STATE OF FLORIDA

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION



APPLICATION TO OPERATE	CONSTRUCT AIR PO	DLLUTION SOURCES
SOURCE TYPE: Sulfuric Acid Plant	_ [] New ¹ [X]	Existing ¹
APPLICATION TYPE: [] Construction []		
COMPANY NAME: <u>Cargill Fertilizer, Inc.</u>		COUNTY: Hillsborough
Identify the specific emission point sour		
Kiln No. 4 with Venturi Scrubber; Peaking		
SOURCE LOCATION: Street 8813 Highway 41	,	
UTM: East_363.3		
Latitude <u>27 ° 51 ′ 28 </u> "N		Longitude <u>82 ° 23 ′ 15</u> "W
APPLICANT NAME AND TITLE: <u>David Jellerson</u>	n, Environmental :	Supervisor
APPLICANT ADDRESS: 8813 Highway 41 South,		
SECTION I: STATEME		
A. APPLICANT		
I am the undersigned owner or authori	zed representati	ve" of <u>Cargill Fertilizer, Inc.</u>
I certify that the statements made in permit are true, correct and complete I agree to maintain and operate the pfacilities in such a manner as to comstatutes, and all the rules and regulalso understand that a permit, if grand I will promptly notify the depart establishment.	e to the best of a collution control aply with the pro- lations of the de- anted by the depa	my knowledge and belief: Further, source and pollution control vision of Chapter 403, Florida partment and revisions thereof. I rtment, will be non-transferable
*Attach letter of authorization	Signed:	
	David Jellerso	n, Environmental Supervisor
		and Title (Please Type)
	Date:	Telephone No. (813) 677-6153
B. PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER REGISTERED IN 1	FLORIDA (where re	

B. PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER REGISTERED IN FLORIDA (where required by Chapter 471, F.S.)
This is to certify that the engineering features of this pollution control project have been designed/examined by me and found to be in conformity with modern engineering principles applicable to the treatment and disposal of pollutants characterized in the permit application. There is reasonable assurance, in my professional judgement, that

 1 See Florida Administration Code Rule 17-2.100(57) and (104)

DER Form 17-1.202(1) Effective October 31, 1982 13349B1/R1/APPC/APS (03/94)

	the pollution control facilities, when properly maintained and operated, will discharge an effluent that complies with all applicable statutes of the State of Florida and the rules and regulations of the department. It is also agreed that the undersigned will furnish, if authorized by the owner, the applicant a set of instructions for the proper maintenance and operation of the pollution control facilities and, if applicable, pollution sources.
	Signed David a. Biff
	David A. Buff
	Name (Please Type)
	KBN Engineering and Applied Sciences, Inc. Company Name (Please Type)
	1034 N.W. 57th Street, Gainesville, FL 32605
	Mailing Address (Please Type)
Flo	rida Registration No. <u>19011</u> Date: <u>6/10/94</u> Telephone No. <u>(904) 331-9000</u>
	SECTION II: GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION
Α.	Describe the nature and extent of the project. Refer to pollution control equipment, and expected improvements in source performance as a result of installation. State whether the project will result in full compliance. Attach additional sheet if necessary.
	See cover letter.
В.	Schedule of project covered in this application (Construction Permit Application Only)
C.	Start of Construction upon permit issuance Completion of Construction 24 mos after permit issued Costs of pollution control system(s): (Note: Show breakdown of estimated costs only for individual components/units of the project serving pollution control purposes. Information on actual costs shall be furnished with the application for operation permit.)
	Air pollution controls already in place.
	·
D.	Indicate any previous DER permits, orders and notices associated with the emission point, including permit issuance and expiration dates.
	See PSD report for No. 9 Sulfuric Acid Plant.
	See PSD report for No. 9 Sulfuric Acid Plant.
	See PSD report for No. 9 Sulfuric Acid Plant.

Ε.		power plant, hrs/yr; if seasonal, describe:	
F.		this is a new source or major modification, answer the following quest	tions.
	1.	Is this source in a non-attainment area for a particular pollutant?	Yes
а.		yes, has "offset" been applied?	No
_,			No
		c. If yes, list non-attainment pollutants. <u>Ozone</u>	
	2.	Does best available control technology (BACT) apply to this source? If yes, see Section VI.	Yes
	3.	Does the State "Prevention of Significant Deterioration" (PSD) requirement apply to this source? If yes, see Sections VI and VII.	Yes
	4.	Do "Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources" (NSPS) apply to this source?	Yes -
	5.	Do "National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants" (NESHAP) apply to this source?	No
н.	Do	"Reasonably Available Control Technology" (RACT) requirements apply to this source?	No
		a. If yes, for what pollutants?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		b. If yes, in addition to the information required in this form, ar requested in Rule 17-2.650 must be submitted.	y information

Attach all supportive information related to any answer of "Yes". Attach any justification for any answer of "No" that might be considered questionable.

No. 9 Sulfuric Acid Plant

SECTION III: AIR POLLUTION SOURCES & CONTROL DEVICES (Other than Incinerators)

A. Raw Materials and Chemicals Used in your Process, if applicable:

	Contar	ninants	Utilization	Relate to Flow Diagram		
Description	Туре	% Wt	Rate - lbs/hr	ŭ		
Sulfur			79,256	A		
Atmos. Oxygen			118,521	В		
Water			44,393	С		

- B. Process Rate, if applicable: (See Section V, Item 1)
 - 1. Total Process Input Rate (lbs/hr): 242,170
- Product Weight (lbs/hr): 241,667 as 100% H₂SO₄ (2.900 TPD 100% H₂SO₄) [Note: Combined production rate of No. 8 and No. 9 H₂SO₄ plants will not exceed 5,700 TPD (475,000 lb/hr)]
 Airborne Contaminants Emitted: (Information in this table must be submitted for each emission point, use additional sheets as necessary)

Name of	Emis	sion ¹	Allowed ² Emission Rate per	Allowable ³ Emission		ntial ⁴ ssion	Relate to Flow	
Contaminant	Maximum 1bs/hr	Actual T/yr	Rule 17-2	lbs/hr	lbs/hr	T/yr	Diagram	
Sulfur	483.3	2,117.0	4.0 lb/ton	483.3	483.3	2,117.0	D	
dioxide						<u> </u>		
Sulfuric	18.1	79.4	0.15 lb/ton	18.1	18.1	79.4	D	
acid mist								
NO _x	14.5*	63.5	NA	NA.	14.5	63.5	D	

*Estimated actual emissions based on test data from another plant.

²Reference applicable emission standards and units (e.g. Rule 17-2.600(5)(b)2. Table II, E. (1) - 0.1 pounds per million BTU heat input)

³Calculated from operating rate and applicable standard.

⁴Emission, if source operated without control (See Section V, Item 3).

DER Form 17-1.202(1) Effective October 31, 1982

¹See Section V, Item 2.

Name and Type (Model & Serial No.)	Contaminant	Efficiency	Pari (i	lange of cicles Size ollected n microns) applicable)	Basis for Efficiency (Section V Item 5)
Final Converter	Sulfur dioxide	99.7+		N/A	AP-42
Final Absorber/Mist	Acid Mist	99+	>	1 micron	AP-42
Eliminator					
			<u> </u>		
					, ·
. Fuels					
Type (Be Specific)	Cons	umption*			Heat Input
	avg/hr	max./h	ır	(MM)	BTU/hr)
Not Applicable			·		
			_		
Fuel Analysis:	CF/hr; Fuel Oils				
Percent Sulfur:					
Density:		lbs/gal	Typica	l Percent Nit	rogen:
Other Fuel Contaminants					
Other Fuel Contaminants					
Other Fuel Contaminants F. If applicable, indic	cate the percent of	fuel used for	space	heating.	
Other Fuel Contaminants F. If applicable, indic Annual Average <u>Not ap</u> G. Indicate liquid or	cate the percent of plicable solid wastes genera	fuel used for Maximum _	space	heating.	
Other Fuel Contaminants F. If applicable, indic	cate the percent of plicable solid wastes genera	fuel used for Maximum _	space	heating.	

No. 8 Sulfuric Acid Plant

		<u> </u>					
as Flow	Rate: <u>153,</u>	700 ACFM	133,000	DSCFM	Gas Exit Te	mperature: _	150
ater Vap	or Content:	0	<u></u>	% V	elocity: <u>5</u>	1.0	
		SEC		INCINERATOR		N	
		<u></u>	N.	ot Applicabl	.e		T
Type of Waste	Type O (Plastics)	Type II (Rubbish)	Type III (Refuse)		Type IV (Pathologi cal)	Type V (Liq. & Gas By-prod.)	Type VI (Solid By-pro
Actual lb/hr Inciner- ated							
Uncon- trolled (lbs/hr)							
Total We	ight Inciner ate Number o	ated (lbs/lof Hours of	nr) Operation	Desi	gn Capacity day/wl	(lbs/hr) « wks	s/yr
Cotal Wei Approxima	ight Inciner ate Number o	rated (lbs/l	nr)	Desi	gn Capacity day/wl	(lbs/hr) wks	
Cotal Wei Approxima	ight Inciner ate Number o	ated (lbs/lof Hours of	operation	Desig	gn Capacity day/wl _ Model No.	(lbs/hr) wks	s/yr
Cotal Wei Approxima	ight Inciner ate Number o	ated (lbs/lof Hours of	operation	Desi	gn Capacity day/wl _ Model No.	(lbs/hr) « wks	Temperatur
Total Wei	ight Inciner ate Number o	rated (lbs/lof Hours of	operation	Design per day	gn Capacity day/wl Model No.	(lbs/hr)wks	Temperatur
Approximation of the Constitution of the Const	ight Inciner ate Number o	Volum (ft)	operation	Design per day	gn Capacity day/wl Model No.	(lbs/hr)wks	Temperatur
Prima Second	ight Inciner ate Number of urer structed ary Chamber iary Chamber	volum (ft)	operation He Stack	Design per day at Release (BTU/hr)	gn Capacity day/wh _ Model No. Type	(lbs/hr)wks	Temperatur (°F)
Prima Second Stack He Gas Flow	ight Inciner ate Number of urer structed ary Chamber iary Chamber ight:	Volum (ft)	e He Stack ACFM	Design per day at Release (BTU/hr)	gn Capacity day/wh _ Model No. Type DSC	(lbs/hr) k wks Fuel BTU/hr Stack Te FM* Velocity	Temperatur (°F)
Prima Second Stack He Gas Flow	ight Inciner ate Number of urer structed ary Chamber dary Chamber ight: Rate: r more tons	Volum (ft)	e He Stack ACFM sign capac	Design per day at Release (BTU/hr)	m Capacity day/wh Model No. Type DSC the emissi	(lbs/hr)wks	Temperatur (°F)

DER Form 17-1.202(1) Effective October 31, 1982 13349B1/R1/APPC/APS (03/94)

ltimate disposal sh, etc.):	of a	ny effluent	other	than	that	emitted	from	the	stack	(scrubber	water,

NOTE: Items 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, and 10 in Section V must be included where applicable.

SECTION V: SUPPLEMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

Please provide the following supplements where required for this application.

- 1. Total process input rate and product weight -- show derivation [Rule 17-2.100(127)]
- 2. To a construction application, attach basis of emission estimate (e.g., design calculations, design drawings, pertinent manufacturer's test data, etc.) and attach proposed methods (e.g., FR Part 60 Methods, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) to show proof of compliance with applicable standards. To an operation application, attach test results or methods used to show proof of compliance. Information provided when applying for an operation permit from a construction permit shall be indicative of the time at which the test was made.
- 3. Attach basis of potential discharge (e.g., emission factor, that is, AP42 test).
- 4. With construction permit application, include design details for all air pollution control systems (e.g., for baghouse include cloth to air ratio; for scrubber include cross-section sketch, design pressure drop, etc.)
- 5. With construction permit application, attach derivation of control device(s) efficiency. Include test or design data. Items 2, 3 and 5 should be consistent: actual emissions = potential (1-efficiency).
- 6. An 8 ½" x 11" flow diagram which will, without revealing trade secrets, identify the individual operations and/or processes. Indicate where raw materials enter, where solid and liquid waste exit, where gaseous emissions and/or airborne particles are evolved and where finished products are obtained.
- 7. An 8 ½" x 11" plot plan showing the location of the establishment, and points of airborne emissions, in relation to the surrounding area, residences and other permanent structures and roadways (Examples: Copy of relevant portion of USGS topographic map).
- 8. An 8 ½" x 11" plot plan of facility showing the location of manufacturing processes and outlets for airborne emissions. Relate all flows to the flow diagram.

	application fee in accordance with Rule 17-4.05.	The check should be
made payable to	the Department of Environmental Regulation.	

With an application for operation permit, attach a Certificate of Completion of Construction indicating that the source was constructed as shown in the construction permit.

SECTION VI: BEST AVAILABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY

Α.	Are standards	of performance	for	new	stationary	sources	pursuant	to	40	C.F.R.	Part	60
	applicable to	the source?										

[X] Yes [] No

Contaminant	Rate or Concentration
Sulfur dioxide	4.0 1b/ton
Sulfuric acid mist	0.15 1b/ton
B. Has EPA declared the best avail yes, attach copy)	able control technology for this class of sources (If
[X] Yes [] No	
Contaminant	Rate or Concentration
Sulfur dioxide	4.0 lb/ton
Sulfuric acid mist	0.15 lb/ton
	opose as best available control technology?
Contaminant	Rate or Concentration
Sulfur dioxide	4.0 lb/ton

D. Describe the existing control and treatment technology (if any). See PSD report

0.15 lb/ton

Control Device/System:

Sulfuric acid mist

2. Operating Principles:

3. Efficiency:*

Sulfur dioxide

4. Capital Costs:

^{*}Explain method of determining

	5.	Useful Life:		6.	Operating Costs:	
	7.	Energy:		8.	Maintenance Cost:	
	9.	Emissions:				
		Contaminant			Rate or Concentra	ition
	10.	Stack Parameters		,		
	a.	Height:	ft.	Ъ.	Diameter	ft.
	c.	Flow Rate:	ACFM	d.	Temperature:	°F.
	e.	Velocity:	FPS			
E.	use	cribe the control and additional pages if	treatment technolognecessary). See PS	gy av D rep	vailable (As many ty port	pes as applicable,
	1.	Garage Berginses		ъ.	Operating Princip	les:
	а.	Control Devices:		d.	Capital Cost:	
	c.	Efficiency: 1 Useful Life:		f.	Operating Cost:	
	e.	Energy: ²		h.	Maintenance Cost:	
	g. i.	Availability of cons	struction materials		process chemicals:	
	j.	Applicability to mar				
	_	Ability to construct within proposed leve	with control devic		nstall in available	space, and operate
	2.					
	a.	Control Device:		b.	Operating Princip	les:
	c.	Efficiency:1		d.	Capital Cost:	
	e.	Useful Life:		f.	Operating Cost:	
	g.	Energy: ²		h.	Maintenance Cost:	
	i.	Availability of cons	struction materials	and 1	process chemicals:	
¹Ex ²Er	plai	n method of determini to be reported in ur	ing efficiency. nits of electrical p	ower	- KWH design rate.	

Applicability to manufacturing processes: k. Ability to construct with control device, install in available space, and operate within proposed levels: 3. Operating Principles: а. Control Device: Capital Cost: Efficiency:1 d. c. £. Operating Cost: Useful Life: е. Maintenance Cost: Energy:2 g. Availability of construction materials and process chemicals: i. Applicability to manufacturing processes: i. Ability to construct with control device, install in available space, and operate k. within proposed levels: 4. Operating Principles: Ъ. Control Device: а. Capital Cost: d. Efficiency:1 c. f. Operating Cost: Useful Life: e. h. Maintenance Cost: Energy:2 g. Availability of construction materials and process chemicals: i. Applicability to manufacturing processes: Ability to construct with control device, install in available space, and operate within proposed levels: Describe the control technology selected: See PSD report 2. Efficiency: 1 1. Control Device: 4. Useful Life: 3. Capital Cost: 6. Energy:² 5. Operating Cost: Manufacturer: 7. Maintenance Cost: Other locations where employed on similar processes: a. (1) Company: (2) Mailing Address: (4) State: (3) City:

¹Explain method of determining efficiency.

²Energy to be reported in units of electrical power - KWH design rate.

	(5)	Environmental Manager:		
	(6)	Telephone No.:		
	(7)	Emissions:1		
		Contaminant		Rate or Concentration
			<u> </u>	
	(8)	Process Rate:1		
	b. •	(1) Company:		
	(2)	Mailing Address:		
	(3)	City:	(4)	State:
	(5)	Environmental Manager:		
	(6)	Telephone No.:		
	(7)	Emissions:1		
	• •	Contaminant		Rate or Concentration
*				
	(8)	Process Rate:1		
	10.	Reason for selection and description	of syst	tems: See PSD report
¹App ava	olica ilabl	nt must provide this information when e, applicant must state the reason(s)	availab why.	ole. Should this information not be
		SECTION VII - PREVENTION C	F SIGNI	IFICANT DETERIORATION
		See PSD report fo	r No. 9	9 H ₂ SO ₄ Plant
A.	Comp	any Monitored Data		
	1	no. sites TSP		_() SO ^{2*} Wind spd/dir
	Dori	od of Monitoring	/	to / /
	1611	month day	y year	to // / month day year
	Othe	r data recorded		
	Atta	ch all data or statistical summaries	to this	application.
*Sp	ecify	bubbler (B) or continuous (C).		

	a. Was instrumentation EPA referenced or its equi	valent? [] Yes [] No
	b. Was instrumentation calibrated in accordance w	ith Department procedures?
	[] Yes [] No [] Unknown	
В.	Meteorological Data Used for Air Quality Modeling	
	1 Year(s) of data from//	to
	month day	year month day year
	2. Surface data obtained from (location)	<u> </u>
	3. Upper air (mixing height) data obtained from (location)
	4. Stability wind rose (STAR) data obtained from	(location)
C.	. Computer Models Used	
	1 M	odified? If yes, attach description.
	2M	odified? If yes, attach description.
	3 M	odified? If yes, attach description.
	4M	odified? If yes, attach description.
	Attach copies of all final model runs showing inpuprinciple output tables.	at data, receptor locations, and
D.	. Applicants Maximum Allowable Emission Data	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Pollutant Emission Rate	
	TSP	grams/sec
	SO ²	grams/sec
Ε.	. Emission Data Used in Modeling	
	Attach list of emission sources. Emission data repoint source (on NEDS point number), UTM coordinate and normal operating time.	equired is source name, description of tes, stack data, allowable emissions,
F.	. Attach all other information supportive to the PSI) review.
G.	. Discuss the social and economic impact of the sele applicable technologies (i.e, jobs, payroll, produ assessment of the environmental impact of the sour	uction, taxes, energy, etc.). Include
Н.	. Attach scientific, engineering, and technical mate	erial, reports, publications, journals,

2. Instrumentation, Field and Laboratory

requested best available control technology.

and other competent relevant information describing the theory and application of the

Table 2-1. Current and Proposed Permit Limitations for No. 8 and No. 9 Sulfuric Acid Plants, Cargill Fertilizer, Inc. (Revised 3/20/94)

	No. 8 H ₂ SO ₄	No. 9 H ₂ SO ₄	No. 8 and No. 9 H ₂ SO ₄ Plants Combined
Current Limitations			
Production Rate (100% H ₂ SO ₄)	2,500 TPD	2,800 TPD	5,300 TPD
SO ₂ Emissions	4.0 lb/ton ^a	4.0 lb/ton	_
	416.67 lb/hr ^b	433.2 lb/hr ^c	849.87 lb/hrb
H ₂ SO ₄ Mist Emissions	0.15 lb/ton ^a	0.15 lb/ton²	_
	15.63 lb/hr ^b	16.2 lb/hr	31.83 lb/hr ^b
Proposed Limitations			
Production Rate (100% H ₂ SO ₄)	2,900 TPD	3,200 TPD	5,700 TPD
SO ₂ Emissions	4.0 lb/ton ²	4.0 lb/ton ^a	_
-	11,600 lb/day	12,800 lb/day	22,800 lb/day
	483.33 lb/hrb	533.33 lb/hr ^b	950.0 lb/hrʰ
	2,117 TPY	2,336 TPY	4,161 TPY
H ₂ SO ₄ Mist Emissions	0.15 lb/ton ^a	0.15 lb/ton ^a	_
	435 lb/day	480 lb/day	855 lb/day
	18.1 lb/hr ^b	20.0 lb/hrb	35.6 lb/hr ^b
	79.4 TPY	87.6 TPY	156.0 TPY

Note: lb/day = pounds per day
lb/hr = pounds per hour
lb/ton = pounds per ton
H₂SO₄ = sulfuric acid
% = percent
SO₂ = sulfur dioxide
TPD = tons per day
TPY = tons per year

^b 3-hour average.

^{*} lb/ton of 100% H₂SO₄.

^c Based on 2,600 TPD (108.33 TPH) production rate.

Table 2-2. Stack Parameters for Existing and Expanded No. 9 H₂SO₄ Plant (Revised 3/20/94)

	Maximum H ₂ SO ₄ Production	4 Stack	Stack	Gas	Gas	Gas
Plant	Rate* (TPH)	Height (ft)	Diameter (ft)	Flow Rate (acfm)	Velocity (fps)	Temperature (°F)
Existing Condit	ions					
No. 8 H ₂ SO ₄	2,500	149.5	8.0	132,500	43.9	150
No. 9 H ₂ SO ₄	2,800 ^b	149.5	9.0	128,900	33.8	170
Future Conditio	ns					
No. 8 H ₂ SO ₄	2,900	149.5	8.0	153,700	51.0	150
No. 9 H ₂ SO ₄	3,200	149.5	9.0	158,600	41.6	170

Note:

acfm = actual cubic feet per minute.

°F = degrees fahrenheit.

fps = feet per second.

ft = feet.

 H_2SO_4 = sulfuric acid.

TPD = tons per day.

TPH = tons per hour.

[•] As 100% H₂SO₄

b Lower production rate of 2,600 TPD was used to reflect conservative gas flow rate (maximum permitted rate is 2,800 TPD).

Table 2-3. Estimated Maximum NO_x Emissions From No. 8 and No. 9 Sulfuric Acid Plants (Revised 3/20/94)

	H ₂ SO ₄	NO _x En		
Plant	Production Rate (TPD)	lb/hr	TPY	
Current Emissions				
H ₂ SO ₄ No. 8	2,500	12.5	54.8	
H ₂ SO ₄ No. 9	2,800	14.0	61.3	
Proposed Emissions				
H ₂ SO ₄ No. 8	2,900	14.5	63.5	
H ₂ SO ₄ No. 9	3,200	16.0	70.1	
No. 8 and No. 9 Combined	5,700	28.5	124.8	

Note: NO_x emissions based on emission factor of 0.12 lb/ton.

1b/hr = pounds per hour.

TPD = tons per day.

TPY = tons per year.

Table 3-3. PSD Source Applicability Analysis, Cargill No. 8 and No. 9 Sulfuric Acid Plant Expansion (Revised 3/20/94)

•		Emission Rate (TPY	(TPY)
Emission Scenario	SO ₂	H₂SO₄ Mist	NO _x
Current Allowable Emissions			
No. 8 H ₂ SO ₄	1,825	68.5	54.8
No. 9 H ₂ SO ₄	1,897	71.0	61.3
Proposed Allowable Emissions			1040
No. 8 and No. 9 H ₂ SO ₄ @ 5,700 TPD Total	4,161	156.0	124.8
Total Net Increase	439	16.5	8.7
PSD Significant Emission Rate	40	7	40

Note: H_2SO_4 = sulfuric acid. NO_x = nitrogen oxides.

PSD = prevention of significant deterioration.

 SO_2 = sulfur dioxide.

TPD = tons per day.

TPY = tons per year.

^{*} Not an allowable emission rate; estimate of actual emissions.

Table 3-5. PSD Increment Consumption Baseline and Future SO₂ Emissions, Cargill Fertilizer, Inc. (Revised 3/20/94)

Emission Scenario	SO ₂ Emissions (TPY)	Basis
Baseline Emissions ^a No. 4 H ₂ SO ₄ No. 5 H ₂ SO ₄ No. 6 H ₂ SO ₄ No. 7 H ₂ SO ₄ No. 8 H ₂ SO ₄ No. 9 H ₂ SO ₄ Total	1,276 2,216 3,029 2,519 3,256 1,898 14,194	274 TPD; 6,992 lb SO₂/day 475 TPD; 12,140 lb SO₂/da 650 TPD; 16,598 lb SO₂/da 1,380 TPD; 10 lb/ton 1,784 TPD; 10 lb/ton 2,600 TPD; 4 lb/ton
Future Emissions No. 7 H ₂ SO ₄ No. 8/No. 9 H ₂ SO ₄ Combined Total Net Change	1,606 <u>4,161</u> 5,767 -8,427	2,200 TPD; 4 lb/ton 5,700 TPD; 4 lb/ton

Note: H_2SO_4 = sulfuric acid.

lb/ton = pounds per ton.

PSD = prevention of significant deterioration.

 SO_2 = sulfur dioxide. TPD = tons per day. TPY = tons per year.

Nos. 4, 5, 6

Allowable rates for short-term, actual emissions over last 2 years of operation (1975-76) are 892; 1,773; and 2,469 TPY, respectively.

Nos. 7, 8, 9

Represents allowable SO₂ emissions as of January 6, 1975, representative of construction permits issued in November 1974.

ATTACHMENT D CLASS I ANALYSIS MODEL PRINTOUTS

(Provided Under Separate Cover)