TWIN TOWERS OFFICE BUILDING 2500 BLAIR STONE ROAD TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301



BOB GRAHAM GOVERNOR Victoria J. Tschinkel

STATE OF FLORIDA

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION

April 23, 1982

CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Dale H. Twachtmann City of Tampa 306 East Jackson Street Tampa, Florida 33602

Dear Mr. Twachtmann:

| Enclosed is Permit Number | AC 29-47277 | , dated | April 23, | 1982 |
|----------------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------|----------|
| to City of Tampa - | | - | | |
| issued pursuant to Section | 403 , Flc | rida Statu | tes. | <u> </u> |

Acceptance of the permit constitutes notice and agreement that the Department will periodically review this permit for compliance, including site inspections where applicable, and may initiate enforcement actions for violation of the conditions and requirements thereof.

Sincerely,

H. Fancy, P.E.

Deputy Chief

Bureau of Air Quality Management

CHF/pa

Dan Williams, FDER, Southwest District Hooshang Boostani, Hillsborough County Environmental Protection Commission

Joe Murdoch, City of Tampa

P167682486

RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED — NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL (See Reverse)

| <u>.</u> | STAG | m | AND PRODE | 601 |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------|--|-----|
| | | | HED FEE | |
| FEES | | | ECIAL DELIVERY | |
| TEh - CH | CES | - | SHOW TO WHOM AND CATE DELINERED | |
| CONSULT POSTMASTEN THE FEES | OPTIONAL SERVICES | PT SERVICE | SHOW TO WHOM, DAJE, AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY | |
| NSULT P | OPTION/ | IN RECEIPT | SHOW TO WHOM AND DATE DELIVERED WITH RESTRICTED DELIVERY | |
| 8 | | RETURN | SHOW TO WHOM DATE AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY WITH RESTRICTED DELIVERY | |
| 10 | TAL | POS | TAGE AND FEES | s |

| Х 1 | SENDER: Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Add your address in the "RETURN FO" space on severe. |
|--------|---|
| | The following service is requested (check one.) |
| 4 | Show to whom and date delivered |
| | Show to whom, date and address of delivery |
| J | > D RESTRICTED DELIVERY |
| 4 | Show to whom and date delivered |
| 1 | RESTRICTED DELIVERY. |
| 1 | Show to whom, date, and address of delivery. |
| | (CONSULT POSTMASTER FOR FEES) |
| ſ | 2. ARTICLE ADDRESSED TO: |
| | Vale H. Swallmann |
| Ì | lety of Jampa West |
| | 306 E. gaelion serell |
| i | Jampa, Alorida 33603 |
| - | J ARTICLE DESCRIPTION: |
| il | REGISTERED NO. CERTIFIED NO. REMINISTRED NO. |
| , | 7682496 |
| ? | · (Always obtain signature of addressee or agent) |
| ì | -I have received the article described above. |
| | SIGNATURE DAddresses DAuthorized agent |
| | |
| į | 1 Som Ma |
| | DATE OF DELIVERY POSTMARK |
| | POSTMARK |
| ľ | / |
| Γ | 5. ADDRESS (Complete only if requested) |
| | 4.00 X |
| | \\ \Z\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ |
| ۱. | <u> </u> |
| | 8. UNABLE TO DELIVER BECAUSE: CLERK'S |
| 1 | NITIALS' |
| | Z0~-1 |
| L | |

m 3800 Apr 1976

۔

State of Florida
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

| | outing To District Offices o Other Than The Addres | |
|--------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| То: | Loctn.: | |
| то: | Loctn.: | ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| To: | Loctn.: | |
| From: | Date: | |
| Reply Optional () | Reply Required [] | Info. Only { } |
| Date Due: | Date Due: | |

APR 22 1982

Office of the Secretary

TO: Victoria J. Tschinkel

FROM: C. H. Fancy Can

DATE: April 21, 1982

SUBJ: Approval and Signature of Attached Air

Construction Permit Described Below

Attached please find one Air Construction Permit for which the applicant is the City of Tampa. The proposed construction is for a 1,000 ton per day resource recovery unit.

The waiver date, after which the permit would be issued by default, is April 25, 1982.

The Bureau recommends your approval and signature.

CHF/pa

Attachment



STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION

CONSTRUCTION

NO AC 29- 47277

CITY OF TAMPA
MCKAY BAY REFUSE-TO-ENERGY
FACILITY NO. 1

DATE OF ISSUANCE

Spul 23 1982

DATE OF EXPIRATION

DECEMBER 31, 1984

VICTORIA TSCHINKEL

SECRETARY

Final Determination

McKay Bay Refuse-to-Energy Project Hillsborough County

Permit Number:

AC 29-47277

Florida Department of Environmental Regulation Bureau of Air Quality Management Central Air Permitting

April 21, 1982

The proposed air pollution construction application from the City of Tampa to build a resource recovery facility has been reviewed by the Bureau. The Department's Intent to Issue the construction permit was published in the Tampa Times on March 22, 1982. Copies of the preliminary determination were available for public inspection at the Hillsborough County Environmental Protection Commission Office, at the Department's Southwest District Office and at the Bureau of Air Quality Management.

Only one letter of comment was received during the thirty day public notice period. The City of Tampa has requested that another specific condition be added that would allow a procedure for adjusting the emission limitations if the estimated emissions were less than the actual emissions. Since this condition is similar to a general condition in the federal permit and follows the Department's policy, the Bureau agrees with the recommendation.

Therefore, it is recommended that the air construction permit be issued with the above mentioned addition.

STATE OF FLORIDA

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION

TWIN TOWERS OFFICE BUILDING 2600 BLAIR STONE ROAD TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301



BOB GRAHAM GOVERNOR VICTORIA J. TSCHINKEL SECRETARY

APPLICANT:

City of Tampa

306 East Jackson Street Tampa, Florida 33602 PERMIT/CERTIFICATION NO. AC 29-47277

CCUNTYHillsborough

PROJECT: McKay Bay Refuse-to-Energy Facility No. 1

| This permit is issued under the provisions of Chapter and 17-4 | 403 | | a Chapter 17-2 |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| and 17-4 | The above named applica | int, hereinafter cailed Permittee, is | nereby authorized to |
| derform the work or operate the facility shown on the | ie approved grawing(s), p | ilans, documents, and specification | s attached hereto and |
| made a part berent and specifically described as inflows | re • | | |

Rehabilitation of the three combustion chambers at the Tampa Municipal Incinerator and the construction of a fourth 250 TPD combustion chamber and the modification of the facility to a resource recovery facility.

Attachments:

- 1. McKay Bay Refuse-to-Energy Project, Application to Construct an Air Pollution Source, July, 1981.
- 2. McKay Bay Refuse-to-Energy Project, Application to Construct an Air Pollution Source, October, 1981.
- 3. Letter of Richard Garrity to Steve Smallwood, December 10, 1981, concerning effort to obtain emission offsets.
- Letter of Richard Garrity to Clair Fancy, February 18, 1982, requesting hourly emission rate changes.

PAGE _____ OF __4___

DER FORM 17-1 (22(50) 1/4 (1/30)

PERMIT NO.: AC 29-47277 APPLICANT: City of Tampa

GENERAL CONDITIONS:

- 1. The terms, conditions, requirements, limitations, and restrictions set forth herein are "Permit Conditions:, and as such are binding upon the permittee and enforceable pursuant to the authority of Section 403.161(1), Florida Statutes, Permittee is hereby placed on notice that the department will review this permit periodically and may initiate court action for any violation of the "Permit Conditions" by the permittee, its agents, employees, servants or representatives.
- 2. This permit is valid only for the specific processes and operations indicated in the attached drawings or exhibits. Any unauthorized deviation from the approved drawings, exhibits, specifications, or conditions of this permit shall constitute grounds for revocation and enforcement action by the department,
- 3. If, for any reason, the permittee does not comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation specified in this permit, the permittee shall immediately notify and provide the department with the following information: (a) a description of and cause of non-compliance; and (b) the period of non-compliance, including exact dates and times; or, if not corrected, the anticipated time the non-compliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance. The permittee shall be responsible for any and all damages which may result and may be subject to enforcement action by the department for penalties or revocation of this permit.
- 4. As provided in subsection 403.087(6), Florida Statutes, the issuance of this permit does not convey any vested rights or any exclusive privileges. Nor does it authorize any injury to public or private property or any invasion of personal rights, nor any infringement of federal, state or local laws or regulations.
- 5. This permit is required to be posted in a conspicuous location at the work site or source during the entire period of construction or operation.
- 6. In accepting this permit, the permittee understands and agrees that all records, notes, monitoring data and other information relating to the construction or operation of this permitted source, which are submitted to the department, may be used by the department as evidence in any enforcement case arising under the Florida Statutes or department rules, except where such use is proscribed by Section 403.111, F.S.
- 7. In the case of an operation permit, permittee agrees to comply with changes in department rules and Florida Statutes after a reasonable time for compliance, provided, however, the permittee does not waive any other rights granted by Florida Statutes or department rules.
- 3. This permit does not relieve the permittee from liability for harm or injury to human health or welfare, animal, plant, or aquatic life or property and penalities therefore caused by the construction or operation of this permitted source, nor does it allow the permittee to cause pollution in contravention of Florida Statutes and department rules, except where specifically authorized by an order from the department granting a variance or exception from department rules or state statutes.
- 9. This permit is not transferable. Upon sale or legal transfer of the property or facility covered by this permit, the permittee shall notify the department within thirty (30) days. The new owner must apply for a permit transfer within thirty (30) days. The permittee shall be liable for any non-compliance of the permitted source until the transferee applies for and receives a transfer of permit.
- 10. The permittee, by acceptance of this permit, specifically agrees to allow access to permitted source at reasonable times by department personnel presenting credentials for the purposes of inspection and testing to determine compliance with this permit and department rules.
- 11. This permit does not indicate a waiver of or approval of any other department permit that may be required for other aspects of the total project.
- 12. This permit conveys no title to land or water, nor constitutes state recognition or acknowledgement of title, and does not constitute authority for the reclamation of submerged lands unless herein provided and the necessary title or leasehold interests have been obtained from the state. Only the Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund may express state opinion as to title.
- 13. This permit also constitutes:
 - [4] Determination of Best Available Control Technology (BACT)
 - [x] Determination of Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD)
 - [] Certification of Compliance with State Water Quality Standards (Section 401, PL 92-500)

PAGE 2 OF 4

PERMIT NO.: AC 29-47277
APPLICANT: City of Tampa

SPECIFIC CONDITIONS:

1. The maximum allowable emissions from the resource recovery facility No. 1 shall be:

| Pollutant | Emission Limitation | |
|-----------------|---|-------|
| Particulate | 0.025 gr/dscf @12% CO ₂ 27.9 | lb/hr |
| Sulfur Dioxide | 170.0 | lb/hr |
| Nitrogen Oxides | 300.0 | lb/hr |
| VOC | 9.0 | lb/hr |

- Municipal waste only shall be burned in the facility. Wastewater treatment plant sludges or hazardous wastes shall not be incinerated.
- 3. Hours of operation for the facility shall be 24 hours per day, 7 days per week, 52 weeks per year.
- 4. An operation and maintenance plan as contained in 17-2.13(7), FAC, shall be submitted with the operating permit applications and be made part of the operating permit.
- 5. Compliance testing for all criteria shall be conducted in accordance with the methods contained in 40 CFR 60 and 61. A source testing plan shall be submitted to the Department for approval 90 days prior to testing. The Department shall be notified of compliance testing at least 30 days prior to the testing.
- 6. During the particulate compliance testing, a visible emission standard shall be established by 40 CFR 60, Appendix A, Method 9, as a surrogate compliance method as contained in 17-2.23(3), FAC, and be made a condition of the operating permit.
- 7. Prior to ninty days before the expiration of this permit, a complete application for an operating permit shall be submitted to the DER Southwest District Office or its designee.

PAGE _3__ OF _4____.

1

PERMIT NO.: AC 29-47277 APPLICANT: City of Tampa

8. The above stated emission limitations are based upon the best estimates of the permittee. Any change in the information submitted in the application regarding facility emissions or changes in the quantity or quality of materials processed that will result in new or increased emissions must be reported to the permitting authority. If appropriate, the permitting authority may then institute procedures to amend the permit conditions.

| Expiration Date: December 31, 1984 | Issued this 23 day of 25 1923 | |
|------------------------------------|---|--|
| Pages Attached. | STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION | |
| | Willows Minist | |

PAGE 4 OF 4.

Signature

Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Determination

Amendment

Hillsborough County

The City of Tampa proposes to construct a facility to incinerate municipal solid waste and use the resulting heat energy to produce electricity as a saleable by-product. The facility is to be located at the site of a previous incinerator installation which has been inoperative since December 1979. This venture, known as the McKay Bay Refuse-to-Energy project, is tentatively a two phase plan.

Phase one is the renovation and conversion of the three existing mass burn combustion furnaces into a state-of-the-art resource recovery system. A fourth combustion furnace will be installed plus waste heat boilers, electrostatic precipitators and a condensing steam turbine electric generator. When phase one is completed the facility will have the capability to burn approximately 300,000 tons per year of solid waste and generate 21 megawatts of electricity. This BACT determination applies to phase one of this project.

Phase two will be the installation of two new mass burn combustion furnaces, with heat recovery systems, and will be located adjacent to the renovated system. The new system will be capable of processing 1,000 tons per day of municipal solid waste and, in addition, to producing electricity will allow the recovery of recyclable materials, such as ferrous metals and aluminum. A BACT determination, if applicable, will be made when the plans for phase two of the project are finalized.

The McKay Bay Refuse-to-Energy project, when completed, will be capable of processing 2,000 tons per day of solid waste. The facility is scheduled to operate continuously with a 20 percent downtime allowance for maintenance.

Applicant's estimated net increase in air emissions (tons/year):

| Pollutant | Phase I |
|-----------------|---------|
| Particulates | 133 |
| SO ₂ | 745 |
| NO _X | 1314 |
| CO | 75 |
| HC | 39 |

The Refuse-to-Energy complex is located on a 14 acre site adjacent to McKay Bay, south of Route U.S. 60, which is in that portion of Hillsborough County classified nonattainment for the pollutants: particulate matter (17-2.13(1)(a) FAC) and ozone (17-2.16(1)(d) FAC). This area is unclassified for the pollutant sulfur dioxide and classified attainment for the pollutant NO $_{\rm X}$. Therefore the emission limiting standard for the pollutant particulate matter will be subject to a Lowest Achievable Emission Rate (LAER) determination (17-2.17(6) FAC), and a Best Available Control Technology (BACT) determination for the pollutants SO2, NO $_{\rm X}$ and VOC (17-2.04(6)(c) FAC and 17-2.17(3)(a)1.a.(ii) FAC).

BACT Determination Requested by the Applicant:

Pollutant Emission Limit

SO₂ Low sulfur content waste

NO_x Boiler design and operating procedures

VOC 9 pounds per hour

Date of Receipt of a BACT Application:

August 24, 1981

Date of Publication in the Florida Administrative Weekly:

September 4, 1981

Review Group Members:

John Svec, BAQM New Source Review Section Tom Rogers, BAQM Air Modeling Section Anthony Jones, Hillsborough County Environmental Prot. Commission Dan Williams, DER Southwest District

Recommendations from the review group and other respondents were the basis for the final determination.

BACT Determination by DER:

Pollutant Emission Limit

SO₂ 170 pounds per hour

NO_x 300 pounds per hour

VOC 9.0 pounds per hour

Justification of DER Determination:

The BACT review group members in making the final determination

had to consider the following:

- 1) Resource recovery facilities have a high potential for severely and adversely affecting air quality. Pollutants of concern are SO₂, NO_x, particulates, HC, HCL and HF acid gases.
- The thermal destruction of municipal waste is a recognized method of disposal, and A. reduces landfill area requirements; B. eliminates a breeding ground for rodents; C. reduces possibility of ground water contamination; D. allows for the recovery of various metals for recycle.
- 3) Air pollution control technology is currently commercially available and capable of achieving the levels of control necessary to reduce most emissions from resource recovery facilities.
- 4) Calculation of sulfur dioxide emission factors for solid waste based upon the amount of SO₂ generated per million Btu of solid waste burned show the high value of the solid waste SO₂ emission to be slightly higher than the SO₂ emission factor for residual fuel oil containing 0.5 percent sulfur.
- 5) The technology for controlling NO_X emissions from resource recovery facilities is still in the experimental stage.
- 6) The land area needed for a landfill (dump) will be reduced approximately 90 percent. The residue (ash) to be disposed of in a landfill will be 15 percent of the mass but only 5 percent of the volume of waste collected and burned.

The applicant stated the SO₂ emissions would be 170 pounds per hour. This is analogous to burning oil with a sulfur content of 0.43 percent, which, in most cases, would be BACT for a boiler of this size not using a flue gas desulfurization system. Atmospheric dispersion modeling predicts no violation of the SO₂ increment at this rate of SO₂ emissions. The SO₂ emission limit of 170 pounds per hour, is therefore, determined to be BACT.

The emission of $NO_{\mathbf{x}}$ is the result of two chemical processes that occur during combustion. In one case the heat of combustion causes the oxidation of nitrogen in the air, called thermal $NO_{\mathbf{x}}$. The second case is when the nitrogen in the fuel becomes oxidized, called fuel $NO_{\mathbf{x}}$. Some of the factors influencing the amount of

 $NO_{\mathbf{x}}$ produced are flame temperature, nitrogen content of the fuel and the amount of excess air used.

Several methods are being investigated to control NO_{X} emissions during the burning of the fuel or treatment of the flue gas. These methods are in the research and development stage and will require additional testing before being considered as BACT for the control of NO_{X} emissions from a resource recovery facility.

Resource recovery facilities have the potential to emit large amounts of HC, VOC's and carbon monoxide. Some of the main contributing factors are; the heterogeneous nature of municipal waste, a fuel feed system that does not maintain a constant firing rate and the use of unregulated combustion temperatures and air.

The applicant has proposed a NO $_{\rm X}$ emission limit of 300 pounds per hour and a VOC emission limit of 9 pounds per hour based on test results from a similar facility. These emission limits are determined to be BACT, with the requirement that the applicant set up an Operation and Maintenance (O&M) plan for the combustion controls so as to minimize these emissions.

The facility is to be located in an area classified nonattainment for the pollutant particulate matter. The emission limit for particulates will be subject to a Lowest Achievable Emission Rate (LAER) determination.

Details of the Analysis May be Obtained by Contacting:

Edward Palagyi, BACT Coordinator Department of Environmental Regulation Bureau of Air Quality Management 2600 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, FL 32301

| Recommended By: | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| & all George | |
| Steve Smallwood, Chief BAQM | _ |
| Date: <u>March 17, 1982</u> | |
| Approved: | |
| → | |

Victoria Ischinkel, Secretary

Date: 3/18/62

Lowest Achievable Emission Rate (LAER) Determination Amendment

City of Tampa

Hillsborough County

The City of Tampa proposes to construct a facility to incinerate municipal solid waste and use the resulting heat energy to produce electricity as a saleable by-product. The facility is to be located at the site of a previous incinerator installation which has been inoperative since December 1979. This venture, known as the McKay Bay Refuse-to-Energy project, is a two phase plan.

Phase one is the renovation and conversion of the three existing mass burn combustion furnaces into a state-of-the-art resource recovery system. A fourth combustion furnace will be installed plus waste heat boilers, electrostatic precipitators and a condensing steam turbine electric generator. When phase one is completed the facility will have the capability to burn approximately 300,000 tons per year of solid waste and generate 21 megawatts of electricity. This LAER determination applies to phase one of this project.

Phase two will be the installation of two new mass burn combustion furnaces, with heat recovery systems, and will be located adjacent to the renovated system. The new system will be capable of processing 1,000 tons per day of municipal solid waste and, in addition, to producing electricity will allow the recovery of recyclable materials, such as ferrous metals and aluminum. A LAER determination, if applicable, will be made when phase two plans are finalized.

The McKay Bay Refuse-to-Energy project, when completed, will be capable of processing 2,000 tons per day of solid waste. The land area needed for a landfill (dump) will be reduced approximately 90 percent. The residue (ash) to be disposed of in a landfill will be 15 percent of the mass but only 5 percent of the volume of waste collected and incinerated. The facility is scheduled to operate continuously with a 20 percent dowtime allowable for maintenance.

Applicant's Estimated net increase in air emissions (tons/year):

| Pollutant | Phase I |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Particulates SO ₂ NO _x CO HC (VOC) | 133 745 1314 75 39 |
| | |

Page Two

The Refuse-to-Energy complex is located on a 14 acre site adjacent to McKay Bay, south of Route U.S. 60, which is in that portion of Hillsborough County classified nonattainment for the pollutants; particulate matter (17-2.13(1)(a)FAC) and ozone (17-2.16(1)FAC). Therefore the emission limiting standards for the pollutant particulate matter will be subject to a Lowest Achievable Emission Rate (LAER) determination (17-2.17(6)FAC and 17-2.17(3)(a)l.a.(ii)FAC).

LAER Determination Requested by the Applicant:

Pollutant

Emission Limit

Particulates

0.03 grains/DSCF at 50% excess air

Date of Receipt of a LAER Application:

August 24, 1981

Review Group Members:

John Svec, BAQM New Source Review Section
Tom Rogers, BAQM Air Modeling Section
Anthony Jones, Hillsborough County Environmental Protection
Commission
Dan Williams, DER Southwest District

Recommendations from the review group and other respondents were the basis for the final determination.

LAER Determination by DER:

Pollutant

Emission Limit

Particulates

0.025 grains/DSCF, corrected to 12% CO2

Justification of DER Determination:

The LAER review group members in making the final determination had to cope with the following:

- Resource recovery facilities have a high potential for severely and adversely affecting air quality. Pollutants of concern are SO₂, NO_x, particulates, HC (VOC), HCl and HF acid gases.
- 2. The thermal destruction of municipal waste is a recognized method of disposal, and A. reduces landfill

Page Three

area requirements; B. eliminates a breeding ground for rodents; C. reduces possibility of ground water contamination; D. allows for the recovery of various metals for recycle.

- 3. Air pollution control technology is currently commercially available and capable of achieving the levels of control necessary to reduce most emissions from resource recovery facilities.
- The construction of a new source, or modification, in a nonattainment area shall apply to the Department for a determination of the Lowest Achievable Emission Rate (LAER) that is applicable to the affected pollutant, which, in this case, is particulate matter (17-2.17(6)(a)FAC).

The Department has determined LAER for particulate matter to be 0.025 grains/DSCF, corrected to 12% CO_2 . The emission limit is deemed to be achievable based on test data from a similar operating facility located in Nashville, Tennessee.

Details of the Analysis May be Obtained by Contacting:

Edward Palagyi, LAER Coordinator Department of Environmental Regulation Bureau of Air Quality Management 2600 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, FL 32301

Steve Smallwood, Chief, BAOM

Recommended By:

Date:

Date:

Approved:

March 23, 1982