11	11	13	13	10	6	5	7	5	4	4	3	8	
6	3	3	2	2	2	3	4	7	10	11	10	9	8	8	7	8	6	7	5	4	3	4	2	6	
7	5	5	4	3	5	3	3	3	2	3	7	8	9	9	11	11	11	12	8	8	9	9	8	7	
8	5	4	3	2	2	3	2	4	4	3	2	5	6	5	6	10	10	9	7	6	5	3	3	5	
9	3	6	3	6	7	6	6	5	4	6	5	7	9	11	11	12	10	9	8	6	4	1	3	7	
10	2	3	4	6	6	4	3	4	8	10	9	7	9	8	9	10	10	7	5	4	4	4	4	6	
11	3	3	3	3	4	6	6	7	4	3	3	2	2	0	7	6	8	9	7	8	7	7	7	5	
12	6	5	6	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	7	8	8	8	8	8	7	4	5	6	4	6	6	
13	5	6	5	4	2	3	3	3	7	8	8	7	7	8	9	9	10	10	9	8	5	4	3	5	6
14	3	3	4	4	3	3	1	6	8	9	10	11	12	12	13	13	11	9	9	9	8	9	8	8	
15	6	9	7	7	8	7	8	12	13	14	14	15	15	15	15	11	19	10	5	4	3	4	2	9	
16	3	3	5	3	7	3	1	1	4	5	4	3	4	8	4	5	3	3	4	3	3	3	4	4	
17	2	4	3	5	4	3	3	5	8	8	9	9	9	5	7	8	7	6	5	8	5	5	3	6	
18	1	4	3	4	3	3	3	4	8	8	6	8	9	10	11	11	10	8	6	4	3	3	5	6	
19	3	4	3	5	3	2	2	3	4	5	5	6	8	8	10	9	10	8	7	6	4	3	4	5	
20	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	3	9	8	5	2	3	9	7	4	6	2	2	4	
21	6	4	3	4	4	5	3	4	6	7	8	9	10	11	9	9	8	8	8	5	6	6	6	6	
22	5	6	5	5	5	6	5	5	5	4	4	4	7	9	11	9	9	7	6	4	5	3	3	4	
23	5	4	4	4	3	6	5	1	3	5	8	8	8	9	9	9	9	8	7	5	4	3	4	6	
24	3	1	6	5	7	4	4	5	6	4	5	5	6	7	8	9	9	7	5	4	4	3	3	5	
25	7	8	9	6	3	2	3	1	2	3	3	4	8	8	8	8	8	7	7	5	3	2	2	5	
26	3	3	2	3	8	6	3	3	3	3	0	2	2	7	10	9	8	6	6	5	3	4	5	5	
27	4	4	5	4	6	6	6	6	5	5	5	4	2	2	9	9	8	8	8	8	5	5	4	5	
28	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	3	4	10	11	12	11	9	8	6	4	3	6	
29	4	4	2	5	4	7	5	5	5	6	9	10	10	11	11	10	8	10	7	4	4	3	6	6	
30	3	4	6	3	3	6	7	5	10	4	4	9	10	8	7	8	7	8	6	3	3	5	5	6	

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 720 DATA CAPTURE 100.0%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 19 04/15/89 16:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 15 04/15/89 13:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0 04/11/89 13:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 6 STANDARD DEV. 3

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-97

WIND ROSE ANALYSIS FOR 04/01/89 TO 04/30/89

Wind Speed (mph)	D I R E C T I O N																All
	N	NNE	NE	ENE	E	ESE	SE	SSE	S	SSW	SW	WSW	W	WNW	NW	NNW	Dir
> 31.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
31.0-24.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
24.0-17.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0
17.0-10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.6	2.6	4.0	1.7	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.3	11
10.0- 3.0	3.9	2.1	3.9	6.7	10.	9.7	9.3	5.7	1.4	1.3	1.9	1.9	1.3	4.3	4.3	5.4	73
3.0 <																	16
All Speed	3.9	2.1	3.9	7.1	11.	12.	13.	7.4	1.7	1.4	1.9	2.1	1.5	4.7	4.4	5.7	100

EV-23-

Ft. Lauderdale, FL

Averaging Time: 3600 sec

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR TEMP in DGC

DAY	HOURLY AVERAGES FOR TEMP in DGC		APRIL 1989																				DAILY AVG		
	00	(EST)	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20		21	22
1	22	21	22	21	21	20	19	19	20	22	23	24	25	25	23	22	22	21	21	20	19	18	17	17	21
2	17	16	16	16	16	16	16	20	22	22	23	24	24	24	25	24	24	23	23	22	21	21	20	20	21
3	21	22	22	22	22	22	22	23	24	25	26	26	27	27	26	26	25	24	24	24	24	23	23	22	24
4	21	21	22	23	23	23	23	23	24	25	26	27	27	27	26	26	26	24	24	23	23	23	23	23	24
5	23	24	23	23	23	23	23	24	25	26	27	27	27	26	24	23	24	24	24	22	21	21	21	20	24
6	20	19	19	19	18	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	25	25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	22
7	18	17	16	15	15	14	14	17	20	23	25	26	27	28	29	29	28	27	24	22	21	20	19	18	21
8	18	18	17	16	15	16	16	17	19	21	23	25	26	27	28	27	26	25	23	22	22	21	20	19	21
9	19	18	18	17	17	17	17	20	23	26	27	28	28	28	29	28	27	26	24	24	23	22	22	21	23
10	21	21	20	20	20	20	20	23	25	27	28	29	30	30	29	29	28	26	25	24	24	24	23	23	25
11	23	22	21	21	20	20	20	21	22	24	27	28	30	30	28	28	27	26	25	24	23	22	20	20	24
12	19	19	19	18	18	18	18	19	21	24	26	27	27	28	28	27	26	26	25	24	24	24	24	24	23
13	23	23	23	22	22	21	21	23	25	26	27	28	29	29	28	28	27	26	25	25	25	24	24	23	25
14	23	23	23	23	22	22	23	25	26	27	28	28	28	28	28	26	25	25	25	25	25	24	24	23	25
15	23	24	23	23	23	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	28	29	28	29	27	22	22	23	22	22	22	22	25
16	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	23	24	25	26	28	29	25	23	23	24	24	23	23	22	22	22	23	24
17	23	23	23	23	22	22	22	24	25	26	25	25	26	24	24	24	24	24	23	21	21	22	22	21	23
18	21	21	20	20	20	20	21	23	25	24	25	26	26	27	27	26	26	25	25	24	23	23	22	22	23
19	22	22	22	21	21	20	21	23	25	26	27	28	28	28	28	28	27	26	25	24	24	24	24	23	24
20	22	22	22	22	21	21	21	21	24	26	27	28	28	24	21	21	22	20	19	19	19	19	19	19	22
21	19	19	19	18	18	18	18	19	21	23	24	25	26	27	27	27	26	26	24	23	22	21	20	20	22
22	19	18	17	17	17	16	16	18	20	23	24	26	26	26	26	25	24	24	23	23	23	23	22	22	22
23	21	21	21	20	19	19	19	21	23	25	25	25	25	25	26	26	26	25	24	23	23	22	22	23	23
24	22	20	20	20	19	20	20	23	25	26	26	27	28	28	28	28	27	26	24	23	23	22	21	21	24
25	20	19	19	19	18	18	19	22	24	25	26	27	28	28	28	27	26	25	24	23	22	22	21	21	23
26	21	20	20	20	20	20	20	22	23	25	27	28	30	30	29	28	28	27	26	25	24	24	24	23	24
27	22	21	20	20	20	19	19	21	23	25	27	29	30	31	30	29	28	27	26	26	25	25	24	23	25
28	22	21	20	20	20	20	20	21	23	25	27	28	30	31	30	30	29	27	26	26	25	25	24	24	25
29	23	22	22	22	22	22	22	23	25	27	28	29	30	30	29	28	27	27	26	25	25	25	24	24	25
30	24	24	23	23	23	23	24	25	22	21	20	20	22	24	25	25	25	25	25	24	24	24	24	24	24

10.5.1-100

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 720 DATA CAPTURE 100.0%

MAX. 1HR AVG 31 04/28/89 13:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 31 04/27/89 13:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 14 04/07/89 05:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 23 STANDARD DEV. 3

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Badc - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR SO2 in PPB

DAY	APRIL 1989																							DAILY AVG
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	1	1	Cal	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	10	9	5	3	1	2	2	1	2
3	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	1	2	4	5	3	3	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
6	1	1	Cal	0	0	1	4	2	4	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	3
7	4	2	1	1	1	2	4	4	4	3	2	2	2	1	2	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1
8	0	0	Cal	0	0	1	2	1	2	3	2	4	7	3	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
9	1	1	Cal	1	1	2	3	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
10	1	1	Cal	1	1	1	4	5	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
11	0	1	2	1	0	0	3	7	4	3	2	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
12	0	0	Cal	0	0	1	2	2	3	3	3	3	24	16	37	31	3	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
13	1	1	2	2	2	3	5	7	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
14	0	1	Cal	1	2	3	5	3	2	1	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	0	0	Cal	6	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	Cal	Cal	2	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
20	0	0	Cal	1	1	1	4	3	4	22	8	13	12	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4
21	1	1	0	1	1	1	4	4	3	3	3	5	3	3	2	1	1	1	3	2	1	0	0	2
22	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0
23	0	1	Cal	0	0	0	1	1	14	2	22	23	21	11	1	1	4	2	2	3	2	1	1	5
24	1	1	Cal	2	3	5	11	7	3	5	6	20	32	38	5	3	2	7	15	2	3	3	2	8
25	2	2	1	2	2	3	6	8	3	3	5	4	28	36	41	20	7	5	4	0	1	1	1	8
26	1	1	Cal	1	1	2	6	1	2	4	7	6	5	5	5	6	18	8	3	2	2	2	2	4
27	1	1	0	1	1	1	2	2	4	7	9	7	6	15	71	20	5	2	2	3	3	5	1	7
28	1	0	Cal	1	1	1	2	2	3	2	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	2
29	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	0	0	Cal	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 702 DATA CAPTURE 97.5%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 71 04/27/89 14:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 41 04/25/89 14:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0 04/01/89 00:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 2 STANDARD DEV. 5
 NAAQS Comparison: MAX. 3 HR AVG 36 (500) 04/27/89 15:00:00
 MAX. 24 HR AVG 9 (140) 04/25/89 16:00:00

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10:5.1-101

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR Sig01 in deg

DAY	APRIL 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	15.8	15.8	15.9	17.5	13.7	8.3	9.4	15.7	18.5	22.9	22.0	28.5	22.7	24.7	21.2	20.2	19.8	18.1	18.8	15.3	15.3	14.8	15.9	15.7	17.8
2	18.5	16.8	18.5	13.6	13.5	13.6	18.7	11.2	14.4	16.8	17.2	18.1	16.3	17.2	17.2	17.6	14.8	13.3	15.2	13.0	16.5	59.8	32.6	26.8	18.8
3	30.6	10.0	10.5	10.6	11.0	11.6	12.1	12.5	12.8	13.8	13.8	13.9	15.7	14.4	13.1	13.3	12.1	12.7	10.8	11.0	9.9	10.4	12.5	13.0	13.0
4	10.8	15.5	13.3	12.1	13.2	12.4	12.6	13.1	13.5	13.0	14.3	14.1	13.9	14.8	13.2	15.0	13.5	13.5	12.4	13.5	11.5	11.4	12.8	13.2	13.2
5	12.4	13.1	12.7	10.9	9.8	9.9	9.9	11.5	13.9	12.6	13.7	14.9	13.8	13.8	13.5	13.9	20.1	39.4	10.1	11.1	15.9	18.8	20.1	24.2	15.0
6	46.2	24.0	16.1	15.2	18.5	25.8	11.5	13.2	18.1	13.6	19.6	21.3	21.0	22.3	31.2	20.1	25.2	20.4	17.6	17.6	23.4	29.3	52.2	12.6	22.3
7	9.9	7.2	8.8	11.6	12.7	9.5	11.7	16.1	29.0	56.6	39.7	25.1	21.9	24.0	20.3	20.3	18.3	16.5	12.4	12.1	10.6	8.6	7.2	7.0	17.4
8	6.2	6.2	20.9	14.9	27.0	9.9	12.4	13.9	23.1	28.1	54.9	40.0	19.8	39.1	25.9	13.8	13.8	10.4	10.8	9.5	11.4	13.6	15.2	13.8	18.9
9	9.7	18.7	49.2	41.2	13.3	17.5	36.4	41.1	20.8	18.6	26.4	21.2	18.7	14.6	15.7	13.7	12.6	12.5	11.9	9.8	8.4	8.8	46.0	41.0	22.0
10	35.2	35.9	31.3	48.5	23.1	6.0	11.1	11.9	14.4	13.7	15.0	22.3	19.7	20.2	17.7	14.3	13.6	12.7	12.4	11.1	8.6	8.6	6.5	7.1	17.5
11	14.2	21.5	15.2	14.8	9.2	9.2	9.4	13.0	23.5	32.4	47.3	57.6	60.5	53.9	14.9	20.8	19.3	18.7	19.7	17.0	18.7	19.2	17.1	17.4	23.5
12	16.8	16.5	16.5	17.6	15.0	15.2	15.9	17.0	18.3	26.7	29.8	17.4	21.5	20.3	21.0	15.0	13.5	15.7	13.2	14.8	15.8	13.9	14.1	11.9	17.2
13	10.3	11.1	9.7	16.1	18.6	25.8	32.4	37.2	15.0	16.9	19.8	19.8	20.1	17.7	18.8	15.4	13.7	12.8	12.2	12.6	16.6	15.2	23.5	28.9	18.3
14	24.1	17.0	10.6	9.7	15.7	30.3	47.0	24.8	15.9	14.2	16.4	15.2	15.3	14.3	13.2	13.6	14.2	12.8	12.5	10.8	11.1	10.6	12.5	13.2	16.5
15	12.8	13.2	10.0	9.5	9.4	10.8	9.3	10.4	10.9	12.2	14.4	12.5	13.2	12.2	13.9	15.7	12.7	28.5	21.8	22.7	29.1	12.2	14.1	39.1	15.4
16	51.1	24.0	42.4	37.5	27.6	53.1	38.4	51.5	14.7	16.6	23.2	46.5	39.6	28.6	42.1	16.0	18.5	34.4	21.3	24.3	22.6	26.3	32.5	20.5	31.4
17	21.6	30.0	25.1	15.3	27.0	27.4	24.3	17.9	13.6	15.3	13.5	13.2	14.7	17.9	14.4	13.9	17.1	14.1	18.7	18.3	18.3	12.6	25.6	35.6	19.4
18	41.5	9.8	13.0	12.1	13.7	19.0	22.3	22.0	14.9	21.3	16.0	18.7	16.0	17.5	18.7	18.1	15.2	17.2	20.9	17.7	22.5	33.7	30.4	29.1	20.1
19	24.2	43.3	30.8	16.3	14.7	13.2	21.4	22.1	25.8	24.0	28.6	28.0	20.1	22.4	18.1	17.1	17.7	15.5	13.1	13.5	16.9	15.2	36.1	10.5	21.2
20	19.4	40.7	31.4	12.2	12.7	14.9	17.4	48.1	21.6	54.0	52.0	32.9	18.3	15.3	19.4	47.1	37.3	24.5	18.1	20.2	15.3	43.4	14.2	30.1	27.5
21	15.4	20.2	49.2	20.8	12.7	10.9	12.1	12.6	11.7	13.3	17.0	15.7	14.7	16.0	18.7	18.7	20.2	18.5	19.3	21.0	15.4	16.3	16.5	16.6	17.6
22	12.2	11.6	13.5	13.1	12.6	12.5	13.7	16.8	16.3	25.9	38.9	36.1	18.5	15.3	17.9	18.8	15.9	15.5	18.2	19.3	20.8	21.5	12.6	14.3	18.0
23	20.8	27.0	26.2	24.3	17.1	12.2	16.3	67.0	33.5	32.2	17.0	15.5	14.3	19.4	15.3	16.3	15.9	14.7	12.2	10.9	12.7	15.7	20.3	22.3	20.8
24	19.7	42.6	27.6	20.7	25.8	43.7	53.9	21.5	16.3	32.6	28.5	33.6	28.2	23.8	22.5	18.5	15.2	13.8	11.0	10.8	11.7	18.1	12.7	57.1	25.4
25	45.0	12.4	13.6	14.8	21.6	15.9	18.6	27.1	46.7	65.4	40.4	47.3	40.4	18.6	16.4	16.9	15.4	14.3	13.7	10.9	10.8	9.3	6.7	16.9	23.3
26	5.0	4.8	6.8	8.3	8.8	35.7	13.7	18.1	26.3	31.4	67.9	53.3	69.6	40.6	12.5	13.3	15.7	13.9	11.1	9.7	9.8	8.7	11.6	12.5	21.2
27	16.0	13.7	10.6	9.5	8.2	8.1	7.9	11.5	20.1	24.1	25.8	43.0	57.0	55.1	14.2	14.9	13.5	12.2	12.1	11.9	12.0	12.4	14.3	15.3	18.5
28	17.1	15.3	10.3	8.4	12.7	12.8	13.0	14.9	21.2	23.2	27.9	52.7	51.7	35.0	16.5	13.5	11.5	10.9	10.8	10.0	10.9	13.0	16.5	17.1	18.6
29	17.5	31.1	30.9	13.3	66.2	19.9	22.4	33.6	44.8	18.2	15.9	15.8	17.1	15.4	16.0	14.8	13.9	11.7	11.9	11.4	20.9	32.6	44.9	12.0	23.0
30	9.3	20.3	34.8	15.2	11.2	11.9	25.1	14.2	15.8	17.9	30.0	11.9	14.1	12.5	13.3	12.1	13.9	13.6	13.8	11.4	9.9	11.7	12.1	11.9	15.3

10.5.1-102

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 720 DATA CAPTURE 100.0X
 MAX. 1HR AVG 69.6 04/26/89 12:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 67.9 04/26/89 10:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 4.8 04/26/89 01:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 19.6 STANDARD DEV. 11.0

KEY FOR MISSING CODES
 Badc - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR RNFL in INCH

DAY	MAY 1989																							DAILY AVG		
	HOUR (EST)	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21		22	23
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	0.11	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	PwrF	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss
11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Bad<
13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.49	0.12	0.00	0.16	0.02	0.74	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06
16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.77	0.18	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04
25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 701 DATA CAPTURE 94.2%

MAX. 1HR AVG 0.77 05/24/89 15:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 0.74 05/15/89 17:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 0.00 05/01/89 00:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 0.00 STANDARD DEV. 0.05

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-103

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR WD in DGS

DAY	MAY 1989																							DAILY AVG			
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23		
1	134	137	160	147	142	142	145	142	146	148	148	160	169	162	164	164	166	173	173	179	184	185	184	182	160		
2	263	241	213	232	253	250	275	282	309	310	303	303	PwrF	313	330	335	350	91	99	96	90	96	100	98	227		
3	112	114	96	74	5	332	358	18	33	63	93	106	101	99	91	90	92	95	95	101	101	104	100	99	107		
4	96	93	83	81	91	90	87	84	82	87	93	91	94	96	93	97	100	100	106	87	81	88	88	91	91		
5	91	92	91	93	111	110	109	114	122	124	121	116	116	114	116	121	129	139	144	146	143	167	158	202	125		
6	185	267	115	321	307	305	359	131	144	153	129	126	140	150	157	158	137	155	145	166	176	208	225	205	190		
7	229	257	291	306	312	323	330	339	346	353	341	346	334	329	336	330	340	25	91	84	355	337	321	314	290		
8	319	312	318	305	304	306	307	307	306	310	22	86	95	97	90	86	84	89	87	86	86	86	92	95	178		
9	92	96	98	96	109	105	73	94	101	94	101	120	119	119	121	113	111	111	117	128	135	135	147	141	111		
10	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	
11	249	203	226	251	258	259	284	289	278	275	280	296	282	274	280	296	338	14	4	278	33	224	296	306	241		
12	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	75	67	59	60	67	267	Bad<
13	281	293	289	221	165	167	192	197	161	167	168	175	173	164	149	137	135	138	150	160	170	159	206	187	184		
14	244	292	303	317	287	324	36	48	140	135	137	136	129	133	127	136	142	139	129	144	143	143	138	126	168		
15	7	46	127	303	351	128	142	150	166	174	172	170	173	78	173	189	166	251	132	181	218	254	243	254	177		
16	29	326	338	289	274	258	253	269	285	254	262	287	262	100	105	102	134	136	120	148	121	134	144	148	199		
17	152	193	203	235	216	227	241	232	220	231	205	186	156	120	149	159	153	162	187	245	250	148	157	199	193		
18	251	291	256	201	172	151	225	264	277	300	271	330	93	91	80	77	74	79	58	63	65	66	74	76	162		
19	80	91	89	90	85	83	82	87	88	79	87	88	97	95	93	91	81	73	74	78	76	69	67	51	82		
20	41	27	16	347	305	317	336	334	117	118	129	122	126	100	98	104	88	73	74	77	83	95	92	113	139		
21	223	295	281	278	275	267	282	282	274	258	187	342	112	117	118	116	114	131	134	146	168	233	166	165	207		
22	155	127	150	150	238	310	299	141	142	137	132	130	137	137	137	144	148	156	148	150	147	153	146	165	162		
23	166	176	169	256	169	175	166	174	197	194	221	183	158	135	143	146	159	165	160	167	184	198	227	237	180		
24	234	264	273	298	58	315	167	177	255	237	238	255	246	142	149	151	102	128	203	199	214	226	216	255	208		
25	267	302	317	300	295	302	317	332	335	343	330	315	18	105	88	96	100	109	129	145	155	152	165	173	216		
26	171	301	282	317	53	353	43	63	60	54	80	84	90	84	91	92	88	87	85	85	76	82	81	89	120		
27	115	96	339	294	300	330	310	332	326	330	13	90	88	84	97	95	94	92	89	94	97	96	114	105	168		
28	95	94	102	96	297	318	337	346	359	203	115	102	96	95	98	87	93	93	97	96	97	92	94	103	150		
29	108	106	97	81	72	107	87	84	91	101	95	102	89	95	92	89	83	85	92	90	90	90	96	102	93		
30	104	112	114	119	104	81	103	109	106	99	105	106	97	101	96	101	94	96	96	102	100	99	106	116	103		
31	97	106	109	98	101	92	102	107	105	110	105	105	103	101	108	96	101	91	93	90	93	99	96	99	100		

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 701 DATA CAPTURE 94.2%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 359 05/06/89 06:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 359 05/28/89 08:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 4 05/11/89 18:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 163 STANDARD DEV. 86

KEY FOR MISSING CODES
 Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-105

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR WS in MPH

DAY	MAY 1989																							DAILY AVG		
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23	
1	5	8	7	6	6	6	8	11	13	14	14	13	14	15	14	13	12	11	9	7	6	5	5	5	9	
2	6	5	4	4	5	3	4	5	10	11	10	11	PwrF	9	8	7	5	8	9	7	7	7	7	5	7	
3	4	3	4	8	3	4	5	5	4	5	7	9	8	9	10	9	9	10	10	11	10	9	8	7	7	
4	6	6	4	6	7	7	7	9	11	12	13	13	12	13	12	11	10	9	7	6	7	6	7	9	9	
5	9	10	8	7	8	7	8	10	10	9	9	11	11	12	10	9	9	8	6	4	4	4	4	3	8	
6	6	4	7	5	9	6	3	4	3	2	5	9	9	9	6	6	7	5	4	4	3	3	5	6	6	
7	4	3	4	6	5	4	5	7	8	9	7	8	8	10	9	10	8	4	6	3	4	3	3	6	6	
8	5	8	5	5	6	6	6	6	6	5	2	4	8	9	9	10	11	10	8	7	6	5	5	7	7	
9	5	5	4	3	4	4	3	7	8	7	8	9	9	8	9	10	9	9	9	6	4	4	7	7	7	
10	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss
11	4	4	4	7	4	6	4	5	7	8	8	8	7	7	8	9	9	7	3	1	1	5	5	9	6	
12	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	8	5	4	4	4	Bad<	
13	3	4	3	3	3	3	7	3	7	10	10	9	8	8	10	9	9	9	10	8	6	5	6	9	7	
14	8	6	7	6	5	3	3	3	6	8	10	11	11	10	11	10	9	7	6	5	5	4	4	6	7	
15	6	5	4	7	7	2	3	4	4	4	5	6	6	7	8	10	8	1	2	3	3	6	4	2	5	
16	4	5	3	9	8	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	6	9	9	10	4	4	4	3	4	3	3	5	
17	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	2	2	4	7	10	9	9	11	9	5	1	3	4	3	5	
18	5	5	3	5	7	2	2	3	3	4	3	3	8	8	9	8	10	9	8	10	9	9	10	9	6	
19	10	10	7	6	7	6	7	9	9	9	8	9	9	9	8	8	8	8	7	6	6	4	3	3	7	
20	5	3	3	5	10	5	2	2	2	4	4	5	6	7	7	8	9	8	7	6	5	4	4	3	5	
21	4	6	2	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	1	2	6	8	7	9	10	9	7	6	5	3	1	3	5	
22	4	3	3	3	2	2	1	5	7	8	9	10	9	9	9	9	5	6	5	3	3	3	3	5	5	
23	2	2	4	6	4	3	4	4	5	3	3	4	6	10	11	11	11	10	9	8	6	5	4	3	6	
24	4	4	4	2	1	2	3	2	3	4	3	4	4	5	14	7	4	5	4	4	4	3	3	3	4	
25	3	4	7	4	4	5	4	6	7	5	4	4	3	7	8	7	8	9	6	5	2	3	2	5	5	
26	3	4	3	5	2	3	3	2	2	2	6	7	8	9	10	10	10	9	8	6	4	3	3	2	5	
27	8	2	10	11	7	7	4	5	4	4	3	7	7	9	10	9	10	9	9	7	6	5	4	4	7	
28	3	3	1	7	4	4	4	4	3	1	4	8	9	10	11	11	10	9	9	9	8	7	9	8	6	
29	7	7	6	4	3	3	5	8	9	10	10	11	11	12	12	11	11	11	11	10	9	10	10	12	9	
30	11	10	9	9	5	5	8	10	11	12	12	12	12	12	13	13	12	13	11	11	10	9	10	9	10	
31	9	8	7	10	9	9	10	11	12	13	13	13	12	13	10	11	12	11	11	8	8	9	8	8	10	

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 701 DATA CAPTURE 94.2X
 MAX. 1HR AVG 15 05/01/89 13:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 14 05/01/89 14:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 1 05/15/89 17:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 7 STANDARD DEV. 3

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

WIND ROSE ANALYSIS FOR 05/01/89 TO 05/31/89

Wind Speed (mph)	D I R E C T I O N																All
	N	NNE	NE	ENE	E	ESE	SE	SSE	S	SSW	SW	WSW	W	WNW	NW	NNW	Dir
> 31.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
31.0-24.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
24.0-17.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
17.0-10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	6.6	3.3	1.1	2.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.0	14
10.0- 3.0	1.0	1.0	0.4	3.7	19.	7.8	8.4	5.4	4.0	2.0	2.0	3.3	2.6	4.1	4.3	4.0	73
3.0 <																	13
All Speed	1.0	1.0	0.4	3.9	26.	11.	9.6	7.4	4.4	2.0	2.0	3.3	2.6	4.6	4.6	4.0	100

EV-23-

Ft. Lauderdale, FL

Averaging Time: 3600 sec

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR TEMP in DGC

DAY	MAY 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	24	24	24	23	23	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	29	29	29	29	29	28	27	26	26	25	25	25	26
2	24	21	22	22	21	20	21	22	24	25	26	27	PwrF	28	29	29	29	27	25	25	24	24	24	23	24
3	23	22	22	21	21	20	21	22	25	26	27	27	28	28	27	27	26	26	25	25	25	25	24	24	24
4	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	25	26	26	27	27	27	28	27	27	26	26	25	25	24	24	24	24	25
5	24	23	23	23	23	23	24	25	26	27	27	28	28	28	28	27	26	26	26	25	25	25	24	24	25
6	24	23	23	23	23	22	23	25	27	28	28	29	30	29	30	28	26	26	25	25	24	24	24	23	26
7	22	21	21	21	21	20	21	22	24	26	28	29	30	31	31	31	30	29	26	26	26	25	23	22	25
8	21	19	18	17	16	15	15	17	20	23	25	27	28	27	27	27	26	26	25	24	24	24	23	23	22
9	23	22	22	21	22	22	22	24	25	26	26	27	27	27	27	26	26	25	24	24	23	22	22	22	24
10	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss
11	21	20	20	20	19	20	20	22	24	26	28	30	30	32	32	32	30	28	26	24	23	22	23	22	25
12	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	Bad<
13	21	21	20	19	19	19	19	22	25	27	29	30	30	30	30	30	29	28	27	26	26	26	25	24	25
14	24	24	23	23	22	22	22	25	27	28	30	30	30	29	30	28	27	27	26	26	25	25	25	25	26
15	24	24	24	23	23	23	24	26	28	29	30	32	28	23	24	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	24
16	22	22	21	21	21	21	21	23	25	28	29	30	31	31	30	30	27	26	27	26	25	25	25	25	25
17	25	24	24	23	22	22	23	25	27	28	30	31	32	31	30	30	30	29	27	25	24	24	24	24	26
18	24	24	24	23	23	23	23	24	26	28	30	31	30	30	30	29	29	28	27	26	26	26	25	25	26
19	25	25	24	24	24	24	24	25	25	26	27	27	27	27	27	27	26	26	25	25	24	24	23	25	
20	22	22	21	21	20	20	21	23	25	26	27	27	28	28	29	28	28	27	26	25	25	24	24	23	25
21	23	22	21	21	20	20	21	23	25	27	28	29	30	30	30	30	29	28	26	25	25	25	24	24	25
22	23	23	23	22	22	22	23	25	27	28	28	29	29	30	30	30	28	26	26	26	25	25	25	25	26
23	24	24	23	23	23	22	24	26	27	29	30	31	31	31	31	30	29	28	27	26	26	26	25	27	
24	25	25	24	23	23	23	23	25	27	28	29	31	31	32	29	22	21	23	23	23	23	23	22	25	
25	22	22	22	21	21	21	22	24	25	27	28	30	31	30	29	29	29	28	27	26	25	25	25	25	25
26	24	24	24	23	23	23	24	25	28	29	30	31	31	31	31	30	29	28	27	27	26	26	25	27	
27	24	24	24	23	23	23	23	25	27	28	29	29	30	31	31	30	29	29	28	27	26	26	25	27	
28	25	24	24	23	23	23	22	24	26	27	29	30	30	30	30	29	28	27	27	26	26	26	26	27	
29	26	26	26	25	25	25	26	27	28	28	29	30	30	30	30	29	28	27	27	27	26	26	26	27	
30	26	26	26	26	25	25	26	27	27	28	29	29	29	30	29	29	29	28	27	27	27	26	26	27	
31	26	25	24	25	25	25	26	27	28	28	29	29	29	29	28	29	28	27	27	26	26	26	26	27	

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 701 DATA CAPTURE 94.2%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 32 05/11/89 14:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 32 05/11/89 15:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 15 05/08/89 05:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 26 STANDARD DEV. 3

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR SO2 in PPB

DAY	MAY 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2	0	0	Cal	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	PwrF	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
3	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	2	2	8	17	2	2	5	10	2	2	1	3	1	3
4	4	6	Cal	1	1	3	5	3	2	2	2	2	2	8	7	10	12	8	6	3	0	0	0	0	4
5	0	0	0	6	2	1	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
6	0	0	Cal	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	Cal	Cal	2	1	1	3	4	3	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4	3	2	1
8	2	8	Cal	5	4	4	6	5	5	4	3	2	3	2	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	4	2	3
9	2	3	1	1	1	3	7	8	15	16	9	1	1	1	1	5	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
10	0	0	Cal	0	0	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	1	0	0	0	0	0	Bad<
13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	0	0	Cal	Cal	5	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	7	1	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	2
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	Cal	Cal	5	2	1	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	0	0	Cal	4	2	2	2	1	3	20	16	7	17	15	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	5
21	0	0	Cal	Cal	3	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	8	7	15	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
22	0	0	Cal	3	3	5	7	4	2	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
23	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24	0	0	Cal	1	1	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	1	5	9	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
25	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	20	22	8	5	6	4	2	2	1	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
26	0	0	Cal	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	4	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
27	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
28	0	0	Cal	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	10	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	2	1	0	0	1	1
29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
30	0	0	Cal	4	1	2	2	2	11	13	3	7	17	13	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
31	0	0	0	3	1	4	5	2	1	0	1	1	9	11	2	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 701 DATA CAPTURE 94.2%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 22 05/25/89 09:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 20 05/20/89 09:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0 05/01/89 00:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 1 STANDARD DEV. 3
 NAAQS Comparison: MAX. 3 HR AVG 16 (500) 05/25/89 10:00:00
 MAX. 24 HR AVG 5 (140) 05/21/89 04:00:00

KEY FOR MISSING CODES
 Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qei - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-110

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR Sig01 in deg

DAY	MAY 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	HOUR (EST)	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21		22
1	12.7	13.1	11.0	9.4	11.7	11.5	11.5	13.1	12.8	12.1	13.9	14.3	14.4	13.5	12.8	15.0	13.3	12.5	11.5	11.7	11.1	11.6	12.1	12.5	12.5
2	14.9	19.9	18.2	22.6	19.6	18.2	16.0	14.6	11.7	11.0	14.8	13.5	PwrF	22.7	19.2	18.3	22.5	20.1	12.6	11.0	11.0	10.9	8.6	8.1	15.7
3	8.6	8.6	13.9	18.1	27.9	11.1	16.0	16.1	24.3	27.0	22.7	16.9	19.3	15.9	16.5	16.0	14.3	13.3	11.7	9.5	10.0	13.0	10.8	11.2	15.5
4	10.3	9.2	12.2	12.2	11.1	13.2	12.8	14.1	13.8	15.4	14.1	14.6	15.9	15.3	15.0	14.3	15.9	15.5	13.3	13.2	14.7	11.9	11.2	10.4	13.3
5	10.8	10.6	11.4	10.0	10.9	10.9	12.2	13.6	14.8	15.3	15.0	13.9	15.7	15.3	14.7	15.0	15.3	13.2	12.6	13.5	10.6	10.4	10.6	12.6	12.9
6	33.4	55.1	27.8	19.4	12.4	22.3	24.1	35.7	30.8	44.3	32.6	13.6	15.7	16.5	15.4	20.4	16.9	14.1	11.5	10.6	11.5	17.2	19.1	15.9	22.3
7	19.4	16.8	14.3	7.3	8.3	10.3	11.9	14.1	17.4	19.0	17.6	20.7	23.1	17.5	18.0	15.7	20.9	23.7	17.6	55.9	29.3	12.4	11.5	13.5	18.2
8	8.9	7.5	9.9	8.3	7.0	6.7	10.3	11.9	13.3	21.2	59.7	42.3	16.5	19.6	17.0	18.7	15.5	17.0	13.5	12.2	12.0	12.1	9.9	9.7	15.9
9	10.4	9.0	9.9	11.1	9.4	13.7	17.9	14.3	15.3	20.2	19.3	20.2	17.9	18.2	21.0	19.3	17.7	15.7	13.1	12.8	12.4	12.1	9.8	11.0	14.7
10	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss
11	17.1	12.8	17.4	12.6	13.2	16.4	11.5	15.4	16.9	19.0	19.8	24.7	48.5	27.4	25.4	18.7	19.8	19.6	32.9	55.8	62.5	55.9	11.1	7.7	24.3
12	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	PwrF	Bad<
13	9.5	8.8	8.4	19.2	12.5	8.2	17.1	21.3	13.1	13.5	15.7	19.1	19.4	16.1	14.4	14.4	13.5	13.7	11.2	9.2	11.1	11.7	19.2	18.8	14.1
14	13.3	19.0	18.7	10.8	24.0	12.4	21.4	34.7	16.0	16.5	15.7	15.5	15.4	14.6	14.3	13.8	12.5	13.5	13.1	11.4	10.6	12.5	14.6	11.9	15.7
15	32.3	50.0	23.2	24.1	24.6	41.0	28.6	16.0	23.6	21.3	20.9	29.3	28.6	19.3	15.2	14.6	16.3	56.4	47.9	34.7	42.4	29.1	19.9	47.7	29.5
16	28.9	25.2	48.8	28.5	8.9	31.3	17.9	16.8	19.2	37.2	38.5	34.5	52.6	47.7	14.2	17.7	14.2	13.6	19.8	11.2	16.6	10.8	8.9	7.5	23.8
17	8.6	11.5	12.5	15.0	13.6	18.5	20.1	19.3	24.8	31.1	54.9	54.3	45.6	15.2	14.8	13.2	12.0	13.0	13.9	16.3	36.7	19.6	15.9	13.6	21.4
18	16.1	9.8	28.0	21.9	49.6	52.3	20.8	23.2	31.8	28.2	40.7	46.2	26.0	17.6	16.0	18.0	16.1	16.0	21.4	19.1	19.2	17.9	14.6	14.8	24.4
19	13.8	11.0	11.4	10.8	10.9	13.0	14.2	13.6	13.8	16.1	18.5	16.4	16.5	17.7	16.1	15.5	16.8	18.1	15.5	14.9	14.3	17.4	18.1	29.2	15.6
20	25.7	16.5	16.0	20.5	17.1	23.4	15.7	30.0	56.7	36.6	26.4	45.4	27.6	24.5	22.3	19.2	15.3	16.4	14.9	15.4	13.6	10.1	14.3	19.6	22.6
21	28.4	26.0	16.4	15.4	8.6	11.5	15.7	19.6	29.5	43.5	54.2	51.6	38.2	15.3	17.9	17.2	14.4	14.1	13.8	11.1	13.3	20.5	80.5	14.1	24.6
22	11.9	15.8	11.7	13.6	20.9	16.0	33.0	12.8	16.5	15.2	15.4	15.0	18.1	16.0	15.7	15.9	12.1	12.6	10.9	12.1	11.6	10.4	8.2	8.6	14.6
23	10.6	8.8	24.7	25.8	10.0	22.1	20.7	26.3	24.7	36.2	43.0	41.5	28.4	15.9	14.3	13.7	13.3	12.6	12.2	10.3	12.6	12.7	16.0	16.5	19.7
24	16.4	16.4	14.1	15.0	50.7	32.2	13.8	35.2	32.8	29.6	44.8	40.1	49.9	26.4	16.8	28.5	19.6	47.0	24.3	10.6	10.8	15.0	12.6	16.8	25.8
25	13.2	11.6	13.5	9.3	11.7	12.2	10.1	13.3	16.6	22.1	39.3	43.0	36.8	18.1	15.2	16.5	15.3	13.7	12.8	11.2	14.2	7.8	9.5	10.9	16.6
26	7.2	19.0	8.9	29.3	47.2	24.6	37.8	51.2	48.1	50.1	29.3	21.4	18.2	19.2	17.5	17.2	14.1	13.2	12.7	12.7	15.0	17.6	15.8	14.6	23.4
27	17.6	30.3	12.7	17.7	12.5	32.6	8.6	13.1	20.1	34.5	32.6	16.1	23.2	18.7	12.7	18.2	16.8	15.4	11.5	11.0	11.6	12.0	14.4	10.6	17.7
28	18.5	17.4	55.5	16.5	47.6	8.2	11.6	19.3	27.3	39.0	25.3	17.9	18.0	14.8	14.1	13.6	14.7	13.3	12.4	11.5	10.6	11.7	10.8	10.4	19.2
29	11.1	11.6	11.7	12.2	16.8	18.2	18.6	13.7	13.5	13.9	15.8	14.6	17.7	15.2	15.7	15.0	14.8	14.8	12.0	12.7	12.0	11.6	11.4	10.4	14.0
30	10.6	11.6	10.9	12.2	21.0	13.3	14.9	13.5	15.8	14.2	15.7	15.5	13.6	14.9	15.9	14.7	14.4	13.9	11.2	10.9	10.1	10.0	11.9	12.1	13.5
31	10.6	12.1	13.3	10.9	10.1	11.1	11.6	13.9	14.9	15.7	13.6	14.4	13.3	12.2	15.4	14.7	13.2	13.6	10.8	11.4	13.2	10.4	9.9	10.0	12.5

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 701 DATA CAPTURE 94.2%

MAX. 1HR AVG 80.5 05/21/89 22:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 62.5 05/11/89 20:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 6.7 05/08/89 05:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 18.5 STANDARD DEV. 10.4

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR RNFL in INCH

		JUNE 1989																								DAILY
HOUR (EST)		00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	AVG
DAY	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.43	0.09	0.05	0.56	0.47	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07
	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	18	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss
	19	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
	20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00
	22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.57	1.60	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10
	23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
	25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.07	0.03	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
	27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.65	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.46	0.00	0.08	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	
	28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.26	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	
	29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.53	1.11	0.01	0.05	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07	
	30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.04	0.00	0.46	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 696 DATA CAPTURE 96.7%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 1.60 06/22/89 10:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 1.11 06/29/89 14:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0.00 06/01/89 00:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 0.01 STANDARD DEV. 0.10

KEY FOR MISSING CODES
 Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-112

FPL DAVIE PRECIPITATION

DATE	TIME	AMOUNT
6-6-89	1600	0.43
	1700	0.09
	1800	0.05
	1900	0.56
	2000	0.47
	2100	0.01
6-7-89	1100	0.03
	1500	0.31
6-20-89	0200	0.30
6-21-89	2100	0.04
6-22-89	0800	0.11
	0900	0.57
	1000	1.60
	1100	0.02
6-24-89	1500	0.07
	1600	0.05
6-26-89	1000	0.01
	1300	0.02
	1400	0.07
	1500	0.03
	1600	0.06
6-27-89	1200	0.65
	1700	0.46
	1900	0.08
	2000	0.01
	10.5.1-113	

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR MD in DGS

DAY	JUNE 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	103	110	106	104	112	120	99	102	111	115	116	112	99	94	92	90	91	85	80	85	93	94	93	91	100
2	93	100	93	82	87	76	63	97	90	105	94	95	109	100	106	108	112	115	109	98	96	103	109	117	98
3	117	124	121	117	140	170	177	159	152	149	123	133	134	132	129	141	137	142	143	138	144	131	128	137	138
4	139	138	143	150	150	139	142	137	151	145	129	122	114	116	119	116	120	119	128	124	123	125	129	125	131
5	122	132	320	316	82	325	148	144	143	137	130	129	137	137	136	143	142	143	148	151	148	152	143	144	161
6	175	147	159	274	4	40	143	172	149	154	160	161	156	163	156	190	219	193	12	61	11	4	116	185	134
7	156	205	221	168	172	240	157	160	187	188	200	195	180	200	210	237	135	133	155	165	141	146	151	165	178
8	174	158	160	151	150	158	154	156	163	161	161	166	166	176	173	162	156	160	169	166	163	157	141	140	160
9	147	157	159	160	158	149	151	154	155	157	159	168	155	157	148	151	154	157	155	147	140	115	120	123	150
10	103	53	92	145	116	155	137	143	137	136	135	127	129	134	137	127	133	127	116	119	121	127	129	128	125
11	131	137	116	136	151	291	306	345	143	136	127	118	115	120	116	118	116	119	113	97	102	107	97	110	144
12	95	101	112	121	131	145	141	147	136	142	129	128	139	133	141	142	145	137	136	144	143	148	153	154	135
13	158	150	98	150	145	43	152	168	163	151	146	150	136	139	137	132	140	148	148	145	145	144	151	143	141
14	336	302	170	327	20	343	9	128	151	140	135	136	128	136	144	141	143	146	145	136	146	140	115	127	160
15	145	150	129	148	147	143	144	145	149	149	146	148	158	155	139	139	143	145	140	149	146	131	126	131	144
16	129	132	136	132	135	140	144	144	141	144	145	134	131	142	137	138	130	136	133	121	118	116	120	125	133
17	127	130	134	136	133	134	130	121	126	124	128	124	124	124	116	112	110	115	109	103	100	99	106	113	120
18	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss
19	30	6	58	72	333	348	0	75	116	109	105	114	117	112	117	121	126	316	6	45	102	122	117	119	116
20	113	130	78	115	129	212	238	142	148	139	129	126	125	130	131	126	304	310	136	140	183	160	119	112	153
21	163	293	89	353	316	37	51	124	126	127	132	134	141	141	135	130	131	127	140	141	122	108	125	58	144
22	47	50	44	44	48	76	65	99	110	185	286	137	118	110	111	113	115	100	111	85	77	89	82	78	99
23	70	37	65	80	61	56	77	105	110	103	114	105	108	118	111	115	116	117	118	118	96	89	83	64	93
24	65	33	56	60	121	152	332	164	213	146	145	149	152	156	185	223	248	252	278	351	39	177	237	255	175
25	320	1	12	7	299	275	348	336	358	13	57	115	118	158	165	132	172	200	163	162	179	211	211	219	176
26	233	235	229	223	208	218	229	227	225	236	220	236	345	210	142	177	190	195	207	190	195	188	162	182	213
27	194	181	216	236	242	215	220	254	229	228	269	261	272	206	245	296	163	266	202	206	204	206	220	231	228
28	157	212	186	163	145	294	252	203	215	193	216	217	266	179	197	212	212	199	126	345	237	244	266	319	219
29	341	344	50	41	215	146	169	250	290	204	218	232	193	220	221	243	258	218	245	336	337	223	141	195	222
30	230	211	322	327	313	291	300	316	304	298	304	294	302	18	146	92	183	293	206	207	253	282	240	249	249

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 696 DATA CAPTURE 96.7%

MAX. 1HR AVG 358 06/25/89 08:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 353 06/21/89 03:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 0 06/19/89 06:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 153 STANDARD DEV. 66

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

WIND ROSE ANALYSIS FOR 06/01/89 TO 06/30/89

Wind Speed (mph)	D I R E C T I O N																All
	N	NNE	NE	ENE	E	ESE	SE	SSE	S	SSW	SW	WSW	W	WNW	NW	NNW	Dir
> 31.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
31.0-24.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
24.0-17.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
17.0-10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	2.9	5.6	4.2	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	15
10.0- 3.0	0.6	0.1	0.6	0.9	5.2	13.	22.	11.	2.9	4.0	4.6	2.3	0.9	1.9	1.6	1.0	71
3.0 <																	14
All Speed	0.6	0.1	0.6	0.9	5.9	15.	27.	15.	3.3	4.3	4.6	2.4	0.9	1.9	1.7	1.1	100

EV-23-

Ft. Lauderdale, FL

Averaging Time: 3600 sec

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR WS in MPH

DAY	JUNE 1989																							DAILY AVG
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	
1	7	7	5	5	5	6	7	10	11	12	11	10	10	11	11	11	10	10	9	8	8	8	8	9
2	6	6	5	4	5	3	2	8	7	7	7	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	7	8	6	7
3	7	7	5	4	3	3	3	4	6	5	9	9	9	10	10	9	8	8	7	6	5	5	6	6
4	3	4	4	4	3	2	3	5	7	6	7	9	9	10	10	11	11	10	8	7	7	7	7	6
5	5	3	7	5	3	3	2	6	7	8	9	8	9	12	13	13	11	11	9	7	7	5	5	4
6	3	3	4	1	3	2	3	4	10	12	12	13	12	13	12	6	9	11	3	2	3	4	2	5
7	5	4	4	4	3	4	4	5	6	7	8	7	9	7	8	6	7	12	8	6	6	6	6	6
8	3	3	4	4	2	3	3	7	9	12	12	14	12	12	13	13	13	14	11	8	8	6	3	4
9	7	7	8	9	7	8	7	10	13	15	14	12	13	12	11	10	11	11	9	5	3	3	3	4
10	2	2	3	1	2	3	5	4	7	8	8	8	9	8	9	8	9	8	7	5	5	6	7	6
11	7	7	4	5	4	3	3	2	5	7	7	7	10	9	9	10	10	9	8	6	6	6	6	5
12	4	3	3	5	4	4	6	9	8	9	9	10	9	10	11	10	8	7	8	7	7	5	7	8
13	8	7	3	4	4	3	4	4	5	7	9	10	9	10	11	11	9	8	7	6	6	6	5	1
14	5	3	4	3	3	2	2	5	9	8	8	9	9	10	11	11	12	11	7	6	7	4	5	4
15	3	5	4	9	9	8	9	12	13	15	13	12	13	11	12	12	12	12	10	7	7	6	6	6
16	11	11	10	10	9	8	9	11	11	10	10	10	12	14	14	12	10	9	10	11	10	10	10	8
17	8	7	6	5	5	4	9	10	11	10	11	11	9	10	10	11	10	10	9	10	8	9	8	8
18	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss
19	2	2	2	3	2	2	3	3	7	8	7	10	10	11	10	9	6	3	3	2	4	5	5	5
20	6	4	2	4	5	4	3	4	7	8	9	9	10	10	8	5	5	4	6	5	1	0	4	3
21	2	3	3	5	5	2	2	6	8	8	9	9	9	8	8	8	6	6	4	3	3	9	4	3
22	3	2	3	4	3	4	2	6	8	2	3	1	6	7	9	9	9	8	6	4	3	4	3	3
23	3	2	3	3	2	2	4	7	9	8	9	10	10	10	10	8	7	6	5	3	4	3	2	6
24	3	2	3	2	3	3	3	6	3	3	7	7	5	5	6	6	5	3	4	2	1	2	4	4
25	3	3	3	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	7	10	9	8	5	4	6	7	5	3	3	4	4
26	3	3	4	3	3	3	5	4	5	5	4	1	4	3	6	5	5	5	4	3	5	6	7	3
27	4	3	6	5	5	5	3	3	3	4	4	2	7	3	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	7	4	4
28	4	6	7	10	11	4	7	7	5	6	8	9	6	10	6	4	5	2	6	11	3	6	6	6
29	4	3	2	2	4	6	4	4	3	3	4	5	5	3	7	4	4	5	0	3	4	7	7	9
30	5	2	5	11	11	10	4	3	3	3	5	4	3	2	6	7	5	4	7	5	3	4	3	4

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 696 DATA CAPTURE 96.7%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 15 06/15/89 09:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 15 06/09/89 09:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0 06/20/89 21:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 6 STANDARD DEV. 3

KEY FOR MISSING CODES
 Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-117

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR TEMP in DGC

DAY	JUNE 1989																							DAILY AVG		
	HOUR (EST)	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21		22	23
1	25	25	25	25	25	25	26	27	27	27	28	29	29	30	30	30	30	29	28	27	27	26	26	26	25	27
2	25	25	25	25	24	24	24	25	26	27	28	29	29	29	29	29	29	28	27	27	26	26	26	26	26	27
3	26	25	25	25	24	24	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	30	30	29	28	27	27	26	26	26	26	26	25	27
4	25	25	25	24	24	24	24	24	27	28	29	30	30	30	30	29	29	28	27	26	26	26	25	25	27	
5	25	24	24	23	23	23	24	27	28	29	30	30	30	30	29	29	28	27	27	26	26	26	25	25	27	
6	25	24	24	24	24	24	25	26	28	30	30	31	32	31	31	28	21	21	21	21	21	20	21	21	25	
7	21	21	21	21	21	21	22	23	25	26	28	28	28	29	30	25	26	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	
8	23	23	23	23	23	23	25	26	28	29	30	31	31	31	31	31	30	29	28	27	27	26	26	25	27	
9	25	25	26	26	26	26	26	27	28	29	30	31	31	31	31	30	29	28	27	26	26	26	26	26	28	
10	25	25	24	25	25	25	25	27	28	29	30	30	30	30	30	30	29	29	28	27	26	26	26	26	27	
11	26	25	25	25	25	24	25	26	28	29	30	30	30	30	30	30	29	29	28	27	27	26	26	26	27	
12	26	26	25	25	25	25	26	27	28	29	30	30	31	31	31	30	30	30	28	27	27	26	26	26	28	
13	25	25	25	25	25	25	26	27	28	30	31	31	31	31	31	30	29	28	27	27	26	26	26	26	28	
14	26	25	24	25	25	25	26	26	28	30	31	31	31	31	31	31	30	29	28	27	27	27	27	27	28	
15	26	26	26	26	26	26	27	28	28	29	30	31	31	32	31	30	30	29	28	27	27	27	27	27	28	
16	27	27	26	26	26	26	26	27	28	28	29	30	30	31	31	30	29	29	29	28	27	27	27	27	28	
17	27	26	26	26	26	26	26	28	28	29	30	30	31	31	31	30	28	28	27	27	27	27	27	27	28	
18	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	
19	25	25	24	24	24	24	24	26	27	28	28	29	29	29	28	28	27	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	
20	26	25	25	25	24	24	24	25	27	28	29	30	30	31	30	29	29	27	26	27	27	26	26	26	27	
21	25	25	24	24	24	24	25	28	29	29	29	28	30	29	28	28	27	27	27	27	26	24	23	23	26	
22	23	23	24	24	24	24	25	27	26	24	21	23	25	27	27	28	28	28	26	26	26	26	26	25	25	
23	25	24	24	24	24	24	25	27	27	28	28	27	29	29	29	29	28	28	27	26	26	26	26	26	27	
24	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	24	24	25	26	26	27	27	28	27	24	24	24	24	24	23	23	23	25	
25	23	23	22	23	23	23	23	23	24	25	26	25	26	25	25	27	27	26	25	24	24	24	24	24	24	
26	23	23	23	23	22	22	23	24	27	28	28	27	25	23	22	22	22	23	24	23	23	23	23	22	24	
27	22	23	23	23	23	23	23	24	25	28	28	28	23	23	26	28	28	24	23	22	22	22	22	23	24	
28	22	23	22	22	22	22	23	25	26	28	29	29	26	25	23	21	21	22	22	23	22	22	22	22	23	
29	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	24	26	28	29	30	31	30	22	22	23	24	24	24	23	23	22	22	24	
30	22	22	22	22	22	22	23	25	27	28	29	30	31	28	25	23	24	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	24	

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 696 DATA CAPTURE 96.7%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 32 06/15/89 13:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 32 06/06/89 12:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 20 06/06/89 21:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 26 STANDARD DEV. 3

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-119

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR SO2 in PPB

DAY	JUNE 1989																								DAILY AVG
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	
1	0	0	Cal	3	0	0	3	2	2	2	3	12	22	9	3	2	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	3
2	2	1	1	1	1	3	5	4	15	13	15	6	5	12	10	11	7	6	1	0	1	1	0	0	5
3	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	Cal	0	1	3	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	1	1	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Bad<
7	0	0	Cal	0	1	1	2	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	Cal	Cal	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	0	0	Cal	Cal	5	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	0	0	Cal	Cal	7	5	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	0	0	Cal	Cal	9	5	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	2	1	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	1
17	0	0	Cal	Cal	6	4	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
18	0	0	Cal	Cal	5	3	3	6	33	28	38	13	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
19	2	0	Cal	4	1	1	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
20	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	0	0	Cal	Cal	2	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	0	0	Cal	Cal	8	6	5	4	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25	0	0	Cal	Cal	8	5	3	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
27	0	0	Cal	Cal	8	5	3	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
28	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0
29	1	1	Cal	Cal	12	7	4	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
30	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 684 DATA CAPTURE 95.0%

MAX. 1HR AVG 38 06/18/89 10:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 33 06/18/89 08:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 0 06/01/89 00:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 1 STANDARD DEV. 3

MAAQs Comparison: MAX. 3 HR AVG 33 (500) 06/18/89 10:00:00
MAX. 24 HR AVG 6 (140) 06/19/89 00:00:00

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR Sig01 in deg

DAY	JUNE 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	HOUR (EST)	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21		22
1	10.0	11.4	10.3	9.7	11.9	13.1	13.6	13.1	14.7	13.8	15.3	18.8	15.3	17.6	16.8	16.5	13.9	15.2	14.9	12.5	10.8	10.6	10.6	10.4	13.4
2	10.9	11.6	11.0	12.2	10.0	22.1	35.1	12.4	18.2	20.4	23.0	17.6	18.7	19.8	16.9	17.0	16.5	15.0	13.0	10.3	11.0	10.5	12.6	12.4	15.8
3	11.1	13.2	11.9	11.2	11.2	15.3	19.7	19.4	14.6	15.3	16.6	17.5	18.6	17.2	15.2	13.2	14.3	12.8	13.5	14.7	12.0	12.7	13.3	11.2	14.4
4	10.6	9.5	9.2	7.7	9.0	8.6	10.6	15.2	19.0	22.4	18.7	19.8	17.0	15.8	15.3	14.1	12.7	13.9	14.3	12.7	12.5	12.6	13.1	13.2	13.6
5	11.7	24.7	21.4	36.2	51.6	55.9	31.9	15.2	15.9	16.4	17.0	19.8	16.0	15.2	15.2	15.2	14.1	12.4	12.1	11.0	10.1	10.1	10.4	9.5	19.5
6	9.8	10.5	17.2	18.5	29.7	35.1	19.7	20.3	14.4	12.1	14.8	14.4	14.9	12.7	15.7	31.3	24.6	13.9	43.2	44.8	35.1	30.3	63.1	31.2	24.1
7	16.1	17.2	18.2	20.4	21.4	45.2	49.3	14.2	14.3	17.0	17.4	22.5	15.4	18.2	21.2	51.0	17.4	14.2	14.2	12.1	12.4	11.7	12.1	11.0	20.2
8	11.4	8.7	8.6	8.3	8.4	8.8	10.1	12.5	12.6	13.8	12.7	13.2	15.0	15.2	13.6	13.9	12.5	10.5	11.1	12.2	10.0	9.7	10.6	9.7	11.4
9	8.3	9.7	10.3	9.8	9.5	11.4	11.2	11.5	10.5	11.5	13.6	16.0	13.3	16.3	15.5	12.8	18.6	12.4	11.4	10.3	11.1	11.4	9.7	15.2	12.1
10	24.3	24.7	25.7	49.9	28.5	11.1	16.4	14.8	15.2	16.6	16.9	18.6	17.0	19.0	15.8	16.3	14.7	14.3	11.9	11.2	10.5	12.1	13.2	13.3	18.0
11	13.2	13.8	20.4	11.7	20.5	28.4	28.2	41.7	29.2	16.4	19.7	19.4	14.7	16.6	17.7	16.8	14.4	16.1	14.4	12.5	14.1	13.1	11.1	12.8	18.2
12	14.3	13.6	16.0	11.7	12.5	9.8	12.1	13.2	15.4	16.5	16.6	15.9	16.6	18.0	13.2	16.8	15.5	16.3	13.7	12.0	12.2	12.6	11.1	7.7	13.9
13	11.7	17.2	44.9	38.0	29.8	60.9	13.3	19.0	17.4	21.4	15.3	14.7	17.9	16.6	16.1	13.9	14.6	13.9	13.0	11.2	9.8	9.7	8.4	34.8	20.2
14	37.2	19.8	9.7	44.4	28.7	28.1	20.8	27.4	13.0	15.9	18.1	14.9	15.2	15.0	13.9	13.2	13.9	12.1	11.6	13.2	11.4	12.0	12.2	10.6	18.0
15	14.9	19.6	12.2	10.8	10.5	12.8	12.2	13.3	13.3	12.0	13.6	14.2	15.8	16.1	16.3	15.2	15.0	14.3	13.8	11.0	10.6	13.7	13.3	13.3	13.7
16	13.8	13.7	13.6	13.8	14.1	13.2	12.4	13.1	13.6	15.2	17.9	17.1	13.9	14.3	14.6	15.4	16.8	14.9	14.9	13.1	12.7	11.5	12.0	13.2	14.1
17	13.8	13.8	13.6	13.0	12.6	13.1	13.7	13.7	14.4	14.4	17.4	16.3	17.4	18.0	15.2	17.0	15.0	13.0	13.9	13.0	11.5	11.4	12.5	12.1	14.1
18	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss	Miss
19	23.0	18.3	48.7	30.6	14.6	22.1	23.0	46.1	14.4	17.4	18.1	17.1	14.7	14.6	13.5	13.2	14.3	23.4	20.5	28.4	15.8	11.7	11.5	11.4	20.3
20	9.9	13.3	49.6	14.8	18.3	33.9	37.5	21.3	19.7	15.4	16.0	19.6	17.9	16.9	16.9	33.3	21.6	25.9	30.3	13.6	29.6	47.4	12.1	13.5	22.8
21	14.2	33.7	67.4	38.2	40.5	32.8	33.0	16.9	15.5	15.9	14.9	14.3	15.3	13.9	13.3	14.1	15.0	13.2	14.8	13.0	22.7	15.2	20.7	18.1	21.9
22	15.4	27.4	18.3	17.6	19.0	19.4	33.1	17.4	22.0	43.7	30.6	24.0	15.8	16.6	14.8	14.7	14.2	14.8	12.7	15.2	13.8	12.6	12.5	20.3	19.4
23	19.2	24.2	19.7	18.6	26.9	24.1	16.8	16.0	11.6	14.9	15.9	12.0	15.9	16.3	15.9	14.9	15.4	14.7	13.7	13.9	17.7	11.6	14.3	21.9	16.9
24	22.1	15.7	23.1	22.7	13.1	48.9	21.4	46.0	34.8	27.8	14.2	12.8	14.9	18.1	19.2	19.7	17.5	15.8	11.6	19.8	22.5	12.6	17.4	11.9	21.0
25	19.1	14.7	14.4	17.2	15.4	11.2	29.6	15.7	29.7	24.3	32.3	14.4	14.1	14.3	14.7	20.8	15.3	13.5	11.0	10.4	14.3	15.2	15.0	15.4	17.2
26	15.3	17.2	17.5	22.4	16.5	24.8	14.9	19.1	20.8	23.6	31.9	53.1	32.0	24.0	12.2	10.5	13.2	14.9	17.5	12.8	24.2	23.2	18.3	8.2	20.3
27	9.4	9.9	19.1	11.9	29.6	12.8	14.1	19.4	20.1	26.5	24.5	52.6	25.1	22.9	33.9	28.7	41.6	41.1	16.8	22.9	15.5	15.4	21.9	34.1	23.7
28	10.8	14.2	14.1	17.4	10.8	24.9	16.3	16.1	17.0	22.5	22.5	20.1	16.6	32.2	13.9	15.9	18.7	22.5	40.7	27.4	16.4	15.7	21.0	30.4	19.9
29	23.2	27.0	56.9	39.4	35.2	8.8	17.0	22.6	32.0	39.0	26.2	30.7	24.0	53.7	28.9	19.2	37.5	38.6	62.9	14.7	18.3	58.6	29.0	12.1	31.5
30	16.4	24.5	22.0	7.6	13.0	14.4	13.5	15.0	29.0	24.8	26.9	45.6	51.5	41.6	43.8	16.9	34.1	40.2	44.5	15.2	15.2	13.9	15.3	14.9	25.0

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 696 DATA CAPTURE 96.7%

MAX. 1HR AVG 67.4 06/21/89 02:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 63.1 06/06/89 22:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 7.6 06/30/89 03:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 18.4 STANDARD DEV. 9.5

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-121

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR RNFL in INCH

DAY	JULY 1989																								DAILY AVG
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.08	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	
2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	
5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	
6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.10	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	
14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.10	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	
15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.34	0.46	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	
18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
21	0.14	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	
22	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.16	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	
23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.02	0.00	0.14	0.17	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	
25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	
26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.27	0.04	0.00	
30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 744 DATA CAPTURE 100.0%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 0.46 07/17/89 13:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 0.34 07/17/89 12:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0.00 07/01/89 00:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 0.01 STANDARD DEV. 0.03

KEY FOR MISSING CODES
 Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-122

FPL DAVIE PRECIPITATION

DATE	TIME	AMOUNT
7-1-89	1500	0.21
	1600	0.08
	1700	0.01
	1800	0.01
	1900	0.01
7-4-89	1500	0.20
	1600	0.04
7-5-89	0700	0.10
	0800	0.02
	0900	0.01
7-6-89	0400	0.06
7-13-89	1500	0.01
	1600	0.01
	1700	0.10
	1800	0.04
7-14-89	1400	0.15
	1600	0.10
	1700	0.01
7-17-89	1200	0.34
	1300	0.46
7-18-89	1200	0.03
	1300	0.01
7-19-89	1800	0.04
7-20-89	1800	0.02
	10.5.1-123	

FPL DAVIE PRECIPITATION

DATE	TIME	AMOUNT
7-21-89	0000	0.14
	0100	0.07
	0200	0.07
	0300	0.04
	0700	0.01
7-22-89	0200	0.09
	0700	0.01
	0800	0.16
	0900	0.06
	1000	0.06
7-23-89	0500	0.01
	1200	0.02
7-24-89	0500	0.06
	0800	0.07
	0900	0.02
	1100	0.14
	1200	0.17
	1300	0.19
7-25-89	1000	0.01
	1100	0.18
7-29-89	2100	0.27
	2200	0.04
7-30-89	1000	0.03
	TOTAL	3.99
	10.5.1-124	

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR WD in DGS

DAY	JULY 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	258	277	282	289	301	305	305	314	310	300	308	340	299	291	218	253	269	251	287	271	274	244	244	250	281
2	246	283	274	245	273	268	294	181	165	226	219	191	220	163	179	198	208	224	250	224	264	227	219	211	227
3	204	179	272	240	254	246	213	206	214	235	243	204	173	186	197	204	221	258	291	298	297	241	246	262	233
4	203	234	276	270	269	260	286	274	276	306	302	318	85	117	121	39	161	184	165	194	286	305	305	317	231
5	309	259	297	269	178	137	226	161	177	199	225	192	154	156	148	134	122	143	146	149	155	244	293	237	196
6	140	102	125	118	171	178	74	118	123	117	99	106	117	112	113	111	109	99	104	98	103	104	103	103	114
7	116	108	114	116	119	121	121	118	114	124	116	104	115	120	116	105	90	92	91	86	96	98	103	105	109
8	118	121	122	112	90	100	112	117	112	115	116	119	116	106	105	98	103	98	98	95	97	100	111	95	107
9	93	81	80	86	75	337	52	112	106	109	108	113	99	110	99	93	92	92	91	86	91	94	96	101	104
10	105	119	112	108	123	57	37	100	105	106	107	112	116	105	101	115	115	106	103	94	92	92	95	95	101
11	98	92	339	49	348	326	326	40	127	126	100	114	115	120	120	113	113	113	120	106	138	127	143	126	147
12	257	274	301	343	348	353	7	44	119	121	119	124	119	120	118	115	120	126	143	238	172	205	286	280	185
13	309	28	13	79	290	200	131	145	182	230	229	234	154	124	137	170	300	261	213	200	141	67	195	192	176
14	213	187	208	257	240	215	242	222	227	226	227	240	119	141	185	42	277	150	211	55	159	157	134	179	188
15	173	176	299	246	310	342	142	136	154	140	121	124	122	130	134	130	133	131	196	223	244	205	294	143	185
16	235	246	322	29	47	344	33	130	125	142	150	346	286	132	135	133	142	146	157	292	274	212	225	317	192
17	11	24	132	118	10	304	250	139	176	178	181	194	127	170	30	109	137	125	137	149	137	140	108	6	129
18	120	210	324	299	226	157	186	149	155	193	231	266	229	314	132	135	134	137	245	314	181	298	316	8	207
19	343	37	37	30	282	68	26	149	154	175	155	151	153	143	144	152	146	343	326	151	190	148	122	90	155
20	92	103	156	181	40	333	320	132	155	152	145	187	162	161	145	155	238	295	264	261	287	350	5	47	182
21	293	211	348	46	53	61	313	149	146	149	139	130	132	127	136	128	123	121	122	122	136	137	122	119	148
22	137	139	115	107	118	114	105	121	135	120	124	103	115	154	139	123	117	108	100	95	104	26	45	70	110
23	78	89	100	97	95	105	89	101	94	96	98	109	106	106	100	99	97	98	96	88	84	89	96	79	95
24	77	74	76	86	90	94	93	99	107	103	101	115	107	125	113	98	85	90	90	83	85	87	87	89	94
25	73	76	69	73	87	80	66	91	87	89	92	97	90	90	88	94	87	92	86	86	74	80	67	29	81
26	350	346	338	22	340	300	339	24	103	110	110	105	112	111	116	115	122	122	125	133	129	157	181	305	176
27	313	10	331	342	13	13	341	94	122	119	118	117	120	121	121	132	135	136	138	146	151	143	88	43	142
28	305	341	341	8	340	332	338	1	151	111	170	136	124	128	135	134	148	156	151	170	175	218	276	296	195
29	273	322	41	25	8	351	345	347	290	108	169	140	136	120	132	178	264	268	258	209	342	161	176	91	198
30	14	54	163	334	359	342	331	312	308	181	123	339	340	13	113	122	122	122	137	139	158	130	119	64	185
31	2	48	70	124	285	324	340	28	112	114	112	117	119	117	124	126	128	125	113	104	118	115	78	85	126

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 744 DATA CAPTURE 100.0%

MAX. 1HR AVG 359 07/30/89 04:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 353 07/12/89 05:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 1 07/28/89 07:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 161 STANDARD DEV. 85

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR WS in MPH

DAY	JULY 1989																							DAILY AVG		
	HOUR (EST) 00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23	
1	3	4	5	4	5	6	5	4	4	6	5	3	1	2	8	5	3	3	5	5	3	4	3	3	4	
2	4	7	7	4	3	3	3	5	2	4	3	3	3	3	9	7	5	4	4	4	4	3	2	4	4	
3	4	8	2	3	3	2	3	4	5	5	4	5	10	10	10	9	6	4	5	5	4	2	4	4	5	
4	3	3	4	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	6	3	3	10	9	6	4	5	2	3	4	3	3	3	4	
5	6	1	6	7	5	3	5	3	4	5	5	3	6	5	7	7	6	5	5	5	5	5	4	6	5	
6	4	4	2	6	5	2	1	4	5	8	8	9	10	11	12	11	10	11	10	10	9	7	8	7	7	
7	8	7	8	9	10	9	8	11	11	9	11	13	14	11	10	10	10	10	10	8	8	7	8	9	9	
8	8	6	5	5	3	5	6	10	10	9	10	9	9	9	9	10	10	9	9	8	7	7	5	5	8	
9	4	3	3	4	4	6	5	8	10	10	9	8	8	8	8	10	9	9	10	9	9	8	7	6	7	
10	6	6	4	3	3	3	2	5	7	7	8	9	9	9	10	10	11	10	8	7	5	5	5	5	6	
11	6	8	5	4	2	3	2	1	3	4	4	5	8	8	8	9	10	9	6	4	4	5	4	3	5	
12	2	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	3	5	6	6	8	8	8	7	6	6	5	3	3	4	4	5	4	
13	2	2	2	2	7	2	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	5	10	10	12	3	2	3	3	6	5	4	9	4
14	4	6	3	5	5	4	3	4	4	4	4	5	5	10	5	4	3	2	3	2	1	2	2	3	4	
15	2	2	7	4	5	7	3	6	4	4	4	7	8	8	8	9	8	7	1	2	2	5	1	2	5	
16	2	2	2	1	6	10	4	4	5	6	6	3	5	4	8	9	6	4	3	5	5	3	4	5	5	
17	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	3	3	4	4	5	8	5	1	2	4	7	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	
18	2	1	5	10	4	5	3	2	3	2	4	4	3	3	10	9	8	7	1	8	3	4	3	3	4	
19	4	3	3	2	5	6	3	5	8	7	7	8	8	10	11	10	9	2	7	1	2	3	4	3	5	
20	3	6	2	3	4	5	5	1	4	8	9	8	8	10	9	8	3	4	3	3	3	2	2	3	5	
21	1	3	0	3	2	2	1	2	8	9	9	9	10	10	10	10	10	8	9	6	6	5	6	6	6	
22	5	6	5	2	3	1	3	15	6	9	6	5	4	6	7	8	10	8	7	4	3	4	4	3	6	
23	3	5	8	7	6	5	5	9	11	12	12	12	11	9	13	13	12	11	10	6	8	9	11	6	9	
24	6	6	8	10	11	12	9	12	13	12	12	10	11	6	7	10	8	9	9	7	8	6	6	6	9	
25	4	5	5	4	5	4	4	8	10	11	12	9	11	12	11	10	9	9	8	5	4	3	2	3	7	
26	11	6	4	5	3	3	3	3	6	8	8	8	8	9	9	8	8	7	7	5	4	3	6	4	3	6
27	4	4	4	3	2	2	2	3	2	3	5	6	7	8	9	9	8	6	4	4	4	3	1	1	4	
28	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	4	7	10	10	9	7	7	6	5	5	3	4	3	4	
29	4	2	2	3	3	2	3	3	2	1	3	5	6	7	9	5	3	5	3	3	2	4	4	2	4	
30	2	4	5	7	4	6	6	5	2	2	6	4	3	3	5	7	7	6	5	3	4	2	3	2	4	
31	2	5	9	7	9	2	2	2	5	7	7	8	10	11	10	9	9	6	5	6	4	4	3	3	6	

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 744 DATA CAPTURE 100.0%


MAX. 1HR AVG 15 07/22/89 07:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 14 07/07/89 12:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 0 07/21/89 02:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 6 STANDARD DEV. 3

KEY FOR MISSING CODES
 Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-126

WIND ROSE ANALYSIS FOR 07/01/89 TO 07/31/89



Ft. Lauderdale, FL

Averaging Time: 3600 sec

22 % calm

10.5.1-127

WIND ROSE ANALYSIS FOR 07/01/89 TO 07/31/89

Wind Speed (mph)	D I R E C T I O N																All	
	N	NNE	NE	ENE	E	ESE	SE	SSE	S	SSW	SW	WSW	W	WNW	NW	NNW	Dir	
> 31.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
31.0-24.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
24.0-17.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
17.0-10.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	4.0	0.7	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1		5
10.0- 3.0	0.4	0.7	1.5	2.2	9.8	16.	11.	4.6	3.8	3.0	3.0	2.7	3.0	3.5	2.6	1.6		65
3.0 <																		22
All Speed	0.5	0.7	1.5	2.2	13.	20.	12.	4.8	3.9	3.0	3.0	2.7	3.0	3.6	2.6	1.7		100

EV-23-

Ft. Lauderdale, FL

Averaging Time: 3600 sec

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR TEMP in DGC

DAY	JULY 1989																							DAILY AVG		
	HOUR (EST)	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21		22	23
1	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	23	24	26	27	28	29	30	28	23	23	24	24	23	23	23	23	23	24	
2	23	23	23	23	22	22	22	22	23	25	27	28	29	30	29	27	27	27	26	26	24	24	24	23	25	
3	23	23	23	23	22	22	23	24	25	27	28	27	28	28	28	27	26	26	26	25	24	24	24	24	25	
4	24	24	23	23	22	22	22	23	26	27	28	30	30	29	28	25	23	23	23	24	23	23	23	23	25	
5	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	24	25	25	26	28	28	28	27	27	27	26	26	25	24	23	25	
6	23	23	23	23	23	22	23	26	27	29	27	29	29	30	30	29	29	29	28	27	27	26	26	26	26	
7	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	27	28	28	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	28	28	27	27	27	26	27	
8	26	26	26	26	25	26	26	27	28	29	29	30	30	31	30	30	29	29	28	27	27	27	26	26	28	
9	26	26	26	25	25	25	25	27	28	29	30	31	31	31	31	31	31	30	30	29	28	27	27	27	28	
10	27	26	26	26	26	26	26	28	29	30	31	31	31	31	31	31	30	30	29	28	28	27	27	27	28	
11	26	26	25	25	25	25	25	27	29	30	31	32	32	32	32	32	31	30	29	28	27	27	27	26	28	
12	26	25	25	24	24	24	25	27	29	30	31	31	32	32	31	30	29	29	28	27	25	26	25	25	27	
13	24	24	24	24	23	23	24	27	29	30	31	32	32	32	32	29	26	24	24	24	24	25	25	24	26	
14	24	24	24	24	24	24	25	27	28	30	31	32	32	31	27	26	25	25	25	26	26	25	26	25	26	
15	25	25	25	24	24	24	25	27	29	30	31	32	32	32	32	32	31	30	29	28	28	27	27	27	28	
16	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	28	29	30	30	29	28	31	32	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	26	26	28	
17	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	26	28	30	31	29	23	24	27	30	29	28	27	27	26	26	26	27	
18	25	25	25	25	24	24	25	27	28	29	29	27	28	27	30	30	30	30	28	24	24	24	23	23	26	
19	23	23	24	23	23	23	25	28	30	30	31	32	32	32	32	32	32	29	24	24	24	24	25	25	27	
20	25	25	25	25	25	25	26	27	28	30	31	31	32	32	32	33	30	28	27	26	26	26	26	26	28	
21	25	25	24	24	24	24	25	27	29	30	31	31	31	31	31	31	30	30	28	27	27	26	27	27	28	
22	27	27	25	24	24	24	25	23	23	23	23	26	27	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	27	27	26	26	26	26
23	27	27	27	27	27	26	27	28	29	29	30	30	30	30	31	31	30	29	29	28	28	28	28	27	27	28
24	27	27	27	27	27	26	27	28	28	28	28	26	26	25	28	29	29	29	28	28	28	28	28	27	27	27
25	26	26	27	26	26	26	27	28	29	30	30	29	30	31	31	30	30	30	29	28	28	28	27	27	27	28
26	26	26	25	25	25	25	26	28	29	30	30	31	31	31	31	31	30	29	28	28	27	27	26	26	28	
27	26	26	25	25	25	25	25	26	26	28	29	31	31	31	31	31	30	29	28	28	27	27	27	26	28	
28	26	26	25	25	25	25	25	27	28	30	31	32	31	31	32	31	30	29	29	28	28	27	26	26	28	
29	26	26	25	25	25	25	25	27	28	30	31	31	32	33	31	29	29	27	27	27	27	26	24	24	28	
30	24	25	25	25	25	25	25	26	28	30	29	26	26	27	29	29	29	29	28	27	27	27	27	26	27	
31	26	26	25	25	25	25	26	27	29	30	31	31	32	31	31	31	30	29	29	28	28	28	28	28	28	

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 744 DATA CAPTURE 100.0%

MAX. 1HR AVG 33 07/13/89 12:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 33 07/29/89 13:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 21 07/01/89 04:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 27 STANDARD DEV. 3

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR SO2 in PPB

DAY	HOURLY (EST)		JULY 1989																								DAILY AVG
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23			
1	0	0	Cal	Cal	8	4	3	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
2	0	0	Cal	Cal	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3	0	0	Cal	Cal	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5	0	0	Cal	Cal	4	2	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
7	0	0	Cal	Cal	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	11	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	15	7	20	16	4	2	1	0	0	3	3	
9	0	0	Cal	Cal	9	5	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	17	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	6	11	10	2	1	4	21	12	4	2	6	2	1	0	0	0	3	3	
11	0	0	Cal	Cal	8	6	5	3	2	1	2	6	11	25	30	24	25	21	15	8	5	3	2	1	9	9	
12	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	2	3	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
13	0	0	Cal	Cal	7	5	6	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
15	0	0	Cal	Cal	7	5	4	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
16	0	0	Cal	Cal	6	5	4	3	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
17	0	0	Cal	Cal	7	5	4	3	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
19	0	0	Cal	Cal	1	3	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
20	1	2	1	0	1	2	5	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	
21	0	0	Cal	Cal	0	2	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	
23	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	7	14	11	16	9	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	
24	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	9	4	2	10	0	0	1	3	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	
25	0	0	Cal	0	0	2	3	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
26	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	3	6	10	15	18	24	17	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	
27	0	0	Cal	0	0	1	2	3	8	17	38	6	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	
28	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
29	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
30	0	0	Cal	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
31	0	0	Cal	0	1	2	4	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 711 DATA CAPTURE 95.6%

MAX. 1HR AVG 38 07/27/89 10:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 30 07/11/89 14:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 0 07/01/89 00:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 1 STANDARD DEV. 4

NAAQS Comparison: MAX. 3 HR AVG 27 (500) 07/11/89 15:00:00
 MAX. 24 HR AVG 9 (140) 07/12/89 00:00:00

KEY FOR MISSING CODES
 Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-130

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR Sig01 in deg

DAY	JULY 1989																								DAILY AVG
	HOUR (EST)	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	
1	17.6	12.6	11.2	12.2	9.2	7.5	10.4	12.6	15.4	15.3	21.4	43.9	61.5	43.0	19.2	23.0	18.8	21.9	13.2	13.2	14.7	13.7	13.0	15.5	19.2
2	14.9	23.6	19.7	18.8	22.1	19.7	26.7	58.9	45.4	23.1	45.1	44.1	51.5	49.3	21.9	14.4	17.4	19.7	19.0	16.6	16.4	18.8	19.0	23.0	27.0
3	24.0	20.3	12.6	25.7	18.1	21.2	21.6	20.3	20.3	20.9	29.2	15.0	12.7	15.7	16.8	13.1	18.5	21.2	12.4	12.5	11.4	22.0	16.9	12.4	18.1
4	17.1	19.3	15.5	13.9	19.3	19.1	9.2	16.1	21.5	23.6	16.6	48.5	39.3	12.7	13.7	26.4	50.3	16.3	35.8	40.1	23.4	30.7	10.8	18.8	23.3
5	7.8	39.5	39.4	17.2	18.8	10.6	36.6	9.5	11.2	33.9	23.0	29.6	22.1	14.8	13.1	14.1	14.7	12.6	11.4	9.3	11.7	17.2	10.8	30.7	19.2
6	38.5	12.0	28.6	15.4	22.1	37.9	33.5	16.5	19.1	17.6	14.2	17.1	15.9	13.3	13.1	13.9	13.0	11.7	12.2	10.6	10.6	10.1	9.2	10.9	17.4
7	11.6	10.6	10.8	10.8	11.5	12.4	12.6	13.2	15.4	16.0	14.6	13.1	14.3	15.8	17.2	16.4	14.4	15.8	13.8	12.1	11.9	10.5	10.8	11.2	13.2
8	12.6	12.1	11.4	10.5	12.8	12.0	11.6	12.6	14.4	14.9	14.9	16.5	15.9	17.9	15.9	15.0	13.8	14.2	13.1	11.2	11.7	11.1	11.2	9.5	13.2
9	10.9	14.7	16.4	13.8	29.5	56.4	28.1	13.6	12.4	15.0	17.6	21.3	19.2	19.0	18.2	15.0	15.3	13.1	11.4	11.9	11.7	10.4	11.2	10.6	17.4
10	11.5	11.7	12.2	9.4	10.6	26.5	24.7	16.5	16.0	17.0	18.8	18.3	16.9	19.4	16.0	16.3	15.3	14.1	12.7	11.1	11.0	11.2	11.4	10.3	15.0
11	14.4	26.0	32.3	29.3	18.3	13.7	20.5	33.6	31.2	22.1	36.3	28.7	18.8	17.2	16.9	16.5	15.8	14.1	15.3	19.3	11.4	12.4	12.0	14.6	20.5
12	16.3	12.7	9.5	19.1	23.2	22.4	22.4	35.3	36.4	23.2	23.5	23.7	18.2	18.1	19.3	19.1	15.7	14.8	12.1	27.9	28.1	14.7	9.2	10.4	19.8
13	20.1	23.7	28.6	45.1	20.1	25.9	40.8	47.6	19.8	36.1	34.7	45.6	31.1	15.9	14.8	12.6	50.5	27.8	33.7	19.9	19.3	26.4	26.0	23.6	28.7
14	12.8	11.2	14.4	18.6	21.9	11.9	19.0	16.6	21.6	27.6	26.9	31.2	38.0	14.7	22.4	24.1	31.2	70.4	39.5	31.5	56.4	13.3	11.9	8.6	24.8
15	8.4	12.4	25.2	21.5	19.4	24.3	49.2	22.9	22.9	20.1	27.8	22.7	18.0	16.1	14.2	14.6	14.2	13.5	55.1	21.6	16.9	26.8	26.7	11.4	21.9
16	17.7	16.5	38.0	47.9	30.4	20.7	25.1	15.2	21.6	18.6	28.6	56.2	22.6	27.6	18.0	15.0	24.0	34.4	31.2	20.1	13.2	23.4	30.1	8.8	25.2
17	29.8	25.9	38.2	72.9	56.5	21.4	26.2	22.0	26.5	18.0	27.8	28.0	26.9	25.9	53.9	35.7	32.0	14.4	13.1	8.9	10.9	13.7	15.4	34.5	28.3
18	44.3	43.4	40.8	11.7	24.8	13.0	21.8	19.0	20.3	39.3	30.8	24.8	63.2	46.8	15.2	15.2	15.0	14.2	27.6	29.8	17.2	27.9	20.8	21.2	27.1
19	17.6	32.2	30.9	41.5	26.7	36.4	47.3	12.4	14.9	14.8	12.6	15.2	17.5	16.1	15.2	15.2	13.9	37.3	16.6	41.5	29.2	12.1	22.3	12.8	23.0
20	11.7	21.2	9.4	12.8	45.1	17.9	27.3	51.6	22.6	17.2	16.4	20.7	24.5	14.7	17.2	15.7	22.5	28.6	47.9	14.6	14.6	43.0	21.4	33.0	23.8
21	66.8	48.8	37.9	35.3	43.0	23.7	41.8	32.2	14.2	14.9	14.8	15.2	16.1	15.9	15.8	16.0	13.2	14.4	12.0	13.8	13.9	13.2	13.2	12.8	23.3
22	13.1	13.0	24.1	37.3	31.3	35.7	16.9	15.3	15.5	13.6	14.4	15.4	17.5	14.7	15.2	14.1	12.8	16.0	11.7	11.6	16.1	42.4	31.4	15.0	19.3
23	14.1	11.9	10.5	10.3	9.3	13.8	12.0	12.4	12.5	12.0	12.5	15.9	14.1	15.7	12.2	12.0	13.6	13.2	11.1	12.7	12.2	11.2	11.9	16.5	12.6
24	13.6	15.3	14.2	12.1	11.9	10.9	11.5	10.9	12.5	12.7	13.2	13.0	15.9	24.0	15.7	13.5	14.4	12.2	11.5	12.5	12.6	12.0	11.9	11.7	13.3
25	15.7	14.1	16.0	21.0	12.0	17.1	25.6	13.7	14.2	12.6	14.3	33.6	14.7	14.2	14.7	14.1	14.3	12.5	12.7	13.8	14.7	13.5	21.6	21.4	16.3
26	14.4	16.3	12.2	23.4	35.3	35.6	25.3	28.1	16.8	16.4	16.8	19.1	17.1	15.2	18.0	17.2	16.3	13.1	12.6	11.6	11.4	11.0	23.2	18.2	18.5
27	16.5	37.8	17.1	13.1	31.9	37.1	34.1	32.9	25.1	33.5	17.0	24.5	19.4	17.6	15.8	16.1	14.7	13.7	12.7	9.2	7.6	8.6	35.5	72.1	23.5
28	10.8	14.9	13.5	23.7	18.6	14.8	23.0	34.4	41.0	45.2	51.8	48.9	16.4	14.8	14.6	15.2	14.2	13.0	13.0	11.0	11.5	16.4	9.9	12.5	21.0
29	16.9	20.4	31.4	27.8	28.0	23.1	16.6	26.9	40.5	41.2	30.4	26.8	22.6	18.5	15.3	27.0	17.4	17.2	16.6	34.4	19.7	30.8	23.1	51.0	26.0
30	42.1	43.9	43.2	16.1	25.2	19.4	24.6	26.7	73.9	52.7	19.4	24.2	21.0	22.3	16.5	15.0	13.3	13.2	13.5	11.1	15.5	9.9	8.7	35.8	25.3
31	48.1	30.0	26.0	38.5	19.0	14.2	21.8	27.1	19.6	16.3	20.5	17.7	15.9	15.5	14.8	15.8	16.1	15.7	11.9	12.5	13.5	13.0	46.0	13.3	20.9

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 744 DATA CAPTURE 100.0%

MAX. 1HR AVG 73.9 07/30/89 08:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 72.9 07/17/89 03:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 7.5 07/01/89 05:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 20.8 STANDARD DEV. 11.2

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-131

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR RNFL in INCH

DAY	AUGUST 1989																								DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23		
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.18	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02
10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.08	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.01	0.00	0.07	0.01
13	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.49	0.07	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03
14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.08	0.00	0.01
18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.07	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.22	0.20	0.47	0.37	0.17	0.07	0.07
20	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.18	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03
21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02
31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 744 DATA CAPTURE 100.0%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 0.49 08/13/89 10:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 0.47 08/19/89 21:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0.00 08/01/89 00:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 0.01 STANDARD DEV. 0.04

KEY FOR MISSING CODES
 Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator questioned channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

FPL DAVIE PRECIPITATION

DATE	TIME	AMOUNT
8-3-89	1500	0.02
	1600	0.08
	1700	0.14
8-9-89	1000	0.05
	1100	0.18
	1200	0.07
	1300	0.07
8-10-89	1200	0.21
	1300	0.08
	1400	0.04
8-11-89	1100	0.22
8-12-89	1900	0.12
	2000	0.01
	2200	0.07
8-13-89	0000	0.01
	0300	0.13
	0600	0.01
	0800	0.04
	0900	0.02
	1000	0.49
	1100	0.07
	1200	0.05
8-14-89	1700	0.02
	1800	0.01
	1900	0.01
8-15-89	1500	0.01
	1600	0.01

FPL DAVIE PRECIPITATION

DATE	TIME	AMOUNT
8-17-89	2100	0.21
	2200	0.08
8-18-89	0600	0.26
	0700	0.01
8-19-89	0800	0.01
	1100	0.01
	1200	0.03
	1300	0.01
	1400	0.07
	1500	0.04
	1600	0.01
	1900	0.22
	2000	0.20
	2100	0.47
	2200	0.37
	2300	0.17
8-20-89	0000	0.04
	0100	0.03
	0200	0.04
	0300	0.05
	0400	0.18
	0700	0.02
	0800	0.10
	1100	0.15
8-21-89	2000	0.03
8-22-89	0500	0.16
	0900	0.15

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR WD in DGS

DAY	AUGUST 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	84	108	101	98	46	142	154	99	56	116	102	105	137	120	117	111	111	112	121	117	117	96	116	114	108
2	56	35	111	126	127	131	239	138	137	129	126	130	128	125	125	130	127	135	150	154	156	159	260	228	140
3	184	235	316	237	238	40	116	208	258	283	330	277	320	103	122	127	213	239	208	221	234	256	282	302	223
4	329	16	216	295	314	323	329	350	0	350	3	352	69	41	69	77	77	68	55	57	59	63	353	351	176
5	350	357	11	6	328	298	305	317	340	345	39	98	97	101	100	96	101	89	88	87	91	90	106	124	165
6	77	53	45	140	311	303	302	294	349	348	30	86	112	104	121	118	117	124	136	142	156	172	188	217	169
7	232	238	219	225	219	215	224	273	278	308	290	230	273	140	128	141	143	185	225	236	133	189	261	160	215
8	175	224	182	196	179	172	181	209	234	230	234	216	240	220	240	204	179	159	204	235	230	201	200	220	207
9	214	207	218	210	211	192	196	201	200	217	227	233	195	179	180	213	244	256	262	267	240	253	280	213	221
10	195	182	150	136	271	304	298	268	204	198	168	127	61	131	142	142	148	212	289	322	8	32	52	359	183
11	71	101	11	36	353	304	308	338	337	128	141	95	350	3	9	337	50	94	66	82	100	85	84	34	147
12	66	48	346	332	308	341	327	349	141	112	111	121	112	111	94	88	84	77	301	3	7	344	315	323	186
13	346	9	359	349	336	323	335	339	23	220	158	350	42	46	33	358	24	285	296	303	350	322	301	324	243
14	343	9	316	347	312	311	231	264	190	175	215	233	257	264	263	185	252	279	71	61	75	149	220	49	211
15	171	174	266	238	221	292	276	271	271	277	259	268	269	245	265	227	275	271	280	306	345	229	230	239	257
16	273	271	278	269	288	315	306	305	282	222	257	257	250	274	28	93	107	57	85	111	97	98	142	211	203
17	266	263	268	241	317	340	333	18	15	53	97	164	106	113	112	103	89	74	56	294	283	288	9	92	166
18	116	302	295	287	344	273	204	189	195	192	161	146	150	130	121	99	102	114	74	68	76	86	97	93	163
19	100	102	104	110	129	114	308	93	115	118	113	113	119	109	99	114	74	44	37	71	78	116	143	92	109
20	91	89	92	89	87	96	121	113	137	109	134	148	145	138	135	133	138	134	128	131	137	145	144	125	122
21	159	136	148	253	317	12	306	336	118	129	94	105	121	107	118	115	134	122	44	287	338	315	320	313	185
22	346	30	343	339	24	134	75	113	130	127	123	124	89	109	116	113	119	100	93	100	97	101	98	91	131
23	75	62	61	66	94	89	77	95	94	94	99	100	102	93	91	87	86	84	76	79	91	92	94	87	86
24	77	96	118	118	114	117	124	120	115	119	118	123	118	114	114	114	112	109	117	117	116	98	115	115	113
25	118	116	125	147	155	128	26	132	172	159	127	110	120	118	111	113	105	91	85	89	101	117	125	135	118
26	134	142	118	344	15	339	330	341	6	35	48	101	110	130	121	114	116	116	109	115	111	124	145	140	142
27	237	293	334	349	241	319	327	276	144	141	135	108	117	115	111	120	121	115	106	121	127	125	109	157	181
28	132	281	329	261	303	352	313	11	128	113	107	105	104	97	101	93	92	91	92	89	86	96	85	54	146
29	61	38	50	358	2	351	357	11	67	80	96	107	98	94	99	81	73	69	68	69	72	78	80	87	106
30	111	113	69	118	35	291	352	337	1	340	35	174	124	159	121	156	244	223	235	210	212	253	7	332	177
31	356	312	14	58	132	334	333	117	164	162	142	105	143	259	118	120	127	114	116	123	126	127	94	159	

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 744 DATA CAPTURE 100.0%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 359 08/13/89 02:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 359 08/10/89 23:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0 08/04/89 08:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 166 STANDARD DEV. 95

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Badc - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-136

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR WS in MPH

DAY	AUGUST 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	3	3	3	5	3	3	3	2	1	7	7	7	7	8	9	9	9	9	7	7	7	6	4	4	6
2	3	2	3	5	4	3	2	4	6	6	6	5	7	8	8	8	9	7	6	4	3	3	3	3	5
3	4	2	4	1	4	1	2	3	3	3	3	2	3	5	8	8	4	4	3	3	2	3	4	3	3
4	3	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	5	5	5	5	6	6	7	9	10	8	6	4	4	3	3	9	5
5	3	2	3	3	2	3	4	3	4	3	4	8	5	7	8	9	9	7	6	5	5	4	3	3	5
6	3	3	4	1	10	11	4	3	1	2	2	3	8	8	8	8	8	7	6	6	6	4	3	2	5
7	2	2	3	2	2	2	1	2	4	6	2	2	1	4	9	10	11	13	5	8	8	2	3	2	4
8	2	4	3	3	3	3	4	4	6	6	6	9	6	7	6	7	7	7	6	5	4	5	6	4	5
9	5	5	3	5	4	4	3	6	8	8	7	9	7	3	4	5	5	4	3	2	3	3	2	3	5
10	3	2	4	3	1	4	5	2	4	4	7	4	6	3	2	3	5	7	9	4	2	2	2	2	4
11	3	4	3	3	5	3	3	6	2	1	3	3	2	0	4	3	3	5	5	3	4	3	4	1	3
12	6	3	3	8	5	7	4	1	1	5	6	7	7	7	8	9	7	5	5	2	2	3	5	6	5
13	4	4	3	3	5	3	6	4	4	3	4	4	4	3	5	3	2	3	5	4	3	3	5	7	4
14	4	3	1	5	3	4	5	2	4	3	4	5	6	7	6	4	2	8	1	2	3	3	5	1	4
15	3	4	5	2	2	3	3	4	4	6	6	5	5	5	3	9	5	4	3	4	1	2	3	1	4
16	3	3	2	2	2	3	4	5	3	3	2	2	2	4	1	9	3	3	5	5	6	4	4	2	3
17	3	3	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	3	2	4	4	8	10	10	6	5	3	2	5	4	3	3	4
18	3	4	3	3	5	3	4	4	4	4	6	8	12	11	8	6	5	6	4	4	4	6	7	5	5
19	3	7	9	9	7	1	5	4	11	10	11	10	5	7	14	6	7	4	5	7	6	7	5	9	7
20	8	7	7	8	9	4	4	6	5	2	5	7	10	10	9	10	10	10	8	6	6	5	5	4	7
21	3	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1	2	3	5	9	9	9	9	5	1	1	6	4	2	2	2	4
22	3	2	3	1	2	4	1	4	7	6	8	8	3	9	9	9	8	9	9	7	7	4	5	5	6
23	3	2	3	3	3	4	4	7	8	8	8	10	9	10	9	9	11	11	8	6	8	8	7	5	7
24	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	9	8	9	8	10	10	9	10	10	9	8	7	7	7	6	6	7
25	6	4	3	3	3	1	1	3	5	4	4	7	7	8	9	9	9	9	7	6	7	8	7	5	5
26	4	3	1	2	3	2	3	3	2	3	5	5	7	4	7	7	6	5	5	5	4	4	3	3	4
27	2	3	2	3	1	1	3	2	3	4	6	5	7	8	9	9	8	7	6	5	4	4	3	3	4
28	2	2	2	3	7	2	2	2	3	5	6	8	7	8	9	10	8	9	8	6	5	5	4	3	5
29	3	3	4	4	3	2	3	3	3	4	6	7	7	8	9	9	9	8	7	6	6	7	6	5	5
30	4	3	3	2	3	4	2	3	0	3	2	3	2	5	8	2	4	2	2	3	1	2	2	3	3
31	2	1	2	3	1	2	2	1	4	4	4	6	8	3	5	7	7	7	6	5	5	3	4	3	4


TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 744 DATA CAPTURE 100.0X
 MAX. 1HR AVG 14 08/19/89 14:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 13 08/07/89 17:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0 08/11/89 13:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 5 STANDARD DEV. 2

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10:5.1-137

WIND ROSE ANALYSIS FOR 08/01/89 TO 08/31/89



10.5.1-138

Ft. Lauderdale, FL

Averaging Time: 3600 sec

WIND ROSE ANALYSIS FOR 08/01/89 TO 08/31/89

Wind Speed (mph)	D I R E C T I O N																All
	N	NNE	NE	ENE	E	ESE	SE	SSE	S	SSW	SW	WSW	W	WNW	NW	NNW	Dir
> 31.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
31.0-24.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
24.0-17.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
17.0-10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	1.1	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	2
10.0- 3.0	2.3	0.7	2.2	4.2	12.	15.	9.0	2.3	2.3	3.5	3.5	1.6	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.7	70
3.0 <																	28
All Speed	2.3	0.7	2.2	4.2	13.	16.	9.4	2.4	2.4	3.5	3.5	1.6	2.7	2.8	3.0	2.7	100

EV-23-

Ft. Lauderdale, FL

Averaging Time: 3600 sec

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR TEMP in DGC

DAY	HOUR (EST)		AUGUST 1989																				DAILY AVG		
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21		22	23
1	27	27	27	27	27	26	27	28	29	29	29	30	30	32	32	31	31	31	30	29	28	28	28	28	29
2	28	27	27	27	27	26	27	29	30	30	32	32	33	33	33	33	32	30	29	29	28	28	28	27	29
3	27	27	27	26	25	25	26	28	29	30	31	33	33	33	32	30	28	25	26	25	25	25	25	25	28
4	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	26	28	30	31	32	33	33	33	32	31	30	29	29	28	28	27	27	28
5	26	26	25	25	24	24	24	25	26	28	30	31	32	32	32	31	31	30	29	28	28	28	27	27	28
6	27	26	26	26	25	25	25	27	28	30	31	32	33	33	33	32	32	31	29	28	28	27	27	29	
7	26	26	26	25	25	25	25	27	29	30	31	33	33	34	33	33	32	29	28	25	25	25	25	28	
8	25	26	25	26	25	25	26	27	28	30	31	31	28	30	30	31	30	30	29	28	27	27	26	26	28
9	26	25	25	25	25	25	25	27	29	31	30	25	24	24	25	27	28	28	27	27	26	26	26	25	26
10	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	26	28	28	30	31	27	25	25	27	27	27	26	24	23	24	24	24	26
11	23	23	23	24	23	23	24	26	28	29	30	28	27	28	27	27	27	29	29	28	27	27	27	26	26
12	26	26	25	25	24	24	24	25	26	28	29	31	31	30	30	31	30	29	26	24	25	25	24	23	27
13	23	23	24	24	24	24	24	25	27	27	26	26	25	26	27	27	26	26	26	25	25	25	24	24	25
14	24	24	24	23	23	23	24	25	27	29	31	32	32	32	31	30	29	26	26	25	25	25	25	25	27
15	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	25	26	29	30	31	32	32	32	27	26	27	27	27	26	26	25	25	27
16	25	24	24	24	24	24	24	25	26	28	30	31	31	32	33	32	27	26	27	28	28	28	27	27	27
17	26	26	26	25	25	26	26	26	26	28	30	32	33	33	33	32	30	30	30	28	27	26	25	25	28
18	26	25	25	25	25	25	24	26	27	29	30	31	31	30	29	29	29	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28
19	27	27	27	27	27	26	25	27	29	30	30	28	25	26	24	25	26	26	26	26	25	24	24	24	26
20	25	24	25	25	25	25	25	26	26	26	29	29	31	30	31	31	30	29	28	28	28	27	27	27	27
21	26	26	25	25	25	25	26	27	29	30	31	31	32	32	31	30	30	29	29	26	26	25	25	25	28
22	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	27	29	28	30	31	30	31	31	31	30	30	29	28	28	28	28	28	28
23	27	27	26	26	26	26	27	28	29	29	30	31	31	31	31	31	31	30	28	28	28	28	28	28	29
24	28	28	27	27	27	27	27	29	30	31	31	31	31	31	32	31	30	30	30	29	28	28	28	28	29
25	28	27	27	27	26	26	27	28	30	30	31	32	32	32	32	32	31	30	30	29	29	28	28	28	29
26	27	27	27	26	26	26	26	26	27	29	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	30	29	28	28	28	27	27	28
27	27	26	26	26	25	25	26	27	29	30	31	32	32	32	32	31	31	30	29	29	28	28	28	27	29
28	27	27	27	26	26	26	27	28	29	31	32	32	32	33	32	32	31	31	30	29	29	29	28	28	29
29	28	27	27	27	27	26	26	28	29	31	31	32	33	33	33	32	32	31	30	29	29	29	28	28	29
30	27	27	27	27	26	26	27	28	28	26	29	28	30	30	31	30	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	26	28
31	26	26	26	26	25	26	26	28	29	29	31	32	30	27	29	30	30	29	29	28	28	28	28	28	28

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 744 DATA CAPTURE 100.0%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 34 08/07/89 13:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 33 08/07/89 12:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 23 08/14/89 04:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 28 STANDARD DEV. 3

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-140

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR SO2 in PPB

DAY	AUGUST 1989																							DAILY AVG
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	3	1	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
2	0	1	Cal	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
3	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	4	1	1	0	1	4	2	3	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0
4	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	7	11	7	23	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
6	0	1	Cal	0	0	0	1	0	1	7	1	0	1	4	27	14	22	13	0	0	0	0	0	4
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	3	6	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1
8	0	0	Cal	0	0	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
9	2	2	4	3	1	4	5	5	2	1	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
10	0	0	Cal	0	1	3	3	2	2	2	2	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
11	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	5	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	3	2	1	0	0	1	2	2	1
12	1	0	Cal	0	0	1	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	5	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
13	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	0	0	Cal	0	0	1	2	2	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	0	0	0	1	1	2	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	0	0	Cal	0	0	1	3	3	2	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
17	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4	5	4	2	1	21	75	56	24	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	8
18	0	0	Cal	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	20	1	5	0	0	0	2	3
19	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	2	13	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	7
20	3	4	Cal	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
21	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	4	1	1	1	19	8	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
22	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	3	1	1	0	0
23	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
24	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
27	0	0	Cal	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
28	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
31	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 727 DATA CAPTURE 97.7%

MAX. 1HR AVG 75 08/17/89 13:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 56 08/17/89 14:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 0 08/01/89 01:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 1 STANDARD DEV. 4

NAAQS Comparison: MAX. 3 HR AVG 52 (500) 08/17/89 15:00:00
MAX. 24 HR AVG 9 (140) 08/18/89 02:00:00

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-141

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR Sig01 in deg

DAY	AUGUST 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	13.1	12.4	14.7	30.6	29.8	8.1	29.8	63.7	39.7	18.6	14.7	18.2	15.5	16.9	18.0	15.4	13.5	14.2	11.9	12.6	11.2	12.7	15.5	15.2	19.4
2	27.3	39.6	13.8	12.2	11.5	20.2	55.8	15.5	14.6	19.4	17.7	26.3	23.5	18.0	16.9	16.1	13.6	13.3	12.8	12.6	18.5	20.9	17.2	35.2	20.5
3	11.9	23.8	9.0	35.1	42.3	28.6	48.8	22.5	29.2	31.7	51.7	64.8	41.3	41.0	13.5	13.3	20.4	16.8	21.8	23.1	19.1	20.1	27.8	17.0	28.1
4	17.6	33.1	21.2	14.7	9.2	12.0	11.5	18.7	19.1	22.3	25.8	30.4	30.2	26.7	24.5	17.1	15.2	18.7	20.5	21.3	22.7	19.9	48.9	18.3	21.6
5	13.0	12.4	13.6	15.5	13.7	15.3	7.5	13.7	16.9	31.4	30.6	18.0	26.9	23.0	20.2	18.6	14.3	17.1	13.0	13.0	10.5	10.5	18.5	10.0	16.5
6	20.8	21.0	41.3	38.2	16.0	14.3	9.2	16.8	44.0	53.4	43.8	52.8	16.9	19.0	17.7	15.3	15.8	14.7	13.0	12.6	9.8	10.5	11.5	12.7	22.5
7	21.5	13.0	14.2	15.4	11.9	18.7	20.9	30.6	23.6	22.6	46.2	61.5	63.7	40.7	16.6	15.2	14.4	14.7	25.7	21.3	16.0	22.0	16.9	21.2	24.5
8	14.1	26.5	9.9	13.0	9.4	7.0	11.7	17.9	21.2	22.6	23.6	20.2	20.1	21.5	23.8	24.5	14.4	12.4	16.9	17.6	15.7	14.8	16.3	16.8	17.1
9	14.2	16.9	17.2	13.8	17.7	14.3	17.5	14.7	15.5	20.3	21.2	19.9	13.8	22.5	15.9	18.1	22.9	20.4	17.7	13.7	17.1	19.3	21.0	13.8	17.5
10	13.5	31.4	18.3	11.4	39.5	7.7	9.5	25.1	19.0	18.3	30.1	26.5	34.8	44.8	34.2	29.3	19.2	17.6	11.1	12.8	19.3	38.5	26.4	21.9	23.3
11	50.4	31.7	20.5	41.8	27.8	8.7	9.9	25.2	58.3	71.8	36.9	30.2	60.9	25.9	20.5	24.5	44.5	19.2	18.0	18.0	12.1	14.3	19.9	18.2	29.6
12	30.2	23.7	49.4	14.9	7.5	18.5	13.8	23.6	42.3	32.5	21.0	18.3	18.7	16.0	15.8	18.5	16.4	20.5	28.6	22.3	26.5	17.6	20.4	15.7	22.2
13	16.6	16.6	17.7	29.6	21.0	33.6	18.1	19.4	68.6	33.9	50.7	30.0	24.1	29.3	35.9	32.4	23.5	38.9	9.0	10.4	22.1	15.2	10.6	10.5	25.7
14	17.5	24.3	55.3	19.2	20.1	29.3	30.6	28.5	35.0	24.3	29.1	32.6	27.0	20.7	17.1	18.0	26.0	19.8	34.2	21.3	22.3	24.5	40.6	38.5	27.3
15	45.7	9.2	31.7	47.6	31.5	14.2	10.4	12.4	18.8	19.0	23.6	29.0	26.8	27.3	47.7	15.5	19.9	15.3	17.0	16.5	46.2	27.6	24.5	22.0	25.0
16	8.8	25.2	12.5	9.3	15.5	13.3	11.5	13.7	30.4	42.8	55.5	52.0	61.8	37.9	42.4	19.7	21.4	26.5	14.4	13.0	9.7	16.8	11.0	19.8	24.4
17	16.8	14.9	24.3	45.2	51.4	15.4	17.9	20.2	26.0	30.8	56.9	27.9	26.4	17.7	16.6	15.7	16.1	19.0	22.6	20.7	17.6	40.5	28.1	23.4	25.5
18	56.4	7.9	12.8	32.2	35.5	16.4	31.3	20.1	24.1	25.2	17.2	15.9	13.5	14.7	16.1	19.3	16.3	13.9	15.9	17.9	19.2	15.3	11.0	12.0	20.0
19	16.6	10.1	11.2	10.6	18.1	46.3	12.2	19.4	13.1	14.8	15.2	16.1	19.6	12.6	10.5	15.8	16.5	19.8	20.1	22.3	18.1	17.6	28.9	13.3	17.5
20	12.5	14.4	11.7	11.1	12.6	15.8	11.9	14.1	16.0	21.2	24.6	24.1	14.9	13.7	14.1	13.9	14.7	13.7	13.3	13.7	13.2	11.5	11.4	10.5	14.5
21	10.8	10.1	15.4	25.3	22.3	37.9	34.7	29.2	50.0	57.8	44.3	22.4	15.4	17.0	15.8	14.6	17.5	43.9	40.8	9.3	28.5	34.5	18.5	24.3	26.7
22	19.2	18.7	27.8	35.2	32.8	17.6	69.8	19.7	14.3	48.1	14.8	17.9	43.3	21.5	16.0	15.4	16.4	13.7	12.5	14.9	11.0	12.0	11.0	13.8	22.4
23	12.8	13.0	16.4	17.2	18.5	13.9	18.3	14.8	14.7	14.8	15.5	14.9	17.5	14.4	16.9	17.6	12.8	12.7	13.3	12.8	10.5	11.1	11.7	11.9	14.5
24	13.6	12.2	12.5	11.7	11.4	11.2	13.1	15.3	14.2	16.4	15.3	16.0	15.4	14.2	13.8	14.7	13.5	12.4	12.8	11.7	12.2	11.6	13.0	10.9	13.3
25	11.6	13.8	13.6	16.3	9.7	34.8	44.0	18.1	20.2	22.1	33.5	19.4	18.6	17.6	16.9	16.9	16.9	13.7	13.3	13.3	13.1	11.9	13.1	12.8	18.1
26	12.2	11.5	43.0	17.9	16.6	26.0	13.3	19.0	52.3	28.2	23.5	29.1	29.2	32.2	20.4	17.6	18.2	18.8	13.7	12.7	14.9	13.8	8.8	12.2	21.1
27	27.6	13.0	11.4	25.3	55.6	38.0	17.6	31.7	39.6	33.1	19.3	29.1	22.9	19.1	18.7	15.9	14.7	16.9	16.4	12.4	13.1	12.5	16.6	29.1	22.9
28	37.4	48.5	41.8	51.1	32.9	18.8	25.4	28.7	27.8	23.0	22.1	20.9	21.9	18.5	18.2	18.2	16.5	14.3	12.1	12.0	13.2	12.8	12.5	19.9	23.7
29	20.2	26.5	36.7	20.4	16.1	18.1	19.2	22.9	29.8	36.8	28.0	23.8	21.9	20.4	18.0	17.2	18.1	18.7	19.4	17.2	16.5	15.0	14.7	12.2	21.2
30	12.8	13.7	23.0	47.9	44.5	18.0	20.2	21.0	47.7	33.9	35.5	30.6	59.5	14.8	16.0	30.4	24.7	37.3	48.3	14.2	51.5	21.9	22.9	22.5	29.7
31	16.1	35.9	66.5	37.1	51.2	18.7	23.2	35.1	30.4	15.3	47.6	25.7	15.4	31.9	19.1	16.6	14.1	12.4	11.1	11.4	12.6	13.6	14.6	22.4	24.9

TOTAL HOURS 744 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 744 DATA CAPTURE 100.0X
 MAX. 1HR AVG 71.8 08/11/89 09:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 69.8 08/22/89 06:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 7.0 08/08/89 05:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 22.0 STANDARD DEV. 11.6

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator questioned channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-142

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR RNFL in INCH

DAY	SEPTEMBER 1989																								DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23		
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.27	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02
8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.01
18	0.02	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.02
19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
23	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.10	0.00
30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 720 DATA CAPTURE 100.0%

MAX. 1HR AVG 0.47 09/18/89 01:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 0.29 09/26/89 10:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 0.00 09/01/89 00:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 0.00 STANDARD DEV. 0.03

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-143

FPL DAVIE PRECIPITATION

DATE	TIME	AMOUNT
9-2-89	0900	0.19
9-3-89	0400	0.01
9-7-89	0400	0.11
	0500	0.27
	0700	0.01
9-8-89	1200	0.12
9-9-89	0400	0.05
9-12-89	0600	0.01
9-14-89	0900	0.26
9-17-89	1900	0.26
	2000	0.01
	2100	0.03
	2200	0.01
9-18-89	0000	0.02
	0100	0.47
	1800	0.01
	1900	0.02
	2100	0.02
9-19-89	0500	0.01
	1500	0.03
	1600	0.13
9-22-89	1600	0.01
9-23-89	0000	0.01
9-25-89	1000	0.11
	1700	0.18
9-26-89	1000	0.29
	10.5.1-144	

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR WD In DGS

		SEPTEMBER 1989																							DAILY	
HOURLY (EST)		00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	AVG
DAY																										
1	344	146	146	216	339	328	343	13	137	158	145	132	121	109	241	140	132	128	139	149	159	171	257	199	183	
2	90	339	294	59	7	1	317	8	296	134	149	153	115	150	301	308	293	265	243	175	61	60	93	56	165	
3	320	320	325	320	30	8	61	47	126	130	148	136	130	126	131	118	127	125	123	102	336	23	5	234	148	
4	10	119	146	121	112	33	48	68	91	99	103	114	104	112	115	68	339	9	48	76	82	137	111	108	99	
5	27	91	82	79	57	4	332	76	83	104	107	103	112	102	109	104	100	96	86	79	77	74	88	84	94	
6	94	98	83	46	7	9	2	20	74	98	125	106	96	85	88	82	76	64	68	62	53	55	58	74	68	
7	77	77	45	351	312	348	346	320	353	4	66	87	85	90	90	83	79	72	74	66	51	49	60	60	135	
8	52	46	336	333	330	342	333	325	334	356	12	61	54	35	40	76	70	66	64	50	9	18	268	286	162	
9	344	347	310	321	4	8	355	11	57	77	74	98	84	69	75	78	89	93	89	85	78	155	230	221	140	
10	299	314	326	353	354	16	46	60	92	91	106	107	106	101	96	89	73	84	67	67	68	78	85	78	132	
11	78	65	25	301	305	342	345	11	53	88	90	90	97	93	90	92	91	80	73	77	79	83	79	77	117	
12	90	75	41	35	54	11	348	348	97	99	101	99	102	104	94	90	88	72	71	67	79	79	72	37	98	
13	61	34	2	349	332	327	322	13	77	82	105	90	100	120	95	95	103	90	93	91	100	117	117	124	127	
14	141	153	355	351	1	356	59	51	137	90	63	101	128	122	127	132	137	134	135	135	142	140	134	130	144	
15	150	256	125	358	49	346	346	11	120	125	125	120	143	142	149	120	121	118	122	118	124	136	129	134	154	
16	43	71	296	6	35	298	310	156	164	157	149	130	122	134	144	122	142	139	144	248	219	142	312	323	167	
17	52	25	268	299	239	326	280	264	257	283	292	325	351	103	116	124	96	94	124	273	195	317	294	296	221	
18	342	69	358	277	287	285	285	301	324	326	309	303	310	292	7	55	106	200	229	296	319	48	50	347	239	
19	213	254	289	303	304	309	330	331	326	332	321	303	301	319	333	335	85	115	169	29	17	1	324	342	250	
20	2	351	344	319	325	323	321	334	352	20	41	39	50	54	68	58	54	43	21	15	1	359	338	314	173	
21	316	324	316	315	313	305	299	303	301	306	296	293	288	296	290	273	273	279	244	251	247	223	188	285		
22	202	246	136	180	118	66	47	83	108	117	135	122	127	122	132	115	134	125	63	116	284	314	312	313	155	
23	67	75	59	34	10	18	82	115	124	128	125	135	134	151	137	135	134	178	289	329	332	342	4	10	131	
24	7	16	25	47	56	33	31	94	116	119	111	111	127	113	116	133	126	132	145	146	153	142	154	157	100	
25	168	250	159	160	159	159	162	144	164	162	218	254	343	154	6	86	161	184	338	357	359	95	144	144	189	
26	161	155	138	143	278	8	229	172	192	181	196	165	158	148	136	129	131	123	129	116	102	110	108	117	147	
27	100	114	123	132	135	125	124	127	132	124	116	117	133	117	106	91	86	87	91	97	98	104	111	116	113	
28	113	109	123	116	113	107	105	70	96	97	103	101	93	92	95	91	92	90	87	93	95	98	105	112	100	
29	111	114	112	117	114	113	114	117	119	114	111	116	118	108	113	117	113	113	113	106	94	99	103	106	111	
30	112	112	122	119	121	124	124	128	134	130	126	130	143	135	139	137	131	125	120	121	114	101	92	118	123	

10.5.1-146

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 720 DATA CAPTURE 100.0X
 MAX. 1HR AVG 359 09/25/89 20:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 359 09/20/89 21:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 1 09/02/89 05:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 149 STANDARD DEV. 100

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR WS in MPH


DAY	SEPTEMBER 1989																							DAILY AVG	
	HOUR (EST) 00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	2	2	4	2	2	3	3	2	3	5	5	6	7	5	3	7	8	8	6	4	3	2	3	3	4
2	3	0	2	1	1	2	3	2	2	4	4	3	7	5	5	7	4	4	3	0	3	1	3	2	3
3	2	2	2	3	2	3	2	2	3	2	4	6	8	10	10	11	10	8	6	3	4	4	2	2	5
4	2	5	3	4	3	3	2	2	5	8	9	9	8	11	10	3	6	5	3	4	5	6	4	2	5
5	3	2	2	3	2	2	2	3	6	7	10	8	8	7	9	9	9	9	5	4	4	3	5	5	5
6	4	3	3	2	2	3	2	3	4	6	6	6	6	7	8	8	8	7	6	6	5	4	3	4	5
7	5	4	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	4	7	8	7	8	9	8	7	8	6	4	4	3	4	4	5
8	3	5	6	4	4	4	3	4	3	4	4	5	4	7	6	5	5	4	4	4	9	4	9	4	5
9	3	3	3	3	4	2	3	4	4	5	5	6	9	8	6	7	8	8	7	5	4	5	3	2	5
10	2	4	3	2	3	3	3	3	7	8	9	8	9	9	9	6	7	8	5	5	4	4	5	4	5
11	5	2	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	7	9	10	10	11	10	9	8	8	6	7	6	5	5	4	6
12	4	3	5	3	3	3	3	3	5	7	9	9	10	9	9	9	8	7	6	5	6	5	4	3	6
13	3	3	2	3	0	2	4	2	4	5	7	6	6	6	8	9	9	8	7	5	6	6	6	6	5
14	3	6	8	3	5	3	3	2	3	6	3	6	10	11	9	10	9	9	7	6	4	4	4	3	6
15	3	3	4	6	2	1	2	2	6	8	8	9	9	8	7	6	7	6	5	4	4	3	3	3	5
16	2	4	3	3	2	2	2	3	2	6	6	7	9	8	3	6	5	5	4	3	4	2	3	2	4
17	2	3	3	4	3	3	2	3	3	2	2	3	2	6	8	7	6	5	6	13	3	1	4	4	4
18	1	4	1	3	3	4	4	4	4	5	8	7	6	8	5	8	5	6	5	7	4	1	0	2	4
19	2	2	3	3	4	6	5	5	5	6	5	5	6	6	3	4	8	4	3	2	2	3	3	3	4
20	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	6	6	7	8	8	7	6	5	4	5	5	5	6	5
21	6	6	7	7	8	8	8	11	11	11	12	14	13	13	13	11	8	6	4	4	4	3	4	10	8
22	3	6	5	7	9	6	5	3	6	8	8	10	10	10	10	8	6	3	3	3	3	8	7	7	6
23	3	3	2	2	2	2	3	4	7	8	8	7	2	4	7	8	7	4	7	8	6	5	5	4	5
24	3	3	3	3	3	5	3	4	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	6	5	5	4	3	3	3	5
25	2	4	2	4	3	2	2	3	5	7	6	4	1	4	4	3	7	3	3	5	4	3	4	4	4
26	3	5	3	3	5	2	1	3	4	5	3	6	8	8	7	8	7	7	5	5	3	3	4	5	5
27	4	5	6	5	5	7	6	8	9	11	9	10	11	10	12	12	11	10	10	11	11	10	10	9	9
28	8	7	7	8	7	6	7	5	5	9	9	11	12	11	12	11	11	10	9	11	10	10	11	9	9
29	10	9	9	8	8	7	8	9	12	12	12	13	12	12	12	12	12	10	9	8	7	7	9	7	10
30	7	9	5	7	7	7	7	5	8	11	11	11	8	10	10	9	8	7	7	5	5	4	4	5	7

10.5.1-147

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 720 DATA CAPTURE 100.0%
 MAX. 1HR AVG 14 09/21/89 11:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 13 09/21/89 13:00:00
 MIN. 1HR AVG 0 09/02/89 19:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 5 STANDARD DEV. 3

KEY FOR MISSING CODES
 Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

WIND ROSE ANALYSIS FOR 09/01/89 TO 09/30/89



Ft. Lauderdale, FL

Averaging Time: 3600 sec

10.5.1-148

WIND ROSE ANALYSIS FOR 09/01/89 TO 09/30/89

Wind Speed (mph)	D I R E C T I O N																All
	N	NNE	NE	ENE	E	ESE	SE	SSE	S	SSW	SW	WSW	W	WNW	NW	NNW	Dir
> 31.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
31.0-24.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
24.0-17.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
17.0-10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4	2.8	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	1.0	0.3	0.0	7
10.0- 3.0	3.3	1.3	3.6	8.1	12.	13.	13.	3.8	0.8	0.6	0.6	1.0	1.0	2.8	4.3	3.3	72
3.0 <																	21
All Speed	3.3	1.3	3.6	8.1	14.	16.	14.	3.8	0.8	0.6	0.6	1.0	1.1	3.8	4.6	3.3	100

EV-23-

Ft. Lauderdale, FL

Averaging Time: 3600 sec

FPL-Davie
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR TEMP in DGC

SEPTEMBER 1989

DAY	HOUR (EST)																						DAILY		
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	AVG
1	27	26	26	26	26	26	26	28	30	31	32	32	32	32	30	31	31	30	29	28	28	28	27	27	29
2	26	25	25	25	25	25	25	26	28	28	28	30	32	31	29	26	25	25	25	25	25	25	26	26	26
3	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	26	27	28	29	31	32	32	32	31	30	30	29	28	27	26	25	25	28
4	26	27	27	27	27	26	26	27	29	30	31	31	31	31	31	30	27	26	27	27	28	27	27	26	28
5	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	27	28	30	30	31	31	31	32	32	31	30	29	29	29	28	28	28	28
6	27	26	26	26	25	25	25	26	28	30	31	31	31	32	32	31	30	29	29	28	28	28	28	28	29
13	27	26	26	25	25	25	25	27	29	30	31	31	32	32	32	31	30	29	29	29	28	28	28	28	29
14	27	26	26	26	26	25	26	27	29	25	27	30	31	31	31	31	29	28	28	28	28	27	27	27	28
15	27	26	26	26	25	25	26	27	30	30	30	31	30	29	30	30	29	29	28	28	28	27	27	26	28
16	26	26	25	25	25	25	25	26	28	30	31	31	32	32	30	30	30	29	28	28	27	27	26	26	28
17	26	26	25	25	24	24	25	26	28	29	31	32	33	33	32	31	30	29	29	23	23	23	23	23	27
18	24	24	23	23	23	23	24	25	26	28	30	31	32	32	32	30	28	27	24	23	24	24	24	24	26
19	23	23	23	23	23	24	24	24	26	28	29	30	31	31	32	29	25	25	25	26	26	26	25	25	26
20	25	25	24	24	24	24	24	25	27	29	30	31	32	31	31	31	31	30	29	28	27	27	26	26	27
21	25	25	24	24	24	24	24	24	26	28	29	30	31	32	32	32	32	31	30	28	27	26	25	24	27
22	24	24	24	23	23	24	24	26	28	30	31	31	32	31	30	29	27	27	27	27	27	25	24	23	27
23	24	24	24	24	24	24	25	27	29	30	30	31	31	31	31	31	30	29	27	26	24	24	24	24	27
24	23	24	24	24	24	24	24	26	29	30	30	31	32	32	32	31	30	29	28	28	27	27	27	26	28
25	26	26	26	26	25	25	26	26	28	29	27	28	28	28	26	28	29	26	25	25	25	25	25	26	26
26	26	26	25	25	25	25	25	27	28	30	27	30	31	31	31	31	30	29	28	28	28	28	28	27	28
27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	28	29	29	30	30	29	30	31	31	30	29	28	28	28	28	28	28	28
28	28	27	26	27	27	27	27	27	27	28	29	30	31	31	31	30	29	29	28	28	28	28	27	27	28
29	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	28	29	29	30	30	30	30	29	29	28	28	28	28	27	27	26	25	28
30	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	27	28	29	29	30	29	30	30	29	28	28	28	27	27	27	27	26	28

10.5.1-150

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 720 DATA CAPTURE 100.0%

MAX. 1HR AVG 33 09/17/89 12:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 33 09/17/89 13:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 23 09/17/89 20:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 28 STANDARD DEV. 2

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration

BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data

Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

FPL-Davie
Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR SO2 in PPB

DAY	SEPTEMBER 1989																							DAILY AVG
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	
1	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	1	1	Cal	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	0	1	Cal	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0
20	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
23	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	1	0	0	Bad<
25	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
27	0	0	1	0	1	2	4	5	4	3	2	0	11	3	8	18	2	1	1	2	2	1	0	3
28	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	6	13	28	20	31	15	12	7	2	1	1	3	4	1	6
29	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	0
30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 705 DATA CAPTURE 97.9%

MAX. 1HR AVG 31 09/28/89 13:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 28 09/28/89 11:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 0 09/01/89 00:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 1 STANDARD DEV. 2

NAAQS Comparison: MAX. 3 HR AVG 26 (500) 09/28/89 13:00:00
MAX. 24 HR AVG 6 (140) 09/29/89 03:00:00

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Bad< - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-151

PPL
 Ft. Lauderdale, FL - Site 002

HOURLY AVERAGES FOR Sig01 in deg

SEPTEMBER 1989

DAY	HOURLY AVERAGES (EST)																							DAILY AVG	
	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23
1	48.7	37.5	10.6	21.4	62.1	19.0	17.7	51.8	24.8	23.7	19.1	22.7	19.6	31.2	22.7	16.1	15.9	14.1	13.2	10.8	10.1	24.3	19.3	18.3	24.0
2	33.3	27.6	19.2	41.6	59.5	43.4	15.0	36.9	57.5	21.4	20.7	31.1	19.3	30.8	21.2	18.1	20.8	14.3	22.3	43.3	22.7	60.4	14.7	21.4	29.9
3	31.2	23.7	25.8	15.3	28.6	29.5	49.6	23.4	20.3	36.9	28.7	18.8	16.8	15.8	15.5	13.9	14.1	14.6	12.7	26.0	14.8	14.8	30.9	30.9	23.0
4	27.1	14.1	10.4	12.1	22.9	22.7	46.7	42.9	28.0	16.1	14.6	17.2	19.6	16.3	14.2	22.7	20.2	17.9	28.5	18.2	27.3	14.4	12.4	44.9	22.1
5	20.3	24.2	37.4	16.9	24.1	38.5	21.6	22.6	15.3	18.6	15.3	17.1	17.5	19.0	18.0	15.5	14.6	13.9	14.1	15.7	14.4	25.2	13.7	11.7	19.4
6	14.9	14.2	14.7	23.5	31.8	17.6	19.9	24.5	25.4	20.5	20.4	34.1	26.5	28.0	19.4	18.6	19.3	19.7	18.2	19.6	21.9	22.7	24.6	15.5	21.5
7	13.5	15.7	29.2	20.9	35.9	31.5	17.0	13.7	20.4	26.0	19.3	18.3	22.3	21.5	18.5	17.0	17.6	17.4	17.7	23.2	24.9	24.1	22.7	22.3	21.3
8	21.4	27.1	28.5	11.9	10.5	15.0	16.8	15.4	27.6	26.0	31.4	28.0	31.8	21.3	20.7	17.0	25.9	23.7	20.9	21.5	32.4	47.4	20.2	8.6	23.0
9	15.0	15.5	21.2	12.6	16.8	20.1	14.9	16.4	22.9	24.8	26.2	24.0	18.0	18.8	17.9	17.0	14.6	14.2	13.0	13.6	15.5	17.6	51.4	42.4	20.2
10	30.6	26.7	18.3	11.2	19.3	23.8	25.8	22.5	15.7	18.2	17.2	21.8	18.3	19.6	17.1	18.6	18.1	14.9	18.0	19.2	18.5	15.0	12.5	13.6	18.9
11	13.1	21.3	19.7	34.5	17.1	16.0	16.5	22.1	34.6	19.7	17.4	17.7	15.4	16.0	18.0	17.1	18.6	15.7	17.9	14.8	14.1	13.0	13.6	15.4	18.3
12	11.7	16.5	27.8	18.7	23.0	21.6	40.0	20.2	32.3	17.4	17.7	15.4	18.3	19.6	21.0	16.5	18.2	18.2	17.7	20.8	13.3	13.7	17.6	32.6	20.4
13	22.5	28.0	20.4	19.1	47.8	15.2	20.1	30.2	27.6	25.8	23.5	23.5	23.1	28.2	22.1	17.9	18.7	17.0	13.3	13.9	11.9	10.8	10.9	12.8	21.0
14	10.9	19.1	42.8	28.5	30.0	22.6	56.6	29.0	31.9	19.4	20.3	20.5	16.0	16.1	17.0	14.9	14.2	14.3	13.9	14.8	11.5	11.1	11.6	12.6	20.8
15	14.3	16.8	35.8	25.9	26.9	54.7	25.2	26.5	24.6	17.1	16.4	16.0	13.7	15.0	15.2	19.8	14.4	13.7	13.6	11.5	11.2	11.6	12.7	12.5	19.4
16	22.1	52.3	64.1	19.6	38.0	22.6	55.5	40.2	65.8	17.2	21.6	19.8	17.2	17.2	25.7	13.3	15.2	12.8	10.0	17.7	27.4	58.1	17.2	15.4	28.6
17	33.1	24.8	11.0	26.4	33.0	58.7	24.0	19.1	30.0	48.3	63.7	59.2	65.3	26.4	18.8	15.3	14.7	16.5	17.5	19.1	33.6	42.7	19.3	16.3	30.7
18	36.9	27.6	35.5	14.7	12.6	13.3	12.2	15.0	16.8	20.5	16.1	17.7	24.3	15.7	22.9	22.5	22.6	18.2	50.4	13.0	23.1	31.4	48.4	43.9	24.0
19	27.8	55.3	10.9	11.0	12.6	8.3	13.2	14.9	13.8	19.2	21.6	28.1	24.3	21.2	40.1	30.1	13.2	16.4	30.2	40.6	23.8	16.6	13.5	13.6	21.7
20	14.8	18.8	14.7	11.9	9.5	12.0	12.7	15.2	23.7	26.8	25.4	26.2	27.0	20.1	21.4	22.4	22.6	19.9	17.2	16.5	17.6	17.6	13.9	9.5	18.2
21	10.1	11.2	11.2	10.1	9.8	7.7	8.6	8.7	10.1	11.1	12.8	12.4	14.7	13.9	14.2	16.6	19.0	14.4	11.7	15.8	16.6	18.1	15.3	17.7	13.0
22	14.1	26.3	24.0	19.4	16.9	41.2	44.8	15.8	17.1	16.6	16.6	16.1	16.8	16.5	15.3	17.0	15.0	18.0	27.5	35.2	26.3	12.2	11.2	18.1	20.8
23	27.3	16.1	20.3	33.3	29.3	21.5	19.9	35.6	13.9	17.0	16.9	32.4	78.0	68.6	17.2	15.9	15.2	19.6	13.5	13.2	14.9	16.8	17.6	20.9	24.8
24	18.6	21.3	18.8	24.6	22.5	41.1	48.8	38.8	13.8	15.7	20.4	17.5	16.9	17.4	17.2	16.3	14.9	15.8	11.4	9.9	8.2	9.5	9.3	8.4	19.0
25	15.3	22.6	16.0	11.6	9.9	12.2	14.3	13.0	13.2	14.3	15.9	37.2	25.2	38.9	27.4	24.5	11.7	42.3	22.3	23.8	32.9	28.6	9.9	9.9	20.5
26	12.1	9.7	8.8	12.0	24.5	53.9	46.7	21.0	20.1	24.2	50.5	21.6	14.3	16.6	15.4	15.0	14.7	12.8	12.7	10.9	15.4	16.0	13.3	10.5	19.7
27	12.2	15.0	13.0	14.2	13.6	13.8	13.0	13.8	14.4	16.4	17.7	15.0	15.3	15.3	15.2	15.8	13.1	13.0	12.5	11.0	11.5	11.6	11.7	12.2	13.8
28	13.1	11.4	12.7	11.4	11.7	12.1	14.3	15.2	23.8	17.7	15.3	16.4	12.8	15.4	15.0	13.6	13.5	13.6	11.9	11.2	11.4	11.0	11.7	12.2	13.7
29	12.0	12.4	11.6	12.4	11.1	12.0	12.4	13.1	13.7	14.7	14.7	14.1	14.2	14.7	13.2	13.0	13.3	11.7	11.2	12.6	10.5	12.5	12.2	14.1	12.8
30	11.4	10.5	13.2	12.5	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.8	15.4	14.6	15.4	14.3	15.8	15.3	14.9	15.8	15.5	14.4	12.8	12.4	12.1	15.4	11.2	11.9	13.7

TOTAL HOURS 720 TOTAL GOOD HOURS 720 DATA CAPTURE 100.0%

MAX. 1HR AVG 78.0 09/23/89 12:00:00 2ND MAX. 1 HR AVG 68.6 09/23/89 13:00:00

MIN. 1HR AVG 7.7 09/21/89 05:00:00 ARITHMETIC MEAN 20.6 STANDARD DEV. 10.5

KEY FOR MISSING CODES

Back - To few samples for valid average, Cal - calibration, OCal - Analyzer out of calibration
 BadS - Bad Analyzer Status, PwrF - Power Failure, Down - Operator downed channel, Miss - Missing Data
 Qad - Data questionable insufficient documentation, Qai - Data questionable external influence, Purg - Analyzer in Purge

10.5.1-152

APPENDIX 10.5.2
SURFACE WATER

10.5.2 Surface Water

10.5.2.1 Dye Dispersion Study

A dye dispersion study was conducted in the existing Lauderdale Plant cooling canal/pond system to establish the baseline thermal conditions, to provide data for the assessment of the cooling pond's cooling efficiency, and to estimate the travel time of the effluent. The study was conducted according to the guidelines for dye dispersion study methodology as outlined in Florida Department of Natural Resources (FDNR), 1988.

The cooling pond system basically consists of a discharge canal, two large ponds, three deadend finger canals, and a shallow peripheral canal (see Section 2.3.4 for description). There are two narrow and shallow cuts in the system, connecting two ponds and the discharge canal.

The study was conducted February 7-10, 1989 during the new moon spring tide. Two tide gauges were installed in the cooling pond system, as shown in Figure 2.3-9, to continuously record the water level. The tide data indicated that the tide in the cooling canal/pond system ranged from 0.6 ft to 1.5 ft during the study. The tidal fluctuation proved to be a significant factor to dye movement and the change in dye concentration during the study.

METHODOLOGY

Prior to the dye injection, 44 monitoring stations were established in the cooling pond/canal system (see Figure 10.5.2-1). The station locations were identified by either permanent landmark or an anchored buoy to accurately position the monitoring location. The numbers shown in the Figure 10.5.2-1 represent monitoring station number; the open circles represent buoys that were placed on a grid in the pond system, and the dots represent stations that were readily determinable by landmark. The buoys were held in place by concrete blocks with enough rope to account for the water depth and tidal variation. The buoys were all labeled with their corresponding station number with reflective numbers to make them readily identifiable at night.




Figure 10.5.2-1 COOLING POND/CANAL SYSTEM — MONITORING STATION LOCATIONS



**Lauderdale
Repowering
Project**

FPL

Rhodamine WT fluorescent dye was used as the tracer for the dye study. The dye was introduced to the canal/pond system by a single slug injection of 10 liters of Rhodamine WT. The dye was injected at the plant discharge flume at 7:49 a.m., February 7, 1989, in very turbulent water which mixed the dye slug across the cross-section.

The monitoring instrumentation included the Turner Designs® fluorometer, flexible Teflon® intake tubing, an electric diaphragm pump, and an Atkins® digital thermometer installed in-line to the flow-through system for temperature adjustment of the fluorescence. Data were collected continuously around the clock for the period of the study. Collection was accomplished by two teams of two members each working 12-hour shifts. The rotations were staggered to provide continuity to the effort--one member of the previous team would spend 4 to 6 hours with the next team. All data were logged by hand and later keyed into a computer for reduction and analysis. The monitoring parameters included: date, time, temperature profile, fluorescence profile, and the corresponding depths.

Prior to injection, fluorescence in the pond/canal system was monitored to account for the background conditions. The fluorometer was carefully calibrated using standard solutions immediately after the dye study.

In addition to the fluorescent dye monitoring, 50 citrus surface drogues were released at Station 1 at 9:00 p.m. on February 8, 1989, to provide a second indicator of surface travel time. The drogues proved to be a simple but reliable backup for dye dispersion monitoring.

The dye monitoring concluded at 6:38 a.m. on February 10, 1989, about 71 hours after the injection.

RESULTS

Tables 10.5.2-1 and 10.5.2-2 present the dye data for the duration of the study including the calibrated result in parts per billion (ppb). The data are divided into two tables because the measurements were taken with different instruments. The original fluorometer malfunctioned during the

Table 10.5.2-1. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal Pond System Dye Study--Uncalibrated Data
(Page 1 of 5)

Sta #	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (oC)	Fluorescence				
						F	Scale	Multiplier	F'	Fo
1	07-Feb-89	07:29	-0.33	1	25	3.2	31.6	100	3.2	3.19
1	07-Feb-89	07:40	-0.14	2	25.1	3	31.6	100	3	3.00
1	07-Feb-89	07:49	0.01	2	25.1	2.9	31.6	100	2.9	2.90
1	07-Feb-89	07:53	0.08	2	25.2	4.4	31.6	100	4.4	4.41
1	07-Feb-89	07:53	0.08	6	25.2	3.2	31.6	100	3.2	3.21
1	07-Feb-89	08:06	0.29	2	25.1	3.1	1.0	100	97.96	97.96
1	07-Feb-89	08:08	0.33	2	25.1	1.6	31.6	100	1.6	1.60
1	07-Feb-89	08:09	0.34	2	25.4	3	1.0	100	94.8	95.54
1	07-Feb-89	08:10	0.36	2	25.4	7.3	1.0	100	230.68	232.49
1	07-Feb-89	08:11	0.38	2	25.4	7	31.6	100	7	7.05
1	07-Feb-89	08:11	0.38	2	25.4	9	31.6	100	9	9.07
1	07-Feb-89	08:12	0.39	2	25.4	3.8	10.0	1	1200.8	1210.20
1	07-Feb-89	08:12	0.39	2	25.4	3	10.0	1	948	955.42
1	07-Feb-89	08:12	0.39	2	25.4	4.7	10.0	1	1485.2	1496.83
1	07-Feb-89	08:13	0.41	2	25.4	4.2	10.0	1	1327.2	1337.59
1	07-Feb-89	08:13	0.41	2	25.4	4.2	10.0	1	1327.2	1337.59
1	07-Feb-89	08:14	0.43	5	25.5	4.8	10.0	1	1516.8	1532.66
1	07-Feb-89	08:14	0.43	2	25.5	5.1	10.0	1	1611.6	1628.45
1	07-Feb-89	08:14	0.43	2	25.5	4.9	10.0	1	1548.4	1564.59
1	07-Feb-89	08:14	0.43	3	25.5	5	10.0	1	1580	1596.52
1	07-Feb-89	08:14	0.43	4	25.5	5	10.0	1	1580	1596.52
1	07-Feb-89	08:14	0.43	2	25.5	5.2	10.0	1	1643.2	1660.38
1	07-Feb-89	08:14	0.43	6	25.5	4.8	10.0	1	1516.8	1532.66
1	07-Feb-89	08:16	0.46	2	25.6	4.4	10.0	1	1390.4	1408.59
1	07-Feb-89	08:19	0.51	2	25.5	1.6	10.0	1	505.6	510.89
1	07-Feb-89	08:19	0.51	2	25.5	5.2	31.6	100	5.2	5.25
1	07-Feb-89	08:25	0.61	2	26.8	2.8	31.6	100	2.8	2.93
11	07-Feb-89	08:28	0.66	2	27	2.6	31.6	100	2.6	2.73
11	07-Feb-89	08:30	0.69	2	27.1	3	31.6	100	3	3.16
11	07-Feb-89	08:31	0.71	2	27.1	4.1	31.6	100	4.1	4.32
11	07-Feb-89	08:32	0.73	2	27.1	9	31.6	100	9	9.48
11	07-Feb-89	08:32	0.73	2	27.1	4.5	31.6	100	4.5	4.74
11	07-Feb-89	08:33	0.74	2	27.1	4.5	10.0	100	14.22	14.98
11	07-Feb-89	08:34	0.76	2	27	3	10.0	100	9.48	9.96
11	07-Feb-89	08:35	0.78	2	27	3.5	10.0	100	11.06	11.62
11	07-Feb-89	08:36	0.79	2	27	9	3.2	100	90	94.56
11	07-Feb-89	08:37	0.81	2	27.1	2.6	3.2	1	2600	2738.78
11	07-Feb-89	08:38	0.83	2	27.1	5	3.2	1	5000	5266.88
11	07-Feb-89	08:40	0.86	2	27.1	2.6	3.2	1	2600	2738.78
11	07-Feb-89	08:40	0.86	2	26.9	3.4	3.2	1	3400	3562.90
11	07-Feb-89	08:41	0.88	2	26.9	3.2	3.2	1	3200	3353.32
11	07-Feb-89	08:42	0.89	2	26.9	3.5	3.2	1	3500	3667.69
11	07-Feb-89	08:43	0.91	2	26.9	3.2	3.2	1	3200	3353.32
11	07-Feb-89	08:44	0.93	2	26.9	3.5	3.2	1	3500	3667.69
11	07-Feb-89	08:45	0.94	2	26.9	3.4	3.2	1	3400	3562.90
11	07-Feb-89	08:46	0.96	2	26.9	2.8	3.2	1	2800	2934.15
11	07-Feb-89	08:47	0.98	2	26.9	1.3	3.2	1	1300	1362.29
11	07-Feb-89	08:47	0.98	2	27	2.6	1.0	100	82.16	86.32
11	07-Feb-89	08:48	0.99	3	27	2.2	1.0	100	69.52	73.04
11	07-Feb-89	08:48	0.99	4	27	0.8	1.0	100	25.28	26.56
11	07-Feb-89	08:48	0.99	7	27	0.9	1.0	100	28.44	29.88
11	07-Feb-89	08:48	0.99	5	27	1	1.0	100	31.6	33.20
11	07-Feb-89	08:48	0.99	6	27	1.7	1.0	100	53.72	56.44
11	07-Feb-89	08:48	0.99	2	27	2.7	1.0	100	85.32	89.64
11	07-Feb-89	08:50	1.03	2	27	2.1	1.0	100	66.36	69.72
11	07-Feb-89	08:51	1.04	2	27	2	1.0	100	63.2	66.40
3	07-Feb-89	08:53	1.08	2	26.9	2	31.6	100	2	2.10
2	07-Feb-89	08:55	1.11	2	26.9	3.2	31.6	100	3.2	3.35
2	07-Feb-89	08:57	1.14	2	26.9	3.2	31.6	100	3.2	3.35
13	07-Feb-89	09:00	1.19	2	26.5	3.8	31.6	100	3.8	3.94
13	07-Feb-89	09:03	1.24	2	26.5	3.2	10.0	100	10.112	10.49

Table 10.5.2-1. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal Pond System Dye Study--Uncalibrated Data
(Page 2 of 5)

Sta #	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (oC)	Fluorescence				
						F	Scale	Multiplier	F'	Fo
13	07-Feb-89	09:04	1.26	2	26.7	4.7	10.0	100	14.852	15.48
13	07-Feb-89	09:19	1.51	2	26.9	3	10.0	100	9.48	9.93
11	07-Feb-89	09:22	1.56	2	26.9	3.3	10.0	1	1042.8	1092.76
11	07-Feb-89	09:22	1.56	3	26.9	3.1	10.0	1	979.6	1026.53
11	07-Feb-89	09:22	1.56	4	26.9	3.1	10.0	1	979.6	1026.53
11	07-Feb-89	09:22	1.56	5	26.9	3.2	10.0	1	1011.2	1059.65
11	07-Feb-89	09:22	1.56	6	26.9	2.9	10.0	1	916.4	960.31
11	07-Feb-89	09:22	1.56	7	26.9	2.9	10.0	1	916.4	960.31
11	07-Feb-89	09:22	1.56	8	26.9	3.3	10.0	1	1042.8	1092.76
11	07-Feb-89	09:22	1.56	9	26.9	2.7	10.0	1	853.2	894.08
8	07-Feb-89	09:27	1.64	2	26.6	4	31.6	100	4	4.16
8	07-Feb-89	09:38	1.83	2	26.6	4	31.6	100	4	4.16
11	07-Feb-89	09:38	1.83	2	26.9	2.3	1.0	1	7268	7616.23
13	07-Feb-89	09:39	1.84	2	27	6.2	3.2	1	6200	6513.97
12	07-Feb-89	09:40	1.86	2	27	5.6	3.2	1	5600	5883.59
14	07-Feb-89	09:41	1.88	2	27	4.9	3.2	1	4900	5148.14
15	07-Feb-89	09:43	1.91	2	27.1	4.6	3.2	1	4600	4845.53
16	07-Feb-89	09:43	1.91	2	27.1	4.6	3.2	1	4600	4845.53
15	07-Feb-89	09:50	2.03	2	27.1	4.5	3.2	1	4500	4740.19
14	07-Feb-89	09:52	2.06	2	27.2	4.5	3.2	1	4500	4752.53
12	07-Feb-89	09:56	2.13	2	27.1	4.6	3.2	1	4600	4845.53
13	07-Feb-89	10:02	2.23	2	27.2	5.9	3.2	1	5900	6231.10
13	07-Feb-89	10:03	2.24	2	27.3	8	3.2	1	8000	8470.94
8	07-Feb-89	10:06	2.29	2	27.3	4.5	3.2	1	4500	4764.90
2	07-Feb-89	12:51	5.04	27	28.3	19:12	3.2	100	28	30.43
1	07-Feb-89	14:01	6.21	2	28.9	0.6	3.2	1	600	662.31
2	07-Feb-89	14:04	6.26	2	28.5	4.6	3.2	1	4600	5025.16
3	07-Feb-89	14:07	6.31	2	28.5	3.8	3.2	1	3800	4151.22
4	07-Feb-89	14:07	6.31	2	28.5	2	3.2	1	2000	2184.85
5	07-Feb-89	14:10	6.36	2	28.3	1.2	3.2	1	1200	1304.11
6	07-Feb-89	14:12	6.39	2	29.1	5	3.2	1	5000	5548.00
11	07-Feb-89	14:15	6.44	2	28.3	6.8	3.2	1	6800	7389.96
13	07-Feb-89	14:16	6.46	2	27.9	0.9	3.2	1	900	967.96
12	07-Feb-89	14:19	6.51	2	28	0.7	3.2	1	700	754.82
14	07-Feb-89	14:20	6.53	2	28	0.3	31.6	100	0.3	0.32
15	07-Feb-89	14:22	6.56	2	27.9	0.3	31.6	100	0.3	0.32
16	07-Feb-89	14:23	6.58	2	28	0.2	31.6	100	0.2	0.22
19	07-Feb-89	14:24	6.59	2	27.7	0	31.6	100	0	0.00
18	07-Feb-89	14:27	6.64	2	27.9	0.1	31.6	100	0.1	0.11
17	07-Feb-89	14:28	6.66	2	27.8	0.1	31.6	100	0.1	0.11
12	07-Feb-89	14:29	6.68	2	28	0.3	31.6	100	0.3	0.32
13	07-Feb-89	14:31	6.71	2	28.3	0.7	31.6	100	0.7	0.76
13	07-Feb-89	14:32	6.73	2	28.3	1.3	31.6	100	1.3	1.41
11	07-Feb-89	14:35	6.78	2	28.5	6.1	10.0	100	19.276	21.06
11	07-Feb-89	14:37	6.81	10	28.5	9.8	10.0	100	30.968	33.83
11	07-Feb-89	14:37	6.81	9	28.5	9.9	10.0	100	31.284	34.18
11	07-Feb-89	14:37	6.81	8	28.5	8.5	10.0	100	26.86	29.34
11	07-Feb-89	14:37	6.81	3	28.5	8.8	10.0	100	27.808	30.38
11	07-Feb-89	14:37	6.81	6	28.5	8.5	10.0	100	26.86	29.34
11	07-Feb-89	14:37	6.81	1	28.5	8.8	10.0	100	27.808	30.38
11	07-Feb-89	14:37	6.81	4	28.5	9	10.0	100	28.44	31.07
11	07-Feb-89	14:37	6.81	7	28.6	9.6	10.0	100	30.336	33.23
11	07-Feb-89	14:37	6.81	2	28.5	8.8	10.0	100	27.808	30.38
11	07-Feb-89	14:37	6.81	11	28.4	3.2	10.0	100	10.112	11.02
11	07-Feb-89	14:37	6.81	5	28.5	9	10.0	100	28.44	31.07
11	07-Feb-89	14:41	6.88	12	28.4	3.8	10.0	100	12.008	13.08
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	13	28.1	5.8	3.2	100	58	62.71
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	8	28.6	3.3	3.2	100	33	36.14
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	12	28.1	4.9	3.2	100	49	52.98
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	20	28.1	2.8	3.2	100	28	30.27
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	4	28.8	2.8	3.2	100	28	30.83
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	6	28.8	3	3.2	100	30	33.03

Table 10.5.2-1. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal Pond System Dye Study--Uncalibrated Data
(Page 3 of 5)

Sta #	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (oC)	Fluorescence				
						F	Scale	Multiplier	F'	Fo
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	14	28.1	5.1	3.2	100	51	55.14
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	25	28.3	2.8	3.2	100	28	30.43
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	7	28.6	3.2	3.2	100	32	35.05
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	10	28.3	3.8	3.2	100	38	41.30
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	15	28.1	5.5	3.2	100	55	59.46
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	11	28.1	4.8	3.2	100	48	51.89
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	14	28.1	4.1	3.2	100	41	44.33
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	5	28.7	3.1	3.2	100	31	34.04
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	1	29.1	1.9	3.2	100	19	21.08
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	9	28.6	3.5	3.2	100	35	38.33
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	2	29.2	2.1	3.2	100	21	23.36
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	3	29.1	2.5	3.2	100	25	27.74
11	07-Feb-89	14:56	7.13	2	28.3	3.2	3.16	100	32	34.78
13	07-Feb-89	14:59	7.18	15	28.3	6	10	100	18.96	20.60
13	07-Feb-89	14:59	7.18	2	28.5	2.8	10	100	8.848	9.67
13	07-Feb-89	14:59	7.18	5	28.5	3.7	10	100	11.692	12.77
13	07-Feb-89	14:59	7.18	10	28.3	5.6	10	100	17.696	19.23
13	07-Feb-89	14:59	7.18	25	28.4	6.3	10	100	19.908	21.69
13	07-Feb-89	14:59	7.18	20	28.3	5.7	10	100	18.012	19.57
13	07-Feb-89	14:59	7.18	1	28.5	2.8	10	100	8.848	9.67
12	07-Feb-89	15:07	7.31	1	28.5	2.5	10	100	7.9	8.63
12	07-Feb-89	15:07	7.31	15	27.9	4.7	10	100	14.852	15.97
12	07-Feb-89	15:07	7.31	10	27.9	4.8	10	100	15.168	16.31
12	07-Feb-89	15:07	7.31	5	27.9	2.6	10	100	8.216	8.84
12	07-Feb-89	15:07	7.31	20	27.8	4.5	10	100	14.22	15.25
14	07-Feb-89	15:14	7.43	1	28.4	2.3	10	100	7.268	7.92
14	07-Feb-89	15:14	7.43	5	28	2.6	10	100	8.216	8.86
14	07-Feb-89	15:14	7.43	10	27.9	4.4	10	100	13.904	14.95
14	07-Feb-89	15:14	7.43	15	27.7	4.3	10	100	13.588	14.54
14	07-Feb-89	15:14	7.43	20	27.6	3.6	10	100	11.376	12.14
14	07-Feb-89	15:14	7.43	25	27.4	6.4	10	100	20.224	21.47
14	07-Feb-89	15:14	7.43	30	27.3	2.2	10	100	6.952	7.36
15	07-Feb-89	15:27	7.64	1	28.3	2.8	10	100	8.848	9.62
15	07-Feb-89	15:27	7.64	5	28.1	2.8	10	100	8.848	9.57
15	07-Feb-89	15:27	7.64	10	28.1	4.5	10	100	14.22	15.37
15	07-Feb-89	15:27	7.64	15	27.8	3.3	10	100	10.428	11.19
15	07-Feb-89	15:29	7.68	20	27.8	5.3	10	100	16.748	17.97
15	07-Feb-89	15:29	7.68	25	27	2.9	10	100	9.164	9.63
16	07-Feb-89	15:34	7.76	5	28	3.1	10	100	9.796	10.56
16	07-Feb-89	15:34	7.76	10	28.1	4.8	10	100	15.168	16.40
16	07-Feb-89	15:34	7.76	1	28.2	2.9	10	100	9.164	9.93
16	07-Feb-89	15:34	7.76	15	28.2	6.1	10	100	19.276	20.89
16	07-Feb-89	15:34	7.76	20	28	6.3	10	100	19.908	21.47
16	07-Feb-89	15:34	7.76	25	27.5	4	10	100	12.64	13.45
16	07-Feb-89	15:34	7.76	30	27	2	10	100	6.32	6.64
19	07-Feb-89	15:39	7.84	1	27.8	2.6	10	100	8.216	8.81
19	07-Feb-89	15:39	7.84	10	27.9	5.4	10	100	17.064	18.35
19	07-Feb-89	15:39	7.84	20	27.9	5.4	10	100	17.064	18.35
18	07-Feb-89	15:43	7.91	10	27.8	4.6	10	100	14.536	15.59
18	07-Feb-89	15:43	7.91	1	27.8	1.9	10	100	6.004	6.44
18	07-Feb-89	15:43	7.91	20	27.4	4.3	10	100	13.588	14.43
17	07-Feb-89	16:04	8.26	1	28.1	3.1	10	100	9.796	10.59
17	07-Feb-89	16:04	8.26	32	26.5	2.9	10	100	9.164	9.50
17	07-Feb-89	16:11	8.38	20	27.6	5.3	10	100	16.748	17.87
17	07-Feb-89	16:11	8.38	10	27.6	4.2	10	100	13.272	14.16
21	07-Feb-89	16:19	8.51	33	26.8	2.3	10	100	7.268	7.60
21	07-Feb-89	16:20	8.53	20	27.4	6	10	100	18.96	20.13
21	07-Feb-89	16:21	8.54	10	27.8	5.5	10	100	17.38	18.64
21	07-Feb-89	16:21	8.54	1	27.9	6.2	10	100	19.592	21.07
20	07-Feb-89	16:25	8.61	1	27.7	6.2	10	100	19.592	20.96
22	07-Feb-89	16:29	8.68	1	27.6	3.2	10	100	10.112	10.79
22	07-Feb-89	16:29	8.68	10	27.4	3.8	10	100	12.008	12.75

Table 10.5.2-1. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal Pond System Dye Study--Uncalibrated Data
(Page 4 of 5)

Sta #	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (oC)	Fluorescence				
						F	Scale	Multiplier	F'	Fo
22	07-Feb-89	16:29	8.68	1	27.6	3.2	10	100	10.112	10.79
22	07-Feb-89	16:29	8.68	30	26.5	6.8	31.6	100	6.8	7.05
22	07-Feb-89	16:29	8.68	20	26.8	3.3	10	100	10.428	10.90
23	07-Feb-89	16:34	8.76	1	27.9	3	10	100	9.48	10.20
23	07-Feb-89	16:34	8.76	10	27.5	3.3	10	100	10.428	11.10
23	07-Feb-89	16:34	8.76	5	27.4	3	10	100	9.48	10.06
23	07-Feb-89	16:34	8.76	1	27.8	2.7	10	100	8.532	9.15
23	07-Feb-89	16:34	8.76	16	27	8.5	10	100	26.86	28.22
24	07-Feb-89	16:42	8.89	10	27.7	5.5	10	100	17.38	18.60
24	07-Feb-89	16:42	8.89	20	27.7	6.9	10	100	21.804	23.33
24	07-Feb-89	16:42	8.89	30	26.7	4.3	10	100	13.588	14.17
24	07-Feb-89	16:42	8.89	1	27.7	4.2	10	100	13.272	14.20
25	07-Feb-89	16:49	9.01	20	27.2	5.5	10	100	17.38	18.36
25	07-Feb-89	16:49	9.01	10	27.4	3.7	10	100	11.692	12.41
25	07-Feb-89	16:49	9.01	1	27.8	3.8	10	100	12.008	12.88
25	07-Feb-89	16:49	9.01	30	26.4	2	10	100	6.32	6.54
26	07-Feb-89	16:57	9.14	10	27.3	3.2	10	100	10.112	10.71
26	07-Feb-89	16:57	9.14	1	27.7	2.8	10	100	8.848	9.47
26	07-Feb-89	16:57	9.14	20	27.4	6.2	10	100	19.592	20.80
27	07-Feb-89	17:02	9.23	20	27	6.5	10	100	20.54	21.58
27	07-Feb-89	17:02	9.23	30	26.4	2.6	10	100	8.216	8.50
27	07-Feb-89	17:03	9.24	10	27.3	5.4	10	100	17.064	18.07
27	07-Feb-89	17:04	9.26	1	27.7	3.1	10	100	9.796	10.48
28	07-Feb-89	17:13	9.41	20	26.9	8	10	100	25.28	26.49
28	07-Feb-89	17:15	9.44	25	26.2	3.2	10	100	10.112	10.41
28	07-Feb-89	17:15	9.44	20	26	2.4	10	100	7.584	7.76
28	07-Feb-89	17:15	9.44	10	27	4.2	10	100	13.272	13.94
28	07-Feb-89	17:15	9.44	10	26.9	2.8	10	100	8.848	9.27
28	07-Feb-89	17:15	9.44	10	26.9	2.7	10	100	8.532	8.94
28	07-Feb-89	17:15	9.44	1	27.2	6.4	10	100	20.224	21.36
28	07-Feb-89	17:15	9.44	1	27.1	2.8	10	100	8.848	9.32
32	07-Feb-89	17:28	9.66	5	27.2	6.2	10	100	19.592	20.69
32	07-Feb-89	17:28	9.66	1	27.3	3.6	10	100	11.376	12.05
33	07-Feb-89	17:32	9.73	1	27.2	5.6	10	100	17.696	18.69
34	07-Feb-89	17:36	9.79	3	26.9	2.9	10	100	9.164	9.60
34	07-Feb-89	17:37	9.81	1	26.9	2.9	10	100	9.164	9.60
35	07-Feb-89	17:41	9.88	30	25.6	2.4	10	100	7.584	7.68
35	07-Feb-89	17:41	9.88	20	26.5	3.7	10	100	11.692	12.13
35	07-Feb-89	17:42	9.89	1	26.8	3.1	10	100	9.796	10.24
35	07-Feb-89	17:42	9.89	10	26.6	3.2	10	100	10.112	10.51
44	07-Feb-89	17:46	9.96	20	26.3	3.1	10	100	9.796	10.11
44	07-Feb-89	17:48	9.99	10	26.5	3.4	10	100	10.744	11.14
44	07-Feb-89	17:49	10.01	1	26.7	3.3	10	100	10.428	10.87
44	07-Feb-89	17:49	10.01	1	26.7	3.3	10	100	10.428	10.87
40	07-Feb-89	17:55	10.11	20	25.9	2.4	10	100	7.584	7.74
40	07-Feb-89	17:55	10.11	1	26.2	3.1	10	100	9.796	10.08
40	07-Feb-89	17:55	10.11	10	26.1	2.7	10	100	8.532	8.76
40	07-Feb-89	18:12	10.39	1	23.9	6.9	31.6	100	6.9	6.69
40	07-Feb-89	18:12	10.39	1	23.9	2.3	10	100	7.268	7.04
18	07-Feb-89	18:35	10.78	1	26.8	4.2	10	100	13.272	13.87
18	07-Feb-89	18:35	10.78	10	27.2	7.1	10	100	22.436	23.70
18	07-Feb-89	18:35	10.78	20	27.1	9.1	10	100	28.756	30.29
18	07-Feb-89	18:35	10.78	30	25.9	3.1	10	100	9.796	10.00
11	07-Feb-89	18:42	10.89	9	29.5	2.8	3.16	100	28	31.39
11	07-Feb-89	18:42	10.89	5	29.7	2.9	3.16	100	29	32.68
11	07-Feb-89	18:42	10.89	1	30.1	2.7	3.16	100	27	30.75
2	07-Feb-89	18:48	10.99	20	27.3	6	3.16	100	60	63.53
2	07-Feb-89	18:48	10.99	10	28.7	3	3.16	100	30	32.94
2	07-Feb-89	18:48	10.99	1	29.2	3	3.16	100	30	33.37
1	07-Feb-89	18:57	11.14	5	31.7	5.2	10	100	16.432	19.51
1	07-Feb-89	18:57	11.14	1	32	4.8	10	100	15.168	18.15
1	07-Feb-89	19:03	11.24	1	29.4	6.9	10	100	21.804	24.38

Table 10.5.2-1. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal Pond System Dye Study--Uncalibrated Data
(Page 5 of 5)

Sta #	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (oC)	Fluorescence				
						F	Scale	Multiplier	F'	Fo
1	07-Feb-89	19:03	11.24	1	29.2	2.4	3.16	100	24	26.70
11	07-Feb-89	19:11	11.38	1	29.5	2.5	3.16	100	25	28.03
11	07-Feb-89	19:11	11.38	5	29.7	2.4	3.16	100	24	27.05
13	07-Feb-89	19:21	11.54	10	28.1	6.8	10	100	21.488	23.23
12	07-Feb-89	19:22	11.56	10	27.2	6	10	100	18.96	20.02
14	07-Feb-89	19:24	11.59	10	27	7.6	10	100	24.016	25.23
15	07-Feb-89	19:25	11.61	10	27	7.4	10	100	23.384	24.57
16	07-Feb-89	19:26	11.63	10	27.2	5.8	10	100	18.328	19.36
19	07-Feb-89	19:29	11.68	10	27.6	8.4	10	100	26.544	28.33
18	07-Feb-89	19:30	11.69	10	27.6	6.9	10	100	21.804	23.27
17	07-Feb-89	19:32	11.73	10	27.1	6.6	10	100	20.856	21.97
20	07-Feb-89	19:34	11.76	10	27.5	8.1	10	100	25.596	27.24
21	07-Feb-89	19:35	11.78	10	26.9	6.3	10	100	19.908	20.86
22	07-Feb-89	19:37	11.81	10	27	6.3	10	100	19.908	20.92
23	07-Feb-89	19:39	11.84	10	27.2	7.1	10	100	22.436	23.70
27	07-Feb-89	19:42	11.89	10	26.5	5	10	100	15.8	16.39
26	07-Feb-89	19:44	11.93	10	26.5	6.1	10	100	19.276	19.99
25	07-Feb-89	19:46	11.96	10	26.7	7.2	10	100	22.752	23.72
24	07-Feb-89	19:48	11.99	10	26.9	6.8	10	100	21.488	22.52
24	07-Feb-89	19:57	12.14	5	27	8.8	10	100	27.808	29.22
25	07-Feb-89	20:00	12.19	5	26.8	8.4	10	100	26.544	27.74
26	07-Feb-89	20:02	12.23	5	26.7	7.3	10	100	23.068	24.05
27	07-Feb-89	20:04	12.26	5	26.5	7.4	10	100	23.384	24.25
23	07-Feb-89	20:06	12.29	5	26.7	9.6	10	100	30.336	31.62
22	07-Feb-89	20:09	12.34	5	26.7	9.5	10	100	30.02	31.30
21	07-Feb-89	20:11	12.38	5	26.7	6.4	10	100	20.224	21.08
20	07-Feb-89	20:12	12.39	5	27.1	8.8	10	100	27.808	29.29
17	07-Feb-89	20:16	12.46	5	26.9	7.3	10	100	23.068	24.17
18	07-Feb-89	20:18	12.49	5	26.9	7.4	10	100	23.384	24.50
19	07-Feb-89	20:19	12.51	5	27.1	9	10	100	28.44	29.96
16	07-Feb-89	20:22	12.56	5	27.2	8	10	100	25.28	26.70
15	07-Feb-89	20:25	12.61	5	27.4	8.8	10	100	27.808	29.52
14	07-Feb-89	20:26	12.63	5	27	8.3	10	100	26.228	27.56
12	07-Feb-89	20:29	12.68	5	26.7	7.9	10	100	24.964	26.02
10	07-Feb-89	20:32	12.73	5	26.4	6	10	100	18.96	19.61
9	07-Feb-89	20:34	12.76	5	26.1	5.2	10	100	16.432	16.86
8	07-Feb-89	20:37	12.81	5	26.4	6.5	10	100	20.54	21.25
13	07-Feb-89	20:42	12.89	5	27.5	7.8	10	100	24.648	26.24
13	07-Feb-89	20:44	12.93	5	28.2	9	10	100	28.44	30.83
11	07-Feb-89	20:49	13.01	2	28.9	3.7	10	100	11.692	12.91
2	07-Feb-89	20:50	13.03	5	29.4	3.5	10	100	11.06	12.37
1	07-Feb-89	20:55	13.11	5	30.4	2.8	31.6	100	2.8	3.21
1	07-Feb-89	21:24	13.59	5	23	0	31.6	100	0	0.00
1	07-Feb-89	21:28	13.66	1	29.7	2.6	31.6	100	2.6	2.93

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 1 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F'	Fo	C (ppb)
1	07-Feb-89	07:29	-0.33	1	25.0	3.2	31.6	100	3.20	3.19	0.93
1	07-Feb-89	07:40	-0.14	2	25.1	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.00	0.88
1	07-Feb-89	07:49	0.01	2	25.1	2.9	31.6	100	2.90	2.90	0.85
1	07-Feb-89	07:53	0.08	2	25.2	4.4	31.6	100	4.40	4.41	1.29
1	07-Feb-89	07:53	0.08	6	25.2	3.2	31.6	100	3.20	3.21	0.94
1	07-Feb-89	08:06	0.29	2	25.1	3.1	1.0	100	97.96	97.96	28.63
1	07-Feb-89	08:08	0.33	2	25.1	1.6	31.6	100	1.60	1.60	0.47
1	07-Feb-89	08:09	0.34	2	25.4	3.0	1.0	100	94.80	95.54	27.92
1	07-Feb-89	08:10	0.36	2	25.4	7.3	1.0	100	230.68	232.49	67.94
1	07-Feb-89	08:11	0.38	2	25.4	7.0	31.6	100	7.00	7.05	2.06
1	07-Feb-89	08:11	0.38	2	25.4	9.0	31.6	100	9.00	9.07	2.65
1	07-Feb-89	08:12	0.39	2	25.4	3.8	10.0	1	1,200.80	1,210.20	353.67
1	07-Feb-89	08:12	0.39	2	25.4	3.0	10.0	1	948.00	955.42	279.22
1	07-Feb-89	08:12	0.39	2	25.4	4.7	10.0	1	1,485.20	1,496.83	437.44
1	07-Feb-89	08:13	0.41	2	25.4	4.2	10.0	1	1,327.20	1,337.59	390.90
1	07-Feb-89	08:13	0.41	2	25.4	4.2	10.0	1	1,327.20	1,337.59	390.90
1	07-Feb-89	08:14	0.43	5	25.5	4.8	10.0	1	1,516.80	1,532.66	447.91
1	07-Feb-89	08:14	0.43	2	25.5	5.1	10.0	1	1,611.60	1,628.45	475.90
1	07-Feb-89	08:14	0.43	2	25.5	4.9	10.0	1	1,548.40	1,564.59	457.24
1	07-Feb-89	08:14	0.43	3	25.5	5.0	10.0	1	1,580.00	1,596.52	466.57
1	07-Feb-89	08:14	0.43	4	25.5	5.0	10.0	1	1,580.00	1,596.52	466.57
1	07-Feb-89	08:14	0.43	2	25.5	5.2	10.0	1	1,643.20	1,660.38	485.24
1	07-Feb-89	08:14	0.43	6	25.5	4.8	10.0	1	1,516.80	1,532.66	447.91
1	07-Feb-89	08:16	0.46	2	25.6	4.4	10.0	1	1,390.40	1,408.59	411.65
1	07-Feb-89	08:19	0.51	2	25.5	1.6	10.0	1	505.60	510.89	149.30
1	07-Feb-89	08:19	0.51	2	25.5	5.2	31.6	100	5.20	5.25	1.54
1	07-Feb-89	08:25	0.61	2	26.8	2.8	31.6	100	2.80	2.93	0.86
11	07-Feb-89	08:28	0.66	2	27.0	2.6	31.6	100	2.60	2.73	0.80
11	07-Feb-89	08:30	0.69	2	27.1	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.16	0.92
11	07-Feb-89	08:31	0.71	2	27.1	4.1	31.6	100	4.10	4.32	1.26
11	07-Feb-89	08:32	0.73	2	27.1	9.0	31.6	100	9.00	9.48	2.77
11	07-Feb-89	08:32	0.73	2	27.1	4.5	31.6	100	4.50	4.74	1.39
11	07-Feb-89	08:33	0.74	2	27.1	4.5	10.0	100	14.22	14.98	4.38
11	07-Feb-89	08:34	0.76	2	27.0	3.0	10.0	100	9.48	9.96	2.91
11	07-Feb-89	08:35	0.78	2	27.0	3.5	10.0	100	11.06	11.62	3.40
11	07-Feb-89	08:36	0.79	2	27.0	9.0	3.2	100	90.00	94.56	27.38
11	07-Feb-89	08:37	0.81	2	27.1	2.6	3.2	1	2,600.00	2,738.78	793.06
11	07-Feb-89	08:38	0.83	2	27.1	5.0	3.2	1	5,000.00	5,266.88	1,525.11
11	07-Feb-89	08:40	0.86	2	27.1	2.6	3.2	1	2,600.00	2,738.78	793.06
11	07-Feb-89	08:40	0.86	2	26.9	3.4	3.2	1	3,400.00	3,562.90	1,031.70
11	07-Feb-89	08:41	0.88	2	26.9	3.2	3.2	1	3,200.00	3,353.32	971.01
11	07-Feb-89	08:42	0.89	2	26.9	3.5	3.2	1	3,500.00	3,667.69	1,062.04
11	07-Feb-89	08:43	0.91	2	26.9	3.2	3.2	1	3,200.00	3,353.32	971.01
11	07-Feb-89	08:44	0.93	2	26.9	3.5	3.2	1	3,500.00	3,667.69	1,062.04
11	07-Feb-89	08:45	0.94	2	26.9	3.4	3.2	1	3,400.00	3,562.90	1,031.70
11	07-Feb-89	08:46	0.96	2	26.9	2.8	3.2	1	2,800.00	2,934.15	849.63
11	07-Feb-89	08:47	0.98	2	26.9	1.3	3.2	1	1,300.00	1,362.29	394.47
11	07-Feb-89	08:47	0.98	2	27.0	2.6	1.0	100	82.16	86.32	25.23
11	07-Feb-89	08:48	0.99	3	27.0	2.2	1.0	100	69.52	73.04	21.35
11	07-Feb-89	08:48	0.99	4	27.0	0.8	1.0	100	25.28	26.56	7.76
11	07-Feb-89	08:48	0.99	7	27.0	0.9	1.0	100	28.44	29.88	8.73
11	07-Feb-89	08:48	0.99	5	27.0	1.0	1.0	100	31.60	33.20	9.70
11	07-Feb-89	08:48	0.99	6	27.0	1.7	1.0	100	53.72	56.44	16.49
11	07-Feb-89	08:48	0.99	2	27.0	2.7	1.0	100	85.32	89.64	26.20
11	07-Feb-89	08:50	1.03	2	27.0	2.1	1.0	100	66.36	69.72	20.38
11	07-Feb-89	08:51	1.04	2	27.0	2.0	1.0	100	63.20	66.40	19.41
3	07-Feb-89	08:53	1.08	2	26.9	2.0	31.6	100	2.00	2.10	0.61

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 2 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F'	Fo	C (ppb)
2	07-Feb-89	08:55	1.11	2	26.9	3.2	31.6	100	3.20	3.35	0.98
2	07-Feb-89	08:57	1.14	2	26.9	3.2	31.6	100	3.20	3.35	0.98
13	07-Feb-89	09:00	1.19	2	26.5	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.94	1.15
13	07-Feb-89	09:03	1.24	2	26.5	3.2	10.0	100	10.11	10.49	3.06
13	07-Feb-89	09:04	1.26	2	26.7	4.7	10.0	100	14.85	15.48	4.52
13	07-Feb-89	09:19	1.51	2	26.9	3.0	10.0	100	9.48	9.93	2.90
11	07-Feb-89	09:22	1.56	2	26.9	3.3	10.0	1	1,042.80	1,092.76	319.35
11	07-Feb-89	09:22	1.56	3	26.9	3.1	10.0	1	979.60	1,026.53	300.00
11	07-Feb-89	09:22	1.56	4	26.9	3.1	10.0	1	979.60	1,026.53	300.00
11	07-Feb-89	09:22	1.56	5	26.9	3.2	10.0	1	1,011.20	1,059.65	309.68
11	07-Feb-89	09:22	1.56	6	26.9	2.9	10.0	1	916.40	960.31	280.64
11	07-Feb-89	09:22	1.56	7	26.9	2.9	10.0	1	916.40	960.31	280.64
11	07-Feb-89	09:22	1.56	8	26.9	3.3	10.0	1	1,042.80	1,092.76	319.35
11	07-Feb-89	09:22	1.56	9	26.9	2.7	10.0	1	853.20	894.08	261.29
8	07-Feb-89	09:27	1.64	2	26.6	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.16	1.22
8	07-Feb-89	09:38	1.83	2	26.6	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.16	1.22
11	07-Feb-89	09:38	1.83	2	26.9	2.3	1.0	1	7,268.00	7,616.23	2,225.80
13	07-Feb-89	09:39	1.84	2	27.0	6.2	3.2	1	6,200.00	6,513.97	1,886.23
12	07-Feb-89	09:40	1.86	2	27.0	5.6	3.2	1	5,600.00	5,883.59	1,703.69
14	07-Feb-89	09:41	1.88	2	27.0	4.9	3.2	1	4,900.00	5,148.14	1,490.73
15	07-Feb-89	09:43	1.91	2	27.1	4.6	3.2	1	4,600.00	4,845.53	1,403.11
16	07-Feb-89	09:43	1.91	2	27.1	4.6	3.2	1	4,600.00	4,845.53	1,403.11
15	07-Feb-89	09:50	2.03	2	27.1	4.5	3.2	1	4,500.00	4,740.19	1,372.60
14	07-Feb-89	09:52	2.06	2	27.2	4.5	3.2	1	4,500.00	4,752.53	1,376.18
12	07-Feb-89	09:56	2.13	2	27.1	4.6	3.2	1	4,600.00	4,845.53	1,403.11
13	07-Feb-89	10:02	2.23	2	27.2	5.9	3.2	1	5,900.00	6,231.10	1,804.32
13	07-Feb-89	10:03	2.24	2	27.3	8.0	3.2	1	8,000.00	8,470.94	2,452.90
8	07-Feb-89	10:06	2.29	2	27.3	4.5	3.2	1	4,500.00	4,764.90	1,379.76
2	07-Feb-89	12:51	5.04	27	28.3	2.8	3.2	100	28.00	30.43	8.81
1	07-Feb-89	14:01	6.21	2	28.9	0.6	3.2	1	600.00	662.31	191.78
2	07-Feb-89	14:04	6.26	2	28.5	4.6	3.2	1	4,600.00	5,025.16	1,455.12
3	07-Feb-89	14:07	6.31	2	28.5	3.8	3.2	1	3,800.00	4,151.22	1,202.05
4	07-Feb-89	14:07	6.31	2	28.5	2.0	3.2	1	2,000.00	2,184.85	632.66
5	07-Feb-89	14:10	6.36	2	28.3	1.2	3.2	1	1,200.00	1,304.11	377.63
6	07-Feb-89	14:12	6.39	2	29.1	5.0	3.2	1	5,000.00	5,548.00	1,606.52
11	07-Feb-89	14:15	6.44	2	28.3	6.8	3.2	1	6,800.00	7,389.96	2,139.89
13	07-Feb-89	14:16	6.46	2	27.9	0.9	3.2	1	900.00	967.96	280.29
12	07-Feb-89	14:19	6.51	2	28.0	0.7	3.2	1	700.00	754.82	218.57
14	07-Feb-89	14:20	6.53	2	28.0	0.3	31.6	100	0.30	0.32	0.09
15	07-Feb-89	14:22	6.56	2	27.9	0.3	31.6	100	0.30	0.32	0.09
16	07-Feb-89	14:23	6.58	2	28.0	0.2	31.6	100	0.20	0.22	0.06
19	07-Feb-89	14:24	6.59	2	27.7	0.0	31.6	100	0.00	0.00	0.00
18	07-Feb-89	14:27	6.64	2	27.9	0.1	31.6	100	0.10	0.11	0.03
17	07-Feb-89	14:28	6.66	2	27.8	0.1	31.6	100	0.10	0.11	0.03
12	07-Feb-89	14:29	6.68	2	28.0	0.3	31.6	100	0.30	0.32	0.09
13	07-Feb-89	14:31	6.71	2	28.3	0.7	31.6	100	0.70	0.76	0.22
13	07-Feb-89	14:32	6.73	2	28.3	1.3	31.6	100	1.30	1.41	0.41
11	07-Feb-89	14:35	6.78	2	28.5	6.1	10.0	100	19.28	21.06	6.15
11	07-Feb-89	14:37	6.81	10	28.5	9.8	10.0	100	30.97	33.83	9.89
11	07-Feb-89	14:37	6.81	9	28.5	9.9	10.0	100	31.28	34.18	9.99
11	07-Feb-89	14:37	6.81	8	28.5	8.5	10.0	100	26.86	29.34	8.58
11	07-Feb-89	14:37	6.81	3	28.5	8.8	10.0	100	27.81	30.38	8.88
11	07-Feb-89	14:37	6.81	6	28.5	8.5	10.0	100	26.86	29.34	8.58
11	07-Feb-89	14:37	6.81	1	28.5	8.8	10.0	100	27.81	30.38	8.88
11	07-Feb-89	14:37	6.81	4	28.5	9.0	10.0	100	28.44	31.07	9.08
11	07-Feb-89	14:37	6.81	7	28.6	9.6	10.0	100	30.34	33.23	9.71
11	07-Feb-89	14:37	6.81	2	28.5	8.8	10.0	100	27.81	30.38	8.88

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 3 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F'	Fo	C (ppb)
11	07-Feb-89	14:37	6.81	11	28.4	3.2	10.0	100	10.11	11.02	3.22
11	07-Feb-89	14:37	6.81	5	28.5	9.0	10.0	100	28.44	31.07	9.08
11	07-Feb-89	14:41	6.88	12	28.4	3.8	10.0	100	12.01	13.08	3.82
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	13	28.1	5.8	3.2	100	58.00	62.71	18.16
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	8	28.6	3.3	3.2	100	33.00	36.14	10.47
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	12	28.1	4.9	3.2	100	49.00	52.98	15.34
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	20	28.1	2.8	3.2	100	28.00	30.27	8.77
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	4	28.8	2.8	3.2	100	28.00	30.83	8.93
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	6	28.8	3.0	3.2	100	30.00	33.03	9.56
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	14	28.1	5.1	3.2	100	51.00	55.14	15.97
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	25	28.3	2.8	3.2	100	28.00	30.43	8.81
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	7	28.6	3.2	3.2	100	32.00	35.05	10.15
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	10	28.3	3.8	3.2	100	38.00	41.30	11.96
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	15	28.1	5.5	3.2	100	55.00	59.46	17.22
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	11	28.1	4.8	3.2	100	48.00	51.89	15.03
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	14	28.1	4.1	3.2	100	41.00	44.33	12.84
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	5	28.7	3.1	3.2	100	31.00	34.04	9.86
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	1	29.1	1.9	3.2	100	19.00	21.08	6.10
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	9	28.6	3.5	3.2	100	35.00	38.33	11.10
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	2	29.2	2.1	3.2	100	21.00	23.36	6.76
2	07-Feb-89	14:43	6.91	3	29.1	2.5	3.2	100	25.00	27.74	8.03
11	07-Feb-89	14:56	7.13	2	28.3	3.2	3.16	100	32.00	34.78	10.07
13	07-Feb-89	14:59	7.18	15	28.3	6.0	10	100	18.96	20.60	6.02
13	07-Feb-89	14:59	7.18	2	28.5	2.8	10	100	8.85	9.67	2.82
13	07-Feb-89	14:59	7.18	5	28.5	3.7	10	100	11.69	12.77	3.73
13	07-Feb-89	14:59	7.18	10	28.3	5.6	10	100	17.70	19.23	5.62
13	07-Feb-89	14:59	7.18	25	28.4	6.3	10	100	19.91	21.69	6.34
13	07-Feb-89	14:59	7.18	20	28.3	5.7	10	100	18.01	19.57	5.72
13	07-Feb-89	14:59	7.18	1	28.5	2.8	10	100	8.85	9.67	2.82
12	07-Feb-89	15:07	7.31	1	28.5	2.5	10	100	7.90	8.63	2.52
12	07-Feb-89	15:07	7.31	15	27.9	4.7	10	100	14.85	15.97	4.67
12	07-Feb-89	15:07	7.31	10	27.9	4.8	10	100	15.17	16.31	4.77
12	07-Feb-89	15:07	7.31	5	27.9	2.6	10	100	8.22	8.84	2.58
12	07-Feb-89	15:07	7.31	20	27.8	4.5	10	100	14.22	15.25	4.46
14	07-Feb-89	15:14	7.43	1	28.4	2.3	10	100	7.27	7.92	2.31
14	07-Feb-89	15:14	7.43	5	28.0	2.6	10	100	8.22	8.86	2.59
14	07-Feb-89	15:14	7.43	10	27.9	4.4	10	100	13.90	14.95	4.37
14	07-Feb-89	15:14	7.43	15	27.7	4.3	10	100	13.59	14.54	4.25
14	07-Feb-89	15:14	7.43	20	27.6	3.6	10	100	11.38	12.14	3.55
14	07-Feb-89	15:14	7.43	25	27.4	6.4	10	100	20.22	21.47	6.27
14	07-Feb-89	15:14	7.43	30	27.3	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.36	2.15
15	07-Feb-89	15:27	7.64	1	28.3	2.8	10	100	8.85	9.62	2.81
15	07-Feb-89	15:27	7.64	5	28.1	2.8	10	100	8.85	9.57	2.80
15	07-Feb-89	15:27	7.64	10	28.1	4.5	10	100	14.22	15.37	4.49
15	07-Feb-89	15:27	7.64	15	27.8	3.3	10	100	10.43	11.19	3.27
15	07-Feb-89	15:29	7.68	20	27.8	5.3	10	100	16.75	17.97	5.25
15	07-Feb-89	15:29	7.68	25	27.0	2.9	10	100	9.16	9.63	2.81
16	07-Feb-89	15:34	7.76	5	28.0	3.1	10	100	9.80	10.56	3.09
16	07-Feb-89	15:34	7.76	10	28.1	4.8	10	100	15.17	16.40	4.79
16	07-Feb-89	15:34	7.76	1	28.2	2.9	10	100	9.16	9.93	2.90
16	07-Feb-89	15:34	7.76	15	28.2	6.1	10	100	19.28	20.89	6.11
16	07-Feb-89	15:34	7.76	20	28.0	6.3	10	100	19.91	21.47	6.27
16	07-Feb-89	15:34	7.76	25	27.5	4.0	10	100	12.64	13.45	3.93
16	07-Feb-89	15:34	7.76	30	27.0	2.0	10	100	6.32	6.64	1.94
19	07-Feb-89	15:39	7.84	1	27.8	2.6	10	100	8.22	8.81	2.58
19	07-Feb-89	15:39	7.84	10	27.9	5.4	10	100	17.06	18.35	5.36
19	07-Feb-89	15:39	7.84	20	27.9	5.4	10	100	17.06	18.35	5.36

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 4 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F'	Fo	C (ppb)
18	07-Feb-89	15:43	7.91	10	27.8	4.6	10	100	14.54	15.59	4.56
18	07-Feb-89	15:43	7.91	1	27.8	1.9	10	100	6.00	6.44	1.88
18	07-Feb-89	15:43	7.91	20	27.4	4.3	10	100	13.59	14.43	4.22
17	07-Feb-89	16:04	8.26	1	28.1	3.1	10	100	9.80	10.59	3.10
17	07-Feb-89	16:04	8.26	32	26.5	2.9	10	100	9.16	9.50	2.78
17	07-Feb-89	16:11	8.38	20	27.6	5.3	10	100	16.75	17.87	5.22
17	07-Feb-89	16:11	8.38	10	27.6	4.2	10	100	13.27	14.16	4.14
21	07-Feb-89	16:19	8.51	33	26.8	2.3	10	100	7.27	7.60	2.22
21	07-Feb-89	16:20	8.53	20	27.4	6.0	10	100	18.96	20.13	5.88
21	07-Feb-89	16:21	8.54	10	27.8	5.5	10	100	17.38	18.64	5.45
21	07-Feb-89	16:21	8.54	1	27.9	6.2	10	100	19.59	21.07	6.16
40	07-Feb-89	16:25	8.61	1	27.7	6.2	10	100	19.59	20.96	6.13
22	07-Feb-89	16:29	8.68	1	27.6	3.2	10	100	10.11	10.79	3.15
22	07-Feb-89	16:29	8.68	10	27.4	3.8	10	100	12.01	12.75	3.73
22	07-Feb-89	16:29	8.68	1	27.6	3.2	10	100	10.11	10.79	3.15
22	07-Feb-89	16:29	8.68	30	26.5	6.8	31.6	100	6.80	7.05	2.06
22	07-Feb-89	16:29	8.68	20	26.8	3.3	10	100	10.43	10.90	3.19
23	07-Feb-89	16:34	8.76	1	27.9	3.0	10	100	9.48	10.20	2.98
23	07-Feb-89	16:34	8.76	10	27.5	3.3	10	100	10.43	11.10	3.24
23	07-Feb-89	16:34	8.76	5	27.4	3.0	10	100	9.48	10.06	2.94
23	07-Feb-89	16:34	8.76	1	27.8	2.7	10	100	8.53	9.15	2.67
23	07-Feb-89	16:34	8.76	16	27.0	8.5	10	100	26.86	28.22	8.25
24	07-Feb-89	16:42	8.89	10	27.7	5.5	10	100	17.38	18.60	5.43
24	07-Feb-89	16:42	8.89	20	27.7	6.9	10	100	21.80	23.33	6.82
24	07-Feb-89	16:42	8.89	30	26.7	4.3	10	100	13.59	14.17	4.14
24	07-Feb-89	16:42	8.89	1	27.7	4.2	10	100	13.27	14.20	4.15
25	07-Feb-89	16:49	9.01	20	27.2	5.5	10	100	17.38	18.36	5.36
25	07-Feb-89	16:49	9.01	10	27.4	3.7	10	100	11.69	12.41	3.63
25	07-Feb-89	16:49	9.01	1	27.8	3.8	10	100	12.01	12.88	3.76
25	07-Feb-89	16:49	9.01	30	26.4	2.0	10	100	6.32	6.54	1.91
26	07-Feb-89	16:57	9.14	10	27.3	3.2	10	100	10.11	10.71	3.13
26	07-Feb-89	16:57	9.14	1	27.7	2.8	10	100	8.85	9.47	2.77
26	07-Feb-89	16:57	9.14	20	27.4	6.2	10	100	19.59	20.80	6.08
27	07-Feb-89	17:02	9.23	20	27.0	6.5	10	100	20.54	21.58	6.31
27	07-Feb-89	17:02	9.23	30	26.4	2.6	10	100	8.22	8.50	2.48
27	07-Feb-89	17:03	9.24	10	27.3	5.4	10	100	17.06	18.07	5.28
27	07-Feb-89	17:04	9.26	1	27.7	3.1	10	100	9.80	10.48	3.06
28	07-Feb-89	17:13	9.41	20	26.9	8.0	10	100	25.28	26.49	7.74
28	07-Feb-89	17:15	9.44	25	26.2	3.2	10	100	10.11	10.41	3.04
28	07-Feb-89	17:15	9.44	20	26.0	2.4	10	100	7.58	7.76	2.27
28	07-Feb-89	17:15	9.44	10	27.0	4.2	10	100	13.27	13.94	4.08
28	07-Feb-89	17:15	9.44	10	26.9	2.8	10	100	8.85	9.27	2.71
28	07-Feb-89	17:15	9.44	10	26.9	2.7	10	100	8.53	8.94	2.61
28	07-Feb-89	17:15	9.44	1	27.2	6.4	10	100	20.22	21.36	6.24
28	07-Feb-89	17:15	9.44	1	27.1	2.8	10	100	8.85	9.32	2.72
32	07-Feb-89	17:28	9.66	5	27.2	6.2	10	100	19.59	20.69	6.05
32	07-Feb-89	17:28	9.66	1	27.3	3.6	10	100	11.38	12.05	3.52
33	07-Feb-89	17:32	9.73	1	27.2	5.6	10	100	17.70	18.69	5.46
34	07-Feb-89	17:36	9.79	3	26.9	2.9	10	100	9.16	9.60	2.81
34	07-Feb-89	17:37	9.81	1	26.9	2.9	10	100	9.16	9.60	2.81
35	07-Feb-89	17:41	9.88	30	25.6	2.4	10	100	7.58	7.68	2.25
35	07-Feb-89	17:41	9.88	20	26.5	3.7	10	100	11.69	12.13	3.54
35	07-Feb-89	17:42	9.89	1	26.8	3.1	10	100	9.80	10.24	2.99
35	07-Feb-89	17:42	9.89	10	26.6	3.2	10	100	10.11	10.51	3.07
44	07-Feb-89	17:46	9.96	20	26.3	3.1	10	100	9.80	10.11	2.95
44	07-Feb-89	17:48	9.99	10	26.5	3.4	10	100	10.74	11.14	3.26
44	07-Feb-89	17:49	10.01	1	26.7	3.3	10	100	10.43	10.87	3.18

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 5 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F'	Fo	C (ppb)
44	07-Feb-89	17:49	10.01	1	26.7	3.3	10	100	10.43	10.87	3.18
40	07-Feb-89	17:55	10.11	20	25.9	2.4	10	100	7.58	7.74	2.26
40	07-Feb-89	17:55	10.11	1	26.2	3.1	10	100	9.80	10.08	2.95
40	07-Feb-89	17:55	10.11	10	26.1	2.7	10	100	8.53	8.76	2.56
40	07-Feb-89	18:12	10.39	1	23.9	6.9	31.6	100	6.90	6.69	1.95
40	07-Feb-89	18:12	10.39	1	23.9	2.3	10	100	7.27	7.04	2.06
18	07-Feb-89	18:35	10.78	1	26.8	4.2	10	100	13.27	13.87	4.05
18	07-Feb-89	18:35	10.78	10	27.2	7.1	10	100	22.44	23.70	6.92
18	07-Feb-89	18:35	10.78	20	27.1	9.1	10	100	28.76	30.29	8.85
18	07-Feb-89	18:35	10.78	30	25.9	3.1	10	100	9.80	10.00	2.92
11	07-Feb-89	18:42	10.89	9	29.5	2.8	3.16	100	28.00	31.39	9.09
11	07-Feb-89	18:42	10.89	5	29.7	2.9	3.16	100	29.00	32.68	9.46
11	07-Feb-89	18:42	10.89	1	30.1	2.7	3.16	100	27.00	30.75	8.90
2	07-Feb-89	18:48	10.99	20	27.3	6.0	3.16	100	60.00	63.53	18.40
2	07-Feb-89	18:48	10.99	10	28.7	3.0	3.16	100	30.00	32.94	9.54
2	07-Feb-89	18:48	10.99	1	29.2	3.0	3.16	100	30.00	33.37	9.66
1	07-Feb-89	18:57	11.14	5	31.7	5.2	10	100	16.43	19.51	5.70
1	07-Feb-89	18:57	11.14	1	32.0	4.8	10	100	15.17	18.15	5.30
1	07-Feb-89	19:03	11.24	1	29.4	6.9	10	100	21.80	24.38	7.13
1	07-Feb-89	19:03	11.24	1	29.2	2.4	3.16	100	24.00	26.70	7.73
11	07-Feb-89	19:11	11.38	1	29.5	2.5	3.16	100	25.00	28.03	8.12
11	07-Feb-89	19:11	11.38	5	29.7	2.4	3.16	100	24.00	27.05	7.83
13	07-Feb-89	19:21	11.54	10	28.1	6.8	10	100	21.49	23.23	6.79
12	07-Feb-89	19:22	11.56	10	27.2	6.0	10	100	18.96	20.02	5.85
14	07-Feb-89	19:24	11.59	10	27.0	7.6	10	100	24.02	25.23	7.37
15	07-Feb-89	19:25	11.61	10	27.0	7.4	10	100	23.38	24.57	7.18
16	07-Feb-89	19:26	11.63	10	27.2	5.8	10	100	18.33	19.36	5.66
19	07-Feb-89	19:29	11.68	10	27.6	8.4	10	100	26.54	28.33	8.28
18	07-Feb-89	19:30	11.69	10	27.6	6.9	10	100	21.80	23.27	6.80
17	07-Feb-89	19:32	11.73	10	27.1	6.6	10	100	20.86	21.97	6.42
20	07-Feb-89	19:34	11.76	10	27.5	8.1	10	100	25.60	27.24	7.96
21	07-Feb-89	19:35	11.78	10	26.9	6.3	10	100	19.91	20.86	6.10
22	07-Feb-89	19:37	11.81	10	27.0	6.3	10	100	19.91	20.92	6.11
23	07-Feb-89	19:39	11.84	10	27.2	7.1	10	100	22.44	23.70	6.92
27	07-Feb-89	19:42	11.89	10	26.5	5.0	10	100	15.80	16.39	4.79
26	07-Feb-89	19:44	11.93	10	26.5	6.1	10	100	19.28	19.99	5.84
25	07-Feb-89	19:46	11.96	10	26.7	7.2	10	100	22.75	23.72	6.93
24	07-Feb-89	19:48	11.99	10	26.9	6.8	10	100	21.49	22.52	6.58
24	07-Feb-89	19:57	12.14	5	27.0	8.8	10	100	27.81	29.22	8.54
25	07-Feb-89	20:00	12.19	5	26.8	8.4	10	100	26.54	27.74	8.11
26	07-Feb-89	20:02	12.23	5	26.7	7.3	10	100	23.07	24.05	7.03
27	07-Feb-89	20:04	12.26	5	26.5	7.4	10	100	23.38	24.25	7.09
23	07-Feb-89	20:06	12.29	5	26.7	9.6	10	100	30.34	31.62	9.24
22	07-Feb-89	20:09	12.34	5	26.7	9.5	10	100	30.02	31.30	9.15
21	07-Feb-89	20:11	12.38	5	26.7	6.4	10	100	20.22	21.08	6.16
20	07-Feb-89	20:12	12.39	5	27.1	8.8	10	100	27.81	29.29	8.56
17	07-Feb-89	20:16	12.46	5	26.9	7.3	10	100	23.07	24.17	7.06
18	07-Feb-89	20:18	12.49	5	26.9	7.4	10	100	23.38	24.50	7.16
19	07-Feb-89	20:19	12.51	5	27.1	9.0	10	100	28.44	29.96	8.76
16	07-Feb-89	20:22	12.56	5	27.2	8.0	10	100	25.28	26.70	7.80
15	07-Feb-89	20:25	12.61	5	27.4	8.8	10	100	27.81	29.52	8.63
14	07-Feb-89	20:26	12.63	5	27.0	8.3	10	100	26.23	27.56	8.05
12	07-Feb-89	20:29	12.68	5	26.7	7.9	10	100	24.96	26.02	7.61
10	07-Feb-89	20:32	12.73	5	26.4	6.0	10	100	18.96	19.61	5.73
9	07-Feb-89	20:34	12.76	5	26.1	5.2	10	100	16.43	16.86	4.93
8	07-Feb-89	20:37	12.81	5	26.4	6.5	10	100	20.54	21.25	6.21
13	07-Feb-89	20:42	12.89	5	27.5	7.8	10	100	24.65	26.24	7.67

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 6 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F'	Fo	C (ppb)
13	07-Feb-89	20:44	12.93	5	28.2	9.0	10	100	28.44	30.83	9.01
11	07-Feb-89	20:49	13.01	2	28.9	3.7	10	100	11.69	12.91	3.77
2	07-Feb-89	20:50	13.03	5	29.4	3.5	10	100	11.06	12.37	3.61
1	07-Feb-89	20:55	13.11	5	30.4	2.8	31.6	100	2.80	3.21	0.94
1	07-Feb-89	21:24	13.59	5	23.0	0.0	31.6	100	0.00	0.00	0.00
1	07-Feb-89	21:28	13.66	1	29.7	2.6	31.6	100	2.60	2.93	0.86
1	07-Feb-89	22:46	14.96	1	28.0	2.0	31.6	100	2.00	2.16	0.63
2	07-Feb-89	23:12	15.39	1	28.0	0.0	31.6	100	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	07-Feb-89	23:15	15.44	20	28.8	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.62	1.35
2	07-Feb-89	23:15	15.44	10	28.7	2.8	10	100	8.85	9.72	2.84
2	07-Feb-89	23:15	15.44	1	28.2	3.9	10	100	12.32	13.36	3.90
11	07-Feb-89	23:19	15.51	2	28.4	4.4	10	100	13.90	15.15	4.43
13	07-Feb-89	23:21	15.54	1	26.2	1.0	31.6	100	1.00	1.03	0.30
13	07-Feb-89	23:21	15.54	5	27.4	7.4	31.6	100	7.40	7.86	2.30
13	07-Feb-89	23:21	15.54	10	27.6	8.2	31.6	100	8.20	8.75	2.56
13	07-Feb-89	23:21	15.54	20	27.8	3.3	10	100	10.43	11.19	3.27
13	07-Feb-89	23:21	15.54	25	27.3	2.7	10	100	8.53	9.03	2.64
12	07-Feb-89	23:27	15.64	20	27.3	4.4	10	100	13.90	14.72	4.30
12	07-Feb-89	23:27	15.64	5	27.1	2.8	10	100	8.85	9.32	2.72
12	07-Feb-89	23:27	15.64	10	27.4	3.5	10	100	11.06	11.74	3.43
12	07-Feb-89	23:27	15.64	1	26.7	2.7	10	100	8.53	8.89	2.60
14	07-Feb-89	23:33	15.74	1	26.8	3.9	10	100	12.32	12.88	3.76
14	07-Feb-89	23:33	15.74	5	26.8	3.4	10	100	10.74	11.23	3.28
14	07-Feb-89	23:33	15.74	10	27.0	5.2	10	100	16.43	17.26	5.05
14	07-Feb-89	23:33	15.74	20	26.7	4.8	10	100	15.17	15.81	4.62
14	07-Feb-89	23:33	15.74	30	25.7	2.1	10	100	6.64	6.74	1.97
15	07-Feb-89	23:37	15.81	10	26.9	5.3	10	100	16.75	17.55	5.13
15	07-Feb-89	23:37	15.81	1	26.2	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.91	1.14
15	07-Feb-89	23:37	15.81	20	26.7	4.9	10	100	15.48	16.14	4.72
15	07-Feb-89	23:37	15.81	30	25.8	3.1	10	100	9.80	9.98	2.92
16	07-Feb-89	23:40	15.86	10	27.2	4.4	10	100	13.90	14.68	4.29
16	07-Feb-89	23:40	15.86	1	26.6	2.3	10	100	7.27	7.56	2.21
16	07-Feb-89	23:40	15.86	20	26.9	4.5	10	100	14.22	14.90	4.35
16	07-Feb-89	23:40	15.86	30	25.7	6.5	31.6	100	6.50	6.60	1.93
19	07-Feb-89	23:47	15.98	1	27.2	4.8	10	100	15.17	16.02	4.68
19	07-Feb-89	23:47	15.98	10	27.8	9.5	10	100	30.02	32.20	9.41
19	07-Feb-89	23:47	15.98	20	27.7	3.2	10	100	10.11	10.82	3.16
18	07-Feb-89	23:49	16.01	10	27.7	9.4	31.6	100	9.40	10.06	2.94
18	07-Feb-89	23:49	16.01	1	27.5	1.5	31.6	100	1.50	1.60	0.47
18	07-Feb-89	23:49	16.01	20	27.3	4.0	10	100	12.64	13.38	3.91
18	07-Feb-89	23:49	16.01	30	26.1	1.3	31.6	100	1.30	1.33	0.39
17	07-Feb-89	23:53	16.08	20	27.1	4.0	10	100	12.64	13.31	3.89
17	07-Feb-89	23:53	16.08	10	27.1	3.5	10	100	11.06	11.65	3.40
17	07-Feb-89	23:53	16.08	1	26.3	1.8	31.6	100	1.80	1.86	0.54
17	07-Feb-89	23:53	16.08	25	25.9	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.10	2.07
20	07-Feb-89	23:56	16.13	30	25.7	2.4	31.6	100	2.40	2.44	0.71
20	07-Feb-89	23:56	16.13	10	27.6	9.7	31.6	100	9.70	10.35	3.03
20	07-Feb-89	23:56	16.13	20	26.8	4.0	10	100	12.64	13.21	3.86
20	07-Feb-89	23:56	16.13	1	26.3	1.5	31.6	100	1.50	1.55	0.45
21	08-Feb-89	00:00	16.19	10	27.6	9.3	31.6	100	9.30	9.92	2.90
21	08-Feb-89	00:00	16.19	1	26.0	2.2	31.6	100	2.20	2.25	0.66
21	08-Feb-89	00:00	16.19	20	26.3	3.2	10	100	10.11	10.43	3.05
21	08-Feb-89	00:00	16.19	30	25.6	4.5	31.6	100	4.50	4.56	1.33
22	08-Feb-89	00:03	16.24	10	26.7	9.2	31.6	100	9.20	9.59	2.80
22	08-Feb-89	00:03	16.24	1	26.0	1.4	31.6	100	1.40	1.43	0.42
22	08-Feb-89	00:03	16.24	15	26.4	3.0	10	100	9.48	9.81	2.87
23	08-Feb-89	00:06	16.29	1	26.7	1.1	31.6	100	1.10	1.15	0.34

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 7 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F'	Fo	C (ppb)
23	08-Feb-89	00:06	16.29	7	26.9	3.8	10	100	12.01	12.58	3.68
27	08-Feb-89	00:10	16.36	20	26.5	4.2	10	100	13.27	13.76	4.02
27	08-Feb-89	00:10	16.36	10	27.0	3.4	10	100	10.74	11.29	3.30
27	08-Feb-89	00:10	16.36	1	26.6	7.5	31.6	100	7.50	7.80	2.28
27	08-Feb-89	00:10	16.36	30	25.8	2.3	31.6	100	2.30	2.34	0.68
26	08-Feb-89	00:13	16.41	10	27.4	3.3	10	100	10.43	11.07	3.24
26	08-Feb-89	00:13	16.41	1	26.1	2.6	31.6	100	2.60	2.67	0.78
26	08-Feb-89	00:13	16.41	20	26.7	4.8	10	100	15.17	15.81	4.62
26	08-Feb-89	00:13	16.41	30	25.8	2.8	31.6	100	2.80	2.85	0.83
25	08-Feb-89	00:17	16.48	20	26.7	3.7	10	100	11.69	12.19	3.56
25	08-Feb-89	00:17	16.48	10	27.1	9.6	31.6	100	9.60	10.11	2.96
25	08-Feb-89	00:17	16.48	1	26.3	1.5	31.6	100	1.50	1.55	0.45
25	08-Feb-89	00:17	16.48	30	25.7	1.4	31.6	100	1.40	1.42	0.42
24	08-Feb-89	00:20	16.53	10	26.7	3.1	10	100	9.80	10.21	2.98
24	08-Feb-89	00:20	16.53	1	26.3	2.7	31.6	100	2.70	2.79	0.81
24	08-Feb-89	00:20	16.53	20	27.0	3.3	10	100	10.43	10.96	3.20
24	08-Feb-89	00:20	16.53	30	25.8	0.9	31.6	100	0.90	0.92	0.27
32	08-Feb-89	00:36	16.79	2	26.7	3.4	10	100	10.74	11.20	3.27
33	08-Feb-89	00:37	16.81	2	26.7	3.0	10	100	9.48	9.88	2.89
35	08-Feb-89	00:40	16.86	20	26.1	6.6	10	100	20.86	21.41	6.26
35	08-Feb-89	00:40	16.86	10	26.0	3.1	31.6	100	3.10	3.17	0.93
35	08-Feb-89	00:40	16.86	1	25.9	1.4	31.6	100	1.40	1.43	0.42
35	08-Feb-89	00:40	16.86	30	25.8	1.9	10	100	6.00	6.11	1.79
36	08-Feb-89	00:43	16.91	1	25.9	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.06	0.90
36	08-Feb-89	00:43	16.91	10	26.0	4.6	31.6	100	4.60	4.71	1.38
36	08-Feb-89	00:43	16.91	20	26.1	6.0	31.6	100	6.00	6.16	1.80
36	08-Feb-89	00:43	16.91	30	25.8	5.3	31.6	100	5.30	5.40	1.58
37	08-Feb-89	00:47	16.98	1	25.6	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.65	1.07
37	08-Feb-89	00:47	16.98	10	26.1	6.4	31.6	100	6.40	6.57	1.92
37	08-Feb-89	00:47	16.98	20	26.1	2.9	10	100	9.16	9.41	2.75
38	08-Feb-89	00:47	16.98	30	25.6	2.4	10	100	7.58	7.68	2.25
38	08-Feb-89	00:53	17.08	10	25.9	6.5	31.6	100	6.50	6.64	1.94
38	08-Feb-89	00:53	17.08	30	25.9	2.3	31.6	100	2.30	2.35	0.69
38	08-Feb-89	00:53	17.08	20	26.0	8.4	31.6	100	8.40	8.60	2.51
38	08-Feb-89	00:53	17.08	1	25.8	6.3	31.6	100	6.30	6.42	1.87
38	08-Feb-89	00:53	17.08	20	26.0	9.5	31.6	100	9.50	9.72	2.84
39	08-Feb-89	00:57	17.14	30	25.7	7.2	31.6	100	7.20	7.31	2.14
39	08-Feb-89	00:57	17.14	10	25.9	7.5	31.6	100	7.50	7.66	2.24
39	08-Feb-89	00:57	17.14	20	25.9	7.8	31.6	100	7.80	7.96	2.33
39	08-Feb-89	00:57	17.14	1	25.9	7.0	31.6	100	7.00	7.15	2.09
40	08-Feb-89	01:01	17.21	10	25.9	5.4	31.6	100	5.40	5.51	1.61
40	08-Feb-89	01:01	17.21	1	25.8	4.4	31.6	100	4.40	4.48	1.31
40	08-Feb-89	01:01	17.21	20	25.9	6.6	31.6	100	6.60	6.74	1.97
40	08-Feb-89	01:01	17.21	30	25.6	6.5	31.6	100	6.50	6.59	1.92
Nurs	08-Feb-89	01:06	17.29	1	25.9	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.57	1.04
Nurs	08-Feb-89	01:06	17.29	10	26.0	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.89	1.14
43	08-Feb-89	01:10	17.36	20	26.0	5.0	31.6	100	5.00	5.12	1.50
43	08-Feb-89	01:10	17.36	10	26.0	4.1	31.6	100	4.10	4.20	1.23
43	08-Feb-89	01:10	17.36	1	26.1	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.69	1.08
43	08-Feb-89	01:10	17.36	30	25.6	4.5	31.6	100	4.50	4.56	1.33
42	08-Feb-89	01:12	17.39	1	26.0	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.58	1.05
42	08-Feb-89	01:12	17.39	10	26.1	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.90	1.14
42	08-Feb-89	01:12	17.39	20	26.0	4.8	31.6	100	4.80	4.91	1.44
42	08-Feb-89	01:12	17.39	30	25.8	5.2	31.6	100	5.20	5.30	1.55
41	08-Feb-89	01:15	17.44	30	25.8	4.3	31.6	100	4.30	4.38	1.28
41	08-Feb-89	01:15	17.44	10	26.1	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.08	0.90
41	08-Feb-89	01:15	17.44	20	26.0	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.58	1.05

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 8 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F'	Fo	C (ppb)
41	08-Feb-89	01:15	17.44	1	26.0	2.9	31.6	100	2.90	2.97	0.87
44	08-Feb-89	01:18	17.49	1	26.0	2.7	31.6	100	2.70	2.76	0.81
44	08-Feb-89	01:18	17.49	10	26.0	2.4	31.6	100	2.40	2.46	0.72
44	08-Feb-89	01:18	17.49	20	26.1	4.3	31.6	100	4.30	4.41	1.29
44	08-Feb-89	01:18	17.49	30	25.8	3.2	31.6	100	3.20	3.26	0.95
32	08-Feb-89	01:27	17.64	2	26.7	2.4	10	100	7.58	7.91	2.31
27	08-Feb-89	01:29	17.68	20	26.4	4.4	10	100	13.90	14.38	4.20
27	08-Feb-89	01:29	17.68	10	26.8	3.4	10	100	10.74	11.23	3.28
27	08-Feb-89	01:29	17.68	1	26.0	2.8	31.6	100	2.80	2.87	0.84
27	08-Feb-89	01:29	17.68	30	25.6	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.55	1.04
26	08-Feb-89	01:33	17.74	1	25.9	2.0	31.6	100	2.00	2.04	0.60
26	08-Feb-89	01:33	17.74	10	27.1	3.0	10	100	9.48	9.99	2.92
26	08-Feb-89	01:33	17.74	20	26.5	4.0	10	100	12.64	13.11	3.83
26	08-Feb-89	01:33	17.74	30	25.7	2.8	31.6	100	2.80	2.84	0.83
25	08-Feb-89	01:59	18.18	10	27.6	2.4	10	100	7.58	8.09	2.37
24	08-Feb-89	02:01	18.21	10	27.1	3.0	10	100	9.48	9.99	2.92
20	08-Feb-89	02:03	18.24	10	27.1	2.4	10	100	7.58	7.99	2.33
21	08-Feb-89	02:06	18.29	10	27.4	2.8	10	100	8.85	9.39	2.75
22	08-Feb-89	02:07	18.31	10	27.3	2.7	10	100	8.53	9.03	2.64
19	08-Feb-89	02:10	18.36	10	27.5	2.6	10	100	8.22	8.75	2.56
18	08-Feb-89	02:12	18.39	10	27.4	4.1	10	100	12.96	13.75	4.02
17	08-Feb-89	02:14	18.43	10	27.4	3.4	10	100	10.74	11.41	3.33
14	08-Feb-89	02:17	18.48	10	27.3	3.4	10	100	10.74	11.38	3.32
15	08-Feb-89	02:18	18.49	10	27.1	3.5	10	100	11.06	11.65	3.40
16	08-Feb-89	02:19	18.51	10	27.3	3.6	10	100	11.38	12.05	3.52
12	08-Feb-89	02:22	18.56	10	27.5	2.9	10	100	9.16	9.75	2.85
13	08-Feb-89	02:23	18.58	10	27.5	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.40	2.16
11	08-Feb-89	02:25	18.61	10	27.3	2.4	10	100	7.58	8.03	2.35
2	08-Feb-89	02:27	18.64	10	27.0	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.30	2.13
1	08-Feb-89	02:30	18.69	2	25.9	1.7	31.6	100	1.70	1.74	0.51
1	08-Feb-89	03:59	20.18	2	25.6	0.6	31.6	100	0.60	0.61	0.18
2	08-Feb-89	04:01	20.21	1	27.0	0.0	10	100	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	08-Feb-89	04:01	20.21	10	26.2	1.8	10	100	5.69	5.85	1.71
2	08-Feb-89	04:01	20.21	20	26.9	2.7	10	100	8.53	8.94	2.61
11	08-Feb-89	04:07	20.31	1	26.3	2.6	10	100	8.22	8.48	2.48
11	08-Feb-89	04:07	20.31	5	26.3	2.5	10	100	7.90	8.15	2.38
12	08-Feb-89	04:11	20.38	20	26.9	2.6	10	100	8.22	8.61	2.52
12	08-Feb-89	04:11	20.38	10	27.0	3.3	10	100	10.43	10.96	3.20
12	08-Feb-89	04:11	20.38	1	25.4	1.0	31.6	100	1.00	1.01	0.29
13	08-Feb-89	04:16	20.46	26	26.5	6.2	31.6	100	6.20	6.43	1.88
13	08-Feb-89	04:16	20.46	10	26.5	6.3	31.6	100	6.30	6.53	1.91
13	08-Feb-89	04:16	20.46	20	26.4	6.2	31.6	100	6.20	6.41	1.87
13	08-Feb-89	04:16	20.46	1	25.5	1.4	31.6	100	1.40	1.41	0.41
14	08-Feb-89	04:22	20.56	10	26.7	3.7	10	100	11.69	12.19	3.56
14	08-Feb-89	04:22	20.56	1	25.5	1.9	31.6	100	1.90	1.92	0.56
14	08-Feb-89	04:22	20.56	20	26.8	2.8	10	100	8.85	9.25	2.70
14	08-Feb-89	04:22	20.56	30	25.7	1.8	31.6	100	1.80	1.83	0.53
15	08-Feb-89	04:26	20.63	30	25.8	3.2	31.6	100	3.20	3.26	0.95
15	08-Feb-89	04:26	20.63	10	26.8	7.6	31.6	100	7.60	7.94	2.32
15	08-Feb-89	04:26	20.63	20	26.8	8.4	31.6	100	8.40	8.78	2.57
15	08-Feb-89	04:26	20.63	1	25.7	2.8	31.6	100	2.80	2.84	0.83
16	08-Feb-89	04:31	20.71	10	26.9	8.3	31.6	100	8.30	8.70	2.54
16	08-Feb-89	04:31	20.71	1	25.5	1.9	31.6	100	1.90	1.92	0.56
16	08-Feb-89	04:31	20.71	20	26.8	7.2	31.6	100	7.20	7.53	2.20
16	08-Feb-89	04:31	20.71	30	25.8	1.8	31.6	100	1.80	1.83	0.54
19	08-Feb-89	04:36	20.79	1	25.5	3.3	31.6	100	3.30	3.33	0.97
19	08-Feb-89	04:36	20.79	10	27.2	8.6	31.6	100	8.60	9.08	2.65

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 9 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F'	Fo	C (ppb)
19	08-Feb-89	04:36	20.79	20	26.7	6.4	31.6	100	6.40	6.67	1.95
18	08-Feb-89	04:39	20.84	10	27.1	7.5	31.6	100	7.50	7.90	2.31
18	08-Feb-89	04:39	20.84	1	25.9	2.8	31.6	100	2.80	2.86	0.84
18	08-Feb-89	04:39	20.84	20	26.8	6.3	31.6	100	6.30	6.58	1.92
18	08-Feb-89	04:39	20.84	30	25.7	3.3	31.6	100	3.30	3.35	0.98
17	08-Feb-89	04:44	20.93	20	26.8	3.2	10	100	10.11	10.57	3.09
17	08-Feb-89	04:44	20.93	10	26.9	4.0	10	100	12.64	13.25	3.87
17	08-Feb-89	04:44	20.93	1	25.6	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.44	1.01
17	08-Feb-89	04:44	20.93	30	25.5	0.4	31.6	100	0.40	0.40	0.12
20	08-Feb-89	04:49	21.01	10	26.8	8.4	31.6	100	8.40	8.78	2.57
20	08-Feb-89	04:49	21.01	1	25.6	3.3	31.6	100	3.30	3.34	0.98
20	08-Feb-89	04:49	21.01	20	26.8	6.7	31.6	100	6.70	7.00	2.05
20	08-Feb-89	04:49	21.01	30	25.6	0.5	31.6	100	0.50	0.51	0.15
21	08-Feb-89	04:53	21.08	1	25.5	2.9	31.6	100	2.90	2.93	0.86
21	08-Feb-89	04:53	21.08	10	26.6	9.6	31.6	100	9.60	9.98	2.92
21	08-Feb-89	04:53	21.08	20	26.5	2.7	10	100	8.53	8.85	2.59
21	08-Feb-89	04:53	21.08	30	25.6	0.6	31.6	100	0.60	0.61	0.18
22	08-Feb-89	04:56	21.13	1	25.7	3.3	31.6	100	3.30	3.35	0.98
22	08-Feb-89	04:56	21.13	10	26.7	9.5	31.6	100	9.50	9.90	2.89
22	08-Feb-89	04:56	21.13	15	26.3	9.2	31.6	100	9.20	9.49	2.77
23	08-Feb-89	05:00	21.19	1	26.1	5.6	31.6	100	5.60	5.75	1.68
23	08-Feb-89	05:00	21.19	10	26.5	3.7	10	100	11.69	12.13	3.54
29	08-Feb-89	05:04	21.26	20	25.9	2.8	10	100	8.85	9.03	2.64
29	08-Feb-89	05:04	21.26	10	26.6	4.0	10	100	12.64	13.14	3.84
29	08-Feb-89	05:04	21.26	1	25.7	2.9	31.6	100	2.90	2.95	0.86
29	08-Feb-89	05:04	21.26	30	25.5	0.7	31.6	100	0.70	0.71	0.21
27	08-Feb-89	05:11	21.38	20	27.1	8.1	31.6	100	8.10	8.53	2.49
27	08-Feb-89	05:11	21.38	10	27.2	9.3	31.6	100	9.30	9.82	2.87
27	08-Feb-89	05:11	21.38	1	26.4	8.2	31.6	100	8.20	8.48	2.48
27	08-Feb-89	05:11	21.38	30	25.7	0.5	31.6	100	0.50	0.51	0.15
26	08-Feb-89	05:17	21.48	10	27.1	8.6	31.6	100	8.60	9.06	2.65
26	08-Feb-89	05:17	21.48	1	25.8	6.8	31.6	100	6.80	6.92	2.02
26	08-Feb-89	05:17	21.48	20	26.9	8.4	31.6	100	8.40	8.80	2.57
26	08-Feb-89	05:17	21.48	30	25.7	0.4	31.6	100	0.40	0.41	0.12
25	08-Feb-89	05:21	21.54	10	26.7	9.0	31.6	100	9.00	9.38	2.74
25	08-Feb-89	05:21	21.54	1	25.8	3.1	31.6	100	3.10	3.16	0.92
25	08-Feb-89	05:21	21.54	20	26.8	2.4	31.6	100	2.40	2.51	0.73
25	08-Feb-89	05:21	21.54	30	25.5	0.4	31.6	100	0.40	0.40	0.12
28	08-Feb-89	05:29	21.68	1	25.7	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.06	1.19
28	08-Feb-89	05:29	21.68	10	26.9	3.0	10	100	9.48	9.93	2.90
28	08-Feb-89	05:29	21.68	20	26.3	3.4	10	100	10.74	11.08	3.24
28	08-Feb-89	05:29	21.68	26	25.3	0.4	31.6	100	0.40	0.40	0.12
32	08-Feb-89	05:29	21.68	2	25.9	1.8	10	100	5.69	5.81	1.70
35	08-Feb-89	05:37	21.81	1	25.5	0.9	31.6	100	0.90	0.91	0.27
35	08-Feb-89	05:39	21.84	10	25.5	1.5	31.6	100	1.50	1.52	0.44
35	08-Feb-89	05:39	21.84	20	25.5	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.54	1.03
35	08-Feb-89	05:39	21.84	30	25.9	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.29	1.25
36	08-Feb-89	05:43	21.91	10	25.6	2.3	31.6	100	2.30	2.33	0.68
36	08-Feb-89	05:43	21.91	1	25.4	0.9	31.6	100	0.90	0.91	0.27
36	08-Feb-89	05:43	21.91	20	25.7	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.05	0.89
36	08-Feb-89	05:48	21.99	30	25.7	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.56	1.04
37	08-Feb-89	05:48	21.99	1	25.3	0.8	31.6	100	0.80	0.80	0.24
37	08-Feb-89	05:48	21.99	10	25.7	2.3	31.6	100	2.30	2.34	0.68
37	08-Feb-89	05:48	21.99	20	25.8	3.2	31.6	100	3.20	3.26	0.95
37	08-Feb-89	05:48	21.99	30	25.7	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.05	0.89
40	08-Feb-89	05:54	22.09	10	25.3	2.0	31.6	100	2.00	2.01	0.59
40	08-Feb-89	05:58	22.16	10	25.6	1.7	31.6	100	1.70	1.72	0.50

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 10 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F'	Fo	C (ppb)
39	08-Feb-89	06:00	22.19	10	25.5	1.1	31.6	100	1.10	1.11	0.32
39	08-Feb-89	06:00	22.19	15	25.7	2.5	31.6	100	2.50	2.54	0.74
39	08-Feb-89	06:00	22.19	20	25.7	2.7	31.6	100	2.70	2.74	0.80
44	08-Feb-89	06:08	22.33	13	25.6	2.7	31.6	100	2.70	2.74	0.80
44	08-Feb-89	06:08	22.33	10	25.6	2.7	31.6	100	2.70	2.74	0.80
44	08-Feb-89	06:08	22.33	1	25.6	2.5	31.6	100	2.50	2.53	0.74
27	08-Feb-89	06:17	22.48	15	26.9	7.1	31.6	100	7.10	7.44	2.17
26	08-Feb-89	06:24	22.59	15	26.7	3.4	10	100	10.74	11.20	3.27
26	08-Feb-89	06:24	22.59	1	25.9	6.7	31.6	100	6.70	6.84	2.00
24	08-Feb-89	06:26	22.63	15	26.6	2.6	10	100	8.22	8.54	2.50
25	08-Feb-89	06:26	22.63	15	26.8	7.2	31.6	100	7.20	7.53	2.20
20	08-Feb-89	06:32	22.73	15	26.9	7.1	31.6	100	7.10	7.44	2.17
21	08-Feb-89	06:34	22.76	15	26.7	9.4	31.6	100	9.40	9.80	2.86
22	08-Feb-89	06:35	22.78	15	26.4	6.3	10	100	19.91	20.59	6.02
23	08-Feb-89	06:38	22.83	8	26.3	3.3	10	100	10.43	10.76	3.14
19	08-Feb-89	06:40	22.86	15	26.6	7.1	31.6	100	7.10	7.38	2.16
18	08-Feb-89	06:42	22.89	15	26.5	7.5	31.6	100	7.50	7.78	2.27
17	08-Feb-89	06:43	22.91	15	26.6	3.4	10	100	10.74	11.17	3.26
14	08-Feb-89	06:45	22.94	15	26.6	8.1	31.6	100	0.81	0.84	0.25
15	08-Feb-89	06:48	22.99	15	26.5	7.1	31.6	100	7.10	7.36	2.15
16	08-Feb-89	06:49	23.01	15	26.5	7.0	31.6	100	7.00	7.26	2.12
12	08-Feb-89	06:52	23.06	15	26.6	8.7	31.6	100	8.70	9.05	2.64
13	08-Feb-89	06:54	23.09	15	26.4	8.4	31.6	100	8.40	8.69	2.54
11	08-Feb-89	06:57	23.14	8	26.5	2.3	10	100	7.27	7.54	2.20
2	08-Feb-89	06:58	23.16	15	27.1	5.0	10	100	15.80	16.64	4.86
3	08-Feb-89	06:59	23.18	5	27.0	4.0	10	100	12.64	13.28	3.88
4	08-Feb-89	07:02	23.23	2	27.6	7.5	10	100	23.70	25.29	7.39
5	08-Feb-89	07:06	23.29	4	27.5	5.4	10	100	17.06	18.16	5.31
1	08-Feb-89	07:52	24.06	2	24.9	0.2	31.6	100	0.20	0.20	0.06
2	08-Feb-89	07:58	24.16	10	26.7	2.5	10	100	7.90	8.24	2.41
2	08-Feb-89	07:58	24.16	1	27.1	6.4	10	100	20.22	21.30	6.23
2	08-Feb-89	07:58	24.16	20	26.1	2.1	10	100	6.64	6.81	1.99
3	08-Feb-89	08:05	24.28	1	27.1	7.2	10	100	22.75	23.97	7.00
3	08-Feb-89	08:05	24.28	10	26.3	1.7	10	100	5.37	5.54	1.62
3	08-Feb-89	08:05	24.28	20	26.3	2.1	10	100	6.64	6.85	2.00
4	08-Feb-89	08:24	24.59	10	26.4	1.7	10	100	5.37	5.56	1.62
4	08-Feb-89	08:24	24.59	1	27.1	6.8	10	100	21.49	22.63	6.61
4	08-Feb-89	08:24	24.59	20	26.3	1.5	10	100	4.74	4.89	1.43
4	08-Feb-89	08:24	24.59	30	26.3	1.5	10	100	4.74	4.89	1.43
5	08-Feb-89	08:29	24.68	10	27.1	3.5	10	100	11.06	11.65	3.40
5	08-Feb-89	08:29	24.68	1	27.2	7.4	10	100	23.38	24.70	7.22
5	08-Feb-89	08:29	24.68	20	26.8	2.0	10	100	6.32	6.61	1.93
5	08-Feb-89	08:29	24.68	30	26.8	1.5	10	100	4.74	4.95	1.45
6	08-Feb-89	08:35	24.78	10	27.2	2.6	10	100	8.22	8.68	2.54
6	08-Feb-89	08:35	24.78	1	27.1	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.58	1.05
6	08-Feb-89	08:35	24.78	20	26.8	1.5	10	100	4.74	4.95	1.45
6	08-Feb-89	08:35	24.78	27	26.4	1.5	10	100	4.74	4.90	1.43
11	08-Feb-89	08:55	25.11	1	27.5	4.0	10	100	12.64	13.45	3.93
11	08-Feb-89	08:55	25.11	8	26.9	1.8	10	100	5.69	5.96	1.74
10	08-Feb-89	09:02	25.23	20	27.0	2.4	10	100	7.58	7.97	2.33
10	08-Feb-89	09:02	25.23	10	26.9	2.9	10	100	9.16	9.60	2.81
10	08-Feb-89	09:02	25.23	1	25.6	0.7	31.6	100	0.70	0.71	0.21
10	08-Feb-89	09:02	25.23	27	25.8	0.1	31.6	100	0.10	0.10	0.03
9	08-Feb-89	09:07	25.31	10	26.6	2.9	10	100	9.16	9.53	2.78
9	08-Feb-89	09:07	25.31	1	25.5	0.8	31.6	100	0.80	0.81	0.24
9	08-Feb-89	09:07	25.31	20	26.8	2.3	10	100	7.27	7.60	2.22
9	08-Feb-89	09:07	25.31	30	25.8	0.4	31.6	100	0.40	0.41	0.12

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 11 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F'	Fo	C (ppb)
8	08-Feb-89	09:25	25.61	1	26.3	0.8	10	100	2.53	2.61	0.76
8	08-Feb-89	09:25	25.61	10	26.8	2.5	10	100	7.90	8.26	2.41
8	08-Feb-89	09:25	25.61	20	27.3	2.4	10	100	7.58	8.03	2.35
8	08-Feb-89	09:29	25.68	30	26.2	4.5	31.6	100	4.50	4.63	1.35
12	08-Feb-89	09:34	25.76	1	26.7	4.5	31.6	100	4.50	4.69	1.37
12	08-Feb-89	09:34	25.76	10	27.2	3.4	10	100	10.74	11.35	3.32
12	08-Feb-89	09:34	25.76	20	27.6	2.8	10	100	8.85	9.44	2.76
13	08-Feb-89	09:38	25.83	1	27.2	2.0	10	100	6.32	6.67	1.95
13	08-Feb-89	09:38	25.83	10	27.5	3.1	10	100	9.80	10.43	3.05
13	08-Feb-89	09:38	25.83	20	27.7	3.2	10	100	10.11	10.82	3.16
14	08-Feb-89	09:42	25.89	10	27.9	3.7	10	100	11.69	12.57	3.67
14	08-Feb-89	09:42	25.89	1	27.8	3.2	10	100	10.11	10.85	3.17
14	08-Feb-89	09:42	25.89	20	27.8	3.4	10	100	10.74	11.53	3.37
14	08-Feb-89	09:42	25.89	30	27.3	3.2	10	100	10.11	10.71	3.13
15	08-Feb-89	09:48	25.99	1	27.5	3.9	10	100	12.32	13.12	3.83
15	08-Feb-89	09:48	25.99	10	27.9	4.2	10	100	13.27	14.27	4.17
15	08-Feb-89	09:48	25.99	20	27.8	4.3	10	100	13.59	14.58	4.26
16	08-Feb-89	09:51	26.04	1	27.4	3.7	10	100	11.69	12.41	3.63
16	08-Feb-89	09:51	26.04	10	27.9	4.3	10	100	13.59	14.61	4.27
16	08-Feb-89	09:51	26.04	20	27.9	4.4	10	100	13.90	14.95	4.37
16	08-Feb-89	09:51	26.04	30	27.7	4.2	10	100	13.27	14.20	4.15
17	08-Feb-89	09:55	26.11	30	27.0	2.6	10	100	8.22	8.63	2.52
17	08-Feb-89	09:55	26.11	10	28.4	4.3	10	100	13.59	14.81	4.33
17	08-Feb-89	09:55	26.11	20	28.1	4.4	10	100	13.90	15.03	4.39
17	08-Feb-89	09:55	26.11	1	28.3	4.8	10	100	15.17	16.48	4.82
18	08-Feb-89	09:58	26.16	10	28.3	4.1	10	100	12.96	14.08	4.11
18	08-Feb-89	09:58	26.16	1	28.6	4.5	10	100	14.22	15.57	4.55
18	08-Feb-89	09:58	26.16	20	28.1	4.0	10	100	12.64	13.67	3.99
18	08-Feb-89	09:58	26.16	30	27.6	3.5	10	100	11.06	11.80	3.45
19	08-Feb-89	10:02	26.23	10	28.4	4.4	10	100	13.90	15.15	4.43
19	08-Feb-89	10:02	26.23	1	27.9	3.9	10	100	12.32	13.25	3.87
19	08-Feb-89	10:02	26.23	20	28.1	4.0	10	100	12.64	13.67	3.99
20	08-Feb-89	10:06	26.29	30	27.1	2.6	10	100	8.22	8.65	2.53
20	08-Feb-89	10:06	26.29	10	28.7	4.3	10	100	13.59	14.92	4.36
20	08-Feb-89	10:06	26.29	20	28.2	4.0	10	100	12.64	13.70	4.00
20	08-Feb-89	10:06	26.29	1	28.6	4.5	10	100	14.22	15.57	4.55
21	08-Feb-89	10:09	26.34	10	28.4	5.4	10	100	17.06	18.59	5.43
21	08-Feb-89	10:09	26.34	1	28.4	4.3	10	100	13.59	14.81	4.33
21	08-Feb-89	10:09	26.34	20	28.2	4.1	10	100	12.96	14.04	4.10
21	08-Feb-89	10:09	26.34	30	27.1	2.8	10	100	8.85	9.32	2.72
22	08-Feb-89	10:13	26.41	16	28.2	4.7	10	100	14.85	16.10	4.70
22	08-Feb-89	10:13	26.41	10	28.5	5.0	10	100	15.80	17.26	5.04
22	08-Feb-89	10:13	26.41	1	28.7	4.5	10	100	14.22	15.62	4.56
24	08-Feb-89	10:17	26.48	10	28.6	4.4	10	100	13.90	15.23	4.45
24	08-Feb-89	10:17	26.48	1	28.7	4.0	10	100	12.64	13.88	4.06
24	08-Feb-89	10:17	26.48	20	28.3	4.0	10	100	12.64	13.74	4.01
24	08-Feb-89	10:17	26.48	30	27.2	2.1	10	100	6.64	7.01	2.05
25	08-Feb-89	10:21	26.54	10	28.4	4.2	10	100	13.27	14.46	4.23
25	08-Feb-89	10:21	26.54	1	28.2	4.0	10	100	12.64	13.70	4.00
25	08-Feb-89	10:21	26.54	20	28.0	3.6	10	100	11.38	12.27	3.58
25	08-Feb-89	10:21	26.54	30	27.1	2.1	10	100	6.64	6.99	2.04
26	08-Feb-89	10:26	26.63	1	28.2	4.0	10	100	12.64	13.70	4.00
26	08-Feb-89	10:26	26.63	10	28.1	3.9	10	100	12.32	13.32	3.89
26	08-Feb-89	10:26	26.63	20	28.0	3.6	10	100	11.38	12.27	3.58
27	08-Feb-89	10:28	26.66	30	26.9	1.9	10	100	6.00	6.29	1.84
27	08-Feb-89	10:28	26.66	10	28.1	3.7	10	100	11.69	12.64	3.69
27	08-Feb-89	10:28	26.66	20	27.9	3.4	10	100	10.74	11.56	3.38

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 12 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F'	Fo	C (ppb)
27	08-Feb-89	10:28	26.66	1	28.1	3.9	10	100	12.32	13.32	3.89
28	08-Feb-89	10:32	26.73	10	27.8	4.8	10	100	15.17	16.27	4.76
28	08-Feb-89	10:32	26.73	1	27.7	3.9	10	100	12.32	13.19	3.85
28	08-Feb-89	10:32	26.73	20	27.7	3.8	10	100	12.01	12.85	3.75
28	08-Feb-89	10:32	26.73	30	26.8	1.9	10	100	6.00	6.28	1.83
29	08-Feb-89	10:37	26.81	1	27.3	3.2	10	100	10.11	10.71	3.13
29	08-Feb-89	10:37	26.81	10	27.9	4.3	10	100	13.59	14.61	4.27
29	08-Feb-89	10:37	26.81	20	27.4	3.3	10	100	10.43	11.07	3.24
29	08-Feb-89	10:37	26.81	30	26.6	1.9	10	100	6.00	6.24	1.82
30	08-Feb-89	10:41	26.88	1	26.9	2.7	10	100	8.53	8.94	2.61
30	08-Feb-89	10:41	26.88	10	27.1	4.0	10	100	12.64	13.31	3.89
30	08-Feb-89	10:41	26.88	20	26.8	3.9	10	100	12.32	12.88	3.76
23	08-Feb-89	10:45	26.94	10	27.9	3.9	10	100	12.32	13.25	3.87
23	08-Feb-89	10:45	26.94	1	27.7	3.4	10	100	10.74	11.50	3.36
45	08-Feb-89	10:48	26.99	30	26.7	1.7	10	100	5.37	5.60	1.64
45	08-Feb-89	10:48	26.99	10	28.0	3.8	10	100	12.01	12.95	3.78
45	08-Feb-89	10:48	26.99	20	27.7	3.1	10	100	9.80	10.48	3.06
45	08-Feb-89	10:48	26.99	1	28.0	3.6	10	100	11.38	12.27	3.58
32	08-Feb-89	10:51	27.04	1	27.7	3.9	10	100	12.32	13.19	3.85
32	08-Feb-89	10:51	27.04	8	27.8	3.9	10	100	12.32	13.22	3.86
33	08-Feb-89	10:53	27.08	1	27.3	1.5	10	100	4.74	5.02	1.47
33	08-Feb-89	10:53	27.08	5	27.8	3.4	10	100	10.74	11.53	3.37
34	08-Feb-89	11:02	27.23	1	27.3	1.4	10	100	4.42	4.68	1.37
35	08-Feb-89	11:05	27.28	10	27.2	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.34	2.15
35	08-Feb-89	11:05	27.28	1	27.6	1.9	10	100	6.00	6.41	1.87
35	08-Feb-89	11:05	27.28	20	27.4	2.9	10	100	9.16	9.73	2.84
35	08-Feb-89	11:05	27.28	30	27.1	2.6	10	100	8.22	8.65	2.53
36	08-Feb-89	11:08	27.33	10	27.1	2.4	10	100	7.58	7.99	2.33
36	08-Feb-89	11:08	27.33	1	27.4	2.0	10	100	6.32	6.71	1.96
36	08-Feb-89	11:08	27.33	20	27.1	2.6	10	100	8.22	8.65	2.53
36	08-Feb-89	11:08	27.33	30	27.1	3.1	10	100	9.80	10.32	3.02
37	08-Feb-89	11:11	27.38	35	26.8	2.5	10	100	7.90	8.26	2.41
37	08-Feb-89	11:11	27.38	30	27.0	3.0	10	100	9.48	9.96	2.91
37	08-Feb-89	11:11	27.38	20	27.0	2.7	10	100	8.53	8.96	2.62
37	08-Feb-89	11:11	27.38	10	27.0	2.4	10	100	7.58	7.97	2.33
37	08-Feb-89	11:11	27.38	1	27.3	2.1	10	100	6.64	7.03	2.05
38	08-Feb-89	11:16	27.46	1	27.3	2.0	10	100	6.32	6.69	1.96
38	08-Feb-89	11:16	27.46	10	27.1	2.3	10	100	7.27	7.66	2.24
38	08-Feb-89	11:16	27.46	20	27.1	2.7	10	100	8.53	8.99	2.63
38	08-Feb-89	11:16	27.46	30	27.0	2.7	10	100	8.53	8.96	2.62
38	08-Feb-89	11:16	27.46	35	27.0	2.7	10	100	8.53	8.96	2.62
39	08-Feb-89	11:20	27.53	1	27.6	2.0	10	100	6.32	6.74	1.97
39	08-Feb-89	11:20	27.53	10	27.2	2.3	10	100	7.27	7.68	2.24
39	08-Feb-89	11:20	27.53	20	27.1	2.5	10	100	7.90	8.32	2.43
39	08-Feb-89	11:20	27.53	30	27.0	2.5	10	100	7.90	8.30	2.43
40	08-Feb-89	11:24	27.59	30	27.0	2.6	10	100	8.22	8.63	2.52
40	08-Feb-89	11:24	27.59	10	27.1	2.5	10	100	7.90	8.32	2.43
40	08-Feb-89	11:24	27.59	20	27.1	2.7	10	100	8.53	8.99	2.63
40	08-Feb-89	11:24	27.59	1	27.6	1.9	10	100	6.00	6.41	1.87
41	08-Feb-89	11:28	27.66	1	27.4	2.1	10	100	6.64	7.04	2.06
41	08-Feb-89	11:28	27.66	10	27.2	2.5	10	100	7.90	8.34	2.44
41	08-Feb-89	11:28	27.66	20	27.1	2.5	10	100	7.90	8.32	2.43
41	08-Feb-89	11:28	27.66	30	27.1	2.9	10	100	9.16	9.65	2.82
41	08-Feb-89	11:28	27.66	35	27.0	2.4	10	100	7.58	7.97	2.33
42	08-Feb-89	11:31	27.71	10	27.2	2.4	10	100	7.58	8.01	2.34
42	08-Feb-89	11:31	27.71	1	27.5	2.4	10	100	7.58	8.07	2.36
42	08-Feb-89	11:31	27.71	20	27.2	2.5	10	100	7.90	8.34	2.44

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 13 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F'	Fo	C (ppb)
42	08-Feb-89	11:31	27.71	30	27.0	2.5	10	100	7.90	8.30	2.43
43	08-Feb-89	11:34	27.76	35	27.0	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.30	2.13
43	08-Feb-89	11:34	27.76	30	27.1	2.7	10	100	8.53	8.99	2.63
43	08-Feb-89	11:34	27.76	20	27.1	2.5	10	100	7.90	8.32	2.43
43	08-Feb-89	11:34	27.76	10	27.2	2.4	10	100	7.58	8.01	2.34
43	08-Feb-89	11:34	27.76	1	27.3	2.1	10	100	6.64	7.03	2.05
44	08-Feb-89	11:38	27.83	20	27.2	2.4	10	100	7.58	8.01	2.34
44	08-Feb-89	11:38	27.83	1	27.6	2.1	10	100	6.64	7.08	2.07
44	08-Feb-89	11:38	27.83	34	26.6	2.5	10	100	7.90	8.21	2.40
44	08-Feb-89	11:38	27.83	30	27.1	2.7	10	100	8.53	8.99	2.63
44	08-Feb-89	11:38	27.83	10	27.3	2.3	10	100	7.27	7.70	2.25
1	08-Feb-89	13:07	29.31	1	30.9	0.7	10	100	2.21	2.57	0.75
2	08-Feb-89	13:10	29.36	1	29.5	3.1	31.6	100	3.10	3.48	1.02
2	08-Feb-89	13:10	29.36	10	29.1	1.7	10	100	5.37	5.96	1.74
2	08-Feb-89	13:10	29.36	20	28.5	1.7	10	100	5.37	5.87	1.72
2	08-Feb-89	13:10	29.36	30	27.8	1.7	10	100	5.37	5.76	1.68
11	08-Feb-89	13:13	29.41	1	29.6	0.7	10	100	2.21	2.49	0.73
11	08-Feb-89	13:13	29.41	9	29.0	1.1	10	100	3.48	3.85	1.12
12	08-Feb-89	13:15	29.44	10	28.2	2.5	10	100	7.90	8.56	2.50
12	08-Feb-89	13:15	29.44	1	28.7	2.1	10	100	6.64	7.29	2.13
12	08-Feb-89	13:15	29.44	20	28.6	1.8	10	100	5.69	6.23	1.82
13	08-Feb-89	13:19	29.51	10	28.1	2.3	10	100	7.27	7.86	2.30
13	08-Feb-89	13:19	29.51	1	28.5	1.8	10	100	5.69	6.21	1.82
13	08-Feb-89	13:19	29.51	20	28.7	1.7	10	100	5.37	5.90	1.72
13	08-Feb-89	13:19	29.51	30	29.2	1.4	10	100	4.42	4.92	1.44
14	08-Feb-89	13:22	29.56	1	28.6	2.1	10	100	6.64	7.27	2.12
14	08-Feb-89	13:22	29.56	10	27.9	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.48	2.19
14	08-Feb-89	13:22	29.56	20	28.5	2.0	10	100	6.32	6.90	2.02
14	08-Feb-89	13:22	29.56	30	28.6	1.8	10	100	5.69	6.23	1.82
15	08-Feb-89	13:25	29.61	30	28.5	1.7	10	100	5.37	5.87	1.72
15	08-Feb-89	13:25	29.61	10	28.0	2.1	10	100	6.64	7.16	2.09
15	08-Feb-89	13:25	29.61	20	28.1	2.4	10	100	7.58	8.20	2.40
15	08-Feb-89	13:25	29.61	1	28.4	2.1	10	100	6.64	7.23	2.11
16	08-Feb-89	13:29	29.68	10	28.0	2.1	10	100	6.64	7.16	2.09
16	08-Feb-89	13:29	29.68	1	28.3	2.3	10	100	7.27	7.90	2.31
16	08-Feb-89	13:29	29.68	20	28.4	2.0	10	100	6.32	6.89	2.01
16	08-Feb-89	13:29	29.68	30	28.8	1.7	10	100	5.37	5.91	1.73
17	08-Feb-89	13:34	29.76	30	27.7	1.6	10	100	5.06	5.41	1.58
17	08-Feb-89	13:34	29.76	10	28.2	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.54	2.20
17	08-Feb-89	13:34	29.76	20	28.0	2.1	10	100	6.64	7.16	2.09
17	08-Feb-89	13:34	29.76	1	28.9	2.0	10	100	6.32	6.98	2.04
18	08-Feb-89	13:37	29.81	10	28.1	2.5	10	100	7.90	8.54	2.50
18	08-Feb-89	13:37	29.81	1	28.5	2.0	10	100	6.32	6.90	2.02
18	08-Feb-89	13:37	29.81	20	28.0	2.3	10	100	7.27	7.84	2.29
18	08-Feb-89	13:37	29.81	30	28.4	1.9	10	100	6.00	6.54	1.91
19	08-Feb-89	13:40	29.86	10	28.1	2.6	10	100	8.22	8.88	2.60
19	08-Feb-89	13:40	29.86	1	28.5	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.59	2.22
19	08-Feb-89	13:40	29.86	20	28.0	2.3	10	100	7.27	7.84	2.29
19	08-Feb-89	13:40	29.86	30	28.2	2.0	10	100	6.32	6.85	2.00
20	08-Feb-89	13:43	29.91	10	28.2	2.5	10	100	7.90	8.56	2.50
20	08-Feb-89	13:43	29.91	1	28.8	2.1	10	100	6.64	7.31	2.14
20	08-Feb-89	13:43	29.91	20	27.8	2.1	10	100	6.64	7.12	2.08
20	08-Feb-89	13:43	29.91	30	27.6	1.5	10	100	4.74	5.06	1.48
21	08-Feb-89	13:46	29.96	1	28.5	2.1	10	100	6.64	7.25	2.12
21	08-Feb-89	13:46	29.96	10	28.1	2.3	10	100	7.27	7.86	2.30
21	08-Feb-89	13:46	29.96	20	27.9	2.0	10	100	6.32	6.80	1.99
21	08-Feb-89	13:46	29.96	30	27.4	1.2	10	100	3.79	4.03	1.18

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 14 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F ¹	F ₀	C (ppb)
22	08-Feb-89	14:01	30.21	1	29.2	2.1	10	100	6.64	7.38	2.16
22	08-Feb-89	14:01	30.21	10	28.4	2.3	10	100	7.27	7.92	2.31
22	08-Feb-89	14:01	30.21	17	28.1	2.0	10	100	6.32	6.83	2.00
23	08-Feb-89	14:04	30.26	10	28.1	2.5	10	100	7.90	8.54	2.50
23	08-Feb-89	14:04	30.26	1	28.9	1.8	10	100	5.69	6.28	1.83
23	08-Feb-89	14:04	30.26	18	28.0	2.1	10	100	6.64	7.16	2.09
24	08-Feb-89	14:07	30.31	10	28.4	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.57	2.21
24	08-Feb-89	14:07	30.31	1	29.0	2.5	10	100	7.90	8.74	2.56
24	08-Feb-89	14:07	30.31	20	28.0	2.0	10	100	6.32	6.81	1.99
24	08-Feb-89	14:07	30.31	30	27.6	1.6	10	100	5.06	5.40	1.58
25	08-Feb-89	14:10	30.36	1	28.7	2.3	10	100	7.27	7.98	2.33
25	08-Feb-89	14:10	30.36	10	28.2	2.7	10	100	8.53	9.25	2.70
25	08-Feb-89	14:10	30.36	20	27.9	1.9	10	100	6.00	6.46	1.89
25	08-Feb-89	14:10	30.36	30	27.6	1.1	10	100	3.48	3.71	1.08
26	08-Feb-89	14:14	30.43	30	27.0	0.7	31.6	100	0.70	0.74	0.21
26	08-Feb-89	14:14	30.43	10	28.2	2.5	10	100	7.90	8.56	2.50
26	08-Feb-89	14:14	30.43	20	28.0	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.50	2.19
26	08-Feb-89	14:14	30.43	1	28.8	2.3	10	100	7.27	8.00	2.34
27	08-Feb-89	14:17	30.48	10	28.0	2.4	10	100	7.58	8.18	2.39
27	08-Feb-89	14:17	30.48	1	28.6	2.0	10	100	6.32	6.92	2.02
27	08-Feb-89	14:17	30.48	20	27.9	1.9	10	100	6.00	6.46	1.89
27	08-Feb-89	14:17	30.48	30	27.9	0.5	31.6	100	0.50	0.54	0.16
28	08-Feb-89	14:21	30.54	10	28.1	2.6	10	100	8.22	8.88	2.60
28	08-Feb-89	14:21	30.54	1	28.7	1.8	10	100	5.69	6.25	1.83
28	08-Feb-89	14:21	30.54	20	27.7	1.7	10	100	5.37	5.75	1.68
29	08-Feb-89	14:25	30.61	30	26.8	0.9	31.6	100	0.90	0.94	0.27
29	08-Feb-89	14:25	30.61	10	28.0	2.4	10	100	7.58	8.18	2.39
29	08-Feb-89	14:25	30.61	20	27.8	1.7	10	100	5.37	5.76	1.68
29	08-Feb-89	14:25	30.61	1	28.7	2.6	10	100	8.22	9.02	2.64
32	08-Feb-89	14:29	30.68	60	27.7	1.5	10	100	4.74	5.07	1.48
32	08-Feb-89	14:29	30.68	1	28.5	2.4	10	100	7.58	8.28	2.42
33	08-Feb-89	14:32	30.73	1	28.3	2.0	10	100	6.32	6.87	2.01
33	08-Feb-89	14:32	30.73	7	28.1	2.4	10	100	7.58	8.20	2.40
35	08-Feb-89	14:35	30.78	30	27.7	1.4	10	100	4.42	4.73	1.38
35	08-Feb-89	14:35	30.78	10	27.9	1.4	10	100	4.42	4.76	1.39
35	08-Feb-89	14:35	30.78	20	27.8	1.6	10	100	5.06	5.42	1.59
35	08-Feb-89	14:35	30.78	1	28.6	0.8	10	100	2.53	2.77	0.81
36	08-Feb-89	14:39	30.84	10	28.0	1.3	10	100	4.11	4.43	1.29
36	08-Feb-89	14:39	30.84	1	28.4	0.6	10	100	1.90	2.07	0.60
36	08-Feb-89	14:39	30.84	20	27.9	1.7	10	100	5.37	5.78	1.69
36	08-Feb-89	14:39	30.84	30	27.8	1.9	10	100	6.00	6.44	1.88
37	08-Feb-89	14:42	30.89	10	27.6	4.5	31.6	100	4.50	4.80	1.40
37	08-Feb-89	14:42	30.89	1	28.3	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.26	0.95
37	08-Feb-89	14:42	30.89	20	27.8	6.0	31.6	100	6.00	6.44	1.88
37	08-Feb-89	14:42	30.89	30	27.7	6.4	31.6	100	6.40	6.85	2.00
38	08-Feb-89	14:46	30.96	10	27.6	4.3	31.6	100	4.30	4.59	1.34
38	08-Feb-89	14:46	30.96	1	28.5	6.7	31.6	100	6.70	7.32	2.14
38	08-Feb-89	14:46	30.96	20	27.5	5.8	31.6	100	5.80	6.17	1.80
38	08-Feb-89	14:46	30.96	30	27.5	6.8	31.6	100	6.80	7.24	2.12
39	08-Feb-89	14:51	31.04	20	27.8	6.2	31.6	100	6.20	6.65	1.94
39	08-Feb-89	14:51	31.04	10	27.8	4.7	31.6	100	4.70	5.04	1.47
39	08-Feb-89	14:51	31.04	1	28.2	2.5	31.6	100	2.50	2.71	0.79
39	08-Feb-89	14:51	31.04	30	27.7	6.0	31.6	100	6.00	6.42	1.88
40	08-Feb-89	14:54	31.09	30	27.7	6.4	31.6	100	6.40	6.85	2.00
40	08-Feb-89	14:54	31.09	10	27.7	5.7	31.6	100	5.70	6.10	1.78
40	08-Feb-89	14:54	31.09	20	27.6	6.1	31.6	100	6.10	6.51	1.90
40	08-Feb-89	14:54	31.09	1	28.0	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.53	1.32

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 15 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F'	Fo	C (ppb)
41	08-Feb-89	14:58	31.16	10	27.7	4.5	31.6	100	4.50	4.81	1.41
41	08-Feb-89	14:58	31.16	1	28.2	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.79	1.11
41	08-Feb-89	14:58	31.16	20	27.4	5.5	31.6	100	5.50	5.84	1.71
41	08-Feb-89	14:58	31.16	30	27.4	6.2	31.6	100	6.20	6.58	1.92
42	08-Feb-89	15:02	31.23	30	27.4	5.7	31.6	100	5.70	6.05	1.77
42	08-Feb-89	15:02	31.23	10	27.6	5.0	31.6	100	5.00	5.34	1.56
42	08-Feb-89	15:02	31.23	20	27.5	6.0	31.6	100	6.00	6.39	1.87
42	08-Feb-89	15:02	31.23	1	27.9	4.3	31.6	100	4.30	4.62	1.35
43	08-Feb-89	15:05	31.28	10	27.6	5.0	31.6	100	5.00	5.34	1.56
43	08-Feb-89	15:05	31.28	1	27.9	4.5	31.6	100	4.50	4.84	1.41
43	08-Feb-89	15:05	31.28	20	27.5	5.6	31.6	100	5.60	5.96	1.74
43	08-Feb-89	15:05	31.28	30	27.4	5.4	31.6	100	5.40	5.73	1.68
44	08-Feb-89	15:09	31.34	10	27.8	4.5	31.6	100	4.50	4.83	1.41
44	08-Feb-89	15:09	31.34	1	28.2	3.2	31.6	100	3.20	3.47	1.01
44	08-Feb-89	15:09	31.34	20	27.5	4.5	31.6	100	4.50	4.79	1.40
44	08-Feb-89	15:09	31.34	30	27.5	5.4	31.6	100	5.40	5.75	1.68
5	08-Feb-89	15:20	31.53	1	31.6	3.0	10	100	9.48	11.23	3.28
5	08-Feb-89	15:20	31.53	10	30.7	4.4	10	100	13.90	16.08	4.70
5	08-Feb-89	15:20	31.53	20	29.1	2.4	10	100	7.58	8.42	2.46
5	08-Feb-89	15:20	31.53	30	29.1	2.4	10	100	7.58	8.42	2.46
1	08-Feb-89	16:12	32.39	1	31.0	0.7	31.6	100	0.70	0.82	0.24
2	08-Feb-89	16:17	32.48	1	30.4	1.8	31.6	100	1.80	2.07	0.60
2	08-Feb-89	16:17	32.48	10	29.8	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.52	1.32
2	08-Feb-89	16:17	32.48	20	28.1	5.0	31.6	100	5.00	5.41	1.58
2	08-Feb-89	16:17	32.48	30	27.8	5.9	31.6	100	5.90	6.33	1.85
11	08-Feb-89	16:20	32.53	1	29.4	2.6	31.6	100	2.60	2.91	0.85
11	08-Feb-89	16:20	32.53	10	28.7	5.0	31.6	100	5.00	5.49	1.60
11	08-Feb-89	16:20	32.53	20	29.1	4.8	31.6	100	4.80	5.33	1.56
13	08-Feb-89	16:27	32.64	10	28.6	1.7	10	100	5.37	5.88	1.72
13	08-Feb-89	16:27	32.64	1	28.7	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.63	2.23
13	08-Feb-89	16:27	32.64	20	28.7	1.6	10	100	5.06	5.55	1.62
13	08-Feb-89	16:27	32.64	25	28.7	1.8	10	100	5.69	6.25	1.83
12	08-Feb-89	16:32	32.73	1	28.6	2.3	10	100	7.27	7.96	2.33
12	08-Feb-89	16:32	32.73	10	28.5	2.5	10	100	7.90	8.63	2.52
12	08-Feb-89	16:32	32.73	20	28.3	1.7	10	100	5.37	5.84	1.71
14	08-Feb-89	16:36	32.79	1	28.6	2.4	10	100	7.58	8.31	2.43
14	08-Feb-89	16:36	32.79	10	28.0	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.50	2.19
14	08-Feb-89	16:36	32.79	20	28.4	1.9	10	100	6.00	6.54	1.91
14	08-Feb-89	16:36	32.79	30	28.4	1.4	10	100	4.42	4.82	1.41
15	08-Feb-89	16:40	32.86	30	28.6	1.7	10	100	5.37	5.88	1.72
15	08-Feb-89	16:40	32.86	10	28.0	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.50	2.19
15	08-Feb-89	16:40	32.86	20	28.5	1.8	10	100	5.69	6.21	1.82
15	08-Feb-89	16:40	32.86	1	28.6	2.6	10	100	8.22	9.00	2.63
16	08-Feb-89	16:45	32.94	10	28.1	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.52	2.20
16	08-Feb-89	16:45	32.94	1	28.5	2.5	10	100	7.90	8.63	2.52
16	08-Feb-89	16:45	32.94	20	28.6	1.7	10	100	5.37	5.88	1.72
16	08-Feb-89	16:45	32.94	30	28.6	1.8	10	100	5.69	6.23	1.82
17	08-Feb-89	16:50	33.03	20	27.9	1.9	10	100	6.00	6.46	1.89
17	08-Feb-89	16:50	33.03	10	28.1	2.1	10	100	6.64	7.17	2.10
17	08-Feb-89	16:50	33.03	1	29.1	2.3	10	100	7.27	8.06	2.36
17	08-Feb-89	16:50	33.03	30	27.7	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	4.17	1.22
18	08-Feb-89	16:55	33.11	10	28.0	2.1	10	100	6.64	7.16	2.09
18	08-Feb-89	16:55	33.11	1	28.7	2.3	10	100	7.27	7.98	2.33
18	08-Feb-89	16:55	33.11	20	28.5	1.8	10	100	5.69	6.21	1.82
18	08-Feb-89	16:55	33.11	25	28.5	1.7	10	100	5.37	5.87	1.72
19	08-Feb-89	17:00	33.19	1	28.8	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.65	2.24
19	08-Feb-89	17:00	33.19	10	28.4	2.0	10	100	6.32	6.89	2.01

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 16 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F'	Fo	C (ppb)
19	08-Feb-89	17:00	33.19	20	28.4	1.9	10	100	6.00	6.54	1.91
20	08-Feb-89	17:05	33.28	10	28.0	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.50	2.19
20	08-Feb-89	17:05	33.28	1	29.0	2.3	10	100	7.27	8.04	2.35
20	08-Feb-89	17:05	33.28	20	28.4	2.0	10	100	6.32	6.89	2.01
20	08-Feb-89	17:05	33.28	25	28.6	1.7	10	100	5.37	5.88	1.72
21	08-Feb-89	17:11	33.38	1	28.8	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.65	2.24
21	08-Feb-89	17:11	33.38	10	28.1	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.52	2.20
21	08-Feb-89	17:11	33.38	20	27.9	1.9	10	100	6.00	6.46	1.89
21	08-Feb-89	17:11	33.38	30	27.7	2.8	31.6	100	2.80	3.00	0.88
22	08-Feb-89	17:15	33.44	1	28.4	2.1	10	100	6.64	7.23	2.11
22	08-Feb-89	17:15	33.44	10	27.6	2.0	10	100	6.32	6.74	1.97
22	08-Feb-89	17:15	33.44	15	27.5	2.0	10	100	6.32	6.73	1.97
23	08-Feb-89	17:17	33.48	1	28.4	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.57	2.21
23	08-Feb-89	17:17	33.48	5	27.7	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.44	2.17
24	08-Feb-89	17:20	33.53	20	28.0	1.9	10	100	6.00	6.47	1.89
24	08-Feb-89	17:20	33.53	10	28.2	2.3	10	100	7.27	7.88	2.30
24	08-Feb-89	17:20	33.53	1	29.0	2.4	10	100	7.58	8.39	2.45
24	08-Feb-89	17:20	33.53	30	27.4	1.1	10	100	3.48	3.69	1.08
25	08-Feb-89	17:25	33.61	20	28.2	1.8	10	100	5.69	6.17	1.80
25	08-Feb-89	17:25	33.61	10	27.8	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.46	2.18
25	08-Feb-89	17:25	33.61	1	28.7	2.3	10	100	7.27	7.98	2.33
25	08-Feb-89	17:25	33.61	30	27.9	1.5	10	100	4.74	5.10	1.49
26	08-Feb-89	17:30	33.69	30	26.5	0.8	31.6	100	0.80	0.83	0.24
26	08-Feb-89	17:30	33.69	10	27.6	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.42	2.17
26	08-Feb-89	17:30	33.69	20	27.8	1.9	10	100	6.00	6.44	1.88
26	08-Feb-89	17:30	33.69	1	28.3	2.3	10	100	7.27	7.90	2.31
27	08-Feb-89	17:35	33.78	10	27.5	2.1	10	100	6.64	7.06	2.06
27	08-Feb-89	17:35	33.78	1	28.3	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.56	2.21
27	08-Feb-89	17:35	33.78	20	27.4	1.8	10	100	5.69	6.04	1.76
27	08-Feb-89	17:35	33.78	30	26.5	0.4	31.6	100	0.40	0.41	0.12
28	08-Feb-89	17:40	33.86	20	27.1	1.5	10	100	4.74	4.99	1.46
28	08-Feb-89	17:40	33.86	10	27.5	1.9	10	100	6.00	6.39	1.87
28	08-Feb-89	17:40	33.86	1	28.4	2.1	10	100	6.64	7.23	2.11
28	08-Feb-89	17:40	33.86	30	26.5	0.5	31.6	100	0.50	0.52	0.15
29	08-Feb-89	17:47	33.98	10	27.1	2.1	10	100	6.64	6.99	2.04
29	08-Feb-89	17:47	33.98	1	27.5	2.8	10	100	8.85	9.42	2.75
29	08-Feb-89	17:47	33.98	20	26.8	1.5	10	100	4.74	4.95	1.45
29	08-Feb-89	17:47	33.98	30	26.1	0.7	31.6	100	0.70	0.72	0.21
31	08-Feb-89	17:50	34.03	1	27.3	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.36	2.15
31	08-Feb-89	17:50	34.03	8	26.6	1.5	10	100	4.74	4.93	1.44
34	08-Feb-89	18:05	34.28	1	26.6	1.6	10	100	5.06	5.26	1.54
34	08-Feb-89	18:05	34.28	2	26.7	1.5	10	100	4.74	4.94	1.44
32	08-Feb-89	18:07	34.31	1	27.1	2.4	10	100	7.58	7.99	2.33
32	08-Feb-89	18:07	34.31	5	27.0	2.1	10	100	6.64	6.97	2.04
33	08-Feb-89	18:08	34.33	2	27.2	1.6	10	100	5.06	5.34	1.56
33	08-Feb-89	18:08	34.33	1	27.3	2.3	10	100	7.27	7.70	2.25
35	08-Feb-89	18:10	34.36	20	26.7	1.6	10	100	5.06	5.27	1.54
35	08-Feb-89	18:10	34.36	10	26.7	4.5	31.6	100	4.50	4.69	1.37
35	08-Feb-89	18:10	34.36	1	26.8	2.6	31.6	100	2.60	2.72	0.79
35	08-Feb-89	18:10	34.36	30	26.7	1.6	10	100	5.06	5.27	1.54
36	08-Feb-89	18:15	34.44	20	26.6	1.4	10	100	4.42	4.60	1.34
36	08-Feb-89	18:15	34.44	10	26.7	1.3	10	100	4.11	4.28	1.25
36	08-Feb-89	18:15	34.44	1	26.6	1.9	31.6	100	1.90	1.98	0.58
36	08-Feb-89	18:15	34.44	30	26.6	1.4	10	100	4.42	4.60	1.34
37	08-Feb-89	18:20	34.53	20	26.4	1.3	10	100	4.11	4.25	1.24
37	08-Feb-89	18:20	34.53	30	26.4	1.4	10	100	4.42	4.58	1.34
37	08-Feb-89	18:20	34.53	10	26.5	1.3	10	100	4.11	4.26	1.25

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 17 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F'	Fo	C (ppb)
37	08-Feb-89	18:20	34.53	1	26.7	2.4	31.6	100	2.40	2.50	0.73
38	08-Feb-89	18:32	34.73	1	26.6	1.2	31.6	100	1.20	1.25	0.36
38	08-Feb-89	18:32	34.73	10	26.4	3.2	31.6	100	3.20	3.31	0.97
38	08-Feb-89	18:32	34.73	20	26.2	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.91	1.14
38	08-Feb-89	18:32	34.73	30	26.1	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.11	1.20
39	08-Feb-89	18:40	34.86	30	26.0	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.07	0.90
39	08-Feb-89	18:40	34.86	10	26.3	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.92	1.15
39	08-Feb-89	18:40	34.86	20	26.4	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.93	1.15
39	08-Feb-89	18:40	34.86	1	26.1	2.0	31.6	100	2.00	2.05	0.60
40	08-Feb-89	18:45	34.94	10	26.4	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.14	1.21
40	08-Feb-89	18:45	34.94	1	26.3	2.9	31.6	100	2.90	2.99	0.87
40	08-Feb-89	18:45	34.94	20	26.4	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.14	1.21
40	08-Feb-89	18:45	34.94	30	26.2	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.91	1.14
41	08-Feb-89	18:47	34.98	20	26.4	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.34	1.27
41	08-Feb-89	18:47	34.98	10	26.5	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.84	1.12
41	08-Feb-89	18:47	34.98	1	26.0	1.4	31.6	100	1.40	1.43	0.42
41	08-Feb-89	18:47	34.98	30	26.4	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	4.03	1.18
42	08-Feb-89	18:51	35.04	30	26.2	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.70	1.08
42	08-Feb-89	18:51	35.04	10	26.3	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.82	1.12
42	08-Feb-89	18:51	35.04	20	26.4	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.10	0.91
42	08-Feb-89	18:51	35.04	1	26.2	1.5	31.6	100	1.50	1.54	0.45
43	08-Feb-89	18:53	35.08	10	26.0	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.69	1.08
43	08-Feb-89	18:53	35.08	1	25.9	2.4	31.6	100	2.40	2.45	0.72
43	08-Feb-89	18:53	35.08	20	26.2	3.2	31.6	100	3.20	3.29	0.96
43	08-Feb-89	18:53	35.08	30	26.1	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.59	1.05
44	08-Feb-89	18:58	35.16	10	26.5	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	4.04	1.18
44	08-Feb-89	18:58	35.16	30	26.3	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	4.02	1.18
44	08-Feb-89	18:58	35.16	20	26.5	4.3	31.6	100	4.30	4.46	1.30
44	08-Feb-89	18:58	35.16	1	26.2	1.4	31.6	100	1.40	1.44	0.42
1	08-Feb-89	20:20	36.53	1	31.2	0.6	31.6	100	0.60	0.70	0.21
2	08-Feb-89	20:27	36.64	1	29.7	2.2	31.6	100	2.20	2.48	0.72
2	08-Feb-89	20:27	36.64	10	30.2	2.0	31.6	100	2.00	2.28	0.67
2	08-Feb-89	20:27	36.64	20	30.4	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	4.36	1.27
11	08-Feb-89	20:30	36.69	2	30.3	1.6	31.6	100	1.60	1.83	0.54
12	08-Feb-89	20:31	36.71	1	27.3	2.3	10	100	7.27	7.70	2.25
12	08-Feb-89	20:31	36.71	10	27.4	1.7	10	100	5.37	5.70	1.67
12	08-Feb-89	20:31	36.71	18	27.6	1.8	10	100	5.69	6.07	1.77
13	08-Feb-89	20:35	36.78	10	28.0	1.5	10	100	4.74	5.11	1.49
13	08-Feb-89	20:35	36.78	1	27.1	2.3	10	100	7.27	7.66	2.24
13	08-Feb-89	20:35	36.78	20	28.2	1.4	10	100	4.42	4.80	1.40
13	08-Feb-89	20:35	36.78	28	27.9	1.7	10	100	5.37	5.78	1.69
14	08-Feb-89	20:40	36.86	1	27.3	2.6	10	100	8.22	8.70	2.54
14	08-Feb-89	20:40	36.86	10	27.1	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.32	2.14
14	08-Feb-89	20:40	36.86	20	27.6	1.7	10	100	5.37	5.73	1.68
14	08-Feb-89	20:40	36.86	30	27.4	1.6	10	100	5.06	5.37	1.57
15	08-Feb-89	20:43	36.91	30	27.5	1.6	10	100	5.06	5.38	1.57
15	08-Feb-89	20:43	36.91	10	27.0	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.30	2.13
15	08-Feb-89	20:43	36.91	20	27.6	1.7	10	100	5.37	5.73	1.68
15	08-Feb-89	20:43	36.91	1	27.2	2.5	10	100	7.90	8.34	2.44
16	08-Feb-89	20:45	36.94	20	27.8	1.8	10	100	5.69	6.10	1.78
16	08-Feb-89	20:45	36.94	30	27.7	1.6	10	100	5.06	5.41	1.58
16	08-Feb-89	20:45	36.94	10	27.8	1.7	10	100	5.37	5.76	1.68
16	08-Feb-89	20:45	36.94	1	27.6	2.3	10	100	7.27	7.76	2.27
17	08-Feb-89	20:48	36.99	20	27.5	1.6	10	100	5.06	5.38	1.57
17	08-Feb-89	20:48	36.99	10	27.5	2.0	10	100	6.32	6.73	1.97
17	08-Feb-89	20:48	36.99	20	27.1	2.3	31.6	100	2.30	2.42	0.71
17	08-Feb-89	20:48	36.99	30	27.0	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.15	0.92

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 18 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F'	Fo	C (ppb)
18	08-Feb-89	20:50	37.03	10	27.2	2.0	10	100	6.32	6.67	1.95
18	08-Feb-89	20:50	37.03	1	27.2	2.3	10	100	7.27	7.68	2.24
18	08-Feb-89	20:50	37.03	20	27.5	1.6	10	100	5.06	5.38	1.57
18	08-Feb-89	20:50	37.03	30	27.1	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.16	0.92
19	08-Feb-89	20:58	37.16	20	27.8	1.4	10	100	4.42	4.75	1.39
19	08-Feb-89	20:58	37.16	10	28.2	1.4	10	100	4.42	4.80	1.40
19	08-Feb-89	20:58	37.16	1	27.8	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.46	2.18
20	08-Feb-89	21:00	37.19	10	27.9	1.6	10	100	5.06	5.44	1.59
20	08-Feb-89	21:00	37.19	1	27.4	2.4	10	100	7.58	8.05	2.35
20	08-Feb-89	21:00	37.19	20	27.4	1.6	10	100	5.06	5.37	1.57
20	08-Feb-89	21:00	37.19	30	26.2	1.5	31.6	100	1.50	1.54	0.45
21	08-Feb-89	21:03	37.24	10	27.2	2.0	10	100	6.32	6.67	1.95
21	08-Feb-89	21:03	37.24	20	27.1	1.8	10	100	5.69	5.99	1.75
21	08-Feb-89	21:03	37.24	30	27.1	1.4	10	100	4.42	4.66	1.36
21	08-Feb-89	21:03	37.24	1	27.5	2.6	10	100	8.22	8.75	2.56
22	08-Feb-89	21:05	37.28	1	27.3	2.4	10	100	7.58	8.03	2.35
22	08-Feb-89	21:05	37.28	10	27.2	2.0	10	100	6.32	6.67	1.95
22	08-Feb-89	21:05	37.28	12	27.0	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.30	2.13
23	08-Feb-89	21:10	37.36	1	27.1	2.4	10	100	7.58	7.99	2.33
23	08-Feb-89	21:10	37.36	7	27.0	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.30	2.13
25	08-Feb-89	21:15	37.44	20	27.2	1.9	10	100	6.00	6.34	1.85
25	08-Feb-89	21:15	37.44	10	27.8	1.6	10	100	5.06	5.42	1.59
25	08-Feb-89	21:15	37.44	1	27.5	2.3	10	100	7.27	7.74	2.26
25	08-Feb-89	21:15	37.44	30	26.4	1.8	31.6	100	1.80	1.86	0.54
24	08-Feb-89	21:20	37.53	30	26.1	2.2	31.6	100	2.20	2.26	0.66
24	08-Feb-89	21:20	37.53	20	27.2	1.6	10	100	5.06	5.34	1.56
24	08-Feb-89	21:20	37.53	10	27.2	2.0	10	100	6.32	6.67	1.95
24	08-Feb-89	21:20	37.53	1	27.4	2.3	10	100	7.27	7.72	2.25
26	08-Feb-89	21:25	37.61	30	26.5	2.8	31.6	100	2.80	2.90	0.85
26	08-Feb-89	21:25	37.61	10	27.4	2.0	10	100	6.32	6.71	1.96
26	08-Feb-89	21:25	37.61	20	27.3	1.9	10	100	6.00	6.36	1.86
26	08-Feb-89	21:25	37.61	1	27.4	2.2	10	100	6.95	7.38	2.16
27	08-Feb-89	21:28	37.66	20	27.1	1.9	10	100	6.00	6.32	1.85
27	08-Feb-89	21:28	37.66	30	26.2	1.6	31.6	100	1.60	1.65	0.48
27	08-Feb-89	21:28	37.66	10	27.1	2.1	10	100	6.64	6.99	2.04
27	08-Feb-89	21:28	37.66	1	27.2	2.4	10	100	7.58	8.01	2.34
28	08-Feb-89	21:32	37.73	25	26.4	1.6	10	100	5.06	5.23	1.53
28	08-Feb-89	21:32	37.73	10	26.8	2.0	10	100	6.32	6.61	1.93
28	08-Feb-89	21:32	37.73	20	26.6	1.8	10	100	5.69	5.91	1.73
28	08-Feb-89	21:32	37.73	1	27.1	2.4	10	100	7.58	7.99	2.33
29	08-Feb-89	21:50	38.03	30	25.7	0.6	31.6	100	0.60	0.61	0.18
29	08-Feb-89	21:50	38.03	1	26.7	2.6	10	100	8.22	8.56	2.50
29	08-Feb-89	21:50	38.03	20	26.2	1.5	10	100	4.74	4.88	1.43
29	08-Feb-89	21:50	38.03	10	26.4	1.9	10	100	6.00	6.21	1.81
30	08-Feb-89	22:02	38.23	10	26.0	1.9	10	100	6.00	6.15	1.80
32	08-Feb-89	22:07	38.31	2	26.8	2.0	10	100	6.32	6.61	1.93
32	08-Feb-89	22:07	38.31	5	26.5	1.8	10	100	5.69	5.90	1.72
33	08-Feb-89	22:10	38.36	1	27.0	2.3	10	100	7.27	7.64	2.23
33	08-Feb-89	22:10	38.36	5	27.0	2.0	10	100	6.32	6.64	1.94
35	08-Feb-89	22:12	38.39	20	26.4	4.4	31.6	100	4.40	4.55	1.33
35	08-Feb-89	22:12	38.39	10	26.2	4.1	31.6	100	4.10	4.22	1.23
35	08-Feb-89	22:12	38.39	1	26.1	2.0	31.6	100	2.00	2.05	0.60
35	08-Feb-89	22:12	38.39	30	26.4	4.9	31.6	100	4.90	5.07	1.48
36	08-Feb-89	22:16	38.46	20	26.5	4.8	31.6	100	4.80	4.98	1.45
36	08-Feb-89	22:16	38.46	30	26.4	4.5	31.6	100	4.50	4.65	1.36
36	08-Feb-89	22:16	38.46	10	26.4	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.34	1.27
36	08-Feb-89	22:16	38.46	1	26.4	1.9	31.6	100	1.90	1.97	0.57

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 19 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F'	Fo	C (ppb)
37	08-Feb-89	22:20	38.53	10	26.1	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.11	1.20
37	08-Feb-89	22:20	38.53	1	25.9	2.2	31.6	100	2.20	2.25	0.66
37	08-Feb-89	22:20	38.53	20	26.1	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.31	1.26
37	08-Feb-89	22:20	38.53	30	26.0	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.09	1.20
38	08-Feb-89	22:25	38.61	20	26.3	4.8	31.6	100	4.80	4.95	1.45
38	08-Feb-89	22:25	38.61	30	26.5	4.8	31.6	100	4.80	4.98	1.45
38	08-Feb-89	22:25	38.61	10	26.2	4.1	31.6	100	4.10	4.22	1.23
38	08-Feb-89	22:25	38.61	1	26.2	2.7	31.6	100	2.70	2.78	0.81
39	08-Feb-89	22:30	38.69	20	26.1	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.69	1.08
39	08-Feb-89	22:30	38.69	10	26.0	4.1	31.6	100	4.10	4.20	1.23
39	08-Feb-89	22:30	38.69	1	25.7	1.9	31.6	100	1.90	1.93	0.56
39	08-Feb-89	22:30	38.69	30	26.0	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.48	1.02
40	08-Feb-89	22:35	38.78	1	26.2	2.8	31.6	100	2.80	2.88	0.84
40	08-Feb-89	22:35	38.78	20	25.9	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.57	1.04
40	08-Feb-89	22:35	38.78	10	26.1	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.90	1.14
40	08-Feb-89	22:35	38.78	30	26.0	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.69	1.08
41	08-Feb-89	22:40	38.86	10	25.9	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.57	1.04
41	08-Feb-89	22:40	38.86	1	25.8	2.6	31.6	100	2.60	2.65	0.77
41	08-Feb-89	22:40	38.86	20	26.2	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.91	1.14
41	08-Feb-89	22:40	38.86	30	26.2	2.8	31.6	100	2.80	2.88	0.84
42	08-Feb-89	22:43	38.91	1	26.0	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.30	1.26
42	08-Feb-89	22:43	38.91	20	26.1	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.31	1.26
42	08-Feb-89	22:43	38.91	10	26.0	4.4	31.6	100	4.40	4.50	1.32
42	08-Feb-89	22:43	38.91	30	26.1	2.6	31.6	100	2.60	2.67	0.78
43	08-Feb-89	22:46	38.96	10	25.9	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	3.98	1.16
43	08-Feb-89	22:46	38.96	1	25.6	4.5	31.6	100	4.50	4.56	1.33
43	08-Feb-89	22:46	38.96	20	26.2	3.3	31.6	100	3.30	3.40	0.99
43	08-Feb-89	22:46	38.96	30	26.0	2.8	31.6	100	2.80	2.87	0.84
44	08-Feb-89	22:50	39.03	1	25.8	2.9	31.6	100	2.90	2.95	0.86
44	08-Feb-89	22:50	39.03	10	26.0	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.09	1.20
44	08-Feb-89	22:50	39.03	20	26.2	4.7	31.6	100	4.70	4.84	1.41
44	08-Feb-89	22:50	39.03	30	26.2	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.50	1.02
1	09-Feb-89	00:14	40.43	1	25.6	0.3	31.6	100	0.30	0.30	0.09
2	09-Feb-89	00:17	40.48	1	28.4	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.27	0.96
2	09-Feb-89	00:17	40.48	10	27.7	2.2	31.6	100	2.20	2.35	0.69
2	09-Feb-89	00:17	40.48	20	27.3	1.7	31.6	100	1.70	1.80	0.53
11	09-Feb-89	00:21	40.54	8	28.0	2.7	31.6	100	2.70	2.91	0.85
11	09-Feb-89	00:21	40.54	2	28.6	2.4	31.6	100	2.40	2.63	0.77
12	09-Feb-89	00:24	40.59	10	27.4	6.1	31.6	100	6.10	6.48	1.89
12	09-Feb-89	00:24	40.59	1	27.2	7.3	31.6	100	7.30	7.71	2.25
12	09-Feb-89	00:24	40.59	20	27.7	5.0	31.6	100	5.00	5.35	1.56
13	09-Feb-89	00:27	40.64	20	27.9	4.5	31.6	100	4.50	4.84	1.41
13	09-Feb-89	00:27	40.64	10	27.7	4.7	31.6	100	4.70	5.03	1.47
13	09-Feb-89	00:27	40.64	1	27.3	7.3	31.6	100	7.30	7.73	2.26
13	09-Feb-89	00:27	40.64	30	27.9	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.30	1.26
14	09-Feb-89	00:32	40.73	10	27.6	5.9	31.6	100	5.90	6.30	1.84
14	09-Feb-89	00:32	40.73	1	27.5	6.7	31.6	100	6.70	7.13	2.08
14	09-Feb-89	00:32	40.73	20	27.7	5.4	31.6	100	5.40	5.78	1.69
14	09-Feb-89	00:32	40.73	30	27.0	4.5	31.6	100	4.50	4.73	1.38
15	09-Feb-89	00:35	40.78	20	27.6	5.5	31.6	100	5.50	5.87	1.72
15	09-Feb-89	00:35	40.78	10	27.8	5.1	31.6	100	5.10	5.47	1.60
15	09-Feb-89	00:35	40.78	1	27.1	7.5	31.6	100	7.50	7.90	2.31
15	09-Feb-89	00:35	40.78	30	27.0	4.7	31.6	100	4.70	4.94	1.44
16	09-Feb-89	00:38	40.83	10	27.7	5.4	31.6	100	5.40	5.78	1.69
16	09-Feb-89	00:38	40.83	1	27.4	6.7	31.6	100	6.70	7.11	2.08
16	09-Feb-89	00:38	40.83	20	27.8	5.0	31.6	100	5.00	5.36	1.57
16	09-Feb-89	00:38	40.83	30	27.2	4.7	31.6	100	4.70	4.96	1.45

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 20 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F'	Fo	C (ppb)
17	09-Feb-89	00:44	40.93	1	27.4	6.7	31.6	100	6.70	7.11	2.08
17	09-Feb-89	00:44	40.93	10	27.7	5.2	31.6	100	5.20	5.56	1.63
17	09-Feb-89	00:44	40.93	20	27.5	5.3	31.6	100	5.30	5.64	1.65
18	09-Feb-89	00:48	40.99	10	28.0	4.6	31.6	100	4.60	4.96	1.45
18	09-Feb-89	00:48	40.99	1	27.3	7.6	31.6	100	7.60	8.05	2.35
18	09-Feb-89	00:48	40.99	20	28.0	4.7	31.6	100	4.70	5.07	1.48
18	09-Feb-89	00:48	40.99	30	26.7	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.54	1.04
19	09-Feb-89	00:53	41.08	30	27.1	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.42	1.29
19	09-Feb-89	00:53	41.08	10	28.1	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.54	1.33
19	09-Feb-89	00:53	41.08	20	28.0	4.4	31.6	100	4.40	4.74	1.39
19	09-Feb-89	00:53	41.08	1	27.6	6.2	31.6	100	6.20	6.62	1.93
20	09-Feb-89	00:59	41.18	10	27.8	4.5	31.6	100	4.50	4.83	1.41
20	09-Feb-89	00:59	41.18	1	27.4	6.2	31.6	100	6.20	6.58	1.92
20	09-Feb-89	00:59	41.18	20	27.9	4.8	31.6	100	4.80	5.16	1.51
20	09-Feb-89	00:59	41.18	30	26.6	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.54	1.03
21	09-Feb-89	01:02	41.23	1	27.0	7.6	31.6	100	7.60	7.98	2.33
21	09-Feb-89	01:02	41.23	10	27.6	5.1	31.6	100	5.10	5.44	1.59
21	09-Feb-89	01:02	41.23	20	27.6	4.9	31.6	100	4.90	5.23	1.53
21	09-Feb-89	01:02	41.23	30	26.3	3.1	31.6	100	3.10	3.20	0.93
22	09-Feb-89	01:05	41.28	1	27.0	8.0	31.6	100	8.00	8.41	2.46
22	09-Feb-89	01:05	41.28	0	27.4	5.8	31.6	100	5.80	6.16	1.80
22	09-Feb-89	01:05	41.28	15	27.2	6.3	31.6	100	6.30	6.65	1.94
23	09-Feb-89	01:10	41.36	1	27.0	7.5	31.6	100	7.50	7.88	2.30
23	09-Feb-89	01:10	41.36	8	27.1	6.0	31.6	100	6.00	6.32	1.85
24	09-Feb-89	01:14	41.43	20	27.4	5.6	31.6	100	5.60	5.95	1.74
24	09-Feb-89	01:14	41.43	10	27.7	5.2	31.6	100	5.20	5.56	1.63
24	09-Feb-89	01:14	41.43	1	27.3	6.2	31.6	100	6.20	6.56	1.92
24	09-Feb-89	01:14	41.43	30	26.1	2.8	31.6	100	2.80	2.87	0.84
25	09-Feb-89	01:18	41.49	20	27.2	5.4	31.6	100	5.40	5.70	1.67
25	09-Feb-89	01:18	41.49	10	27.1	6.0	31.6	100	6.00	6.32	1.85
25	09-Feb-89	01:18	41.49	1	27.2	6.6	31.6	100	6.60	6.97	2.04
25	09-Feb-89	01:18	41.49	30	26.4	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.72	1.09
26	09-Feb-89	01:23	41.58	10	27.3	5.8	31.6	100	5.80	6.14	1.79
26	09-Feb-89	01:23	41.58	1	27.1	7.2	31.6	100	7.20	7.58	2.22
26	09-Feb-89	01:23	41.58	20	27.4	5.4	31.6	100	5.40	5.73	1.68
26	09-Feb-89	01:23	41.58	30	26.1	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.08	0.90
27	09-Feb-89	01:27	41.64	1	27.1	7.2	31.6	100	7.20	7.58	2.22
27	09-Feb-89	01:27	41.64	10	27.8	4.7	31.6	100	4.70	5.04	1.47
27	09-Feb-89	01:27	41.64	20	27.4	5.6	31.6	100	5.60	5.95	1.74
27	09-Feb-89	01:27	41.64	30	26.5	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.53	1.03
28	09-Feb-89	01:32	41.73	10	26.5	6.6	31.6	100	6.60	6.84	2.00
28	09-Feb-89	01:32	41.73	1	26.7	6.7	31.6	100	6.70	6.98	2.04
28	09-Feb-89	01:32	41.73	20	26.4	6.0	31.6	100	6.00	6.21	1.81
28	09-Feb-89	01:32	41.73	30	26.1	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.59	1.05
29	09-Feb-89	01:39	41.84	1	26.5	2.3	31.6	100	2.30	2.39	0.70
29	09-Feb-89	01:39	41.84	10	26.9	6.1	31.6	100	6.10	6.39	1.87
29	09-Feb-89	01:39	41.84	20	26.4	5.4	31.6	100	5.40	5.59	1.63
29	09-Feb-89	01:39	41.84	30	25.5	1.7	31.6	100	1.70	1.72	0.50
32	09-Feb-89	01:46	41.96	1	27.2	5.6	31.6	100	5.60	5.91	1.73
32	09-Feb-89	01:46	41.96	8	27.1	5.8	31.6	100	5.80	6.11	1.79
33	09-Feb-89	01:48	41.99	1	26.8	7.1	31.6	100	7.10	7.42	2.17
35	09-Feb-89	01:50	42.03	20	26.3	5.1	31.6	100	5.10	5.26	1.54
35	09-Feb-89	01:50	42.03	10	26.2	4.3	31.6	100	4.30	4.42	1.29
35	09-Feb-89	01:50	42.03	1	26.1	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	4.00	1.17
35	09-Feb-89	01:50	42.03	30	26.4	5.0	31.6	100	5.00	5.17	1.51
36	09-Feb-89	01:54	42.09	20	26.3	4.8	31.6	100	4.80	4.95	1.45
36	09-Feb-89	01:54	42.09	10	26.1	4.3	31.6	100	4.30	4.41	1.29

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 21 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F'	Fo	C (ppb)
36	09-Feb-89	01:54	42.09	1	25.9	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.47	1.01
36	09-Feb-89	01:54	42.09	30	26.6	5.1	31.6	100	5.10	5.30	1.55
37	09-Feb-89	01:58	42.16	10	26.1	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.11	1.20
37	09-Feb-89	01:58	42.16	1	26.0	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.48	1.02
37	09-Feb-89	01:58	42.16	20	26.2	4.7	31.6	100	4.70	4.84	1.41
37	09-Feb-89	01:58	42.16	30	26.2	4.8	31.6	100	4.80	4.94	1.44
38	09-Feb-89	02:04	42.26	10	26.0	4.7	31.6	100	4.70	4.81	1.41
38	09-Feb-89	02:04	42.26	1	25.8	3.2	31.6	100	3.20	3.26	0.95
38	09-Feb-89	02:04	42.26	20	26.0	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.30	1.26
38	09-Feb-89	02:04	42.26	30	26.1	4.7	31.6	100	4.70	4.82	1.41
39	09-Feb-89	02:09	42.34	30	25.9	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.47	1.01
39	09-Feb-89	02:09	42.34	10	26.1	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.11	1.20
39	09-Feb-89	02:09	42.34	20	26.0	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.30	1.26
39	09-Feb-89	02:09	42.34	1	25.7	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.05	0.89
40	09-Feb-89	02:13	42.41	10	25.9	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.08	1.19
40	09-Feb-89	02:13	42.41	1	25.7	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.05	0.89
40	09-Feb-89	02:13	42.41	20	26.1	4.4	31.6	100	4.40	4.52	1.32
40	09-Feb-89	02:13	42.41	30	25.9	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.88	1.13
41	09-Feb-89	02:19	42.51	30	26.0	4.3	31.6	100	4.30	4.40	1.29
41	09-Feb-89	02:19	42.51	10	26.1	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.31	1.26
41	09-Feb-89	02:19	42.51	20	26.1	4.4	31.6	100	4.40	4.52	1.32
41	09-Feb-89	02:19	42.51	1	25.7	3.2	31.6	100	3.20	3.25	0.95
42	09-Feb-89	02:23	42.58	10	26.1	4.3	31.6	100	4.30	4.41	1.29
42	09-Feb-89	02:23	42.58	1	26.0	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	3.99	1.17
42	09-Feb-89	02:23	42.58	20	26.0	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.30	1.26
42	09-Feb-89	02:23	42.58	30	26.0	4.3	31.6	100	4.30	4.40	1.29
43	09-Feb-89	02:27	42.64	10	25.9	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.08	1.19
43	09-Feb-89	02:27	42.64	1	25.7	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.05	0.89
43	09-Feb-89	02:27	42.64	20	26.1	4.5	31.6	100	4.50	4.62	1.35
43	09-Feb-89	02:27	42.64	30	26.2	4.3	31.6	100	4.30	4.42	1.29
44	09-Feb-89	02:35	42.78	1	25.6	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.44	1.01
44	09-Feb-89	02:35	42.78	10	25.3	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.72	1.09
44	09-Feb-89	02:35	42.78	20	26.0	4.6	31.6	100	4.60	4.71	1.38
44	09-Feb-89	02:35	42.78	30	25.9	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.08	1.19
2	09-Feb-89	03:16	43.46	10	23.8	1.6	31.6	100	1.60	1.55	0.45
3	09-Feb-89	03:17	43.48	10	26.1	1.9	31.6	100	1.90	1.95	0.57
4	09-Feb-89	03:18	43.49	10	27.0	2.0	31.6	100	2.00	2.10	0.61
5	09-Feb-89	03:20	43.53	10	26.7	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.13	0.91
6	09-Feb-89	03:22	43.56	10	27.0	2.2	31.6	100	2.20	2.31	0.68
11	09-Feb-89	03:29	43.68	10	27.3	2.2	31.6	100	2.20	2.33	0.68
9	09-Feb-89	03:31	43.71	10	26.7	5.9	31.6	100	5.90	6.15	1.80
10	09-Feb-89	03:35	43.78	10	26.7	5.7	31.6	100	5.70	5.94	1.74
12	09-Feb-89	03:37	43.81	10	26.7	5.8	31.6	100	5.80	6.05	1.77
13	09-Feb-89	03:40	43.86	10	27.3	4.7	31.6	100	4.70	4.98	1.45
14	09-Feb-89	03:43	43.91	10	27.4	5.0	31.6	100	5.00	5.31	1.55
15	09-Feb-89	03:44	43.93	10	27.4	5.1	31.6	100	5.10	5.41	1.58
16	09-Feb-89	03:45	43.94	10	27.6	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.48	1.31
18	09-Feb-89	03:47	43.98	10	27.4	5.1	31.6	100	5.10	5.41	1.58
19	09-Feb-89	03:50	44.03	10	27.7	4.4	31.6	100	4.40	4.71	1.38
20	09-Feb-89	03:52	44.06	10	27.6	5.0	31.6	100	5.00	5.34	1.56
21	09-Feb-89	03:54	44.09	10	27.4	5.9	31.6	100	5.90	6.26	1.83
22	09-Feb-89	03:56	44.13	10	27.2	5.9	31.6	100	5.90	6.23	1.82
23	09-Feb-89	03:58	44.16	10	26.9	5.7	31.6	100	5.70	5.97	1.75
24	09-Feb-89	04:28	44.66	10	27.4	2.5	31.6	100	2.50	2.65	0.78
25	09-Feb-89	04:29	44.68	10	27.4	4.1	31.6	100	4.10	4.35	1.27
26	09-Feb-89	04:31	44.71	10	27.7	4.1	31.6	100	4.10	4.39	1.28
17	09-Feb-89	03:46	43.96	10	27.2	5.2	31.6	100	5.20	5.49	1.60

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 22 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F'	Fo	C (ppb)
27	09-Feb-89	04:33	44.74	10	27.4	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.46	1.30
28	09-Feb-89	04:35	44.78	10	27.1	5.1	31.6	100	5.10	5.37	1.57
29	09-Feb-89	04:44	44.93	10	26.3	6.1	31.6	100	6.10	6.29	1.84
32	09-Feb-89	04:48	44.99	10	27.5	4.6	31.6	100	4.60	4.90	1.43
33	09-Feb-89	04:49	45.01	1	27.4	5.2	31.6	100	5.20	5.52	1.61
35	09-Feb-89	04:51	45.04	10	26.0	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.30	1.26
36	09-Feb-89	04:53	45.08	10	26.0	4.6	31.6	100	4.60	4.71	1.38
37	09-Feb-89	04:54	45.09	10	26.0	4.6	31.6	100	4.60	4.71	1.38
38	09-Feb-89	04:57	45.14	10	25.8	4.6	31.6	100	4.60	4.68	1.37
39	09-Feb-89	04:59	45.18	10	25.9	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.29	1.25
40	09-Feb-89	05:01	45.21	10	25.9	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.29	1.25
43	09-Feb-89	05:05	45.28	10	25.8	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.28	1.25
42	09-Feb-89	05:08	45.33	10	25.9	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.08	1.19
41	09-Feb-89	05:10	45.36	10	26.0	4.4	31.6	100	4.40	4.50	1.32
44	09-Feb-89	05:12	45.39	1	25.6	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.65	1.07
44	09-Feb-89	05:12	45.39	10	25.9	4.4	31.6	100	4.40	4.49	1.31
39	09-Feb-89	05:18	45.49	35	25.9	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.08	1.19
39	09-Feb-89	05:18	45.49	1	25.6	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.65	1.07
39	09-Feb-89	05:18	45.49	10	25.8	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.28	1.25
39	09-Feb-89	05:18	45.49	30	25.9	4.4	31.6	100	4.40	4.49	1.31
39	09-Feb-89	05:18	45.49	20	26.0	4.6	31.6	100	4.60	4.71	1.38
32	09-Feb-89	05:26	45.63	1	26.7	6.8	31.6	100	6.80	7.09	2.07
45	09-Feb-89	05:28	45.66	1	27.4	5.2	31.6	100	5.20	5.52	1.61
45	09-Feb-89	05:28	45.66	10	26.9	5.4	31.6	100	5.40	5.66	1.65
45	09-Feb-89	05:28	45.66	20	26.7	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.17	1.22
45	09-Feb-89	05:28	45.66	25	26.6	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.95	1.15
29	09-Feb-89	05:33	45.74	1	26.2	7.6	31.6	100	7.60	7.82	2.29
29	09-Feb-89	05:33	45.74	10	26.4	5.6	31.6	100	5.60	5.79	1.69
29	09-Feb-89	05:33	45.74	20	26.0	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.89	1.14
29	09-Feb-89	05:33	45.74	23	25.6	2.8	31.6	100	2.80	2.84	0.83
21	09-Feb-89	05:41	45.88	10	27.0	5.4	31.6	100	5.40	5.67	1.66
21	09-Feb-89	05:41	45.88	1	26.5	6.8	31.6	100	6.80	7.05	2.06
21	09-Feb-89	05:41	45.88	20	27.4	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.46	1.30
21	09-Feb-89	05:41	45.88	30	26.7	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.13	0.91
22	09-Feb-89	05:47	45.98	1	26.8	5.8	31.6	100	5.80	6.06	1.77
22	09-Feb-89	05:47	45.98	5	27.1	5.6	31.6	100	5.60	5.90	1.72
22	09-Feb-89	05:47	45.98	10	26.9	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.56	1.04
22	09-Feb-89	05:47	45.98	14	26.9	6.2	31.6	100	6.20	6.50	1.90
21	09-Feb-89	05:53	46.08	15	27.3	4.6	31.6	100	4.60	4.87	1.42
11	09-Feb-89	05:57	46.14	3	26.1	1.6	31.6	100	1.60	1.64	0.48
2	09-Feb-89	06:00	46.19	20	27.1	1.6	31.6	100	1.60	1.69	0.49
2	09-Feb-89	06:00	46.19	24	27.0	1.6	31.6	100	1.60	1.68	0.49
2	09-Feb-89	06:00	46.19	1	26.4	2.0	31.6	100	2.00	2.07	0.60
2	09-Feb-89	06:00	46.19	10	26.5	1.6	31.6	100	1.60	1.66	0.48
1	09-Feb-89	06:06	46.29	1	25.9	1.2	31.6	100	1.20	1.23	0.36
1	09-Feb-89	07:19	47.51	1	26.9	0.4	31.6	100	0.40	0.42	0.12
2	09-Feb-89	07:35	47.78	1	27.1	1.4	31.6	100	1.40	1.47	0.43
1	09-Feb-89	07:37	47.81	2	27.1	0.2	31.6	100	0.20	0.21	0.06
2	09-Feb-89	07:39	47.84	1	26.9	1.4	31.6	100	1.40	1.47	0.43
2	09-Feb-89	07:39	47.84	10	26.9	0.9	31.6	100	0.90	0.94	0.28
2	09-Feb-89	07:39	47.84	20	26.9	1.2	31.6	100	1.20	1.26	0.37
11	09-Feb-89	07:42	47.89	1	26.8	1.1	31.6	100	1.10	1.15	0.34
12	09-Feb-89	07:44	47.93	10	27.0	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.99	1.17
13	09-Feb-89	07:46	47.96	10	27.0	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.41	1.29
14	09-Feb-89	07:49	48.01	10	27.7	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	4.17	1.22
15	09-Feb-89	07:51	48.04	10	27.6	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	4.16	1.22
16	09-Feb-89	07:53	48.08	10	27.5	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	4.04	1.18

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 23 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F'	Fo	C (ppb)
19	09-Feb-89	07:55	48.11	10	27.7	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	4.17	1.22
18	09-Feb-89	07:57	48.14	10	27.0	4.1	31.6	100	4.10	4.31	1.26
17	09-Feb-89	07:58	48.16	10	27.6	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.48	1.31
20	09-Feb-89	08:01	48.21	10	27.6	4.3	31.6	100	4.30	4.59	1.34
21	09-Feb-89	08:03	48.24	10	27.6	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.48	1.31
22	09-Feb-89	08:06	48.29	10	27.0	4.7	31.6	100	4.70	4.94	1.44
24	09-Feb-89	08:10	48.36	10	27.7	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	4.17	1.22
25	09-Feb-89	08:12	48.39	10	27.4	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.46	1.30
26	09-Feb-89	08:14	48.43	10	27.7	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.49	1.31
27	09-Feb-89	08:15	48.44	10	27.8	4.1	31.6	100	4.10	4.40	1.29
28	09-Feb-89	08:17	48.48	10	27.3	4.1	31.6	100	4.10	4.34	1.27
23	09-Feb-89	08:20	48.53	10	27.8	4.4	31.6	100	4.40	4.72	1.38
22	09-Feb-89	08:22	48.56	15	27.6	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.95	1.15
22	09-Feb-89	08:22	48.56	1	27.3	5.7	31.6	100	5.70	6.04	1.76
22	09-Feb-89	08:22	48.56	10	27.5	4.6	31.6	100	4.60	4.90	1.43
22	09-Feb-89	08:22	48.56	5	27.3	5.0	31.6	100	5.00	5.29	1.55
29	09-Feb-89	08:28	48.66	10	27.7	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.28	1.25
30	09-Feb-89	08:32	48.73	10	26.6	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.64	1.06
31	09-Feb-89	08:35	48.78	1	26.6	5.7	31.6	100	5.70	5.93	1.73
46	09-Feb-89	08:49	49.01	1	26.6	5.2	31.6	100	5.20	5.41	1.58
32	09-Feb-89	09:07	49.31	1	26.9	5.2	31.6	100	5.20	5.45	1.59
33	09-Feb-89	09:10	49.36	1	26.9	5.1	31.6	100	5.10	5.34	1.56
35	09-Feb-89	09:11	49.38	10	26.4	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.14	1.21
36	09-Feb-89	09:13	49.41	10	26.5	4.1	31.6	100	4.10	4.25	1.24
37	09-Feb-89	09:15	49.44	10	26.5	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.15	1.21
38	09-Feb-89	09:18	49.49	10	26.5	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.94	1.15
39	09-Feb-89	09:20	49.53	10	26.8	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.87	1.13
40	09-Feb-89	09:22	49.56	10	26.6	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.16	1.22
43	09-Feb-89	09:24	49.59	10	26.6	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.37	1.28
42	09-Feb-89	09:25	49.61	10	26.8	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.18	1.22
41	09-Feb-89	09:26	49.63	10	27.1	4.1	31.6	100	4.10	4.32	1.26
44	09-Feb-89	09:28	49.66	10	26.7	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	4.07	1.19
1	09-Feb-89	10:03	50.24	1	30.6	0.0	31.6	100	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	09-Feb-89	10:07	50.31	20	28.2	1.0	31.6	100	1.00	1.08	0.32
2	09-Feb-89	10:07	50.31	10	28.2	0.8	31.6	100	0.80	0.87	0.25
2	09-Feb-89	10:07	50.31	1	28.2	1.4	31.6	100	1.40	1.52	0.44
2	09-Feb-89	10:07	50.31	25	17.0	1.2	31.6	100	1.20	0.97	0.28
4	09-Feb-89	10:14	50.43	1	27.5	1.8	31.6	100	1.80	1.92	0.56
6	09-Feb-89	10:16	50.46	1	27.5	2.2	31.6	100	2.20	2.34	0.68
11	09-Feb-89	10:19	50.51	1	28.9	0.6	31.6	100	0.60	0.66	0.19
9	09-Feb-89	10:22	50.56	1	27.3	6.0	31.6	100	6.00	6.35	1.86
8	09-Feb-89	10:25	50.61	1	27.3	6.0	31.6	100	6.00	6.35	1.86
12	09-Feb-89	10:28	50.66	10	28.0	3.2	31.6	100	3.20	3.45	1.01
13	09-Feb-89	10:29	50.68	10	27.7	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.28	1.25
14	09-Feb-89	10:31	50.71	10	27.8	4.6	31.6	100	4.60	4.93	1.44
15	09-Feb-89	10:33	50.74	10	27.7	4.4	31.6	100	4.40	4.71	1.38
16	09-Feb-89	10:35	50.78	10	28.0	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.31	1.26
19	09-Feb-89	10:37	50.81	10	28.0	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.67	1.07
18	09-Feb-89	10:39	50.84	10	28.1	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	4.00	1.17
17	09-Feb-89	10:41	50.88	10	27.9	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.30	1.26
20	09-Feb-89	10:43	50.91	10	27.7	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.64	1.06
21	09-Feb-89	10:44	50.93	20	27.6	3.2	31.6	100	3.20	3.41	1.00
21	09-Feb-89	10:44	50.93	30	27.4	2.8	31.6	100	2.80	2.97	0.87
21	09-Feb-89	10:44	50.93	10	27.2	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.80	1.11
21	09-Feb-89	10:44	50.93	1	27.6	4.8	31.6	100	4.80	5.12	1.50
22	09-Feb-89	10:49	51.01	10	27.7	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.64	1.06
23	09-Feb-89	10:51	51.04	5	27.5	4.5	31.6	100	4.50	4.79	1.40

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 24 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F'	Fo	C (ppb)
24	09-Feb-89	10:54	51.09	10	27.9	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	4.09	1.19
25	09-Feb-89	10:56	51.13	10	27.7	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.64	1.06
26	09-Feb-89	10:58	51.16	10	28.0	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.77	1.10
27	09-Feb-89	10:59	51.18	10	27.8	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.65	1.07
28	09-Feb-89	11:02	51.23	10	27.7	3.1	31.6	100	3.10	3.32	0.97
29	09-Feb-89	11:06	51.29	1	27.7	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.64	1.06
32	09-Feb-89	11:08	51.33	1	27.4	4.6	31.6	100	4.60	4.88	1.43
33	09-Feb-89	11:09	51.34	1	27.1	5.6	31.6	100	5.60	5.90	1.72
34	09-Feb-89	11:11	51.38	1	26.9	6.2	31.6	100	6.20	6.50	1.90
35	09-Feb-89	11:14	51.43	1	26.8	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.76	1.10
36	09-Feb-89	11:15	51.44	1	27.1	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	4.11	1.20
37	09-Feb-89	11:16	51.46	1	26.6	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.12	0.91
40	09-Feb-89	11:19	51.51	1	26.8	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.14	0.92
39	09-Feb-89	11:21	51.54	30	26.7	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.54	1.04
39	09-Feb-89	11:21	51.54	10	26.8	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.76	1.10
39	09-Feb-89	11:21	51.54	1	26.8	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.14	0.92
39	09-Feb-89	11:21	51.54	20	26.8	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.97	1.16
38	09-Feb-89	11:25	51.61	1	26.7	3.2	31.6	100	3.20	3.34	0.97
43	09-Feb-89	11:28	51.66	1	27.0	3.2	31.6	100	3.20	3.36	0.98
42	09-Feb-89	11:29	51.68	1	27.0	3.2	31.6	100	3.20	3.36	0.98
41	09-Feb-89	11:30	51.69	1	26.9	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.14	0.92
44	09-Feb-89	11:34	51.76	1	26.9	3.2	31.6	100	3.20	3.35	0.98
38	09-Feb-89	11:35	51.78	1	27.0	3.2	31.6	100	3.20	3.36	0.98
35	09-Feb-89	11:39	51.84	1	26.6	3.1	31.6	100	3.10	3.22	0.94
33A	09-Feb-89	11:42	51.89	1	27.1	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.42	1.29
33B	09-Feb-89	11:42	51.89	1	26.8	5.4	31.6	100	5.40	5.64	1.65
32	09-Feb-89	11:45	51.94	1	27.4	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.46	1.30
24	09-Feb-89	11:49	52.01	1	28.1	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.68	1.07
20	09-Feb-89	11:52	52.06	1	28.2	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	4.12	1.20
17	09-Feb-89	11:54	52.09	1	27.8	4.4	31.6	100	4.40	4.72	1.38
14	09-Feb-89	11:55	52.11	1	27.7	4.5	31.6	100	4.50	4.81	1.41
14A	09-Feb-89	11:57	52.14	1	27.8	4.5	31.6	100	4.50	4.83	1.41
13	09-Feb-89	11:59	52.18	1	27.9	4.6	31.6	100	4.60	4.95	1.45
13A	09-Feb-89	12:00	52.19	1	27.6	4.8	31.6	100	4.80	5.12	1.50
12	09-Feb-89	12:02	52.23	1	27.5	5.1	31.6	100	5.10	5.43	1.59
11	09-Feb-89	12:04	52.26	1	30.3	0.2	31.6	100	0.20	0.23	0.07
2	09-Feb-89	12:08	52.33	1	30.4	0.2	31.6	100	0.20	0.23	0.07
1	09-Feb-89	12:57	53.14	1	32.3	0.3	31.6	100	0.30	0.36	0.11
2	09-Feb-89	12:57	53.14	1	30.0	0.3	31.6	100	0.30	0.34	0.10
2	09-Feb-89	13:00	53.19	20	28.3	0.9	31.6	100	0.90	0.98	0.29
2	09-Feb-89	13:00	53.19	26	28.1	0.8	31.6	100	0.80	0.86	0.25
2	09-Feb-89	13:00	53.19	10	30.0	1.0	31.6	100	1.00	1.14	0.33
3	09-Feb-89	13:07	53.31	1	30.0	0.5	31.6	100	0.50	0.57	0.17
4	09-Feb-89	13:10	53.36	1	29.9	0.7	31.6	100	0.70	0.79	0.23
5	09-Feb-89	13:16	53.46	1	27.6	1.7	31.6	100	1.70	1.81	0.53
6	09-Feb-89	13:18	53.49	1	27.3	2.8	31.6	100	2.80	2.96	0.87
11	09-Feb-89	13:21	53.54	1	30.6	0.7	31.6	100	0.70	0.81	0.24
10	09-Feb-89	13:23	53.58	1	27.6	5.0	31.6	100	5.00	5.34	1.56
9	09-Feb-89	13:25	53.61	1	27.1	4.7	31.6	100	4.70	4.95	1.45
8	09-Feb-89	13:28	53.66	1	27.1	4.8	31.6	100	4.80	5.06	1.48
7	09-Feb-89	13:30	53.69	1	26.9	4.4	31.6	100	4.40	4.61	1.35
12	09-Feb-89	13:32	53.73	1	27.4	4.8	31.6	100	4.80	5.10	1.49
13	09-Feb-89	13:34	53.76	1	27.5	4.8	31.6	100	4.80	5.11	1.49
14A	09-Feb-89	13:36	53.79	1	27.6	4.6	31.6	100	4.60	4.91	1.43
14	09-Feb-89	13:37	53.81	1	27.5	4.7	31.6	100	4.70	5.00	1.46
15	09-Feb-89	13:39	53.84	1	27.4	4.8	31.6	100	4.80	5.10	1.49
16	09-Feb-89	13:40	53.86	1	27.4	4.9	31.6	100	4.90	5.20	1.52

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 25 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F'	Fo	C (ppb)
19	09-Feb-89	13:42	53.89	1	27.4	4.7	31.6	100	4.70	4.99	1.46
18	09-Feb-89	13:44	53.93	1	27.6	4.4	31.6	100	4.40	4.70	1.37
17	09-Feb-89	13:45	53.94	6	27.7	4.4	31.6	100	4.40	4.71	1.38
20	09-Feb-89	13:48	53.99	1	27.9	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.30	1.26
24	09-Feb-89	13:50	54.03	1	27.7	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.28	1.25
25	09-Feb-89	13:50	54.03	1	27.8	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	4.08	1.19
21	09-Feb-89	13:54	54.09	20	27.4	3.2	31.6	100	3.20	3.40	0.99
21	09-Feb-89	13:54	54.09	10	27.7	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.64	1.06
21	09-Feb-89	13:54	54.09	1	27.7	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.49	1.31
21	09-Feb-89	13:54	54.09	30	27.3	3.1	31.6	100	3.10	3.28	0.96
22	09-Feb-89	13:57	54.14	1	27.7	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.28	1.25
26	09-Feb-89	14:01	54.21	1	28.0	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	4.10	1.20
27	09-Feb-89	14:04	54.26	1	28.1	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	4.11	1.20
28	09-Feb-89	14:05	54.28	1	27.6	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.63	1.06
23	09-Feb-89	14:09	54.34	1	27.6	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.63	1.06
29	09-Feb-89	14:11	54.38	1	27.4	4.7	31.6	100	4.70	4.99	1.46
30	09-Feb-89	14:14	54.43	1	27.0	4.7	31.6	100	4.70	4.94	1.44
32	09-Feb-89	14:18	54.49	1	27.3	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.60	1.05
33	09-Feb-89	14:19	54.51	1	26.8	5.6	31.6	100	5.60	5.85	1.71
34	09-Feb-89	14:21	54.54	1	26.8	5.6	31.6	100	5.60	5.85	1.71
35	09-Feb-89	14:25	54.61	1	26.3	3.1	31.6	100	3.10	3.20	0.93
36	09-Feb-89	14:26	54.63	1	26.3	3.3	31.6	100	3.30	3.40	0.99
37	09-Feb-89	14:28	54.66	1	26.3	3.1	31.6	100	3.10	3.20	0.93
38	09-Feb-89	14:30	54.69	1	26.5	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.63	1.06
39	09-Feb-89	14:32	54.73	20	26.4	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.83	1.12
39	09-Feb-89	14:32	54.73	30	26.5	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	4.04	1.18
39	09-Feb-89	14:32	54.73	1	26.6	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.64	1.06
39	09-Feb-89	14:32	54.73	10	26.4	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.83	1.12
40	09-Feb-89	14:36	54.79	1	26.5	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.63	1.06
43	09-Feb-89	14:38	54.83	1	26.6	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.64	1.06
42	09-Feb-89	14:40	54.86	1	26.7	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.54	1.04
41	09-Feb-89	14:42	54.89	1	26.6	3.3	31.6	100	3.30	3.43	1.00
44	09-Feb-89	14:44	54.93	1	26.6	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.64	1.06
36	09-Feb-89	14:46	54.96	1	26.6	3.3	31.6	100	3.30	3.43	1.00
35	09-Feb-89	14:51	55.04	1	26.5	3.2	31.6	100	3.20	3.32	0.97
33	09-Feb-89	14:53	55.08	1	26.8	4.6	31.6	100	4.60	4.81	1.41
32	09-Feb-89	14:55	55.11	1	27.4	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.61	1.05
45	09-Feb-89	14:56	55.13	1	27.6	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.84	1.12
27	09-Feb-89	14:58	55.16	1	27.8	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.75	1.10
26	09-Feb-89	15:00	55.19	1	27.8	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.86	1.13
25	09-Feb-89	15:03	55.24	1	27.8	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.97	1.16
24	09-Feb-89	15:05	55.28	1	27.8	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	4.18	1.22
20	09-Feb-89	15:06	55.29	1	27.8	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	4.18	1.22
17	09-Feb-89	15:07	55.31	1	27.8	4.1	31.6	100	4.10	4.40	1.29
14A	09-Feb-89	15:09	55.34	1	27.7	4.3	31.6	100	4.30	4.60	1.34
11	09-Feb-89	15:10	55.36	1	29.7	0.8	31.6	100	0.80	0.90	0.26
12	09-Feb-89	15:10	55.36	1	27.6	4.5	31.6	100	4.50	4.80	1.40
2	09-Feb-89	15:16	55.46	1	30.5	0.9	31.6	100	0.90	1.04	0.30
1	09-Feb-89	15:19	55.51	1	32.0	0.7	31.6	100	0.70	0.84	0.24
1	09-Feb-89	15:52	56.06	1	31.7	0.9	31.6	100	0.90	1.07	0.31
44	09-Feb-89	16:05	56.28	1	26.4	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.62	1.06
41	09-Feb-89	16:06	56.29	1	26.6	3.3	31.6	100	3.30	3.43	1.00
42	09-Feb-89	16:06	56.29	1	26.5	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.53	1.03
43	09-Feb-89	16:07	56.31	1	26.1	3.3	31.6	100	3.30	3.39	0.99
40	09-Feb-89	16:12	56.39	1	25.8	3.3	31.6	100	3.30	3.36	0.98
39	09-Feb-89	16:14	56.43	20	26.5	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.73	1.09
39	09-Feb-89	16:14	56.43	1	26.3	3.3	31.6	100	3.30	3.40	0.99

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 26 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F'	Fo	C (ppb)
39	09-Feb-89	16:14	56.43	10	26.6	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.74	1.09
39	09-Feb-89	16:14	56.43	30	26.6	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	4.06	1.19
38	09-Feb-89	16:16	56.46	1	26.1	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.49	1.02
36	09-Feb-89	16:20	56.53	1	26.2	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.50	1.02
37	09-Feb-89	16:23	56.58	1	26.2	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.50	1.02
35	09-Feb-89	16:24	56.59	1	25.9	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.47	1.01
33	09-Feb-89	16:25	56.61	1	26.6	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.85	1.12
34	09-Feb-89	16:27	56.64	1	26.3	5.4	31.6	100	5.40	5.57	1.63
32	09-Feb-89	16:30	56.69	1	26.6	2.9	31.6	100	2.90	3.02	0.88
29	09-Feb-89	16:31	56.71	1	26.8	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.18	1.22
30	09-Feb-89	16:33	56.74	1	26.7	4.5	31.6	100	4.50	4.69	1.37
31	09-Feb-89	16:35	56.78	1	26.5	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.15	1.21
318	09-Feb-89	16:40	56.86	1	26.4	5.2	31.6	100	5.20	5.38	1.57
28	09-Feb-89	16:43	56.91	1	26.7	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.13	0.91
27	09-Feb-89	16:45	56.94	1	26.9	3.3	31.6	100	3.30	3.46	1.01
26	09-Feb-89	16:46	56.96	1	27.1	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.58	1.05
25	09-Feb-89	16:47	56.98	1	26.7	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.75	1.10
24	09-Feb-89	16:48	56.99	1	27.2	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.22	1.23
20	09-Feb-89	16:50	57.03	1	27.5	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	4.04	1.18
21	09-Feb-89	16:51	57.04	30	27.6	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.20	0.94
21	09-Feb-89	16:51	57.04	10	27.4	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.82	1.12
21	09-Feb-89	16:51	57.04	20	27.4	3.2	31.6	100	3.20	3.40	0.99
21	09-Feb-89	16:51	57.04	1	27.5	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	4.04	1.18
22	09-Feb-89	16:53	57.08	1	27.2	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.70	1.08
23	09-Feb-89	16:55	57.11	1	26.9	3.3	31.6	100	3.30	3.46	1.01
18	09-Feb-89	16:59	57.18	1	27.2	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.91	1.14
19	09-Feb-89	16:59	57.18	1	27.1	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.79	1.11
17	09-Feb-89	17:01	57.21	1	27.3	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	4.13	1.21
44	09-Feb-89	17:07	57.31	1	26.0	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.58	1.05
16	09-Feb-89	17:11	57.38	1	26.5	4.6	31.6	100	4.60	4.77	1.39
15	09-Feb-89	17:13	57.41	1	26.8	4.5	31.6	100	4.50	4.70	1.37
14	09-Feb-89	17:14	57.43	1	27.0	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.20	1.23
12	09-Feb-89	17:15	57.44	1	27.1	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.21	1.23
13	09-Feb-89	17:16	57.46	1	27.2	4.1	31.6	100	4.10	4.33	1.27
11	09-Feb-89	17:17	57.48	1	29.5	0.9	31.6	100	0.90	1.01	0.29
2	09-Feb-89	17:20	57.53	1	30.7	0.8	31.6	100	0.80	0.93	0.27
2	09-Feb-89	17:20	57.53	1	27.5	0.8	31.6	100	0.80	0.85	0.25
2	09-Feb-89	17:20	57.53	20	27.8	1.8	31.6	100	1.80	1.93	0.56
2	09-Feb-89	17:20	57.53	25	27.7	1.3	31.6	100	1.30	1.39	0.41
5	09-Feb-89	17:25	57.61	1	29.5	0.9	31.6	100	0.90	1.01	0.29
5	09-Feb-89	17:25	57.61	20	28.8	2.5	31.6	100	2.50	2.75	0.80
1	09-Feb-89	17:30	57.69	1	31.3	0.9	31.6	100	0.90	1.06	0.31
48	09-Feb-89	17:32	57.73	5	30.9	0.9	31.6	100	0.90	1.05	0.31
48	09-Feb-89	17:32	57.73	1	30.9	0.9	31.6	100	0.90	1.05	0.31
44	09-Feb-89	18:16	58.46	2	25.8	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.56	1.04
41	09-Feb-89	18:21	58.54	2	25.8	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.77	1.10
42	09-Feb-89	18:23	58.58	2	26.0	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.58	1.05
43	09-Feb-89	18:25	58.61	2	25.7	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.56	1.04
40	09-Feb-89	18:28	58.66	2	25.7	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.56	1.04
39	09-Feb-89	18:29	58.68	30	26.1	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.11	1.20
39	09-Feb-89	18:29	58.68	20	26.0	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.09	1.20
39	09-Feb-89	18:29	58.68	1	25.8	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.87	1.13
39	09-Feb-89	18:29	58.68	10	25.8	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.87	1.13
38	09-Feb-89	18:32	58.73	2	25.7	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.56	1.04
36	09-Feb-89	18:35	58.78	2	25.7	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.56	1.04
37	09-Feb-89	18:36	58.79	2	25.7	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.56	1.04
35	09-Feb-89	18:39	58.84	2	25.7	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.56	1.04

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 27 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F'	Fo	C (ppb)
33	09-Feb-89	18:41	58.88	2	26.3	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.61	1.06
34	09-Feb-89	18:42	58.89	2	26.1	5.4	31.6	100	5.40	5.54	1.62
32	09-Feb-89	18:43	58.91	2	26.4	2.9	31.6	100	2.90	3.00	0.88
29	09-Feb-89	18:45	58.94	2	26.4	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	4.03	1.18
28	09-Feb-89	18:50	59.03	2	26.2	3.2	31.6	100	3.20	3.29	0.96
27	09-Feb-89	18:51	59.04	2	26.5	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.63	1.06
26	09-Feb-89	18:52	59.06	2	26.8	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.66	1.07
24	09-Feb-89	18:54	59.09	2	26.7	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	4.07	1.19
25	09-Feb-89	18:54	59.09	2	26.9	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.88	1.13
20	09-Feb-89	18:56	59.13	2	27.1	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	4.00	1.17
21	09-Feb-89	18:58	59.16	20	27.4	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.61	1.05
21	09-Feb-89	18:58	59.16	30	27.3	3.3	31.6	100	3.30	3.49	1.02
21	09-Feb-89	18:58	59.16	1	26.4	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.93	1.15
21	09-Feb-89	18:58	59.16	10	27.0	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.57	1.04
22	09-Feb-89	19:00	59.19	2	26.7	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.54	1.04
23	09-Feb-89	19:01	59.21	2	26.3	3.1	31.6	100	3.10	3.20	0.93
19	09-Feb-89	19:03	59.24	2	27.0	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.78	1.11
18	09-Feb-89	19:04	59.26	2	27.0	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.99	1.17
17	09-Feb-89	19:05	59.28	2	26.9	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.88	1.13
14	09-Feb-89	19:06	59.29	2	26.7	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.17	1.22
15	09-Feb-89	19:07	59.31	2	26.9	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	4.09	1.19
16	09-Feb-89	19:08	59.33	2	27.1	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.90	1.14
12	09-Feb-89	19:10	59.36	2	26.8	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.97	1.16
13	09-Feb-89	19:11	59.38	2	27.6	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.20	0.94
2	09-Feb-89	19:12	59.39	10	30.6	0.8	31.6	100	0.80	0.92	0.27
2	09-Feb-89	19:12	59.39	20	28.2	0.9	31.6	100	0.90	0.98	0.29
2	09-Feb-89	19:12	59.39	30	27.8	1.0	31.6	100	1.00	1.07	0.31
2	09-Feb-89	19:12	59.39	1	30.6	0.9	31.6	100	0.90	1.04	0.30
11	09-Feb-89	19:12	59.39	2	30.0	0.8	31.6	100	0.80	0.91	0.27
1	09-Feb-89	19:16	59.46	2	31.4	0.5	31.6	100	0.50	0.59	0.17
44	09-Feb-89	20:20	60.53	2	25.8	2.8	31.6	100	2.80	2.85	0.83
41	09-Feb-89	20:22	60.56	2	25.5	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.44	1.00
42	09-Feb-89	20:23	60.58	2	25.6	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.65	1.07
43	09-Feb-89	20:25	60.61	2	25.5	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.74	1.09
40	09-Feb-89	20:26	60.63	2	25.4	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.83	1.12
34	09-Feb-89	20:28	60.66	10	25.4	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.03	1.18
34	09-Feb-89	20:28	60.66	30	25.8	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.87	1.13
34	09-Feb-89	20:28	60.66	20	25.7	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.06	1.19
34	09-Feb-89	20:28	60.66	1	25.2	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.01	1.17
38	09-Feb-89	20:30	60.69	2	25.4	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.03	1.18
36	09-Feb-89	20:35	60.78	2	25.5	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.84	1.12
37	09-Feb-89	20:36	60.79	2	25.5	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.84	1.12
35	09-Feb-89	20:37	60.81	2	25.5	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	3.94	1.15
33	09-Feb-89	20:38	60.83	2	26.1	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.90	1.14
34	09-Feb-89	20:40	60.86	2	25.6	5.3	31.6	100	5.30	5.37	1.57
32	09-Feb-89	20:43	60.91	2	26.0	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.58	1.05
29	09-Feb-89	20:45	60.94	2	25.9	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.57	1.04
30	09-Feb-89	20:46	60.96	2	25.6	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.05	1.18
26	09-Feb-89	20:50	61.03	2	26.6	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.74	1.09
27	09-Feb-89	20:50	61.03	2	26.1	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.80	1.11
28	09-Feb-89	20:50	61.03	2	25.2	2.9	31.6	100	2.90	2.91	0.85
25	09-Feb-89	20:55	61.11	2	26.7	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.86	1.13
24	09-Feb-89	20:56	61.13	2	26.8	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.76	1.10
20	09-Feb-89	20:58	61.16	2	26.5	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.63	1.06
21	09-Feb-89	20:59	61.18	30	27.2	3.2	31.6	100	3.20	3.38	0.99
21	09-Feb-89	20:59	61.18	10	26.9	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.56	1.04
21	09-Feb-89	20:59	61.18	20	26.6	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.74	1.09

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 28 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F'	Fo	C (ppb)
21	09-Feb-89	20:59	61.18	1	27.0	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.15	0.92
22	09-Feb-89	21:01	61.21	2	27.2	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.17	0.93
23	09-Feb-89	21:02	61.23	2	27.7	2.5	31.6	100	2.50	2.67	0.78
19	09-Feb-89	21:05	61.28	2	27.7	2.9	31.6	100	2.90	3.10	0.91
18	09-Feb-89	21:06	61.29	2	27.6	2.7	31.6	100	2.70	2.88	0.84
17	09-Feb-89	21:08	61.33	2	27.1	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.79	1.11
14	09-Feb-89	21:10	61.36	2	26.6	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.95	1.15
15	09-Feb-89	21:11	61.38	2	27.0	3.2	31.6	100	3.20	3.36	0.98
16	09-Feb-89	21:11	61.38	2	28.2	2.3	31.6	100	2.30	2.49	0.73
12	09-Feb-89	21:14	61.43	2	26.7	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.86	1.13
13	09-Feb-89	21:14	61.43	2	27.4	3.1	31.6	100	3.10	3.29	0.96
10	09-Feb-89	21:15	61.44	2	26.6	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	4.06	1.19
2	09-Feb-89	21:16	61.46	2	29.7	0.7	31.6	100	0.70	0.79	0.23
11	09-Feb-89	21:16	61.46	2	29.5	0.7	31.6	100	0.70	0.78	0.23
1	09-Feb-89	21:17	61.48	2	27.5	0.5	31.6	100	0.50	0.53	0.16
44	09-Feb-89	21:50	62.03	2	25.0	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	3.89	1.14
41	09-Feb-89	21:55	62.11	2	25.4	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.83	1.12
42	09-Feb-89	21:56	62.13	2	25.4	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	3.93	1.15
43	09-Feb-89	21:58	62.16	2	25.3	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.82	1.12
40	09-Feb-89	22:00	62.19	2	25.0	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.79	1.11
38	09-Feb-89	22:02	62.23	2	25.4	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.83	1.12
39	09-Feb-89	22:02	62.23	2	25.3	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.82	1.12
36	09-Feb-89	22:05	62.28	2	25.2	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.81	1.11
37	09-Feb-89	22:05	62.28	2	25.3	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.72	1.09
35	09-Feb-89	22:07	62.31	2	25.2	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.81	1.11
33	09-Feb-89	22:09	62.34	2	26.0	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	3.99	1.17
34	09-Feb-89	22:10	62.36	2	25.3	5.1	31.6	100	5.10	5.13	1.50
32	09-Feb-89	22:11	62.38	2	26.0	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.48	1.02
29	09-Feb-89	22:14	62.43	2	25.8	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.46	1.01
30	09-Feb-89	22:15	62.44	2	25.3	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	3.92	1.15
28	09-Feb-89	22:23	62.58	2	26.1	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.59	1.05
27	09-Feb-89	22:30	62.69	2	26.3	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.61	1.06
25	09-Feb-89	22:31	62.71	2	26.5	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.63	1.06
26	09-Feb-89	22:31	62.71	2	26.5	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.73	1.09
24	09-Feb-89	22:32	62.73	2	26.5	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.63	1.06
20	09-Feb-89	22:35	62.78	2	27.2	2.9	31.6	100	2.90	3.06	0.90
21	09-Feb-89	22:36	62.79	2	27.5	2.5	31.6	100	2.50	2.66	0.78
22	09-Feb-89	22:38	62.83	2	27.8	2.5	31.6	100	2.50	2.68	0.78
23	09-Feb-89	22:40	62.86	2	27.8	2.2	31.6	100	2.20	2.36	0.69
19	09-Feb-89	22:41	62.88	2	27.5	2.6	31.6	100	2.60	2.77	0.81
18	09-Feb-89	22:42	62.89	2	27.8	2.6	31.6	100	2.60	2.79	0.82
17	09-Feb-89	22:43	62.91	2	27.4	2.9	31.6	100	2.90	3.08	0.90
14	09-Feb-89	22:44	62.93	2	27.0	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.68	1.07
15	09-Feb-89	22:45	62.94	2	26.7	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.54	1.04
16	09-Feb-89	22:45	62.94	2	26.8	3.1	31.6	100	3.10	3.24	0.95
10	09-Feb-89	22:47	62.98	2	26.2	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	4.01	1.17
12	09-Feb-89	22:47	62.98	2	26.5	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.94	1.15
13	09-Feb-89	22:49	63.01	2	26.7	3.3	31.6	100	3.30	3.44	1.01
11	09-Feb-89	22:50	63.03	2	29.0	0.7	31.6	100	0.70	0.77	0.23
2	09-Feb-89	22:51	63.04	2	29.3	0.9	31.6	100	0.90	1.00	0.29
1	09-Feb-89	22:53	63.08	2	25.5	0.4	31.6	100	0.40	0.40	0.12
44	09-Feb-89	23:10	63.36	2	24.7	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	3.86	1.13
41	09-Feb-89	23:12	63.39	2	25.2	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.81	1.11
42	09-Feb-89	23:14	63.43	2	25.3	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.82	1.12
43	09-Feb-89	23:15	63.44	2	25.3	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.72	1.09
40	09-Feb-89	23:17	63.48	2	25.2	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.71	1.08
39	09-Feb-89	23:18	63.49	2	25.3	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.82	1.12

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 29 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F'	Fo	C (ppb)
38	09-Feb-89	23:19	63.51	2	25.4	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.73	1.09
36	09-Feb-89	23:21	63.54	2	25.2	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.81	1.11
37	09-Feb-89	23:22	63.56	2	25.2	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.81	1.11
35	09-Feb-89	23:24	63.59	2	25.2	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.71	1.08
33	09-Feb-89	23:26	63.63	2	26.1	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.49	1.02
34	09-Feb-89	23:27	63.64	2	25.1	5.1	31.6	100	5.10	5.10	1.49
32	09-Feb-89	23:28	63.66	2	26.4	3.1	31.6	100	3.10	3.21	0.94
29	09-Feb-89	23:31	63.71	2	25.6	3.3	31.6	100	3.30	3.34	0.98
28	09-Feb-89	23:34	63.76	2	25.6	3.2	31.6	100	3.20	3.24	0.95
27	09-Feb-89	23:36	63.79	2	26.1	3.3	31.6	100	3.30	3.39	0.99
26	09-Feb-89	23:37	63.81	2	26.2	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.50	1.02
25	09-Feb-89	23:38	63.83	2	26.4	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.52	1.03
24	09-Feb-89	23:39	63.84	2	26.3	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.61	1.06
20	09-Feb-89	23:41	63.88	2	27.0	2.9	31.6	100	2.90	3.05	0.89
21	09-Feb-89	23:42	63.89	2	27.2	2.7	31.6	100	2.70	2.85	0.83
22	09-Feb-89	23:43	63.91	2	27.4	2.6	31.6	100	2.60	2.76	0.81
23	09-Feb-89	23:44	63.93	2	27.6	2.4	31.6	100	2.40	2.56	0.75
19	09-Feb-89	23:46	63.96	2	27.1	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.16	0.92
18	09-Feb-89	23:47	63.98	2	27.4	2.6	31.6	100	2.60	2.76	0.81
17	09-Feb-89	23:48	63.99	2	27.3	2.8	31.6	100	2.80	2.96	0.87
16	09-Feb-89	23:50	64.03	2	27.1	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.16	0.92
15	09-Feb-89	23:51	64.04	2	27.0	3.1	31.6	100	3.10	3.26	0.95
14	09-Feb-89	23:52	64.06	2	27.2	2.8	31.6	100	2.80	2.96	0.86
12	09-Feb-89	23:53	64.08	2	26.8	3.1	31.6	100	3.10	3.24	0.95
13	09-Feb-89	23:54	64.09	2	27.0	3.1	31.6	100	3.10	3.26	0.95
11	09-Feb-89	23:55	64.11	2	28.4	0.5	31.6	100	0.50	0.54	0.16
2	09-Feb-89	23:56	64.13	2	29.0	0.6	31.6	100	0.60	0.66	0.19
1	10-Feb-89	00:36	64.79	2	24.4	0.4	31.6	100	0.40	0.39	0.11
2	10-Feb-89	00:38	64.83	2	28.6	0.8	31.6	100	0.80	0.88	0.26
11	10-Feb-89	00:40	64.86	2	28.6	0.6	31.6	100	0.60	0.66	0.19
12	10-Feb-89	00:41	64.88	2	26.4	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.52	1.03
13	10-Feb-89	00:41	64.88	2	27.1	2.8	31.6	100	2.80	2.95	0.86
10	10-Feb-89	00:42	64.89	2	26.2	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.81	1.11
14	10-Feb-89	00:43	64.91	2	26.9	2.7	31.6	100	2.70	2.83	0.83
15	10-Feb-89	00:45	64.94	2	27.2	2.8	31.6	100	2.80	2.96	0.86
16	10-Feb-89	00:46	64.96	2	27.1	2.7	31.6	100	2.70	2.84	0.83
19	10-Feb-89	00:48	64.99	2	27.2	2.6	31.6	100	2.60	2.75	0.80
18	10-Feb-89	00:49	65.01	2	27.8	2.7	31.6	100	2.70	2.90	0.85
17	10-Feb-89	00:50	65.03	2	27.4	2.8	31.6	100	2.80	2.97	0.87
20	10-Feb-89	00:52	65.06	2	27.0	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.15	0.92
21	10-Feb-89	00:53	65.08	2	27.3	2.7	31.6	100	2.70	2.86	0.84
0	10-Feb-89	00:54	65.09	2	27.5	2.7	31.6	100	2.70	2.87	0.84
23	10-Feb-89	00:55	65.11	2	27.5	2.5	31.6	100	2.50	2.66	0.78
24	10-Feb-89	00:57	65.14	2	26.5	3.3	31.6	100	3.30	3.42	1.00
25	10-Feb-89	00:59	65.18	2	26.4	3.3	31.6	100	3.30	3.41	1.00
26	10-Feb-89	01:00	65.19	2	26.4	3.2	31.6	100	3.20	3.31	0.97
27	10-Feb-89	01:01	65.21	2	26.4	3.2	31.6	100	3.20	3.31	0.97
28	10-Feb-89	01:02	65.23	2	26.4	3.1	31.6	100	3.10	3.21	0.94
29	10-Feb-89	01:04	65.26	2	25.2	3.1	31.6	100	3.10	3.11	0.91
36	10-Feb-89	01:07	65.31	2	25.3	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.52	1.03
32	10-Feb-89	01:10	65.36	2	26.6	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.12	0.91
33	10-Feb-89	01:10	65.36	2	26.6	3.3	31.6	100	3.30	3.43	1.00
34	10-Feb-89	01:12	65.39	2	25.4	5.1	31.6	100	5.10	5.14	1.50
35	10-Feb-89	01:14	65.43	2	25.1	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.80	1.11
36	10-Feb-89	01:15	65.44	2	25.1	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.80	1.11
37	10-Feb-89	01:16	65.46	2	25.1	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	3.90	1.14
40	10-Feb-89	01:19	65.51	2	25.0	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.59	1.05

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 30 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F'	Fo	C (ppb)
39	10-Feb-89	01:20	65.53	2	25.3	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.02	1.18
38	10-Feb-89	01:21	65.54	2	25.4	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.83	1.12
43	10-Feb-89	01:24	65.59	2	25.1	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.60	1.05
42	10-Feb-89	01:25	65.61	2	25.3	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.82	1.12
41	10-Feb-89	01:26	65.63	2	25.3	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.62	1.06
44	10-Feb-89	01:27	65.64	2	25.4	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.73	1.09
39	10-Feb-89	01:36	65.79	2	25.1	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.80	1.11
36	10-Feb-89	01:38	65.83	2	25.3	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.72	1.09
35	10-Feb-89	01:39	65.84	2	25.6	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.75	1.10
32	10-Feb-89	01:40	65.86	2	26.8	3.1	31.6	100	3.10	3.24	0.95
33	10-Feb-89	01:40	65.86	2	26.7	3.3	31.6	100	3.30	3.44	1.01
23	10-Feb-89	01:42	65.89	2	27.1	3.0	31.6	100	3.00	3.16	0.92
22	10-Feb-89	01:43	65.91	2	27.8	2.8	31.6	100	2.80	3.00	0.88
21	10-Feb-89	01:44	65.93	2	28.3	2.7	31.6	100	2.70	2.93	0.86
20	10-Feb-89	01:45	65.94	2	28.1	2.9	31.6	100	2.90	3.14	0.92
17	10-Feb-89	01:46	65.96	2	28.3	2.7	31.6	100	2.70	2.93	0.86
14	10-Feb-89	01:47	65.98	2	27.9	2.9	31.6	100	2.90	3.12	0.91
12	10-Feb-89	01:48	65.99	2	28.1	2.9	31.6	100	2.90	3.14	0.92
13	10-Feb-89	01:48	65.99	2	27.6	2.7	31.6	100	2.70	2.88	0.84
11	10-Feb-89	01:50	66.03	2	27.8	0.6	31.6	100	0.60	0.64	0.19
4	10-Feb-89	01:51	66.04	2	29.1	0.7	31.6	100	0.70	0.78	0.23
6	10-Feb-89	01:53	66.08	2	29.5	0.9	31.6	100	0.90	1.01	0.29
2	10-Feb-89	01:57	66.14	2	28.6	0.7	31.6	100	0.70	0.77	0.22
1	10-Feb-89	01:59	66.18	2	25.8	0.9	31.6	100	0.90	0.92	0.27
11	10-Feb-89	02:09	66.34	2	27.6	0.7	31.6	100	0.70	0.75	0.22
9	10-Feb-89	02:11	66.38	2	26.2	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.60	1.05
8	10-Feb-89	02:12	66.39	2	26.1	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.69	1.08
12	10-Feb-89	02:15	66.44	2	26.1	2.9	31.6	100	2.90	2.98	0.87
13	10-Feb-89	02:16	66.46	2	27.3	2.5	31.6	100	2.50	2.65	0.77
14	10-Feb-89	02:17	66.48	2	27.4	2.9	31.6	100	2.90	3.08	0.90
17	10-Feb-89	02:18	66.49	2	27.7	2.7	31.6	100	2.70	2.89	0.84
20	10-Feb-89	02:19	66.51	2	27.7	2.9	31.6	100	2.90	3.10	0.91
21	10-Feb-89	02:20	66.53	2	27.7	2.9	31.6	100	2.90	3.10	0.91
22	10-Feb-89	02:21	66.54	2	27.8	2.6	31.6	100	2.60	2.79	0.82
23	10-Feb-89	02:22	66.56	2	27.6	2.6	31.6	100	2.60	2.77	0.81
28	10-Feb-89	02:23	66.58	2	26.5	3.1	31.6	100	3.10	3.21	0.94
27	10-Feb-89	02:26	66.63	2	26.7	3.1	31.6	100	3.10	3.23	0.94
29	10-Feb-89	02:28	66.66	2	26.2	3.1	31.6	100	3.10	3.19	0.93
32	10-Feb-89	02:31	66.71	2	26.5	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.63	1.06
33	10-Feb-89	02:32	66.73	2	26.8	3.3	31.6	100	3.30	3.45	1.01
34	10-Feb-89	02:33	66.74	2	25.7	4.9	31.6	100	4.90	4.98	1.45
35	10-Feb-89	02:35	66.78	2	25.6	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	3.95	1.15
36	10-Feb-89	02:36	66.79	2	25.9	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.78	1.10
37	10-Feb-89	02:37	66.81	2	25.9	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.88	1.13
40	10-Feb-89	02:39	66.84	2	26.0	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.79	1.11
39	10-Feb-89	02:41	66.88	2	26.8	3.6	31.6	100	3.60	3.76	1.10
38	10-Feb-89	02:42	66.89	2	26.3	4.1	31.6	100	4.10	4.23	1.24
43	10-Feb-89	02:44	66.93	2	26.0	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	3.99	1.17
42	10-Feb-89	02:45	66.94	2	26.3	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.92	1.15
41	10-Feb-89	02:46	66.96	2	26.3	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.82	1.12
44	10-Feb-89	02:49	67.01	2	26.3	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.82	1.12
37	10-Feb-89	02:52	67.06	1	25.4	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.83	1.12
37	10-Feb-89	02:52	67.06	10	25.8	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.87	1.13
37	10-Feb-89	02:52	67.06	20	25.9	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	3.98	1.16
37	10-Feb-89	02:52	67.06	30	26.1	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.90	1.14
44	10-Feb-89	03:00	67.19	2	25.8	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.77	1.10
44	10-Feb-89	03:10	67.36	2	25.7	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.86	1.13

Table 10.5.2-2. Lauderdale Plant Cooling Canal/Pond System Dye Study--Calibrated Data (Page 31 of 31)

Sta No.	Date	Time (real)	Time (elapsed)	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Fluorescence					
						F	Scale	Mult	F'	Fo	C (ppb)
44	10-Feb-89	03:22	67.56	2	25.8	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.87	1.13
44	10-Feb-89	03:32	67.73	2	26.1	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.90	1.14
41	10-Feb-89	03:35	67.78	2	25.9	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.78	1.10
38	10-Feb-89	03:37	67.81	2	26.5	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.84	1.12
36	10-Feb-89	03:39	67.84	2	26.1	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.90	1.14
35	10-Feb-89	03:40	67.86	2	26.3	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	4.02	1.18
33	10-Feb-89	03:41	67.88	2	27.1	3.4	31.6	100	3.40	3.58	1.05
32	10-Feb-89	03:42	67.89	2	27.1	3.2	31.6	100	3.20	3.37	0.99
23	10-Feb-89	03:43	67.91	2	27.8	2.9	31.6	100	2.90	3.11	0.91
22	10-Feb-89	03:44	67.93	2	27.9	2.9	31.6	100	2.90	3.12	0.91
21	10-Feb-89	03:45	67.94	2	27.9	2.9	31.6	100	2.90	3.12	0.91
20	10-Feb-89	03:46	67.96	2	27.8	3.1	31.6	100	3.10	3.33	0.97
19	10-Feb-89	03:48	67.99	2	28.1	2.9	31.6	100	2.90	3.14	0.92
14	10-Feb-89	03:53	68.08	2	27.2	2.9	31.6	100	2.90	3.06	0.90
12	10-Feb-89	03:54	68.09	2	27.5	2.8	31.6	100	2.80	2.98	0.87
13	10-Feb-89	03:55	68.11	2	27.6	2.7	31.6	100	2.70	2.88	0.84
11	10-Feb-89	03:56	68.13	2	27.1	1.2	31.6	100	1.20	1.26	0.37
2	10-Feb-89	03:57	68.14	2	27.5	1.2	31.6	100	1.20	1.28	0.37
1	10-Feb-89	03:59	68.18	2	25.5	1.5	31.6	100	1.50	1.52	0.44
1	10-Feb-89	04:42	68.89	2	24.2	0.4	31.6	100	0.40	0.39	0.11
2	10-Feb-89	04:45	68.94	2	24.2	1.7	31.6	100	1.70	1.66	0.49
1	10-Feb-89	05:59	70.18	2	30.0	2.1	31.6	100	2.10	2.39	0.70
2	10-Feb-89	06:00	70.19	2	24.9	2.1	31.6	100	2.10	2.09	0.61
11	10-Feb-89	06:01	70.21	2	25.2	2.0	31.6	100	2.00	2.01	0.59
12	10-Feb-89	06:03	70.24	2	25.9	3.8	31.6	100	3.80	3.88	1.13
13	10-Feb-89	06:04	70.26	2	26.0	3.7	31.6	100	3.70	3.79	1.11
14	10-Feb-89	06:06	70.29	2	26.0	3.5	31.6	100	3.50	3.58	1.05
17	10-Feb-89	06:07	70.31	2	25.8	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	3.97	1.16
20	10-Feb-89	06:09	70.34	2	25.7	3.9	31.6	100	3.90	3.96	1.16
22	10-Feb-89	06:11	70.38	2	25.6	4.2	31.6	100	4.20	4.25	1.24
21	10-Feb-89	06:13	70.41	2	26.3	4.1	31.6	100	4.10	4.23	1.24
23	10-Feb-89	06:14	70.43	2	25.6	4.0	31.6	100	4.00	4.05	1.18
32	10-Feb-89	06:17	70.48	2	25.5	4.3	31.6	100	4.30	4.34	1.27
33	10-Feb-89	06:18	70.49	2	25.3	4.6	31.6	100	4.60	4.62	1.35
35	10-Feb-89	06:19	70.51	2	24.5	4.8	31.6	100	4.80	4.73	1.38
36	10-Feb-89	06:21	70.54	2	24.5	4.9	31.6	100	4.90	4.82	1.41
38	10-Feb-89	06:23	70.58	2	24.2	4.9	31.6	100	4.90	4.79	1.40
41	10-Feb-89	06:25	70.61	2	24.8	4.8	31.6	100	4.80	4.76	1.39
44	10-Feb-89	06:27	70.64	2	24.4	4.7	31.6	100	4.70	4.62	1.35
1	10-Feb-89	06:38	70.83	2	25.2	2.0	31.6	100	2.00	2.01	0.59

first 15 hours of the study, and the decision was made to replace it with a backup. The nature of the meter malfunction made it impossible to calibrate the first instrument, so the data recorded in Table 10.5.2-1 have been left uncalibrated. The initial fluorescence data, although not calibrated, were valuable for travel time estimation.

Figure 10.5.2-2 qualitatively describes the general movement of the dye through the system. If it were not for the flood tide severely limiting and sometimes preventing discharge from the pond/canal system, a stream flow would exist throughout the entire system with a gradually widening mixing band.

DISCUSSION

A close comparison of the synoptic views with the tide data demonstrates the importance of the tidal fluctuation for mixing and utilization of the entire system. As was previously discussed, even though the existing canal/pond system has a fairly complex physical morphology, the dye and thermal effluent are primarily distributed on a riverine and superficial path through the system (Figure 10.5.2-2). During a retreating tide, the plant discharge moves through the system from Station 1 through the first and second cuts (Stations 11 and 32) and exits the outfall (Station 44). These cuts are critical because they allow the discharge to shortcut the vast majority of the system.

The tidal fluctuation is vital to usefulness of the rest of the system. During peak flood tide flow, when the system's discharge through the outlet is reduced, the effluent moves up the fingers and into the system canals.

The first synoptic view shows a higher concentration in the first finger (Stations 3 through 6) than the second (Stations 7 through 10). This differentiation is most likely the result of the first flood flow during the study (3.1 to 9.42 hours) which forced a great deal of the dye into the first finger and some (that which had already moved through the first cut) into the second. This was compounded by the closing of the northern




Figure 10.5.2-2 DISTRIBUTION OF TRACER DYE PLUME AT EBB TIDAL FLOW



Lauderdale
Repowering
Project

FPL

connection between the fingers by the dredging operation during the period of the study.

It was these secondary movements of the dye into the fingers, canals and edges of the ponds that account for the fluctuation in the concentration and the apparently long holding time of the system. When the tide was going out, some of the dye moved out of the fingers and the canal and closer to the outlet. The incoming tide then diluted the overall concentrations of dye in the system and greatly reduced discharge.

The actual travel time of the primary plume in the system is much shorter than the synoptic views indicate. The highest concentration of the dye plume reached the first cut (Station 11) at 1.83 hours and the outlet (Station 44) by 27.83 hours. Figures 10.5.2-3 through 10.5.2-5 graphically illustrate the travel of the dye plume through three key stations (Stations 18, 39, and 44). The highest concentrations reached the middle of the first pond by 18.39 hours and the second by 27.53 hours.

The surface drogue study confirmed these results. Five of the drogues were spotted approximately 18 hours after their release at Station 35 in Pond 2. Winds were either calm or light easterly (against the flow direction) during the drogue study; therefore, the true travel time to the second pond would be less than 18 hours.

The study was concluded February 10, 1989, at 6:38 a.m., 70.83 hours after the injection. Fluorescence levels at Station 1 began to rise after they had long been reduced to what was considered to be the background condition (<0.30 ppb). At 3:59 a.m., February 10, the concentration climbed to 0.44 ppb and then to 0.70 ppb at 5:59 a.m. This situation indicated a possible recirculation condition.

The receiving water body (South New River) is joined to the source water body (Dania Cut-Off Canal) just west of the plant property by the South New River Canal. Although this connection is made west of the intake and west of the outfall, the canal flows south toward the Dania Cut-Off Canal during

10.5.2-43




Figure 10.5.2-3 CHANGE IN CONCENTRATION OVER TIME AT STATION 18



**Lauderdale
Repowering
Project**

FPL

10.5.2-44




Figure 10.5.2-4 CHANGE IN CONCENTRATION OVER TIME AT STATION 39



Lauderdale
Repowering
Project

FPL




Figure 10.5.2-5 CHANGE IN CONCENTRATION OVER TIME AT STATION 44



**Lauderdale
Repowering
Project**

FPL

the flood tide. Since there doesn't seem to be any other leaks in the canal/pond system (one inflow and one outfall), the South New River Canal is the most likely source of recirculation.

The potential retention capacity and residence time of the cooling pond can be calculated as follows:

$$t = \frac{V}{Q \times 24 \times 3600 + P \times 2}$$

where: t = Residence time (days)

V = Pond volume

$$2.8 \times 10^8 \text{ ft}^3$$

Q = Discharge rate

$$= 517 \text{ cfs}$$

P = Tidal prism

$$= A \cdot H$$

A = Pond surface area

$$= 184 \text{ acres}$$

$$= 8.0 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

H = Average tide range

$$= 0.87 \text{ ft}$$

The computed potential travel time was 4.6 days; however, the dye study data indicated that the actual travel time was approximately 30 hours, much less than the maximum capacity. Therefore, the existing cooling pond did not reach its potential efficiency. The cause of the reduced efficiency may be:

1. The pond configuration was not streamlined. Flow separation from the shoreline boundary would create pockets of relatively stagnant areas and cause a short circuit of the system.
2. There were deadend finger canals which did not allow direct flow through.
3. The bottom topography was irregular. Deep holes would reduce the usable volume of the cooling pond.

4. The connecting cuts between the cooling pond were shallow and narrow. The shallow cut would force the thermal discharge to be spread at the surface, therefore reducing the residence time.

10.5.2.2 RECEIV-II Setup and Calibration

METHODOLOGY

The water quality model RECEIV-II was used to simulate the hydraulic and water quality in the Lauderdale cooling pond and the adjacent receiving waters. RECEIV-II was originated from the Stormwater Management Model (SWMM-II) developed by Huber and Heaney (1975) and sponsored by EPA.

RECEIV-II is a dynamic, link-node hydrodynamic and water quality model. The governing equation and numerical scheme is one-dimensional in a strict sense; however, the model nodes can be linked to construct a two-dimensional vertically integrated physical system. The RECEIV-II model consisted of two major components: quantity and quality blocks. The quantity block simulates the hydrodynamics in tidal estuaries, and the quality block simulates the transport, mixing, physical, chemical, and biological processes in the water column.

An important feature of the model is that it can simulate dynamic tidal effects with multiple ocean boundary conditions. These dynamic properties of the RECEIV-II model enable adequate simulations at the project site.

The RECEIV-II model was modified to include heat exchange and thermal mixing in order to simulate the plant thermal discharge into the cooling pond and the receiving waters. The model was also modified to simulate flow augmentation and recirculation.

The heat dissipation was represented by the following formula:

$$T_t - T_o = (T_t + \Delta t - T_o) e^{-Rt}$$

where: t = time,

Δt = numerical time step,

T_t = water temperature at time t ,

T_o = equilibrium temperature,

$R = k/(\rho C_p d)$,
k = heat exchange coefficient,
 ρ = water density,
 C_p = heat capacity of water, and
d = water depth.

The input requirements for the RECEIV-II model are:

1. Channel depth, width, and length;
2. Manning's coefficients for each segment;
3. Surface storage area of nodes;
4. Discharge into nodes;
5. Equilibrium temperature;
6. Quantity and water quality of point sources;
7. Exchange coefficients at tidal boundaries; and
8. Water quality at ocean boundaries.

The model output includes:

1. Velocity within each segment,
2. Water surface elevation at each node, and
3. Water temperature at each node,
4. Salinity, and
5. Conservative substances.

MODEL SEGMENTATION

The FPL model consisted of 91 nodes and 100 channels including South Fork New River, North Fork New River, South New River Canal, Dania Cut-Off Canal, the Intracoastal Waterway, Port Everglade, and the FPL cooling pond. Three ocean boundaries were used in the model: Port Everglade entrance, Hillsborough Inlet, and Bakers Haulover Inlet. The model segmentation in the cooling pond and the adjacent receiving waters is shown in Figure 5.1-1.

To maintain numerical stability, the hydraulic time step of 12 seconds was used, and the water quality time step was 0.1 hour.

MODEL CALIBRATION

The FPL thermal model was calibrated using tide, current, salinity, and temperature data collected May 1 through May 3, 1989.

The monitoring program included:

1. Thirty-seven water quality stations for salinity and temperature,
2. Six stations for current measurements,
3. Three continuous water-level recorders,
4. Seven thermograph arrays for continuous temperature monitoring,
and
5. Bathymetric surveys to determine the channel width and depth.

The complete data presentation of the model calibration data was shown in Appendix 10.5.2.5.

HYDRODYNAMIC CALIBRATION

The hydrodynamic component of the RECEIV-II model was initially calibrated using the tide and current data of May 1, 1989. The hydrodynamic model was further fine-tuned using the salinity data.

The calibrated model projected a tidal range of 1.55 ft in the cooling pond, while the recorded tide range was 1.54 ft. The model also projected a tide range of 0.95 ft at the plant intake which was identical with the recorded tide gauge data.

The model calibration showed that the South New River Canal had a net flow of 248 cfs toward the intake, and the current data indicated a net flow of about 210 cfs.

After the hydrodynamic model was complete, the temperature data were used to calibrate the thermal component of the model.

SALINITY CALIBRATION

The salinity calibration are shown in Figure 10.5.2-6 which indicated that the salinity prediction was within the range of calibration data. The data




Figure 10.5.2-6 MODEL CALIBRATION FOR SALINITY SIMULATION



Lauderdale
Repowering
Project

FPL

points in the figure represent the vertical average salinity at a particular time and location.

TEMPERATURE CALIBRATION

The temperature calibration results are shown in Figure 10.5.2-7. The diurnal temperature data indicated a daily temperature fluctuation of about 1.5°F, probably caused by the diurnal change of the atmosphere temperature. Therefore, it was attempted to match the model predictions with the upper envelope of the data range for the purpose of being conservative.

The model calibration indicated that the effective depth of the cooling pond was about 13.5 ft, using a heat exchange coefficient of 197 Btu/ft²/day/°F for the month of May.

MODEL SCENARIOS

Five scenarios were simulated for various seasonal and plant operation conditions (existing, repowered, and steam dump conditions). Winter and summer simulations were conducted for the existing and repowered conditions. In addition, a steam dump condition was simulated. The steam dump condition represents a short-term operation scenario described as follows:

1. Unit No. 1 has a constant discharge of 136,000 gpm with a 13.2°F temperature increase.
2. Unit No. 2 has variable discharge. It will discharge 116,000 gpm for 15 hours with a 23.0°F temperature increase; for the remaining 9 hours during the day, the unit will discharge 58,000 gpm without a temperature increase.
3. The duration of the steam dump operation will be 8 consecutive days.

The plant discharge has a constant temperature increase of 13.2°F for the existing and the repowered conditions.

10.5.2-52




Figure 10.5.2-7 MODEL CALIBRATION FOR TEMPERATURE SIMULATION



Lauderdale
Repowering
Project

FPL

The heat exchange coefficients for various months are shown in Table 5.1-8 of the SCA. For the purpose of being conservative, the model used 90 percent of the literature values for heat exchange coefficients.

Table 5.1-2 of the SCA shows the environmental parameters for each scenario. An average tide range of 2.6 ft was used at ocean tidal boundaries, and the USGS long-term average flow data for August and January were used as upstream freshwater inflow for summer and winter conditions, respectively.

According to USGS flow data from 1957 through 1985, the following monthly average flows were used as the freshwater inflow for summer and winter simulations:

	Summer Condition (cfs)	Winter Condition (cfs)
Sewell Locks at South Fork New River	498	282
South New River Canal	199	171

10.5.2.3 Model Calibration Data

A temperature, salinity, and current study was conducted in surrounding water bodies to establish the baseline conditions of the present cooling system and to provide data for the calibration of a numerical model. Additionally, a bathymetric survey was conducted in portions of the New River where current measurements were taken to furnish additional data for these purposes. The synoptic study station locations are shown in Figure 10.5.2-8.

Temperature and specific conductivity profiles were taken May 1-3, 1989 amid clear and sunny conditions. Current measurements were taken May 2, 1989, and the bathymetric survey was conducted June 22, 1989. Ambient air temperature ranged from 23 to 31°C during the May 1-3 study.




Figure 10.5.2-8 SYNOPTIC STUDY STATION LOCATIONS



Lauderdale
Repowering
Project

FPL

The Lauderdale Plant remained on-line for the duration of the study. The plant was operating with only one of its two units. Under this condition, the plant released a constant discharge of 116,000 gpm to the heat dissipation system.

METHODOLOGY

Due to the size of the study area, two crews of two persons each were employed to insure that a sufficient quantity of data was collected during the 3-day effort (May 1-3, 1989). Temperature and specific conductivity profiles were conducted in both the sources (SWB) and receiving water bodies (RWB) to the existing thermal dissipation system. Velocity profiles were conducted the second day by a single crew encompassing both the peak ebb and peak flood flow periods.

Temperature and specific conductivity were measured with two Hydrolab[®] Series 4000 instruments. Conductivity was calibrated daily to laboratory standards and checked at day's end before shutdown. Since the temperature sensor on these units is set at the factory, the calibration was established by checking both of the instruments against a single NBS thermometer over a range of temperatures. Velocity profiles were measured by a cable-suspended Teledyne Gurley[®] Model 622 Price Type AA current meter with a 15-pound lead weight. The bathymetric study employed a combination of a Raytheon[®] portable fathometer and manual sounding.

For the majority of measurements of the three parameters [temperature (°C), specific conductivity (umho/cm), and velocity (fps)] readings spanning the depth of the water body were taken at the surface, mid-depth, and near the bottom. The salinity values reported in this document were numerically converted by the conductivity and temperature data.

The monitoring effort encompassed the series of canals and rivers contiguous to the FPL cooling canal/pond system. These include the Dania Cut-Off Canal to the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway (AIWW), the South New River Canal, the South Fork New River, the New River east to the AIWW, and the North New River Canal.

Temperature and conductivity measurements were taken at seven locations along the Dania Cut-Off Canal from the Intracoastal Waterway to its head at the South New River Canal. There are also seven stations associated with the South New River Canal from the control structure at US 441 to where it combines with the New River. Temperature and conductivity were also measured at 20 locations along the New River from its confluence with the North New River Canal in the west to the Intracoastal Waterway in the east. Four stations were established along the reach of the North New River Canal from the Sewell's Locks in the west to the South Fork New River.

Velocity profiles were measured at six stations in a limited area around the outfall from the FPL cooling canal/pond system. The bathymetric survey incorporated seven locations in the vicinity of the discharge to the New River.

Ryan Instruments® Model J thermographs were continuously collecting data at seven locations in the cooling canal/pond system including one station at the intake and one just beyond the discharge point in the South Fork New River. These data are reported in Appendix 10.5.2.2.

Three Stevens Instruments® continuous water-level recorders were installed in the project area to monitor tidal effects. Two of these are located in the pond/canal cooling system and one outside (see Section 2.3.4 for these locations and Appendix 10.5.2.1 for the results of this monitoring).

TEMPERATURE AND SALINITY RESULTS

The data listing for the May 1-3, 1989, effort is divided into two tables. Table 10.5.2-3 presents the temperature and salinity along with the corresponding date, time, measurement depth, and ambient air temperature for the stations located in the New River system (South Fork New River and the North and South New River Canals). Table 10.5.2-4 presents the temperature and salinity data from the Dania Cut-Off Canal system. Tables 10.5.2-5 and 10.5.2-6 list the distances of the sampling stations from the discharge station and the intake station, respectively.

Table 10.5.2-3. Temperature and Salinity Study, South Fork New River and South New River Canal, May 1-3, 1989
(Page 1 of 17)

Station	Date	Time	Depth (ft)	Temp (oC)	Salinity (ppt)	Air Temp (oC)
1	01-May-89	10:19	1	28.5	9.3	26.8
			6	28.2	9.4	26.8
			12	28.2	9.7	26.8
4	01-May-89	10:30	1	28.1	7.6	
			6	28.2	8.8	
			13	28.3	8.9	
3	01-May-89	10:34	1	28.1	8.3	
			6	28.2	8.6	
			13	28.2	8.6	
2	01-May-89	10:38	1	28.1	8.1	27.4
			7	28.0	9.3	27.4
			15	28.0	9.6	27.4
5	01-May-89	10:44	1	28.2	8.8	
			7	28.0	9.3	
			14	28.0	9.7	
6	01-May-89	10:49	1	28.9	9.7	
			5	28.9	9.7	
			9	28.9	9.7	
6b	01-May-89	10:54	1	28.2		
6a	01-May-89	10:54	1	28.2		
7	01-May-89	10:56	1	28.5	9.2	
			4	28.5	9.7	
			8	28.4	9.7	
8	01-May-89	11:00	1	28.5	9.5	
			4	28.5	9.6	
			8	28.4	9.6	
9	01-May-89	11:04	1	28.5	9.5	
			4	28.5	9.6	
			8	28.4	9.6	
10	01-May-89	11:09	1	28.4	9.7	
			5	28.3	9.7	
			9	28.3	9.7	
11	01-May-89	11:14	1	28.2	10.1	
			7	28.2	10.4	
			14	28.1	11.5	
12	01-May-89	11:18	1	28.2	10.2	
			7	28.1	10.4	
			15	28.1	10.6	
13	01-May-89	11:28	1	28.2	10.5	
			3	28.1	10.5	
			6	28.2	10.5	
14	01-May-89	11:35	1	28.1	10.7	
			9	28.1	10.8	
			18	28.1	10.8	

Table 10.5.2-3. Temperature and Salinity Study, South Fork New River and South New River Canal, May 1-3, 1989
(Page 2 of 17)

Station	Date	Time	Depth (ft)	Temp (oC)	Salinity (ppt)	Air Temp (oC)
1	01-May-89	13:33	1	28.8	8.7	
			5	28.4	9.1	
			9	28.4	9.2	
2	01-May-89	13:37	1	28.5	7.2	
			7	28.3	9.0	
			14	28.1	9.7	
3	01-May-89	13:39	1	28.6	6.8	
			6	28.2	8.8	
			11	28.1	9.1	
4	01-May-89	13:42	1	28.6	6.3	
			6	28.4	8.1	
			11	28.5	9.3	
5	01-May-89	13:47	1	28.7	7.9	
			7	28.9	9.8	
			14	28.8	9.4	
6	01-May-89	13:50	1	29.3	9.7	
			6	29.3	9.7	
			12	29.3	9.7	
6a	01-May-89	13:52	1	28.8	8.6	
7	01-May-89	13:52	1	29.1	9.0	28.3
			5	29.0	9.4	28.3
			9	29.1	9.5	28.3
6a	01-May-89	13:52	9	29.1	9.4	
			18	29.1	9.5	
			1	29.0	8.9	
8	01-May-89	13:57	4	29.1	9.3	
			8	29.0	9.4	
			1	29.1	9.0	
9	01-May-89	14:01	4	29.0	9.3	
			7	29.0	9.4	
			1	29.1	9.3	
10	01-May-89	14:04	4	29.0	9.3	
			8	29.0	9.3	
			1	29.0	9.3	
11	01-May-89	14:06	7	28.8	9.5	
			14	28.6	10.1	
			1	29.1	9.4	
R/R	01-May-89	14:08	7	28.3	10.2	
			14	28.1	10.6	
			1	28.9	9.5	28.1
12	01-May-89	14:11	8	28.5	10.2	28.1
			17	28.4	10.4	28.1
			1	28.7	10.0	
13	01-May-89	14:12	3	28.7	10.0	
			6	28.7	10.2	

Table 10.5.2-3. Temperature and Salinity Study, South Fork New River and South New River Canal, May 1-3, 1989
(Page 3 of 17)

Station	Date	Time	Depth (ft)	Temp (°C)	Salinity (ppt)	Air Temp (°C)
14	01-May-89	14:20	1	29.2	10.0	
			7	28.5	10.5	
			15	28.2	11.4	
15	01-May-89	14:26	1	28.6	10.9	
			5	28.5	10.9	
			10	28.4	11.7	
16	01-May-89	14:35	1	28.5	11.7	
			4	28.4	11.8	
			8	28.3	12.0	
17	01-May-89	14:42	1	28.3	12.6	
			6	28.1	13.2	
			13	28.1	14.0	
18	01-May-89	14:45	1	28.5	19.4	
			6	27.8	26.0	
			12	27.7	26.9	
24	01-May-89	14:45	1	28.5	4.9	25.2
			3.5	28.2	9.5	25.2
			7	28.3	12.1	25.2
30	01-May-89	14:55	1	28.1	3.7	28.3
			4.5	28.1	3.7	28.3
			9	28.1	3.7	28.3
19	01-May-89	15:02	1	28.0	27.8	
			6	27.9	29.2	
			12	27.4	30.3	
18	01-May-89	15:07	1	28.6	20.0	
			9	27.9	26.0	
			18	27.7	27.1	
17	01-May-89	15:19	1	28.1	14.4	
			8	28.1	14.4	
			17	28.1	14.5	
16	01-May-89	15:28	1	28.5	12.2	
			7	28.5	12.3	
			14	28.5	12.3	
15	01-May-89	15:41	1	28.6	18.1	
			5	28.6	11.4	
			9	28.6	11.4	
14	01-May-89	15:47	1	28.7	10.7	
			6	28.6	11.1	
			12	28.6	11.3	
13	01-May-89	15:53	1	28.7	10.6	
			4	28.8	10.5	
			8	28.7	10.5	
12	01-May-89	15:56	1	28.6	10.2	
			7	28.5	10.1	
			14	28.5	10.2	

Table 10.5.2-3. Temperature and Salinity Study, South Fork New River and South New River Canal, May 1-3, 1989
(Page 4 of 17)

Station	Date	Time	Depth (ft)	Temp (oC)	Salinity (ppt)	Air Temp (oC)
11	01-May-89	15:59	1	28.7	10.3	
			5	28.7	10.2	
			9	28.7	10.2	
10	01-May-89	16:01	1	28.8	10.0	
			7	28.7	10.2	
			14	28.6	10.2	
9	01-May-89	16:06	1	29.1	9.7	
			3	28.9	9.8	
			6	28.8	9.9	
8	01-May-89	16:09	1	29.1	9.6	26.4
			4	29.0	9.5	26.4
			7	29.0	9.7	26.4
7	01-May-89	16:12	1	29.2	9.5	
			4	29.1	9.5	
			8	29.1	9.5	
6b	01-May-89	16:20	1	29.3	9.3	
			5	29.1	9.4	
			10	29.0	9.4	
6a	01-May-89	16:22	1	29.3	9.4	
			8	29.2	9.4	
			17	29.3	9.6	
6	01-May-89	16:24	1	29.5	9.3	
			5	29.5	9.6	
			11	29.5	9.7	
5	01-May-89	16:26	1	29.6	8.3	
			5	29.2	9.4	
			11	29.3	9.5	
2	01-May-89	16:28	1	29.5	9.1	
			8	29.3	9.4	
			15	29.3	9.5	27.0
3	01-May-89	16:31	1	29.3	9.0	
			5	29.3	9.4	
			10	29.3	9.5	
4	01-May-89	16:34	1	29.1	8.5	
			5	29.1	9.2	
			9	29.1	9.5	
20	01-May-89	16:38	1	28.9	8.3	
			3	28.9	8.4	
			6	28.8	8.7	
21	01-May-89	16:45	1	28.8	5.8	
			4	28.7	7.4	
			8	28.6	7.4	
1	01-May-89	17:02	1	29.3	8.8	
			4	29.3	9.3	
			9	29.3	9.5	

Table 10.5.2-3. Temperature and Salinity Study, South Fork New River and South New River Canal, May 1-3, 1989
(Page 5 of 17)

Station	Date	Time	Depth (ft)	Temp (oC)	Salinity (ppt)	Air Temp (oC)
1	02-May-89	07:59	1	28.3	9.2	
			4	28.2	9.7	
			9	28.1	9.9	
2	02-May-89	08:03	1	28.1	9.6	
			9	28.1	10.1	
			17	28.0	10.4	
5	02-May-89	08:06	1	28.1	9.3	
			7	28.0	10.1	
			14	28.1	10.4	
6	02-May-89	08:09	1	27.9	9.9	
			6	28.1	10.3	
			12	28.0	9.7	
7	02-May-89	08:11	1	28.1	10.4	
			4	28.1	10.5	
			8	28.1	10.5	
8	02-May-89	08:15	1	28.1	10.2	
			4	28.1	10.5	
			8	28.1	10.6	
9	02-May-89	08:19	1	28.1	10.5	
			5	28.1	10.6	
			10	28.1	10.8	
10	02-May-89	08:22	1	27.9	10.0	
			7	28.1	10.9	
			15	28.1	11.0	
11	02-May-89	08:26	1	28.1	10.4	
			5	27.9	11.7	
			10	27.9	12.1	
12	02-May-89	08:29	1	27.9	11.4	
			7	27.9	12.1	
			14	27.9	12.1	
13	02-May-89	08:33	1	27.9	11.0	
			4	27.9	12.7	
			7	27.9	12.8	
14	02-May-89	08:39	1	27.9	12.2	
			8	27.9	15.5	
			16	27.9	16.8	
1	02-May-89	08:40	1	28.5	11.4	23.0
			4.5	28.3	11.7	23.0
			9	28.2	11.7	23.0
15	02-May-89	08:45	1	27.7	12.3	25.4
			5	27.8	18.6	25.4
			10	27.7	21.4	25.4
2	02-May-89	08:50	1	28.4	11.3	25.5
			9	28.3	11.8	25.5
			17	28.3	11.8	25.5

Table 10.5.2-3. Temperature and Salinity Study, South Fork New River and South New River Canal, May 1-3, 1989
(Page 6 of 17)

Station	Date	Time	Depth (ft)	Temp (oC)	Salinity (ppt)	Air Temp (oC)
16	02-May-89	08:55	1	27.5	13.8	
			7	27.4	26.5	
			14	27.4	26.6	
3	02-May-89	09:00	1	28.0	11.7	
			6	28.4	11.7	
			13	28.3	11.7	
4	02-May-89	09:10	1	28.0	9.6	
			6	28.5	11.5	
			13	28.4	11.6	
17	02-May-89	09:12	1	27.4	22.0	
			9	27.3	26.6	
			18	27.2	27.8	
18	02-May-89	09:24	1	27.1	28.5	
			5	27.1	28.7	
			10	27.1	28.8	
5	02-May-89	09:30	1	28.3	11.3	
			9	28.3	11.7	
			17	28.3	11.9	
19	02-May-89	09:37	1	27.1	29.3	
			7	27.0	30.2	
			13	27.0	30.2	
6	02-May-89	09:40	1	28.4	11.7	
			6	28.4	11.8	
			11	28.3	11.7	
18	02-May-89	09:47	1	27.1	28.5	
			7	27.1	28.5	
			14	27.1	28.5	
7	02-May-89	09:50	1	28.4	11.5	28.0
			8	28.3	11.8	28.0
			16	28.3	11.8	28.0
17	02-May-89	10:03	1	27.5	22.4	
			6	27.5	23.2	
			11	27.4	25.3	
8	02-May-89	10:05	1	28.4	11.5	
			6	28.4	11.8	
			12	28.4	11.8	
16	02-May-89	10:15	1	27.8	15.4	
			5	27.8	18.4	
			9	27.7	19.0	
15	02-May-89	10:28	1	28.0	12.9	
			5	28.0	13.2	
			10	27.9	15.4	
20	02-May-89	10:35	1	28.2	8.4	25.9
			6	28.6	10.8	25.9
			13	28.6	11.0	25.9

Table 10.5.2-3. Temperature and Salinity Study, South Fork New River and South New River Canal, May 1-3, 1989
(Page 7 of 17)

Station	Date	Time	Depth (ft)	Temp (oC)	Salinity (ppt)	Air Temp (oC)
14	02-May-89	10:36	1	28.0	11.3	
			4	28.1	11.4	
			9	28.0	12.7	
13	02-May-89	10:41	1	28.2	10.9	
			4	28.2	10.9	
			8	28.1	11.0	
12	02-May-89	10:45	1	28.2	10.7	25.7
			4	28.2	10.8	25.7
			9	28.2	10.9	25.7
4	02-May-89	10:45	1	28.4	9.6	
			6	28.5	10.9	
			11	28.5	11.1	
11	02-May-89	10:50	1	28.3	9.4	
			5	28.2	10.0	
			10	28.2	10.0	
3	02-May-89	10:50	1	28.5	10.1	
			6	28.6	11.1	
			12	28.4	11.8	
8	02-May-89	10:55	1	28.5	9.6	
			4	28.4	9.7	
			8	28.4	10.0	
7	02-May-89	10:56	1	28.7	9.4	
			4	28.6	9.8	
			8	28.5	9.9	
6b	02-May-89	10:58	1	28.6	9.3	
			5	28.7	9.8	
			11	28.5	9.9	
6a	02-May-89	11:00	1	28.6	9.0	
			9	28.8	9.8	
			19	28.2	10.4	
2	02-May-89	11:00	1	28.5	10.7	
			9	28.4	11.7	
			18	28.3	11.8	
6	02-May-89	11:02	1	29.0	9.8	
			6	28.9	9.8	
			13	28.9	9.8	
5	02-May-89	11:04	1	28.3	8.3	
			5	28.3	8.8	
			10	28.2	10.0	
2	02-May-89	11:09	1	28.5	8.6	
			7	28.3	9.8	
			15	28.1	10.4	
1	02-May-89	11:15	1	28.5	9.2	
			4	28.3	9.6	
			8	28.2	10.1	

Table 10.5.2-3. Temperature and Salinity Study, South Fork New River and South New River Canal, May 1-3, 1989
(Page 8 of 17)

Station	Date	Time	Depth (ft)	Temp (oC)	Salinity (ppt)	Air Temp (oC)
1	02-May-89	11:17	1	28.7	11.3	
			5	28.6	11.5	
			10	28.4	11.7	
3	02-May-89	11:29	1	28.4	7.5	
			4	28.4	8.7	
			9	28.3	10.2	
4	02-May-89	11:33	1	28.4	7.0	
			4	28.4	8.0	
			9	28.4	8.4	
20	02-May-89	11:37	1	28.4	6.7	
			3	28.3	7.0	
			7	28.4	8.2	
4	02-May-89	11:44	1	28.4	7.0	
			4	28.4	8.0	
			9	28.5	8.5	
3	02-May-89	11:47	1	28.4	7.7	
			5	28.4	8.1	
			10	28.5	9.5	
2	02-May-89	11:49	1	28.4	7.9	
			7	28.3	9.4	
			15	28.2	10.4	
1	02-May-89	11:52	1	28.6	9.0	
			5	28.4	9.7	
			10	28.3	10.1	
5	02-May-89	12:00	1	28.5	8.4	
			7	28.4	9.2	
			14	28.2	10.4	
6	02-May-89	12:02	1	29.1	9.8	
			4	29.1	9.9	
			9	29.0	9.9	
6a	02-May-89	12:04	1	28.8	8.6	
			9	28.9	9.5	
			19	28.7	10.0	
7	02-May-89	12:06	1	28.9	9.3	
			4	28.9	9.7	
			9	28.8	9.8	
8	02-May-89	12:08	1	28.9	9.6	
			2.5	28.8	9.7	
			5	28.8	9.7	
9	02-May-89	12:10	1	28.9	9.6	
			4	28.9	9.6	
			8	28.9	9.7	
10	02-May-89	12:12	1	28.8	9.7	
			6	28.7	9.7	
			13	28.7	9.8	

Table 10.5.2-3. Temperature and Salinity Study, South Fork New River and South New River Canal, May 1-3, 1989
(Page 9 of 17)

Station	Date	Time	Depth (ft)	Temp (oC)	Salinity (ppt)	Air Temp (oC)
11	02-May-89	12:15	1	28.6	9.9	
			4	28.6	9.9	
			9	28.2	11.6	
12	02-May-89	12:17	1	28.6	10.3	
			5	28.5	10.5	
			11	28.4	10.5	
13	02-May-89	12:21	1	28.5	10.2	
			3	28.5	10.4	
			6	28.4	10.4	
14	02-May-89	12:26	1	28.4	10.6	
			9	28.4	10.7	
			18	28.4	10.7	
15	02-May-89	12:32	1	28.4	11.2	
			4	28.4	11.3	
			8	28.3	11.3	
14	02-May-89	12:38	1	28.4	10.6	25.3
			5	28.4	10.7	25.3
			10	28.3	11.1	25.3
13	02-May-89	12:43	1	28.5	10.4	
			4	28.5	10.5	
			7	28.4	10.7	
12	02-May-89	12:47	1	28.7	10.1	
			4	28.6	10.1	
			8	28.5	10.4	
10	02-May-89	12:51	1	28.9	9.6	
			4	28.9	9.6	
			9	28.8	9.7	
9	02-May-89	12:55	1	28.9	9.4	
			4	28.9	9.4	
			8	28.9	9.5	
8	02-May-89	13:01	1	29.0	9.4	
			3	28.9	9.5	
			6	28.9	9.5	
7	02-May-89	13:04	1	29.0	9.3	
			3	29.0	9.3	
			7	28.9	9.6	
6b	02-May-89	13:07	1	28.9	8.6	
			4	28.9	9.5	
			9	28.8	9.6	
6	02-May-89	13:09	1	29.3	9.8	
			5	29.3	9.8	
			10	29.3	9.8	
6a	02-May-89	13:10	1	29.0	9.1	
			9	29.0	9.5	
			18	28.9	9.8	

Table 10.5.2-3. Temperature and Salinity Study, South Fork New River and South New River Canal, May 1-3, 1989
(Page 10 of 17)

Station	Date	Time	Depth (ft)	Temp (oC)	Salinity (ppt)	Air Temp (oC)
5	02-May-89	13:16	1	28.8	7.9	
			5	28.8	8.2	
			10	28.4	9.5	
2	02-May-89	13:19	1	29.0	8.1	
			7	28.5	9.0	
			14	28.2	10.4	
3	02-May-89	13:23	1	28.7	6.7	
			4	28.6	8.3	
			9	28.4	9.6	
4	02-May-89	13:26	1	28.6	6.0	
			5	28.6	7.7	
			10	28.6	8.5	
1	02-May-89	13:32	1	28.9	8.8	27.7
			4	28.7	9.8	27.7
			8	28.4	9.8	27.7
22	02-May-89	14:22	1	29.4	9.2	31.4
			2.5	29.5	9.2	31.4
			5	29.0	9.4	31.4
1	02-May-89	14:27	1	29.1	8.5	
			4	28.8	9.1	
			8	28.6	9.6	
1	02-May-89	14:35	1	29.3	10.8	
			5	28.8	11.3	
			10	28.7	11.4	
2	02-May-89	14:45	1	29.4	9.7	
			8	28.6	11.3	
			16	28.4	11.8	
23	02-May-89	14:51	1	29.5	8.3	
			2.5	29.3	8.9	
			5	29.3	9.0	
24	02-May-89	14:53	1	28.5	2.1	
			3	28.8	10.4	
			7	29.4	8.5	
6	02-May-89	15:00	1	29.8	11.3	
			6	29.8	11.3	
			12	29.8	11.3	
30	02-May-89	15:19	1	28.4	0.3	
			3.5	28.4	0.3	
			7	28.3	0.7	
7	02-May-89	15:20	1	29.7	11.2	
			7	29.7	11.3	
			14	29.6	11.3	
23	02-May-89	15:27	1	29.6	8.7	
			5	29.5	9.0	

Table 10.5.2-3. Temperature and Salinity Study, South Fork New River and South New River Canal, May 1-3, 1989
(Page 11 of 17)

Station	Date	Time	Depth (ft)	Temp (oC)	Salinity (ppt)	Air Temp (oC)
31	02-May-89	15:32	1	29.2	9.2	
			6	29.1	9.2	
3	02-May-89	15:35	1	29.1	10.1	
			6	28.7	11.2	
			11	28.5	11.6	
22	02-May-89	15:38	1	29.4	9.1	
			4	28.8	9.4	
1	02-May-89	15:42	1	28.8	7.9	
			5	28.7	8.4	
			10	28.7	9.2	
2	02-May-89	15:46	1	29.5	7.4	
			8	28.9	9.5	
			16	28.2	10.4	
4	02-May-89	15:50	1	29.0	7.1	
			5	28.5	9.2	
			10	28.5	9.2	
20	02-May-89	15:54	1	28.7	4.8	
			4	28.7	8.0	
			8	28.7	8.1	
32	02-May-89	16:00	1		2.6	
21	02-May-89	16:00	1	28.6	3.8	
			4	28.7	7.5	
			8	28.6	7.8	
33	02-May-89	16:22	1	28.8	1.5	26.0
			4	27.6	2.1	26.0
			8	27.6	3.9	26.0
32	02-May-89	16:29	1	28.7	2.4	
			4	28.2	3.2	
			8	28.0	3.2	
21	02-May-89	16:37	1	28.8	3.9	
			4	28.7	6.9	
			9	28.6	7.5	
20	02-May-89	16:41	1	29.2	6.3	
			4	28.8	8.3	
			9	28.7	8.6	
4	02-May-89	16:46	1	29.2	8.2	
			4	29.0	9.2	
			9	29.0	9.4	
3	02-May-89	16:49	1	29.5	8.6	
			5	29.3	9.4	
			11	29.3	9.6	
2	02-May-89	16:52	1	29.8	8.4	
			8	29.5	9.5	
			16	28.5	9.4	

Table 10.5.2-3. Temperature and Salinity Study, South Fork New River and South New River Canal, May 1-3, 1989
(Page 12 of 17)

Station	Date	Time	Depth (ft)	Temp (oC)	Salinity (ppt)	Air Temp (oC)
5	02-May-89	16:54	1	29.6	9.4	
			8	29.5	9.4	
			16	29.5	9.5	
6	02-May-89	16:56	1	29.6	9.7	
			7	29.6	9.7	
			14	29.6	9.7	
1	02-May-89	17:00	1	29.3	8.3	
			5	29.2	9.4	
			10	29.1	9.5	
7	02-May-89	17:11	1	29.4	9.6	
			7	29.4	9.6	
			14	29.4	9.6	
8	02-May-89	17:14	1	29.1	9.9	
			4	29.2	9.9	
			8	29.1	9.9	
9	02-May-89	17:18	1	29.0	10.0	
			4	28.9	10.0	
			9	28.9	10.0	
10	02-May-89	17:20	1	29.0	10.1	24.7
			7	28.9	10.2	24.7
			14	28.9	10.2	24.7
11	02-May-89	17:24	1	28.9	10.4	
			12	28.9	10.4	
			1	28.9	10.4	
12	02-May-89	17:27	1	28.9	10.4	
			9	28.9	10.6	
			18	28.9	10.8	
13	02-May-89	17:30	1	28.8	11.1	
			3	28.8	11.1	
			6	28.8	11.1	
14	02-May-89	17:36	1	28.8	11.4	
			9	28.8	11.4	
			19	28.8	11.4	
15	02-May-89	17:41	1	28.7	11.8	
			5	28.7	11.9	
			11	28.6	11.9	
14	02-May-89	17:45	1	28.8	11.4	
			10	28.7	11.5	
13	02-May-89	17:48	1	28.8	11.1	
			9	28.7	11.2	
12	02-May-89	17:50	1	28.8	11.0	
			15	28.8	11.7	
11	02-May-89	17:53	1	29.0	10.6	
			5	28.8	10.7	
			10	28.8	10.8	

Table 10.5.2-3. Temperature and Salinity Study, South Fork New River and South New River Canal, May 1-3, 1989
(Page 13 of 17)

Station	Date	Time	Depth (ft)	Temp (oC)	Salinity (ppt)	Air Temp (oC)
10	02-May-89	17:54	1	29.3	9.9	
			7	28.9	10.4	
			14	28.9	10.5	
9	02-May-89	17:57	1	29.0	10.1	26.0
			4	29.0	10.2	26.0
			9	28.9	10.2	26.0
8	02-May-89	17:59	1	29.0	10.1	
			4	29.0	10.2	
			8	28.9	10.2	
7	02-May-89	18:01	1	29.1	10.0	
			4	29.0	10.0	
			8	29.0	10.0	
6	02-May-89	18:03	1	29.3	9.7	
			6	29.3	9.7	
			12	29.2	9.7	
5	02-May-89	18:06	1	29.6	9.3	
			5	29.3	9.7	
			10	29.2	9.8	
2	02-May-89	18:08	1	29.5	9.2	
			6	29.3	9.6	
			13	29.2	9.7	
1	02-May-89	18:12	1	29.5	8.6	
			5	29.4	9.4	
			9	29.3	9.5	
3	02-May-89	18:16	1	29.5	9.3	
			5	29.4	9.4	
			11	29.3	9.5	
4	02-May-89	18:17	1	29.4	8.7	
			5	29.4	9.2	
			9	29.4	9.3	
2	02-May-89	18:22	1	29.4	9.2	
			9	29.3	9.7	
			18	29.2	9.9	
5	02-May-89	18:26	1	29.3	9.8	
			7	29.2	9.9	
			14	29.1	10.0	
6	02-May-89	18:29	1	29.2	9.9	
			6	29.1	9.9	
			13	29.1	10.0	
7	02-May-89	18:31	1	29.1	10.1	25.3
			5	29.1	10.2	25.3
			11	29.0	10.0	25.3
8	02-May-89	18:35	1	29.0	10.3	
			2	29.0	10.4	
			5	29.0	10.3	

Table 10.5.2-3. Temperature and Salinity Study, South Fork New River and South New River Canal, May 1-3, 1989
(Page 14 of 17)

Station	Date	Time	Depth (ft)	Temp (oC)	Salinity (ppt)	Air Temp (oC)
1	03-May-89	09:10	1	28.3		
			6	28.3		
			11	28.2		
22	03-May-89	09:30	1	28.4		
			3	28.4		
			7	28.4		
31	03-May-89	09:50	1	28.2		
			5	28.2		
			10	28.2		
23	03-May-89	10:00	1	27.9		
			4	27.9		
			9	27.9		
24	03-May-89	10:10	1	28.2		
			4	27.9		
			9	28.1		
30	03-May-89	10:15	1	28.5		
			2	29.0		
			6	28.5		
30	03-May-89	10:15	11	28.3		
24	03-May-89	10:30	1	28.2		
			2	28.2		
			3	28.0		
			5	28.0		
			10	28.1		
24	03-May-89	11:15	1	28.5		
			5	28.3		
			10	28.2		
31	03-May-89	11:17	1	28.5		
			5	28.5		
			10	28.5		
1	03-May-89	11:25	1	29.1		27.8
			6	28.5		27.8
			11	28.4		27.8
2	03-May-89	11:35	1	28.4		
			9	28.4		
			17	28.3		
3	03-May-89	11:40	1	28.5		
			6	28.5		
			12	28.5		
4	03-May-89	11:45	1	28.5		
			6	28.5		
			13	28.5		
5	03-May-89	11:50	1	28.6		
			6	28.5		
			13	28.4		

Table 10.5.2-3. Temperature and Salinity Study, South Fork New River and South New River Canal, May 1-3, 1989
(Page 15 of 17)

Station	Date	Time	Depth (ft)	Temp (oC)	Salinity (ppt)	Air Temp (oC)
6	03-May-89	11:55	1	29.3		
			7	29.2		
			15	29.3		
6a	03-May-89	11:58	1	28.6		
			9	29.0		
			18	28.3		
6b	03-May-89	12:00	1	28.6		
			8	28.7		
			16	28.5		
7	03-May-89	12:05	1	29.0		
			5	29.1		
			10	28.7		
8	03-May-89	12:08	1	28.8		
			4	28.9		
			8	28.8		
9	03-May-89	12:10	1	28.7		
			5	28.8		
			10	28.8		
10	03-May-89	12:15	1	28.6		
			7	28.6		
			14	28.6		
7	03-May-89	12:18	1	29.0		
			5	28.9		
			10	28.8		
5	03-May-89	12:20	1	28.6		
			6	28.5		
			13	28.4		
6	03-May-89	12:22	1	29.3		
			6	29.3		
			12	29.3		
outfall	03-May-89	12:30	1	29.3		
			2	29.3		
			4	29.3		
6c	03-May-89	12:35	1	29.0		
			9	29.1		
			18	28.6		
7	03-May-89	12:38	1	29.0		
			5	28.9		
			10	28.8		
5	03-May-89	12:40	1	28.7		
			6	28.7		
			13	28.4		
2	03-May-89	12:43	1	29.1		
			9	28.5		
			18	28.3		

Table 10.5.2-3. Temperature and Salinity Study, South Fork New River and South New River Canal, May 1-3, 1989
(Page 16 of 17)

Station	Date	Time	Depth (ft)	Temp (oC)	Salinity (ppt)	Air Temp (oC)
2a	03-May-89	12:45	1	28.7		
			6	28.5		
			12	28.4		
3	03-May-89	12:50	1	28.8		
			6	28.6		
			12	28.5		
4	03-May-89	12:55	1	28.8		
			6	28.6		
			12	28.6		
1	03-May-89	13:00	1	28.8		
			6	28.7		
			12	28.4		
1	03-May-89	14:05	1	29.2		
			6	28.7		
			12	28.5		
22	03-May-89	14:15	1	29.8		
			3	28.7		
			7	28.7		
31	03-May-89	14:20	1	29.4		
			3	29.4		
			6	29.3		
23	03-May-89	14:25	1	29.6		
			3	29.5		
			6	29.5		
24	03-May-89	14:30	1	29.8		
			5	29.3		
			10	28.7		
30	03-May-89	14:35	1	29.4		
			2	28.6		
			5	28.8		
31	03-May-89	14:55	1	29.4		
			2.5	29.4		
			5	29.4		
22	03-May-89	15:05	1	29.7		
			3	28.7		
			6	28.7		
1	03-May-89	15:10	1	29.1		
			5	29.0		
			10	28.7		
6a	03-May-89	15:20	1	29.2		
			7	29.5		
			14	29.6		

Table 10.5.2-3. Temperature and Salinity Study, South Fork New River and South New River Canal, May 1-3, 1989
(Page 17 of 17)

Station	Date	Time	Depth (ft)	Temp (oC)	Salinity (ppt)	Air Temp (oC)
6	03-May-89	15:20	1	29.2		
			6	29.5		
			12	29.6		
6b	03-May-89	15:23	1	29.4		
			6	29.2		
			12	29.1		
7	03-May-89	15:25	1	29.5		
			3.5	29.4		
			7	29.5		
2	03-May-89	15:30	1	29.3		
			9	28.6		
			18	28.3		
3	03-May-89	15:32	1	29.2		
			6	28.8		
			11	28.5		
4	03-May-89	15:40	1	29.0		
			6	28.8		
			11	28.6		
20	03-May-89	15:45	1	29.1		
			4	28.8		
			8	28.8		

Table 10.5.2-4. Temperature and Salinity Study, Dania Cut-Off Canal, May 1-3, 1989 (Page 1 of 2)

Station	Date	Time	Depth (ft)	Temp (oC)	Salinity (ppt)	Air Temp (oC)
24	01-May-89	14:45	1	28.5	4.9	25.2
			3.5	28.2	9.5	25.2
			7	28.3	12.1	25.2
25	01-May-89	14:50	1	28.5	6.8	27.6
			3.5	28.3	9.3	27.6
			7	28.1	18.4	27.6
30	01-May-89	14:55	1	28.1	3.7	28.3
			4.5	28.1	3.7	28.3
			9	28.1	3.7	28.3
34	01-May-89	15:15	1	29.5	15.4	27.5
			2.5	28.7	17.8	27.5
			5	28.6	18.4	27.5
35	01-May-89	15:30	1	28.7	21.9	
			5	28.4	22.8	
			10	27.7	26.1	
36	01-May-89	16:20	1	28.3	30.3	
37	01-May-89	16:35	1	28.2	34.0	26.3
			10	27.2	37.0	26.3
			20	27.2	32.9	26.3
24	02-May-89	14:53	1	28.5	2.1	
			3	28.8	10.4	
			7	29.4	8.5	
25	02-May-89	14:56	1	28.6	2.7	
			2.5	28.7	10.6	
			5	28.9	7.9	
26	02-May-89	15:00	1	28.8	7.1	
			3	28.7	10.8	
			6	28.1	15.4	
27	02-May-89	15:03	1	29.6	7.9	
28	02-May-89	15:05	1	29.4	8.7	
29	02-May-89	15:09	1	29.6	9.5	
			2.5	29.0	11.2	
			5	28.5	16.2	
30	02-May-89	15:19	1	28.4	0.3	
			3.5	28.4	0.3	
			7	28.3	0.7	
24	03-May-89	10:10	1	28.2		
			4	27.9		
			9	28.1		
30	03-May-89	10:15	1	28.5		
			2	29.0		
			6	28.5		
			11	28.3		

Table 10.5.2-4. Temperature and Salinity Study, Dania Cut-Off Canal, May 1-3, 1989 (Page 2 of 2)

Station	Date	Time	Depth (ft)	Temp (oC)	Salinity (ppt)	Air Temp (oC)
24	03-May-89	10:30	1	28.2		
			2	28.2		
			3	28.0		
			5	28.0		
			10	28.1		
25	03-May-89	10:40	1	28.2		
			4	28.0		
			8.5	27.9		
26	03-May-89	10:45	1	28.1		
			5	28.1		
			10	27.8		
27	03-May-89	10:50	1	28.2		
			4	27.9		
			8	27.8		
28	03-May-89	10:55	1	27.6		
			2	28.4		
			4	28.2		
29	03-May-89	11:05	1	28.1		
			4	27.8		
			8	27.8		
26	03-May-89	11:10	1	28.2		
			5	28.1		
			10	27.9		
24	03-May-89	11:15	1	28.5		
			5	28.3		
			10	28.2		
24	03-May-89	14:30	1	29.8		
			5	29.3		
			10	28.7		
30	03-May-89	14:35	1	29.4		
			2	28.6		
			5	28.8		
30	03-May-89	14:35	10	28.4		
25	03-May-89	14:50	1	29.5		
			3	29.3		
			6.5	28.7		
26	03-May-89	14:50	1	29.6		
			5	28.8		
			9	28.4		

Table 10.5.2-5. Temperature and Salinity Study Corresponding Distances From Discharge (Station 6) South Fork New River and South New River Canal

Station	Distance from Discharge (river miles)
1	-0.30
2	-0.15
2a	-0.15
3	-0.25
4	-0.40
5	-0.08
6	0.0
7	0.11
8	0.42
9	1.69
10	0.72
11	0.83
12	1.10
13	1.44
15	2.25
16	3.16
17	3.88
18	4.94
19	5.59
20	-0.78
21	-1.31
22	-0.72
23	-1.74
24	-2.12
outfall	0.0
R/R	1.02

Table 10.5.2-6. Temperature and Salinity Study Corresponding Distances From Intake (Station 25) Dania Cut-Off Canal

Station	Distance from Intake (river miles)
24	-0.23
25	0.0
26	0.19
27	0.38
28	0.38
29	0.80
30	-0.61
34	1.25
35	1.99
36	3.64
37	4.55

CURRENT AND BATHYMETRIC RESULTS

Table 10.5.2-7 presents the results of the current measurements taken May 2, 1989 in feet per second (fps) along with the corresponding depth (ft) and time for six stations in the vicinity of the discharge in the South Fork New River. This includes one station in the South New River Canal (Station 1), three inland of the discharge in the South Fork New River (Stations 3-5) and one seaward on the discharge (Station 6) was also measured. Table 10.5.2-7 also presents a vertical profile average for each station and the tidal flow direction at the time of measurement.

The channel cross-sections from the June 22, 1989, bathymetric study are presented in Table 10.5.2-8. The average channel depth on the New River was 13.6 ft-MLW with a maximum of 17.3 ft (Station 5) and a minimum of 10.4 ft (Station 7). The South New River Canal is shallower, with a maximum depth of 9.3 ft-MLW at the one measurement location. The maximum depth of the discharge channel was 15.9 ft-MLW at the point of measurement.

10.5.2.4 Water Quality Data

The water quality data collected during the study period as described in Section 2.3.4 are presented in Tables 10.5.2-9 through 10.5.2-13. Priority pollutant data for all five stations are presented in Table 10.5.2-14.

Table 10.5.2-7. Results of Current Measurement Study,
May 2, 1989 (Page 1 of 3)

Station	Time	Depth (ft)	Velocity (fps)	Vert. Avg. (fps)	Direction
4	09:15	13	0.32	0.54	ebb
		6	0.47		
		1	0.84		
5	09:30	17	0.17	0.32	ebb
		9	0.17		
		1	0.62		
6	09:45	11	0.47	0.47	ebb
		6	0.47		
		1	0.47		
7	09:50	16	0.17	0.40	ebb
		8	0.47		
		1	0.54		
4	10:45	11	0.25	0.64	ebb
		6	0.69		
		1	0.99		
1	11:15	9	0.03	0.13	flood
		4	0.17		
		1	0.17		
5	11:30	17	0.17	0.35	ebb
		9	0.40		
		1	0.47		
6	11:35	11	0.76	1.01	ebb
		6	1.28		
		1	0.99		
7	11:45	16	0.54	0.64	ebb
		8	0.54		
		1	0.84		
3	11:55	10	0.25	0.54	ebb
		5	0.47		
		1	0.91		
1	12:10	10	0.03	0.13	flood
		5	0.25		
		1	0.10		
5	12:20	15	0.17	0.27	ebb
		7	0.17		
		1	0.47		
6	12:30	10	1.13	1.18	ebb
		5	1.28		
		1	1.13		
7	12:40	15	0.76	0.89	ebb
		7	0.91		
		1	0.99		
3	12:50	10	0.17	0.54	ebb
		5	0.40		
		1	1.06		

Table 10.5.2-7. Results of Current Measurement Study,
May 2, 1989 (Page 2 of 3)

Station	Time	Depth (ft)	Velocity (fps)	Vert. Avg. (fps)	Direction
1	13:00	10	0.03	0.03	flood
		5	0.03		
		1	0.03		
5	13:10	15	0.25	0.40	ebb
		7	0.47		
		1	0.47		
6	13:20	10	0.99	1.11	ebb
		5	1.28		
		1	1.06		
7	13:30	15	0.69	0.69	ebb
		7	0.76		
		1	0.62		
3	13:40	9	0.25	0.49	ebb
		4	0.47		
		1	0.76		
1	14:30	9	0.17	0.15	flood
		4	0.10		
		1	0.17		
5	14:50	15	0.17	0.08	ebb
		7	0.03		
		1	0.03		
6	15:15	12	0.54	0.74	ebb
		6	0.99		
		1	0.69		
7	15:25	14	0.17	0.08	ebb
		7	0.03		
		1	0.03		
3	15:40	11	0.47	0.57	flood
		6	0.62		
		1	0.62		
3	16:20	11	0.69	0.69	flood
		6	0.76		
		1	0.62		
1	16:30	9	0.54	0.57	flood
		4	0.69		
		1	0.47		
5	16:45	17	0.17	0.30	flood
		9	0.25		
		1	0.47		
6	16:50	10	0.25	0.25	ebb
		5	0.25		
		1	0.25		
7	17:00	15	0.76	0.59	flood
		7	0.62		
		1	0.40		

Table 10.5.2-7. Results of Current Measurement Study,
May 2, 1989 (Page 3 of 3)

Station	Time	Depth (ft)	Velocity (fps)	Vert. Avg. (fps)	Direction
3	17:10	12	0.40	0.41	flood
		6	0.29		
		1	0.54		
1	17:15	10	0.69	0.69	flood
		5	0.76		
		1	0.62		
5	17:25	15	0.40	0.37	flood
		7	0.47		
		1	0.25		
6	17:30	10	0.03	0.15	flood
		5	0.17		
		1	0.25		
7	17:40	15	0.76	0.57	flood
		7	0.47		
		1	0.47		
4	17:45	12	0.47	0.52	flood
		6	0.54		
		1	0.54		
1	17:55	10	0.40	0.54	flood
		5	0.62		
		1	0.62		
5	18:10	15	0.47	0.42	flood
		7	0.40		
		1	0.40		
6	18:15	10	0.54	0.45	flood
		5	0.40		
		1	0.40		
3	18:30	12	0.32	0.40	flood
		6	0.40		
		1	0.47		

Table 10.5.2-8. Cross-Sectional Areas for Significant Stations on the South New River Canal and South Fork New River at Mean Low Water (MLW).

Station	Area (ft ²)	Width (ft)	Maximum Depth (ft)
1	331	40	9.3
2	3,844	345	17.2
3	1,044	111	10.8
4	820	85	12.3
5	2,753	240	17.3
6	732	63	15.9
7	1,242	180	10.4

Table 10.5.2-9 . Water Quality Data for Station 1
August 1988 through July 1989

Parameters	Units	Month												Class III Standards
		Aug-88	Sep-88	Oct-88	Nov-88	Dec-88	Jan-89	Feb-89	Mar-89	Apr-89	May-89	Jun-89	Jul-89	
Dissolved oxygen	mg/L	4.9	4.4	3.7	4	4.4	5.1	6	4.7	3.8	3.5	3.4	2.8	4
pH Field	Std. Units	6.4	NA	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.4	7.9	7.7	7.5	7.3	7.5	7.3	6.5 to 8.5
Specific Conductance	umhos/cm	770	780	17200	18400	27700	21600	31000	25000	15500	15500	23700	10300	
Temperature	C	28.8	26.6	26.8	27	23.1	21.8	21.4	25.7	28.4	29.7	30.8	30.2	
Alkalinity Total	mg/L-CaCO3	245	267	214	220	194	202	457	153	195	207	178	208	
BOO5	mg/L	2.4	<1	0.6	1.6	2	2.5	1.4	1.4	<1.0	0.7	2.0	1.0	*
COD Low Level	mg/L	40	51	76	71.8	<50	<100	<50	<50.0	98	<50	573	216	
Fecal Coliforms	No./100 ML	<10	40	<10	140	<10	190	160	170	150	80	40	20	200
Total Coliforms	No./100 ML	<10	110	<10	200	<10	300	220	200	200	120	50	100	1000
Ammonia	mg/L as N	0.309	0.255	0.054	0.077	0.087	0.148	0.109	0.094	0.096	0.166	0.104	0.228	
Ammonia Unionized	mg/L as N	<0.001	0.004	0.050	0.001	<0.001	0.002	0.004	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.004	
NO2+NO3	mg/L as N	0.452	0.167	0.131	0.137	0.033	0.118	0.054	0.047	0.055	0.039	0.018	0.176	***
Nitrogen Total	mg/L as N	2.2	1.9	1.3	1.5	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.1	0.71	0.84	0.86	0.57	***
TKN	mg/L as N	1.8	1.7	1.1	1.3	0.9	1.10	1.0	1.1	0.65	0.80	0.84	0.40	***
Fluoride	mg/L	0.32	0.18	0.55	0.52	0.54	0.58	0.7	0.48	0.62	<0.1	0.55	0.26	5.0
Phosphorus Total	mg/L as P	0.087	0.054	0.022	0.02	0.061	0.03	0.039	0.043	0.042	0.053	0.036	0.029	***
Oil and Grease	mg/L	0.1	<0.1	0.02	0.60	1.6	0.70	<0.1	<0.1	<1.0	<1.8	<0.2	<2	5.0
Turbidity	F/NTU	3.09	2.50	1.48	3	3.5	4	6.1	2.5	4.0	2.2	4.0	1.50	29 > bkgrd
Chloride	mg/L	86.6	94.2	6450	5100	9400	8190	11400	9350	5200	5440	8640	3010	10% > bkgrd
Sulfate	mg/L	16	<5	767	408	1190	1320	1620	12	769	813	1060	363	
Aluminum Total	ug/L	<147	<147	76.7	64.2	89.4	84.9	99.7	122	<74	<29	40.2	<29.0	1500
Beryllium Total	ug/L	<2	<2	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	<3.3	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	1100
Chromium Total	ug/L	<5.7	NA	<6.8	<6.8	<6.8	<6.8	<6.8	<6.3	<10.0	<6.3	<6.3	<6.3	50
Copper Total	ug/L	<3.8	<3.8	9.8	7.3	17.2	9.5	5.1	21.5	10.3	<4.5	<4.5	6.7	15
Iron Total	ug/L	685	494	1060	847	1380	1330	1620	482	116	79	84.4	138	300
Nickel Total	ug/L	<20	<20	<23	<23.0	<23	<23.0	<23.0	<16.0	<19	<16	<16.0	<16.0	100
Sodium Total	mg/L	51.6	56.2	3160	2460	1610	3780	4670	4510	2650	1980	3920	1270	
Zinc Total	ug/L	35.4	5.3	3.6	9.8	58.9	51.4	14.7	<2.9	7.6	<2.9	<2.9	<2.9	1000
Antimony Total	ug/L	<5	<4	<25	<25.0	<25	<20.0	<15.0	<25.0	<20.0	<25	<15.0	<15.0	200
Arsenic Total	ug/L	2.0	<2.7	1.8	<4.6	<4.6	<5.4	<6.3	<5.0	<12.4	<12	<9.2	<6.9	50
Cadmium Total	ug/L	<0.5	<0.2	<2.5	<1.0	<1	<1.5	<0.5	<1.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.5	5.0
Lead Total	ug/L	<2.3	<2.3	<7	<1.4	4.9	<1.4	<3.0	4.6	<2.0	<1.4	<1.4	<1.4	50
Selenium Total	ug/L	2.6	<2.6	<7.5	34.5	<12.5	<12.5	<7.5	<32.0	<10.0	<10	<12.5	8.4	25
Silver Total	ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.05
Mercury total	ug/L	0.3	<0.2	2.4	1.0	0.5	0.7	0.8	<0.2	<0.2	0.2	0.4	<2	0.1

* Shall not be increased to exceed values which would cause dissolved oxygen to be depressed below the limit established for Class III waters

*** In no case shall nutrient concentrations of a body of water be altered so as to cause an imbalance in natural populations of aquatic flora and fauna.

NA = Not Analyzed

10.5.2-83

Table 10.5.2-10. Water Quality Data for Station 2
August 1988 through July 1989

Parameters	Units	Month												Class III Standards
		Aug-88	Sep-88	Oct-88	Nov-88	Dec-88	Jan-89	Feb-89	Mar-89	Apr-89	May-89	Jun-89	Jul-89	
Dissolved oxygen	mg/L	2.4	NA	3.9	3.6	4.9	5.5	4.8	4.6	3.6	3.9	3.4	3.3	4
pH Field	Std. Units	6.9	NA	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.4	8.1	7.8	7.4	7.4	7.6	7.40	6.5 to 8.5
Specific Conductance	umhos/cm	894	862	17700	17700	28800	24900	31400	20900	18000	10600	23000	7450	
Temperature	C	31.6	26.9	33.3	29.9	28.1	25	28.2	31.2	29.4	33.9	31.9	36.2	
Alkalinity Total	mg/L-CaCO3	240	269	217	218	178	182	456	159	184	209	187	210	
BOD5	mg/L	1.8	<1	0.4	1.2	1.2	1.6	1.8	1.2	<1.0	0.7	1.8	1.4	*
COD Low Level	mg/L	55	51	40	98.8	<50	<100	<50	<50.0	<50	141	222	126	
Fecal Coliforms	No./100 ML	<10	90	30	30	<10	180	140	80	70	40	40	<10	200
Total Coliforms	No./100 ML	<10	140	50	70	<10	280	200	120	110	70	60	50	1000
Ammonia	mg/L as N	0.332	0.261	0.06	0.073	0.077	0.147	0.102	0.055	0.103	0.134	0.067	0.069	
Ammonia Unionized	mg/L as N	0.002	0.004	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.008	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.002	0.002	
NO2+NO3	mg/L as N	0.440	0.173	0.024	<0.02	0.256	0.116	0.058	0.036	0.069	0.056	0.024	0.222	***
Nitrogen Total	mg/L as N	2.3	1.8	1.2	<1.1	1.0	1.2	1	1.2	0.78	1.00	1.00	0.65	***
TKN	mg/L as N	1.8	1.7	1.1	1.1	0.8	1.10	1.0	1.1	0.71	0.97	1.00	0.43	***
Fluoride	mg/L	0.33	0.21	0.58	0.57	0.54	0.65	0.68	0.5	0.67	<0.10	0.53	0.22	5.0
Phosphorus Total	mg/L as P	0.049	0.049	0.02	0.013	0.055	0.029	0.038	0.037	0.05	0.042	0.04	0.030	***
Oil and Grease	mg/L	<0.1	<0.1	0.0	1.2	2.2	2.1	<0.1	<0.1	<1.0	<1.8	<0.2	<.2	5.0
Turbidity	F/NTU	2.08	3.60	1.98	2.5	4	4.5	6	2.5	4.0	2.3	14.0	2.00	29 > bkgrd
Chloride	mg/L	124	127	6550	6400	10900	9420	11200	6850	7080	3260	7640	1930	10% > bkgrd
Sulfate	mg/L	18	<5	900	1020	25	1420	1450	11	1030	444	951	235	
Aluminum Total	ug/L	<147	<147	89.2	34.8	71.9	58.1	80.3	37	106.0	<29.0	75.3	45.3	1500
Beryllium Total	ug/L	<2	<2	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	<3.3	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	1100
Chromium Total	ug/L	<5.7	NA	<6.8	<6.8	<6.8	<6.8	<6.8	<6.3	<10.0	<6.3	<6.3	<6.3	50
Copper Total	ug/L	4.8	7.7	11.2	6.4	11.4	12.1	8.8	24.0	<8.4	<4.5	4.7	5.6	15
Iron Total	ug/L	497	625	1080	912	1720	1480	1600	255	140	74	206.0	228	300
Nickel Total	ug/L	<20	<20	<23	<23.0	<23	<23.0	<23.0	<16.0	<19	<16.0	<16.0	<16.0	100
Sodium Total	mg/L	77.9	75.9	3520	3050	1610	4200	4620	3710	3430	1260	3420	913	
Zinc Total	ug/L	45.3	11.6	2.4	5.3	33	30.8	16.7	<2.9	7.9	<2.9	<2.9	<2.9	1000
Antimony Total	ug/L	<5	<4	<25	<25.0	<25	<20.0	<15.0	<25.0	<20.0	<25.0	<30.0	<15.0	200
Arsenic Total	ug/L	2.8	<2.7	2.7	<4.6	<4.6	<5.4	<6.3	<5.0	<12.4	<24.0	<9.2	<6.9	50
Cadmium Total	ug/L	<0.5	<0.2	<2.5	<1.0	<1	<1.5	<0.5	<1.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.5	5.0
Lead Total	ug/L	5.9	<2.3	<7	<1.4	<1.4	<1.4	<6.0	<3.0	<2.0	<1.4	<1.4	<1.4	50
Selenium Total	ug/L	<2.6	<2.6	<7.5	37.0	<12.5	<12.5	<7.5	<16.0	<10.0	<10.0	<25.0	NA	25
Silver Total	ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<.5	0.05
Mercury total	ug/L	0.7	<0.2	1.3	0.8	0.2	1.3	0.5	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	0.2	<.2	0.1

* Shall not be increased to exceed values which would cause dissolved oxygen to be depressed below the limit established for Class III waters and in no case shall be great enough to produce nuisance conditions.

*** In no case shall nutrient concentrations of a body of water be altered so as to cause an imbalance in natural populations of aquatic flora and fauna.

NA = Not Analyzed

10.5.2-84

Table 10.5.2-11. Water Quality Data for Station 3
August 1988 through July 1989

Parameters	Units	Month												Class III Standards
		Aug-88	Sep-88	Oct-88	Nov-88	Dec-88	Jan-89	Feb-89	Mar-89	Apr-89	May-89	Jun-89	Jul-89	
Dissolved oxygen	mg/L	3.9	NA	2.1	3.2	3.4	7	4.7	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	4
pH Field	Std. Units	7.0	NA	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.7	8.7	7.6	7.4	7.4	7.5	7.40	6.5 to 8.5
Specific Conductance	umhos/cm	985	1060	13300	16600	23700	23800	31800	27400	18600	18900	21700	9890	
Temperature	C	33.0	29.5	30.5	29.1	25.6	23.6	23.2	25.2	29.3	30.3	31.6	31.2	
Alkalinity Total	mg/L-CaCO3	230	256	232	221	192	196	460	191	188	184	188	204	
BOD5	mg/L	1.6	<1	0.4	1.4	1.4	2.4	2	2.8	1.2	0.6	0.4	1.2	*
COD Low Level	mg/L	40	51	25	80.5	<50	<100	<50	<50.0	<50	<50	382	156	
Fecal Coliforms	No./100 ML	<10	<10	20	30	<10	20	<10	10	<10	<10	<10	<10	200
Total Coliforms	No./100 ML	<10	80	40	80	<10	60	<10	40	40	<10	<10	20	1000
Ammonia	mg/L as N	0.337	0.019	0.104	0.258	0.056	<0.05	0.098	0.119	0.028	0.019	0.128	0.178	
Ammonia Unionized	mg/L as N	0.003	0.001	0.002	0.004	<0.001	<0.001	0.020	0.003	<0.001	<0.001	0.004	0.004	
NO2+NO3	mg/L as N	0.448	0.515	0.045	<0.02	0.362	<0.050	<0.050	<0.010	0.018	<0.010	0.012	0.032	***
Nitrogen Total	mg/L as N	2.2	2.4	1.3	<1.2	1.2	<0.9	<0.96	<1.31	1.10	<0.46	0.76	0.49	***
TKN	mg/L as N	1.7	1.9	1.3	1.2	0.8	0.89	1.0	1.3	1.00	0.45	0.74	0.45	***
Fluoride	mg/L	0.31	0.22	0.52	0.55	0.48	0.64	0.71	0.56	0.62	<0.10	0.51	0.28	5.0
Phosphorus Total	mg/L as P	0.054	0.052	0.068	0.025	0.043	0.021	0.029	0.039	0.028	0.011	<0.020	0.025	***
Oil and Grease	mg/L	<0.1	<0.1	0.3	1.8	1.1	0.6	<0.1	<0.1	<1.0	<1.8	<0.2	<.2	5.0
Turbidity	F/NTU	1.88	3.60	1.58	3	6	7.5	6.5	3	3.5	1.5	2.2	2.20	29 > bkgrd
Chloride	mg/L	165	192	4400	11400	8680	9600	10900	8150	6360	7600	6960	3570	10% > bkgrd
Sulfate	mg/L	25	19	625	1030	1360	1480	1620	12	944	1060	951	477	
Aluminum Total	ug/L	<147	<147	81.4	51.8	56.2	35.5	78.9	106	91.7	<29.0	30.8	<29.0	1500
Beryllium Total	ug/L	<2	<2	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	<3.3	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	1100
Chromium Total	ug/L	<5.7	NA	<6.8	<6.8	<6.8	<6.8	<6.8	<6.3	<10.0	<6.3	<6.3	<6.3	50
Copper Total	ug/L	<3.8	<3.8	14.6	5.0	7.4	4.3	5.1	8.8	<8.4	<4.5	5.5	NA	15
Iron Total	ug/L	269	118	724	908	1240	1380	1600	162	64	<10.0	42.2	130	300
Nickel Total	ug/L	<20	<20	<23	<23.0	<23	<23.0	<23.0	<16.0	<19	<16.0	<16.0	<16.0	100
Sodium Total	mg/L	104	99.9	2240	2880	1610	4550	4640	4160	3280	3110	3360	2250	
Zinc Total	ug/L	14.4	3.2	19.1	10.7	20	12.4	10.4	<2.9	<6.8	<2.9	<2.9	<2.9	1000
Antimony Total	ug/L	<5	<4	<25	<25.0	<25	<20.0	<15.0	<25.0	<20.0	<25.0	<30.0	<15.0	200
Arsenic Total	ug/L	2.6	<2.7	3.2	<4.6	<4.6	<5.4	<6.3	<5.0	<12.4	<24.0	<9.2	<6.9	50
Cadmium Total	ug/L	<0.5	<0.2	2.3	<1.0	<1	<1.5	<0.5	<1.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.5	5.0
Lead Total	ug/L	<2.3	<2.3	<7	<1.4	<1.4	<1.4	<3.0	<3.0	<2.0	<1.4	<1.4	<1.4	50
Selenium Total	ug/L	<2.6	<2.6	<7.5	39.5	<12.5	<12.5	<7.5	<16.0	<10.0	<10.0	<25.0	NA	25
Silver Total	ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<.5	0.05
Mercury total	ug/L	0.7	0.4	0.4	1.8	<0.2	0.9	0.6	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	0.4	<.2	0.1

* Shall not be increased to exceed values which would cause dissolved oxygen to be depressed below the limit established for Class III waters

*** In no case shall nutrient concentrations of a body of water be altered so as to cause an imbalance in natural populations of aquatic flora and fauna.

NA = Not Analyzed

10.5.2-85

Table 10.5.2-12. Water Quality Data for Station 4
August 1988 through July 1989

Parameters	Units	Month												Class III Standards
		Aug-88	Sep-88	Oct-88	Nov-88	Dec-88	Jan-89	Feb-89	Mar-89	Apr-89	May-89	Jun-89	Jul-89	
Dissolved oxygen	mg/L	4.0	NA	3.8	3.7	3.8	7.1	7.5	6.2	5.8	5.8	4.2	3.1	4
pH Field	Std. Units	7.2	NA	7.4	7.3	7.5	7.7	8.1	7.9	7.8	7.7	7.7	7.50	6.5 to 8.5
Specific Conductance	umhos/cm	1180	1140	12700	15800	22300	25200	31600	20900	16400	15000	19100	6770	
Temperature	C	32.4	28.7	27.7	28.5	24.1	23.2	22.9	26.2	29.2	31.3	31.9	31.2	
Alkalinity Total	mg/L-CaCO3	230	251	228	221	201	165	460	188	190	197	190	204	
BOD5	mg/L	1.5	<1	0.4	1	1.4	4.2	2.2	1.4	<1.0	2.0	0.6	1.5	*
COD Low Level	mg/L	37	41	9	125.4	<50	<100	<50	<50.0	<50	<50	139	216	
Fecal Coliforms	No./100 ML	<10	10	130	30	<10	40	<10	70	<10	<10	10	<10	200
Total Coliforms	No./100 ML	<10	110	150	100	<10	60	<10	150	30	<10	20	<10	1000
Ammonia	mg/L as N	0.214	0.037	0.095	0.067	0.046	0.068	0.08	0.043	0.026	0.082	0.148	0.079	
Ammonia Unionized	mg/L as N	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.001	<0.001	0.002	0.005	0.002	0.001	0.004	0.007	0.002	
NO2+NO3	mg/L as N	0.521	0.482	0.063	0.175	0.399	<0.050	<0.050	0.016	0.014	0.015	0.012	0.219	***
Nitrogen Total	mg/L as N	2.2	1.8	1.2	1.5	1.3	<1.0	<0.96	1.2	0.67	0.71	0.86	0.77	***
TKN	mg/L as N	1.6	1.3	1.2	1.3	0.9	0.99	1.0	1.1	0.65	0.69	0.84	0.55	***
Fluoride	mg/L	0.31	0.23	0.51	0.52	<0.1	0.65	0.71	0.55	0.62	<0.10	0.49	0.24	5.0
Phosphorus Total	mg/L as P	0.051	0.052	0.025	0.023	0.069	0.025	0.037	0.043	0.033	0.031	<0.020	0.027	***
Oil and Grease	mg/L	<0.1	<0.1	1.0	3.3	0.8	0.8	<0.1	<0.1	<1.0	<1.8	<0.2	<.2	5.0
Turbidity	F/NTU	2.89	3.80	1.48	3	6.6	2.5	6	2.2	3.0	2.0	3.6	1.80	29 > bkgrd
Chloride	mg/L	239	214	3500	6350	8400	9700	11400	7250	6380	5060	6300	2160	10% > bkgrd
Sulfate	mg/L	30	21	583	982	1410	1210	1410	10	944	602	793	288	
Aluminum Total	ug/L	151	<147	100.0	52.4	97.4	34.7	68.0	69	93.9	<29.0	<29.0	<29.0	1500
Beryllium Total	ug/L	<2	<2	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	<3.3	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	1100
Chromium Total	ug/L	<5.7	NA	<6.8	<6.8	<6.8	<6.8	<6.8	<6.3	<10.0	<6.3	<6.3	<6.3	50
Copper Total	ug/L	6.4	<3.8	14.8	4.5	6.2	6.4	<3.0	32.8	12.5	<4.5	<4.5	7.3	15
Iron Total	ug/L	220	92.4	756	837	1090	1480	1550	195	73	<10.0	<10.0	47.3	300
Nickel Total	ug/L	<20	<20	<23	<23.0	<23	<23.0	<23.0	<16.0	<19	<16.0	<16.0	<16.0	100
Sodium Total	mg/L	127	115	2240	2890	1580	4280	4660	3680	3130	2020	2940	1030	
Zinc Total	ug/L	24.0	6.4	26.1	5.1	40.4	26.3	9.3	<2.9	<6.8	<2.9	<2.9	<2.9	1000
Antimony Total	ug/L	<5	<4	<25	<25.0	<25	<20.0	<15.0	<25.0	<20.0	<25.0	<15.0	<15.0	200
Arsenic Total	ug/L	2.2	<2.7	2.8	<4.6	<4.6	<5.4	<6.3	<5.0	<12.4	<24.0	<9.2	<6.9	50
Cadmium Total	ug/L	<0.5	<0.2	1.7	<1.0	<1	<1.5	<0.5	<1.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.5	5.0
Lead Total	ug/L	3.2	<2.3	<7	<1.4	1.4	<1.4	<3.0	<3.0	<2.0	<1.4	<2.8	<1.4	50
Selenium Total	ug/L	<2.6	<2.6	<7.5	31.5	13.5	<12.5	<7.5	<16.0	<10.0	<10.0	<25.0	NA	25
Silver Total	ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<.5	0.05
Mercury total	ug/L	0.3	<0.2	2.7	1.5	0.2	0.4	1.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<.2	0.1

* Shall not be increased to exceed values which would cause dissolved oxygen to be depressed below the limit established for Class III waters

*** In no case shall nutrient concentrations of a body of water be altered so as to cause an imbalance in natural populations of aquatic flora and fauna.

NA = Not Analyzed

Table 10.5.2-13. Water Quality Data for Station 5
August 1988 through July 1989

Parameters	Units	Month												Class III Standards
		Aug-88	Sep-88	Oct-88	Nov-88	Dec-88	Jan-89	Feb-89	Mar-89	Apr-89	May-89	Jun-89	Jul-89	
Dissolved oxygen	mg/L	4.1	NA	3.7	3.7	3.9	5.5	5.9	5.4	4.2	3.6	3.5	3.5	4
pH Field	Std. Units	7.1	NA	7.5	7.3	7.5	7.6	8.4	7.9	7.7	7.7	7.7	7.50	6.5 to 8.5
Specific Conductance	umhos/cm	1100	969	15400	15300	22500	26900	31100	21600	16100	14800	19900	7910	
Temperature	C	32.5	28.3	27.5	27.6	23.6	23	22.9	26.2	28.8	30.8	31.3	31.2	
Alkalinity Total	mg/L-CaCO3	220	248	222	219	198	179	464	185	186	195	189	202	
BOD5	mg/L	1.6	<1	0.4	1.2	1.2	3.5	2.4	1.6	<1.0	1.1	1.4	1.8	*
COD Low Level	mg/L	43	41	60	56	<50	<100	<50	<50.0	96	530	148		
Fecal Coliforms	No./100 ML	<10	170	<10	140	<10	130	50	50	40	70	10	20	200
Total Coliforms	No./100 ML	<10	220	<10	180	<10	200	80	100	60	100	20	100	1000
Ammonia	mg/L as N	0.200	0.068	0.074	0.051	0.053	0.114	0.082	0.031	0.024	0.028	0.079	0.094	
Ammonia Unionized	mg/L as N	0.002	0.003	0.002	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	0.009	0.002	<0.001	0.001	0.003	0.003	
NO2+NO3	mg/L as N	0.442	0.439	0.037	0.034	0.382	0.066	<0.050	0.010	0.021	0.021	0.013	0.211	***
Nitrogen Total	mg/L as N	2.2	1.9	1.1	1.2	1.4	0.87	<0.91	1.2	0.64	0.75	0.86	<2.31	***
TKN	mg/L as N	1.7	1.4	1.0	1.2	1.0	0.81	0.9	1.1	0.62	0.73	0.84	<1.16	***
Fluoride	mg/L	0.32	0.22	0.55	0.48	0.48	0.65	0.67	0.55	0.6	<0.10	0.5	0.24	5.0
Phosphorus Total	mg/L as P	0.052	0.053	0.031	0.03	0.068	0.03	0.039	0.048	0.047	0.031	0.036	0.033	***
Oil and Grease	mg/L	<0.1	<0.1	0.0	2.2	5.6	2.2	<0.1	<0.1	<1.0	<1.8	<0.2	<2	5.0
Turbidity	F/NTU	2.59	3.40	1.98	3.5	4.4	3.6	6.5	2.6	4.0	1.8	3.5	1.50	29 > bkgrd
Chloride	mg/L	200	152	5350	5900	8160	9680	11400	7350	6160	4640	6540	2340	10% > bkgrd
Sulfate	mg/L	27	14	767	849	829	1380	1430	11	927	673	863	306	
Aluminum Total	ug/L	212	<147	74.7	56.8	45.6	61.3	69.0	64	84.3	<29.0	41.9	<29.0	1500
Beryllium Total	ug/L	<2	<2	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	<3.3	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	1100
Chromium Total	ug/L	<5.7	NA	<6.8	<6.8	<6.8	<6.8	<6.8	<6.3	<10.0	<6.3	<6.3	<6.3	50
Copper Total	ug/L	4.0	<3.8	12.7	7.4	10.0	12.6	7.5	13.6	19.6	<4.5	6.0	8.4	15
Iron Total	ug/L	228	206	884	818	1250	1620	1520	155	89	<10.0	24.9	58.8	300
Nickel Total	ug/L	<20	<20	<23	<23.0	<23	<23.0	<23.0	<16.0	<19	<16.0	<16.0	<16.0	100
Sodium Total	mg/L	112	90.6	3180	2690	1610	5560	4510	3780	3120	1870	3090	1130	
Zinc Total	ug/L	36.5	<2	6.2	7.5	36.1	34.2	23.7	<2.9	12.9	<2.9	<2.9	<2.9	1000
Antimony Total	ug/L	<5	<4	<25	<25.0	<25	<20.0	<15.0	<25.0	<20.0	<25.0	<15.0	<15.0	200
Arsenic Total	ug/L	2.2	<2.7	3.2	<4.6	<4.6	<5.4	<6.3	<5.0	<12.4	<24.0	<9.2	<6.9	50
Cadmium Total	ug/L	<0.5	<0.2	2.5	<1.0	<1	<1.5	<0.5	<1.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.5	5.0
Lead Total	ug/L	<2.3	<2.3	<7	<1.4	<1.4	<1.4	<3.0	3.9	<2.0	<1.4	<2.8	<1.4	50
Selenium Total	ug/L	<2.6	<2.6	<7.5	32.5	<12.5	<12.5	<7.5	<16.0	<10.0	<10.0	<25.0	9.0	25
Silver Total	ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.05
Mercury total	ug/L	<0.2	0.7	3.3	<0.4	0.4	2.2	1.6	<0.2	<0.2	0.2	<0.2	<2	0.1

* Shall not be increased to exceed values which would cause dissolved oxygen to be depressed below the limit established for Class III waters

*** In no case shall nutrient concentrations of a body of water be altered so as to cause an imbalance in natural populations of aquatic flora and fauna.

NA = Not Analyzed

10.5.2-87

Table 10.5.2-14. Priority Pollutant Scan (Page 1 of 10)

PARAMETERS	STORET	STA1	STA2	STA3	STA4	STA5
UNITS	METHOD	KBN12	KBN12	KBN12	KBN12	KBN12
		1	2	3	4	5
DATE		08/02/89	08/02/89	08/02/89	08/02/89	08/02/89
TIME		09:00	13:45	14:30	11:00	12:15
CYANIDE	720	<0.003	<0.003	<0.003	<0.003	<0.003
	MG/L					
PHENOLS	32730	6	2	<2	4	<2
	UG/L					
THALLIUM, TOTAL	1059	<150	<150	<150	<150	<150
	UG/L					
ALDRIN	39330	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006
	UG/L					
BHC, A	39337	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006
	UG/L					
BHC, B	39338	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006
	UG/L					
BHC, D	34259	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006
	UG/L					
BHC, G(LINDANE)	39340	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006
	UG/L					
CHLORDANE	39350	<0.029	<0.029	<0.029	<0.029	<0.029
	UG/L					
DDD, PP'	39310	<0.029	<0.029	<0.029	<0.029	<0.029
	UG/L					
DDE, PP'	39320	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006
	UG/L					
DDT, PP'	39300	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006
	UG/L					
DIELDRIN	39380	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006
	UG/L					

10.5.2-88

Table 10.5.2-14. Priority Pollutant Scan (Page 2 of 10)

PARAMETERS	UNITS	STORET METHOD	STA1 KBN12 1	STA2 KBN12 2	STA3 KBN12 3	STA4 KBN12 4	STA5 KBN12 5
DATE			08/02/89	08/02/89	08/02/89	08/02/89	08/02/89
TIME			09:00	13:45	14:30	11:00	12:15
ENDOSULFAN, A		34361	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006
UG/L		EC					
ENDOSULFAN, B		34356	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006
UG/L		EC					
ENDOSULFAN SULFATE		34351	<0.029	<0.029	<0.029	<0.029	<0.029
UG/L		EC					
ENDRIN		39390	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006
UG/L		EC					
ENDRIN ALDEHYDE		34366	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006
UG/L		EC					
HEPTACHLOR		39410	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006
UG/L		EC					
HEPTACHLOR EPOXIDE		39420	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006
UG/L		EC					
TOXAPHENE		39400	<0.588	<0.588	<0.588	<0.588	<0.588
UG/L		EC					
PCB-1016		34671	<0.118	<0.118	<0.118	<0.118	<0.118
UG/L		EC					
PCB-1260		39508	<0.118	<0.118	<0.118	<0.118	<0.118
UG/L		EC					
PCB-1221		39488	<0.118	<0.118	<0.118	<0.118	<0.118
UG/L		EC					
PCB-1232		39492	<0.118	<0.118	<0.118	<0.118	<0.118
UG/L		EC					
PCB-1242		39496	<0.118	<0.118	<0.118	<0.118	<0.118
UG/L		EC					
PCB 1248		39500	<0.118	<0.118	<0.118	<0.118	<0.118
UG/L		EC					
PCB-1254		39504	<0.118	<0.118	<0.118	<0.118	<0.118
UG/L		EC					
BENZENE		34030	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
UG/L		GMS					

10.5.2-89

Table 10.5.2-14. Priority Pollutant Scan (Page 3 of 10)

PARAMETERS	STORET	STA1	STA2	STA3	STA4	STA5
UNITS	METHOD	KBN12	KBN12	KBN12	KBN12	KBN12
		1	2	3	4	5
DATE		08/02/89	08/02/89	08/02/89	08/02/89	08/02/89
TIME		09:00	13:45	14:30	11:00	12:15
BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	32101	<2.2	<2.2	<2.2	<2.2	<2.2
UG/L	GMS					
BROMOFORM	32104	<4.7	<4.7	<4.7	<4.7	<4.7
UG/L	GMS					
BROMOMETHANE	34413	<5.8	<5.8	<5.8	<5.8	<5.8
UG/L	GMS					
CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	32102	<2.8	<2.8	<2.8	<2.8	<2.8
UG/L	GMS					
CHLOROBENZENE	34301	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0
UG/L	GMS					
CHLOROETHANE	34311	<8.2	<8.2	<8.2	<8.2	<8.2
UG/L	GMS					
2-CHLOROETHYLVINYL	34576	<15	<15	<15	<15	<15
ETHER	UG/L					
CHLOROFORM	32106	<1.6	<1.6	<1.6	<1.6	<1.6
UG/L	GMS					
CHLOROMETHANE	34418	<4.3	<4.3	<4.3	<4.3	<4.3
UG/L	GMS					
DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE	32105	<3.1	<3.1	<3.1	<3.1	<3.1
UG/L	GMS					
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	34496	<4.7	<4.7	<4.7	<4.7	<4.7
UG/L	GMS					
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	34531	<2.8	<2.8	<2.8	<2.8	<2.8
UG/L	GMS					
1,1-DICHLOROETHYLENE	34501	<2.8	<2.8	<2.8	<2.8	<2.8
UG/L	GMS					
TRANS-1,2-DICHLORO	34546	<1.6	<1.6	<1.6	<1.6	<1.6
ETHENE	UG/L					
1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	34541	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0
UG/L	GMS					
CIS-1,3-DICHLORO	34704	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0
PROPENE	UG/L					
	GMS					

10.5.2-90

Table 10.5.2-14. Priority Pollutant Scan (Page 4 of 10)

PARAMETERS	STORET	STA1	STA2	STA3	STA4	STA5
UNITS	METHOD	KBN12	KBN12	KBN12	KBN12	KBN12
		1	2	3	4	5
DATE		08/02/89	08/02/89	08/02/89	08/02/89	08/02/89
TIME		09:00	13:45	14:30	11:00	12:15
TRANS-1,3-DICHLORO PROPENE	34699 UG/L GMS	<6.4	<6.4	<6.4	<6.4	<6.4
ETHYLBENZENE	34371 UG/L GMS	<6.2	<6.2	<6.2	<6.2	<6.2
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	34423 UG/L GMS	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0
1,1,2,2-TETRACHLORO ETHANE	34516 UG/L GMS	<4.1	<4.1	<4.1	<4.1	<4.1
TETRACHLOROETHENE	34475 UG/L GMS	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0
TOLUENE	34010 UG/L GMS	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0
1,1,1-TRICHL'ETHANE	34506 UG/L GMS	<3.8	<3.8	<3.8	<3.8	<3.8
1,1,2-TRICHL'ETHANE	34511 UG/L GMS	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0
TRICHLOROETHENE	39180 UG/L GMS	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
TRICHLOROFLUORO- METHANE	34488 UG/L GMS	<3.2	<3.2	<3.2	<3.2	<3.2
VINYL CHLORIDE	39175 UG/L GMS	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
ACROLEIN	34210 UG/L GMS	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
ACRYLONITRILE	34215 UG/L GMS	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
DICHLORODIFLUORO- METHANE	34668 UG/L GMS	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ACENAPHTHENE	34205 UG/L GMS	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
ACENAPHTHYLENE	34200 UG/L GMS	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0

10.5.2-91

Table 10.5.2-14. Priority Pollutant Scan (Page 5 of 10)

PARAMETERS	STORET	STA1	STA2	STA3	STA4	STA5
UNITS	METHOD	KBN12	KBN12	KBN12	KBN12	KBN12
		1	2	3	4	5
DATE		08/02/89	08/02/89	08/02/89	08/02/89	08/02/89
TIME		09:00	13:45	14:30	11:00	12:15
ANTHRACENE	34220	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
UG/L	GMS					
BENZIDINE	39120	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0
UG/L	GMS					
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE	34526	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
UG/L	GMS					
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE	34230	<1.5	<1.5	<1.5	<1.5	<1.5
UG/L	GMS					
BENZO(K)FLUORANTHENE	34242	<1.5	<1.5	<1.5	<1.5	<1.5
UG/L	GMS					
BENZO(A)PYRENE	34247	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
UG/L	GMS					
BENZO(GHI)PERYLENE	34521	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5
UG/L	GMS					
BUTYLBENZYLPHTHALATE	34292	<1.5	<1.5	<1.5	<1.5	<1.5
UG/L	GMS					
BIS(2-CHLOROETHYL)	34273	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
ETHER UG/L	GMS					
BIS(2-CHLOROETHOXY)	34278	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
METHANE UG/L	GMS					
BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)	39100	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
PHTHALATE UG/L	GMS					
BIS(2-CHL'ISOPROPYL)	34283	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
ETHER UG/L	GMS					
4-BROMOPHENYLPHENYL	34636	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
ETHER UG/L	GMS					
2-CHLORONAPHTHALENE	34581	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
UG/L	GMS					
2-CHLOROPHENOL	34586	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
UG/L	GMS					
4-CHLORO-3-METHYL	34452	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
PHENOL UG/L	GMS					

10.5.2-92

Table 10.5.2-14. Priority Pollutant Scan (Page 6 of 10)

PARAMETERS	STORET	STA1	STA2	STA3	STA4	STA5
UNITS	METHOD	KBN12	KBN12	KBN12	KBN12	KBN12
		1	2	3	4	5
DATE		08/02/89	08/02/89	08/02/89	08/02/89	08/02/89
TIME		09:00	13:45	14:30	11:00	12:15
4-CHLOROPHENYLPHENYL ETHER	34641 GMS UG/L	<1.5	<1.5	<1.5	<1.5	<1.5
CHRYSENE	34320 GMS UG/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
DIBEN'(A,H)ANTH'CENE	34556 GMS UG/L	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5
DI-N-BUTYLPHTHALATE	39110 GMS UG/L	<1.0	<1.0	1.1	<1.0	<1.0
1,3,DICHLOROENZENE	34566 GMS UG/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
1,2-DICHLOROENZENE	34536 GMS UG/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
1,4-DICHLOROENZENE	34571 GMS UG/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
3,3'-DICHL'BENZIDINE	34631 GMS UG/L	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0
2,4-DICHLOROPHENOL	34601 GMS UG/L	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
DIETHYLPHTHALATE	34336 GMS UG/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
2,4-DIMETHYLPHENOL	34606 GMS UG/L	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
DIMETHYLPHTHALATE	34341 GMS UG/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
2,4-DINITROPHENOL	34616 GMS UG/L	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30
2,4-DINITROTOLUENE	34611 GMS UG/L	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
2,6-DINITROTOLUENE	34626 GMS UG/L	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
DI-N-OCTYLPHTHALATE	34596 GMS UG/L	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0

10.5.2-93

Table 10.5.2-14. Priority Pollutant Scan (Page 7 of 10)

PARAMETERS	STORET	STA1	STA2	STA3	STA4	STA5
UNITS	METHOD	KBN12	KBN12	KBN12	KBN12	KBN12
		1	2	3	4	5
DATE		08/02/89	08/02/89	08/02/89	08/02/89	08/02/89
TIME		09:00	13:45	14:30	11:00	12:15
1,2-DIPHEN'HYDRAZINE	34346	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
UG/L	GMS					
FLUORANTHENE	34376	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
UG/L	GMS					
FLUORENE	34381	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
UG/L	GMS					
HEXACHLOROBENZENE	39700	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
UG/L	GMS					
HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	34391	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
UG/L	GMS					
HEXACHLOROCYCLOPENTA	34386	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
DIENE UG/L	GMS					
HEXACHLOROETHANE	34396	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
UG/L	GMS					
INDENO(1,2,3-CD)	34403	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5
PYRENE UG/L	GMS					
ISOPHORONE	34408	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
UG/L	GMS					
2-METHYL-4,6-DINITRO	34657	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20
PHENOL UG/L	GMS					
NAPHTHALENE	34696	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
UG/L	GMS					
NITROBENZENE	34447	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
UG/L	GMS					
2-NITROPHENOL	34591	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
UG/L	GMS					
4-NITROPHENOL	34646	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
UG/L	GMS					
N-NITROSODIMET'AMINE	34438	<1.5	<1.5	<1.5	<1.5	<1.5
UG/L	GMS					
N-NITROSODI-N-PROPYL	34428	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
AMINE UG/L	GMS					

10.5.2-94

Table 10.5.2-14. Priority Pollutant Scan (Page 8 of 10)

PARAMETERS	STORET	STA1	STA2	STA3	STA4	STA5
UNITS	METHOD	KBN12	KBN12	KBN12	KBN12	KBN12
		1	2	3	4	5
DATE		08/02/89	08/02/89	08/02/89	08/02/89	08/02/89
TIME		09:00	13:45	14:30	11:00	12:15
N-NITROSODIPHE'AMINE	34433	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
UG/L	GMS					
PENTACHLOROPHENOL	39032	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
UG/L	GMS					
PHENANTHRENE	34461	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
UG/L	GMS					
PHENOL	34694	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
UG/L	GMS					
PYRENE	34469	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
UG/L	GMS					
1,2,4-TRICH' BENZENE	34551	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
UG/L	GMS					
2,4,6-TRICHL' PHENOL	34621	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0
UG/L	GMS					
2,3,7,8-TCDD	34675	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
UG/L	GMS					

10.5.2-95

Table 10.5.2-14. Priority Pollutant Scan (Page 9 of 10)

PARAMETERS	STORET	KBN12
UNITS	METHOD	
DATE		08/02/89
TIME		00:00
BENZENE	34030	<1.0
UG/L	GMS	
BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	32101	<2.2
UG/L	GMS	
BROMOFORM	32104	<4.7
UG/L	GMS	
BROMOMETHANE	34413	<5.8
UG/L	GMS	
CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	32102	<2.8
UG/L	GMS	
CHLOROBENZENE	34301	<6.0
UG/L	GMS	
CHLOROETHANE	34311	<8.2
UG/L	GMS	
2-CHLOROETHYL VINYL	34576	<15
ETHER	UG/L	GMS
CHLOROFORM	32106	<1.6
UG/L	GMS	
CHLOROMETHANE	34418	<4.3
UG/L	GMS	
DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE	32105	<3.1
UG/L	GMS	
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	34496	<4.7
UG/L	GMS	
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	34531	<2.8
UG/L	GMS	
1,1-DICHLOROETHYLENE	34501	<2.8
UG/L	GMS	
TRANS-1,2-DICHLORO	34546	<1.6
ETHENE	UG/L	GMS
1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	34541	<6.0
UG/L	GMS	

10.5.2-96

Table 10.5.2-14. Priority Pollutant Scan (Page 10 of 10)


PARAMETERS	UNITS	STORET METHOD	TRPBLK KBN12 8
DATE			08/02/89
TIME			00:00
CIS-1,3-DICHLORO		34704	<5.0
PROPENE	UG/L	GMS	
TRANS-1,3-DICHLORO		34699	<6.4
PROPENE	UG/L	GMS	
ETHYLBENZENE		34371	<6.2
	UG/L	GMS	
METHYLENE CHLORIDE		34423	<5.0
	UG/L	GMS	
1,1,2,2-TETRACHLORO		34516	<4.1
ETHANE	UG/L	GMS	
TETRACHLOROETHENE		34475	<3.0
	UG/L	GMS	
TOLUENE		34010	<6.0
	UG/L	GMS	
1,1,1-TRICHL'ETHANE		34506	<3.8
	UG/L	GMS	
1,1,2-TRICHL'ETHANE		34511	<5.0
	UG/L	GMS	
TRICHLOROETHENE		39180	<1.0
	UG/L	GMS	
TRICHLOROFLUORO-		34488	<3.2
METHANE	UG/L	GMS	
VINYL CHLORIDE		39175	<1.0
	UG/L	GMS	

10.5.2-97

APPENDIX 10.5.3


GEOTECHNICAL

I-C-3-1




FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY COMBUSTION TURBINE REPOWERING LAUDERDALE UNITS 4 & 5		
SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATION REPORT BORING LOCATION PLAN		
EBASCO SERVICES INCORPORATED		
SCALE 1"=60' DIV. CIVIL DR. J. MORRIS CR. K. KESSLER	APPROVED 	DATE 12/9/88
		FIGURE 1


10.5.3-2



10.5.3-3




10.5.3-4



NOTES :

- 1 - FOOTINGS SHALL BE SIZED TO LIMIT SETTLEMENT TO ONE (1) INCH.
- 2 - INTERPOLATION BETWEEN CURVES IS NOT PERMITTED. FOR INTERMEDIATE FOOTING SIZES, THE CURVE SHOWN ASSOCIATED WITH THE NEXT LARGEST FOOTING SIZE SHALL BE USED.
- 3 - ALL FOOTINGS SHALL BE FOUNDED ON COMPACTED BACKFILL (MINIMUM 3'-0 THICK).
- 4 - COMPACTED BACKFILL SHALL HAVE A DENSITY OF 95% OF THE MAXIMUM DENSITY ESTABLISHED BY ASTM D1557.



TYPICAL SECTION
 B' DENOTES WIDTH OF FOOTING (FT)

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY COMBUSTION TURBINE REPOWERING LAUDERDALE UNITS 4 & 5		
SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATION REPORT SPREAD FOOTING DESIGN CHART		
EBASCO SERVICES INCORPORATED		
SCALE NONE	APPROVED	DATE 12/9/88
DIV. CIVIL		
DR. T. MORRIS		
CH. K. KESSLER		
		FIGURE 4

APPENDIX A -- BORING LOGS

SOIL TEST BORINGS

**FPL LAUDERDALE REPOWERING PROJECT GEOTECHNICAL EXPLORATION
SERVICES CONTRACT #AE-88031**

FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA

SEPTEMBER 1988

Prepared for:

EBASCO SERVICES, INC.

NORCROSS, GEORGIA

**WINGERTER LABORATORIES, INC.
1820 N.E. 144th Street
North Miami, Florida**

WINGERTER LABORATORIES, INC.

Engineering Testing & Inspection Service
1820 N.E. 144th Street
North Miami, Florida 33181

Page 1 of 13

REPORTS (NO. B-1 thru B-5) OF: TEST BORINGS ORDER NO. 19184.1(1002)
CLIENT: Ebasco Services, Inc.
PROJECT: FPL Lauderdale Repowering Proj. Geotechnical Exploration Serv. Cont.#AE-88031
LOCATION: SW 42nd Avenue, Ft. Lauderdale, Florida
ARCHITECT: Not Furnished
ENGINEER: Not Furnished
DATE: September 12 and 13, 1988
REPORTED TO: Ebasco Services, Inc.
145 Technology Park/Atlanta
Norcross, Georgia 33092

Gentlemen:

Submitted herewith are logs of test borings performed by **WINGERTER LABORATORIES, INC.** at the project location under authorization of the client. The logs present factual information on the subsurface conditions at the specific test boring locations; no warrantee is expressed or implied that materials or conditions other than those described will not be encountered at the project site.

These logs are submitted as the confidential property of the client; for our mutual protection, authorization for publication or extraction of information contained on the logs is reserved pending our written approval.

Field work was performed using standard drilling equipment. Soil samples (disturbed) were obtained in accordance with ASTM D-1586 by which method a 2-foot long, 2-inch diameter split spoon sampler is advanced by successive blows of a 140 pound hammer free-falling 30 inches. The number of blows for each 6 inches of penetration is recorded; the sum of the second and third blow counts for each 2-foot sampling interval constitutes the Standard Penetration Resistance in blows per foot.

Soil samples will be retained by **WINGERTER LABORATORIES, INC.** for a period of 90 days only unless specifically requested otherwise by the client.

The number and locations of the test borings were determined by the client.


Test borings were staked in the field by the client.

Borings were terminated at depths as determined by the client.

Elevations of the test borings were furnished by the client.

Interpretation and recommendations based upon these data will be made if requested.

Respectfully submitted,
WINGERTER LABORATORIES, INC.

 9/15/88
Felix A. Peguero-Guerrero, P.E.
Florida Registration No. 34473

The original of this report was signed and sealed by the above registered engineer in accordance with Rule 21H-18.11, Chapter 471, Florida Statute.

As mutual protection to clients, the public and ourselves, all reports are submitted as the confidential property of clients, and authorization for publication of statements, conclusions or extracts from or regarding our reports is reserved pending our written approval.

REPORT OF: **TEST BORING**
 CLIENT: Ebasco Services, Inc. ORDER NO. 19184.1(1002)
 SUBJECT: 145 Technology Park/Atlanta, Norcross, Georgia HOLE NO.: B-1
 LOCATION: FPL Lauderdale Repowering Proj. Geotechnical Exploration Serv. Contr. #AE-88031
Staked in field by client, shown on boring location sketch DATE START: 9-13-88
 DRILLER: E. Adderly DRILL NO.: 164 DATE COMPLETE: 9-13-88

Depth	El.	Description of Materials	SMP No.	Hammer Blows on Sampler	Pene-tration (Foot)	Hammer Blows on Casing
0.0	+5.57	Tan to gray calcareous SAND and fragmented LIMESTONE with trace asphalt		49 30 35 42		HOLLOW STEM AUGER
2.0		Brown to black quartz SAND with some fragmented limestone	1	32 20 19	1 2	
4.0		Brown quartz SAND with some fragmented limestone	2	18 12 18	4 5	
6.0		Brown quartz SAND and fragmented LIMESTONE with trace silt	3	7 3 2 4	6 7	
8.0		Gray to black organic stained quartz SAND and SILT with some fragmented limestone	4	3 8 13 11	8 9	
10.0		Tan to gray quartz SAND with some fragmented limestone	5	8 5 2 2	10 11	
			6	2 2	12	
					13	
					14	
5.0					15	

---continued---

As mutual protection to the owners and ourselves, the engineer in the owner's behalf shall check this report with the samples submitted prior to the purchase of property, or designing of structures.

As further protection to clients, the public and ourselves, all reports are submitted as the confidential property of clients, and authorization for publication of statements, conclusions or extracts from or regarding our reports is reserved pending our written approval.

Reported By: *B. Kasroozadeh*
 Bruce Kasroozadeh, E.I.
 Geotechnical Engineer

WINGERTER LABORATORIES, INC.

Florida Registration No. 34473

The original of this report was signed and sealed by the above registered engineer in accordance with Rule 21H-18.11, Chapter 471, Florida Statute.

WINGERTER LABORATORIES, INC.
 Engineering Testing & Inspection Service
 1820 N.E. 144th Street
 North Miami, Florida 33181

9-14-88 nn
 Page 3 of 13

No. 1
 REPORT OF: **TEST BORING**
 CLIENT: Ebasco Services, Inc. ORDER NO. 19184.1(1002)
 PROJECT: 145 Technology Park/Atlanta, Norcross, Georgia HOLE NO.: B-1
 LOCATION: FPI Lauderdale Repowering Proj. Geotechnical Exploration Serv. Contr. #AE-88031
Staked in field by client, shown on boring location sketch
 DATE START: 9-13-88
 DRILLER: E. Adderly DRILL NO.: 164 DATE COMPLETE: 9-13-88

Depth	El.	Description of Materials	SMP No.	Hammer Blows on Sampler	Pene-tration (Feet)	Hammer Blows on Casing
15.0	-9.43	Tan to gray calcareous SAND with some fragmented limestone		12 6 7 11		HOLLOW STEM AUGER
20.0		Tan to gray fragmented LIMESTONE with some calcareous sand		4 7 7 10		
20.0			7		17	
					18	
					19	
					20	
					21	
			8		22	
					23	
					24	
					25	
				6		
				6		
				5		
			9		27	
					28	
				5		
				4		
				5		
30.0		Boring terminated at 30.0'	10		30	

Hammer Weight: Casing - ___ lbs.; Spoon 140 lbs. // Hammer Drop: Casing - ___"; Spoon 30" //
 Split Sampler: 1.5" I.D.; 2.0" C.D.; 24" Long // Casing: - ___" I.D.; - ___" C.D.
 Type HSA // Water Level 4'7" Below Surface at - Date 9-13-88

As mutual protection to the owners and ourselves, the engineer in the owner's behalf shall check this report with the samples submitted prior to the purchase of property, or designing of structures.

As further protection to clients, the public and ourselves, all reports are submitted as the confidential property of clients, and authorization for publication of statements, conclusions or extracts from or regarding our reports is reserved pending our written approval.

Reported By:
B. Kosroozadeh
 Bruce Kosroozadeh, E.I.
 Geotechnical Engineer

WINGERTER LABORATORIES, INC.
Felix A. Peguero-Guerrero 9/15/88
 Felix A. Peguero-Guerrero, P. E.
 Florida Registration No. 34473

The original of this report was signed and sealed by the above registered engineer in accordance with Rule 21H-18.11, Chapter 471, Florida Statute.

REPORT OF: **TEST BORING**
 CLIENT: Ebasco Services, Inc. ORDER NO. 19184.1(1002)
 SUBJECT: 145 Technology Park/Atlanta, Norcross, Georgia HOLE NO.: B-2
 LOCATION: FPL Lauderdale Repowering Proj. Geotechnical Exploration Serv. Contr. #AE-88031
Staked in field by client, shown on boring location sketch (Off-set 14' East) DATE START: 9-12-88
 DRILLER: J. Cooper DRILL NO.: 152 DATE COMPLETE: 9-12-88

Depth	El.	Description of Materials	SMP No.	Hammer Blows on Sampler	Pene-tration (Foot)	Hammer Blows on Casing
0.0	+4.77	Tan to brown organic stained quartz SAND with some roots and fragmented limestone		5		HOLLOW STEM AUGER
2.0		Tan to gray calcareous SAND and fragmented LIMESTONE	1	13	2	
3.0		Gray to black organic stained quartz SAND	2	10	3	
			3	5	4	
				3	5	
6.0			4	3	6	
7.0		Black organic stained semi-plastic quartz SAND with trace roots	5	2	7	
8.0		Gray to black organic stained quartz SAND	6	3	8	
				4	8	
		Tan to gray quartz SAND		3	9	
10.0			7	2	10	
				3	10	
11.0		Black organic stained semi-plastic quartz SAND and ROOTS	8	2	11	
12.0		Light brown quartz SAND	9	3	12	
				3	12	
		Tan to gray fragmented LIMESTONE with some quartz sand			13	
					14	
					15	

---continued---

As mutual protection to the owners and ourselves, the engineer in the owner's behalf shall check this report with the samples submitted prior to the purchase of property, or designing of structures.

As further protection to clients, the public and ourselves, all reports are submitted as the confidential property of clients, and authorization for publication of statements, conclusions or extracts from or regarding our reports is reserved pending our written approval.

Reported By: 
 Bruce Kosrozaeh, E.I.
 Geotechnical Engineer

WINGERTER LABORATORIES, INC.

Florida Registration No. 34473

The original of this report was signed and sealed by the above registered engineer in accordance with Rule 21H-18.11, Chapter 471, Florida Statute.

WINGERTER LABORATORIES, INC.
 Engineering Testing & Inspection Service
 1820 N.E. 144th Street
 North Miami, Florida 33181

9-14-88 nn
 Page 5 of 13

No. 2

REPORT OF: TEST BORING

CLIENT: Ebasco Services, Inc.

ORDER NO. 19184.1(1002)

145 Technology Park/Atlanta, Norcross, Georgia

HOLE NO.: B-2

PJECT: FPL Lauderdale Repowering Proj. Geotechnical Exploration Serv. Contr. #AE-88031

LOCATION: Staked in field by client, shown on boring location sketch (Off-set 14' East)

DATE START: 9-12-88

DRILLER: J. Cooper

DRILL NO.: 152

DATE COMPLETE: 9-12-88

Depth	EI.	Description of Materials	SAMP No.	Hammer Blows on Sampler	Pene-tration (Feet)	Hammer Blows on Casing
15.0	-10.23	Tan to gray fragmented LIMESTONE with some quartz sand		3		HOLLOW STEM AUGER
				3	16	
				4		
			10	4	17	
					18	
					19	
					20	
				12		
				6	21	
				6		
			11	5	22	
					23	
					24	
					25	
				25		
				10	26	
				7		
			12	7	27	
					28	
28.0		Tan to gray quartz SAND and fragmented LIMESTONE		7		
				5	29	
				4		
30.0		Boring terminated at 30.0'	13	4	30	

Hammer Weight: Casing - lbs.; Spoon 140lbs. // Hammer Drop: Casing - "; Spoon 30" //
 Split Sampler: 1.5" I.D.; 2.0" C.D.; 24" Long // Casing: -" I.D.; -" C.D.
 Type HSA Water Level 5'0" Below Surface at 2:30PM Date 9-12-88

As mutual protection to the owners and ourselves, the engineer in the owner's behalf shall check this report with the samples submitted prior to the purchase of property, or designing of structures.

As further protection to clients, the public and ourselves, all reports are submitted as the confidential property of clients, and authorization for publication of statements, conclusions or extracts from or regarding our reports is reserved pending our written approval.

Reported By:

B. Kosrozaadeh

Bruce Kosrozaadeh, E.I.
 Geotechnical Engineer

WINGERTER LABORATORIES, INC.

Felix A. Peguero-Guerrero 9/15/88
 Felix A. Peguero-Guerrero, P. E.
 Florida Registration No. 34473

The original of this report was signed and sealed by the above registered engineer in accordance with Rule 21H-18.11, Chapter 471, Florida Statute.

WINGERTER LABORATORIES, INC.

Engineering Testing & Inspection Service
1820 N.E. 144th Street

9-14-88 nn
Page 6 of 13

No. 3(2 pages)

North Miami, Florida 33181

REPORT OF: **TEST BORING**
 CLIENT: Ebasco Services, Inc. ORDER NO. 19184.1(1002)
145 Technology Park/Atlanta, Norcross, Georgia HOLE NO.: B-3
 SUBJECT: FPI Lauderdale Repowering Proj. Geotechnical Exploration Serv. Contr. #AE-88031
 LOCATION: Staked in field by client, shown on boring location sketch
 DATE START: 9-12-88
 DRILLER: J. Cooper DRILL NO.: 152 DATE COMPLETE: 9-12-88

Depth	El.	Description of Materials	SMP No.	Hammer Blows on Sampler	Pene-tration (Foot)	Hammer Blows on Casing
0.0	+5.09					
1.0		Brown to black organic stained quartz SAND with some roots	1	2 3	1	HOLLOW STEM AUGER
2.0		Gray to black organic stained semi-plastic quartz SAND with some organics and trace roots Tan to gray quartz SAND	2	4 5 5	2	
4.0			3	6 4 4	3 4	
6.0		Brown quartz SAND with some fragmented limestone	4	4 3	5 6	
7.0		Gray to black semi-plastic quartz SAND with some organics	5	1 2	7	
8.0		Tan to gray quartz SAND with trace organics	6	3 4 4	8 9	
10.0		Brown quartz SAND with trace fragmented limestone	7	4 5	10	
12.0		Black organic stained semi-plastic quartz SAND with some fragmented limestone	8	3 3 3	11	
		Tan to gray fragmented LIMESTONE with some quartz sand			12	
					13	
					14	
					15	

---continued---

As mutual protection to the owners and ourselves, the engineer in the owner's behalf shall check this report with the samples submitted prior to the purchase of property, or designing of structures.

As further protection to clients, the public and ourselves, all reports are submitted as the confidential property of clients, and authorization for publication of statements, conclusions or extracts from or regarding our reports is reserved pending our written approval.

Reported By: B. Kasroozadeh
 Bruce Kasroozadeh, E.I.
 Geotechnical Engineer

WINGERTER LABORATORIES, INC.

Florida Registration No. 34473

The original of this report was signed and sealed by the above registered engineer in accordance with Rule 21H-18.11, Chapter 471, Florida Statute.

WINGERTER LABORATORIES, INC.
 Engineering Testing & Inspection Service
 1820 N.E. 144th Street
 North Miami, Florida 33181

No. 3

9-14-88 nn
 Page 7 of 13

REPORT OF: **TEST BORING**

CLIENT: **Ebasco Services, Inc.**

ORDER NO. **19184.1(1002)**

145 Technology Park/Atlanta, Norcross, Georgia

HOLE NO.: **B-3**

SUBJECT: EPL Lauderdale Repowering Proj. Geotechnical Exploration Serv. Contr. #AE-88031

LOCATION: Staked in field by client, shown on boring location sketch

DATE START: **9-12-88**

DRILLER: **J. Cooper**

DRILL NO.: **152**

DATE COMPLETE: **9-12-88**

Depth	El.	Description of Materials	SMP No.	Hammer Blows on Sampler	Pene-tration (Feet)	Hammer Blows on Casing
15.0	-9.91	Tan to gray fragmented LIMESTONE with some quartz sand				HOLLOW STEM AUGER
					4	
					4	16
					5	
			9	4	17	
						18
						19
						20
					6	
					8	21
					7	
			10	7	22	
						23
						24
						25
					14	
					8	26
					6	
			11	8	27	
						28
					20	
					8	29
					7	
30.0		Boring terminated at 30.0'	12	7	30	

Hammer Weight: Casing - ___ lbs.; Spoon 140 lbs. // Hammer Drop: Casing - ___"; Spoon 30" //
 Split: Sampler: 1.5" I.D.; 2.0" C.D.; 24" Long // Casing: - ___" I.D.; - ___" C.D.
 Type HSA // Water Level 5'0" Below Surface at 11:30AM Date 9-12-88

As mutual protection to the owners and ourselves, the engineer in the owner's behalf shall check this report with the samples submitted prior to the purchase of property, or designing of structures.

As further protection to clients, the public and ourselves, all reports are submitted as the confidential property of clients, and authorization for publication of statements, conclusions or extracts from or regarding our reports is reserved pending our written approval.

Reported By:

B. Kosroozadeh
 Bruce Kosroozadeh, E.I.
 Geotechnical Engineer

WINGERTER LABORATORIES, INC.

Felix A. Pegueño-Guerrero 9/15/88
 Felix A. Pegueño-Guerrero, P. E.
 Florida Registration No. 34473

The original of this report was signed and sealed by the above registered engineer in accordance with Rule 21H-18.11, Chapter 471, Florida Statute.

REPORT OF: **TEST BORING**
 CLIENT: Ebasco Services, Inc. ORDER NO. 19184.1(1002)
145 Technology Park/Atlanta, Norcross, Georgia HOLE NO.: B-4
 SUBJECT: FPL Lauderdale Repowering Proj. Geotechnical Exploration Serv. Contr. #AE-88031
 LOCATION: Staked in field by client, shown on boring location sketch(Off-set 4' North) DATE START: 9-13-88
 DRILLER: E. Adderly DRILL NO.: 164 DATE COMPLETE: 9-13-88

Depth	El.	Description of Materials	SMP No.	Hammer Blows on Sampler	Pene-tration (Foot)	Hammer Blows on Casing
0.0	+4.88					
0.5		Brown to black organic stained quartz SAND with some roots and fragmented limestone	1	6 12	1	HOLLOW STEM AUGER
1.0		Tan to gray quartz SAND with some fragmented limestone	2	15 17	2	
		Brown to black quartz SAND with some fragmented limestone		16 17	3	
4.0			3	16 12	4	
		Brown to black organic stained quartz SAND with some silt and roots with trace fragmented limestone		11 6	5	
6.0			4	2 3	6	
		Brown to black organic rich SILT and ROOTS		4 3	7	
7.0			5	2 1	8	
		Tan to gray quartz SAND		1		
9.0			6	1 2	9	
		Brown to black organic stained semi plastic clayey silty quartz SAND		7	10	
10.0			7	4 2	11	
		Tan to gray quartz SAND		1		
12.0			8	WOH 1	12	
		Tan to gray semi plastic clayey silty quartz SAND		1	13	
			9	1 2	14	
13.0					15	


---continued---

As mutual protection to the owners and ourselves, the engineer in the owner's behalf shall check this report with the samples submitted prior to the purchase of property, or designing of structures.

As further protection to clients, the public and ourselves, all reports are submitted as the confidential property of clients, and authorization for publication of statements, conclusions or extracts from or regarding our reports is reserved pending our written approval.

Reported By:

WINGERTER LABORATORIES, INC.


 Bruce Kosroozadeh, E.I.
 Geotechnical Engineer

Florida Registration No. 34473

The original of this report was signed and sealed by the above registered engineer in accordance with Rule 21H-13.11, Chapter 471, Florida Statute.

WINGERTER LABORATORIES, INC.
 Engineering Testing & Inspection Service
 1820 N.E. 144th Street
 North Miami, Florida 33181

No. 4

9-14-88 nn
 Page 9 of 13

REPORT OF: **TEST BORING**

CLIENT: Ebasco Services, Inc.

ORDER NO. 19184.1(1002)

PROJECT: 145 Technology Park/Atlanta, Norcross, Georgia
EPL Lauderdale Repowering Proj. Geotechnical Exploration Serv. Contr. #AE-88031

HOLE NO.: B-4

LOCATION: Staked in field by client, shown on boring location sketch (Off-set 4' North)

DATE START: 9-13-88

DRILLER: E. Adderly

DRILL NO.: 164

DATE COMPLETE: 9-13-88

Depth	El.	Description of Materials	SMP No.	Hammer Blows on Sampler	Pene-tration (Feet)	Hammer Blows on Casing
15.0	-10.12	Brown quartz SAND with trace fragmented limestone		1		HOLLOW STEM AUGER
				6	16	
			10	5		
				4	17	
					18	
					19	
20.0		Tan to gray fragmented LIMESTONE with some calcareous sand		9	20	
				6	21	
			11	5	22	
					23	
					24	
					25	
				14		
				9	26	
				6		
			12	5	27	
					28	
				3		
				5	29	
				7		
30.0		Boring terminated at 30.0'	13	5	30	

WOH = WEIGHT OF HAMMER

Hammer Weight: Casing - ___ lbs.; Spoon 140 lbs. // Hammer Drop: Casing - ___"; Spoon 30" //
 Split Sampler: 1.5" I.D.; 2.0" O.D.; 24" Long // Casing: - ___" I.D.; - ___" O.D.
 Type HSA // Water Level 4'0" Below Surface at 9:30AM Date 9-13-88

As mutual protection to the owners and ourselves, the engineer in the owner's behalf shall check this report with the samples submitted prior to the purchase of property, or designing of structures.

As further protection to clients, the public and ourselves, all reports are submitted as the confidential property of clients, and authorization for publication of statements, conclusions or extracts from or regarding our reports is reserved pending our written approval.

Reported By:

B. Kosroozadeh
 Bruce Kosroozadeh, E.I.
 Geotechnical Engineer

WINGERTER LABORATORIES, INC.

Felix A. Peguero-Guerrero 9/15/88
 Felix A. Peguero-Guerrero, P. E.
 Florida Registration No. 34473

The original of this report was signed and sealed by the above registered engineer in accordance with Rule 21H-18.01, Chapter 471, Florida Statute.

REPORT OF: **TEST BORING**
 CLIENT: Ebasco Services, Inc. ORDER NO. 19184.1(1002)
 SUBJECT: 145 Technology Park/Atlanta, Norcross, Georgia HOLE NO.: B-5
 LOCATION: FPI Lauderdale Repowering Proj. Geotechnical Exploration Serv. Contr. #AE-88031
Staked in field by client, shown on boring location sketch
 DATE START: 9-13-88
 DRILLER: J. Cooper DRILL NO.: 152 DATE COMPLETE: 9-13-88

Depth	El.	Description of Materials	SMP No.	Hammer Blows on Sampler	Penetration (Foot)	Hammer Blows on Casing
0.0	+5.88					
0.5		Tan to gray fragmented LIMESTONE with some calcareous sand with trace asphalt	1	30		HOLLOW STEM AUGER
		Tan to gray quartz SAND with some fragmented limestone		20	1	
2.0		Tan to gray quartz SAND with trace fragmented limestone	2	25	2	
		Tan to gray quartz SAND with trace fragmented limestone		25		
				15	3	
				15		
4.0		Gray to black organic stained quartz SAND with trace fragmented limestone	3	9	4	
				4		
				4	5	
				3		
6.0		Brown to black fibrous decomposed ORGANIC MATERIAL (PEAT) with trace fragmented limestone	4	2	6	
				2		
7.0		Brown to black fibrous ROOT MAT with some organic rich silt	5	2	7	
				1		
			6	1	8	
				1		
				1	9	
				1		
10.0		Tan to gray quartz SAND	7	2	10	
				3		
				10	11	
				17		
			8	20	12	
					13	
					14	
					15	

---continued---

As mutual protection to the owners and ourselves, the engineer in the owner's behalf shall check this report with the samples submitted prior to the purchase of property, or designing of structures.

As further protection to clients, the public and ourselves, all reports are submitted as the confidential property of clients, and authorization for publication of statements, conclusions or extracts from or regarding our reports is reserved pending our written approval.

Reported By:

WINGERTER LABORATORIES, INC.

[Signature]

George Kosroozadeh, E.I.
 Geotechnical Engineer

Florida Registration No. 34473

The original of this report was signed and sealed by the above registered engineer in accordance with Rule 21H-13.11, Chapter 471, Florida Statute.

WINGERTER LABORATORIES, INC.

Engineering Testing & Inspection Service
1820 N.E. 144th Street

9-14-88 nn
Page 11 of 13

No. 5

North Miami, Florida 33181

REPORT OF: TEST BORING

CLIENT: Ebasco Services, Inc.

ORDER NO. 19184.1(1002)

PROJECT: 145 Technology Park/Atlanta, Norcross, Georgia
FPL Lauderdale Repowering Proj. Geotechnical Exploration Serv. Contr. #AE-88031

LOCATION: Staked in field by client, shown on boring location sketch

DATE START: 9-13-88

DRILLER: J. Cooper

DRILL NO.: 152

DATE COMPLETE: 9-13-88

Depth	El.	Description of Materials	SMP No.	Hammer Blows on Sampler	Penetration (Feet)	Hammer Blows on Casing
15.0	-9.12	Tan to gray quartz SAND		3		
				2	16	HOLLOW STEM AUGER
			9	1		
				1	17	
					18	
					19	
					20	
				5		
				1	21	
				1		
			10	1	22	
					23	
					24	
					25	
				9		
				8	26	
				12		
			11	12	27	
					28	
				6		
				7	29	
				7		
2.0		Boring terminated at 30.0'	12	7	30	

Hammer Weight: Casing - lbs.; Spoon 140 lbs. // Hammer Drop: Casing - "; Spoon 30 " //
Split Samplers: 1.5 " I.D.; 2.0 " C.D.; 24 " Long // Casing: - " I.D.; - " C.D.
Type HSA/ Water Level 5'3" Below Surface at - Date 9-13-88


As mutual protection to the owners and ourselves, the engineer in the owner's behalf shall check this report with the samples submitted prior to the purchase of property, or designing of structures.

As further protection to clients, the public and ourselves, all reports are submitted as the confidential property of clients, and authorization for publication of statements, conclusions or extracts from or regarding our reports is reserved pending our written approval.

Reported By:


Bruce Kosroozadeh, E.I.
Geotechnical Engineer

WINGERTER LABORATORIES, INC.


Felix A. Peguero-Guerrero, P. E.
Florida Registration No. 34473


9/15/88

The original of this report was signed and sealed by the above registered engineer in accordance with Rule 21H-18.11, Chapter 471, Florida Statute. 10.5.3-17

CLIENT: EBASCO SERVICES, INC.
PROJECT: FPL CO., LAUDERDALE RE-POWERING PROJ. GEOTECHNICAL EXPLORATION CONTR. #AE-88031
LOCATION: SW 42nd Avenue, Ft. Lauderdale, Florida

ORDER NO. 19184.1(1002)

TEST BORING LOCATION SKETCH




TEST BORING LOCATION

DRAWN BY: CMA
DATE: 9/15/88

CLIENT: EBASCO SERVICES, INC.
PROJECT: FPL, LAUDERDALE REPOWERING PROJ. GEOTECHNICAL EXPLORATION SERV. CONTR. #AE-880
LOCATION: SW 42nd AVENUE, FT. LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA

TEST BORING LOCATION MAP



NO SCALE

DRAWN BY: CMA
DATE: 9/15/88

APPENDIX B -- SOIL TEST RESULTS

WINGERTER LABORATORIES, INC.

Engineering Testing & Inspection Service
 1820 N.E. 144 St., No. Miami, FL 33181
 Miami (305) 944-3401 Ft. Myers (813) 334-4230

9-20-88 hr

No. 1 (Page 1 of 7)

Order No. 19184.1(1002)

**SOILS LABORATORY TEST REPORT
 WASHED SIEVE ANALYSIS OF SOIL**

REPORT OF:
CLIENT: Ebasco Services, Inc.
PROJECT: FP & L Co., Lauderdale Re-powering Project Geotechnical Exploration
 Services Contract #AE-88031
LOCATION: S.W. 42 Avenue, Ft. Lauderdale, FL
DATE TESTED: 9-19-88
REPORTED TO: Ebasco Services, Inc.
 145 Technology Park/Atlanta
 Norcross, Georgia 30092 Attn: Moin Durrani

RESULTS OF TESTS:

Laboratory No.	5555	5556	5557
Sample No.:	1	2	3
Sample Source:	Boring No. B-1, Sample No. 2, Depth 2'-4'	Boring No. B-1 Sample No. 6, Depth 10'-12'	Boring No. B-1 Sample No. 7, Depth 15'-17'
Description:	Tan to gray calcareous sand and fragmented limestone with a trace of asphalt.	Tan to gray quartz sand with some fragmented limestone.	Tan to gray calcareous sand with some fragmented limestone.

**WASHED
 SIEVE SIZE**

PERCENT PASSING

WASHED SIEVE SIZE	PERCENT PASSING		
1 1/2"		100.0	
1"	100.0	90.2	
3/4"	92.8	84.5	100.0
1/2"	79.3	82.5	94.2
3/8"	74.5	81.4	92.2
No. 4	65.4	76.3	83.0
No. 10	62.0	68.0	76.2
No. 20	60.1	67.0	71.8
No. 40	48.6	58.2	60.2
No. 60	30.8	40.7	42.2
No. 80	14.4	19.1	24.8
No. 140	7.7	1.5	12.1
No. 200	3.8	1.0	9.7

- continued -

As a mutual protection to clients, the public and ourselves, all reports are submitted as the confidential property of clients, and authorization for publication of statements, conclusions or extracts from or regarding our reports is reserved pending our written approval.

WINGERTER LABORATORIES, INC.

Engineering Testing & Inspection Service
1820 N.E. 144 St., No. Miami, FL 33181
Miami (305) 944-3401 Ft. Myers (813) 334-4230

9-20-88 hr

No. 1 (Page 2 of 7)

Order No. 19184.1(1002)

**SOILS LABORATORY TEST REPORT
WASHED SIEVE ANALYSIS OF SOIL**

REPORT OF:

CLIENT: Ebasco Services, Inc.
PROJECT: FP & L Co., Lauderdale Re-powering Project Geotechnical Exploration
Services Contract #AE-88031
LOCATION: S.W. 42 Avenue, Ft. Lauderdale, FL

RESULTS OF TESTS:

Laboratory No.	5558	5559	5560
Sample No.:	4	5	6
Sample Source:	Boring No. B-1, Sample No. 9, Depth 25'-27'	Boring No. B-2 Sample No. 3, Depth 3'-4'	Boring No. B-2 Sample No. 5, Depth 6'-7'
Description:	Tan to gray fragmented limestone with some calcareous sand.	Gray to black organic stained quartz sand.	Black organic stained semi-plastic quartz sand with trace roots.

**WASHED
SIEVE SIZE**

PERCENT PASSING

1½"	100.0		
1"	71.6		100.0
¾"	58.1		71.4
½"	52.3		71.4
⅜"	45.5	100.0	71.4
No. 4	31.1	97.8	70.0
No. 10	23.0	92.2	60.0
No. 20	20.3	87.8	52.9
No. 40	18.5	74.4	41.4
No. 60	14.9	42.2	28.6
No. 80	8.6	17.8	14.3
No. 140	3.6	3.3	2.9
No. 200	2.3	2.2	1.4

- continued -

WINGERTER LABORATORIES, INC.

Engineering Testing & Inspection Service
 1820 N.E. 144 St., No. Miami, FL 33181
 Miami (305) 944-3401 Ft. Myers (813) 334-4230

9-20-88 hr

No. 1 (Page 3 of 7)

Order No. 19184.1(1002)

**SOILS LABORATORY TEST REPORT
 WASHED SIEVE ANALYSIS OF SOIL**

REPORT OF:

CLIENT: Ebasco Services, Inc.

PROJECT: FP & L Co., Lauderdale Re-powering Project Geotechnical Exploration
 Services Contract #AE-88031

LOCATION: S.W. 42 Avenue, Ft. Lauderdale, FL

RESULTS OF TESTS:

Laboratory No.	5561	5562	5563
Sample No.:	7	8	9
Sample Source:	Boring No. B-2, Sample No. 7, Depth 8'-10'	Boring No. B-2 Sample No. 10, Depth 15'-17'	Boring No. B-3 Sample No. 2, Depth 1'-2'
Description:	Tan to gray quartz sand.	Tan to gray fragmented lime- stone with some quartz sand.	Gray to black organic stained semi-plastic quartz sand with some organics and trace roots.

**WASHED
 SIEVE SIZE**

PERCENT PASSING

1 1/2"		100.0	
1"		65.9	
3/4"		65.9	
1/2"		58.9	
3/8"	100.0	48.9	100.0
No. 4	98.8	39.2	96.1
No. 10	97.9	33.0	86.8
No. 20	95.2	29.7	77.6
No. 40	83.7	16.5	60.5
No. 60	74.0	3.8	36.8
No. 80	26.0	1.4	17.1
No. 140	1.2	0.5	2.6
No. 200	0.3	0.3	1.3

- continued -

As a mutual protection to clients, the public and ourselves, all reports are submitted as the confidential property of clients, and authorization for publication of statements, conclusions or extracts from or regarding our reports is reserved pending our written approval.

10.5.3-23

WINGERTER LABORATORIES, INC.

Engineering Testing & Inspection Service
1820 N.E. 144 St., No. Miami, FL 33181
Miami (305) 944-3401 Ft. Myers (813) 334-4230

9-20-88 hr

No. 1 (Page 4 of 7)

Order No. 19184.1(1002)

**SOILS LABORATORY TEST REPORT
WASHED SIEVE ANALYSIS OF SOIL**

REPORT OF:

CLIENT: Ebasco Services, Inc.
PROJECT: FP & L Co., Lauderdale Re-powering Project Geotechnical Exploration
Services Contract #AE-88031
LOCATION: S.W. 42 Avenue, Ft. Lauderdale, FL

RESULTS OF TESTS:

Laboratory No.	5564	5565	5566
Sample No.:	10	11	12
Sample Source:	Boring No. B-3, Sample No. 4, Depth 4'-6'	Boring No. B-3 Sample No. 7, Depth 8'-10'	Boring No. B-3 Sample No. 11, Depth 25'-27'
Description:	Brown quartz sand with some fragmented limestone.	Brown quartz sand with trace fragmented limestone.	Tan to gray fragmented lime- stone with some quartz sand.

**WASHED
SIEVE SIZE**

PERCENT PASSING

1½"		100.0	100.0
1"		93.4	71.7
¾"		93.4	65.2
½"	100.0	92.4	51.8
⅜"	97.3	92.4	49.0
No. 4	94.6	91.8	37.7
No. 10	92.0	90.9	31.2
No. 20	88.4	89.7	30.0
No. 40	61.6	71.0	24.3
No. 60	33.0	43.8	18.2
No. 80	13.4	18.4	10.5
No. 140	4.5	1.8	4.9
No. 200	2.7	0.9	3.6

- continued -

As a mutual protection to clients, the public and ourselves, all reports are submitted as the confidential property of clients, and authorization for publication of statements, conclusions or extracts from or regarding our reports is reserved pending our written approval.

WINGERTER LABORATORIES, INC.

Engineering Testing & Inspection Service
1820 N.E. 144 St., No. Miami, FL 33181
Miami (305) 944-3401 Ft. Myers (813) 334-4230

9-20-88 hr

No. 1 (Page 5 of 7)

Order No. 19184.1(1002)

**SOILS LABORATORY TEST REPORT
WASHED SIEVE ANALYSIS OF SOIL**

REPORT OF:

CLIENT: Ebasco Services, Inc.
PROJECT: FP & L Co., Lauderdale Re-powering Project Geotechnical Exploration
Services Contract #AE-88031
LOCATION: S.W. 42 Avenue, Ft. Lauderdale, FL

RESULTS OF TESTS:

Laboratory No.	5567	5568	5569
Sample No.:	13	14	15
Sample Source:	Boring No. B-4, Sample No. 2, Depth 0.5'-2'	Boring No. B-4 Sample No. 8, Depth 10'-12'	Boring No. B-4 Sample No. 10, Depth 15'-17'
Description:	Tan to gray quartz sand with some fragmented limestone.	Tan to gray quartz sand.	Brown quartz sand with a trace of fragmented lime- stone.

**WASHED
SIEVE SIZE**

PERCENT PASSING

WASHED SIEVE SIZE	PERCENT PASSING		
1 1/2"	100.0		
1"	95.2		100.0
3/4"	84.4	100.0	92.1
1/2"	70.3	98.2	85.1
3/8"	69.2	97.2	83.2
No. 4	57.3	96.8	79.2
No. 10	49.7	96.5	76.2
No. 20	46.4	95.8	74.3
No. 40	32.6	83.1	61.4
No. 60	15.1	56.0	39.6
No. 80	5.6	26.4	16.8
No. 140	2.1	2.1	4.0
No. 200	1.6	0.7	2.0

- continued -

As a mutual protection to clients, the public and ourselves, all reports are submitted as the confidential property of clients, and authorization for publication of statements, conclusions or extracts from or regarding our reports is reserved pending our written approval.

WINGERTER LABORATORIES, INC.

Engineering Testing & Inspection Service
1820 N.E. 144 St., No. Miami, FL 33181
Miami (305) 944-3401 Ft. Myers (813) 334-4230

9-20-88 hr

Order No. 19184.1(1002)

No. 1 (Page 6 of 7)

**SOILS LABORATORY TEST REPORT
WASHED SIEVE ANALYSIS OF SOIL**

REPORT OF:

CLIENT: Ebasco Services, Inc.
PROJECT: FP & L Co., Lauderdale Re-powering Project Geotechnical Exploration
Services Contract #AE-88031
LOCATION: S.W. 42 Avenue, Ft. Lauderdale, FL

RESULTS OF TESTS:

Laboratory No.	5570	5571	5572
Sample No.:	16	17	18
Sample Source:	Boring No. B-4, Sample No. 11, Depth 20'-22'	Boring No. B-5 Sample No. 2, Depth 0.5'-2'	Boring No. B-5 Sample No. 8, Depth 10'-12'
Description:	Tan to gray fragmented lime- stone with some calcareous sand.	Tan to gray quartz sand with some fragmented lime- stone.	Tan to gray quartz sand.

**WASHED
SIEVE SIZE**

PERCENT PASSING

1½"	100.0		
1"	86.8	100.0	
¾"	65.4	99.6	
½"	55.9	88.4	
⅜"	45.7	84.7	
No. 4	32.5	75.3	
No. 10	24.4	70.2	100.0
No. 20	20.0	66.9	99.4
No. 40	15.3	51.9	84.9
No. 60	10.4	28.2	54.0
No. 80	5.8	12.1	24.0
No. 140	2.3	5.1	3.7
No. 200	1.6	3.5	2.3

- continued -

WINGERTER LABORATORIES, INC.

Engineering Testing & Inspection Service
1820 N.E. 144 St., No. Miami, FL 33181
Miami (305) 944-3401 Ft. Myers (813) 334-4230

9-20-88 hr

No. 1 (Page 7 of 7)

Order No. 19184.1(1002)

**SOILS LABORATORY TEST REPORT
WASHED SIEVE ANALYSIS OF SOIL**

REPORT OF:

CLIENT: Ebasco Services, Inc.
PROJECT: FP & L Co., Lauderdale Re-powering Project Geotechnical Exploration
Services Contract #AE-88031
LOCATION: S.W. 42 Avenue, Ft. Lauderdale, FL

RESULTS OF TESTS:

Laboratory No.	5573	5574
Sample No.:	19	20
Sample Source:	Boring No. B-5, Sample No. 9, Depth 15'-17'	Boring No. B-5 Sample No. 11, Depth 25'-27'
Description:	Tan to gray quartz sand.	Tan to gray quartz sand.

WASHED
SIEVE SIZE

PERCENT PASSING

1 1/2"		
1"		
3/4"		
1/2"		
3/8"		
No. 4		
No. 10	100.0	100.0
No. 20	99.1	99.5
No. 40	83.3	85.7
No. 60	51.3	51.4
No. 80	20.8	19.6
No. 140	1.2	1.2
No. 200	0.6	0.5


Tested by: ND

No. of Tests: 20

Reported by:


Bruce Kosrozadeh, E.I.

Respectfully submitted,
WINGERTER LABORATORIES, INC.

 9/20/88
Felix A. Peguero-Guerrero, P. E.
Florida Registration No. 34473

The original of this report was signed and sealed by the above registered engineer in accordance with Rule 21H-18.11, Chapter 471, Florida Statute.

As a mutual protection to clients, the public and ourselves, all reports are submitted as the confidential property of clients, and authorization for publication of statements, conclusions or extracts from or regarding our reports is reserved pending our written approval.

APPENDIX 10.5.4
AQUATIC ECOLOGY

Table 10.5.4-1. Fish and Epibenthic Fauna Collected or Observed at Stations in the Vicinity of FPL's Lauderdale Plant, August 23 through 25, 1988

Scientific Name	Common Name	Station						
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
FISH								
<u>Carangidae</u> sp.	Jack							0
Cichlidae spp.	Cichlids	X		0		X		
<u>Diapterus rhombeus</u>	Caitipa mojarra							X
<u>Eucinostomus argenteus</u>	Spotfin mojarra							X
<u>Eucinostomus gula</u>	Silver jenny		X					
<u>Eugerres plumeri</u>	Striped mojarra		X					
<u>Etheostoma</u> sp.	Darter		X					
<u>Gambusia affinis</u>	Mosquitofish		X					
<u>Lophogobius cyprinoides</u>	Crested goby							X
<u>Mugil cephalus</u>	Striped mullet			X	X	X	X	X
<u>Strongylura marina</u>	Atlantic needlefish			X	0	0	X	0
<u>Tilapia</u> sp.	Tilapia	X		0		X		
<u>Trinectes maculatus</u>	Hogchoker	X						
INVERTEBRATES								
<u>Callinectes sapidus</u>	Blue crab	X						
<u>Macrobrachium carcinus</u>	River shrimp	X		0		X		
<u>Neritina reclivata</u>	Neritina		X					
<u>Palaemonetes pugio</u>	Grass shrimp		X					
<u>Rhithropanopeus harrisi</u>	Mud crab	X						
REPTILES								
<u>Pseudemys floridana</u> <u>peninsularis</u>	Peninsular cooter	X						
<u>Trionyx ferox</u>	Florida softshell turtle	X						

Note: X - collected.
0 - observed.

Table 10.5.4-2. Fish and Epibenthic Fauna Collected or Observed at Stations in the Vicinity of FPL's Lauderdale Plant, November 28 through December 1, 1988

Scientific Name	Common Name	Station						
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
FISH								
<u>Arius felis</u>	Sea catfish	0		0				
<u>Centropomus undecimilis</u>	Snook			0				
Cichlidae sp.	Cichlids	X		X				
<u>Eugerres plumeri</u>	Striped mojarra	X	X	0				
<u>Gerres cinereus</u>	Yellowfin mojarra		X					
<u>Lophogobius cyprinoides</u>	Crested goby		X	X	X	X	X	
<u>Mugil cephalus</u>	Mullet	0		0	0	0	0	
INVERTEBRATES								
<u>Callinectes sapidus</u>	Blue Crab	X	X					X
<u>Macrobrachium carcinus</u>	River shrimp					X		
<u>Penaeus duorarum</u>	Pink shrimp	X				X		
<u>Rhithropanopeus harrisii</u>	Mud crab	X						
<u>Sesarma cinereum</u>	Wharf crab		X	0				
REPTILES								
<u>Alligator mississippiensis</u>	Alligator		0					

Note: X = collected.
0 = observed.

Table 10.5.4-3. Fish and Epibenthic Fauna Collected or Observed at Stations in the Vicinity of FPL's Lauderdale Plant, February 27 through March 2, 1989

Scientific Name	Common Name	Station						
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
FISH								
<u>Anchoa hepsetus</u>	Striped anchovy	X						
<u>Archosargus probatocephalus</u>	Sheepshead			0				
<u>Arius felis</u>	Sea catfish				X			X
<u>Bairdiella chrysura</u>	Silver perch	X						
<u>Centropomus undecimalis</u>	Snook			0	X			X
Cichlidae spp.	Cichlids	X		0				
Cyprinodontidae sp.	Killifish	X						
<u>Eucinostomus argenteus</u>	Spotfin mojarra				X			X
<u>Eugerres plumieri</u>	Striped mojarra	X	X					
<u>Gerres cinereus</u>	Yellowfin mojarra		X			X		X
Gerridae spp.	Mojarras			0				
<u>Lophogobius cyprinoides</u>	Crested goby		X	0	X			X
Monacanthidae sp.	Filefish	X						
<u>Mugil curema</u>	White mullet							X
<u>Strongylura marina</u>	Atlantic needlefish				0			
<u>Trinectes maculatus</u>	Hogchoker	X						
Unidentified spp.		X						
INVERTEBRATES								
<u>Callinectes sapidus</u>	Blue crab							X
<u>Penaeus duorarum</u>	Pink shrimp	X	X					
<u>Rhithropanopeus harrisi</u>	Mud crab	X						

Note: X - collected.
0 - observed.

Table 10.5.4-4. Fish and Epibenthic Fauna Collected or Observed at Stations in the Vicinity of FPL's Lauderdale Plant, May 30 through June 1, 1989

Scientific Name	Common Name	Station						
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
FISH								
<u>Anchoa</u> spp.	Anchovies	X						
<u>Arius felis</u>	Sea catfish				X		X	
<u>Caranx hippos</u>	Crevalle jack						X	
<u>Centropomus undecimalis</u>	Snook				X		X	
Cichlidae spp.	Cichlids			X				
Clupeidae sp.	Clupeids	X						
<u>Elops saurus</u>	Ladyfish				X			
<u>Eucinostomus argenteus</u>	Spotfin mojarra		X		X		X	
<u>Gerres cinereus</u>	Yellowfin mojarra				X		X	
Gerridae spp.	Mojarras	0					0	
Gobiidae spp.	Gobies						0	
<u>Lagodon rhomboides</u>	Pinfish		X	X				X
<u>Leiostomus xanthurus</u>	Spot				X			
<u>Lophogobius cyprinoides</u>	Crested goby	X	X	X		X		
<u>Mugil cephalus</u>	Striped mullet	X					X	
<u>Sphyraena</u> sp.	Barracuda						0	
<u>Strongylura marina</u>	Atlantic needlefish						0	
<u>Syngnathus</u> sp.	Pipefish	X						
<u>Trinectes maculatus</u>	Hogchoker	X						
INVERTEBRATES								
<u>Callinectes sapidus</u>	Blue crab	X	X		X		X	
<u>Penaeus aztecus</u>	Brown shrimp	X						X
<u>Penaeus setiferus</u>	White shrimp	X						
<u>Rhithropanopeus harrisi</u>	Mud crab	X						

Note: X = collected.
0 = observed.

Table 10.5.4-5. Temperature, Conductivity/Salinity, pH, and Dissolved Oxygen Measurements Taken at Stations in the Vicinity of the FPL's Lauderdale Plant, August 24 and 25, 1988 (Page 1 of 4)

Station	Date	Time	Depth (m)	Temperature (°C)	Conductivity (µmho/cm)	Salinity (ppt)	pH	Dissolved Oxygen (ppm)	Percent Dissolved Oxygen Saturation
<u>Dania Cut-Off Canal/Intake Vicinity</u>									
1	8-24	1500	2.0	28.8	778	<1	6.4	4.9	64.1
	8-25	1300	S	30.0	740	<1	7.0	3.2	42.6
			1.0	29.8	708	<1	7.0	2.9	38.5
			2.0	29.7	708	<1	7.0	2.9	38.5
	8-25	1800	S	30.5	754	<1	7.1	3.6	48.3
			1.0	30.4	738	<1	7.0	3.2	42.9
<u>Discharge Canal/Cooling Pond</u>									
2	8-24	1015	S	31.6	894	<1	6.9	2.4	32.7
			1.0	31.5	893	<1	6.9	2.3	31.3
			2.0	31.7	891	<1	6.9	2.4	32.7
	8-24	1905	S	37.5	1,060	<1	7.1	4.6	67.6
			2.0	34.9	910	<1	7.0	3.5	49.8
			4.0	32.2	880	<1	7.0	2.3	31.6
	8-25	0750	S	30.0	1,380	<1	7.1	3.0	39.9
	8-25	1437	S	37.0	744	<1	7.0	3.3	48.3
			1.0	37.1	745	<1	7.0	3.1	45.4
			2.0	37.1	744	<1	7.0	3.1	45.4
	8-24	1112	S	33.0	985	<1	7.0	3.9	54.1
			0.5	31.6	1,182	<1	6.9	1.4	19.0
			1.0	30.1	1,316	<1	7.1	2.9	38.6
3	8-24	1735	S	32.6	1,183	<1	7.1	3.7	51.0
			2.0	32.6	1,181	<1	7.1	3.6	49.6
			4.0	32.6	1,182	<1	7.1	3.4	46.9

Table 10.5.4-5. Temperature, Conductivity/Salinity, pH, and Dissolved Oxygen Measurements Taken at Stations in the Vicinity of the FPL's Lauderdale Plant, August 24 and 25, 1988 (Page 2 of 4)

Station	Date	Time	Depth (m)	Temperature (°C)	Conductivity (µmho/cm)	Salinity (ppt)	pH	Dissolved Oxygen (ppm)	Percent Dissolved Oxygen Saturation
<u>Discharge Canal/Cooling Pond, (cont.)</u>									
3 (cont.)	8-24	1950	S	32.4	1,177	<1	7.2	4.0	55.0
			1.0	32.5	1,177	<1	7.2	3.6	49.5
			2.0	32.5	1,177	<1	7.2	3.1	42.7
			3.0	32.5	1,177	<1	7.1	3.3	45.4
8-25	0715	S	30.7	1,028	<1	7.1	3.3	44.3	
		1.0	30.9	1,029	<1	7.1	3.1	41.8	
		2.0	30.9	1,029	<1	7.1	2.9	39.1	
8-25	0900	S	30.9	1,057	<1	7.2	3.0	40.4	
		1.0	30.9	1,061	<1	7.2	2.9	39.1	
		2.0	30.9	1,061	<1	7.2	2.8	37.7	
		3.0	30.9	1,060	<1	7.1	2.8	37.7	
8-25	1415	S	33.3	1,031	<1	7.1	4.1	57.1	
		1.0	33.3	1,036	<1	7.1	3.8	52.9	
8-25	1800	S	32.6	1,145	<1	7.3	4.2	57.9	
		1.0	32.7	1,144	<1	7.2	4.0	55.2	
		2.0	32.7	1,144	<1	7.2	3.8	52.4	
<u>South New River Canal</u>									
4	8-24	1745	S	30.6	831	<1	7.1	3.5	47.0
			1.5	30.6	832	<1	7.2	3.2	43.0
			2.5	30.6	835	<1	7.2	3.2	43.0
8-25	0820	S	30.1	866	<1	7.2	2.6	34.7	
		1.0	30.2	869	<1	7.1	2.6	34.7	
		2.0	30.2	872	<1	7.1	2.5	33.4	
8-25	1700	S	31.7	1,052	<1	7.2	4.4	59.9	
		1.0	31.3	1,050	<1	7.2	3.9	52.8	
		2.0	31.2	1,054	<1	7.2	3.8	51.4	

Table 10.5.4-5. Temperature, Conductivity/Salinity, pH, and Dissolved Oxygen Measurements Taken at Stations in the Vicinity of the FPL's Lauderdale Plant, August 24 and 25, 1988 (Page 3 of 4)

Station	Date	Time	Depth (m)	Temperature (°C)	Conductivity (µmho/cm)	Salinity (ppt)	pH	Dissolved Oxygen (ppm)	Percent Dissolved Oxygen Saturation
<u>North New River Canal</u>									
5	8-24	1700	S	30.9	723	<1	7.1	3.5	47.2
			1.5	30.2	724	<1	7.1	2.5	33.4
			2.5	30.1	724	<1	7.1	2.3	30.7
8-25	0845		S	30.1	848	<1	7.2	2.7	36.0
			1.0	29.9	827	<1	7.1	2.3	30.6
			2.0	29.7	773	<1	7.1	2.0	26.5
			3.0	29.7	766	<1	7.1	1.9	25.2
8-25	1630		S	30.3	738	<1	7.2	2.4	32.1
			1.0	30.0	738	<1	7.1	2.2	29.3
			2.0	30.0	737	<1	7.1	2.0	26.6
<u>South Fork New River</u>									
6	8-24	1715	S	32.5	1,100	<1	7.1	4.1	56.4
			2.0	32.4	1,130	<1	7.1	4.0	55.0
			4.0	32.4	1,130	<1	7.1	3.9	53.6
8-25	0830		S	30.8	1,062	<1	7.2	3.4	45.7
			1.0	30.8	1,076	<1	7.2	3.2	43.0
			2.0	30.8	1,069	<1	7.2	3.2	43.0
			3.0	30.9	1,084	<1	7.2	3.2	43.1
			4.0	30.8	1,086	<1	7.2	3.2	43.0
8-25	1618		S	32.7	1,142	<1	7.2	4.0	55.2
			1.0	31.8	1,066	<1	7.2	3.8	51.8
			2.0	31.5	1,040	<1	7.2	3.6	48.9
			3.0	31.5	1,090	<1	7.1	2.9	39.4
			4.0	30.7	1,085	<1	7.2	2.8	37.6
7	8-24	1720	S	31.8	1,037	<1	7.2	4.7	64.1
			1.5	31.8	1,039	<1	7.2	4.7	64.1
			2.5	31.8	1,038	<1	7.2	4.4	60.0

Table 10.5.4-5. Temperature, Conductivity/Salinity, pH, and Dissolved Oxygen Measurements Taken at Stations in the Vicinity of the FPL's Lauderdale Plant, August 24 and 25, 1988 (Page 4 of 4)

Station	Date	Time	Depth (m)	Temperature (°C)	Conductivity (µmho/cm)	Salinity (ppt)	pH	Dissolved Oxygen (ppm)	Percent Dissolved Oxygen Saturation
<u>South Fork New River (cont.)</u>									
7	8-25	0840	S	30.9	1,156	<1	7.2	3.5	47.1
(cont.)			1.0	30.9	1,162	<1	7.2	3.4	45.8
			2.0	30.9	1,161	<1	7.2	3.4	45.8
			3.0	30.9	1,161	<1	7.2	3.2	43.1
	8-25	1600	S	32.1	1,019	<1	7.2	4.0	54.8
			1.0	31.8	1,011	<1	7.1	3.7	50.5
			2.0	31.8	1,007	<1	7.2	3.6	49.1

Note: S = surface.

Table 10.5.4-6. Temperature, Conductivity/Salinity, pH, and Dissolved Oxygen Measurements Taken at Stations in the Vicinity of the FPL's Lauderdale Plant, November 29 Through December 1, 1988 (Page 1 of 3)

Station	Date	Time	Depth (m)	Temperature (°C)	Conductivity (µmho/cm)	Salinity (ppt)	pH	Dissolved Oxygen (ppm)	Percent Dissolved Oxygen Saturation
<u>Dania Cut-Off Canal/Intake Vicinity</u>									
1	11-30	0740	S	25.5	17,600	10.4	7.4	ND	ND
			1.0	25.5	18,550	11.0	ND	ND	ND
	12-01	0830	S	26.0	17,970	10.6	6.7	4.2	55.9
			1.0	25.8	18,440	10.9	7.0	3.9	52.0
			3.0	25.8	18,690	11.1	7.1	3.6	48.3
	12-01	1035	S	26.0	18,230	10.8	ND	4.2	55.9
			1.0	25.8	18,370	10.9	ND	4.0	53.2
			2.0	25.7	19,500	11.6	ND	3.6	48.2
<u>Discharge Canal/Cooling Pond</u>									
2	11-30	0715	S	28.9	19,060	11.3	7.2	3.6	50.6
	12-01	0800	S	29.1	19,140	11.4	7.3	3.4	48.2
			1.0	29.4	19,120	11.4	7.8	3.5	49.7
			2.0	29.6	19,110	11.4	7.9	3.4	48.5
3	12-01	0930	S	26.8	18,260	10.8	7.0	4.1	55.3
			1.0	26.9	18,240	10.8	7.7	ND	ND
<u>South New River Canal</u>									
4	11-30	0855	S	25.8	17,500	10.3	8.2	4.4	58.2
			1.0	25.9	17,870	10.6	8.2	4.1	54.6
	12-01	0855	S	26.0	17,950	10.6	6.8	4.1	54.6
			1.0	26.0	17,960	10.6	6.9	4.0	53.4
			2.0	26.0	18,010	10.7	7.1	3.8	50.9

Table 10.5.4-6. Temperature, Conductivity/Salinity, pH, and Dissolved Oxygen Measurements Taken at Stations in the Vicinity of the FPL's Lauderdale Plant, November 29 Through December 1, 1988 (Page 2 of 3)

Station	Date	Time	Depth (m)	Temperature (°C)	Conductivity (µmho/cm)	Salinity (ppt)	pH	Dissolved Oxygen (ppm)	Percent Dissolved Oxygen Saturation
<u>North New River Canal</u>									
5	11-29	1710	S	24.3	13,730	8.0	ND	ND	ND
	12-01	0945	S	25.3	11,790	6.8	7.2	5.2	66.4
			1.0	26.1	16,350	9.6	7.0	4.0	52.3
			2.0	26.3	17,260	10.2	7.2	3.8	51.2
			3.0	26.5	18,250	10.8	7.3	ND	ND
<u>South Fork New River</u>									
6	11-29	1650	S	26.0	17,860	10.6	ND	ND	ND
			1.0	25.9	17,940	10.6	ND	ND	ND
			2.0	25.4	18,640	11.1	ND	ND	ND
			3.0	25.2	19,290	11.5	ND	ND	ND
			4.0	25.2	19,330	11.5	ND	ND	ND
	12-01	0920	S	26.1	17,990	10.6	6.5	4.1	54.7
			1.0	26.5	18,050	10.7	6.8	3.8	51.3
			2.0	26.6	18,140	10.7	7.0	3.6	47.6
			3.0	26.7	18,180	10.8	7.3	3.6	49.0
			4.0	26.7	18,240	10.8	7.5	3.5	46.4
			5.0	26.5	18,550	11.0	7.7	3.5	47.5
7	11-29	1630	S	25.5	18,680	11.1	7.1	ND	ND
			1.0	25.4	19,040	11.3	7.6	ND	ND
			2.0	25.4	19,450	11.6	7.6	ND	ND
			3.0	25.2	19,410	11.6	7.6	ND	ND
	11-30	1320	S	26.1	19,300	11.5	8.0	4.1	54.7
			1.0	26.1	19,390	11.5	ND	ND	ND

Table 10.5.4-6. Temperature, Conductivity/Salinity, pH, and Dissolved Oxygen Measurements Taken at Stations in the Vicinity of the FPL's Lauderdale Plant, November 29 Through December 1, 1988 (Continued, Page 3 of 3)

Station	Date	Time	(Depth) (m)	Temperature (°C)	Conductivity (µmho/cm)	Salinity (ppt)	pH	Dissolved Oxygen (ppm)	Percent Dissolved Oxygen Saturation
<u>South Fork New River (cont.)</u>									
7	12-01	1000	S	26.6	18,160	10.8	6.5	4.1	55.2
(cont.)			1.0	26.6	18,230	10.8	6.7	3.9	52.6
			2.0	26.6	18,280	10.8	6.8	3.7	50.1
			3.0	26.6	18,290	10.8	7.0	3.6	48.9

Note: ND = no data.
S = surface.

Table 10.5.4-7. Temperature, Conductivity/Salinity, pH, and Dissolved Oxygen Measurements
Taken at Stations in the Vicinity of FPL's Lauderdale Plant, March 1, 1989

Station	Date	Time	Depth (m)	Temperature (°C)	Conductivity (µmho/cm)	Salinity (ppt)	pH	Dissolved Oxygen (ppm)	Percent Dissolved Oxygen Saturation	
<u>Dania Cut-Off Canal/Intake Vicinity</u>										
1	3-1	0725	S	20.0	2,500	1.3	7.3	5.3	59.8	
			1.0	20.2	7,020	3.9	7.3	5.2	59.9	
			2.0	21.0	16,500	9.7	7.3	4.9	59.4	
	3-1	0945	S	20.2	1,560	<1	7.3	5.2	59.0	
			1.0	20.5	7,250	4.0	7.2	4.8	55.6	
			2.0	20.8	11,200	6.4	7.2	4.5	53.6	
	3-1	1705	S	21.7	4,200	4.4	7.3	5.0	60.0	
			1.0	21.7	8,800	5.3	7.3	4.8	58.1	
			2.0	21.7	15,500	9.8	7.2	4.2	52.3	
<u>Discharge Canal/Cooling Pond</u>										
2	3-1	1000	S	25.4	5,710	3.1	7.3	4.7	59.3	
			1.0	25.5	5,760	3.2	7.3	4.3	54.5	
			2.0	25.6	5,760	3.2	7.2	4.2	53.3	
	3-1	1430	S	24.7	5,700	3.1	7.5	4.9	61.1	
			1.0	24.6	6,000	3.3	7.3	4.4	54.9	
			2.0	24.0	8,300	4.6	7.3	4.7	57.9	
			3.0	26.2	27,400	16.8	7.3	4.4	61.0	
			4.0	25.0	29,200	18.1	7.3	3.7	50.1	
			5.0	23.8	29,600	18.3	7.2	2.8	37.1	
	3-1	1730	S	27.9	7,500	4.2	7.4	4.6	60.3	
			1.0	27.9	7,600	4.2	7.3	4.5	59.0	
			2.0	27.9	7,600	4.2	7.2	4.4	57.7	
	3	3-1	0820	S	20.8	9,000	5.1	7.6	6.0	70.8
				1.0	22.5	21,300	12.8	7.5	5.5	70.3
				2.0	22.9	26,500	16.2	7.5	5.2	68.4
				3.0	22.9	26,700	16.4	7.5	5.2	68.4
				4.0	22.9	27,300	16.8	7.4	4.8	62.5

Table 10.5.4-7. Temperature, Conductivity/Salinity, pH, and Dissolved Oxygen Measurements
Taken at Stations in the Vicinity of FPL's Lauderdale Plant, March 1, 1989
(Continued, Page 2 of 3)

Station	Date	Time	Depth (m)	Temperature (°C)	Conductivity (µmho/cm)	Salinity (ppt)	pH	Dissolved Oxygen (ppm)	Percent Dissolved Oxygen Saturation
<u>Discharge Canal/Cooling Pond (cont.)</u>									
3 (cont.)	3-1	1300	S	24.3	25,700	15.7	7.6	6.1	80.9
			1.0	24.2	25,700	15.7	7.5	5.9	78.3
	3-1	1635	S	24.0	25,200	15.4	7.5	6.7	88.9
1.0			24.1	25,700	15.7	7.5	6.4	84.2	
2.0			24.1	25,800	15.8	7.5	6.1	80.6	
<u>South New River Canal</u>									
4	3-1	0740	S	20.1	11,620	6.6	7.4	6.0	70.9
			1.0	21.1	16,520	9.7	7.4	5.6	68.7
			2.0	22.3	25,800	15.8	7.4	5.1	65.4
	3-1	1230	S	21.6	10,400	5.9	7.5	5.6	67.1
			1.0	21.1	14,500	8.4	7.5	5.2	63.0
			2.0	22.3	25,700	15.7	7.3	4.1	52.5
	3-1	1655	S	21.8	12,600	7.3	7.4	6.4	77.7
			1.0	21.8	15,200	8.9	7.5	5.9	71.9
			2.0	22.6	25,200	15.4	7.3	4.3	55.1
<u>North New River Canal</u>									
5	3-1	0850	S	20.1	3,560	1.9	7.8	6.5	74.6
			1.0	20.1	4,800	2.6	7.6	6.0	68.9
			2.0	21.7	23,500	14.2	7.3	4.6	57.7
			3.0	22.3	27,500	16.9	7.3	3.9	50.2
	3-1	1210	S	20.6	3,010	1.6	7.6	6.5	75.3
			1.0	20.6	7,900	4.4	7.4	5.3	62.6
			2.0	22.5	23,300	14.1	7.3	5.0	64.4
	3-1	1645	S	20.9	3,700	2.0	7.6	6.5	75.7
			1.0	20.8	7,300	4.1	7.5	5.5	65.1
			2.0	22.8	25,000	15.2	7.3	4.7	61.2
			3.0	23.2	25,800	15.8	7.4	4.7	61.6

Table 10.5.4-7. Temperature, Conductivity/Salinity, pH, and Dissolved Oxygen Measurements
Taken at Stations in the Vicinity of FPL's Lauderdale Plant, March 1, 1989
(Continued, Page 3 of 3)

Station	Date	Time	Depth (m)	Temperature (°C)	Conductivity (µmho/cm)	Salinity (ppt)	pH	Dissolved Oxygen (ppm)	Percent Dissolved Oxygen Saturation
<u>South Fork New River</u>									
6	3-1	1140	S	20.8	8,000	4.5	7.5	6.2	73.1
			1.0	22.8	22,500	13.6	7.4	5.6	71.8
			2.0	23.2	24,700	15.0	7.5	5.6	72.3
	3-1	1625	S	21.8	9,900	5.6	7.5	6.7	81.3
			1.0	22.9	19,000	11.3	7.5	5.8	73.2
			2.0	23.5	24,700	15.0	7.4	5.4	70.3
			3.0	23.4	25,800	15.8	7.4	5.2	67.8
			4.0	23.5	26,500	16.2	7.4	5.2	67.9
			5.0	23.1	26,800	16.4	7.4	4.7	61.5
7	3-1	0825	S	20.7	11,100	6.3	7.5	5.9	70.5
			1.0	22.3	21,200	12.7	7.5	5.6	71.2
			2.0	22.7	25,200	15.4	7.5	5.1	65.8
	3-1	1130	S	21.4	11,600	6.6	7.5	6.1	73.7
			1.0	22.5	20,400	12.2	7.4	5.7	72.6
			2.0	23.2	24,200	14.7	7.5	5.5	71.1
	3-1	1610	S	23.0	13,600	ND	7.6	6.7	ND
			1.0	22.4	18,400	ND	7.5	5.5	ND
			2.0	22.5	23,100	ND	7.4	4.9	ND

Note: ND = no data.
S = surface.

Table 10.5.4-8. Temperature, Conductivity/Salinity, pH, and Dissolved Oxygen Measurements
Taken at Stations in the Vicinity of FFL's Lauderdale Plant, June 1, 1989

Station	Date	Time	Depth (m)	Temperature (°C)	Conductivity (µmho/cm)	Salinity (ppt)	pH	Dissolved Oxygen (ppm)	Percent Dissolved Oxygen Saturation
<u>Dania Cut-Off Canal/Intake Vicinity</u>									
1	6-1	0645	S	28.8	10,850	6.2	7.5	3.1	41.5
			1.0	30.1	15,600	9.1	7.5	3.5	48.9
			2.0	30.5	24,500	14.9	7.3	1.8	26.6
	6-1	1325	S	30.8	8,620	4.8	7.9	4.7	65.5
			1.0	30.6	12,880	7.4	7.7	3.2	45.3
			2.0	30.6	13,510	7.8	7.7	2.8	38.6
	6-1	1853	S	31.4	12,170	7.0	8.0	5.8	82.2
			1.0	31.5	14,480	8.4	7.9	5.3	75.5
			2.0	31.6	19,850	11.8	7.8	6.0	87.7
<u>Discharge Canal/Cooling Pond</u>									
2	6-1	0615	S	28.9	13,930	8.1	7.0	2.2	29.9
			1.0	29.7	15,010	8.8	7.1	2.6	36.8
			2.0	29.9	15,330	9.0	7.2	2.2	30.3
	6-1	1300	S	36.6	12,110	6.9	7.7	3.3	50.6
			1.0	36.6	12,090	6.9	7.6	3.3	49.2
			2.0	36.6	12,140	7.0	7.5	3.0	44.9
	6-1	1930	S	37.6	16,400	9.6	8.0	6.1	95.4
			1.0	37.8	16,400	9.6	7.9	5.8	91.3
			2.0	37.8	16,550	9.7	7.8	5.6	88.3
3	6-1	0712	S	29.9	15,640	9.1	7.8	4.3	60.6
			1.0	30.1	15,720	9.2	7.8	4.0	55.5
			2.0	30.1	15,740	9.2	7.8	4.1	56.8
			3.0	30.1	15,740	9.2	7.8	4.1	56.8

Table 10.5.4-8. Temperature, Conductivity/Salinity, pH, and Dissolved Oxygen Measurements
Taken at Stations in the Vicinity of FPL's Lauderdale Plant, June 1, 1989
(Continued, Page 2 of 4)

Station	Date	Time	Depth (m)	Temperature (°C)	Conductivity (μ mho/cm)	Salinity (ppt)	pH	Dissolved Oxygen (ppm)	Percent Dissolved Oxygen Saturation
<u>Discharge Canal/Cooling Pond (cont.)</u>									
3 (cont.)	6-1	1130	S	30.8	14,830	8.6	8.1	4.6	65.4
			1.0	30.8	14,840	8.6	8.0	4.5	64.1
			2.0	30.8	14,860	8.7	7.9	4.3	60.1
	6-1	1455	S	31.3	14,730	8.6	8.0	5.6	79.3
			1.0	31.2	14,720	8.6	8.0	5.4	76.5
			2.0	31.2	14,730	8.6	8.0	5.4	76.5
	6-1	1823	S	31.4	14,770	8.6	8.0	5.3	75.4
			1.0	31.4	14,770	8.6	8.0	4.7	67.3
			2.0	31.4	14,770	8.6	8.0	5.1	72.7
			3.0	31.4	14,760	8.6	8.0	4.9	70.0
			4.0	31.4	14,760	8.6	8.0	4.7	67.3
<u>South New River Canal</u>									
4	6-1	0655	S	29.5	14,190	8.2	7.7	3.9	53.7
			1.0	29.6	14,450	8.4	7.7	3.5	48.5
			2.0	29.7	14,530	8.5	7.7	3.5	48.6
	6-1	1350	S	32.2	13,840	8.0	7.9	6.3	89.9
			1.0	30.9	14,120	8.2	7.9	5.2	73.5
			2.0	30.7	15,040	8.8	7.9	3.8	53.3
	6-1	1840	S	31.0	13,680	7.9	8.0	5.6	79.0
			1.0	31.0	13,700	7.9	8.0	5.4	76.3
			2.0	31.0	13,680	7.9	8.0	5.4	76.3
			3.0	31.0	13,710	7.9	8.0	4.9	69.6

Table 10.5.4-8. Temperature, Conductivity/Salinity, pH, and Dissolved Oxygen Measurements
Taken at Stations in the Vicinity of FFL's Lauderdale Plant, June 1, 1989
(Continued, Page 3 of 4)

Station	Date	Time	Depth (m)	Temperature (°C)	Conductivity (µmho/cm)	Salinity (ppt)	pH	Dissolved Oxygen (ppm)	Percent Dissolved Oxygen Saturation
<u>North New River Canal</u>									
5	6-1	0725	S	30.0	13,640	7.9	7.9	4.5	62.0
			1.0	30.1	14,040	8.1	7.8	4.2	58.1
			2.0	30.3	14,740	8.6	7.8	4.1	57.0
			3.0	30.3	14,940	8.7	7.8	4.1	57.0
	6-1	1425	S	30.9	9,700	5.5	7.9	5.0	69.6
			1.0	30.6	10,570	6.0	7.8	4.3	60.0
			2.0	30.6	11,770	6.7	7.8	4.3	59.9
			3.0	30.6	12,400	7.1	7.8	4.1	57.3
	6-1	1750	S	31.4	13,400	7.7	8.0	5.8	82.1
			1.0	31.3	13,940	8.1	8.0	5.2	74.0
			2.0	31.3	14,080	8.2	8.0	5.1	72.6
			3.0	31.3	14,160	8.2	8.0	4.9	69.9
<u>South Fork New River</u>									
6	6-1	0705	S	30.0	15,870	9.3	7.8	4.3	60.7
			1.0	30.1	15,930	9.3	7.8	4.1	58.1
			2.0	30.1	16,150	9.5	7.8	4.1	56.8
			3.0	30.1	16,340	9.6	7.8	4.0	56.8
			4.0	30.1	16,350	9.6	7.8	4.0	55.5
	6-1	1445	S	31.0	13,600	7.9	7.9	5.3	75.0
			1.0	31.0	14,060	8.2	7.9	5.1	72.3
			2.0	30.9	14,410	8.4	7.9	4.3	60.2
			3.0	31.0	14,410	8.4	7.9	4.3	60.3
			4.0	30.8	14,490	8.4	7.9	4.1	57.4
	5.0	30.7	14,530	8.5	7.9	3.9	54.7		
	6-1	1810	S	31.3	15,100	8.8	8.0	6.0	84.7
			1.0	31.3	15,120	8.8	8.0	5.8	82.0
			2.0	31.3	15,340	9.0	8.0	5.8	82.0
			3.0	31.3	15,300	8.9	8.0	5.9	83.3
			4.0	31.2	15,580	9.1	8.0	5.8	81.9
	5.0	31.2	15,580	9.1	8.0	5.8	81.9		

Table 10.5.4-8. Temperature, Conductivity/Salinity, pH, and Dissolved Oxygen Measurements
 Taken at Stations in the Vicinity of FPL's Lauderdale Plant, June 1, 1989
 (Continued, Page 4 of 4)

Station	Date	Time	Depth (m)	Temperature (°C)	Conductivity (μ mho/cm)	Salinity (ppt)	pH	Dissolved Oxygen (ppm)	Percent Dissolved Oxygen Saturation
<u>South Fork New River (cont.)</u>									
7	6-1	0740	S	30.0	16,570	9.7	7.9	4.4	62.0
			1.0	30.0	16,630	9.8	7.8	4.3	60.7
			2.0	30.1	16,550	9.7	7.8	4.2	59.4
	6-1	1525	S	31.3	14,340	8.3	8.0	5.4	76.6
			1.0	31.2	14,350	8.3	8.0	4.9	69.8
			2.0	31.2	14,360	8.3	8.0	5.3	75.2
	6-1	1803	S	31.1	15,980	9.4	8.0	6.1	87.1
			1.0	31.1	15,990	9.4	8.0	5.8	83.1
			2.0	31.1	15,990	9.4	8.0	5.8	81.8
			3.0	31.1	15,990	9.4	8.0	5.9	84.5

Note: S = surface.

Table 10.5.4-9. Benthic Macroinvertebrates Collected from Station 2, August 1988

Phylum Class Order	<u>Genus species</u>	Density (number/m ²)	Relative Abundance (percent)
Mollusca Pelecypoda	<u>Mytilopsis leucophaeta</u>	14	50
Arthropoda Insecta Chaoboridae	<u>Chaoborus sp.</u>	14	50
Total Abundance		29	
Number of Taxa	2		
Shannon-Weaver Diversity	1.0		

Table 10.5.4-10. Benthic Macroinvertebrates Collected from Station 3, August 1988

Phylum Class Order	<u>Genus species</u>	Density (number/m ²)	Relative Abundance (percent)
Porifera	Porifera spp.	14	
Annelida			
Polychaeta	<u>Neanthes succinea</u>	545	21
Oligochaeta	<u>Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri</u>	273	11
Mollusca			
Pelecypoda	<u>Mytilopsis leucophaeta</u>	775	30
Arthropoda			
Crustacea			
Cirripedia	<u>Balanus amphitrite</u>	445	17
Isopoda	<u>Cirolana gracilis</u>	14	1
Amphipoda	<u>Melita nitida</u>	57	2
Decapoda	<u>Rhithropanopeus harrisi</u>	474	18
Total Abundance		2,598	
Number of Taxa		8	
Shannon-Weaver Diversity		2.42	

Table 10.5.4-11. Benthic Macroinvertebrates Collected from Station 4, August 1988

Phylum	Class	Order	<u>Genus species</u>	Density (number/m ²)	Relative Abundance (percent)
Annelida					
	Polychaeta		<u>Boccardiella</u> sp.	57	8
			<u>Laeoneris culveri</u>	158	23
			<u>Nereidae</u> spp.	14	2
	Oligochaeta		<u>Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri</u>	301	44
Arthropoda					
	Crustacea				
	Decapoda		<u>Rhithropanopeus harrisii</u>	14	2
	Insecta				
	Chironomidae		Chironomidae spp.	29	4
			<u>Polypedilum</u> spp.	100	15
			<u>Tanytarsis</u> sp.	14	2
Total Abundance				689	
Number of Taxa			8		
Shannon-Weaver Diversity			2.25		

Table 10.5.4-12. Benthic Macroinvertebrates Collected from Station 5, August 1988

Phylum Class Order	<u>Genus species</u>	Density (number/m ²)	Relative Abundance (percent)
Annelida Oligochaeta	<u>Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri</u>	1,823	76
Mollusca Pelecypoda	<u>Mytilopsis leucophaeta</u>	72	3
Arthropoda Insecta			
Collembola	Collembola sp.	14	1
Chironomidae	Chironomidae sp. A	14	1
	<u>Paratanytarsis</u> sp.	14	1
	<u>Polypedilum</u> spp.	230	10
	<u>Tanytarsis</u> sp.	230	10
Total Abundance		2,397	
Number of Taxa		7	
Shannon-Weaver Diversity		1.23	

Table 10.5.4-13. Benthic Macroinvertebrates Collected from Station 6, August 1988

Phylum Class Order	<u>Genus species</u>	Density (number/m ²)	Relative Abundance (percent)
Annelida			
Polychaeta	<u>Streblospio benedicti</u>	29	2
Oligochaeta	<u>Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri</u>	344	29
	Nadidae sp.	14	1
Mollusca			
Pelecypoda	<u>Mytilopsis leucophaeta</u>	545	46
Arthropoda			
Crustacea			
Cladocera	Cladocera spp.	14	1
Isopoda	<u>Cyathura polita</u>	29	2
Insecta			
Chironomidae	Chironomidae sp. B	14	1
	<u>Cryptochironomus</u> sp.	14	1
	<u>Polypedilum</u> spp.	144	12
	<u>Tanytarsis</u> sp.	29	2
Total Abundance		1,177	
Number of Taxa		10	
Shannon-Weaver Diversity		2.11	

Table 10.5.4-14. Benthic Macroinvertebrates Collected from Station 7,
August 1988

Phylum Class Order	<u>Genus species</u>	Density (number/m ²)	Relative Abundance (percent)
Annelida			
Polychaeta	<u>Laeoneris culveri</u>	100	23
Oligochaeta	<u>Oligochaeta</u> spp.	86	20
Mollusca			
Pelecypoda	<u>Mytilopsis leucophaeta</u>	29	7
Arthropoda			
Crustacea			
Tanaidacea	<u>Hargeria rapax</u>	14	3
Isopoda	<u>Edotea</u> sp.	14	3
Amphipoda	<u>Corophium</u> spp.	14	3
Insecta			
Chironomidae	Chironomidae spp.	144	33
	<u>Polypedilum</u> spp.	29	7
Total Abundance		431	
Number of Taxa		8	
Shannon-Weaver Diversity		2.49	

Table 10.5.4-15. Benthic Macroinvertebrates Collected from Station 2,
November 1988

Phylum Class Order	<u>Genus species</u>	Density (number/m ²)	Relative Abundance (percent)
Cnidaria Hydrozoa	Hydrozoa spp.	14	5
Annelida Polychaeta	<u>Laeoneris culveri</u>	29	9
	Nereidae spp.	14	5
	<u>Streblospio benedicti</u>	43	14
Oligochaeta	<u>Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri</u>	144	45
Mollusca Pelecypoda	<u>Mytilopsis leucophaeta</u>	14	5
Arthropoda Crustacea Mysidacea	<u>Bowmaniella dissimilis</u>	29	9
Insecta Chironomidae	<u>Polypedilum</u> spp.	29	9
Total Abundance		316	
Number of Taxa		8	
Shannon-Weaver Diversity		2.46	

Table 10.5.4-16. Benthic Macroinvertebrates Collected from Station 3,
November 1988

Phylum Class Order	<u>Genus species</u>	Density (number/m ²)	Relative Abundance (percent)
Porifera	Porifera spp.	29	1
Cnidaria Hydrozoa	Hydrozoa spp.	43	1
Annelida Polychaeta	<u>Neanthes succinea</u>	215	5
	<u>Stenoninereis martini</u>	129	3
Mollusca Pelecypoda	<u>Mytilopsis leucophaeta</u>	574	13
Arthropoda Crustacea			
Cirripedia	<u>Balanus amphitrite</u>	316	7
Mysidacea	<u>Mysidopsis</u> sp.	72	2
Isopoda	<u>Cirolana gracilis</u>	29	1
Amphipoda	<u>Gitanopsis</u> sp. A	2,110	46
Decapoda	<u>Rhithropanopeus harrisii</u>	1,077	23
Total Abundance		4,593	
Number of Taxa	10		
Shannon-Weaver Diversity	2.25		

Table 10.5.4-17. Benthic Macroinvertebrates Collected from Station 4,
November 1988

Phylum	Class	Order	<u>Genus species</u>	Density (number/m ²)	Relative Abundance (percent)
Annelida					
	Polychaeta		Nereidae spp.	14	9
	Oligochaeta		<u>Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri</u>	14	9
Arthropoda					
	Insecta				
	Chironomidae		Chironomidae sp. C	14	9
			<u>Chironomus attenuatus</u>	14	9
			<u>Polypedilum</u> spp.	100	64
Total Abundance				158	
Number of Taxa			5		
Shannon-Weaver Diversity			1.67		

Table 10.5.4-18. Benthic Macroinvertebrates Collected from Station 5,
November 1988

Phylum Class Order	<u>Genus species</u>	Density (number/m ²)	Relative Abundance (percent)
Cnidaria			
Hydrozoa	Hydrozoa spp.	14	1
Annelida			
Polychaeta	<u>Stenoninereis martini</u>	72	3
	<u>Streblospio benedicti</u>	804	32
Oligochaeta	<u>Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri</u>	1,407	55
Mollusca			
Pelecypoda	<u>Mytilopsis leucophaeta</u>	57	2
Arthropoda			
Crustacea			
Mysidacea	<u>Bowmaniella dissimilis</u>	14	1
	<u>Mysidopsis bigelowi</u>	72	3
Amphipoda	<u>Gitanopsis</u> sp. A	14	1
Insecta			
Chironomidae	<u>Polypedilum</u> spp.	86	3
Total Abundance		2,541	
Number of Taxa		9	
Shannon-Weaver Diversity		1.70	

Table 10.5.4-19. Benthic Macroinvertebrates Collected from Station 6,
November 1988

Phylum Class Order	<u>Genus species</u>	Density (number/m ²)	Relative Abundance (percent)
Annelida Oligochaeta	<u>Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri</u>	57	100
Total Abundance		57	
Number of Taxa	1		
Shannon-Weaver Diversity	0.00		

Table 10.5.4-20. Benthic Macroinvertebrates Collected from Station 7,
November 1988

Phylum Class Order	Genus species	Density (number/m ²)	Relative Abundance (percent)
Nemertea	Nemertea spp.	14	1
Annelida			
Polychaeta	<u>Laeoneris culveri</u>	1,536	60
	<u>Streblospio benedicti</u>	72	3
Oligochaeta	Oligochaeta spp.	617	24
Mollusca			
Pelecypoda	<u>Mytilopsis leucophaeta</u>	100	4
Arthropoda			
Crustacea			
Cumacea	<u>Cyclaspis varians</u>	14	1
Mysidacea	<u>Bowmaniellia dissimilis</u>	14	1
	<u>Mysidopsis bigelowi</u>	14	1
Isopoda	<u>Cyathura polita</u>	29	1
Amphipoda	<u>Grandidierella bonneroides</u>	43	2
	<u>Corophium</u> spp.	57	2
Insecta	Unidentified spp.	14	1
Total Abundance		2,526	
Number of Taxa	12		
Shannon-Weaver Diversity	1.77		

Table 10.5.4-21. Benthic Macroinvertebrates Collected from Station 2,
February 1989

Phylum Class Order	<u>Genus species</u>	Density (number/m ²)	Relative Abundance (percent)
Chaetognatha	Chaetognatha spp.	72	1
Annelida			
Polychaeta	<u>Capitomastus aciculatus</u>	632	11
	<u>Eteone heteropoda</u>	14	<1
	Nereida spp.	14	<1
	Nereidae sp. A	14	<1
	<u>Stenoninereis martini</u>	43	<1
	<u>Streblospio benedicti</u>	4,048	73
Mollusca			
Pelecypoda	<u>Mytilopsis leucophaeta</u>	72	1
Arthropoda			
Crustacea			
Cumacea	Cumacea spp.	57	1
Mysidacea	<u>Mysidopsis bahia</u>	187	3
Amphipoda	<u>Grandidierolla bonneroides</u>	29	<1
	Caprellidae spp.	43	<1
	<u>Corophium</u> spp.	72	1
	<u>Gitanopsis</u> sp. A	43	<1
Decapoda	Megalopa larvae	14	<1
	Zoea larvae	201	4
Insecta			
Chaoboridae	<u>Chaoborus</u> sp.	29	<1
Total Abundance		5,583	
Number of Taxa		17	
Shannon-Weaver Diversity		1.67	

Table 10.5.4-22. Benthic Macroinvertebrates Collected from Station 3,
February 1989

Phylum Class Order	<u>Genus species</u>	Density (number/m ²)	Relative Abundance (percent)
Platyhelminthes Turbellaria	Turbellaria spp.	330	26
Annelida Polychaeta	Capitellidae spp.	14	1
	<u>Stenoninereis martini</u>	29	2
	<u>Streblospio benedicti</u>	29	2
Mollusca Pelecypoda	<u>Mytilopsis leucophaeta</u>	115	9
Gastropoda	Nudibranchia spp.	100	8
Arthropoda Crustacea			
Cirripedia	<u>Balanus amphitrite</u>	29	2
Isopoda	<u>Cirolana gracilis</u>	14	1
Amphipoda	<u>Gitanopsis</u> sp. A	129	10
	<u>Melita nitida</u>	402	31
Decapoda	<u>Rhithropanopeus harrisii</u>	86	7
Total Abundance		1,277	
Number of Taxa	11		
Shannon-Weaver Diversity	2.74		

Table 10.5.4-23. Benthic Macroinvertebrates Collected from Station 4, February 1989

Phylum Class Order	<u>Genus species</u>	Density (number/m ²)	Relative Abundance (percent)
Nemertea	<u>Nemertes spp.</u>	29	1
Annelida			
Polychaeta	<u>Capitomastus aciculatus</u>	201	5
	<u>Laeoneris culveri</u>	29	1
	Serpulidae spp.	14	<1
	<u>Stenoninereis martini</u>	14	<1
	<u>Streblospio benedicti</u>	2,009	48
Oligochaeta	<u>Hargeria rapax</u>	14	<1
Mollusca			
Pelecypoda	<u>Mytilopsis leucophaeta</u>	832	20
	Bivalvia spp.	14	<1
Gastropoda	Nudibranchia spp.	100	2
Arthropoda			
Crustacea			
Cirripedia	<u>Balanus amphitrite</u>	14	<1
Mysidacea	<u>Bowmaniella dissimilis</u>	43	1
Amphipoda	<u>Grandidierella bonneroides</u>	373	9
	Caprellidae spp.	57	1
	<u>Corophium</u> spp.	144	3
	<u>Gitanopsis</u> sp. A	14	<1
	<u>Melita nitida</u>	100	2
Decapoda	<u>Rhithropanopeus harrisii</u>	57	1
Insecta			
Chironomidae	<u>Polypedilum</u> spp.	100	2
Total Abundance		4,162	
Number of Taxa		19	
Shannon-Weaver Diversity		2.56	

Table 10.5.4-24. Benthic Macroinvertebrates Collected from Station 6,
February 1989

Phylum Class Order	<u>Genus species</u>	Density (number/m ²)	Relative Abundance (percent)
Nemertea	Nemertea spp.	29	1
Annelida			
Polychaeta	<u>Capitomastus aciculatus</u>	29	1
	<u>Eteone heteropoda</u>	14	<1
	<u>Glycera</u> spp.	14	<1
	<u>Stenoninereis martini</u>	43	1
	<u>Streblospio benedicti</u>	531	17
Mollusca			
Pelecypoda	<u>Mytilopsis leucophaeta</u>	2,253	70
	<u>Tagelus</u> spp.	86	3
Arthropoda			
Crustacea			
Cumacea	Cumacea spp.	29	1
Mysidacea	<u>Bowmaniella dissimilis</u>	43	1
	<u>Mysidopsis bahia</u>	43	1
Isopoda	<u>Cyathura polita</u>	14	<1
Amphipoda	<u>Grandidierella bonneroides</u>	14	<1
	<u>Corophium</u> spp.	29	1
	<u>Gitanopsis</u> sp. A	14	<1
Insecta			
Chironomidae	Chironomidae spp.	14	<1
	<u>Cryptochironomus</u> sp.	14	<1
Total Abundance		3,215	
Number of Taxa	17		
Shannon-Weaver Diversity	1.67		

Table 10.5.4-25. Benthic Macroinvertebrates Collected from Station 5,
February 1989

Phylum Class Order	<u>Genus species</u>	Density (number/m ²)	Relative Abundance (percent)
Annelida			
Polychaeta	<u>Capitomastus aciculatus</u>	2,053	40
	<u>Streblospio benedicti</u>	2,555	49
Mollusca			
Pelecypoda	<u>Mytilopsis leucophaeta</u>	43	1
Arthropoda			
Crustacea			
Cumacea	Cumacea spp.	72	1
Mysidacea	<u>Mysidopsis bahia</u>	86	2
Amphipoda	<u>Grandidierella bonneroides</u>	72	1
Decapoda	Megalopa larvae	14	<1
	Zoea larvae	273	5
Insecta			
Chaoboridae	<u>Chaoborus</u> sp.	29	1
Total Abundance		5,196	
Number of Taxa		9	
Shannon-Weaver Diversity		1.65	

Table 10.5.4-26. Benthic Macroinvertebrates Collected from Station 7,
February 1989

Phylum Class Order	<u>Genus species</u>	Density (number/m ²)	Relative Abundance (percent)
Nemertea	Nemertea spp.	14	1
Annelida			
Polychaeta	<u>Capitomastus aciculatus</u>	100	6
	<u>Laeoneris culveri</u>	100	6
	<u>Streblospio benedicti</u>	890	49
Mollusca			
Pelecypoda	<u>Mytilopsis leucophaeta</u>	29	2
	<u>Tagelus plebius</u>	57	3
Arthropoda			
Crustacea			
Cumacea	<u>Cyclaspis varians</u>	287	16
	<u>Oxyurostylis smithi</u>	29	2
Mysidacea	<u>Bowmaniella dissimilis</u>	57	3
	<u>Mysidopsis bigelowi</u>	14	1
	<u>Mysidopsis bahia</u>	29	2
Isopoda	<u>Cyathura polita</u>	57	3
Amphipoda	<u>Grandidierella bonneroides</u>	14	1
	<u>Corophium</u> spp.	129	7
	<u>Gitanopsis</u> sp. A	14	1
Total Abundance		1,823	
Number of Taxa		15	
Shannon-Weaver Diversity		2.63	

Table 10.5.4-27. Benthic Macroinvertebrates Collected from Station 2,
May 1989

Phylum Class Order	<u>Genus species</u>	Density (number/m ²)	Relative Abundance (percent)
Cnidaria			
Hydrozoa	Hydrozoa spp.	14	2
Annelida			
Polychaeta	<u>Leitoscoloplos</u> sp.	14	2
	<u>Stenoninereis martini</u>	29	3
	<u>Streblospio benedicti</u>	287	32
Oligochaeta	Oligochaeta spp.	29	3
Mollusca			
Pelecypoda	<u>Tagelus plebius</u>	43	5
Arthropoda			
Crustacea			
Mysidacea	<u>Mysidopsis bigelowi</u>	14	2
	<u>Mysidopsis bahia</u>	29	3
Amphipoda	<u>Grandidierella bonneroides</u>	416	46
Insecta			
Chironomidae	<u>Polypedilum</u> spp.	14	2
Echinodermata			
Holothurioidea	Holothurioidea sp.	14	2
Total Abundance		904	
Number of Taxa		11	
Shannon-Weaver Diversity		2.20	

Table 10.5.4-28. Benthic Macroinvertebrates Collected from Station 3,
May 1989

Phylum Class Order	<u>Genus species</u>	Density (number/m ²)	Relative Abundance (percent)
Annelida			
Polychaeta	<u>Capitellidae</u> spp.	14	<1
	<u>Neanthes succinea</u>	14	<1
	<u>Stenoninereis martini</u>	14	<1
	<u>Streblospio benedicti</u>	29	<1
Oligochaeta	<u>Oligochaeta</u> spp.	129	2
Mollusca			
Pelecypoda	<u>Tagelus plebius</u>	72	1
Arthropoda			
Crustacea			
Tanaidacea	<u>Tanaidae</u> sp.	646	10
Isopoda	<u>Cirolana gracilis</u>	244	4
Amphipoda	<u>Corophium</u> spp.	258	4
	<u>Gitanopsis</u> sp.A	4,650	70
	<u>Grandidierella bonneroides</u>	158	2
	<u>Melita nitida</u>	388	6
	Decapoda	<u>Eurypanopeus depressus</u>	14
<u>Rhithropanopeus harrisi</u>		14	<1
Total Abundance		6,660	
Number of Taxa		15	
Shannon-Weaver Diversity		1.74	

Table 10.5.4-29. Benthic Macroinvertebrates Collected from Station 4,
May 1989

Phylum Class Order	<u>Genus species</u>	Density (number/m ²)	Relative Abundance (percent)
Platyhelminthes	Platyhelminthes spp.	14	1
Annelida			
Polychaeta	<u>Eteone heteropoda</u>	43	2
	<u>Laeoneris culveri</u>	14	1
	<u>Streblospio benedicti</u>	431	16
Oligochaeta	Oligochaeta spp.	29	1
Mollusca			
Pelecypoda	<u>Mytilopsis leucophaeta</u>	29	1
	<u>Tagelus plebius</u>	172	6
Arthropoda			
Crustacea			
Cumacea	<u>Cyclaspis varians</u>	43	2
Tanaidacea	<u>Hargeria rapax</u>	57	2
Mysidacea	<u>Bowmaniella dissimilis</u>	14	1
	<u>Mysidopsis bahia</u>	187	7
Isopoda	<u>Cyathura polita</u>	14	1
Amphipoda	<u>Corophium</u> spp.	1,033	37
	<u>Gitanopsis</u> sp.A	144	5
	<u>Grandidierella bonneroides</u>	531	19
Total Abundance		2,756	
Number of Taxa		15	
Shannon-Weaver Diversity		2.74	

Table 10.5.4-30. Benthic Macroinvertebrates Collected from Station 5,
May 1989

Phylum Class Order	<u>Genus species</u>	Density (number/m ²)	Relative Abundance (percent)
Cnidaria Hydrozoa	Hydrozoa spp.	29	1
Annelida Polychaeta	Capitellidae spp.	14	<1
	<u>Laeoneris culveri</u>	57	2
	<u>Streblospio benedicti</u>	1,981	66
Mollusca Pelecypoda	<u>Tagelus plebius</u>	43	1
Arthropoda Crustacea			
Tanaidacea	<u>Hargeria rapax</u>	14	<1
Mysidacea	<u>Mysidopsis bahia</u>	14	<1
Amphipoda	<u>Cerapus tubularis</u>	115	4
	<u>Corophium</u> spp.	29	1
	<u>Gitanopsis</u> sp.A	100	3
	<u>Grandidierella bonneroides</u>	344	11
Decapoda	Zoea larvae	144	5
Insecta Chironomidae	<u>Polypedilum</u> spp.	115	4
Total Abundance		3,000	
Number of Taxa		13	
Shannon-Weaver Diversity		1.92	

Table 10.5.4-31. Benthic Macroinvertebrates Collected from Station 6, May 1989

Phylum Class Order	<u>Genus species</u>	Density (number/m ²)	Relative Abundance (percent)
Platyhelminthes	Platyhelminthes spp.	14	<1
Nemertea	Nemertea spp.	14	<1
Annelida			
Polychaeta	<u>Eteone heteropoda</u>	57	1
	<u>Neanthes succinea</u>	14	<1
	<u>Stenoninereis martini</u>	72	2
	<u>Streblospio benedicti</u>	761	17
Oligochaeta	Oligochaeta spp.	72	2
Mollusca			
Pelecypoda	<u>Mytilopsis leucophaeta</u>	617	14
	<u>Tagelus plebius</u>	502	11
Arthropoda			
Crustacea			
Mysidacea	<u>Mysidopsis bahia</u>	14	<1
Isopoda	<u>Edotea</u> spp.	14	<1
	<u>Cyathura polita</u>	86	2
Amphipoda	<u>Corophium</u> spp.	1,077	25
	<u>Gitanopsis</u> sp.A	187	4
	<u>Grandidierella bonneroides</u>	574	13
	<u>Melita nitida</u>	258	6
Decapoda	<u>Rhithropanopeus harrisi</u>	57	1
Total Abundance		4,392	
Number of Taxa		17	
Shannon-Weaver Diversity		3.11	

Table 10.5.4-32. Benthic Macroinvertebrates Collected from Station 7,
May 1989

Phylum Class Order	<u>Genus species</u>	Density (number/m ²)	Relative Abundance (percent)
Cnidaria			
Anthozoa	Anthozoa spp.	14	<1
Hydrozoa	Hydrozoa spp.	14	<1
Annelida			
Polychaeta	<u>Eteone heteropoda</u>	29	1
	<u>Streblospio benedicti</u>	545	16
Oligochaeta	Oligochaeta spp.	14	<1
Mollusca			
Pelecypoda	<u>Tagelus plebius</u>	1,608	46
Arthropoda			
Crustacea			
Cumacea	<u>Cyclaspis varians</u>	344	10
Tanaidacea	<u>Hargeria rapax</u>	115	3
Mysidacea	<u>Bowmaniella dissimilis</u>	14	<1
	<u>Mysidopsis bahia</u>	14	<1
Isopoda	<u>Edotea</u> spp.	29	1
	<u>Cyathura polita</u>	115	3
Amphipoda	<u>Crandidierella bonneroides</u>	14	<1
	<u>Cerapus tubularis</u>	14	<1
	<u>Corophium</u> spp.	588	17
	<u>Melita nitida</u>	14	<1
Insecta			
Chironomidae	Chironomidae spp.	14	<1
Total Abundance		3,502	
Number of Taxa		17	
Shannon-Weaver Diversity		2.42	

Table 10.5.4-33. Summary of Crocodile Surveys in and Adjacent to the Lauderdale Power Plant Site. South Fork New River (SFNR), Pond Apple Slough (PAS)
(Page 1 of 3)

Date	Location of Survey	Crocodilians Sighted	Other Wildlife Sighted
01/07/86	Cooling Ponds	1 Alligator	Adult Manatee w/young, wading bird roost (about 50 Little Blue and Tricolor herons)
	SFNR	0	Several wading birds
	PAS	0	2 Raccoons
07/23/86	Cooling ponds	4 Alligators 1 Caiman	Active wading bird roost (w/Anhingas and Green herons) and 4 Ospreys
07/30/86	Cooling ponds	5 Alligators	Roost active
08/06/86	Cooling ponds	2 Alligators 3 eyeshine	
08/13/86	Cooling Ponds	1 eyeshine	
12/12/86	Cooling Ponds	4 Alligators 1 eyeshine	
04/13/87	Cooling ponds	1 Alligator 1 Caiman* 1 eyeshine	1 Manatee 3 Grey foxes
05/18/87	Cooling ponds	6 Alligators 5 eyeshine	
	SFNR	1 eyeshine	
	PAS	0	
07/07/87	Cooling ponds	2 Alligators	
09/14/87	Cooling ponds	2 Alligators 2 eyeshine	
10/29/87	Cooling ponds	2 Alligators 2 eyeshine	
12/17/87	Cooling ponds	2 Alligators 2 eyeshine	2-3 Manatees, 1 Great Blue heron, and 1 Osprey

Table 10.5.4-33. Summary of Crocodile Surveys in and Adjacent to the Lauderdale Power Plant Site. South Fork New River (SFNR), Pond Apple Slough (PAS)
(Page 2 of 3)

Date	Location of Survey	Crocodylians Sighted	Other Wildlife Sighted
01/08/89	PAS	1 Caiman	1 Great blue heron, and 2 green back herons
01/15/89	PAS	1 Caiman	1 Great blue heron, 3 green back heron, 1 yellow crowned night heron, 1 moor hen
02/17/89	PAS	1 eyeshine	1 night hawk
	SFNR	0	10 raccoons, 3 moor hens, 2 green back herons
	Cooling Ponds	0	Active wading bird roost (w/Cattle Egrets, and and tricolor herons) 3 osprey
02/24/89	PAS	1 eyeshine	
	SFNR	0	15 raccoons, 1 opossum, 2 yellow crowned night herons, 3 moor hens
02/24/89	Cooling Ponds	0	1 raccoon, active wading bird roost (w/cattle egrets, tricolor herons)
03/02/89	Cooling Ponds	0	4 osprey, 2 great blue herons, 2 moor hens, 1 yellow crowned night heron, 3 anhingas, 1 green iguana
04/06/89	PAS	1 Alligator	
	SFNR	0	12 raccoons, 1 wood rat, 3 moor hens, 2 great blue herons
	Cooling Ponds	1 eyeshine	2 gray foxes, 3 raccoons, 3 osprey active wading bird roost (w/ 25 cattle egrets, 15 little blue herons, 10 tricolor herons, 4 great blue herons)
04/13/89	Cooling Ponds	0	Active wading bird roost (w/ 3 great blue herons, 2 green back heron, 1 yellow-crowned night heron, anhinga)

Table 10.5.4-33. Summary of Crocodile Surveys in and Adjacent to the Lauderdale Power Plant Site. South Fork New River (SFNR), Pond Apple Slough (PAS)
(Page 3 of 3)

Date	Location of Survey	Crocodilians Sighted	Other Wildlife Sighted
04/17/89 (Daytime)	OSP	1 Alligator	
04/20/89	SFNR	1 Alligator, 1 eyeshine	8 raccoons
	DCOC	0	
	OSP	1 Caiman	1 gray fox
05/04/89	DCOC	1 Aligator	1 opossum, 2 giant toads, 1 cuban tree frog, 1 night hawk
	OSP	1 Caiman	1 gray fox, 2 raccoons, 1 night hawk
07/12/89	PAS	0	2 green back herons
07/18/89	Cooling Ponds	0	6 turtles active roost
	SFNR	0	
	PAS	0	
	DCOC	0	Wading birds, muscovy ducks
	West Lake	0	Roseatte spoonbill
07/19/89	CP	0	Manatee, 12 turtles
	PAS	0	
	Hollywood Indian Reservation	0	
	West Lake	0	Wading birds
07/26/89	CP		Active roost turtles
	PAS	0	
	SFNR	1 Alligator	
	DCOC	0	Muscovy ducks
	West Lake	0	

* Captured

PROJECT REPORT

The status of the American crocodile (Crocodylus
acutus) at the Lauderdale Power Plant Site.

(Contract # 89023-C)

Submitted to: KBN Engineering and Applied Science
P.O. Box 14288
Gainesville, Florida 32604

Submitted by: Frank J. Mazzotti
and
Laura A. Brandt

Department of Wildlife and Ranges Sciences
University of Florida
3245 College Ave.
Davie, Florida 33314
(305) 370-3725

Introduction

The American crocodile (Crocodylus acutus) is listed as endangered by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (USFWS, 1979; IUCN, 1986). It occurs throughout the Caribbean, in Central and South Americas, and along the extreme southern tip of Florida. Historically, crocodiles nested as far north as Lake Worth on the east coast (Kushlan and Mazzotti, 1989) and just south of Naples on the west coast (P. Moler, pers. comm.). Currently there are three known crocodile nesting areas in Florida: within Everglades National Park, on North Key Largo, and at Florida Power and Light Company's (FPL) Turkey Point Power site in Homestead.

Crocodiles have been reported to occur in Broward County since 1919 (Barbour, 1923; Mazzotti, 1983), and since the 1960s have been observed continually but infrequently in Broward County (Table 1). These sightings have usually been in the West Lake area or near the FPL Lauderdale power plant, either in the cooling ponds, the South Fork of the New River (SFNR) or at the intersection of SR-84 and 441 (Figure 1). As recently as 1986 a crocodile was reported in the pond apple slough, opposite the cooling ponds, northwest of the SFNR (Browne, 1986).

As FPL seeks to develop land resources (including relicensing the Lauderdale power plant) learning the status of endangered species on the site and in the adjacent area becomes important. The purpose of this study was to determine the status

of the American crocodile on and around the Lauderdale power
plant site.

Methods

The main study area consisted of all bodies of water within the area bounded on the east by Ravenswood Road, the north by SR 84, and the west by 441 and the south by Griffin Road (Figure 1). This included the 4 major creeks and numerous smaller creeks in the pond apple slough area (PAS) just south of I-595, the South Fork of the New River Canal (SFNR) and the Dania Cut off Canal (DCOC), (a man-made canal system with steep banks and boat slips), the cooling ponds (CP), a series of ponds on the FPL property bordered by Cocoa Plums (Chrysobalanus icaco), Pond Apple (Annona glabra), and Australian Pine (Casurinia equisetifolia), and a number of ponds outside the FPL property (OSP). Outside of this area we also surveyed West Lake (WL), a mangrove lined lake west of the Intercoastal Waterway and south of the DCOC. The status of crocodiles in these areas was determined by conducting diurnal foot surveys, nocturnal boat surveys, and diurnal helicopter surveys. All three methods have been shown to be effective in determining the presence of crocodilians, as well as for obtaining an index of abundance (Parker and Watson, 1970; Woodward and Marion, 1978; Wood et al, 1985; Bayliss et al 1986; Bayliss, 1987, Brandt 1989).

Foot surveys were conducted mainly in PAS. During these surveys the presence of any crocodilian, crocodilian sign (tail drags or slides) or other wildlife was noted.

One of the most widely used methods for determining the status of crocodilians in an area is through the use of night

time eyeshine counts (Chabreck, 1966; Woodward and Marion, 1978; Taylor and Neal, 1984). The tapetum of crocodilians eyes reflects red to orange/yellow when hit with a light, and for this reason crocodilians can be easily located at night. Eyeshine counts are conducted by shining a strong light along the shoreline and counting the number of eyeshines observed. Nocturnal surveys were conducted in this manor in the CP, SFNR, DCOC (all by canoe with a 5 hp motor) and in the OSP (by vehicle). When an eyeshine was spotted the animal was approached to obtain a size estimate and a positive species identification (American crocodile, Crocodylus acutus, American alligator, Alligator mississippiensis, or spectacled caiman, Caiman crocodylus). An attempt was made to capture all crocodilians sighted in order to weigh, measure (snout-vent length, total length, and skull length), mark and release them (crocodiles and alligators) or to remove them (caimans).

Aerial surveys were conducted of the CP, the PAS, SFNR, DCOC, West Lake, the Seminole Indian reservation between 441 and the Turnpike, and of various ponds outside of the power plant site. Surveys were flown in a Robinson 22 helicopter at an altitude of 30-50 m and an air speed of < 40 knots between 0800 and 1100.

Results

Fourteen surveys were conducted from January 1989 through July 1989 (Table 2). In addition, results from surveys conducted during 1986 and 1987 are presented (Table 3). Of the 14 surveys conducted during this study, 4 were day time surveys, 3 aerial surveys and the remainder night surveys. Pond apple slough was surveyed 8 times, DCOC 4 times, SFNR 6 times, CP 7 times, OSP 6 times, and West Lake 3 times. Twelve sightings of crocodylians were made. Eight of these were positively identified, 4 alligators and 4 caimans. The remainder were unidentified eyeshines, and we can not rule out the possibility that some were American crocodiles. One of the caimans, a 1.83 m male, was captured and removed from the pond apple slough area. No evidence of crocodylian nesting was observed. In addition to crocodylians, numerous other wildlife was observed including manatees (in the cooling ponds), raccoons, foxes, wading birds (including an active roost in the cooling ponds), turtles and a green iguana (Table 2).


Discussion

No crocodiles were sighted in the study area during this study or during 12 surveys conducted during 1986 and 1987. In addition, there was no evidence of crocodylian (alligator, crocodile, or caiman) nesting in the area. That no crocodiles were observed during this study suggests that either crocodiles are not present on the site, are present in only very low numbers, or are transient. However, the techniques used in this study are reliable methods for determining the status of crocodylians in an area (Bayliss, 1987) so it is unlikely that there are resident crocodiles in the area that were missed in the years (1986, 1987, and 1989) of systematic surveys. In addition to the results reported here, M. McMahon reported sighting Alligator mississippiensis and Caiman crocodylus but no Crocodylus acutus in or around the cooling system during surveys conducted in 1985 (McMahon, unpub. data).

The recent reports of crocodiles in the area probably represent the presence of transient individuals and not an established population. Crocodiles are known to move long distances, and, one individual reported in Table 1^(c) made several long distance movements (20, 100, and 150 km) between captures in 1978 (Kushlan and Mazzotti, 1989).

In addition, the absence of nesting activity in the study area, even in prime nesting sites (sandy berms) within the cooling ponds indicates that if there are a few crocodiles in the area they are not breeding.

It is interesting to note that during 1986 and 1987 1-11 crocodilians were observed during the night time surveys of the cooling ponds while during this study only one sighting of a crocodilian was made in 5 night time surveys of the same area. There is suitable habitat adjacent to the cooling ponds, and the ponds themselves (particularly in the south western portion) also appear to be suitable. Why there has been a decrease in the number of crocodilians sighted within the cooling ponds is unknown.



10.5.4-54

Figure 1 CROCODILIAN STUDY AREA



Lauderdale
Repowering
Project

FPL

Table 1. Historical sightings of Crocodylus acutus in Broward County. Superscripts after dates represent probable sightings of the same animal. SFNR = South Fork of the New River Canal.

Date	Location	Comments	Source
1 Feb 1919	Ojus Creek	Dead	Barbour, 1923
c. 1920	Hallandale		Barbour, 1923
early 1960s	West Lake		McMahon, unpub. data (Jones,pc)
1968	Cooling Ponds	Captured taken to Spykes grove	McMahon, unpub. data (Jones,pc)
1974-75 ^a	SFNR		McMahon, unpub. data (Jones,pc)
1974-75 ^a	SFNR		McMahon, unpub. data (Jones,pc)
1974-75 ^a	SFNR		McMahon, unpub. data (Jones,pc)
28 Jul 1978 ^b	FPL plant	Photographed	Wilcox, pers. comm.
24 Oct 1978 ^c	Ocean at Hollywood	FGFWFC obs.	Grimm and Buhman, 1978
25 Oct 1978 ^c	Ocean at Ft. Lauderdale	FGFWFC obs.	Grimm and Buhman, 1978
Oct 1978 ^c	Ocean at Ft. Lauderdale	Caught & tagged by FGFWFC; released on Key Largo	Mazzotti, 1983
Jan 1979 ^c	Canal 4	Caught and radio collared	Wilcox, pers. comm.
26 Jan 1979 ^b	FPL plant	Dead, shot	Wilcox, pers. comm.
1 Mar 1979 ^c	FPL plant		Wilcox, pers. comm.

Table 1 (cont.)

Date	Location	Comments	Source
Summer 1979 ^c	Hollywood	Caught & taken to Naples by FGFWFC	Mazzotti, 1983
1980	West Lake		McMahon, unpub. data (Jones,pc)
1982	Hallandale	Caught and released	McMahon, unpub. data (Jones,pc)
1982	441 & SR 84	Caught & released on Key Largo	Moler, pers. comm.
1985	Lake east of Turnpike	may be breeding optimal nesting habitat	McMahon, unpub. data (Jones,pc)
1985	Lake east of Turnpike	at least 2 animals	McMahon, unpub. data (Jones,pc)
Mar 1986	Pond Apple Slough		Browne, 1986
Oct 1986	Alligator Alley, west of Turnpike canal	Dead (178 cm) Marked on Key Largo as hatchling in 1980	Moler, pers. comm.

Table 2. Summary of crocodile surveys in and adjacent to the Lauderdale power plant site Jan 1989 - July 1989. SFNR = South Fork of the New River, PAS = Pond Apple Slough, DCOC = Dania Cut-Off Canal, CP = Cooling Ponds, and OSP = Off Site Ponds (see Figure 1). All surveys are night surveys unless otherwise noted.

Date	Location of Surveys	Crocodylians Sighted	Other Wildlife
1989			
8 Jan (Foot)	PAS	1 Caiman	1 Great Blue Heron and 2 Green Back Herons
15 Jan (Foot)	PAS	1 Caiman	1 Great Blue, 3 Green Back Heron, 1 Yellow Crowned Night Heron, 1 Moor Hen
17 Feb	PAS	1 Eye Shine	1 Night Hawk
	SFNR	0	10 Raccoons, 3 Moor Hens, 2 Green Back Herons
	CP	0	Active wading bird roost(w/ Cattle Egrets, and Tricolor Herons) 3 Osprey
24 Feb	PAS	1 Eye Shine	
	SFNR	0	15 Raccoons, 1 Opossum, 2 Yellow
	Crowned Night Herons, 3 Moor		Hens

Table 2 (cont.)

Date	Location of Surveys	Crocodylians Sighted	Other Wildlife
24 Feb	CP	0	1 Raccoon, Active wading bird roost (w/ Cattle Egrets, Tricolor Herons)
2 Mar	CP	0	4 Osprey, 2 Great Blue Herons, 2 Moor Hens, 1 Yellow Crowned Night Heron, 3 Anhingas, 1 Green Iguana
6 Apr	PAS	1 Alligator	
	SFNR	0	12 Raccoons, 1 Wood Rat, 3 Moor Hens, 2 Great Blue Herons
	CP	1 Eye Shine	2 Gray Foxes, 3 Raccoons, 3 Osprey Active wading bird roost (w/ 25 Cattle Egrets, 15 Little Blue Herons, 10 Tricolor Herons, 4 Great Blue Herons)

Table 2 (cont.)

Date	Location of Surveys	Crocodylians Sighted	Other Wildlife
13 Apr	CP	0	Active wading bird roost (w/ 3 Great Blue Herons, 2 Green Back Heron, 1 Yellow-Crowned Night Heron, Anhinga)
17 Apr (Day time)	OSP	1 Alligator	
20 Apr	SFNR	1 Alligator, 1 Eye Shine	8 Raccoons
	DCOC	0	
	OSP	1 Caiman	1 Gray Fox
4 May	DCOC	1 Alligator	1 Opossum, 2 Giant Toads, 1 Cuban Tree Frog, 1 Night Hawk
	OSP	1 Caiman	1 Gray Fox, 2 Raccoons, 1 Night Hawk
12 July (Foot)	PAS	0	2 Green back Herons
18 July (Aerial)	CP	0	6 Turtles Active roost
	SFNR	0	
	PAS	0	
	DCOC	0	Wading birds Muscovy ducks
	West Lake	0	Roseatte spoonbill

Table 2 (cont.)

Date	Location of Surveys	Crocodilians Sighted	Other Wildlife
19 July (Aerial)	CP	0	Manatee 12 Turtles
	PAS	0	
	Hollywood Indian Reservation	0	
	West Lake	0	Wading birds
26 July (Aerial)	CP		Active roost Turtles
	PAS	0	
	SFNR	1 Alligator	
	DCOC	0	Muscovy Ducks
	West Lake	0	

Table 3. Summary of crocodile surveys in and adjacent to the Lauderdale power plant site during 1986 and 1987. CP = Cooling ponds, SFNR = South Fork of the New River, PAS = Pond Apple Slough.

Date	Location of Surveys	Crocodylians Sighted	Other Wildlife
1986			
7 Jan	CP	1 Alligator	Adult Manatee with young, wading bird roost (about four mixed Little Blue and Tricolor herons).
	SFNR	0	Several wading birds
	PAS	0	2 Raccoons.
23 July	CP	4 Alligators 1 Caiman	Active wading bird roost (Anhingas and Green herons) and 4 Ospreys.
30 July	CP	5 Alligators	Roost active.
6 Aug	CP	2 Alligators 3 Eyeshine	
13 Aug	CP	1 Eyeshine	
12 Dec	CP	4 Alligators 1 Eyeshine	
1987			
13 Apr	CP	1 Alligator 1 Caiman (captured) 1 Eyeshine	1 Manatee 3 Grey foxes
18 May	CP	6 Alligators 5 Eyeshine	
	SFNR	1 Eyeshine	
	PAS	0	

Table 3 (Cont.)

Date	Location of Surveys	Crocodilians Sighted	Other Wildlife
7 July	CP	2 Alligators	
14 Sept	CP	2 Alligators 2 Eyeshine	
29 Oct	CP	2 Alligators 1 Eyeshine	
17 Dec	CP	2 Alligators	2-3 Manatees, 1 Great Blue Heron, and 1 Osprey.

Literature Cited

- Barbour, T. 1923. The Crocodile in Florida. Occ. Pap. Mus. Zool. Mich. No. 131:1-6.
- Bayliss, P. 1987. Survey methods and monitoring within crocodile management programs. In G. J. W. Webb, S. C. Manolis and P. J. Whitehead, eds. Wildlife Management; Crocodiles and Alligators. Surrey Beatty and Sons, Sydney. pp. 157-175.
- Bayliss, P., F. J. W. Webb, P. J. Whitehead, K. Dempsey, A. Smith. 1986. Estimating the abundance of Saltwater crocodiles, Crocodylus porosus, in tidal wetlands of the Northern Territory: A mark-recapture experiment to correct spotlight counts to absolute numbers and the calibration of helicopter and spotlight counts. Aust. Wildl. Res. 13:309-320.
- Brandt, L. A. 1989. The Status and Ecology of the American alligator (Alligator mississippiensis) in Par Pond, Savannah River Site. M.S. Thesis. Florida International University. Miami, FL. 89 pp.
- Browne, M. Z. 1986. Rare crocodile is discovered. The Miami Herald. March 5, 1986.
- Chabreck, R. H. 1966. Methods of determining the size and composition of alligator populations in Louisiana. Proc. Ann. Conf. S.E. Assoc. Game and Fish Comm. 20:105-112.
- Grimm, and Buhman. 1978. Saltwater Croc Gets Last Laugh. The Miami Herald, October 26, 1978.
- IUCN. 1986. 1986 red list of threatened animals. International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources. Gland, Switzerland.
- Kushlan, J. A. and F. J. Mazzotti. 1989. Historic and present distribution of the American crocodile in Florida. J. Herp. 23(1):1-7.
- Mazzotti, F. J. 1983. The ecology of Crocodylus acutus in Florida. Ph. D. Diss. The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA. 158 pp.
- Parker, I. S. C. and R. M. Watson. 1970. Crocodile distribution and status in the major waters of western and central Uganda in 1969. E. Afr. Wildl. J. 8:85-103.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1979. Endangered and threatened

wildlife and plants; listing with endangered status for the American crocodile throughout its range and the salt water crocodile exclusive of the Papua, New Guinea population. Federal Register 44:75074-75076.

Wood, J. M., A. R. Woodward, S. R. Humphrey, and T. C. Hines. 1985. Night counts as an index of American alligator population trends. Wildl. Soc. Bull. 13(3):262-272.

Woodward, A. T. and W. R. Marion. 1978. An evaluation of factors affecting night-light counts of alligators. Proc. Ann. Conf. S.E. Assoc. Fish & Wildl. Agencies 32:291-302.

APPENDIX 10.5.5
SOCIOECONOMICS

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Page 1 of 1)

10.5.5	<u>Socioeconomics</u>	10.5.5-1
	Baseline Intersection Analyses	10.5.5-1
	Impact Analysis--Construction Phase	10.5.5-8
	Impact Analyses--Operational Phase	10.5.5-16

BASELINE INTERSECTION ANALYSES

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION

AVERAGE RUNNING SPEED, MAJOR STREET..... 40
 PEAK HOUR FACTOR..... .9
 AREA POPULATION..... 150000
 NAME OF THE EAST/WEST STREET..... S.W. 42ND STREET
 NAME OF THE NORTH/SOUTH STREET..... RAVENSWOOD ROAD
 NAME OF THE ANALYST..... RA
 DATE OF THE ANALYSIS (mm/dd/yy)..... 01/12/89
 TIME PERIOD ANALYZED..... 1989 PM PEAK HOUR

OTHER INFORMATION:

INTERSECTION TYPE AND CONTROL

INTERSECTION TYPE: T-INTERSECTION
 MAJOR STREET DIRECTION: NORTH/SOUTH
 CONTROL TYPE EASTBOUND: STOP SIGN

TRAFFIC VOLUMES

	EB	WB	NB	SB
LEFT	11	---	69	0
THRU	0	---	364	355
RIGHT	58	---	0	18

NUMBER OF LANES

	EB	WB	NB	SB
LANES	1	---	1	1

ADJUSTMENT FACTORS

	PERCENT GRADE	RIGHT TURN ANGLE	CURB RADIUS (ft) FOR RIGHT TURNS	ACCELERATION LANE FOR RIGHT TURNS
EASTBOUND	0.00	90	20	N
WESTBOUND	-----	-----	-----	--
NORTHBOUND	0.00	90	20	N
SOUTHBOUND	0.00	90	20	N

VEHICLE COMPOSITION

	% SU TRUCKS AND RV'S	% COMBINATION VEHICLES	% MOTORCYCLES
EASTBOUND	5	0	0
WESTBOUND	-----	-----	-----
NORTHBOUND	5	0	0
SOUTHBOUND	5	0	0

CRITICAL GAPS

	TABULAR VALUES (Table 10-2)	ADJUSTED VALUE	SIGHT DIST. ADJUSTMENT	FINAL CRITICAL GAP
MINOR RIGHTS				
EB	5.90	5.90	0.00	5.90
MAJOR LEFTS				
NB	5.20	5.20	0.00	5.20
MINOR LEFTS				
EB	7.10	7.10	0.00	7.10

MOVEMENT	FLOW-RATE v (pcph)	POTENTIAL CAPACITY c (pcph) p	ACTUAL MOVEMENT CAPACITY c (pcph) M	SHARED CAPACITY c (pcph) SH	RESERVE CAPACITY c = c - v R SH	LOS
MINOR STREET						
EB LEFT	13	233	219	> 219	> 206	C
				> 478	> 400	B
RIGHT	66	617	617	> 617	> 551	A
MAJOR STREET						
NB LEFT	79	783	783	783	705	A

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION

AVERAGE RUNNING SPEED, MAJOR STREET..... 40

PEAK HOUR FACTOR..... .9

AREA POPULATION..... 150000

NAME OF THE EAST/WEST STREET..... GRIFFIN ROAD

NAME OF THE NORTH/SOUTH STREET..... S.W. 42ND AVENUE

NAME OF THE ANALYST..... RA

DATE OF THE ANALYSIS (mm/dd/yy)..... 01/12/89

TIME PERIOD ANALYZED..... 1989 PM PEAK HOUR

OTHER INFORMATION:

INTERSECTION TYPE AND CONTROL

INTERSECTION TYPE: T-INTERSECTION

MAJOR STREET DIRECTION: EAST/WEST

CONTROL TYPE SOUTHBOUND: STOP SIGN

TRAFFIC VOLUMES

	EB	WB	NB	SB
LEFT	32	0	--	10
THRU	484	704	--	355
RIGHT	58	5	--	18

NUMBER OF LANES

	EB	WB	NB	SB
LANES	2	1	--	1

	PERCENT GRADE	RIGHT TURN ANGLE	CURB RADIUS (ft) FOR RIGHT TURNS	ACCELERATION LANE FOR RIGHT TURNS
EASTBOUND	0.00	90	20	N
WESTBOUND	0.00	90	20	N
NORTHBOUND	-----	----	----	--
SOUTHBOUND	0.00	90	20	N

VEHICLE COMPOSITION

	% SU TRUCKS AND RV'S	% COMBINATION VEHICLES	% MOTORCYCLES
EASTBOUND	5	0	0
WESTBOUND	5	0	0
NORTHBOUND	-----	----	----
SOUTHBOUND	5	0	0

CRITICAL GAPS

	TABULAR VALUES (Table 10-2)	ADJUSTED VALUE	SIGHT DIST. ADJUSTMENT	FINAL CRITICAL GAP
MINOR RIGHTS				
SB	5.90	5.90	0.00	5.90
MAJOR LEFTS				
EB	5.20	5.20	0.00	5.20
MINOR LEFTS				
SB	7.10	7.10	0.00	7.10

MOVEMENT	FLOW-RATE v (pcph)	POTENTIAL	ACTUAL	SHARED		RESERVE		LOS
		CAPACITY c (pcph) p	MOVEMENT CAPACITY c (pcph) M	CAPACITY c (pcph) SH	CAPACITY c (pcph) SH	CAPACITY c = c - v R SH		
MINOR STREET								
SB LEFT	12	112	107	>	107	>	95	> E
				>	198	>	164	> D
RIGHT	22	374	374	>	374	>	352	> B
MAJOR STREET								
EB LEFT	39	508	508		508		469	A

IMPACT ANALYSES--CONSTRUCTION PHASE

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION

AVERAGE RUNNING SPEED, MAJOR STREET.. 40

PEAK HOUR FACTOR..... .95

AREA POPULATION..... 150000

NAME OF THE EAST/WEST STREET..... S.W. 42ND STREET

NAME OF THE NORTH/SOUTH STREET..... RAVENSWOOD ROAD

NAME OF THE ANALYST..... RA

DATE OF THE ANALYSIS (mm/dd/yy)..... 05/10/89

TIME PERIOD ANALYZED..... 1992 PM PEAK HOUR

OTHER INFORMATION.... PEAK CONSTRUCTION PERIOD

INTERSECTION TYPE AND CONTROL

INTERSECTION TYPE: T-INTERSECTION

MAJOR STREET DIRECTION: NORTH/SOUTH

CONTROL TYPE EASTBOUND: STOP SIGN

TRAFFIC VOLUMES

	EB	WB	NB	SB
LEFT	7	--	70	0
THRU	0	--	365	356
RIGHT	81	--	0	18

NUMBER OF LANES

	EB	WB	NB	SB
LANES	1	--	1	1

ADJUSTMENT FACTORS

	PERCENT GRADE	RIGHT TURN ANGLE	CURB RADIUS (ft) FOR RIGHT TURNS	ACCELERATION LANE FOR RIGHT TURNS
EASTBOUND	0.00	90	20	N
WESTBOUND	-----	---	---	-
NORTHBOUND	0.00	90	20	N
SOUTHBOUND	0.00	90	20	N

VEHICLE COMPOSITION

	% SU TRUCKS AND RV'S	% COMBINATION VEHICLES	% MOTORCYCLES
EASTBOUND	5	0	0
WESTBOUND	---	---	---
NORTHBOUND	5	0	0
SOUTHBOUND	5	0	0

CRITICAL GAPS

	TABULAR VALUES (Table 10-2)	ADJUSTED VALUE	SIGHT DIST. ADJUSTMENT	FINAL CRITICAL GAP
MINOR RIGHTS				
EB	5.90	5.90	0.00	5.90
MAJOR LEFTS				
NB	5.20	5.20	0.00	5.20
MINOR LEFTS				
EB	7.10	7.10	0.00	7.10

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION

NAME OF THE EAST/WEST STREET..... S.W. 42ND STREET
 NAME OF THE NORTH/SOUTH STREET..... RAVENSWOOD ROAD
 DATE AND TIME OF THE ANALYSIS..... 05/10/89 : 1992 PM PEAK HOUR
 OTHER INFORMATION.... PEAK CONSTRUCTION PERIOD

CAPACITY AND LEVEL-OF-SERVICE

MOVEMENT	FLOW-RATE v (pcph)	POTEN-	ACTUAL	SHARED	RESERVE		LOS
		TIAL	MOVEMENT		CAPACITY	CAPACITY	
		CAPACITY	CAPACITY	CAPACITY	c = c - v		
		p	M	SH	R	SH	
MINOR STREET							
EB LEFT	8	243	229	>	229	>	221 > C
				>	567	>	472 >A
RIGHT	87	650	650	>	650	>	563 > A
MAJOR STREET							
NB LEFT	76	763	763		763		687 A

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION

NAME OF THE EAST/WEST STREET..... S.W. 42ND STREET
 NAME OF THE NORTH/SOUTH STREET.... RAVENSWOOD ROAD
 DATE AND TIME OF THE ANALYSIS..... 05/10/89 ; 1992 PM PEAK HOUR
 OTHER INFORMATION.... PEAK CONSTRUCTION PERIOD

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION

AVERAGE RUNNING SPEED, MAJOR STREET..... 40
 PEAK HOUR FACTOR..... .95
 AREA POPULATION..... 150000
 NAME OF THE EAST/WEST STREET..... GRIFFIN ROAD
 NAME OF THE NORTH/SOUTH STREET..... S.W. 42ND AVENUE
 NAME OF THE ANALYST..... RA
 DATE OF THE ANALYSIS (mm/dd/yy)..... 05/10/89
 TIME PERIOD ANALYZED..... 1992 PM PEAK HOUR

OTHER INFORMATION: PEAK CONSTRUCTION PERIOD

INTERSECTION TYPE AND CONTROL

INTERSECTION TYPE: T-INTERSECTION
 MAJOR STREET DIRECTION: EAST/WEST
 CONTROL TYPE SOUTHBOUND: STOP SIGN

TRAFFIC VOLUMES

	EB	WB	NB	SB
LEFT	32	0	---	23
THRU	486	707	---	0
RIGHT	0	5	---	31

NUMBER OF LANES

	EB	WB	NB	SB
LANES	2	1	---	1

	PERCENT GRADE	RIGHT TURN ANGLE	CURB RADIUS (ft) FOR RIGHT TURNS	ACCELERATION LANE FOR RIGHT TURNS
EASTBOUND	0.00	90	20	N
WESTBOUND	0.00	90	20	N
NORTHBOUND	----	---	---	-
SOUTHBOUND	0.00	90	20	N

VEHICLE COMPOSITION

	% SU TRUCKS AND RV'S	% COMBINATION VEHICLES	% MOTORCYCLES
EASTBOUND	5	0	0
WESTBOUND	5	0	0
NORTHBOUND	---	---	---
SOUTHBOUND	5	0	0

CRITICAL GAPS

	TABULAR VALUES (Table 10-2)	ADJUSTED VALUE	SIGHT DIST. ADJUSTMENT	FINAL CRITICAL GAP
MINOR RIGHTS				
SB	5.90	5.90	0.00	5.90
MAJOR LEFTS				
EB	5.20	5.20	0.00	5.20
MINOR LEFTS				
SB	7.10	7.10	0.00	7.10

MOVEMENT	FLOW-RATE v (pcph)	POTEN-	ACTUAL	SHARED		RESERVE		LOS
		TIAL CAPACITY c (pcph) P	MOVEMENT CAPACITY c (pcph) M	CAPACITY c (pcph) SH	CAPACITY c (pcph) SH	CAPACITY c = c - v R SH		
MINOR STREET								
SB LEFT	27	124	119	>	119	>	92	> E
				>	198	>	136	> D
RIGHT	36	396	396	>	396	>	360	> B
MAJOR STREET								
EB LEFT	37	533	533		533		496	A

1985 HCM: SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

SUMMARY REPORT

INTERSECTION..SR 84/S.W. 26TH TERR.(TRAM RD.)

AREA TYPE.....OTHER

ANALYST.....RA

DATE.....05/10/89

TIME.....1992 PM PEAK HOUR

COMMENT.....PEAK CONSTRUCTION PERIOD

	VOLUMES				:	GEOMETRY						
	EB	WB	NB	SB		EB	WB	NB	SB			
LT	0	111	612	0	:	T	12.0	L	12.0	L	12.0	12.0
TH	624	927	0	0	:	T	12.0	T	12.0	LR	12.0	12.0
RT	145	0	288	0	:	T	12.0	T	12.0		12.0	12.0
RR	0	0	0	0	:	TR	12.0		12.0		12.0	12.0
					:		12.0		12.0		12.0	12.0
					:		12.0		12.0		12.0	12.0

	ADJUSTMENT FACTORS									
	GRADE (%)	HV (%)	ADJ Y/N	PKG Nm	BUSES Nb	PHF	PEDS	PED. Y/N	BUT. min T	ARR. TYPE
EB	0.00	5.00	N	0	0	0.90	0	N	11.5	3
WB	0.00	5.00	N	0	0	0.90	0	N	11.5	3
NB	0.00	5.00	N	0	0	0.90	0	N	23.5	3
SB	0.00	0.00	N	0	0	0.90	0	N	23.5	3

	SIGNAL SETTINGS								CYCLE LENGTH = 60.0			
	PH-1	PH-2	PH-3	PH-4	PH-1	PH-2	PH-3	PH-4	PH-1	PH-2	PH-3	PH-4
EB	LT				NB	LT	X					
	TH		X			TH						
	RT		X			RT	X					
	PD					PD						
WB	LT	X	X		SB	LT						
	TH	X	X			TH						
	RT					RT						
	PD					PD						
GREEN		8.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	GREEN	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
YELLOW		4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	YELLOW	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

	LEVEL OF SERVICE							
	LANE GRP.	V/C	G/C	DELAY	LOS	APP. DELAY	APP. LOS	
EB	TR	0.385	0.358	9.3	B	9.3	B	
WB	L	0.030	0.558	4.5	A	5.6	B	
	T	0.552	0.558	5.7	B			
NB	L	0.890	0.358	25.7	D	29.4	D	
	LR	0.974	0.358	32.7	D			

INTERSECTION: Delay = 14.3 (sec/veh) V/C = 0.717 LOS = B

IMPACT ANALYSES--OPERATIONAL PHASE

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION

AVERAGE RUNNING SPEED, MAJOR STREET..... 40
 PEAK HOUR FACTOR..... .95
 AREA POPULATION..... 150000
 NAME OF THE EAST/WEST STREET..... S.W. 42ND STREET
 NAME OF THE NORTH/SOUTH STREET..... RAVENSWOOD ROAD
 NAME OF THE ANALYST..... RA
 DATE OF THE ANALYSIS (mm/dd/yy)..... 05/10/89
 TIME PERIOD ANALYZED..... 1993 PM PEAK HOUR

OTHER INFORMATION: OPERATIONAL PHASE

INTERSECTION TYPE AND CONTROL

INTERSECTION TYPE: T-INTERSECTION
 MAJOR STREET DIRECTION: NORTH/SOUTH
 CONTROL TYPE EASTBOUND: STOP SIGN

TRAFFIC VOLUMES

	EB	WB	NB	SB
LEFT	8	--	73	0
THRU	0	--	380	370
RIGHT	37	--	0	19

NUMBER OF LANES

	EB	WB	NB	SB
LANES	1	--	1	1

1985 HCM: SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

SUMMARY REPORT

INTERSECTION: SR 84/S.W. 26TH TERR. (TRAM RD.)

AREA TYPE: OTHER

ANALYST: RA

DATE: 05/10/89

TIME: 1993 PM PEAK HOUR

COMMENT: OPERATIONAL PHASE

	VOLUMES					GEOMETRY			
	EB	WB	NB	SB		EB	WB	NB	SB
LT	0	115	425	0	:	EB	WB	NB	SB
TH	649	964	0	0	:	T	L	L	12.0
RT	151	0	89	0	:	T	T	R	12.0
RR	0	0	0	0	:	TR	T	T	12.0
					:				12.0
					:				12.0
					:				12.0

	ADJUSTMENT FACTORS									
	GRADE (%)	HV (%)	ADJ Y/N	PKG Nm	BUSES Nb	PHF	PEDS	PED. Y/N	BUT. min T	ARR. TYPE
EB	0.00	5.00	N	0	0	0.90	0	N	14.3	3
WB	0.00	5.00	N	0	0	0.90	0	N	14.3	3
NB	0.00	5.00	N	0	0	0.90	0	N	28.8	3
SB	0.00	0.00	N	0	0	0.90	0	N	28.8	3

SIGNAL SETTINGS						CYCLE LENGTH = 60.0					
		PH-1	PH-2	PH-3	PH-4			PH-1	PH-2	PH-3	PH-4
EB	LT					NB	LT	X			
	TH		X				TH				
	RT		X				RT	X			
	PD						PD				
WB	LT	X	X			SB	LT				
	TH	X	X				TH				
	RT						RT				
	PD						PD				
GREEN		8.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	GREEN		20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
YELLOW		4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	YELLOW		4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

LEVEL OF SERVICE							
	LANE GRP.	V/C	G/C	DELAY	LOS	APP. DELAY	APP. LOS
EB	TR	0.410	0.350	9.6	B	9.6	B
WB	L	0.030	0.550	4.7	A	5.9	B
	T	0.583	0.550	6.1	B		
NB	L	0.809	0.350	19.2	C	16.8	C
	R	0.133	0.500	5.2	B		

INTERSECTION: Delay = 9.4 (sec/veh) V/C = 0.671 LOS = B

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION

AVERAGE RUNNING SPEED, MAJOR STREET..... 40
 PEAK HOUR FACTOR..... .95
 AREA POPULATION..... 150000
 NAME OF THE EAST/WEST STREET..... GRIFFIN ROAD
 NAME OF THE NORTH/SOUTH STREET..... S.W. 42ND AVENUE
 NAME OF THE ANALYST..... RA
 DATE OF THE ANALYSIS (mm/dd/yy)..... 05/10/89
 TIME PERIOD ANALYZED..... 1993 PM PEAK HOUR

OTHER INFORMATION: OPERATIONAL PHASE

INTERSECTION TYPE AND CONTROL

INTERSECTION TYPE: T-INTERSECTION
 MAJOR STREET DIRECTION: EAST/WEST
 CONTROL TYPE SOUTHBOUND: STOP SIGN

TRAFFIC VOLUMES

	EB	WB	NB	SB
LEFT	33	0	--	28
THRU	505	735	--	0
RIGHT	0	6	--	37

NUMBER OF LANES

	EB	WB	NB	SB
LANES	2	1	--	1

ADJUSTMENT FACTORS

Page-2

	PERCENT GRADE	RIGHT TURN ANGLE	CURB RADIUS (ft) FOR RIGHT TURNS	ACCELERATION LANE FOR RIGHT TURNS
EASTBOUND	0.00	90	20	N
WESTBOUND	0.00	90	20	N
NORTHBOUND	-----	-----	-----	---
SOUTHBOUND	0.00	90	20	N

VEHICLE COMPOSITION

	% SU TRUCKS AND RV'S	% COMBINATION VEHICLES	% MOTORCYCLES
EASTBOUND	5	0	0
WESTBOUND	5	0	0
NORTHBOUND	-----	-----	-----
SOUTHBOUND	5	0	0

CRITICAL GAPS

	TABULAR VALUES (Table 10-2)	ADJUSTED VALUE	SIGHT DIST. ADJUSTMENT	FINAL CRITICAL GAP
MINOR RIGHTS				
SB	5.90	5.90	0.00	5.90
MAJOR LEFTS				
EB	5.20	5.20	0.00	5.20
MINOR LEFTS				
SB	7.10	7.10	0.00	7.10

CAPACITY AND LEVEL OF SERVICE

Page-3

MOVEMENT	FLOW-RATE v (pcph)	POTENTIAL CAPACITY c (pcph) P	ACTUAL MOVEMENT CAPACITY c (pcph) M		SHARED CAPACITY c (pcph) SH		RESERVE CAPACITY c = c _R + v R SH	LOS
MINOR STREET								
SB LEFT	30	115	110	>	110	>	80	E
RIGHT	40	378	378	>	185	>	115	D
					378	>	338	B
MAJOR STREET								
EB LEFT	36	513	513		513		477	A

1985 HCM: SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS
SUMMARY REPORT

 INTERSECTION..SR 8475.W. 26TH TERR.(TRAM RD.)
 AREA TYPE.....OTHER
 ANALYST.....JRA
 DATE.....05/10/89
 TIME.....1993 PM PEAK HOUR
 COMMENT.....OPERATIONAL PHASE

	VOLUMES				:	GEOMETRY						
	EB	WB	NB	SB		EB	WB	NB	SB			
LT	0	115	425	0	:	T	12.0	L	12.0	L	12.0	12.0
TH	549	964	0	0	:	T	12.0	T	12.0	LR	12.0	12.0
RT	151	0	89	0	:	T	12.0	T	12.0		12.0	12.0
RR	0	0	0	0	:	TR	12.0		12.0		12.0	12.0
					:		12.0		12.0		12.0	12.0
					:		12.0		12.0		12.0	12.0

	ADJUSTMENT FACTORS									
	GRADE (%)	HV (%)	ADJ Y/N	PKG NM	BUSES NO	PHF	PEDS	PED. Y/N	BUT. MIN 1	ARR. TYPE
EB	0.00	5.00	N	0	0	0.90	0	N	11.5	3
WB	0.00	5.00	N	0	0	0.90	0	N	11.5	3
NB	0.00	5.00	N	0	0	0.90	0	N	23.5	3
SB	0.00	0.00	N	0	0	0.90	0	N	23.5	3

	SIGNAL SETTINGS								CYCLE LENGTH = 60.0			
	PH-1	PH-2	PH-3	PH-4	PH-1	PH-2	PH-3	PH-4	PH-1	PH-2	PH-3	PH-4
EB	LT				NB	LT	X					
	TH	X				TH						
	RT	X				RT	X					
	PD					PD						
WB	LT	X	X		SB	LT						
	TH	X	X			TH						
	RT					RT						
	PD					PD						
GREEN	8.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	GREEN	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
YELLOW	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	YELLOW	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

	LEVEL OF SERVICE							
	LANE GRP.	V/C	G/C	DELAY	LOS	APP. DELAY	APP. LOS	
EB	TR	0.400	0.358	9.4	B	9.4	B	
WB	L	0.030	0.558	4.5	A	5.7	B	
	T	0.574	0.558	5.8	B			
NB	L	0.618	0.358	13.6	B	12.0	B	
	LR	0.447	0.358	9.9	B			

INTERSECTION: Delay = 8.3 (sec/veh) V/C = 0.591 LOS = B

APPENDIX 10.5.6

NOISE

10.5.6 Noise

The noise monitoring presented in Section 2.3.8.2 was performed with equipment meeting the specifications in this appendix. Prior to these measurements, preliminary surveys were performed using a Computer Engineering Limited (CEL) impulse sound level meter and analyzer (Model CEL-228) and CEL calibrator. The results of these surveys are presented in Table 10.5.6-1. These survey results were used to locate the monitoring sites presented in Section 2.3.8.2.

Table 10.5.6-2 presents the noise levels used to simulate various construction equipment. The impact analysis is presented in Section 4.6.1.

Table 10.5.6-1 Preliminary Noise Survey

Site Description	Date/Time	Noise Levels (dBA)					Comments
		Maximum	Minimum	Average	Median	Std. Dev.	
Plant - West Interior Fence	12 May 88/ 2:52 PM	63	58	59.35	59.0	1.04	Highest due to jet landings.
Plant - Unit 5 Intake	12 May 88/ 3:12 PM	66	62	63.05	63.0	0.90	Highest due to jet landings.
Plant - Salinity Gate	12 May 88/ 3:26 PM	64	58.5	59.93	60.0	1.08	Highest due to speaker.
Plant - Oil Terminal	12 May 88/ 3:46 PM	61	56	57.74	57.5	1.26	Highest due to jet landings.
Boatarama on 441	20 Oct. 88/ 3:04 PM	70	60	65.95	66.0	2.78	Highest due to traffic.
4409 SW 35th Ave.	20 Oct. 88/ 3:18 PM	62	42	49.40	46.0	6.70	Highest due to jet landings.
SW 35th Ave. @ Griffin Rd.	20 Oct. 88/ 3:04 PM	66	52	60.30	61.0	3.93	Highest due to traffic.
Davis Isles West Corner	20 Oct. 88/ 3:46 PM	60	48	52.90	52.0	3.60	Highest due to jet landings.
Davis Isles Entrance	20 Oct. 88/ 3:54 PM	64	53	57.35	57.0	2.31	Highest due to traffic.
Aqua Isles 4702 39th Terr	20 Oct. 88/ 4:05 PM	52	46	48.35	48.0	1.65	Highest due to jet landings.
39th Ave. Across from Plant	20 Oct. 88/ 4:15 PM	63	51	53.75	53.0	3.01	Highest due to jet landings.
Hills Mobile Home Park-Entrance	20 Oct. 88/ 4:22 PM	70	52	61.05	60.0	6.16	Highest due to traffic.
SW 42nd St. @ Plant Entrance	20 Oct. 88/ 4:30 PM	60	49	52.90	52.0	3.21	Highest due to jet landings.
SW 42nd St. Gate 2B	20 Oct. 88/ 4:46 PM	58	42	47.55	45.0	5.20	Highest due to jet landings.

Table 10.5.6-2. Sound Pressure Levels of Major Construction Noise Sources at the Lauderdale Plant Site

Source	Level (dBA at 50 ft)	Octave SPL (dB)										SPL (dB)	SPL(A) (dBA)
		31.5	63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000	16000		
1	88	0	114.6	128.5	123.5	113.5	113.5	106.4	96.2	86.2	0	130.1	119.5
2	84	0	111.6	118.6	116.6	114.6	109.6	104.6	98.6	92.6	0	122.5	115.6
3	78	0	102.6	102.6	106.6	106.6	102.6	104.6	97.6	89.6	0	112.6	109.7
4	74	0	106.6	104.6	101.6	102.6	99.6	96.6	95.6	96.6	0	111.2	105.5
5	73	0	109.6	102.6	99.6	95.6	102.6	96.6	87.6	79.6	0	111.7	104.6
6	70	0	103.6	101.6	96.6	96.6	99.6	93.6	82.6	78.6	0	107.7	102.0

EQUIPMENT SPECIFICATIONS

SPECIFICATION FOR BRUEL & KJAER SOUND LEVEL CALBRATOR

Summarized Specifications Type 4155

The 4155 satisfies the requirements for frequency response given in IEC 651 for Type 1. Nominal specifications are summarized below:

Open Circuit Sensitivity: -25 dB re 1 V/Pa, equivalent to 50 mV/Pa

Frequency Response Characteristic: Frequency ranges for flat 0°-incidence free field response (ref. 250 Hz)

6 Hz - 5 kHz: flat within ±1 dB

4 Hz - 16 kHz: flat within ±2 dB

Lower Limiting Frequency (-3 dB): 1 - 3 Hz (vent exposed to sound field)

Pressure Equalization Time Constant: 0.16 - 0.053 s. The cartridge is back-vented

Diaphragm Resonance Frequency: 14 kHz (90° phase shift)

Cartridge Thermal Noise: 1.2×10^{-6} Pa/√Hz, 14.5 dB(A), 15.5 dB(Lin)

Upper Limit of Dynamic Range: 146 dB SPL (dist. < 3% at 100 Hz). Safety limit: 160 dB (peak)

Polarized Cartridge Capacitance: 15 pF at 250 Hz with the external polarization voltage of 0 V applied

Equivalent Air Volume: 40 mm³ at 250 Hz, 1013 mbar

Ambient Pressure Coefficient: -0.001 dB/mbar at 250 Hz, 1013 mbar

Ambient Temperature Coefficient: -0.006 dB/°C at 250 Hz between -10° and +50°C

Humidity Coefficient: 0.004 dB/%R.H. at 250 Hz, 23°C

Long Term Stability: >400 years/dB at 20°C

Diaphragm Protection: Quartz

Typical Gain with B & K Preamplifiers or input stages of Sound Level Meters

1/2" Microphones (app. 17 pF)	2230	2233	2614 2615	2619 Serial no. up to 761076	2619 Serial no. from 761077	2539 2545	2660 -0 dB"
G (approx.)	0.0 dB	0.0 dB	-2.2 dB	-0.4 dB	-0.2 dB	-0.05 dB	-0.1 dB

To obtain the overall correction factor, K, subtract G from the open-circuit correction factor, K₀, given on the face side of this chart. The K-factor is used when calibrating B & K Measuring Amplifiers and Analyzers which have an internal reference voltage.

$$K = K_0 - G$$

For example, if K₀ is -0.8 dB and G is -0.2 dB (for a 4155 with a 2619 preamp.), then the correction factor is calculated as follows:

$$K = -0.8 \text{ dB} - (-0.2 \text{ dB}) = -1.0 \text{ dB}$$

Polarization: The two grooves engraved on the microphone cartridge indicate that the microphone is prepolarized. For measurements complying with calibration data on this chart the polarization circuit of the measuring system should be set to "0 V" (or grounded). See relevant B & K literature.

Caution: When mounting a prepolarized microphone on input terminals which are not designed for it, there is a risk that the charge-carrying element of the cartridge could be damaged if static electricity is stored on the person or on the measuring set-up. Prevention is easy - Either the user or the cartridge housing must always touch the preamplifier housing (or sound level meter case) before the centre terminal of the cartridge makes contact.



Dimensions:

Diameter with protecting grid: 13.2 mm (0.52")
 Diameter without protecting grid: 12.7 mm (0.50")
 Height with protecting grid: 17.3 mm (0.68")
 Height without protecting grid: 16.2 mm (0.64")
 Thread for coupler/grid mounting: 12.7 - 60 UNS
 Thread for Preamp. mounting: 11.7 - 60 UNS

SPECIFICATION FOR BRUEL & KJAER MICROPHONE PREAMPLIFIER AND
PREPOLARIZED CONDENSER MICROPHONE

Specifications 4230

<p>Sound Pressure Level: 94 dB re 2×10^{-5} Pa (or 1 Pa)</p> <p>Accuracy of SPL: At 1013 mbar when loaded with $1,333 \text{ cm}^3$ (corresponding to B & K microphones with protecting grid, and their respective adaptors) $\pm 0,3$ dB at $23^\circ\text{C} \pm 3^\circ\text{C}$ (68 to 79°F) $\pm 0,4$ dB between 10 and 40°C (50 to 104°F) $\pm 0,5$ dB between 0 and 50°C (32 to 122°F)</p> <p>Frequency: 1000 Hz $\pm 1,5\%$</p> <p>Equivalent Coupler Volume: $V > 140 \text{ cm}^3$ at 23°C ($73,4^\circ\text{F}$) $V > 70 \text{ cm}^3$ between 10 and 40°C (50 to 104°F) $V > 35 \text{ cm}^3$ between 0 and 50°C (32 to 122°F)</p>	<p>Distortion: < 1%</p> <p>Influence of Static Pressure: $\pm 0,05$ dB/100 mbar from 500 mbar to 1100 mbar</p> <p>Long Term Stability: Better than $\pm 0,1$ dB/year (expected for normal field use)</p> <p>Microphone Types: 1" directly 1/2" with supplied adaptor</p> <p>Power Supply: Internal supply from 1 \times 9 V transistor (IEC 6LF22, NEDA 1604) battery</p> <p>Operation: With a new battery the signal will last for up to one minute after contact is released</p>	<p>Battery Check: As long as the signal continues for a few seconds after the contact is released the change in SPL is less than 0,05 dB</p> <p>Dimensions: (Including leather case) Length: 115 mm (4,5") Diameter: 44 mm (1,7")</p> <p>Weight: (Including leather case) 260 g (0,57 lb)</p> <p>Accessories Included: Adaptor for 1/2" microphones DB 0311 Leather case KE 0065 Battery 9 V transistor IEC 6LF22 (B & K order No. QB 0016)</p> <p>Accessories Available: 1/2" to 1/4" Adaptor DB 0310 1/2" to 1/8" Adaptor DB 0352</p>
---	--	--

**SPECIFICATION FOR BRUEL & KJAER
PRECISION INTEGRATING SOUND LEVEL METER**

Specifications 2230

MEASURING RANGE:			
FSD¹	Measuring Range		
	Lower limit for S/N ratio > 5 dB (A-weighting)	Max. peak level²	Upper limit for signals of crest factor = 10 (20 dB)³
70	24	83	63
80	24	93	73
90	30	103	83
100	40	113	93
110	50	123	103
120	60	133	113
130 ²	70	143	123
140 ²	80	153	133

¹ FSD on quasi analogue display
² Only with attenuator ZF 0020 employed
³ Values may diverge slightly from nominal value depending on microphone K₀ factor

FREQUENCY RESPONSE:
See Figs.3 and 4

FREQUENCY WEIGHTING:
A, C weighting to IEC 651 Type 1
Linear (20 Hz -20 kHz)
All-pass (10 Hz -50 kHz)

DETECTOR:
Characteristics: RMS, peak
Linearity range: 70 dB
Pulse range: 73 dB
Crest factor capability: 13 dB at FSD

TIME WEIGHTING CHARACTERISTICS:
"I": to IEC 651 Type 1
"F": to IEC 651 Type 1
"S": to IEC 651 Type 1
"Peak": rise time <50 μs
Max. Hold decay rate: 0 dB/s (digital)

L_{eq} RESPONSE TIME FOR CONSTANT INPUT SIGNAL:
1 s after reset

MAXIMUM MEASUREMENT PERIOD:
Only limited by battery life

DISPLAY:
Digital: 4 digits 7 segments, liquid crystal, 8 mm high, resolution 0,1 dB
Quasi-analogue: 60 dB scale with 2 dB resolution for monitoring current SPL

Additional functions:
Overload occurring: †
Overload has occurred: *
Battery near low level: BAT flashing
Battery low level: BAT (flash.) † (non-reset.)
Illegal setting: †

AC OUTPUT:
1 V RMS for full scale, output impedance ≤120 Ω, short circuit protected, mini-jack socket

DC OUTPUT:
3 V for full scale, 0 V bottom scale, 50 mV/dB, output impedance ≤500 Ω, short circuit protected, mini-jack socket

RESET FUNCTION:
Automatic reset all occurs when changing FSD setting.
Reset all: Max./min. detectors, L_{eq}, L_{EA,T} and overload detector are reset
Reset max./min.: Only max./min detectors are reset

MICROPHONE:
Type: 1/2 inch B & K Prepolarized Condenser Microphone Type 4155
Sensitivity: 50 mV/Pa
Capacitance: 15 pF
Windscreen effect: <0,9 dB up to 10 kHz

CALIBRATION:
Acoustical: With Sound Level Calibrator Type 4230 or Pistonphone Type 4220 by potentiometer adjustment
Electrical: With internal reference source by potentiometer adjustment

REFERENCE CONDITIONS FOR ACOUSTICAL CALIBRATION WITH TYPE 4230:
Type of Sound Field: Free
Reference Incidence Direction: Perpendicular to microphone diaphragm
Reference SPL: 94 dB (re 20 μPa)
Reference Frequency: 1 kHz
Reference Temperature: 20°C
Reference Measuring Range: 110 dBFS

WARM-UP TIME:
<5 s for 0,5 dB; <10 s for 0,1 dB

EFFECT OF HUMIDITY (AT 40°C AND 1000 Hz):
<0,5 dB for 30%<RH<90%

EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE:
Microphone: -0,008 dB/°C typically
Complete instrument:
<0,5 dB -10 to +50°C
Operating range: -10 to +50°C (+14 to 122°F)
Storage without batteries: -20 to +70°C (-4 to 158°F)

EFFECT OF MAGNETIC FIELD:
80 A/m (1 Ørsted) at 50 Hz gives:
<25 dB (A) or <44 dB (Lin)

VIBRATION SENSITIVITY: 72 dB max. at 40 Hz and 1 ms⁻².

BATTERIES:
Type: Four 1,5 V Alkaline cells IEC type LR 6 (B&K order No. QB 0013)
Life: approx. 8 hours

OVERALL DIMENSIONS AND WEIGHT:
370 x 85 x 47 mm (14,7 x 3,3 x 1,8 in)
860 g (1,9 lb) with batteries

ACCESSORIES INCLUDED:
Half-Inch Prepolarized Condenser Microphone..... Type 4155
2,5 mm mini-jack plug (x2) JP 0213
Windscreen..... UA 0237
Input Adaptor JJ 2614
Screwdriver..... QA 0001
Cells (x4)..... QB 0013
20 dB Attenuator..... ZF 0020

ACCESSORIES AVAILABLE:
Carrying case..... KE 0226
Tripod..... UA 0801
Sound Level Calibrator Type 4230
Level Recorder Cable..... AO 0173
3 m Microphone Extension cable AO 0134
Mains Power Supply and Charger ZG 0254

APPENDIX 10.6
NEED PETITION

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Petition of Florida Power & Light Company for Determination of Need for Proposed Electrical Power Plant and Related Facilities -- Lauderdale Repowering Project)
Docket No. 890973-EI)
Filed: July 25, 1989)
_____)

PETITION TO DETERMINE NEED FOR ELECTRICAL
POWER PLANT -- LAUDERDALE REPOWERING PROJECT

Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL"), by and through its undersigned attorneys, hereby petitions the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission") pursuant to Section 403.519, F.S., and Rule 25-22.081, F.A.C., to determine the need for the proposed electrical power plant and related facilities described herein, and to file its order making that determination with the Department of Environmental Regulation ("DER") pursuant to Section 403.507(1)(b), F.S. In support thereof, FPL states:

1. FPL's full name and business address is:

Florida Power & Light Company
P.O. Box 029100
9250 W. Flagler St.
Miami, Florida 33102-9100

2. The name and address of FPL's representative to receive communications regarding this docket is:

Richard D. Melson
Cheryl G. Stuart
Hopping Boyd Green & Sams
P.O. Box 6526
Tallahassee, Florida 32314

with a copy to:

Roberto R. Denis
Florida Power & Light Company
P.O. Box 029100
9250 W. Flagler St.
Miami, Florida 33102-9100

3. FPL is an investor owned electric utility that serves approximately 3,000,000 customers in thirty-five Florida counties.

4. FPL has determined a need to construct approximately 2,000 MW of new generating capacity on its system between 1993 and 1997. This capacity is needed to maintain adequate system reliability in the face of increasing demand for electrical energy, coupled with declining power purchases from the Southern Companies. This 2,000 MW reflects the need for new generating capacity that remains after implementation of all reasonably available, cost-effective alternatives to new construction. These alternatives, which total over 3,000 MW, include: incremental conservation (139 MW); load management and interruptible load (998 MW); firm purchases from qualifying facilities (1,016 MW, of which 505 MW are currently under contract); and power purchases from the Southern Companies (900 MW).

5. The first step in FPL's plan for meeting this 2,000 MW new capacity need is the repowering of FPL's existing Lauderdale Units No. 4 and No. 5, with the repowered units to be in commercial operation by December 31, 1992. Each of the units to be repowered is a 150 MW

class oil/gas fired steam unit with an existing net summer capacity of 137 MW. The repowering will convert these units to combined cycle operation by the addition of two new advanced combustion turbines (CTs) to each unit. These CTs will be fired primarily on natural gas with distillate oil as an alternate fuel, and the capability of future conversion to burn coal gas as an alternate fuel. The exhaust from the CTs will be captured in new heat recovery steam generators that will be used to drive the existing steam turbines. After repowering, each 400 MW class Lauderdale Unit will have a net summer capacity of 365 MW, or an increase in net capacity of 228 MW per unit (456 MW total).^{1/} These units and their associated facilities (collectively, the "Lauderdale Repowering Project") are subject to the Florida Electrical Power Plant Siting Act (the "Act"), Sections 403.501 to 403.519, F.S.

6. The Lauderdale Repowering Project is one part of FPL's overall power supply plan for the 1993 to 1997 time frame. FPL additionally proposes to construct four new 400 MW class units -- two 385 MW combined cycle units fired on natural gas (with future capability to use coal gas as an alternate fuel) and an integrated coal gasification combined cycle plant incorporating two 384 MW combined cycle units --

^{1/} This MW estimate is conservative, and actual capacity could increase as much as 10-15% based on final design.

at its Martin site between 1994 and 1996 (the "Martin Expansion Project"). A separate petition to determine the need for the Martin Expansion Project is being filed simultaneously herewith. By separate motion, FPL will be requesting a consolidated hearing on the two need petitions.

7. Concurrently with this filing, FPL has also issued a solicitation that seeks proposals to provide up to 800 MW of non-FPL owned or constructed electric energy and capacity to meet part of FPL's additional capacity need in the 1994 to 1997 time frame. To the extent that the solicitation process produces reliable, cost-effective alternatives, the effect could be to delay completion of some portion of the Martin Expansion Project. Because the Lauderdale Repowering Project offers several unique advantages that make it ideal for FPL's first capacity addition, the solicitation process is not an alternative to that project. These advantages include:

(a) The Lauderdale Repowering site is strategically located close to FPL's major load center, which enhances system reliability.

(b) In addition to providing new capacity, the Lauderdale Repowering Project will convert existing steam units to combined cycle operation, thereby increasing the efficiency of the existing generation.

(c) The project is at a developed site, which minimizes land use and environmental concerns and enables the use of existing cooling facilities.

(d) No additional off-site transmission lines will be required to integrate the repowered plant into the electric grid.

(e) FPL has sufficient natural gas supplies and gas transportation capacity under contract to supply the fuel needs of the repowered units.

(f) The Lauderdale Repowering Project has a relatively short lead time, which enables it to be in-service to meet the 1993 capacity need.

8. Pursuant to the Act, including Section 403.519, F.S., and to Rules 25-22.080 to 25-22.081, F.A.C., the Commission has jurisdiction to determine the need for the Lauderdale Repowering Project, applying the standards set forth in Section 403.519, F.S.

9. As authorized by Rule 25-22.080(1), F.A.C., FPL has elected to commence this proceeding for determination of need prior to the filing with DER of an application for site certification of the Lauderdale Repowering Project. The filing with DER is currently scheduled for early December, 1989.

10. The information supporting this petition is contained in two documents titled "Petition to Determine Need for Electrical Power Plant 1993-1996" and "Petition to Determine Need for Electrical Power Plant 1993-1996 Appendices" (collectively, the "Need Study"), which are attached as Exhibits 1 and 2 to this petition, and

incorporated herein by reference. The Need Study contains FPL's analysis of the need for the Lauderdale Repowering Project and includes the information required by Rule 25-22.081, F.A.C.

11. The accompanying information demonstrates that the Lauderdale Repowering Project is the most cost effective alternative available to meet FPL's 1993 capacity requirements, taking into account the need for electric system reliability and integrity, the need for adequate reasonable cost electricity, and other relevant matters.

12. Because of the overall general public interest and the impact of this proceeding, including the magnitude of the proposed facility and the effect of the proceeding on the economy, public health, and safety of the service area involved, FPL requests that the hearing in this matter be held by the full Commission, as authorized by Section 350.01(6), F.S. and Rule 25-22.0355(4), F.A.C., and that it be conducted as a formal hearing pursuant to Section 120.57(1), F.S.

13. Since this Petition is being filed several months in advance of filing the site certification application with DER, FPL is willing to agree to a waiver of the provisions of Rule 25-22.080(2) which specify that a hearing shall be held within 90 days of receipt of a need determination petition, provided that the hearing is held no later than March 31, 1990.

WHEREFORE, FPL respectfully requests that:

- (1) pursuant to Rule 25-22.080(2), F.A.C., the Commission within seven days set a date for a hearing on this petition not later than March 31, 1990;
- (2) the hearing in this matter be assigned to the full Commission under Section 350.01(6), F.S., and be held as a formal hearing pursuant to Section 120.57(1), F.A.C.;
- (3) the Commission give notice of the commencement of the proceeding as required by Rule 25-22.080(3), F.A.C.;
- (4) the Commission submit a preliminary report to DER pursuant to Section 403.507(1)(b), F.S. no later than 60 days after the filing by FPL with DER of a site certification application; and
- (5) the Commission determine that there is a need for the Lauderdale Repowering Project described in this petition, and file its order making such determination with the DER pursuant to Section 403.507(1)(b), F.S.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 25th day of July, 1989.

HOPPING BOYD GREEN & SAMS

By: Richard D. Melson
Richard D. Melson
Cheryl G. Stuart
Post Office Box 6526
Tallahassee, Florida 32314
(904) 222-7500

Attorneys for
Florida Power & Light Company

APPENDIX 10.7
DRAINAGE AND RUNOFF CALCULATIONS

10.7 DRAINAGE AND RUNOFF CALCULATIONS

Attached are the calculations that support the program described in Section 3.8, On-site Drainage.

EBASCO SERVICES INCORPORATED

BY HA Friedman DATE 11/4/89SHEET 1 OF 6CHKD. BY KM DATE 11/6/89OFS NO. 7918.017 DEPT. 941
NO. CLIENT FPLPROJECT Lauderdale RepoweringSUBJECT Site Drainage

Divide into contaminated vs un contaminated

per "Permit Information Manual, SFWMD
Basis of Review ... " see p 3.8 - 3 of SCA
(BOR)"contaminated" per section 3.2.2.2 (3)(b)
refers to "hazardous materials". Since
SFWMD does not define hazardous materials, we
assume they use state/federal definitionNote: all potentially oily wastewaters
are collected and sent to a central
wastewater treatment facility - see section
3.6 of SCA. This calculation deals
with non-contaminated, non-oily runoff.Reference Drawings - Figures 3.8-1, -2 & -3
from SCA (attached)Note: This calculation proceeds in
same order as sub-section 3.8.2 of the
SCABased Figure C-I-5 (see Calc 27, p.2)
of BOR

design storm:

24 hour 10 year precip = 11 in.
thus 72 hour 10 year = $1.359 * 11$ = 15 inches (1.359 from Table
C-I-2
of BOR)

EBASCO SERVICES INCORPORATED

BY HAP DATE 11/4/81SHEET 2 OF 6CHKD. BY KM DATE 11/6/89OFS NO. 7918.017 DEPT. NO. 941CLIENT FPLPROJECT Lauderdale RepoweringSUBJECT Site Drainageto look at entire site

pre-existing runoff

Divide site into two land areas (see ATT 1,

Figure 2.1-3 from SCA) - Area 1 bounded

by South New River Canal (w), stub Canal/
Discharge Canal (N), Davis Cut-Off Canal (s)
and unnamed dead-end canal (e)

Area 2 - North of Discharge Canal

$$\text{Area 1} \approx 2880' \times 816' = 2,350,080 \text{ ft}^2$$

$$2,350,080 / 43560 = 54 \text{ acres}$$

surface imperviousness of this area varies from pavement to grass, overall estimate it as follows:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} 50\% \text{ grass @ } 0.10 \\ 50\% \text{ paved @ } 0.90 \end{array} \right\} \text{ average} = 0.50$$

per rational method

$$Q = c i a$$

$$= 0.50 * i * a$$

$$a = 54 \text{ acres}$$

$$c = 0.50$$

per SFWMD BOR design storm, peak hourly intensity (see Figure C-I-7) = $0.42 * 24 \text{ hr value}$

$$= 0.42 * 11 = 4.62 \text{ inches/hour}$$

max flow $Q = c i a = 0.5 * 4.62 \text{ in/hr} * 54 \text{ ac}$

EBASCO SERVICES INCORPORATED

BY HAF DATE 11/4/89SHEET 3 OF 6CHKD. BY KM DATE 11/6/89OFS NO. 7918.017 DEPT. NO. 941CLIENT FPLPROJECT Lauderdale RepoweringSUBJECT Site Drainage

$$Q = .5 * 4.62 * 54 = 124.74 \text{ in-acres/hour}$$

Only a portion of this drains to Davis Cut-off Canal - see ATT 1, shaded area

$$\text{shaded area} \approx \frac{1200 * 500 + 400 * 120}{43560} = 15.4 \text{ acres}$$

$$Q = C i a = .5 * 4.62 * 15.4 = 35.6$$

$$35.6 \text{ in-acres/hour} * \frac{43560}{12 * 3600} = 35.9 \text{ cfs}$$

say 36 cfs

Note: Area 2 will not be affected by the Project - drains to cooling pond/canal system anyway

After Repowering

West portion of site: ATT 3

per p. 3.8-9 of SCA

West Construction Area and Water Treatment Area - these drain to Stub Canal

Total drainage to Stub Canal

$$\frac{720 * 630}{43560} \approx 10.4 \text{ acres}$$

EBASCO SERVICES INCORPORATED

BY NDF DATE 11/4/89SHEET 4 OF 6CHKD. BY KM DATE 11/6/89OFS NO. 7918.017 DEPT. NO. 944CLIENT FOLPROJECT Lauderdale RepoweringSUBJECT Site Drainage

Total design storm = 15 inches

$$15 \text{ inches} \times 10.4 \text{ acres} = 156.2 \text{ in-acres}$$

$$\text{Stub Canal Area} \approx \frac{60' \times 1083'}{43560} = 1.5 \text{ acres}$$

$$\frac{156.2 \text{ in-acres}}{1.5 \text{ acres}} = 105 \text{ inches}$$

$$\text{to percolate } \frac{105 \text{ inches}}{72 \text{ hours}} = 1.46 \text{ inch/hour}$$

per SCA Section 2.3.2

$$\text{vertical permeability} \geq .01 \text{ cm/sec}$$

$$.01 \frac{\text{cm}}{\text{sec}} \times \frac{60 \times 60}{2.54} = 14.2 \text{ inch/hour}$$

OK by a factor of 10

entire storm can be percolated

Pump Block / Runoff Pond area

per SCA = 10 acres

per BOR 3.2.2 a)

$$1 \text{ Wet Detention} = 1'' \text{ or } 2.5 \times .65 = 1.63''$$

(.65 = % impervious)

EBASCO SERVICES INCORPORATED

BY NAP DATE 11/4/89
 CHKD. BY KM DATE 11/6/89
 CLIENT FPL

SHEET 5 OF 6
 OFS NO. 7918.017 DEPT. NO. 941

PROJECT Lauderdale Repowering
 SUBJECT Site Drainage

b) Well Retention = 50% = 0.82 inches
 $0.82 \text{ inches} * 10 \text{ acres} = 8.2 \text{ inch-acres}$
 $8.2 * \frac{43560}{12} = 29,800 \text{ cubic feet}$

East Portion of Site ATT. 4

$$\text{Area} = \frac{846 * 792}{43560} = 15.4 \text{ acres}$$

$$\text{Total Storm} = 15.4 \text{ acres} * 15 \text{ inches} = 230.7 \text{ in-acres}$$

$$\text{EP Pond} = \frac{324 * 180}{43560} = 1.34 \text{ acres}$$

$$\frac{230.7 \text{ in-acres}}{1.34 \text{ acres} * 72 \text{ hours}} = 2.4 \text{ inches/hour}$$

this is only $\frac{1}{5}$ of estimated percolation rate
So is OK

Construction Parking & East Construction Laydown

$$3.7 + 4.6 = 8.3 \text{ acres} * 15'' = 124.5 \text{ in-acres}$$

$$\text{Swale} = 100' * (930 * 2 + 540 * 2)$$

$$= 100 * 2940 = 294000 \text{ ft}^2$$

$$= 6.8 \text{ acres}$$

$$\frac{124.5 \text{ in-acres}}{6.8 \text{ acres} * 72 \text{ hours}} = .25 \text{ inches/hour} \quad \underline{\underline{OK}}$$

EBASCO SERVICES INCORPORATED

BY KAP DATE 11/4/89

CHKD. BY KM DATE 11/6/89


SHEET 6 OF 6
OFS NO. 7918.017 DEPT. NO. 941

CLIENT FPL

PROJECT Lauderdale Repowering

SUBJECT Site Drainage

<u>North Portion of Site</u>	<u>ATT 5</u>
<u>no change from pre-existing conditions</u>	



10-7-8

Figure 2.1-3 LAUDERDALE SITE - EXISTING USES



Lauderdale
Repowering
Project

FPL

Attachment 1

Calc. No. 47

$$t_c = \frac{41bL_o^{1/3}}{(ki)^{2/3}} \quad (7.11)$$

where t_c = time of concentration, min
 b = coefficient
 L_o = overland flow length, ft
 k = rational runoff coefficient (see Table 7.6)
 i = rainfall intensity, in./hr, during time t_c

The equation is valid only for laminar flow conditions where the product iL_o is less than 500. The coefficient b is found using

$$b = \frac{0.0007i + C_r}{S_o^{1/3}} \quad (7.12)$$

where S_o = surface slope
 C_r = coefficient of retardance

Values of C_r are given in Table 7.5. Note that reliability of this equation is also strongly influenced by the selection of parameters.

The runoff coefficient c is the component of the rational formula that requires the greatest exercise of judgment by the engineer. It is not amenable to exact determination, since it includes the influence of a number of variables, such as infiltration-capacity, interception by vegetation, depression storage, and antecedent conditions. As used in the rational equation, the coefficient c represents a fixed ratio of runoff to rainfall, while in actuality it is not fixed and may vary for a specific drainage basin with time during a particular storm, from storm to storm, and with change in season. Fortunately, the closer the area comes to being impervious, the more reasonable the selection of c becomes. This is true since for highly impervious areas c approaches unity, and for these areas the nature of the surface is much less variable for changing seasonal, meteorological, or antecedent conditions. The rational method therefore is best suited for use on urban areas, where a high percentage of imperviousness is common.

At present there is no precise method for evaluating the runoff coefficient c , although some research has been directed toward that end [12]. Common engineering practice is to make use of average values of the coefficient for various surface types normally found in urban regions. Table 7.6 lists some values of the runoff coefficient as reported in the American

TABLE 7.5 IZZARD'S RETARDANCE COEFFICIENT C_r

Surface	C_r
Smooth asphalt	0.007
Concrete paving	0.012
Tar and gravel paving	0.017
Closely clipped sod	0.046

TABLE 7.6 SOME VALUES OF THE RATIONAL COEFFICIENT C

Surface Type	C Value*
Bituminous streets	0.70-0.95
Concrete streets	0.80-0.95
Driveways, walks	0.75-0.85
Roofs	0.75-0.95
Lawns; sandy soil	
Flat, 2%	0.05-0.10
Average, 2%-7%	0.10-0.15
Steep, 7%	0.15-0.20
Lawns, heavy soil	
Flat, 2%	0.13-0.17
Average, 2%-7%	0.18-0.22
Steep, 7%	0.25-0.35

*See Ref. 10.

Society of Civil Engineers' *Manual on the Design and Construction of Sanitary and Storm Sewers* [10].

Figure 7.10 relates the rational C to imperviousness, soil type, and slope. This graph is used in designing storm drains in Baltimore Co Maryland. Most engineering designers make use of information report similar tabular or graphical form, inserting local conditions following experience and practice.

In applying the rational method, a rainfall intensity i must be that represents the average intensity of a storm of given frequency for time of concentration. The frequency chosen is largely a matter of economic factors related to the choice of a design frequency have already discussed. Frequencies of 1-10 yr are commonly used where residential areas are to be protected. For higher-value districts, 10-20-yr or higher return periods often are selected. Local conditions and practice normally dictate selection of these design criteria.

After t_c and the rainfall frequency have been ascertained, the rainfall intensity i is usually obtained by making use of a set of rainfall intensity-duration-frequency curves such as those shown in Fig. 7.11. Entering curves on the abscissa with the appropriate value of t_c and then projecting upward to an intersection with the desired frequency curve allows i to be found by projecting this intersection point horizontally to an intersection on the ordinate. If an adequate number of years of local rainfall records are available, curves similar to Fig. 7.11 may be developed. Otherwise, compiled by the Weather Bureau, the Department of Commerce, the Department of Agriculture, and other government agencies, data that are available for numerous localities and regions, can be used.

Generally, the rational method should be used only on areas that are smaller than about 2 mi² (approximately 1280 acres) in size. For areas larger than 100 acres due caution should be exercised. Most urban drainage


Attachment 2
 Calc. No. 47

Messman & Hammer, Harper & Row, NY, NY, 1985
 From Water Supply & Pollution Control 4th Edition

10.7-9

LEGEND

- DENOTES FENCE LINE
- - - DENOTES RELOCATED TRANSMISSION LINE
- - - DENOTES NATURAL GAS LINE
- - - DENOTES TEMPORARY GAS LINE
- - - DENOTES FUEL OIL LINE
- - - DENOTES SHOVEL LINE
- - - DENOTES EXISTING FACILITY



Calc. No. 47
Attachment 3



Laude
Repow
Proje

FPL

ra
in
EP pond




Figure 3.8-2 Site Drainage - Eastern Portion of Site

Attachment 4
Calc. No. 47



Lauder
Repow
Projec




Figure 3.8-3 Northern Portion of Site

Attachment 5
 Colo. No. 47



Law
 Rep
 Pro

FPL