

DEPT. OF ENVIR. PROTECT

# Depai

Twin Tow

Environmer

|                      | 813 7446458 F.04/0 |
|----------------------|--------------------|
| Post-It® Fax Note 76 | Date 5/5 pages /   |
| TO Eric Pelesson     | From John Runolds  |
| Co./Dept.            | CO DEP             |
| Phone #              | Phone #            |
| Fax #                | Fax #              |
|                      | ·                  |

Lawton Chiles
Governor

2600 Bl Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

Secretary

December 14, 1998

## CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Ms. Melody Russo Cargill Fertilizer, Inc. Post Office Box 9002 Bartow, Florida 33831

Re: DEP File No. 1050046-001-AC (PSD-FL-229) Sulfuric Acid Plants 4, 5, and 6

Dear Ms. Russo:

The Bureau of Air Regulation received Cargill's December 9 response to the Bureau's October 28 letter requesting additional information on the request to install cesium catalyst in Sulfuric Acid Plants 4 and 5.

Recently, the EPA expressed concerns regarding the potential for increased production and emissions following catalyst changes in sulfuric acid plants. Consequently, the Department must consider this request as an additional modification with potential to increase emissions through increased production. Since the requested modification is equivalent to a change in control technology, the BACT determination should be revised to reflect the emission capabilities of the new catalyst. Assurance will need to be provided through CEMs data that the annual emissions increase will be less than PSD-significant.

The fee for a permit modification involving technical review is \$250 (F.A.C. Rule 62-4.050), therefore an additional \$200 will be required which will also cover the extension request. If there are any questions regarding the above, please call John Reynolds at \$50/921-9536.

Sincerely

A. A. Linero, P.E. Administrator New Source Review Section

AAL/IR

John Beals, EPA
John Bunyak, NPS
Bill Thomas, SWD
Joe King, Polk Co.
David Buff, Golder Assoc.

"Protect, Conserve and Manage Florida's Environment and Natural Resources"

Printed on recycled paper.

### CARGILL INFORMATION for SAP Nos. 8 &

|              | STACK TEST HISTOR      |  |
|--------------|------------------------|--|
|              | Production Rate*       | Emission Rate**                          |
| Da <b>te</b> | Tons/hr. of 100% H2SO4 | Lbs. of $SO_2/ton$ of $100$ \$ $H_2SO_4$ |
| 12/2/99      | 133.08                 | 3.6                                      |
| 12/9/98      | 131.25                 | 3.7                                      |
| 12/19/97     | 131.42                 | 3.7                                      |
| 6/20/97      | 106.67                 | 2.0                                      |
| 5/16/96      | 115.6                  | 3.0                                      |

- Max. Allowable production is 3400 TPD = 141.7 TPH
- Max. Allowable emission rate is 4.0 lbs. of SO2/ton

| ANNUAL PRODUCTION HISTORY |                      |                       |  |
|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Year                      | SAP No. 9*<br>(tons) | SAP No. 8**<br>(tons) | SAP No. 9 + SAP No. 8*** combined (tons) |
| 1999                      | 885,377              | 681,265               | 1,566,642                                |
| 1998                      | 1,053,683            | 646,400               | 1,700,083                                |
| 1997                      | 840,562              | 703,956               | 1,544,518                                |
| 1996                      | 893,157              | 794,817               | 1,687,974                                |

- Max. Allowable annual production 3400 TPD x 365 = 1,241,000 Max. Allowable annual production 2700 TPD x 365 = 985,500
- Max. Allowable annual combined 5700 TPD x 365 = 2,080,500

JIM HEDONALD'S

INFO

#### **6.3 RECEPTOR LOCATIONS**

#### **6.3.1 SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ANALYSIS**

To determine the SO<sub>2</sub> significant impact area, concentrations were predicted for 216 receptors located in a radial grid centered on H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> No. 4 stack. Receptors were located in "rings" with 36 receptors per ring, spaced at 10° intervals and at distances of 5, 7, 10, 15, 20, and 25 km from the H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> No. 4 stack location. The proposed expansion was determined to be significant out to 15 km from the Cargill site, based on the annual averaging time.

#### 6.3.2 AAQS AND PSD CLASS II IMPACT ASSESSMENT

A polar receptor grid was used to cover the spatial extent of the proposed project's significant impact area (15 km). The screening grid included 180 regular grid and 146 discrete receptors. The regular grid receptors were located as rings at distances of 5.0, 7.0, 9.0, 12.0, and 15.0 km. Discrete receptors included 36 receptors located on the plant property bour. Try at 10° intervals, plus 110 additional off-property receptors at distances of 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, and 4.0 km from the DAP No. 4 stack to cover the area between the property boundary and the closest regular receptor grid distance (i.e., 5.0 km). The 36 property boundary receptors  $u_{sed}$  for the screening analysis are presented in Table 6-4. All receptor locations are relative to the DAP No. 4 stack location, which is the origin for the AAQS and PSD increment analysis.

#### 6.3.3 CLASS I IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Maximum SO<sub>2</sub> impacts for the Chassahowitzka NWA were predicted at 13 discrete receptors located along the border of the Class I area. SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> impacts for the proposed modification only were also compared to the Class I significance levels recommended by the National Park Service (NPS). A listing of Class I receptors is provided in Table 6-5.

#### 6.4 BACKGROUND CONCENTRATIONS

To estimate total air quality concentrations, a background concentration must be added to the modeling results. The background concentration is considered to be the air quality concentration contributed by sources not included in the modeling evaluation.

The estimation of appropriate background levels for this project was based on existing ambient air quality data. Some of the ambient monitors under consideration are influenced by local SO<sub>2</sub> sources. Since all the major SO<sub>2</sub> sources near the Bartow facility are included in the emission