

**Vielhauer, Trina**

**From:** Douglass, Lisa  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 03, 2003 2:40 PM  
**To:** Vielhauer, Trina  
**Subject:** FW: Test

Here is what the reporter is asking.....

-----Original Message-----

From: druane@news-press.com [mailto:druane@news-press.com]  
Sent: Tuesday, June 03, 2003 2:33 PM  
To: Douglass, Lisa  
Subject: Re: Test

Lisa: Here's the information you requested.

During this morning's Lee County commissioners meeting solid waste division director Lindsay Sampson talked about DEP's desire to require Lee County to install ammonia monitoring equipment and test quarterly as a condition for a permit for a third burner at its waste to energy incinerator. Sampson told commissioners the equipment isn't proven effective and that it shouldn't be used to monitor for compliance purposes. He said afterwards that Lee County will have a more strict ppm standard to meet on the new burner than on the two now in use and that the standard will be more strict than any other in the state for ammonia. Commissioners said they don't want to be DEP's research and development arm for unproven equipment at taxpayer's expense.

We want to know:

Is DEP making this equipment a condition of the permit?

How has this equipment been tested? Does it meet EPA criteria or is it certified by EPA?

Is this a test or is the goal to use this equipment to monitor for compliance?

Is DEP pay any part of the cost to install and use the equipment?

Isn't it typical regulatory practice to use the opportunity of a permit application to press for installing the latest technology and to tighten ppm standards?

What is the threat to the public safety if ammonia escapes from the incinerator? Do you need massive volumes to create a public health threat or will a small amount suffice? Are people with respiratory problems most at risk from exposure?

Thanks  
Don

> From: "Douglass, Lisa" <Lisa.Douglass@dep.state.fl.us>  
> Date: Tue, 3 Jun 2003 14:06:36 -0400  
> To: <druane@news-press.com>  
> Subject: Test

>  
>  
>  
>  
> Lisa  
> Lisa M. Douglass

① Cleanest WTE in Nation  
② will set new stds  
③ most stringent controls  
by BACT process 1

Ammonia CEMS:

NO EPA approved used for  
in stack monitors. EPA uses  
perform specs. Isn't a final  
version for NH<sub>3</sub> but is in  
~~draft~~ development.

Hopes to finish  
this summer

Env  
Technology  
Verification  
program  
- EPA will  
be  
meeting vendors  
& testing  
& EPA reports  
&

We got a call from  
The Air Daily on  
FPL today. I told  
Scott to talk to  
Deena before saying  
anything.

339 335  
0225

> Ombudsman/External Affairs Coordinator  
> (239) 332-6975 Ext. 175  
> cellular phone (239) 707-0826  
> email: Lisa.douglass@dep.state.fl.us  
>  
> " Give something to the community in one way or another. It doesn't have to  
> be dramatic, it doesn't have to be in the newspapers. But you need to have  
> the satisfaction of knowing you're helping make somebody else's life a little  
> brighter. --George Bush 41st U.S. President"  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>