

RECEIVED

JUL 2 1 2000

BUREAU OF AIR REGULATION

TAMPA ELECTRIC

July 18, 2000

Ms. Cindy L. Phillips, P.E.
Bureau of Air Regulation
Florida Department of Environmental Protection
111 South Magnolia Avenue, Suite 4
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Via FedEx Airbill No. 7908 6262 8338

Re:

Tampa Electric Company (TEC)

Big Bend Station DRAFT Title V Permit Revisions

Dear Ms. Phillips:

In previous correspondence dated July 5, 2000, TEC requested permission to perform formal compliance testing on Big Bend Units 1 and 2 at an alternative location. Specifically, TEC requested that Specific Condition A.12 be changed to read:

... "When testing in CS0W1 or when testing in CS001, Unit No. 1 shall not be in operation during the compliance testing of Unit No. 2, and Unit No. 2 shall not be in operation during the compliance testing of Unit No. 1, but when testing in the ductwork between CS001 and the scrubber tower inlet, Unit No. 1 may operate during the compliance testing of Unit No. 2 and Unit No. 2 may operate during the compliance testing of Unit No. 1."

I certify that the alternative sampling locations meet all of the requirements of the EPA reference test methods.

TEC appreciates the opportunity to provide this input and if you have any further questions or need additional clarification, please do not hesitate to call Shannon Todd or me at (813) 641-5125.

Sincerely,

Gregory M. Nelson, P.E., License No. 44078

Director

Environmental Affairs

EP\gm\skt183

c: Mr. Clair Fancy - FDEP

Mr. Scott Sheplak - FDEP

Mr. Jerry Kissel - FDEP SW

Ms. Alice Harman - EPCHC Shaplan

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
P. O. BOX 111 TAMPA, FL 33601-0111



RECEIVED

JUL 148 2000

BUREAU OF AIR REGULATION

July 18, 2000

Ms. Cindy L. Phillips, P.E. Bureau of Air Regulation Florida Department of Environmental Protection 111 South Magnolia Avenue, Suite 4 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Via FedEx Airbill No. 7908 6262 8338

Re:

Tampa Electric Company (TEC)

Big Bend Station DRAFT Title V Permit Revisions

Dear Ms. Phillips:

In previous correspondence dated July 5, 2000, TEC requested permission to perform formal compliance testing on Big Bend Units 1 and 2 at an alternative location. Specifically, TEC requested that Specific Condition A.12 be changed to read:

... "When testing in CS0W1 or when testing in CS001, Unit No. 1 shall not be in operation during the compliance testing of Unit No. 2, and Unit No. 2 shall not be in operation during the compliance testing of Unit No. 1, but when testing in the ductwork between CS001 and the scrubber tower inlet, Unit No. 1 may operate during the compliance testing of Unit No. 2 and Unit No. 2 may operate during the compliance testing of Unit No. 1."

I certify that the alternative sampling locations meet all of the requirements of the EPA reference test methods.

TEC appreciates the opportunity to provide this input and if you have any further questions or need additional clarification, please do not hesitate to call Shannon Todd or me at (813) 641-5125.

Sincerely

Gregory M. Nelson, P.E., License No. 44078

Environmental Affairs

EP\gm\skt183

C:

Mr. Clair Fancy - FDEP

Mr. Scott Sheplak - FDEP

Mr. Jerry Kissel - FDEP SW

Ms. Alice Harman - EPCHC

7/19/00 & - Cindy Shardalo

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
P. O. BOX 111 TAMPA, FL 33601-0111

(813) 228-4111

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

THE TAMPA TRIBUNE **Published Daily** Tampa, Hillsborough County, Florida

State of Florida County of Hillsborough \ ss.

	LEGAL NOTICE
n the matter of	
	PUBLIC NOTICE OF INTENT
was published in said ne	wspaper in the issues of
County, Florida, and that	JUNE 7, 2000 the said The Tampa Tribune is a newspaper published at Tampa in said Hillsborough the said newspaper has heretofore been continuously published in said Hillsborough
County, Florida, and tha County, Florida, each da Hillsborough County, Fl	the said The Tampa Tribune is a newspaper published at Tampa in said Hillsborough the said newspaper has heretofore been continuously published in said Hillsborough y and has been entered as second class mail matter at the post office in Tampa, in said orida for a period of one year next preceding the first publication of the attached copy ont further says that she has neither paid nor promised any person, this advertisement for
County, Florida, and that County, Florida, each da Hillsborough County, Fl dvertisement; and affiar	the said The Tampa Tribune is a newspaper published at Tampa in said Hillsborough the said newspaper has heretofore been continuously published in said Hillsborough y and has been entered as second class mail matter at the post office in Tampa, in said orida for a period of one year next preceding the first publication of the attached copy of the further says that she has neither paid nor promised any person, this advertisement for ewspaper.



SUSIE LEE SLATON **COMMISSION NUMBER** CC639424 MY COMMISSION EXP. APRIL 16, 2001

PUBLIC NOTICE OF INTENT V. TO ISSUE TITLE V OPERATION PERMITS (a) Boy Stotler Historough County RE ermit No.: 0570040-002-AV F. J. Garnen Stotlen Hilleborough County

The Department of Environmental Protection (permitting outhority) gives notice of its inters to issue The V oir operation permits to Tompo Elec-tric Campany for the Big Bend Station, located at Big Sand Station, located at Big Sand Station and Station and Station and Station and Station and Station and Station, located at Porthaged from the Station, located at Porthaged from the Station, located at Indiana. Hoe is for two separate Title V. sermits. These permits indoors portale Will Albay standards into the Title IV Accepted Rein Part plassaumt to Rule (62-214-80(6), Plorida Administrative, Code R. A.C.). The opposition of the name into address into the Title V. P.O. Box 11 J. Tompo, Flory ide 3661-011 Long. Virginia 164 . The absormatting outhority will desemble Title M. PRO-. Noe is for two separate Title V

will teaus, the Title V. PROwill issue, the Title V. PRO-POSEDS Permits and subset supplies V. PMAL Permits in quantities with the consell those of the Title V. Revisual DEAST Permits laries in re-isoning Procedure. In accor-dance with the tollowing are

postal permitted and a second provided the second provided to the permitted permitted by the second provided to the permitted permitted by the second provided to the permitted by the second provided to the permitted provided to the permitted by the second provided provided by the second provided by the second

interests are affected by the movestition for as admitted traffigures into it absorbers with elections 126.569 is and 120.57 by the Florida Scotland F.S.D. The pointed synus centre of the pointed synus ce 120.57,bt the Florida Statut

A person whose substantial

Shall sheel intitled to written in order. Incident section 120.40(3). F.J., state the steel within four tear stays of publication of the subject on stripe in order of the subject of the stays of the s ting gaintportry for, notice of agency vection may file a petitional within; four test intry of respect to the petition of the petition. The follows of cry person, for the appropriate petition within the appropriate petition of the petiti

proposed action; padding of all plepused issues of material lists. If their in the control of the control of their in the interval in the interva are none, the petition must so

elate: (a) A concise statement of the ultimate facts alleged, as well as the rules and statutes which entitle petitioner to re-

(f) A stolement of the specific rules or statutes the petitioner contends require reversal or modification of the agency's proposed action; and

(a) A statement of the relief sought by the petitioner, stat-ing precisely the patient peti-florier wishes the against to which his permitting in fr's action is based at that as such socialize such state that the fath the same informa for forth above, as in by Rule 29-104-301, F.A. Because the cominist property process is designed to the comment of the comment o and the benefit of such final despitation with the state of the surface of the state of t

with the requirement forth above. Mediation is not av for this proceeding. pursuant to 42 United Code (U.S.C.) \$1 7661d(b)(2), any person petition the Administra the EPA within 40 (sixt) of the expiration of the ministrator's 48 (fols) day (review period as 8 shed at 42 U.S.C.S.S. 7661d(b)(1), to object in once of any permit. Arr objections to the perm specificity during the 2 Ty) day public commen od provided in this notic less the petitioner d strates to the Adminis ficing to raise (juch) tions within the somma riod or whiest the grown such objection cross off comment period Filing petition with the Admir for of the EPA does no the effective date of an mit properly issued put to the provisions of Ct 62-213, F.A.C. Petitions with the Administrator PA must meet the re-ments of 42 U.S.C. St 7661d(b)(2) and must be with the Administrator i EPA at: U.S. EPA, 4 Street, S.W., Washington 20460, A complete proje is available for public in ton during normal but hours; \$00 data to 500 Monday through; Fridge cost togot hope to the togot hope togot togot hope togot togot hope togot to Department of Environment Burnou of Air Regulation clephone: 850/488-0114 Fax: 850/922-4979

Telephone: 813/272-5530 Fax: 813/272-5605 The complete project f cludes the Revised Di Permits, the applications the information submitte the responsible officials clusive of confidential re trader Section 403.11, F. teretted persons may co

Affected Local Programs Environmental Prete Commission

of Hillsborough County

1410 North 21 Street Tampa, Florida 33605



JUL 0 2000

July 5, 2000

BUREAU OF AIR REGULATION

Ms. Cindy L. Phillips, P.E. Bureau of Air Regulation Florida Department of Environmental Protection 111 South Magnolia Avenue, Suite 4 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Via FedEx Airbill No. 7911 0768 5864

Re: Tampa Electric Company (TEC)

Big Bend Station DRAFT Title V Permit Revisions

Dear Ms. Phillips:

In an effort to minimize unscrubbed operation of Big Bend Units 1 and 2, Tampa Electric Company would like to perform compliance testing without deintegrating either unit from the scrubber system. In order to accomplish this, unscrubbed compliance testing must occur in the ductwork in a similar manner as is done when Unit 3 is tested.

To support this, TEC requests that the following language be added to Specific Condition A.12:

...."When testing in CS0W1 or when testing in CS001, Unit No. 1 shall not be in operation during the compliance testing of Unit No. 2, and Unit No. 2 shall not be in operation during the compliance testing of Unit No. 1, but when testing in the ductwork between CS001 and the scrubber tower inlet, Unit No. 1 may operate during the compliance testing of Unit No. 2 and Unit No. 2 may operate during the compliance testing of Unit No. 1."

The enclosed diagram defines the locations of the proposed alternative sampling locations.

Ms. Cindy L. Phillips, P.E. July 5, 2000 Page 2 of 2

TEC appreciates the opportunity to provide this input and if you have any further questions or need additional clarification, please do not hesitate to call Shannon Todd or me at (813) 641-5125.

Sincerely,

It andry Martin

General Manager / Responsible Official

Big Bend Station

EP\tkd\skt181

Enclosure

c (enc):Mr. Clair Fancy - FDEP

Mr. Scott Sheplak - FDEP

Mr. Jerry Kissel - FDEP SW

Ms. Alice Harman - EPCHC

xice Jac Kily

Diagram of Additional Alternative Testing Locations For Big Bend Units 1 and 2

