

COMMISSION

PHYLLIS BUSANSKY RODNEY COLSON PAM IORIO RUBIN E. PADGETT JAN KAMINIS PLATT HAVEN POE JAMES D. SELVEY



ROGER P. STEWART EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

MAIN OFFICES 1900 - 9TH AVENUE TAMPA, FLORIDA 33605 TELEPHONE (813) 272-5960

TELEPHONE (813) 272-5530

WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM TELEPHONE (813) 272-5788

RECEIVI

MEMORANDUM

AUG 1 3 1990

DERPHANNIST 7, 1990

То	Clair Fancy	·
From	Jerry Campbell 5	
Subject:	Sulfur Dioxide Standard for LaFarge's #6 Kiln	

As you recall, we attended a meeting with LaFarge representatives in DARM's They called it to discuss the rule applicability office back in June. regarding their proposal to restart the kiln with a cogeneration capability. Coincidentally their operating permit for the kiln was up for renewal, and we had recommended the permit include an SO standard. This is a major source of SO, with no construction permit and it operated prior to the NSR rules of 1977. The old permit did not include a specific number and our pound per hour figure has caused them some concern. The permit has been issued, and LaFarge has requested and received an extension to file for a hearing. We have met with them and we are attempting to resolve the matter.

Given the above background and the attached information, we are seeking your assistance. Our intention is to establish an SO, baseline and if appropriate include it as an enforceable standard in their renewed permit. By taking the most recent two years of representative data (1983 and 1984), we calculate the baseline to be 3134 tons of SO, per year. This is based on the original Annual Operating Reports and applying a sulfur conversion factor of 76.4%. This comes from actual test data from 7/2/79 and correlates to 76% of the sulfur in the fuel being emitted as SO_2 . other 24% is tied up in the alkaline product.

You will note that our calculations do not agree with LaFarge's as originally submitted. We derived our figures from the sulfur content and amount of fuel burned each year. LaFarge ignored the actual fuel data and used old stack tests (in most instances from previous years). As such, we feel our data is more representative.

Subject to your concurrence then, we will ask the district to amend the

permit to exclude the hourly SO limitation, but include the following: "Pursuant to Rule 17-2.500, F.A.C., and Chapter 1-1.07, Rules of the Environmental Protection Commission, sulfur dioxide emissions from the operation of the kiln shall not exceed 3134 tons for any twelve consecutive month period."

Compliance with this condition shall be demonstrated by using fuel analysis and production records on a monthly basis to calculate emissions



Clair Fancy August 7, 1990 Page 2

Because of the pending hearing, we respectfully request your prompt attention to this matter. Please contact me if you need any further

cg

cc: Gary Maier

please all Compelli s'see is EPA des pouse that be get a comoto willsublice of 16 he reads more ## Le dres, place have a snether to sign Dy Sept D. III Le of vacation user me NO FURTHER ACTION NEEDED

Sulfur Dioxide Emissions From LaFarge's #6 Kiln Operation

	Tons				SO Emissions	EPC's 1990	Calculations
	Clinker		ypes/Utilization		Original	100%	76%
<u>Year</u>	Produced	Coal (%S)/TPY	F.O.(%S)gal/yr	Coke (%S)/TPY	AOR	Conversion	Conversion
1978	513,455	2.14/100,392	2.2/755,000	- `	3,888	4,431	3,386
1979	481,708	0.878/100,188	2.2/169,000	-	2,806	1,789	1,367
1980	453,971	2.6/86,804	-	-	2,572	4,514	3,449
1981	529,127	2.56/108,733	-	-	2,910	5,567	4,253
1982	502,360	2.1/98,068	-	-	2,910	4,119	3,147
1983	505,126	2.53/88,475	-	2	2,853	4,477	3,420
1984	481,402	2.00/93,169	-	-	4,026	3,727	2,847
1985	55,817	N/A	-	-	510	-	-

^{1. 76%} conversion of sulfur in fuel to ${\rm SO}_2$ is based on actual test data from 7/2/79.

^{2.} No coke usage was reported in the AOR, but one stack test was conducted in 1983 while burning a 55% coke mixture.