Sheplak, Scott

- file-

From:

Mohammad, Sal [Sal_Mohammad@golder.com]

Sent:

Wednesday, October 24, 2007 5:22 PM

To:

Sheplak, Scott

Subject: Mosaic Riverview

Hi Scott,

Sorry I am a little late getting back to you on this. I was out yesterday for a conference. Regarding your request for a reference of the shutdown units at Mosaic Riverview, I was told that the shutdown will be reflected in 0570008-058-AC, which is the application for the expansion of the Animal Feed Ingredient (AFI) Plant.

Hope this helps.

Thanks,

Sal

Sal Mohammad

Project Engineer Golder Associates Inc. 6241 NW 23rd Street, Ste. 500 Gainesville, FL 32653 352/336-5600 www.golder.com

第Permitting Application - Events 多次多数		ents Sche	•	(3)		90 OT 90	
Permit#			R-RIVERVIEW FA Subtype (AC ICATION)	- P	Received (06/27/2007	
> Awaiting Additional Information: Pending	Begin Date	Period	Due Date	Rmn	Status	End Date	<u> </u>
Receive Request Fee Verification Completeness Review	06/27/2007 06/27/2007 06/27/2007	1 2 30	06/28/2007 06/29/2007 07/27/2007		Done Sufficient Fee Incomplete	06/27/2007 06/28/2007 07/26/2007	
RESET CLOCK Awaiting Additional Information Completeness Review	07/26/2007 07/26/2007 10/04/2007	90 30	07/27/2007 10/24/2007 11/03/2007		Done Received Incomplete	07/26/2007 10/04/2007 11/01/2007	6000
RESET CLOCK Awaiting Additional Information	11/01/2007	90	11/02/2007 01/30/2008	90	Done Pending	11/01/2007	

1	Permitting Appli	cation - Permit D	etail and Log Permit			444444444			-: ≚ >
	POINT	AIRSID	0570008 9	TATUS A	Facility OFFICE	SWHI	SW: HILLSBOROUG	GH	
	SITE	NAME MOSAIC	FERTILIZER-RIVERVIE	W FACILITY		COUNTY	HILLSBOROUGH		
1	OWNER/COM	PANY MOSAIC	FERTILIZER, LLC]
Project									
	AIR Permit#			Project #	058		CRA Reference	#]
	Permit Office SWD (DISTRICT) Agency Action Pending]		
	Project Name AFI PLANT MODIFICATION Desc modifying the animal feed ingredient plant to achieve production rate								
	Type/Sub/Des	AC /1C	Source 50 tpy but le	ss than 100 \$450	0		Logg	ged (06/28/2007] ¦
	Received	06/27/2007	Issued		Expires	s		iec 🔲	
	Fee	0.00	Fee Recd		Dele		Override T	TILE V] ;
. [Related P	arty——				==
Ì	Role APP	LICANT		Begin	06/28/2007			End	
ij	Name STE	WARD, JEFF				ompany MO	SAIC		
	Address 881	US HWY 41 SOUT	Н						
	City RIVE	RVIEW		Sta	ate FL	Zip 33569]- [co	ountry U.S.A.]
	Phone 813	671-6369	Fax 813-671-6	149		,			
	Processor NO	OOR_Q		Proce X Active	SSOIS 06/28/2007	inact	ive	Events	

Sheplak, Scott

-fs/o -

From: Mohammad, Sal [Sal_Mohammad@golder.com]

Sent: Friday, September 21, 2007 10:04 AM

To: Sheplak, Scott

Cc: Buff, Dave; Nelson, Deborah
Subject: FW: Mosaic Riverview BART

Scott.

I confirmed with Mosaic that the data I sent to you yesterday (also attached below) are CEM data. These are maximum lb/hr based on tons/day of H2SO4 production and SO2 in lb/ton H2SO4. Please let me know if you needed anything else.

Thanks,

Sal Mohammad
Project Engineer
Golder Associates Inc.
6241 NW 23rd Street, Ste. 500
Gainesville, FL 32653
352/336-5600
www.golder.com

From: Mohammad, Sal

Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2007 2:07 PM

To: 'Sheplak, Scott'

Cc: Buff, Dave; 'Nelson, Deborah' **Subject:** RE: Mosaic Riverview BART

Scott.

I have attached below the information given to me by Mosaic. As far as I know, these are from CEM data. I am trying to get more information from Mosaic and will let you know. These rates were calculated based on actual daily production and actual operating hours of the day and are the max. 24-hour rates since 2002.

Thanks,

Sal

plant	date	tpd	lb/ton	lb/hr	hrs
7	10/18/04	1265	3.33	439	9.6
8	12/19/04	1251	3.42	382	11.2
9	04/13/02	3361	3.36	476	23.75

From: Sheplak, Scott [mailto:Scott.Sheplak@dep.state.fl.us]

Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2007 12:22 PM

To: Mohammad, Sal

Cc: Buff, Dave; Nelson, Deborah **Subject:** RE: Mosaic Riverview BART

That is based on stack tests not CEMs.

From: Mohammad, Sal [mailto:Sal_Mohammad@golder.com]

Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2007 11:58 AM

To: Sheplak, Scott

9/24/2007

Cc: Buff, Dave; Nelson, Deborah **Subject:** RE: Mosaic Riverview BART

Based on Mosaic data, actual emissions are 439 lb/hr (10/18/04), 382 lb/hr (12/19/04), and 476 lb/hr (4/13/02) for SAPs 7, 8, and 9, respectively. Allowable emissions are 467, 393.8, and 495.8 lb/hr for SAPs 7, 8, and 9, respectively. So more than 90% for each SAP.

Thank you,

Sal Mohammad
Project Engineer
Golder Associates Inc.
6241 NW 23rd Street, Ste. 500
Gainesville, FL 32653
352/336-5600
www.golder.com

From: Sheplak, Scott [mailto:Scott.Sheplak@dep.state.fl.us]

Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2007 11:25 AM

To: Mohammad, Sal

Cc: Buff, Dave; Nelson, Deborah **Subject:** RE: Mosaic Riverview BART

Is there a % estimate available between the CEMs actual emissions vs. the allowable emissions? Example, are actual emissions within approximately 90% of the allowables.

From: Mohammad, Sal [mailto:Sal_Mohammad@golder.com]

Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2007 10:07 AM

To: Nelson, Deborah

Cc: Buff, Dave; Sheplak, Scott **Subject:** RE: Mosaic Riverview BART

Hi Debbie.

Good morning! I was out yesterday for a workshop in Orlando. I have used the CEM data to re-evaluate the impacts which are presented in Table 1 of the September response letter. I just mentioned that the permit allowable rates that I had used in the original report was more conservative because the post-control emission rates are based on the baseline emission rates. In Appendix Y to 40 CFR Part 51 (FR July 6, 2005, Page 39170), it says "Post-control emission rates are calculated as a percentage of pre-control emission rates." So the post-control visibility level and the visibility reduction is proportional to whatever emission rate is used as the baseline. This is also demonstrated in Table 1 - because the baseline rates are now lower, reduction in visibility (based on 95% reduction) is also lower. As a result the cost effectiveness figures have gone up from what was reported before.

Thank you,

Sal

Sal Mohammad
Project Engineer
Golder Associates Inc.
6241 NW 23rd Street, Ste. 500
Gainesville, FL 32653
352/336-5600
www.golder.com

From: Nelson, Deborah [mailto:Deborah.Nelson@dep.state.fl.us]

Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2007 4:16 PM

To: Mohammad, Sal

9/24/2007

Cc: Sheplak, Scott

Subject: Mosaic Riverview BART

Sal,

I have a question regarding the Mosaic BART response. I do not understand your response to comments regarding the modeling. My understanding of BART is that you compare visibility impacts before and after BART controls. Then, you analyze the visibility reduction with cost, feasibility, etc. In the Mosaic Review you used PTE's instead of CEMS. You state that this method is more conservative. However, I don't believe that it is more conservative. I think that it is less conservative because you are comparing visibility from PTE to post BART controls. Your visibility reduction, thus would be less than if you were to use CEMS. If you used CEMS, as the protocol suggests, you will show a greater reduction in visibility impacts. Any comments?

Thanks,

Debbie

Debbie Nelson Meteorologist Air Permitting South 850-921-9537 deborah.nelson@dep.state.fl.us