RECEIVED

Division of Air, Resources Management



FAXED

May 13, 1991

Ms. Cindy Phillips
State of Florida
Department of Environmental Regulation
2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

RE:

Sanford Plant, Unit No. 4 Orimulsion Test Burn Emissions Testing -Vanadium Pentoxide

Dear Ms. Phillips:

Please be advised that, on Friday evening, May 10, 1991, Sanford Plant was notified that, due to operating problems with two of our major units which caused those units to come off-line, Sanford Unit No. 4 would be taken off Orimulsion and fired on oil in order to be able to operate at full load, with Sanford Unit No. 5 also on oil. At the time, Entropy Environmentalists were on site conducting some parametric testing, and were scheduled to return on Monday, May 13th, for two additional days of parametric testing and one day of emissions testing for Vanadium Pentoxide, as previously notified.

In light of the need to have Entropy complete the testing underway, Sanford Unit No. 4 was allowed to remain on Orimulsion over the weekend and the Entropy schedule was accelerated. Thus, the Vanadium Pentoxide test scheduled for Tuesday, May 14th, was actually conducted on Sunday, May 12th.

Following the Sunday test, Sanford Unit no. 4 was taken off Orimulsion and is not expected at this time to resume Orimulsion firing until Thursday, May 16th, when it is expected that the FPL System will regain generating stability. At such time as Orimulsion firing is resumed, associated conditions will also resume.

Please call me at (407) 697-6926 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Elsa A. Bishop

Senior Environmental Coordinator Florida Power & Light Company

EAB:jm Enclosure

cc:

A. Alexander - DER/Orlando Charles M. Collins - DER/Orlando



May 16, 1991

RECEIVED

MAY 20 1991

Resources Management

Mr. John Gray C/O Pinnacle Company 5445-6 Delaney Avenue Orlando, Florida 32801

RE:

Sanford Plant, Unit No. 4

Orimulsion Test Burn

Weekly Opacity Reports - May 6 - 12, 1991

Dear Mr. Gray:

As was agreed during the meeting held on March 5, 1991 between representatives of the Gray family and of FPL, attached please find a copy of the Weekly Opacity Research Status Report relevant to the Orimulsion Test Burn at our Sanford Plant, Unit No. 4 for the week of May 6 - 12, 1991. This is one of several reports submitted to the Florida DER on a weekly basis, as required by the Department's permit authorizing the Test Burn.

Sincerely,

Elsa A. Bishop

Senior Environmental Coordinator Florida Power & Light Company

EAB:jm

Enclosure

cc:

Cindy Phillips - DER/Tall (w/o encl.)

Charles M. Collins - DER/Orlando (w/o encl.)

Saundra Gray - DeBary/Fla. (w/o encl.)



March 21, 1991

RECEIVED

MAR 2 5 1991

Mr. John Gray C/O Pinnacle Company 5445-6 Delaney Avenue Orlando, Florida 32801 DER BAYIN

RE:

Sanford Plant, Unit No. 4 Orimulsion Test Burn

Weekly Opacity Reports - March 11-17, 1991

Dear Mr. Gray:

As was agreed during the meeting held on March 5, 1991 between representatives of the Gray family and of FPL, attached please find a copy of the Weekly Opacity Research Status Report relevant to the Orimulsion Test Burn at our Sanford Plant, Unit No. 4 for the week of March 11-17, 1991. This is one of several reports submitted to the Florida DER on a weekly basis, as required by the Department's permit authorizing the Test Burn.

Sincerely,

Elsa A. Bishop

Senior Environmental Coordinator Florida Power & Light Company

EAB:jm

Enclosure

cc:

Cindy Phillips - DER/Tall (w/o encl.)

Charles M. Collins - DER/Orlando (w/o encl.)

Sondra Gray - DeBary/Fla. (w/o encl.)



later-Office Correspondence

To:

M. A. Smith, Ph.D.

Date:

March 19, 1991

From:

M. A. Halpin, P.E.

Location:

PLT/OPS

Subject:

Orimulsion Air Operating Permit

This is the third of a series of weekly reports detailing our efforts to reduce opacity while combusting Orimulsion on Sanford Plant's Unit No. 4.

As reported previously, a statistical analysis of the relationship between opacity and key operating parameters indicates that the strongest correlation exists between opacity and fuel flow.

Further analysis has now been done in this area. To date, approximately 550,000 barrels of Orimulsion have been combusted representing about three 200,000 barrel tanker shipments. Opacity data versus unit generation (load) has been stratified between the individual tanker shipments in an attempt to determine if any differences exist.

Preliminary indications are that there appear to be different relationships between opacity and load based upon fuel constituent concentrations. The Orimulsion combusted from the last fuel shipment, for example, behaved more similarly to oil than earlier shipments, in that opacity did not tend to increase as unit output approached 100%. In order to develop a better understanding of the cause-effect relationship observed, we now intend to compare the chemical properties of fuel shipments received to determine if it is possible to identify variable(s) that may contribute to opacity differences.

MPH

a:\masorim.mph



FEDERAL EXPRESS

March 20, 1991

RECEIVED

MAR 2 5 1991

DER BAQM

Mr. Clair Fancy
Division of Air Resources Management
Bureau of Air Quality Management
Department of Environmental Regulation
2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee. FL. 32399-2400

Re:

FPL Sanford Unit No. 4 Orimulsion Test Burn Project Updated Test Matrix

Dear Clair:

I would like to thank you and Cindy Phillips for taking the time to meet yesterday with Bill Green and me, to discuss the revised Orimulsion Test Burn Project Test Matrix, a copy of which is attached. As you are aware, Sanford Unit No. 4 has been off-line since March 13, 1991 due to damage to boiler structural support beams, and it is not expected to return to service until the weekend of March 23-24, 1991. Nevertheless, it is still our intention to complete the Test Burn requiring higher emissions limits by May 31, 1991.

As we discussed, you will note from the attached test matrix that we will be firing natural gas and Orimulsion during the last two weeks in May in order to further evaluate fuel performance, as well as opacity reduction methods.

Please do not hesitate to call me at (407) 697-6926 if you have any questions with regard to the present test schedule. As always, we appreciate the Department's continued guidance and support in this matter.

Sincerely.

Elsa A. Bishop

Senior Environmental Coordinator Florida Power & Light Company

EAB:ln

Attachment

cc:

Cindy Phillips - DER/Tall

Charles M. Collins - DER/Orlando

TEST MATRIX

TEST CATEGORY/ DESCRIPTION RESP LO	AD DURATION	EXCESS	4 6 8		ARCH 18 20 22	25 27 20	1 3 5	8 10 12	APRIL 15 17 19	22 24 26	29 1 3	6 8 10	MAY 13 15 17	20 22 24	27 29 31
I BOILER PERFORMANCE TESTS		OXIGEN	: :	11 13 13	10 20 22	: :		T: :	 		: :	<u> </u>	T: ;	1 1	1 , 1
A) FWEC VAR	Y. 2 HRS EA	VARY.	(PREVIOUSE)	COMPLETED)			ļ :								
B) • 1007		0.40%				: X	;	<u>;</u>	:	:	:	l :		ļ. <u>:</u>	:
D) • 100°		1.00%] :	: :	i x	:			:	: :				: :
E) • CO	rrrot •	FROM MODEL	:					ļ: ;	ix x		•		:		
F) 1009 G) 90-1		FROM MODEL OPTIMUM			::		AAAA :	311	: xx:	: xx	:x x x x	i i			
, se,	D CHG .	OPTIMUM	:] :	li i		1			:		ix	:		
i) • 75-8	>	OPTIMUM			1	: :					: :		:		
J) * 50%	500000000000000000000000000000000000000	OPTIMUM OPTIMUM						<u>ا</u> ا	EE NOTE 4	x x	×		i		
II STACK EMISSIONS TESTS: A) PARTICULATE ENTROPY -							······································	X X D X X							
B) SO2 (EPA METHOD 9C) C) SO3 (EPA METHOD 8) D) NOX (EPA METHOD 7E)	PER TEST NU	AAAA A. AA ACCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC					xx0xx	x x x x							
E) VOC (EPA METHOD 25A)							x x 🗆 x x	x x D x x							
G) V2 O5								ŭ							
III AIR PREHEATER OUTLET EN/IS A) PARTICULATE (EPA 5/17) ENTROPY -	7			••		xxx					×××				
B) SO3 (EPA METHOD 8) ENTROPY C) PARTICULATE SIZE DIST SRI -	PER TEST NU & SEQUENCE					: xxx					хх				
IV CONTINUOUS BURN TESTS: A) EMISSIONS EOPT TESTS (CO	•											xxxx	xxxx		
V 80/20 CO-FIRE TESTS: A) PARTICULATE (EPA S17) 10-7	**************													xxxx	xxx
B) OPACITY TEST 10:A C) OPTIMUM FUEL MIX 30.7															xxxxx
	TEST	NUMBER:	1 2 3	4.5	1: :	6 7 8 9	10 11 12 13 14	15 16 17 18 19	2021 22 23 24	25 26 27 28 29	30 31 32 33 34	35 36 37 38 39	40 41 42 43 44	45 46 47 48 49	50 51 52 53 54

- NOTES: 1. CEN'S WILL BE USED TO MONITC 3 AND RECORD CO, C2, SO2, NOX AND OPACITY.
 - 2. FUEL SAMPLING AS REQUIRED.
 - 3. BOLER DATA WILL BE COLLECTED DURING THE FIRST TWO WEEKS OF APRIL.
 - 4. TEST NUMBERS 15 & 16 DURATION IS TEN (10) HOURS NET.
 - 5. CALENDAR REPRESENTS EVERY MONDAY, WEDNESDAY, AND FRIDAY DATE.

LEGEND: X = FORECASTED ORIMULSION TEST

■ EMISSION TESTING UNDER SOOT BLOWING CONDITIONS

REFERENCE BOLLER LOAD FOR STACK TEST

COMPLETED ORIMULSION TEST



RECEIVED

MAR 2 5 1991

DER - BAQiii

Mr. A. Alexander, Deputy Assistant Secretary State of Florida Department of Environmental Regulation Central Florida District 3319 Maguire Blvd., Suite 232 Orlando, Florida 32803

Re:

Sanford Plant, Unit 4
Orimulsion Test Burn
Fuel Analysis - as Received

Dear Mr. Alexander:

March 20, 1991

As required in specific condition No. 7e of the Department permit authorizing the Orimulsion Test Burn at FPL's Sanford Unit No. 4, enclosed please find a copy of the analysis of Orimulsion fuel received at the Jacksonville terminal on March 8, 1991.

Please call me at (407) 697-6926 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Elsa A. Bishop

Senior Environmental Coordinator Florida Power & Light Company

EAB:ln

Enclosure

cc: Cindy Phillips - DER/Tall

POWER RESOURCES CENTRAL LABORATORY FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY STATE OF FLORIDA LABORATORY CERTIFICATION NUMBERS: DRINKING WATER CERTIFICATION NUMBER: 56275 ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTRY CERTIFICATION NUMBER: E56078

AS-RECEIVED - PSN ORIMULSION

VESSEL: ONDA CHIARA (DELIVERY #4)

DATE COLL'D: 03-08-91 DATE REC'D: 03-09-91 DATE REPORTED: 03-13-91

ANALYTICAL METHOD COMPOSITE DENSITY @60F, g/cm3 (ASTM D-4052) 1.0076 DENSITY @60F, lbs/BBL (ASTM D-240) 12964 MBTU/LB (ASTM D-240) 12964 MBTU/BBL (ASTM D-1552) 2.6 WISCOSITY @ 31.3C, mPAS (ASTM D-4684) 587 SHEAR RATE = 139.1 WATER (ASTM D-95) 32 SEDIMENT (ASTM D-473) 0.27 ASH ASH (ASTM D-473) 0.27 ASH ASPHALTENES (IP-143) 7.8 VANADIUM (MG/KG) 266 SODIUM (MG/KG) 266 SODIUM (MG/KG) 309 POUR POINT, F 33 SO2 (LBS/MILLION BTU) 4.0 CARBON, (BY WEIGHT) 60.31 WITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 7.03 NITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 0.50 * OXYGEN, (BY DIFFERENCE) 0.02	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		
DENSITY @60F, g/cm3 (ASTM D-4052) 1.0076 DENSITY @60F, lbs/BBL 353.161 BTU/LB (ASTM D-240) 12964 MBTU/BBL 4578 MBTU/TON 25928 % SULFUR (ASTM D-1552) 2.6 VISCOSITY @ 31.3C, mPAS (ASTM D-4684) 587 SHEAR RATE = 139.1 % WATER (ASTM D-95) 32 % SEDIMENT (ASTM D-473) 0.27 % ASH (ASTM D-473) 0.27 % ASH (ASTM D-482) 0.14 % ASPHALTENES (IP-143) 7.8 VANADIUM (MG/KG) 266 SODIUM (MG/KG) 83 IRON (MG/KG) 69 MAGNESIUM (MG/KG) 69 MAGNESIUM (MG/KG) 309 POUR POINT, F 33 SO2 (LBS/MILLION BTU) 4.0 % CARBON, (BY WEIGHT) 60.31 % HYDROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 7.03 % NITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 0.50 *		ANALYTICAL METHOD	
DENSITY @60F, lbs/BBL BTU/LB BTU/LB (ASTM D-240) 12964 MBTU/BBL 4578 MBTU/TON 25928 \$ SULFUR (ASTM D-1552) VISCOSITY @ 31.3C, mPAS SHEAR RATE = 139.1 \$ WATER (ASTM D-4684) \$ SEDIMENT (ASTM D-95) 32 \$ SEDIMENT (ASTM D-473) 0.27 \$ ASH (ASTM D-473) 0.27 \$ ASH (ASTM D-482) 0.14 \$ ASPHALTENES (IP-143) 7.8 VANADIUM (MG/KG) SODIUM (MG/KG) SODIUM (MG/KG) NICKEL (MG/KG) NICKEL (MG/KG) NICKEL (MG/KG) SODIUM (MG/KG)		() () () () () () () () () ()	
BTU/LB (ASTM D-240) 12964 MBTU/BBL 4578 MBTU/TON 25928 % SULFUR (ASTM D-1552) 2.6 VISCOSITY @ 31.3C, mPAS (ASTM D-4684) 587 SHEAR RATE = 139.1 % WATER (ASTM D-95) 32 % SEDIMENT (ASTM D-473) 0.27 % ASH (ASTM D-473) 7.8 VANADIUM (MG/KG) 266 SODIUM (MG/KG) 266 SODIUM (MG/KG) 5 NICKEL (MG/KG) 69 MAGNESIUM (MG/KG) 69 MAGNESIUM (MG/KG) 309 POUR POINT, F 33 SO2 (LBS/MILLION BTU) 4.0 % CARBON, (BY WEIGHT) 60.31 % HYDROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 7.03 % NITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 0.50 *		(ASTM D-4052)	
MBTU/BBL 4578 MBTU/TON 25928 % SULFUR (ASTM D-1552) 2.6 VISCOSITY @ 31.3C, mPAS (ASTM D-4684) 587 SHEAR RATE = 139.1 32 % WATER (ASTM D-95) 32 % SEDIMENT (ASTM D-473) 0.27 % ASH (ASTM D-482) 0.14 % ASPHALTENES (IP-143) 7.8 VANADIUM (MG/KG) 266 SODIUM (MG/KG) 83 IRON (MG/KG) 5 NICKEL (MG/KG) 309 POUR POINT, F 33 SO2 (LBS/MILLION BTU) 4.0 % CARBON, (BY WEIGHT) 60.31 % HYDROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 7.03 % NITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 0.50	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(2 CM) D 240)	
MBTU/TON 25928 % SULFUR (ASTM D-1552) 2.6 VISCOSITY @ 31.3C, mPAS (ASTM D-4684) 587 SHEAR RATE = 139.1 % WATER (ASTM D-95) 32 % SEDIMENT (ASTM D-473) 0.27 % ASH (ASTM D-482) 0.14 % ASPHALTENES (IP-143) 7.8 VANADIUM (MG/KG) 266 SODIUM (MG/KG) 83 IRON (MG/KG) 5 NICKEL (MG/KG) 69 MAGNESIUM (MG/KG) 309 POUR POINT, F 33 SO2 (LBS/MILLION BTU) 4.0 % CARBON, (BY WEIGHT) 60.31 % HYDROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 7.03 % NITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 0.50 *	•	(ASTM D-240)	
% SULFUR (ASTM D-1552) 2.6 VISCOSITY @ 31.3C, mPAS (ASTM D-4684) 587 SHEAR RATE = 139.1 (ASTM D-95) 32 % WATER (ASTM D-473) 0.27 % ASH (ASTM D-473) 0.14 % ASPHALTENES (IP-143) 7.8 VANADIUM (MG/KG) 266 SODIUM (MG/KG) 83 IRON (MG/KG) 5 NICKEL (MG/KG) 69 MAGNESIUM (MG/KG) 309 POUR POINT, F 33 SO2 (LBS/MILLION BTU) 4.0 % CARBON, (BY WEIGHT) 60.31 % HYDROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 7.03 % NITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 0.50	· ·		
VISCOSITY @ 31.3C, mPAS SHEAR RATE = 139.1 % WATER (ASTM D-95) 32 % SEDIMENT (ASTM D-473) 0.27 % ASH (ASTM D-482) 0.14 % ASPHALTENES (IP-143) 7.8 VANADIUM (MG/KG) 266 SODIUM (MG/KG) 5 NICKEL (MG/KG) 69 MAGNESIUM (MG/KG) 69 MAGNESIUM (MG/KG) 309 POUR POINT, F 33 SO2 (LBS/MILLION BTU) 4.0 % CARBON, (BY WEIGHT) 60.31 % NITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 7.03 % NITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 0.50 *	· ·	(10m) D 1552)	
SHEAR RATE = 139.1 % WATER		•	
% WATER (ASTM D-95) 32 % SEDIMENT (ASTM D-473) 0.27 % ASH (ASTM D-482) 0.14 % ASPHALTENES (IP-143) 7.8 VANADIUM (MG/KG) 266 SODIUM (MG/KG) 83 IRON (MG/KG) 5 NICKEL (MG/KG) 69 MAGNESIUM (MG/KG) 309 POUR POINT, F 33 SO2 (LBS/MILLION BTU) 4.0 % CARBON, (BY WEIGHT) 60.31 % NITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 7.03 % NITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 0.50		(ASTM D-4684)	587
% SEDIMENT (ASTM D-473) 0.27 % ASH (ASTM D-482) 0.14 % ASPHALTENES (IP-143) 7.8 VANADIUM (MG/KG) 266 SODIUM (MG/KG) 83 IRON (MG/KG) 5 NICKEL (MG/KG) 69 MAGNESIUM (MG/KG) 309 POUR POINT, F 33 SO2 (LBS/MILLION BTU) 4.0 % CARBON, (BY WEIGHT) 60.31 % NITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 7.03 % NITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 0.50 *		(10mm D 05)	22
% ASH (ASTM D-482) 0.14 % ASPHALTENES (IP-143) 7.8 VANADIUM (MG/KG) 266 SODIUM (MG/KG) 83 IRON (MG/KG) 5 NICKEL (MG/KG) 69 MAGNESIUM (MG/KG) 309 POUR POINT, F 33 SO2 (LBS/MILLION BTU) 4.0 % CARBON, (BY WEIGHT) 60.31 % HYDROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 7.03 % NITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 0.50 *		•	
% ASPHALTENES (IP-143) 7.8 VANADIUM (MG/KG) 266 SODIUM (MG/KG) 83 IRON (MG/KG) 5 NICKEL (MG/KG) 69 MAGNESIUM (MG/KG) 309 POUR POINT, F 33 SO2 (LBS/MILLION BTU) 4.0 % CARBON, (BY WEIGHT) 60.31 % HYDROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 7.03 % NITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 0.50 *	•	•	
VANADIUM (MG/KG) 266 SODIUM (MG/KG) 83 IRON (MG/KG) 5 NICKEL (MG/KG) 69 MAGNESIUM (MG/KG) 309 POUR POINT, F 33 SO2 (LBS/MILLION BTU) 4.0 % CARBON, (BY WEIGHT) 60.31 % HYDROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 7.03 % NITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 0.50 *		•	
SODIUM (MG/KG) IRON (MG/KG) NICKEL (MG/KG) MAGNESIUM (MG/KG) POUR POINT, F SO2 (LBS/MILLION BTU) CARBON, (BY WEIGHT) HYDROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) NITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) NITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) SODIUM (MG/KG) 69 309 4.0 4.0 60.31 7.03 NITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 7.03		(IP-143)	
IRON (MG/KG) 5 NICKEL (MG/KG) 69 MAGNESIUM (MG/KG) 309 POUR POINT, F 33 SO2 (LBS/MILLION BTU) 4.0 % CARBON, (BY WEIGHT) 60.31 % HYDROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 7.03 % NITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 0.50 *	* * *		
NICKEL (MG/KG) 69 MAGNESIUM (MG/KG) 309 POUR POINT, F 33 SO2 (LBS/MILLION BTU) 4.0 % CARBON, (BY WEIGHT) 60.31 % HYDROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 7.03 % NITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 0.50 *			
MAGNESIUM (MG/KG) POUR POINT, F SO2 (LBS/MILLION BTU) CARBON, (BY WEIGHT) HYDROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) NITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) NITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) MAGNESIUM (MG/KG) 309 33 60.31 7.03	• • •		
POUR POINT, F SO2 (LBS/MILLION BTU) CARBON, (BY WEIGHT) HYDROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) NITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) NITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 33 4.0 60.31 7.03 7.03	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
SO2 (LBS/MILLION BTU) % CARBON, (BY WEIGHT) % HYDROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) % NITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) % NITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 0.50 *	• • •	i	
% CARBON, (BY WEIGHT) 60.31 % HYDROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 7.03 % NITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 0.50 *	•	1	
% HYDROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) % NITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 7.03 % NITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT)			
% NITROGEN, (BY WEIGHT) 0.50 *	• •		
V	· •	!	
6 UNIGEN, (DI DIFFERENCE)			
	& UNIGEN, (DI DIFFERENCE)		U•U4

COMMENTS: * ANALYZED BY SCHWARKZOPF LABORATORY.

COPIES	TO				
	J.	ALCANTARA, PSN/PLT	J.	NORMAN, PRS/EDO	
	R.	ALLEN, JEN/NP	Κ.	OLEN, JRD/NP	RECEIVED
	E.	BISHOP, JEN/NP	J.	POCE, FR/GO	NECEIVED
	D.	CHRISTIAN, JPE/EDO	R.	RUHLMAN, PSN/PLT	
	М.	HALPIN, PSN/PLT	В.	STUART, PSN/PLT	MAR 2 1 1991
	D.	KNUTSON, PRS/EDO	G.	TABOR, FR/GO	2 1 1001
	R.	LIPPMAN, FR/GO	R.	YOUNG, PSN/PLT	*****
	M.	MILLARES TPE/EDO	E.	CALLANDER, FR/GO	ENV. PERMITTING

ANALYZED BY	JUZICI/ 7 Lanison		
CERTIFIED B	: K.m. ononnell	DATE:	3/18/91