

Department of Environmental Protection

Lawton Chiles Governor Twin Towers Office Building 2600 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

Virginia B. Wetherell Secretary

December 16, 1997

CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. E. M. Newberg Vice President and General Manager IMC-Agrico Company P.O. Box 2000 Mulberry, Florida 33860

Re: DEP File No. 1050059-024-AC (PSD-FL-244) New Wales Multiphos Plant

Dear Mr. Newberg:

The Department has reviewed IMC-Agrico's application received on December 1, 1997 for new equipment to be installed in the Multiphos Plant. The additional information listed below is required to process the application.

- 1. A detailed description of the proposed emission control system is needed including control system flow rates (gas and liquid streams), pond water fluoride concentrations and temperatures, and the proposed SO₂ removal efficiency and cost effectiveness calculations. The additional information should be sufficiently detailed to allow a determination of achievable emission levels through mass transfer calculations. A thorough assessment of the cost effectiveness of the various SO₂ control options including a separate countercurrent scrubber vs. the proposed crossflow add-on should be done.
- 2. Please explain why the SO₂ scrubbing system performance was not specified. SO₂ scrubbing is sufficiently advanced that the reagent and its performance can be specified prior to construction. Also explain the sulfurous acid/stripper system indicated on Drawing L5.
- 3. Along with the Appendix D information to be submitted, please provide all SO₂ emission test results that have been obtained for this plant to date.
- 4. NO_X emissions based on AP-42 factors exceed the PSD significance level when No. 6 oil is used for 400 hours (Kiln: 4.1 TPY for No. 6; 33.4 TPY for No. 2; Dryer: 0.3 TPY for No. 6; 2.5 TPY for No. 2 = 40.3 TPY total). To resolve PSD-applicability concerns, EPA Method 7E emission tests should be performed while burning each of the fuels used and the results submitted along with Appendix D.

Mr. E. M. Newberg Page 2 of 2 December 16, 1997

The Department will resume processing this application after receipt of the requested information. If you have any questions on this matter, please call John Reynolds at 850/488-1344.

Sincerely,

A. A. Linero, P.E. Administrator

New Source Review Section

AAL/jr

cc: Bill Thomas, SWD Joe King, Polk Co. John Koogler, K&A Brian Beals, EPA John Bunyak, NPS

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

of equiveriop, op, enverope of Fold at line over 150 logic or seemed to the seement of the seemed to the seement of the seem

on the reverse side?	 Print your name and address on the reverse of this form so that we can return this card to you. Attach this form to the front of the mailpiece, or on the back if space does not permit. 		2. Restricted Delivery	eipt Service.
N ADDRESS completed o	3. Article Addressed to: E.M. Phybry INC-Asuco PO Box 2000 Mulbury, Fl	4a. Article N D Ab. Service 1 Registere Express I Return Rec 7. Date of De	Type ed Mail Insured ceipt for Merchandise COD	you for using Return Rec
Is your RETUR	5. Received By: (Print Name) 6. Signature: (Addressee of Agent) PS Form 381.1 December 1994	8. Addressee and fee is	e's Address (Only if requested paid) Domestic Return Receipt	Thank

P 265 659 268

US Postal Service
Receipt for Certified Mail
No Insurance Coverage Provided.

	Do not use for International Mail (See reverse)			
	Sent to	rewber 5		
	Street & Number - A	Sico		
	Provi Office, State, & ZIP Coo			
	Postage	\$),		
	Čertified Fee	-		
	Special Delivery Fee			
ı	Restricted Delivery Fee			
199	Return Receipt Showing to Whom & Date Delivered			
PS Form 3800 , April 1995	Return Receipt Showing to Whom, Date, & Addressee's Address			
800	TOTAL Postage & Fees	\$		
m 3	L'ostmark or Date	12-15-97		
S Fo	1050059-00	4-AC		
۵	P50-F1-	244		