

Telephone (904) 353-3611 Fax (904) 798-5700 **Containerboard Mill Division** 

1915 Wigmore Street P.O. Box 150 Jacksonville, FL 32201

RECEIVED

MAY 1 8 1999

BUREAU OF
AIR REGULATION

#### Certified Mail - Return Receipt Requested

May 13, 1999

Mr. Clair H. Fancy, P.E. Chief, Air Regulation Division of Air Resource Management Florida Department of Environmental Regulation 2600 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

Subject:

Jefferson Smurfit Corporation (U.S.)

Jacksonville Paperboard Mill

112(j) Case-by-Case MACT Application

Facility ID No.: 0310003

Dear Mr. Fancy:

Enclosed is a protective application under Section 112(j) of the Federal Clean Air Act for those sources at our mill covered by U.S. EPA's April 15, 1998 proposed MACT requirements for emissions of hazardous air pollutants ("HAPs") from pulp mill chemical recovery combustion sources — for kraft mills, these are recovery boilers, smelt dissolving tanks, and lime kilns ("MACT II")(63 Fed. Reg. 18754, et seq.). As explained below, we are submitting this application as a precautionary measure in the event that it is determined that there is a May 15 application deadline. This application may be withdrawn, amended, or supplemented at any time.

In its April 15, 1998 proposal, EPA determined that for existing MACT II sources only particulate HAP metals (as determined by EPA Method 29) required control. Mills with MACT II sources were given the option of controlling either particulate or metals and could also opt for a compliance "bubble." At the same time, EPA published final MACT rules for certain other pulp and paper mill sources and determined for still others that no control of hazardous air pollutants was justified. (63 Fed. Reg. 18,504, et seq.)

Mr. Clair H. Fancy, P.E. May 13, 1999 Page 2

Section 112(j) of the Federal Clean Air Act provides that if EPA does not promulgate a final MACT regulation for a listed category of sources within 18 months after the deadline, owners and operators of facilities in the category must file an application for case-by-case MACT limitations as part of their Title V permit. In this case, MACT standards for the pulp and paper production source category were scheduled to be promulgated by November 15, 1997; hence, 18 months after the deadline would be May 15, 1999. Since EPA has already promulgated MACT rules for many pulp and paper mill sources (final rule signed November 14, 1997 and published in the Federal Register April 15, 1998), we believe that 112(j) may not apply. In addition, on April 16, 1999, EPA promulgated a direct final rule extending the Section 112(j) application deadline for source categories in the "seven-year MACT promulgation bin" to December 15, 1999 (64 Fed. Reg. 18,824). Hence, unless this extension were declared invalid, affected sources have an additional seven months to submit applications even if Section 112(j) does apply.

This application is therefore being submitted as a precautionary measure in case it is determined, despite EPA's prior MACT promulgations and the extension, that the May 15, 1999 deadline still applies. Nothing in this cover letter or the enclosed application is intended as an admission or acceptance that the May 15 deadline does apply. If your agency determines that the deadline does not apply, we ask that you hold the application and not begin processing it until the December 15, 1999 date set by EPA, or such other date as is subsequently determined to be the deadline.

EPA has already determined that for chemical recovery combustion sources no MACT controls are justified for HAPs other than particulate metals. For the HAP metals, EPA has proposed giving sources the option of meeting either specified particulate limits (generally equal to NSPS) or specified limits on HAP metals. Sources also have the option of meeting these limits through a "bubble." The only technology EPA has identified for meeting the proposed MACT II limits is more stringent control of particulate emissions.

For purposes of this precautionary application, in order to ensure that we have a complete application that meets the requirement of 40 CFR 63.55(a)(1), we have indicated that the affected MACT II sources will comply with the particulate limitations in EPA's April 15, 1998 proposal. However, as noted in the application, there is insufficient available data on emissions of HAP metals from recovery boilers, smelt dissolving tanks, and lime kilns to allow reliable estimation of HAP metal emission rates from these sources. Moreover, recent industry data indicates that for these sources as a whole, there is no correlation between particulate emissions and emissions of HAP metals. Given the apparent low level of HAP metal emissions and apparent lack of correlation between particulate and metal emissions, it appears that no additional control for particulate or HAP metals may be the appropriate MACT limit. Hence, depending upon further emissions data both for

Mr. Clair H. Fancy, P.E. May 13, 1999 Page 3

our Company's MACT II sources and those elsewhere in the industry, we reserve the right to supplement or amend this application to either (1) select one of the other options in EPA's proposal, or (2) request that the case-by-case MACT limits be no additional control.

With regard to this application for a case-by-case Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) determination, the applicant hereby with full knowledge and understanding of its rights under Sections 120.60(1) and 403.0876, Florida Statutes, waives the right under those statutes to have the application for a permit issued or denied by the State of Florida Department of Environmental Protection within the ninety day time period prescribed by law. Unless extended by the applicant, this waiver shall expire on May15, 2000.

If you have any questions concerning this application or desire any additional information, please telephone Bill Heatley at 770-621-6732.

Very truly yours,

Hollis H. Elder

Vice President and General Manager

Cc: Chris L. Kirts, P.E. – NED FDEP

CR: Z 360 256 213

# APPLICATION FOR CASE-BY-CASE MAXIMUM ACHIEVABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY ("MACT") DETERMINATION PURSUANT TO CLEAN AIR ACT SECTION 112(j)

Chemical Recovery Combustion Sources at the Kraft Pulp Mill Source Category

#### **Disclaimer**

This Clean Air Act ("CAA") section 112(j) case-by-case permit application was prepared and submitted for protective purposes only and may be withdrawn at any time. This source arguably is not subject to CAA section 112(j), since the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") has already promulgated standards under CAA section 112(d) for the "pulp and paper" source category listed under CAA section 112(e), although EPA has proposed additional standards for chemical pulp mills. Also, on April 16, 1999, EPA promulgated a direct final rule extending the section 112(j) application deadline for source categories in the "seven-year bin" to December 15, 1999 (64 Fed. Reg. 18824). Thus, this application is being submitted only as a precautionary measure, and submission of this application in no way concedes applicability of CAA section 112(j) to this mill or the emission units included in this application.

Doc. 463672/Version 1--5/12/99--3:04 PM

### Application for Case-by-Case Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) Determination Pursuant to Clean Air Act Section 112(j)

Chemical Recovery Combustion Sources at Kraft Pulp Mills

I.	Company Identifying Information						
A.	Company Name Jefferson Smurfit Corporation (U.S.)						
В.	Mailing Address 1915 Wigmore Street						
City	Jacksonville	State Florida					
Zip C	ode 32206	C. Submitted Date May 13, 1999					
D.	Telephone 904-798-5600	E. Fax 904-798-5700					
II.	Site Information						
A.	Site Name Jefferson Smurfit Corporation	(U.S.)					
B.	County Duval	C. Primary SIC: 2631					
D.	State ID Number 0310003						
III.	Responsible Official						
A.	Name Hollis H. Elder						
В	Title Vice President & General Manager						
C.	Address 1915 Wigmore Street						
City	Jacksonville	State Florida					
Zip	32206	Telephone 904-798-5600					
IV.	Technical Contact						
A.	Name William R. Heatley, Jr.						
В.	Title Environmental Services Manager						
C.	Address 1979 Lakeside Parkway, Suite 30	00					
City	Tucker	State Georgia					
Zip	30084	Telephone 770-621-6732					
V.	Certification						
contair	on information and belief formed after reasonable and in this application are true, accurate and compared and 1999	e inquiry, I certify that the statements and information plete.  Responsible Official Signature					

### Case-by-Case MACT Determination Application Source Description

#### VI. Source Description

Briefly describe the source, its source category, and the emission units requiring a case-by-case MACT determination:

This application covers recovery furnace, smelt dissolving tank, and lime kiln emission units at a Kraft pulp mill, which falls within the "pulp and paper" source category contained in EPA's CAA section 112(e) list. EPA proposed MACT standards for these types of units on April 15, 1998, 63 Fed. Reg. 18754, and promulgated MACT standards for other units at Kraft pulp mills on April 15, 1998, 63 Fed. Reg. 18504. Spent cooking liquor from digesters (black liquor) is partially evaporated to increase solids content and then burned in a recovery furnace to recover energy and to recover inorganic chemicals for recycling in the chemical recovery cycle. Particulate matter (primarily sodium sulfate, but including small amounts of hazardous air pollutant (HAP) metals) is emitted from the recovery furnace and is collected in particulate control equipment and recycled into the chemical recovery cycle. Gaseous organic air pollutants, including some HAP organic chemicals, are also emitted from the recovery furnace. Molten inorganic chemicals (smelt) are drained from the bed of the recovery furnace to a smelt dissolving tank where they are dissolved in water to create green liquor for further processing in the chemical recovery process. The smelt dissolving tank is vented, and particulate matter is emitted from that vent and controlled with particulate control equipment. Gaseous organic air pollutants may also be emitted from smelt tank vents. The green liquor is causticized with lime that is produced by burning (calcining) calcium carbonate in lime kiln(s). The exhaust gases from the lime kiln(s) contain particulate matter (primarily calcium oxide and calcium carbonate, but including small amounts of HAP metals), which is collected in particulate control equipment, and some gaseous organic HAPs.

Doc. 463672/Version 1--5/12/99--3:04 PM

VII.	Application Type							
Indica	ate the number of each included in this	package:						
[ ]	MACT proposal for existing non-direct contact evaporator Kraft recovery furnace(s)							
[]	MACT proposal for new non-direct c	ontact evaporator	Kraft recovery furnace(s)					
[1]	MACT proposal for existing direct co	ontact evaporator I	Kraft recovery furnace(s)					
[1]	MACT proposal for existing Kraft lin	ne kiln(s)						
[ ]	MACT proposal for new Kraft lime k	iln(s)						
[1]	MACT proposal for existing Kraft sm	elt dissolving tank	k(s)					
[ ]	MACT proposal for new Kraft smelt	dissolving tank(s)						
VIII.	Affected Emission Source							
	Name/Description	ID No.	Add-on Control Device Type					
No. 9	Recovery Furnace	005	Electrostatic Precipitator					
No. 3	Lime Kiln	023	Electrostatic Precipitator					
No. 9	Smelt Dissolving Tank	004	Wet Scrubber					

### Case-by-Case MACT Determination Application Individual Unit Summary

Facility Name: Jefferson Smurfit Corporation (U.S.)						Date: May 10, 1999  Emission Point/ID Number: 005				
Affected Source: No. 9 Recovery Furnace										
I. Unit Information						<del> </del>				
A. New Existing X						B.	If existing, dat	te constructe	d: 1970	
C. If new, expected date of (1) comn	nencement of	construction	1: (	(2) comple	tion of const	ructio	n:	(3) startup:		
D. Maximum Capacity Utilization:	120,070 Lbs.	BLS/Hr			E. Expecte	d/Actu	ctual Capacity Utilization: 100%			
F. Control Technology: Electrostat	ic Precipitato	r								<u> </u>
G. Type: Wet Bottom		H. N	Make/Model:	Koppers/3	70486	I.	I. Control Efficiency: 99%			
K. Identify Applicable Federally Ent This emission unit is an existing										
II. Emissions					-					
			1	Actual Uncontrolled Emission Rate **		Control Rate at Max Capacity		Control Rate at Actual Capacity ***		
		lbs/hr	Tons/yr	Lbs/h	r tons	/yr	Lbs/hr	Tons/yr	Lbs/hr	tons/yr
Particulate Matter (PM) * 12,007 52,590 11, *(Surrogate for HAP Metals)					7 51,5	39	24.34	106.6	23.59	103.3

<sup>\*</sup> Calculated from control Efficiency

<sup>\*\*</sup> Estimated based on 8600 hours/year

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> From compliance test of 4/2/98

### Case-by-Case MACT Determination Application Individual Unit Summary

Facility Name: Jefferson Smurfit Corporation (U.S.)	Date: May 10, 1999
Affected Source: No. 3 Lime Kiln	Emission Point/ID Number: 023

I. Unit Information				<del></del>	<del> </del>				
A. New Existing X	ng X					B. If existing, da	te constructe	ed: 1986	
C. If new, expected date of (1) comr	nencement of	construction	n: (2	2) completion	of construc	ction:	(3) startup:		
D. Maxim Capacity Utilization: 27	75 Tons Rebu	rned Lime/D	ay	E.	Expected/A	Actual Capacity U	tilization: 1	100%	
F. Control Technology: Electrostat	ic Precipitato	r			<del></del>		<del></del>		
G. Type: Dry Bottom	· · ·	H. N	/lake/Model: (	Cleveland Mf	g. Co.	I. Control Efficie	ency: 99%		
K. Identify Applicable Federally En This emission unit is subject			itions:						
II. Emissions									
Hazardous Air Pollutant	CAS No.		Max Uncontrolled Emission Rate *		ncontrolled n Rate **	Control Rate at Max Capacity		Control Rate at Actual Capacity **	
		Lbs/hr	tons/yr	lbs/hr	tons/yr	Lbs/hr	tons/yr	lbs/hr	tons/yr
Particulate Matter (PM)* *Surrogate for HAP metals		2,100	9,198	2,058	9,014	8.6	37.7	7.9	34.6

<sup>\*</sup>Calculated from control efficiency

<sup>\*\*</sup> Estimated based on 8600 hours/year \*\*\* From compliance test of 3/31/98

#### **Case-by-Case MACT Determination Application Individual Unit Summary**

Facility Name: Jefferson Smurfit Corporation (U.S.)	Date: May 10, 1999
Affected Source: No. 9 Smelt Dissolving Tank	Emission Point/ID Number: 1970
I. Unit Information	
A. New Existing X	B. If existing, date constructed: 1970

C. If new, expected date of (1) commencement of construction: (2) completion of construction: (3) startup:

D. Maximum Capacity Utilization: 120,070 Lbs. BLS/Hr E. Expected/Actual Capacity Utilization: 100%

F. Control Technology: Wet Scrubber

G. Type: Low-Energy Entrainment H. Make/Model: Ducon UW-4 – Size 114 I. Control Efficiency: 95%

K. Identify Applicable Federally Enforceable Emission Limitations:

This emission unit is an existing source and not subject to NSPS.

#### II. **Emissions**

Hazardous Air Pollutant	CAS No.	Max Uncontrolled Emission Rate *		Actual Uncontrolled Emission Rate **		Control Rate at Max Capacity		Control Rate at Actual Capacity ***	
		lbs/hr	tons/yr	lbs/hr	Tons/yr	Lbs/hr	tons/yr	lbs/hr	tons/yr
Particulate Matter (PM)* *Surrogate for HAP Metals		728	3,189	713	3,123	8.28	36.3	8.02	35.1

<sup>\*</sup> Calculated from control efficiency

### Application for Case-by-Case MACT Determination MACT Floors for Kraft Recovery Furnaces\*

SUMMARY OF EPA'S PROI	POSED MACT FLO	OORS FOR KRAFT	FURNACES (NDC	CE and DCE)		
Unit	PM Emission	PM Control	PM HAP Emission	PM HAP	Total Gaseous	TGO
	Standard	Technology	Standard	Technology	Organic (TGO)	Technology
					<b>HAP Emission</b>	
					Standard	
Existing	# 0.10 g/dscm	ESP capable of	$1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ kg/Mg}$	ESP capable of	No standard	N/A
	corrected to 8%	meeting NSPS,	$(2.01 \times 10^{-3} \text{ lb/ton})$	meeting NSPS,		
,	oxygen	which typically has	of black liquor	which typically has		
		a specific	solids fired	a specific		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		collecting area		collecting area		
		$(SCA) \text{ of } 100 \text{ m}^2/$		$(SCA) \text{ of } 100 \text{ m}^2/$		
		$(m^3/sec)$ (530		$(m^3/sec)$ (530		
		$ft^2/1,000 \text{ cfm}$	<u> </u>	$ft^2/1,000 cfm)$		
New	# 0.034 g/dscm	ESP capable of	N/A	N/A	# 0.012 kg/Mg	Dry ESP
·	(0.015 gr/dscf)	achieving a PM			(0.025 lb/ton) of	system
	connected to 8%	emission level of			black liquor solids	
	oxygen	0.034 g/dscm			fired, as measured	
,		corrected to 8%	•		by methanol	
		oxygen (i.e., an				
		ESP with a SCA				
		between 110 and				
		$130 \text{ m}^2/[\text{m}^3/\text{sec}]$				

\*Source: 63 Fed. Reg. 18,754 (April 15, 1998)

### Application for Case-by-Case MACT Determination MACT Floors for Smelt Dissolving Tanks and Lime Kilns\*\*

I. SUMMARY OF	<del></del>		ORS FOR SMEL		TANKS	
Unit	PM Emission Standard	PM Control Technology	PM HAP Emission Standard	PM HAP Technology	Total Gaseous Organic (TGO) HAP Emission Standard	TGO Technology
Existing	# 0.10 kg/Mg (0.20 lb/ton) of black liquor solids fired	Wet scrubbers	# 6.20 x10 <sup>-5</sup> kg/Mg (1.24 x 10 <sup>-4</sup> lbs/ton) of black liquor solids fired	Wet scrubbers	No Standard	No Standard
New	# 0.06 kg/Mg (0.12 lb/ton) of black liquor solids fired	High efficiency scrubbers	# 0.06 kg/Mg (0.12 lb/ton) of black liquor solids fired	High efficiency scrubbers	No Standard	No Standard
II. SUMMARY OF	EPA'S PROPOS	ED MACT FLO	ORS FOR LIME	KILNS		
Unit	PM Emission Standard	PM Control Technology	PM HAP Emission Standard	PM HAP Technology	Total Gaseous Organic (TGO) HAP Emission Standard	TGO Technology
Existing	# 0.15 g/dscm (0.067 gr/dscf) corrected to 10% oxygen	Venturi scrubber or ESP	# 6.33 x10 <sup>-3</sup> kg/Mg (1.27 x 10 <sup>-2</sup> lbs/ton) of calcium oxide produced	Venturi scrubber or ESP	No Standard	No Standard
New	0.023 g/dscm (0.010 gr/dscf) corrected to 10% oxygen	ESP with operating SCA of 220 m <sup>2</sup> (m <sup>3</sup> /sec) (1,120 ft <sup>2</sup> /1,000 acfm)	N/A	Venturi scrubber or ESP	No Standard	No Standard

<sup>\*\*</sup>Source: 63 Fed. Reg. 18,754 (April 15, 1998)

### Proposed Emission Limitation for Kraft Lime Kilns

I.	Company and Source Information		
Ā.	Facility Name: Jefferson Smurfit Corporation (U.S.)	B. Facility ID No. 031003 C. Affected Source ID N	o. 005
D.	New Unit Existing Unit X	E. Annual Hours of Operation 8760	
II.	Proposed Emission Limit for Existing Emission Unit:	J	
Appl	icant proposes to comply with the following MACT limitations:		
Conc	rentration of PM in the exhaust gases discharged to the atmosphere is Equal to 0.15 g/dscm (0.067 gr/dscf) corrected to 10 percent oxygo		
III.	Proposed Emission Limit for New Emission Unit:		
	Not applicable – existing unit.		
IV.	Selected Control Technology.		_
	Existing unit – electrostatic precipitator (ESP).	2.	
V.	Monitoring and Recordkeeping		
Appl	icant proposes to comply with the following:  Monitoring and recordkeeping requirements at proposed 40 C.F.R	. sections 63.864 – 63.866, as appropriate.	
VI.	Supporting Information and Data.		
	63 Fed. Reg. 19,754(April 15, 1998) and administrative record for the	ne same.	

## Proposed Emission Limitation for Kraft or Soda Smelt Dissolving Tanks

I.	Company and Source Information		
A.	Facility Name: Jefferson Smurfit Corporation (U.S.)	B. Facility ID No. 0310003	C. Affected Source ID No. 004
D.	New Unit	E. Annual Hours of Operation	8760
	Existing Unit X		
II.	Proposed Emission Limit for Existing Emission Unit:		
Appl	licant proposes to comply with the following MACT limitation	s:	
The	concentration of PM in the exhaust gases discharged to the atm equal to 0.10 kg/Mg (0.20 lb/ton) of black liquor solids.	nosphere is less than or	
III.	Proposed Emission Limit for New Emission Unit:		·
	Not applicable – existing unit.		
IV.	Selected Control Technology.		
	Existing unit – Existing wet scrubber		
V.	Monitoring and Recordkeeping	(	
Appl	licant proposes to comply with the following:  Monitoring and recordkeeping requirements at proposed 40	C.F.P. sections 63,864 63,866 as a	umronriate
	womtoring and recordkeeping requirements at proposed 40	sections 03.804 – 03.800, as a	ippropriate.
VI.	Supporting Information and Data.		
	63 Fed. Reg. 19,754 (April 15, 1998) and administrative red	cord for the same.	

### Proposed Emission Limitation for Kraft Recovery Furnaces

I.	Company and Source Information			
A.	Facility Name: Jefferson Smurfit Corporation	B.	Facility ID No. 0310003	C. Affected Source ID No. 005
D.	New Unit	E.	Annual Hours of Operation	8760
	Existing Unit X			
II.	Proposed Emission Limit for Existing Emission Unit:	<u> </u>		
Appli	icant proposes to comply with the following MACT limitations	S.,	·	
	The concentration of PM in the exhaust gases discharged to 8% oxygen.	the at	tmosphere is less than or equal to	0.10 g/dscm (0.044 gr./dscf) corrected to
III.	Proposed Emission Limit for New Emission Unit:			
	Not applicable – existing unit.			
IV.	Proposed Control Technology.			
	Existing units – ESP capable of meeting NSPS, which typic $100 \text{ m}^2/\text{ (m}^2/\text{sec)}$ (530 ft <sup>2</sup> / 1000 cfm)	cally	has a specific collecting area (SC	CA) of
V.	Monitoring and Recordkeeping			
Appl	icant proposes to comply with the following:			
	Monitoring and recordkeeping requirements at proposed 40	C.F.F	R. sections $63.864 - 63.866$ , as approximately $63.866 - 63.866$ , and $63.866 - 63.866$ , as approximately $63.866 - 63.866$ , and $63.866 - 63.866$ .	opropriate.
VI.	Supporting Information and Data.			
	63 Fed. Reg. 19,754 (April 15, 1998) and administrative red	cord f	for the same.	