From: Lloyd.David@epamail.epa.gov
To: Sheplak, Scott
Cc: Linero, Alvaro; Arif, Syed; "Woodard, Sterlin"
Subject: Re: Tampa Electric Company-Big Bend Power Station, Consent Decree related revisions, Project Nos. 0570039-060-AC/0570039-061-AV
Date: Monday, February 04, 2013 3:32:58 PM

Scott,

The document you attached seems to have a good summary of what has been completed under the CD and what additional ESP work is still outstanding.

My records indicate that the EPA approved version of the BACT plan was dated October, 2002 (it says "Revised October 2002" on the cover). Also, the latest version of the BOP plan is dated October 2005. I haven't had a chance to dig through the files and approval letters and such. As I recall there was a fair bit of back and forth with regard to the BACT and BOP plans, and maybe some adjustments were made in terms of which document did what. But the versions I indicated above are the latest and should be the ones referenced.

David

From: "Sheplak, Scott" <Scott.Sheplak@dep.state.fl.us>
To: David Lloyd/R4/USEPA/US@EPA,
Cc: '"Woodard, Sterlin"' <Woodard@epchc.org>, "Arif, Syed" <Syed.Arif@dep.state.fl.us>, "Linero, Alvaro" <Alvaro.Linero@dep.state.fl.us>
Date: 02/04/2013 12:43 PM
Subject: Tampa Electric Company-Big Bend Power Station, Consent Decree related revisions, Project Nos. 0570039-060-AC/0570039-061-AV

Mr. David Lloyd
U.S. EPA Region 4
Atlanta, GA

Good afternoon,

We have a pre-draft compliance plan prepared for TECO-Big Bend to be included in the subject permits. The compliance plan includes what TECO had proposed from their permit application. I made only some slight adjustments to TECO's originally proposed language.

The proposed compliance plan is set up as follows:

1.0 INTRODUCTION
2.0 COMPLETION OF CONSENT DECREE REQUIREMNTS
3.0 CURRENT OUTSTANDING CONSENT DECREE PROVISIONS
4.0 COMPLIANCE REPORTING

We would appreciate your looking at this by close of business today (02/04/2013) and letting us know if you have any significant comments/concerns. Of course you will have a formal opportunity to comment when the permits are issued. Specifically, in Section 3.0 TECO claims what work is left to be done under the federal consent decree {this is probably the most important part of the compliance plan). TECO apparently had appreciated your waiving

the quarterly report at one time; Section 4.0 contains language for future waivers at your discretion.

If you should have any questions, feel free to give me a call to discuss.

Thank you in advance for your review!

Sincerely,

Scott M. Sheplak, P.E.
DEP - Division of Air Resource Management
Office of Permitting and Compliance
E-mail: scott.sheplak@dep.state.fl.us
Telephone: 850/717-9074
Fax: 850/717-9097

Please take a few minutes to share your comments on the service you received from the department by clicking on this link DEP Customer Survey.[attachment "Appendix CP-1 - Compliance Plan [pre-draft].docx" deleted by David Lloyd/R4/USEPA/US]