STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION

TWIN TOWERS OFFICE BUILDING 2000 BLAIR STONE ROAD TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-2400



BOB MARTINEZ GOVERNOR DALE TWACHTMANN SECRETARY

	ONSTRUCT AIR POLLUTION SOURCES
SOURCE TYPE: Power Generation Facility	[X] New ¹ [] Existing ¹ .
APPLICATION TYPE: [X] Construction [] O	peration [] Modification
COMPANY NAME: Indiantown Cogeneration, Ltd.	Partnership COUNTY: Martin
Identify the specific emission point sourc	e(s) addressed in this application (i.e. Lime
	Unit No. 2, Gas Fired) See Table I
	City Indiantown
VIM: East 548.019 Km	North 2990.692 Km
Latitude 27 2'	20"N - Longitude 80 30' 45"
APPLICANT NAME AND TITLE:	
APPLICANT ADDRESS:	
	S BY APPLICANT AND ENGINEER
A. APPLICANT I am the undersigned owner or authorize	Indiantown Cogeneration, ed representative* of Limited Partnership
I certify that the statements made in permit are true, correct and complete I agree to maintain and operate the facilities in such a manner as to constatutes, and all the rules and regula	
*Attach letter of authorization	Signed:
••	
	Name and Title (Please Type)
•	Date: Telephone No
B. PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER REGISTERED IN FI	LORIDA (where required by Chapter 471, F.S.)

1 See Florida Administrative Code Rule 17-2.100(57) and (104)

DER Form 17-1.202(1) Effective October 31, 1982

Page 1 of 12

This is to certify that the engineering features of this pollution control project hav been designed/examined by me and found to be in conformity with modern engineering principles applicable to the treatment and disposal of pollutants characterized in the permit application. There is reasonable assurance, in my professional judgment, the

the pollution control facilities, when properly asintained and operated, will discharge en effluent that complies with all applicable statutes of the State of Florida and the rules and regulations of the department. It is also agreed that the undersigned will furnish, if authorized by the owner, the applicant a set of instructions for the prope maintenance and operation of the pollution control facilities and, if applicable, pollution sources. Signed _ _ Name (Please Type) Company Name (Please Type) Mailing Address (Please Type) Telephone No._____ Florida Registration No._ SECTION II: GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION Describe the nature and extent of the project. Refer to pollution control equipment, and expected improvements in source performence as a result of installation. State whether the project will result in full compliance. Attach additional sheet if necessary. See Attached B. Schedule of project covered in this application (Construction Permit Application Only) _____ Completion of Construction =12/95Start of Construction 7/92 Costs of pollution control system(s): (Note: Show breakdown of estimated costs only for individual components/units of the project serving pollution control purposes. Information on actual costs shall be furnished with the application for operation permit.) Capital 0 & M \$ 2,939,400 \$ 8,108,000 Selected Non-Catalytic Reduction System Spray Dryer Absorber System \$32,271,000 \$20,145,000/year \$20,524,000 \$ 5,999,000/year Baghouse Indicate any previous DER permits, orders and notices associated with the emission point, including permit issuence and expiration dates. No previous permits have been issued

DER Form 17-1.202(1) Effective October 31, 1982 INDIANTOWN COGENERATION EMISSION SOURCES

Main Boiler Stack
Auxiliary Boiler Stack
Coal Handling Areas
Ash Handling Areas
Cooling Tower

Lime Handling Areas

is a new source or major modification, answer the following questino) this source in a non-attainment area for a particular pollutant?	No
No) this source in a non-attainment area for a particular pollutant? _	
	No
If yes, has "offset" been applied?	N/A_
If yes, has "Lowest Achievable Emission Rate" been applied?	N/A
s best available control technology (BACT) apply to this source?	Yes
s the State "Prevention of Significant Deterioriation" (PSD) Lirement apply to this source? If yes, see Sections VI and VII.	Yes
"Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources" (NSPS) ly to this source?	Yes
"National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants" SHAP) apply to this source?	No
sonably Available Control Technology" (RACT) requirements apply source?	No
	If yes, list non-attainment pollutants. N/A s best available control technology (BACT) apply to this source? yes, see Section VI. s the State "Prevention of Significant Deterioriation" (PSD) uirement apply to this source? If yes, see Sections VI and VII. "Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources" (NSPS) ly to this source? "National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants" SHAP) apply to this source? sonably Available Control Technology" (RACT) requirements apply

Attach all supportive information related to any answer of "Yes". Attach any justification for any answer of "No" that might be considered questionable.

Refer to PSD Permit Application Documentation

III. C.

	Emiss	sion	Allowed				late to
Name of	Max.	Actual		Emission	Emiss		ow Dia.
Contaminant	#/Hr.	T/Yr.	Rate	#/Hr.	•	•	(Loc.#)
	========				======		======
Main Stack (continu	ued):						
Lead	0.064	0.28	None	None	3.19	13.97	12
Beryllium	0.0094	0.041	None	None	0.46	2.03	12
Mercury	0.040	0.172	None	None	0.08	0.34	12
Arsenic	0.175	0.765	None	None	5.81	25.47	
Fluorides	5.09	22.3	None	None	25.38	111.14	12
Auxiliary Boiler:							
Sulfur Dioxide	17.8	8.9	0.80	27.3	17.8	8.9	11
Particulates	1.4	0.7	0.03	10.2	1.4		11
Nitrogen Dioxide	68.2	34.1	0.3	102.5	68.2		
Carbon Monoxide	47.3	23.7	None	None	47.3	23.7	
VOC	0.63	0.32	None	None	0.63	0.32	11
Fugitive Emissions							
Particulate	0.34	1.49	None	None	34.5	151.1	1
Particulate	0.696	3.05	None	None	3.78	16.6	
Particulate	0.0007	0.0031		None	0.352		3
Particulate	0	0	None	None	0	0	
Particulate	0.288	1.26	None		144.4	632.3	5 6
,Particulate	0.001	0.0044	None	None	0.51	2.26	
Particulate	0.209	0.915	None	None	104.4	457.3	7
Particulate	0.059	0.26	None	None	29.4	128.8	
Particulate	0.0012	0.053	None	None	6	26.3	
Particulate	0.0012	0.0053	None	None	0.6	2.63	
Particulate	0.0024	0.011	None	None	1.2	5.26	13
Salt	43	188	None	None	43	188	15

INDIANTOWN FUGITIVE DUST EMISSIONS TABLE

SOURCE	EMISSION	CONTROL	DISCHARGE	DISCH. ELEV.
NUMBER	POINT	METHOD	FLOW	ABOVE GRADE
			CFM	FI
1	COAL UNLOAD AREA	FABRIC FILTER	30000	25
2	STORAGE/TRANS. TOWER	FABRIC FILTER	30000	60
3	COAL RECLAIM AREA	FABRIC FILTER	20000	25
4	EMERG. RECLAIM AREA	FABRIC FILTER	20000	25
5	CRUSHER TOWER AREA	FABRIC FILTER	10000	75
6	SILO BAY AREA	FABRIC FILTER	10000	180
7	ASH SILO AREA	FABRIC FILTER FABRIC FILTER	3000 5000	120 120
8	ASH RECYCLE SILO	FABRIC FILTER	3000	75
9	LIME SILO AREA	FABRIC FILTER	3000	120
10	LIME SLURRY PLANT	FABRIC FILTER	3000	. 60
	SODA ASH SILO	FABRIC FILTER	3000	75

D. Control Devices: (See Section V, Item 4)

Name and Type (Model & Serial No.)	Conteminent	Efficiency	Range of Particles Size Collected (in microns) (If applicable)	Basis for Efficiency (Section V Item 5)
Selected Non-Catalytic Reduction System	Nitrogen Oxides	37%	N/A	Vendor Ouote
Spray Dryer Absorber	Sulfur Dioxide	95%	N/A	Vendor Ouote
Baghouse	Particulate	99+8	> 0.1	Vendor Data
				<u> </u>
	·			

E. Fuels

	Consumpt	on*	Haximum Heat Input	
Type (Be Specific)	avg/hr	mex./hr	(MMBTU/hr)	
Coal	290,000 #/hr	<u>-</u>	3422	
Natural Gas	350,000 Ft ³ /hr		358	
Fuel 011	2500 gal/hr	•	341.6	
Fuel 011	2500 qa1/nr			

*Units: Natural Gas--MMCF/hr; Fuel Oils--gallons/hr; Coal, wood, refuse, other--lbs/hr.

Tuel Analysis:	Coal			
Percent Sulfur:	2%	<u></u>	Percent Ash: 12%	
Density:	45 #/Ft3 -	lbs/gal	Typical Percent Nitrogen:_	1.16
			••	
F. If applicab	ole, indicate the pe	reent of fu	ellution):	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			xinum	
E. Indicate li	quid or solid waste:	s generated	and method of disposal.	
	A	ttached		
				

H. Emission Main Boiler Stack Height								16	ft.
								140	
)	
Auxiliary B		ck (see att	ached tab	le)	•		DN - N/A		
Type of Waste (Type D Plastics)	Type I (Rubbish)	Type II (Refuse)	Type I (Garbag	e) (Pe	tholog-	Type V (Liq.& Gas By-prod.)	Type VI (Solid By-pr	od.)
Actual lb/hr Inciner- ated		·							
Uncon- trolled (lbs/hr)			į						
Manufacturer Date Constru								wks/yr	
		Volume (ft) ³	(.	elesse /hr)	lype	Fuel	BTU/hr	Temperature (*F)	 -
Primary Cha									
Stack Height	:	ft. S	Stack Dia	mter:		 	Stack T	emp.	
Gss Flow Rat	e:		ACFH			DSCFH+	Velocity: _	·	FP:
*IT 30 or mo dard cubic f	re tons p oot dry g	er day des: as correcte	ign capac ed to 50%	ity, sub	mit th	e emiss	ions rate i	n græins per	stan
Type of poll	ution con	trol device						terburner	
DER Form 17- Effective No		, 1982		Page 6 o					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

III. G. Liquid and Solid Waste Generation and Disposal

Liquid Wastes

Disposal

Sanitary Wastes Wastewater Sent to Indiantown Municipal System Treated, then reused in process where possible remainder to deep

well injection Off-site disposal

Spent Solvents
Coal Pile Runoff/Leachate

Treated and sent to cooling tower

Solid Wastes

Office Wastes Air Filters Resin Beds Off-site disposal
Off-site disposal
Off-site disposal
Off-site disposal at coa

Bottom Ash Off-site disposal at coal mine Fly Ash and Reaction Products Off-site disposal at coal mine

JII. H

INDIANTOWN

AUXILIARY BOILER STACK PARAMETERS

	AUXILIARY BO	ILER
	No. 2 FUEL OIL	NATURAL GAS
EXHAUST STACK TEMP	500°F	480°F
STACK EXIT VELOCITY	103 FT/SEC	102 FT/SEC
STACK HEIGHT	90 FT	90 FT
STACK EXIT DIAMETER	5.5 FT	5.5 FT

						
ltimate dispos	al of any e	effluent oth	er than the			water
	· .		•			
sh, etc.):						

SECTION V: SUPPLEMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

Please provide the following supplements where required for this application.

- I/A 1. Total process input rate and product weight -- show derivation [Rule 17-2.100(127)]
 - To a construction application, attach basis of emission estimate (e.g., design calculations, design drawings, pertinent manufacturer's test data, etc.) and attach proposed methods (e.g., FR Part 60 Methods 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) to show proof of compliance with applicable standards. To an operation application, attach test results or methods used to show proof of compliance. Information provided when applying for an operation permit from a construction permit shall be indicative of the time at which the test was made. later
 - 3. Attach basis of potential discharge (e.g., emission factor, that is, AP42 test).
 - Refer to PSD Permit Application Documents
 4. With construction permit application, include design details for all air pollution control systems (e.g., for bagnouse include cloth to air ratio; for scrubber include cross-section sketch, design pressure drop, etc.) Refer to PSD Permit Application Documents
 - 5. With construction permit application, attach derivation of control device(s) efficiency. Include test or design data. Items 2, 3 and 5 should be consistent: actual emissions = potential (1-efficiency). Refer to PSD Permit Application Documents
 - 6. An 8 1/2" x 11" flow diagram which will, without revealing trade secrets, identify the individual operations and/or processes. Indicate where raw materials enter, where solid and liquid waste exit, where gaseous emissions and/or airborne particles are evolved and where finished products are obtained. Attached (Fig. 3.4.4-1)
 - 7. An B 1/2" x 11" plot plan showing the location of the establishment, and points of airborne emissions, in relation to the surrounding area, residences and other permanent structures and roadways (Example: Copy of relevant portion of USGS topographic map).
 - Attached (Fig. 2.1.0-1)

 B. An B 1/2" x 11" plot plan of facility showing the location of manufacturing processes and outlets for airborne emissions. Relate all flows to the flow diagram.

 Attached (Fig. 3.2-4)

DER Form 17-1.202(1)

Effective November 30, 1982

9.	The appropriate application fee in accormade payable to the Department of Environ		
10.	With an application for operation permit struction indicating that the source w permit.	e, at	ach a Certificate of Completion of Con- instructed as shown in the construction
	SECTION VI: BEST AVAIL	ABLE	CONTROL TECHNOLOGY
A.	Are standards of performance for new sta applicable to the source?		ry sources pursuant to 40 C.F.R. Part 60
	[X] Yes [] No 40 CFR 60 Subpart Da	÷	
	Contaminent		Rate or Concentration
	See tables in PSD Permit Application		
	Documents -		. ,
			
В.		tral	technology for this class of sources (I
	[] Yes [X] No		
	Conteminant		Rate or Concentration
Re	efer to PSD Permit Application Documents		
	What emission levels do you propose as be		silable central technology?
··		: S L 4 1	•
_	Contaminant T.D. S. DCD Double Application		Rate or Concentration
	ee Table in PSD Permit Application		
	Documents	·	
D.	Describe the existing control and treatme	ent te	chnology (if any).
	1. Control Device/System:	2.	Operating Principles:
	3. Efficiency:*	4.	Capital Costs:
• F	nlain method of determining		

DER form 17-1.202(1) Effective November 30, 1982 Page 8 of 12

	5.	Useful Life:		6.	Operating Costs:			
	7.	Energy:		8.	Maintenance Cost:			
	9.	Emissions:						
		Contaminant			Rate or Concentratio	n		
		;						
	-							
				;				
					<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
	10.	Stack Parameters						
	a.	Height:	ft.	b :	Diameter:	ft.		
	с.	Flow Rate:	ACFH	ď.	Temperature:	°F.		
	e.	Velocity:	FPS		·			
Ε.		cribe the control and treatment additional pages if necessary).						
	1.							
,	8.	Control Device:		ь.	Operating Principles:			
Ì	c.	Efficiency: 1		d. Capital Cost:				
	e .	Useful Life:		f.	Operating Cost:			
	g -	Energy: 2		h.	Maintenance Cost:			
	i.	Availability of construction ma	terial	ls ar	d process chemicals:			
	j.	Applicability to manufacturing	proces	3 e S :				
	k.	Ability to construct with contwithin proposed levels:	rol de	vice	, install in available space	, and operate		
	2.							
•	в.	Control Device:		ъ.	Operating Principles:			
	٤.	Efficiency: 1		ď.	Capital Cost:			
	e.	Useful Life:		f.	Operating Cost:			
	9.	Energy: ²		ħ.	Maintenance Cost:			
	i.	Availability of construction ma	teria	ls ar	d process chemicals:			
1 _E , 2 _E	oplai nergy	n method of determining efficien to be reported in units of elec	cy. trica]	l pov	er - KWH design rate.	·		

Page 9 of 12

DER Form 17-1.202(1) Effective November 30, 1982

Applicability to manufacturing processes: Ability to construct with control device, install in available space, and operate within proposed levels: 3. b. Operating Principles: Control Device: d. Capital Cost: Efficiency: 1 f. Operating Cost: Useful Life: ė. Maintenance Cost: h. Energy: 2 Availability of construction materials and process chemicals: i. Applicability to manufacturing processes: j. Ability to construct with control device, install in available space, and operate within proposed levels: 4. Operating Principles: Control Device: d. Capital Costs: Efficiency: 1 c. f. Operating Cost: Useful Life: h. Maintenance Cost: g. Energy: 2 Availability of construction materials and process chemicals: Applicability to manufacturing processes: Ability to construct with control device, install in available space, and operate within proposed levels: See BACT for PSD Permit Application Describe the control technology selected: 2. Efficiency: 1 1. Control Device: 4. Useful Life: 3. Capital Cost: 6. Energy: 2 5. Operating Cost: Manufacturer: Haintenance Cost: __ . 7. Other locations where employed on similar processes: a. (1) Company: (2) Hailing Address: (4) State: (3) City: Explain method of determining efficiency. 2 Energy to be reported in units of electrical power - KWH design rate. DER Form 17-1.202(1) Page 10 of 12 Effective November 30, 1982

* ₂ ,	(5) Environmental Manager:	
•	(6) Telephone No.:	
	(7) Emissions: 1	
	Contaminant	Rate or Concentration
		· · ·
•		
		1
	(8) Process Rate: 1	·
	b. (1) Company:	
	(2) Mailing Address: .	
	(3) City:	(4) State:
	(5) Environmental Manager:	
	(6) Telephone No.:	•
	(7) Emissions: 1	
	Contaminant	Rate or Concentration
, e 13 13		
	(8) Process Rate: 1	
	10. Reason for selection and descri	ption of systems:
l Ap av		n when available. Should this information not
	SECTION VII - PREVENT	ION OF SIGNIFICANT DETERIORATION
, A .	Company Monitored Data Air quality attached PSD	impact below the level requiring monitoring (see application documents) TSP () SOZ+ Wind spd/dir
		/ / to / / day year month day year
	Other data recorded	·
	Attach all data or statistical summa	ries to this application.

	a. Was instr	umentation EPA referenced o	r its equivalent? [] Yes [] No
	b. Was instr	umentation calibrated in ac	cordance with Department procedures?
	. [] Yes	[] No [] Unknown	
в.	Meteorologica	1 Data Used for Air Quality	Modeling
		$(r(s), of data from \frac{1}{month} \frac{1}{ds}$	
		sata obtained from (location	
	3. Upper mir	: (mixing height) data obtai	ned from (location) West Palm Beach, FL
	4. Stability	wind rose (STAR) data obta	ined from (location) West Palm Beach, FL
٤.	Computer Mode		
	1. ISCST (v	version 88348)	Modified? If yes, attach description.
	2. (no mod	ifications)	Modified? If yes, attach description.
	3.		Modified? If yes, attach description.
	4.		Modified? If yes, attach description.
	Attach copies	s of all final model runs st tables. Attached to DER AQ	nowing input data, receptor locations, and prin- submittal only
D.	Applicants Ma	aximum Allowable Emission De	ata .
	Pollutant (Main Stack) Emission Ra	ate
	ISP	7.8	grams/sec
	5 D ²	73	grams/sec
Ε.	Emission Dat	a Used in Modeling	
-	Attach list	e i i sausse Emino	ion data required is source name, description of M coordinates, stack data, allowable emissions, lication Documents
ŗ.			to the PSD review. See PSD Application Documents
£.	ble technologassest o	ogies (i.e., jobs, payroll f the environmental impact	
н.	Attach scier	e BACT ntific, engineering, and to her competent relevant info ed best available control te	chnical material, reports, publications, jour- rmation describing the theory and application of chnology.

Instrumentation, Field and Laboratory

DER Form 17-1.202(1) Effective November 30, 1982

see BACT

